

## Communication

## Optical Excitation of a Nanoparticle Cu/p-NiO Photocathode Improves Reaction Selectivity for CO Reduction in Aqueous Electrolytes

Joseph S DuChene, Giulia Tagliabue, Alex Justine Welch, Xueqian Li, Wen-Hui Cheng, and Harry A Atwater

*Nano Lett.*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b04895 • Publication Date (Web): 05 Mar 2020

Downloaded from [pubs.acs.org](https://pubs.acs.org) on March 5, 2020

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Optical Excitation of a Nanoparticle Cu/p-NiO Photocathode Improves Reaction Selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction in Aqueous Electrolytes

*Joseph S. DuChene<sup>†§</sup>, Giulia Tagliabue<sup>†§</sup>, Alex J. Welch<sup>†§</sup>, Xueqian Li<sup>†§</sup>, Wen-Hui Cheng<sup>†§</sup>, and  
Harry A. Atwater<sup>†§\*</sup>*

<sup>†</sup>Thomas J. Watson Laboratory of Applied Physics and <sup>§</sup>Joint Center for Artificial  
Photosynthesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125 United States.

KEYWORDS artificial photosynthesis, photoelectrochemistry, hot holes, plasmonic  
photocathode, CO<sub>2</sub> reduction

## Abstract

We report the light-induced modification of catalytic selectivity for photoelectrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in aqueous media using copper (Cu) nanoparticles dispersed onto p-type nickel oxide (p-NiO) photocathodes. Optical excitation of Cu nanoparticles generates hot electrons available for driving CO<sub>2</sub> reduction on the Cu surface while charge separation is accomplished by hot hole injection from the Cu nanoparticles into the underlying p-NiO support. Photoelectrochemical studies demonstrate that optical excitation of plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes imparts

1  
2  
3 increased selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction over hydrogen evolution in aqueous electrolytes.

4  
5 Specifically, we observed that plasmon-driven CO<sub>2</sub> reduction increased the production of carbon  
6  
7 monoxide and formate, while simultaneously reducing the evolution of hydrogen. Our results  
8  
9 demonstrate an optical route towards steering the selectivity of artificial photosynthetic systems  
10  
11 with plasmon-driven photocathodes for photoelectrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in aqueous media.  
12  
13  
14  
15

## 16 **Introduction**

17  
18 Artificial photosynthesis seeks to mimic the catalytic machinery of natural photosynthetic  
19  
20 systems with inorganic materials capable of converting carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), water (H<sub>2</sub>O), and  
21  
22 sunlight into useful chemicals (e.g. ethanol, ethylene, etc.).<sup>1-6</sup> Unfortunately, the realization of  
23  
24 such a process is currently hindered by catalytic challenges associated with selective conversion  
25  
26 of CO<sub>2</sub> into desired products without the proliferation of unwanted side reactions.<sup>1-6</sup> The  
27  
28 complexity of the reaction pathway, which involves multiple proton-coupled electron transfer  
29  
30 steps, requires a process for preferentially activating specific chemical intermediates to reliably  
31  
32 and selectively produce a single product of interest.<sup>1-6</sup> The ongoing search for selectivity has  
33  
34 inspired numerous strategies to improve the preferential conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> into desired products,  
35  
36 including nanostructuring of the electrocatalyst,<sup>7-9</sup> elemental alloying,<sup>10,11</sup> engineering of the  
37  
38 exposed catalytic surface facets<sup>12-15</sup> or grain boundaries,<sup>16-18</sup> manipulating the local solution  
39  
40 pH,<sup>19-21</sup> judicious choice of chemical additives to the electrolyte itself,<sup>22,23</sup> or the use of ionic  
41  
42 liquids to limit the availability of protons.<sup>24,25</sup>  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48


49  
50 Despite numerous examples of improved catalyst selectivity via the aforementioned  
51  
52 approaches, to date, the use of light as a tool for guiding the selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction has  
53  
54 received considerably less attention.<sup>26-33</sup> Given that the most commonly used metals for  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, namely Ag, Au, and Cu, all support surface plasmon excitations,  
4  
5 nanostructured metal catalysts offer new opportunities for exploiting their unique optical  
6  
7 properties to shape the selectivity of chemical reactions.<sup>26,34-39</sup> In particular, the plasmon-driven  
8  
9 production of energetic “hot” carriers on metal nanostructures has shown great promise for  
10  
11 photocatalysis,<sup>34-39</sup> but the prompt decay ( $t \sim 1$  ps) of hot carriers into phonon modes of the metal  
12  
13 nanocrystal requires a strategy for quickly separating hot electron-hole pairs on an ultrafast  
14  
15 timescale.<sup>38,39</sup> To that end, numerous studies have established the benefits of forming an  
16  
17 interfacial Schottky barrier between a plasmonic metal and a wide band gap n-type  
18  
19 semiconductor (e.g. Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>) for separating hot carriers across the metal-semiconductor  
20  
21 heterojunction.<sup>40-49</sup> Providing a channel for collecting hot electrons within the conduction band  
22  
23 of the n-type semiconductor support effectively limits recombination processes and extends the  
24  
25 lifetime of the charge-separated state to allow photochemistry to proceed.<sup>47-49</sup> Yet to promote  
26  
27 plasmon-driven CO<sub>2</sub> reduction directly on the metal surface requires quickly extracting hot holes  
28  
29 from below the metal Fermi level with a wide band gap p-type semiconductor so that hot  
30  
31 electrons can accumulate on the metal and initiate reduction reactions with adsorbed molecules.  
32  
33 The ability to quickly collect hot holes from metal nanostructures via charge transfer to the  
34  
35 support also obviates the need for sacrificial reagents commonly used in plasmonic  
36  
37 photocatalysis. Indeed, we have recently demonstrated the utility of interfacing plasmonic Au  
38  
39 nanoparticles with p-type GaN to enable photoelectrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction with plasmonic  
40  
41 Au/p-GaN photocathodes.<sup>28</sup> Unfortunately, the limited number of p-type semiconductors suitable  
42  
43 for such studies has hindered the development of plasmonic devices capable of harvesting hot  
44  
45 holes from metal nanostructures for applications in photocatalysis or photodetection. Our ability  
46  
47 to manipulate and control hot carriers from metal nanostructures is currently restricted by  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 insufficient knowledge of plasmon-induced hot holes; to date, relatively few experimental  
4  
5 studies have been reported.<sup>28,50-58</sup>  
6  
7

8  
9 Here, we employ p-type nickel oxide (p-NiO) as a wide band gap semiconductor support  
10  
11 to harvest hot holes from photoexcited Cu nanoparticles and enable photoelectrochemical CO<sub>2</sub>  
12  
13 reduction with plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes (Figure 1a). Nickel oxide is commonly used  
14  
15 as a hole transport material in a variety of photovoltaic and photoelectrochemical devices due to  
16  
17 its excellent chemical stability, high optical transparency, and suitable p-type character.<sup>59-64</sup>  
18  
19 Furthermore, because p-NiO films can be deposited by a variety of low-cost methods, p-NiO  
20  
21 may offer a more scalable option than p-type GaN as a candidate wide band gap p-type  
22  
23 semiconductor to facilitate charge separation. In plasmonic devices, p-NiO has previously been  
24  
25 used to collect photogenerated hot holes from Au nanoparticles, where an Ohmic contact is  
26  
27 reportedly formed at the Au/p-NiO interface.<sup>65-67</sup> As a support for Cu nanoparticles, the valence  
28  
29 band position of p-NiO (ca. -5.4 eV vs. vacuum)<sup>60,63</sup> relative to the Cu Fermi level (ca. -4.5 eV  
30  
31 vs. vacuum)<sup>68</sup> is anticipated to establish a modest Schottky barrier ( $\Phi_B$ ) at the Cu/p-NiO  
32  
33 interface that facilitates charge separation by selectively collecting plasmon-induced hot holes  
34  
35 from the metal (Figure 1b). The large band gap of p-NiO (~3.7 eV)<sup>63,64</sup> ensures that any visible  
36  
37 light incident upon the Cu/p-NiO device is incapable of directly exciting charge carriers within  
38  
39 the p-NiO film, and it therefore serves solely to collect hot holes from the Cu nanoparticles.  
40  
41 Furthermore, the conduction band edge of p-NiO (ca. -1.7 eV vs. vacuum)<sup>60</sup> relative to the Cu  
42  
43 Fermi level provides rectification across the Cu/p-NiO interface by presenting a sizable energy  
44  
45 barrier (~ 3 eV) to hot electron transfer at the metal-semiconductor heterojunction (Figure 1b).  
46  
47 This plasmonic Cu/p-NiO device structure thereby limits recombination processes by providing a  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

pathway for hot hole collection within the underlying p-NiO film while simultaneously allowing for the accumulation of hot electrons on the Cu nanoparticles to drive CO<sub>2</sub> reduction.



**Figure 1.** Plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode device structure. (a) Schematic of Cu/p-NiO photocathode on fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass showing the approximate dimensions of the Cu nanoparticles (~8 nm in diameter) and the p-NiO layer (~60 nm thick) on the FTO glass substrate. (b) Predicted energy level diagram showing the relative positions of the p-NiO valence

1  
2  
3 band ( $E_{VB}$ ) and conduction band ( $E_{CB}$ ) relative to the Cu Fermi level ( $E_F$ ). The difference in  
4  
5 energy between the p-NiO valence band and the Cu Fermi level is expected to allow the  
6  
7 formation of an interfacial Schottky barrier ( $\Phi_B$ ) to hot hole injection at the Cu/p-NiO interface  
8  
9 of around 1 eV. Photoexcitation of Cu nanoparticles with photon energy ( $h\nu$ ) below the band gap  
10  
11 ( $E_G$ ) of the p-NiO support generates hot electrons and hot holes on the Cu surface. The p-NiO  
12  
13 support facilitates charge separation across the metal-semiconductor interface by allowing the  
14  
15 collection of hot holes from the metal while also confining the hot electrons on the Cu surface to  
16  
17 drive CO<sub>2</sub> reduction.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24


25 Photoelectrochemical studies of plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes confirm that visible-  
26  
27 light excitation of Cu nanoparticles induces hot hole injection to the p-NiO valence band along  
28  
29 with hot electron transfer to adsorbed molecules in the supporting electrolyte. The incidence of  
30  
31 visible light was found to exert a significant influence over the selectivity of Cu nanoparticles for  
32  
33 CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Specifically, we observed that optical excitation of the Cu nanoparticles  
34  
35 preferentially promoted the production of both carbon monoxide (CO) and formate (HCOO<sup>-</sup>)  
36  
37 while simultaneously limiting the evolution of hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) in aqueous electrolytes. These  
38  
39 results suggest that optical excitation of the metal alters the electrochemical reaction mechanism  
40  
41 occurring on the Cu surface, with implications for the design of plasmonic photocatalysts that  
42  
43 exhibit improved selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Overall, our studies demonstrate the utility of p-  
44  
45 type semiconductors for the development of plasmonic photocathodes capable of artificial  
46  
47 photosynthesis and open new possibilities for manipulating and controlling photochemistry at the  
48  
49 nanoscale with plasmonic-metal nanostructures.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## 55 **Results & Discussion**

56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The p-NiO film was deposited onto fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrates via  
4 electron-beam physical vapor deposition of a metallic Ni target under flowing O<sub>2</sub> gas, followed  
5 by a brief heat treatment at 300 °C in ambient air to yield the desired p-type NiO phase (see  
6 Methods and Figure S1 in Supporting Information). Mott-Schottky analysis of the p-NiO film  
7 confirms that they exhibit p-type conductivity with a flat-band potential ( $E_{fb}$ ) of around 0.75  
8 V<sub>RHE</sub> (V vs. RHE) and a carrier density of around  $2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (Figure S1c). We note that these  
9 material properties of the as-synthesized p-NiO films are consistent with previous reports.<sup>61,63</sup>  
10 The Cu nanoparticles were subsequently formed by deposition of 3 nm of Cu directly onto the  
11 already deposited p-NiO films. No interfacial adhesion layer was used at the Cu/p-NiO  
12 heterojunction. Free from stabilizing surfactants required in colloidal nanoparticle synthesis, our  
13 approach ensures direct physical contact at the Cu/p-NiO interface while also exposing a clean  
14 Cu surface for catalysis. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging of the Cu/p-NiO device  
15 shows Cu nanoparticles distributed across the p-type NiO surface with a mean diameter  $d$  of  $8 \pm$   
16  $2 \text{ nm}$  (Figure 2a). Analysis of the Cu oxidation state by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)  
17 indicates that the as-deposited Cu nanoparticles oxidize to a mix of both Cu(I) and Cu(II)  
18 oxidation states<sup>69</sup> upon exposure to ambient air (Figure 2b). Further inspection of the Cu LMM  
19 region, however, strongly suggests that the oxidation state of the as-synthesized Cu nanoparticles  
20 consists of the CuO phase (Figure S2). This interpretation is further supported by the optical  
21 properties of the Cu/p-NiO films, which appear dark grey in color and display a broad peak in  
22 the visible region spanning from around 600 nm to 800 nm (Figure 2c, yellow curve). This  
23 optical response is similar to that previously observed in CuO nanoparticles.<sup>70,71</sup> In contrast, the  
24 bare p-NiO films are nearly transparent across the visible spectrum (Figure 2c, blue curve) and  
25 exhibit a wide band gap ( $E_G$ ) of around 3.7 eV (Figure S1d).  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60





**Figure 2.** Materials characterization of the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode. (a) SEM image with corresponding size-distribution histogram of Cu nanoparticles (mean diameter,  $d = 8 \pm 2$  nm) on a 60 nm thick p-NiO film supported on FTO glass. (b) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy high-resolution scan of the Cu 2p region from as-synthesized Cu/p-NiO photocathodes. (c) Absorption spectra of the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode before (yellow curve) and after (red curve) electrochemical reduction via three successive cyclic voltammetry scans. The spectrum of the bare p-NiO film (blue curve) is also shown for comparison. (d) Cyclic voltammograms from

1  
2  
3 plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode (yellow to red curves) and bare p-NiO films (blue curve) at a  
4 scan rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. Black arrows indicate the scan direction. The reduction of Cu oxides into  
5  
6 metallic Cu is evidenced by the progressively smaller cathodic wave around 0.7 V<sub>RHE</sub> (yellow  
7  
8 curve) that eventually disappears after the third successive scan (red curve). A representative  
9  
10 voltammogram from bare p-NiO films (blue curve) is shown for reference.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 Photoelectrochemical studies were performed in a three-electrode configuration with the  
19  
20 Cu/p-NiO photocathode as the working electrode, a platinum wire mesh counter electrode, and a  
21  
22 saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode. The aqueous electrolyte (50 mM  
23  
24 K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) was sparged with CO<sub>2</sub> gas for 30 min prior to all electrochemical experiments, which  
25  
26 were performed under a CO<sub>2</sub> blanket to prevent the ingress of atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> into the supporting  
27  
28 electrolyte. All electrochemical potentials are reported with respect to the reversible hydrogen  
29  
30 electrode (RHE). As shown in Figure 2d (yellow curve), cyclic voltammetry of the Cu/p-NiO  
31  
32 device indicates that surface oxides formed on the Cu nanoparticles upon exposure to ambient air  
33  
34 are successfully reduced into metallic Cu<sup>(0)</sup> at applied potentials more negative than 0.8 V<sub>RHE</sub>.  
35  
36 The absence of such features from bare p-NiO films (Figure 2d, blue curve) confirms that these  
37  
38 cathodic and anodic waves are attributable to the redox features of the Cu nanoparticles.  
39  
40 Subsequent cyclic voltammetry scans across the potential window from 0.8 V<sub>RHE</sub> to 0.2 V<sub>RHE</sub>  
41  
42 indicate that any residual cupric/cuprous oxides are fully converted into metallic Cu, as  
43  
44 evidenced by the progressively smaller reduction wave around 0.7 V<sub>RHE</sub> that eventually  
45  
46 disappears upon the third successive scan (Figure 2d, red curve). This electrochemical  
47  
48 observation is consistent with recent *in operando* spectroscopic evidence of the  
49  
50 electrocatalytically active phase of Cu-based cathodes.<sup>72</sup> We also note that a change in the  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


1  
2  
3 optical absorption was observed for these Cu/p-NiO films immediately after cyclic voltammetry  
4 was performed. The freshly cycled Cu/p-NiO photocathodes exhibit a new spectral feature  
5 located around 630 nm that we attribute to the surface plasmon resonance of metallic Cu  
6 nanoparticles (Figure 2c, red curve). We also observed qualitatively similar results from  
7 electrochemical reduction of Cu nanoparticle films deposited directly onto FTO glass substrates  
8 without the intervening layer of p-NiO (Figure S3). Collectively, these results strongly suggest  
9 that the oxide formed on the Cu nanoparticles upon exposure to ambient air is successfully  
10 reduced back into the metallic state under CO<sub>2</sub> reduction conditions.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22  
23 The current-potential ( $J$ - $E$ ) behavior of the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode was  
24 assessed via linear sweep voltammetry at a scan rate of 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup> under both dark conditions and  
25 visible-light excitation ( $\lambda = 565 \pm 52$  nm FWHM) with a high-power LED ( $I_0 = 160$  mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).  
26 As shown in Figure 3a (dotted black curve), the Cu/p-NiO device displayed a cathodic current  
27 ( $J$ ) along the potential ( $E$ ) sweep from 0 V<sub>RHE</sub> to -1.0 V<sub>RHE</sub>. The incidence of visible light  
28 (Figure 3a, solid red curve) imparts increased cathodic photocurrent ( $J_{ph}$ ) relative to that  
29 observed in the dark and reduces the potential required for the onset of the Faradaic current from  
30 around -0.4 V<sub>RHE</sub> in the dark to around -0.3 V<sub>RHE</sub> in the light (see inset of Figure 3a). For  
31 comparison, the bare p-NiO photocathode exhibits no change in current density under visible-  
32 light excitation (Figure S4). Chronoamperometry  $J(t)$  experiments demonstrate that the  
33 plasmonic Cu/p-NiO device exhibits a prompt and reproducible cathodic photocurrent  $J_{ph}$  under  
34 periodic, visible-light illumination ( $\lambda = 565 \pm 52$  nm) while held potentiostatically at -0.2 V<sub>RHE</sub>  
35 (Figure 3b, red curve). For comparison, no visible light response was observed from bare p-NiO  
36 supports under otherwise identical experimental conditions (Figure 3b, blue curve). The  
37 plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode displays a linear  $J_{ph}$  response with respect to the incident  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 light power and reaches a maximum  $J_{\text{ph}}$  of around  $5 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  at  $160 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$  (Figure S5). A  
4 series of high-power LEDs were also used to evaluate the incident photon-to-charge conversion  
5 efficiency [IPCE( $\lambda$ )] of the Cu/p-NiO and bare p-NiO photocathodes while held  
6 potentiostatically at  $E_{\text{appl}} = -0.2 \text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$ . The LED power was adjusted to ensure that the same  
7 photon flux was incident on the device at each illumination wavelength (see Methods). As shown  
8 in Figure 3c, we observed that the IPCE( $\lambda$ ) of the Cu/p-NiO device (red points) resembled the  
9 absorption spectrum of the Cu nanoparticles (Figure 2c, red curve). The maximum IPCE of  
10 around  $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  was observed with  $\lambda = 565 \pm 52 \text{ nm}$  excitation. We emphasize that the  
11 significantly lower IPCE observed at higher photon energies strongly suggests that the  
12 photoelectrochemical activity of the Cu/p-NiO photocathode cannot be attributed to excitation of  
13 any residual  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$  or  $\text{CuO}$  phase. No photocurrent response was observable from the underlying  
14 p-NiO film for wavelengths longer than  $505 \pm 30 \text{ nm}$  (Figure 3c, blue points).

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31 Chronopotentiometry  $V_{\text{oc}}(t)$  experiments were then performed to examine plasmon-driven charge  
32 separation across the metal-semiconductor heterojunction.<sup>28</sup> Hot hole injection from photo-  
33 excited Cu nanoparticles into the p-NiO film under open-circuit conditions leads to the  
34 accumulation of holes within the valence band of p-NiO. This increase of positive charge causes  
35 a shift in the  $V_{\text{oc}}$  of the Cu/p-NiO photocathode to more positive potentials relative to the  
36 equilibrium  $V_{\text{oc}}$  observed under dark conditions. Therefore, plasmon-induced hot hole transfer  
37 across the metal-semiconductor heterojunction can be observed by monitoring the influence of  
38 light on the  $V_{\text{oc}}$  of the device. Indeed, the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO device exhibits an increase in  $V_{\text{oc}}$   
39 upon exposure to visible-light irradiation and eventually establishes a plasmonic photovoltage  
40  $V_{\text{ph}}$  ( $V_{\text{ph}} = V_{\text{oc,light}} - V_{\text{oc,dark}}$ ) of around  $15 \text{ mV}$  (Figure 3d, red curve). No  $V_{\text{ph}}$  response was  
41 observed from bare p-NiO films at an incident wavelength of  $565 \text{ nm}$  (Figure 3d, blue curve).

Taken together, these data are consistent with plasmon-induced hot hole injection into the p-NiO valence band along with photoelectrochemical reduction of molecular species in the supporting electrolyte.



**Figure 3.** Photoelectrochemical characterization of plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes. (a) Linear sweep voltammetry  $J(E)$  of plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode at a scan rate of  $20 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  under dark conditions (dotted black curve) and under visible-light irradiation ( $\lambda = 565 \pm 52 \text{ nm}$ ) (solid red curve). (b) Chronoamperometry  $J(t)$  of the photocurrent ( $J_{\text{ph}} = J_{\text{light}} - J_{\text{dark}}$ ) obtained


1  
2  
3 from plasmonic Cu/p-NiO (red curve) and bare p-NiO (blue curve) photocathodes under  
4  
5 periodic, visible-light irradiation ( $\lambda = 565 \pm 52$  nm) while potentiostatically poised at an applied  
6  
7 potential of  $E_{\text{appl}} = -0.2 V_{\text{RHE}}$ . (c) Incident photon-to-charge conversion efficiency [IPCE( $\lambda$ )] of  
8  
9 the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO (red points) and bare p-NiO (blue points) photocathodes. The IPCE was  
10  
11 determined by collecting the photocurrent from each device while poised at an applied potential  
12  
13 of  $E_{\text{appl}} = -0.2 V_{\text{RHE}}$ . The IPCE error bars represent the standard deviation about the mean value  
14  
15 and the wavelength error bars indicate the FWHM of the high-power LED. (d)  
16  
17  
18 Chronopotentiometry  $V(t)$  of the open-circuit voltage ( $V_{\text{oc}}$ ) obtained from the plasmonic Cu/p-  
19  
20 NiO photocathode (red curve) and the bare p-NiO cathode (blue curve) under visible-light  
21  
22 irradiation ( $\lambda = 565 \pm 52$  nm).  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 The influence of plasmon excitation on the selectivity of plasmonic Cu/p-NiO  
32  
33 photocathodes for the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction (CO<sub>2</sub>RR) was then studied in a two-compartment  
34  
35 compression cell specifically designed to enable photoelectrochemical operation.<sup>73</sup>  
36  
37 Electrochemical experiments were conducted in a three-electrode configuration with the  
38  
39 plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode as the working electrode, a Pt foil as the counter electrode,  
40  
41 and a leakless Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode all immersed in a CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated 50  
42  
43 mM K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> supporting electrolyte (see Methods). Photoelectrochemical collection of hot holes  
44  
45 from the Cu nanoparticles via the underlying p-NiO film obviates the need for sacrificial  
46  
47 reagents. The obtained chemical products were evaluated under both dark and light ( $\lambda = 565 \pm 52$   
48  
49 nm) conditions while the plasmonic photocathode was held potentiostatically at an applied  
50  
51 potential ( $E$ ) ranging from  $-0.7 V_{\text{RHE}}$  to  $-0.9 V_{\text{RHE}}$ . The potential window available for plasmon-  
52  
53 driven CO<sub>2</sub> reduction studies is restricted by the limited stability of the oxide support during  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 electrochemical operation at applied potentials more negative than  $-0.9 V_{\text{RHE}}$ . The gaseous and  
4 liquid products generated in the reactor headspace and electrolyte compartments were sampled  
5 and analyzed via gas chromatography and high-pressure liquid chromatography, respectively  
6 and analyzed via gas chromatography and high-pressure liquid chromatography, respectively  
7  
8 (see Methods).  
9

10  
11  
12  
13 As shown in Figure 4, the observed product distributions obtained under dark  
14 electrocatalysis are dependent on the applied electrochemical potential ( $E$ ). The reported  
15 Faradaic efficiency for each chemical product represents the average value obtained from three  
16 independent trials and the error bars indicate the standard deviation. At an applied potential of  $E$   
17 =  $-0.7 V_{\text{RHE}}$ , the Cu/p-NiO photocathode evolves primarily hydrogen ( $\text{H}_2$ ) along with carbon  
18 monoxide (CO) and formate ( $\text{HCOO}^-$ ) as minor products. At more negative applied potentials ( $E$   
19 =  $-0.9 V_{\text{RHE}}$ ), CO and  $\text{HCOO}^-$  begin to comprise a more significant fraction of the total Faradaic  
20 efficiency ( $\sim 40\%$ ) under dark conditions (Figure 4b-c). We emphasize that our cyclic  
21 voltammetry results (Figure 3d), together with the observed changes in the optical properties of  
22 the device (Figure 3c), indicate that the oxidation state of the Cu nanoparticles under these  
23 applied potentials is metallic  $\text{Cu}^{(0)}$ . This conclusion is also supported by recent *in operando*  
24 spectroscopic evidence.<sup>72</sup> Although a significant fraction of the products evolved from the Cu/p-  
25 NiO device consist of  $\text{H}_2$  under dark conditions (Figure 4a, blue points), this product is largely  
26 attributable to the activity of the underlying p-NiO film that remains exposed to the electrolyte.  
27 Indeed, the bare p-NiO substrate produces almost exclusively  $\text{H}_2$  with  $\sim 98\%$  Faradaic efficiency  
28 across the entire potential window from  $-0.7 V_{\text{RHE}}$  to  $-0.9 V_{\text{RHE}}$  (Figure S6). The product  
29 distribution observed for the bare p-NiO control sample under  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$  conditions is consistent  
30 with a prior study of NiO-based cathodes.<sup>62</sup> We therefore assign nearly all  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$  products  
31 observed from the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes to the catalytic activity of the Cu  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

nanoparticles (Figure S7). XPS analysis of these devices after electrochemistry indicates that the oxidation state of the Cu nanoparticles and the underlying p-NiO film is similar to that of the as-synthesized samples, suggesting that any changes associated with electrochemistry is largely reversible (Figure S8).



**Figure 4.** Distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction products obtained from plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes as a function of the applied electrochemical potential ( $E$ ). Faradaic efficiency (a–c) and associated partial current density (d–f) for the production of (a,d) hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) (squares),



1  
2  
3 (b,e) carbon monoxide (CO) (circles), and (c,f) formate ( $\text{HCOO}^-$ ) (triangles) during controlled  
4 potential electrolysis under dark conditions (blue symbols) and under visible-light irradiation  
5 (yellow symbols). Plasmon excitation was performed with  $\lambda = 565 \pm 52$  nm at an incident power  
6 of  $160 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ . Data points and error bars represent the average value and standard deviation,  
7 respectively, obtained from three independent trials.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 As shown in Figure 4, optical excitation of the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes with  
19  $565 \pm 52$  nm light from a high-power LED ( $I_0 = 160 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$ ) induces a marked change in the  
20 distribution of chemical products compared to that observed during dark electrocatalysis.  
21 Specifically, we observed a reduction in the Faradaic efficiency for  $\text{H}_2$  evolution (Figure 4a,  
22 squares) concomitantly with an increase in the Faradaic efficiency for both carbon monoxide  
23 (Figure 4b, circles) and formate (Figure 4c, triangles) at all applied potentials. The biggest  
24 change in selectivity was observed at  $-0.7 \text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$ , where the Faradaic efficiency for  $\text{H}_2$  falls from  
25 nearly 94% in the dark to around 58% in the light (Figure 4a). This substantial reduction in HER  
26 activity was accompanied by a sizable improvement in the selectivity for  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction; the  
27 Faradaic efficiency for both CO and  $\text{HCOO}^-$  increased by three times relative to that observed in  
28 the dark and begin to account for nearly 50% of the total Faradaic efficiency from the device.  
29 The partial current densities associated with the  $\text{H}_2$  evolution reaction ( $J_{\text{HER}}$ ), the production of  
30 CO ( $J_{\text{CO}}$ ), and the production of formate ( $J_{\text{HCOO}^-}$ ) are shown in Figure 4d-f, respectively. At an  
31 applied potential of  $E = -0.7 \text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$ , we observed little change in  $J_{\text{HER}}$  between dark and light  
32 conditions (Figure 4d), but a notable increase in both  $J_{\text{CO}}$  and  $J_{\text{HCOO}^-}$  was observed (Figure 4e-f).  
33 As we moved to more negative applied potentials, the proportion of  $J_{\text{CO}}$  and  $J_{\text{HCOO}^-}$  continued to  
34 increase along with a sizable reduction in  $J_{\text{HER}}$  relative to that observed during dark  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 electrocatalysis. At the most negative potential studied ( $E = -0.9 \text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$ ), the  $J_{\text{HER}}$  was reduced by  
4 nearly 33% from around  $1.5 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in the dark to around  $1 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in the light, while both  
5  
6  $J_{\text{CO}}$  and  $J_{\text{HCOO}^-}$  are nearly three times greater than they were in the dark. Overall, these results  
7  
8 indicate that optical excitation of the Cu nanoparticles increases their selectivity for the  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$   
9  
10 relative to the HER at all applied potentials. We also monitored the electrolyte temperature via a  
11  
12 thermocouple inserted within the electrochemical cell, situated near the Cu/p-NiO working  
13  
14 electrode. Over the course of a 2 h photoelectrochemical experiment we observed a  $4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  increase  
15  
16 in the solution temperature up to around  $29 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  (Figure S9). We can exclude the possibility that  
17  
18 increased  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction selectivity arises solely due to plasmonic heating of the electrocatalytic  
19  
20 surface, as it has previously been shown that increased electrolyte temperatures promote  $\text{H}_2$   
21  
22 evolution while reducing the selectivity for  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction.<sup>73</sup> Such heating-induced trends in  
23  
24 reaction selectivity are clearly opposite to those observed here. The linear relationship observed  
25  
26 between incident light power and photocurrent (Figure S5) further indicates that the improved  
27  
28 photoelectrochemical selectivity is not associated with a thermal process. We note that these  
29  
30 results are interesting in light of previous observations of plasmon-enhanced selectivity  
31  
32 involving gas-phase photocatalysis conducted at elevated temperatures, in which the conversion  
33  
34 of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2$  to carbon monoxide (CO) or methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) was enhanced with optical excitation  
35  
36 of the plasmonic photocatalyst.<sup>29,33</sup>  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 There are several possible mechanisms by which plasmon excitation of Cu nanoparticles  
47  
48 may alter the distribution of  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction products obtained from the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO  
49  
50 photocathode. Here, we consider several distinct plasmon-induced processes that could account  
51  
52 for our observed photoelectrochemical results. Photo-induced hot hole injection into the p-NiO  
53  
54 valence band leads to increased electron density on the Cu nanoparticles, which could potentially  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 influence the reaction mechanism in a variety of ways. Hot electrons may be selectively injected  
4 into available molecular orbitals of adsorbed species at the metal-electrolyte interface. If such a  
5 process were to occur preferentially on adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub>, hot electrons would selectively activate  
6 CO<sub>2</sub> to aid in formation of the CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> species on the Cu surface. Since the initial reduction of  
7 adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> is thought to comprise the rate-limiting step in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction,<sup>81</sup> the generation of  
8 hot electrons on the Cu nanoparticles via plasmon excitation may help initiate the catalytic  
9 cascade on the Cu surface by activating adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> molecules.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20           Alternatively, it is conceivable that plasmon excitation of the Cu nanoparticles serves to  
21 reduce the evolution of H<sub>2</sub> from the Cu surface through a process known as desorption induced  
22 by electronic transitions (DIET).<sup>36,37,82</sup> In this mechanism, hot-electron transfer to adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>  
23 may destabilize surface bound molecules by populating anti-bonding orbitals of the adsorbate  
24 and causing the molecule to dissociate on the Cu surface prior to being released into solution.  
25 We note that it has previously been reported that plasmon-induced hot electrons can initiate  
26 photo-dissociation of H<sub>2</sub> molecules on metal surfaces.<sup>74-76</sup> As an additional consequence of  
27 molecular H<sub>2</sub> dissociation, surface-bound hydrogen atoms would be available to protonate  
28 nearby CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> anions and facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Such a process may be responsible for the  
29 suppression of H<sub>2</sub> observed upon optical excitation of the plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode.  
30 The absence of further reduced C<sub>2</sub> products like ethylene, which is commonly observed in  
31 electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction with Cu surfaces, could also be attributed to a DIET mechanism.  
32 It is currently thought that ethylene is formed through carbon-carbon coupling via dimerization  
33 of adsorbed CO species.<sup>2-4</sup> If hot-electron transfer occurs preferentially to adsorbed CO  
34 molecules instead of H<sub>2</sub>, the DIET mechanism could either initiate desorption of molecular CO  
35 from the Cu surface or photo-dissociate CO into atomic fragments. Both processes would  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 effectively reduce the available pool of surface-bound CO needed to form C<sub>2</sub> products on the  
4  
5 metal surface.  
6

7  
8  
9 Finally, it is possible that plasmon-induced hot hole injection to the p-NiO support  
10  
11 modifies the molecular interactions with the Cu surface by altering the electronic structure of the  
12  
13 Cu nanoparticles. It is well known that the electronic structure of the metal *d*-bands plays the  
14  
15 dominant mechanism in determining molecular adsorption at a metal surface.<sup>77,78</sup> Although a  
16  
17 distribution of hot holes spanning the *sp*-band down to the *d*-bands are created within the Cu  
18  
19 nanoparticles upon visible-light excitation, direct transitions (*d*-band to *sp*-band) are the dominate  
20  
21 mechanism for hot-hole generation when irradiated above the interband threshold of Cu (~1.6 –  
22  
23 1.8 eV).<sup>79,80</sup> Thus, optical excitation of the Cu nanoparticles with 565 nm light ( $h\nu = 2.2$  eV)  
24  
25 preferentially excites hot holes within the metal *d*-bands<sup>77,78</sup> that can then transfer to the  
26  
27 underlying p-NiO film. Injection of hot holes into the p-NiO valence band thereby alters the  
28  
29 occupation of states below the Cu Fermi level, which could then modify the molecular surface  
30  
31 interactions by tuning the extent of hybridization between the metal *d*-bands and the frontier  
32  
33 orbitals of the adsorbate. This change in electronic structure of the metal via plasmon-induced  
34  
35 hot hole transfer to the p-NiO support offers an alternative pathway towards shaping the  
36  
37 selectivity of Cu nanoparticles.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44  
45 As several reduction reactions are occurring simultaneously on the plasmonic  
46  
47 photocathode, and both the Cu nanoparticles and the underlying p-NiO film are exposed to the  
48  
49 electrolyte, advanced *in operando* spectroscopic studies are needed to conclusively distinguish  
50  
51 between these possible reaction mechanisms. It is also important to recognize that the surface  
52  
53 coverage of adsorbed molecules, along with their associated electronic structure, will influence  
54  
55 the relative charge-transfer probabilities across the metal-molecule interface. Without *in*  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *operando* vibrational spectroscopy it is difficult to know the identity of adsorbed species or  
4  
5 estimate their relative surface coverage on the Cu/p-NiO surface; instead we speculate about the  
6  
7 various species present based on our observed product distribution and what is currently known  
8  
9 about the mechanism of electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Although the production of hydrogen  
10  
11 (H<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and formate (HCOO<sup>-</sup>) are all thought to involve two proton-  
12  
13 coupled electron-transfer steps, these three products originate from different reactive  
14  
15 intermediates formed on the Cu surface under reaction conditions.<sup>2-4</sup> The mechanism of CO<sub>2</sub>  
16  
17 reduction to form CO or HCOO<sup>-</sup> is thought to proceed via two distinct intermediates formed on  
18  
19 the Cu surface during electrochemical reduction. The evolution of CO occurs via carbon-bound  
20  
21 \*COOH intermediates while HCOO<sup>-</sup> production occurs via oxygen-bound \*OCHO, where \*  
22  
23 indicates the atom bound to the surface site.<sup>4</sup> It therefore seems unlikely that hot electron transfer  
24  
25 is occurring preferentially to only one of these intermediates formed on the Cu surface *in*  
26  
27 *operando*, since the Faradaic efficiencies for both CO and HCOO<sup>-</sup> were observed to increase  
28  
29 with light excitation. Instead, we suspect that plasmon-induced hot electrons on the Cu  
30  
31 nanoparticles likely play a key role in improving the selectivity for the CO<sub>2</sub>RR by preferentially  
32  
33 activating CO<sub>2</sub> to form the CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> anion. Reducing the barrier for this rate-limiting step in  
34  
35 electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction<sup>81</sup> would be expected to increase the production of both carbon  
36  
37 monoxide and formate. Furthermore, if a fraction of the electrochemically-derived H<sub>2</sub> molecules  
38  
39 were photo-dissociated on the Cu surface by hot electrons via DIET, the surface-bound hydrogen  
40  
41 atoms would be readily available for protonation of activated CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> molecules. We also  
42  
43 hypothesize that the injection of hot holes into the p-NiO film changes the intrinsic binding  
44  
45 affinity of the metal surface for reactant molecules by altering the *d*-band structure of the Cu  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 nanoparticles. Collectively, these processes could synergistically shape the selectivity of the  
4 plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathode in favor of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction relative to the HER.  
5  
6

7  
8  
9 We also comment on the timescale of these potential processes in the context of the  
10 observed photochemistry. At present, it remains unclear if plasmon-induced hot electrons are  
11 transferred directly to adsorbed molecules on timescales preceding ( $t < 10$  fs) electron-electron  
12 scattering processes ( $t \sim 10$ – $100$  fs) or if charge transfer occurs after establishing an excited-state  
13 Fermi-Dirac distribution at an elevated electronic temperature ( $t > 1$  ps). Recent experimental  
14 observations<sup>83-88</sup> and theoretical investigations<sup>89,90</sup> all suggest that hot-carrier injection from a  
15 photo-excited metal nanoparticle to an adjacent phase may occur directly across the metal-  
16 molecule interface on a near-instantaneous timescale ( $t < 10$  fs), rather than indirectly via the  
17 more traditional mechanism involving sequential processes of hot-carrier generation,  
18 thermalization, and transfer. Such a direct charge-transfer mechanism could offer a plausible  
19 explanation for the observed change in chemical selectivity via low-intensity light, as this  
20 pathway transfers energy to adsorbed molecules prior to hot-carrier decay pathways occurring in  
21 the metal itself. Direct experimental verification of the mechanism is challenging due to the  
22 ultrafast timescales ( $t < 10$  fs) on which these charge-transfer events would occur.<sup>87,88</sup>  
23  
24 Nevertheless, these initial photoelectrochemical observations indicate that optical excitation of  
25 the Cu nanoparticles alters the selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction relative to traditional electrochemical  
26 reduction performed under dark conditions.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 In summary, we have demonstrated the benefits of using p-type NiO as a wide band gap  
50 support for harvesting hot holes from Cu nanoparticles to allow the accumulation of hot electrons  
51 on the metal surface to drive CO<sub>2</sub> reduction with plasmonic Cu/p-NiO photocathodes. The  
52 collection of hot holes from the Cu nanoparticles via injection to the p-NiO support also removes  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the requirements for sacrificial reagents commonly employed in plasmon-induced photochemical  
4 reactions. The Cu/p-type semiconductor Schottky junction design therefore represents a path  
5 forward for the realization of plasmon-driven photocathodes capable of harnessing surface  
6 plasmon excitations to steer the selectivity of Cu surfaces for photoelectrochemical CO<sub>2</sub>  
7 reduction in aqueous media. We observed that plasmon excitation of the Cu nanoparticles  
8 modulates the chemical selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction products, increasing CO evolution and  
9 HCOO<sup>-</sup> production while simultaneously suppressing H<sub>2</sub> evolution. Several possible reaction  
10 mechanisms are proposed to account for the observed influence of light on the selectivity of  
11 photoelectrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. Although a conclusive assignment of the reaction  
12 mechanism requires *in operando* spectroscopy to observe the molecular details of the reaction  
13 occurring on the plasmonic photocathode, we speculate that plasmon-induced hot electrons likely  
14 play a key role in altering the selectivity of the reaction. Overall, our photoelectrochemical  
15 results illustrate a promising strategy towards optically manipulating the catalytic selectivity of  
16 Cu surfaces for CO<sub>2</sub> conversion.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

## 43 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### 44 45 46 **Supporting Information.**

47 The following files are available free of charge.

48 Detailed experimental methods, optical properties of bare p-NiO films and Cu nanoparticles,  
49 cyclic voltammograms of bare p-NiO photocathodes, materials characterization of p-NiO films,  
50 electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction with bare p-NiO photocathodes, XPS characterization of  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 photocathodes after electrocatalytic testing, measurements of solution temperature during  
4  
5 photoelectrocatalysis (PDF).  
6  
7

## 8 9 AUTHOR INFORMATION

### 10 11 **Corresponding Author**

12  
13  
14 \*Email: haa@caltech.edu  
15  
16

### 17 18 ORCID

19  
20 Joseph S. DuChene: 0000-0002-7145-323X

21  
22 Wen-Hui Cheng: 0000-0003-3233-4606

23  
24 Harry A. Atwater: 0000-0001-9435-0201  
25  
26

### 27 28 **Author Contributions**

29  
30 J.S.D. and H.A.A. conceived the idea, designed the experiments, and wrote the manuscript.

31  
32 J.S.D. performed all photoelectrochemical experiments with assistance from A.J.W. and X.L.

33  
34 J.S.D., G.T., A.J.W., and X.L. fabricated and characterized devices. W.-H.C. performed optical  
35  
36 characterization of materials and assisted with calibration and maintenance of gas  
37  
38 chromatography equipment. H.A.A. supervised the project. All authors have given approval to  
39  
40 the final version of the manuscript.  
41  
42  
43

### 44 45 **Notes**

46  
47  
48 The authors declare no competing financial interests.  
49

### 50 51 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

52  
53 This material is based upon work performed by the Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, a  
54  
55 DOE Energy Innovation Hub, supported through the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Energy under Award No. DE-SC0004993. G.T. acknowledges support from the Swiss National  
4 Science Foundation through the Early Postdoc Mobility Fellowship, grant n. P2EZP2\_159101  
5 and the Advanced Mobility Fellowship, grant n. P300P2\_171417. A.J.W. acknowledges support  
6 from the Resnick Sustainability Institute at the California Institute of Technology and the  
7 National Science Foundation (NSF) Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Base Award  
8 No. 1745301. We thank Professor Brian McCloskey for sharing the design of the  
9 photoelectrochemical cell for temperature-controlled CO<sub>2</sub> reduction experiments. We also thank  
10 Dr. Matthias Richter for XPS characterization of p-type NiO and Cu/p-NiO films, which was  
11 performed at the Molecular Materials Research Center in the Beckman Institute of the California  
12 Institute of Technology.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

## 27 REFERENCES

- 28  
29 (1) Montoya, J. H.; Seitz, L. C.; Chakthranont, P.; Vojvodic, A.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Nørskov, J.  
30 K. Materials for Solar Fuels and Chemicals. *Nat. Mater.* **2017**, *16*, 70-81.  
31  
32 (2) Nitopi, S.; Bertheussen, E.; Scott, S. B.; Liu, X.; Engstfeld, A. K.; Horch, S.; Seger, B.;  
33 Stephens, I. E. L.; Chan, K.; Hahn, C.; Nørskov, J. K.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Chorkendorff, I.  
34 Progress and Perspectives of Electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction on Copper in Aqueous  
35 Electrolyte. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 7610-7672.  
36  
37 (3) Birdja, Y. Y.; Pérez-Gallent, E.; Figueiredo, M. C.; Göttle, A. J.; Calle-Vallejo, F.; Koper,  
38 M. T. M. Advances and Challenges in Understanding the Electrocatalytic Conversion of  
39 Carbon Dioxide to Fuels. *Nat. Energy* **2019**, *4*, 732-745.  
40  
41 (4) Todorova, T. K.; Schreiber, M. W.; Fontecave, M. Mechanistic Understanding of  
42 CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Reaction (CO<sub>2</sub>RR) Toward Multicarbon Products by Heterogeneous  
43 Copper-Based Catalysts. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*, 1754-1768.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (5) Xu, S.; Carter, E. A. Theoretical Insights into Heterogeneous (Photo)electrochemical  
4  
5 CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 6631-6669.  
6  
7  
8 (6) White, J. L.; Baruch, M. F.; Pander III, J. E.; Hu, Y.; Fortmeyer, I. C.; Park, J. E.; Zhang,  
9  
10 T.; Liao, K.; Gu, J.; Yan, Y.; Shaw, T. W.; Ebelev, E.; Bocarsly, A. B. Light-Driven  
11  
12 Heterogeneous Reduction of Carbon Dioxide: Photocatalysts and Photoelectrodes. *Chem.*  
13  
14 *Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 12888-12935.  
15  
16  
17 (7) Liu, M.; Pang, Y.; Zhang, B.; De Luna, P.; Voznyy, O.; Xu, J.; Zheng, X.; Dinh, C.-T.;  
18  
19 Fan, F.; Cao, C.; García de Arquer, F. P.; Safaei, T. S.; Mepham, A.; Klinkova, A.;  
20  
21 Kumacheva, E.; Filleter, T.; Sinton, D.; Kelley, S. O.; Sargent, E. H. Enhanced  
22  
23 Electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction via Field-Induced Reagent Concentration. *Nature* **2016**,  
24  
25 *537*, 382-386.  
26  
27  
28 (8) Safaei, T. S.; Mepham, A.; Zheng, X.; Pang, Y.; Dinh, C.-T.; Liu, M.; Sinton, D.; Kelley,  
29  
30 S. O.; Sargent, E. H. High-Density Nanosharp Microstructures Enable Efficient  
31  
32 CO<sub>2</sub> Electroreduction. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 7224-7228.  
33  
34  
35 (9) De Luna, P.; Quintero-Bermudez, R.; Dinh, C.-T.; Ross, M. B.; Bushuyev, O. S.;  
36  
37 Todorovic, P.; Regier, T.; Kelly, S. O.; Yang, P.; Sargent, E. H. Catalyst Electro-  
38  
39 Redeposition Controls Morphology and Oxidation State for Selective Carbon Dioxide  
40  
41 Reduction. *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 103-110.  
42  
43  
44 (10) Kim, D.; Resasco, J.; Yu, Y.; Asiri, A. M.; Yang, P. Synergistic Geometric and  
45  
46 Electronic Effects for Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide Using Gold–Copper  
47  
48 Bimetallic Nanoparticles. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4948.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (11) Kim, D.; Xie, C.; Becknell, N.; Yu, Y.; Karamad, M.; Chan, K.; Crumin, E. J.; Nørskov,  
4 J. K.; Yang, P. Electrochemical Activation of CO<sub>2</sub> through Atomic Ordering  
5 Transformations of AuCu Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 8329-8336.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (12) Hahn, C.; Hatsukade, T.; Kim, Y.-G.; Vailionis, A.; Baricuatro, J. H.; Higgins, D. C.;  
11 Nitopi, S. A.; Soriaga, M. P.; Jaramillo, T. F. Engineering Cu Surfaces for the  
12 Electrocatalytic Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub>: Controlling Selectivity Toward Oxygenates and  
13 Hydrocarbons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2017**, *114*, 5918-5923.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 (13) Lu, Q.; Rosen, J.; Zhou, Y.; Hutchings, G. S.; Kimmel, Y. C.; Chen, J. G.; Jiao, F. A  
20 Selective and Efficient Electrocatalyst for Carbon Dioxide Reduction. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**,  
21 *5*, 3242.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 (14) Zhu, W.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Zhang, H.; Lv, H.; Li, Q.; Michalsky, R.; Peterson, A. A.; Sun, S.  
27 Active and Selective Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO on Ultrathin Au Nanowires. *J. Am. Chem.*  
28 *Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 16132-16135.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 (15) Zhu, W.; Michalsky, R.; Metin, Ö.; Lv, H.; Guo, S.; Wright, C. J.; Sun, X.; Peterson, A.  
34 A.; Sun, S. Monodisperse Au Nanoparticles for Selective Electrocatalytic Reduction of  
35 CO<sub>2</sub> to CO. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16833-16836.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 (16) Mariano, R. G.; McKelvey, K.; White, H. S.; Kanan, M. W. Selective Increase in  
41 CO<sub>2</sub> Electroreduction Activity at Grain-Boundary Surface Terminations. *Science* **2017**,  
42 *358*, 1187-1192.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 (17) Feng, X.; Jiang, K.; Fan, S.; Kanan, M. W. A Direct Grain-Boundary-Activity  
48 Correlation for CO Electroreduction on Cu Nanoparticles. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2016**, *2*, 169-  
49 174.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (18) Feng, X.; Jiang, K.; Fan, S.; Kanan, M. W. Grain-Boundary-Dependent  
4  
5 CO<sub>2</sub> Electroreduction Activity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4606-4609.  
6  
7 (19) Hall, A. S.; Yoon, Y.; Wuttig, A.; Surendranath, Y. Mesostructure-Induced Selectivity in  
8  
9 CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Catalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 14834-14837.  
10  
11 (20) Yoon, Y.; Hall, A. S.; Surendranath, Y. Tuning of Silver Catalyst Mesostructure  
12  
13 Promotes Selective Carbon Dioxide Conversion into Fuels. *Angew. Chem. Int Ed.* **2016**,  
14  
15 *55*, 15282-15286.  
16  
17 (21) Welch, A. J.; DuChene, J. S.; Tagliabue, G.; Davoyan, A.; Cheng, W.-H.; Atwater, H. A.  
18  
19 Nanoporous Gold as a Highly Selective and Active Carbon Dioxide Reduction Catalyst.  
20  
21 *ACS Appl. Energy Lett.* **2019**, *2*, 164-170.  
22  
23 (22) Cao, Z.; Kim, D.; Hong, D.; Yu, Y.; Xu, J.; Lin, S.; Wen, X.; Nichols, E. M.; Jeong, K.;  
24  
25 Reimer, J. A.; Yang, P.; Chang, C. J. A Molecular Surface Functionalization Approach to  
26  
27 Tuning Nanoparticle Electrocatalysts for Carbon Dioxide Reduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*  
28  
29 **2016**, *138*, 8120-8125.  
30  
31 (23) Han, Z.; Kortlever, R.; Chen, H.-Y.; Peters, J. C.; Agapie, T. CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Selective for  
32  
33 C<sub>≥2</sub> Products on Polycrystalline Copper with N-Substituted Pyridinium Additives. *ACS*  
34  
35 *Cent. Sci.* **2017**, *3*, 853-859.  
36  
37 (24) Rosen, B. A.; Salehi-Khojin, A.; Thorson, M. R.; Zhu, W.; Whipple, D. T.; Kenis, P. J.  
38  
39 A.; Masel, R. I. Ionic Liquid-Mediated Selective Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO at Low  
40  
41 Overpotentials. *Science* **2011**, *334*, 643-644.  
42  
43 (25) Asadi, M. Ki, K.; Liu, C.; Addepalli, A. V.; Abbasi, P.; Yasaei, P.; Phillips, P.;  
44  
45 Behranginia, A.; Cerrato, J. M.; Haasch, R.; Zapol, P.; Kumar, B.; Klie, R. F.; Abiade, J.;  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Curtiss, L. A.; Salehi-Khojin, A. Nanostructured Transition Metal Dichalcogenide  
4  
5 Electrochemicals for CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction in Ionic Liquid. *Science* **2016**, *353*, 467-470.  
6  
7  
8 (26) Yu, S.; Wilson, A. J.; Kumari, G.; Zhang, X.; Jain, P. K. Opportunities and Challenges of  
9  
10 Solar-Energy-Driven Carbon Dioxide to Fuel Conversion with Plasmonic Catalysts. *ACS*  
11  
12 *Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 2058-2070.  
13  
14  
15 (27) Creel, E. B.; Corson, E. R.; Eichhorn, J.; Kostecki, R.; Urban, J. J.; McCloskey, B. D.  
16  
17 Directing Selectivity of Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Reduction Using Plasmonics.  
18  
19 *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 1098-1105.  
20  
21  
22 (28) DuChene, J. S.; Tagliabue, G.; Welch, A. J.; Cheng, W.-H.; Atwater, H. A. Hot Hole  
23  
24 Collection and Photoelectrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction with Plasmonic Au/p-GaN  
25  
26 Photocathodes. *Nano Lett.* **2018**, *18*, 2545-2550.  
27  
28  
29 (29) Zhang, X.; Li, X.; Zhang, D.; Su, N. Q.; Yang, W.; Everitt, H. O.; Liu, J. Product  
30  
31 Selectivity in Plasmonic Photocatalysis for Carbon Dioxide Hydrogenation. *Nat. Commun.*  
32  
33 **2017**, *8*, 14542.  
34  
35  
36 (30) Yu, S.; Jain, P. K. Plasmonic Photosynthesis of C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> Hydrocarbons from Carbon  
37  
38 Dioxide Assisted by an Ionic Liquid. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 2022.  
39  
40  
41 (31) Yu, S.; Wilson, A. J.; Heo, J.; Jain, P. K. Plasmonic Control of Multi-Electron Transfer  
42  
43 and C-C Coupling in Visible-Light-Driven CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction on Au Nanoparticles. *Nano*  
44  
45 *Lett.* **2018**, *18*, 2189-2194.  
46  
47  
48 (32) Yu, S.; Jain, P. K. Selective Branching of Plasmonic Photosynthesis into Hydrocarbon  
49  
50 Production and Hydrogen Generation. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 2295-2300.  
51  
52  
53 (33) Robatjazi, H.; Zhao, H.; Swearer, D. F.; Hogan, N. J.; Zhou, L.; Alabastri, A.; McClain,  
54  
55 M. J.; Nordlander, P.; Halas, N. J. Plasmon-Induced Selective Carbon Dioxide Conversion  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 on Earth-Abundant Aluminum-Cuprous Oxide Antenna-Reactor Nanoparticles. *Nat.*  
4  
5 *Commun.* **2017**, 8, 27.  
6  
7  
8 (34) Linic, S.; Christopher, P.; Ingram, D. B. Plasmonic-Metal Nanostructures for Efficient  
9  
10 Conversion of Solar to Chemical Energy. *Nat. Mater.* **2011**, 10, 911-921.  
11  
12 (35) Brongersma, M. L.; Halas, N. J.; Nordlander, P. Plasmon-Induced Hot Carrier Science  
13  
14 and Technology. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, 10, 25-34.  
15  
16 (36) Christopher, P.; Moskovits, M. Hot Charge Carrier Transmission from Plasmonic  
17  
18 Nanostructures. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2017**, 68, 379-398.  
19  
20 (37) Linic, S.; Aslam, U.; Boerigter, C.; Morabito, M. Photochemical Transformations on  
21  
22 Plasmonic Metal Nanoparticles. *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, 14, 567-576.  
23  
24  
25 (38) Hartland, G. V.; Besteiro, L. V.; Johns, P.; Govorov, A. O. What's so Hot about  
26  
27 Electrons in Metal Nanoparticles? *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, 2, 1641-1653.  
28  
29  
30 (39) Zhang, Y.; He, S.; Guo, W.; Huang, J.; Mulcahy, J. R.; Wei, W. D. Surface-Plasmon-  
31  
32 Driven Hot Electron Photochemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, 118, 2927-2954.  
33  
34  
35 (40) Knight, M. W.; Sobhani, H.; Nordlander, P.; Halas, N. J. Photodetection with Active  
36  
37 Optical Antennas. *Science* **2011**, 332, 702-704.  
38  
39  
40 (41) Zheng, B. Y.; Zhao, H.; Manjavacas, A.; McClain, M.; Nordlander, P.; Halas, N. J.  
41  
42 Distinguishing Between Plasmon-Induced and Photoexcited Carriers in a Device  
43  
44 Geometry. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, 6, 7797.  
45  
46  
47 (42) Li, W.; Valentine, J. Metamaterial Perfect Absorber Based Hot Electron Photodetection.  
48  
49 *Nano Lett.* **2014**, 14, 3510-3514.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (43) Tagliabue, G.; Jermyn, A. S.; Sundararaman, R.; Welch, A. J.; DuChene, J. S.; Pala, R.;  
4 Davoyan, A. R.; Narang, P.; Atwater, H. A. Quantifying the Role of Surface Plasmon  
5 Excitation and Hot Carrier Transport in Plasmonic Devices. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3394.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (44) Mubeen, S.; Lee, J.; Singh, N.; Kramer, S.; Stucky, G. D.; Moskovits, M. An  
11 Autonomous Photosynthetic Device in Which All Charge Carriers Derive from Surface  
12 Plasmons. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2013**, *8*, 247-251.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 (45) Mubeen, S.; Lee, J.; Liu, D.; Stucky, G. D.; Moskovits, M. Panchromatic  
18 Photoproduction of H<sub>2</sub> with Surface Plasmons. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 2132-2136.  
19  
20  
21  
22 (46) Tian, Y.; Tatsuma, T. Mechanisms and Applications of Plasmon-Induced Charge  
23 Separation at TiO<sub>2</sub> Films Loaded with Gold Nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*,  
24 7632-7637.  
25  
26  
27  
28 (47) DuChene, J. S.; Sweeny, B. C.; Johnston-Peck, A. C.; Su, D.; Stach, E. A.; Wei, W. D.  
29 Prolonged Hot Electron Dynamics in Plasmonic-Metal/Semiconductor Heterostructures  
30 with Implications for Solar Photocatalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7887-7891.  
31  
32  
33  
34 (48) Pu, Y.-C.; Wang, G.; Chang, K.-D.; Ling, Y.; Lin, Y.-K.; Fitzmorris, B. C.; Liu, C.-M.;  
35 Lu, X.; Tong, Y.; Zhang, J. Z.; Hsu, Y.-J.; Li, Y. Au Nanostructure-Decorated TiO<sub>2</sub>  
36 Nanowires Exhibiting Photoactivity Across Entire UV-visible Region for  
37 Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 3817-3823.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42 (49) Qian, K.; Sweeny, B. C.; Johnston-Peck, A. C.; Niu, W.; Graham, J. O.; DuChene, D. J.;  
43 Qiu, J.; Wang, Y.-C.; Engelhard, M. E.; Su, D.; Stach, E. A.; Wei, W. D. Surface Plasmon-  
44 Driven Water Reduction: Gold Nanoparticle Size Matters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*,  
45 9842-9845.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (50) Schlather, A. E.; Manjavacas, A.; Lauchner, A.; Marangoni, V. S.; DeSantis, C. J.;  
4 Nordlander, P.; Halas, N. J. Hot Hole Photoelectrochemistry on Au@SiO<sub>2</sub>@Au  
5 Nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 2060-2067.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 (51) Zhao, J.; Nguyen, S. C.; Ye, R.; Ye, B.; Weller, H.; Somorjai, G. A.; Alivisatos, A. P.;  
11 Toste, F. D. A Comparison of Photocatalytic Activities of Gold Nanoparticles Following  
12 Plasmonic and Interband Excitation and a Strategy for Harnessing Interband Hot Carriers  
13 for Solution Phase Photocatalysis. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2017**, *3*, 482-488.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 (52) Al-Zubeidi, A.; Hoener, B. S.; Collins, S. S. E.; Wang, W.; Kirchner, S. R.; Jebeli, S. A.  
20 H.; Joplin, A.; Chang, W.-S.; Link, S.; Landes, C. F. Hot Holes Assist Plasmonic  
21 Nanoelectrode Dissolution. *Nano Lett.* **2019**, *19*, 1301-1306.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 (53) Yu, W.; Wijesekara, K. D.; Xi, X.; Willets, K. A. Quantifying Wavelength-Dependent  
27 Plasmonic Hot Carrier Energy Distributions at Metal/Semiconductor Interfaces. *ACS Nano*  
28 **2019**, *13*, 3629-3637.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 (54) Pensa, E.; Garguilo, J.; Laurier, A.; Schlucker, S.; Cortes, A.; Maier, S. A. Spectral  
34 Screening of the Energy of Hot Holes over a Particle Plasmon Resonance. *Nano Lett.* **2019**,  
35 *19*, 1867-1874.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 (55) Matsui, T.; Li, Y.; Hsu, M.-H. M.; Merckling, C.; Oulton, R. F.; Cohen, L. F.; Maier, S.  
41 A. Highly Stable Plasmon Induced Hot Hole Transfer into Silicon via a SrTiO<sub>3</sub> Passivation  
42 Interface. *Adv. Fun. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, 1705829.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 (56) Güsken, N. A.; Lauri, A. Li, Y.; Matsui, T.; Doiron, B.; Bower, R.; Regoutz, A.; Mihai,  
48 A.; Petrov, P. K.; Oulton, R. F.; Cohen, L. F.; Maier, S. A. TiO<sub>2-x</sub>-Enhanced IR Hot Carrier  
49 Based Photodetection in Metal Thin Film-Si Junctions. *ACS Photon.* **2019**, *6*, 953-960.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 (57) Tanzid, M.; Ahmadvand, A.; Zhang, R.; Cerjan, B.; Sobhani, A.; Yazdi, S.; Nordlander,  
4 P.; Halas, N. J. Combining Plasmonic Hot Carrier Generation with Free Carrier Absorption  
5 for High-Performance Near-Infrared Silicon-Based Photodetection. *ACS Photon.* **2018**, *5*,  
6 3472-3477.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13 (58) Cai, Y.-Y.; Collings, S. S. E.; Gallagher, M. J.; Bhattacharjee, U.; Zhang, R.; Chow, T.  
14 H.; Ahmadvand, A.; Ostovar, B.; Al-Zubeidi, A.; Wang, J.; Nordlander, P.; Landes, C. F.;  
15 Link, S. Single-Particle Emission Spectroscopy Resolves d-Hole Relaxation in Copper  
16 Nanocubes. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 2458-2465.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 (59) He, J.; Lindström, E.; Hagfeldt, A.; Lindquist, S.-E. Dye-Sensitized Nanostructured p-  
24 Type Nickel Oxide Film as a Photocathode for a Solar Cell. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1999**, *103*,  
25 8940-8943.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 (60) Irwin, M. D.; Buchholz, D. B.; Hains, A. W.; Chang, R. P. H.; Marks, T. J.  
31 *p*-Type Semiconducting Nickel Oxide as an Efficiency-Enhancing Anode Interfacial Layer  
32 in Polymer Bulk-Heterojunction Solar Cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2008**, *105*, 2783-2787.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37 (61) Seo, S.; Park, I. J.; Kim, M.; Lee, S.; B. C.; Jung, H. S.; Park, N.-G.; Kim, J. Y.; Shin, H.  
38 An Ultra-Thin, Un-Doped NiO Hole Transporting Layer of Highly Efficient (16.4%)  
39 Organic–Inorganic Hybrid Perovskite Solar Cells. *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8*, 11403.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44 (62) Kamata, R.; Kumagai, H.; Yamazaki, Y.; Sahara, G.; Ishitani, O. Photoelectrochemical  
45 CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Using a Ru(II)-Re(I) Supramolecular Photocatalyst Connected to a Vinyl  
46 Polymer on a NiO Electrode. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 5632-5641.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 (63) Thimsen, E.; Martinson, A. B. F.; Elam, J. W.; Pellin, M. J. Energy Levels, Electronic  
52 Properties, and Rectification in Ultrathin p-NiO Films Synthesized by Atomic Layer  
53 Deposition. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 16830-16840.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (64) Guatam, G. S.; Senftle, T. P.; Alidoust, N.; Carter, E. A. Novel Solar Cell Materials:  
4  
5 Insights from First-Principles. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *122*, 27107-27126.  
6  
7  
8 (65) Robotjazi, H.; Bahauddin, S. M.; Doiron, C.; Thomann, I. Direct Plasmon-Driven  
9  
10 Photoelectrocatalysis. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 6155-6161.  
11  
12 (66) Nakamura, K.; Oshikiri, T.; Ueno, K.; Wang, Y.; Kamta, Y.; Kotake, Y.; Misawa, H.  
13  
14 Plasmon-Enhanced Photocurrent Generation and Water Oxidation with a Gold Nanoisland-  
15  
16 Loaded Titanium Dioxide Photoelectrode. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *7*, 1004-1009.  
17  
18 (67) Kao, K.-C.; Kuroiwa, Y.; Nishi, H.; Tatsuma, T. Hydrogen Evolution from Water Based  
19  
20 on Plasmon-Induced Charge Separation at a TiO<sub>2</sub>/Au/NiO/Pt System. *Phys. Chem. Chem.*  
21  
22 *Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 31429-31435.  
23  
24  
25 (68) Anderson, P. A. The Work Function of Copper. *Phys. Rev.* **1949**, *76*, 388-390.  
26  
27  
28 (69) Dabera, G. D. M. R.; Walker, M.; Sanchez, A. M.; Pereira, H. J.; Beanland, R.; Hatton,  
29  
30 R. A. Retarding Oxidation of Copper Nanoparticles Without Electrical Isolation and the  
31  
32 Size Dependence of Work Function. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 1894.  
33  
34  
35 (70) Méndez-Medrano, M. G.; Kowalska, E.; Lehoux, A.; Herrisan, A.; Othani, B.; Bahena,  
36  
37 D.; Briois, V.; Colbeau-Justin, C.; Rodríguez-López, J. L.; Remita, H. Surface  
38  
39 Modification of TiO<sub>2</sub> with Ag Nanoparticles and CuO Nanoclusters for Application in  
40  
41 Photocatalysis. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 5143-5154.  
42  
43  
44 (71) Yu, J.; Zhuang, S.; Xu, X.; Zhu, W.; Feng, B.; Hu, J. Photogenerated Electron Reservoir  
45  
46 in Hetero-p-n CuO-ZnO Nanocomposite Device for Visible-Light-Driven Photocatalytic  
47  
48 Reduction of Aqueous Cr(VI). *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 1199-1207.  
49  
50  
51 (72) Scott, S. B.; Hogg, T. V.; Landers, A. T.; Maagaard, T.; Bertheussen, E.; Lin, J. C.;  
52  
53 Davis, R. C.; Beeman, J. W.; Higgins, D.; Drisdell, W. S.; Hahn, C.; Mehta, A.; Seger, B.;  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- Jaramillo, T. F.; Chorkendorff, I. Absence of Oxidized Phases in Cu under CO Reduction Conditions. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 803-804.
- (73) Corson, E. R.; Creel, E. B.; Kim, Y.; Urban, J. J.; Kostecki, R.; McCloskey, B. D. A Temperature-Controlled Photoelectrochemical Cell for Quantitative Product Analysis. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2018**, *89*, 055112.
- (74) Mukherjee, S.; Zhou, L.; Goodman, A. M.; Large, N.; Ayala-Orozco, C.; Zhang, Y.; Nordlander, P.; Halas, N. J. Hot-Electron-Induced Dissociation of H<sub>2</sub> on Gold Nanoparticles Supported on SiO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 64-67.
- (75) Zhou, L.; Zhang, C.; McClain, M. J.; Manjavacas, A.; Krauter, C. M.; Shu, T.; Berg, F.; Everitt, H. O.; Carter, E. A.; Nordlander, P.; Halas, N. J. Aluminum Nanocrystals as a Plasmonic Photocatalyst for Hydrogen Dissociation. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 1478-1484.
- (76) Zhang, C.; Zhao, H.; Zhou, L.; Schlather, A. E.; Dong, L.; McClain, M. J.; Swearer, D. F.; Nordlander, P.; Halas, N. J. Al–Pd Nanodisk Heterodimers as Antenna–Reactor Photocatalysts. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 6677-6682.
- (77) Nørskov, J. K.; Abild-Pedersen, F.; Studt, F.; Bligaard, T. Density Functional Theory in Surface Chemistry and Catalysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2011**, *108*, 937-943.
- (78) Hammer, B.; Nørskov, J. K. Theoretical Surface Science and Catalysis—Calculations and Concepts. *Adv. Catal.* **2000**, *45*, 71-129.
- (79) Sundararaman, R.; Narang, P.; Jermyn, A. S.; Goddard III, W. A.; Atwater, H. A. Theoretical Predictions for Hot-Carrier Generation from Surface Plasmon Decay. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5788.

- 1  
2  
3 (80) Brown, A. M.; Sundararaman, R.; Narang, P.; Goddard III, W. A.; Atwater, H. A.  
4  
5 Nonradiative Plasmon Decay and Hot Carrier Dynamics: Effects of Phonons, Surfaces, and  
6  
7 Geometry. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 957-966.  
8  
9
- 10 (81) Wuttig, A.; Yaguchi, M.; Motobayashi, K.; Osawa, M.; Surendranath, Y. Inhibited  
11  
12 Proton Transfer Enhances Au-Catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub>-to-Fuels Selectivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*  
13  
14 **2016**, *113*, E4585-E4593.  
15  
16
- 17 (82) Christopher, P.; Xin, H.; Marimuthu, A.; Linic, S. Singular Characteristics and Unique  
18  
19 Chemical Bond Activation Mechanisms of Photocatalytic Reactions on Plasmonic  
20  
21 Nanostructures. *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, *11*, 1044-1050.  
22  
23
- 24 (83) Boerigter, C.; Campana, R.; Morabito, M.; Linic, S. Evidence and Implications of Direct  
25  
26 Charge Excitation as the Dominant Mechanism in Plasmon-Mediated Photocatalysis. *Nat.*  
27  
28 *Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 10545.  
29  
30
- 31 (84) Boerigter, C.; Aslam, U.; Linic, S. Mechanism of Charge Transfer from Plasmonic  
32  
33 Nanostructures to Chemically Attached Materials. *ACS Nano*, **2016**, *10*, 6108-6115.  
34  
35
- 36 (85) Rao, V. G.; Aslam, U.; Linic, S. Chemical Requirement for Extracting Energetic Charge  
37  
38 Carriers from Plasmonic Metal Nanoparticles to Perform Electron-Transfer Reactions. *J.*  
39  
40 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 643-647.  
41  
42
- 43 (86) Aslam, U.; Rao, V. G.; Chavez, S.; Linic, S. Catalytic Conversion of Solar to Chemical  
44  
45 Energy on Plasmonic Metal Nanostructures. *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1*, 656-665.  
46  
47
- 48 (87) Wu, K.; Chen, J.; McBride, J. R.; Lian, T. Efficient Hot-Electron Transfer by a Plasmon-  
49  
50 Induced Interfacial Charge-Transfer Transition. *Science* **2015**, *349*, 632-635.  
51  
52
- 53 (88) Tan, S. Argondizzo, A.; Ren, J.; Liu, L.; Zhao, J.; Petek, H. Plasmonic Coupling at a  
54  
55 Metal/Semiconductor Interface. *Nat. Photon.* **2017**, *11*, 806-812.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (89) Kumar, P. V.; Rossi, T. P.; Marti-Dafcik, D; Reichmuth, D.; Kuisma, M.; Erhart, P.;  
4  
5 Puska, M. J.; Norris, D. J. Plasmon-Induced Direct Hot-Carrier Transfer at Metal–Acceptor  
6  
7 Interfaces. *ACS Nano* **2019**, *13*, 3188-3195.  
8  
9  
10 (90) Zhang, Y.; Nelson, T.; Tretiak, S.; Guo, H.; Schatz, G. C. Plasmonic Hot-Carrier-  
11  
12 Mediated Tunable Photochemical Reactions. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 8415-8422.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

Table of Contents Image

