REVIEW

Molecular evolution of gland cell types and chemical interactions in animals

Adrian Brückner* and Joseph Parker*

ABSTRACT

Across the Metazoa, the emergence of new ecological interactions has been enabled by the repeated evolution of exocrine glands. Specialized glands have arisen recurrently and with great frequency, even in single genera or species, transforming how animals interact with their environment through trophic resource exploitation, pheromonal communication, chemical defense and parental care. The widespread convergent evolution of animal glands implies that exocrine secretory cells are a hotspot of metazoan cell type innovation. Each evolutionary origin of a novel gland involves a process of 'gland cell type assembly': the stitching together of unique biosynthesis pathways; coordinated changes in secretory systems to enable efficient chemical release; and transcriptional deployment of these machineries into cells constituting the gland. This molecular evolutionary process influences what types of compound a given species is capable of secreting, and, consequently, the kinds of ecological interactions that species can display. Here, we discuss what is known about the evolutionary assembly of gland cell types and propose a framework for how it may happen. We posit the existence of 'terminal selector' transcription factors that program gland function via regulatory recruitment of biosynthetic enzymes and secretory proteins. We suggest ancestral enzymes are initially co-opted into the novel gland, fostering pleiotropic conflict that drives enzyme duplication. This process has yielded the observed pattern of modular, gland-specific biosynthesis pathways optimized for manufacturing specific secretions. We anticipate that single-cell technologies and gene editing methods applicable in diverse species will transform the study of animal chemical interactions, revealing how gland cell types are assembled and functionally configured at a molecular level.

KEY WORDS: Cell type evolution, Exocrine glands, Chemical ecology, Single-cell biology, Terminal selectors, Gene duplication

Introduction

Molecular biology may, in fact, increasingly shape the questions that are asked in chemical ecology. How do given signal molecules arise in the course of evolution?

Eisner and Meinwald, 1995

For the majority of organisms, adaptation to new ecological frontiers has been achieved via innovations in chemical secretion (Eisner, 2003; Raguso et al., 2015). The ability to synthesize and secrete small molecules, metabolites and proteins enables organisms to influence their surroundings, and is an adaptive solution to many environmental challenges, including detoxification, desiccation avoidance, adhesion,

*Authors for correspondence (bruckner@caltech.edu; joep@caltech.edu)

D A.B., 0000-0002-9184-8562; J.P., 0000-0001-9598-2454

reproduction and antimicrobial protection (Betz, 2010; Blomquist and Bagnères, 2010; Brunetti et al., 2018; Feyereisen, 2012; Wyatt, 2014b). In the Metazoa, evolutionary changes in chemical production have been instrumental to the emergence of interactions both within and between species, with behaviors as diverse as chemical defense, pheromonal communication and parental care relying on transmission of information or resources embedded in chemical secretions (Berenbaum, 1995; Blum, 1981; Eisner et al., 2005; Kumar et al., 2014; Leonhardt et al., 2016; Steiger and Stökl, 2018; Thiel et al., 2019).

The discipline of chemical ecology addresses how relationships among organisms - be they animals and plants, predators and prey, or symbiotic - are mediated by chemical compounds (Berenbaum, 1995; Hay, 2009; Raguso et al., 2015). From its emergence approximately 60 years ago, chemical ecology has been an interdisciplinary field, using analytical chemistry to elucidate the structures of compounds, and combining it with ecological and behavioral studies to understand how those compounds influence community interactions (Eisner, 2003; Eisner and Meinwald, 1995; Hartmann, 2008; Meinwald and Eisner, 2008). Beyond this principal goal, chemical ecology has facilitated the discovery of thousands of natural products from all domains of life, and has been fundamental to explaining how many such compounds are synthesized (http://www.pherobase.com; Morgan, 2010; Symonds and Elgar, 2008; Walsh and Tang, 2017; Wyatt, 2014a). Yet, despite the success of the discipline in exposing the chemical basis of ecological interactions, there is a level of understanding at which knowledge remains fragmentary. This is the genetic and cellular realm, where the molecular mechanisms that manufacture the compounds of interest remain largely enigmatic (Raguso et al., 2015; Tittiger, 2004). In many species, routes of metabolite processing may be known or readily inferred (Morgan, 2010; Symonds and Elgar, 2008; Walsh and Tang, 2017), but the biosynthetic enzymes performing the stepwise covalent modifications are typically much more obscure. Poorer still is an understanding of the mechanisms of chemical release from cells: the identities of molecular components regulating the subcellular trafficking and secretion of chemical signals are unknown for the majority of gland cell types. Comparably little is also known about the specification and differentiation of gland cells - the upstream transcriptional processes that trigger expression of this enigmatic battery of biosynthetic and secretory proteins during development, and maintain it during a gland's lifetime. In molecular terms, what makes a cell a gland cell remains a mystery in modern biology, despite the centrality of glands and chemical secretions to most multicellular living systems.

Here, we argue that evolution of the molecular processes underlying chemical production must surely shape how and why specific compounds are employed by different species. It follows that understanding the molecular architecture of gland cells, and the evolutionary assembly of their biosynthetic and secretory capabilities, is indispensable for explaining why chemically mediated interactions between organisms have taken on the diverse <u>Experimental Biology</u>

6

Journal

🛛 CORE

brought to you by

Biologists

Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA.

forms that we observe. Knowledge of the mechanisms governing compound biosynthesis and secretion is an essential counterpart to the comparatively well-studied olfactory, gustatory and nociceptive mechanisms by which such compounds are perceived (Baer and Mayer, 2012; Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011). We propose a molecular evolutionary framework for how gland cell types and their chemistries might evolve, and emphasize the utility of a molecular perspective in explaining patterns of chemical usage in the Metazoa. We predict that the field of chemical ecology is set to be transformed through advances in single-cell sequencing and functional gene manipulation that are employable in diverse animal species. By incorporating such approaches, chemical ecology is poised to uncover molecular forces shaping the ecology and evolution of biological interactions.

Convergent evolution of animal gland diversity

In animals, and in many cases in fungi and plants, chemical interactions with the outside world are driven by the underlying evolution of exocrine glands (Downing, 1991; Leonhardt et al.,

2016; Symonds and Elgar, 2008). Here, we define exocrine glands as any structure – from a single cell or epithelial patch to a complex multicellular organ - that is specialized for the secretion of a compound (or compounds) to the external environment (Billen, 1991; Locke, 1969; Noirot and Quennedey, 1974; Simpson, 2012; Tortora and Derrickson, 2017). The spectrum of exocrine gland anatomical and functional diversity is vast, with chemical secretions playing critical roles in all metazoan phyla (see Box 1 and Fig. 1). This impressive variety, coupled with the sheer range of chemical secretions that animals are collectively capable of producing, makes it difficult to argue that exocrine glands in general are homologous across metazoans. In contrast to the deep conservation of many body parts within phyla, such as hearts or eyes or limbs (Davidson and Erwin, 2006; Land and Fernald, 1992; Shubin et al., 1997), exocrine glands are a highly homoplastic category of organs – a 'dumping ground' for secretory structures grouped together largely by function rather than by evolutionary relatedness. The phylogenetic distribution of any one type of gland is usually highly restricted

Box 1. A snapshot of animal gland diversity

It is impossible to capture the true spectrum of animal exocrine glands, such is their recurrent evolution and varied roles in organismal biology. Even early-diverging animals, which are devoid of neurons and complex organ systems, nevertheless possess glands. In sponges, rhabdiferous cells produce a mucous cloak, composed of glycosaminoglycans that likely safeguard the animal from toxins and pathogens (Simpson, 2012). Gland cells are seemingly also indispensable in placozoans, despite members of this phylum possessing the smallest number of cell types. In *Trichoplax adhaerens*, gland cells occur in the ventral epithelium, and are potentially neurosecretory-like in function, expressing a FMRFamide family peptide (Smith et al., 2015, 2014). Metazoan exocrine glands are typically specialized secretory structures with adaptive functions that fall into three general categories: physiology, communication and defense, although some glands fall into two or even three of these categories. Some examples below provide a small snapshot of animal gland diversity.

Organismal physiology and life history: Unique glands with distinct chemistries have evolved in different metazoan lineages for physiological homeostasis, exemplified by mammalian sebaceous glands (Fig. 1O) and insect oenocytes (Fig. 1L), the latter being responsible for waterproofing the exoskeleton with cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) that also function as pheromones (Blomquist and Bagnères, 2010; Chung and Carroll, 2015; Folk and Semken, 1991). Glands with analogous functions have likewise evolved in multiple phyla for feeding and digestion, including the salivary glands of arthropods and vertebrates (Fig. 1I, J), as well as vertebrate mucus-producing glands (Fig. 1K,P). Specialized glands with adhesive or fibrous secretions have also evolved multiple times, for cocoon, web and nest construction in diverse phyla (Fig. 1C; e.g. Bland and House, 1971; Jakobsson et al., 1999; Jin and Kaplan, 2003; Nation, 2015; Rudall and Kenchington, 1971; Tucker, 2007).

Chemical communication and social behavior: It is perhaps in the context of interactions with other animals where metazoan gland diversification has been most explosive. Diverse aspects of social behavior, ranging from lactation in mammals, spiders and tsetse flies (Attardo et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2018; Neville, 2013) to pheromonal signaling in potentially the majority of species (Leonhardt et al., 2016; Vander Meer et al., 1998; Wilson, 1965) rely on exocrine secretions. In addition to their oenocytes, many insect species emit highly volatile attractants from dedicated sex pheromone glands (e.g. Kittredge and Takahashi, 1972; Roelofs, 1995; Symonds and Elgar, 2008; Wyatt, 2014a), whereas eusocial insect groups show expanded numbers of novel exocrine glands that secrete signals to enforce social cohesion and collective behavior (Fig. 1H). (Conte and Hefetz, 2008; Leonhardt et al., 2016; Vander Meer et al., 1998). The significance of exocrine glands for evolving complex social life in insects is manifest in diverse lineages that have adapted to live symbiotically inside ant and termite colonies. Dozens of socially parasitic clades of rove beetles (Staphylinidae) and clown beetles (Histeridae) have

evolved unique thoracic and abdominal glands, producing uncharacterized 'appeasement' compounds for host behavioral manipulation (Fig. 1E,F) (Kistner, 1979; Parker, 2016; Parker and Grimaldi, 2014; Pasteels, 1969; Zhou et al., 2019). Exocrine signals play equivalently critical and diverse roles in vertebrate social behaviors (Fig. 1B) (Dulac and Torello, 2003). Male newt cloacal glands secrete a peptide female attractant (Kikuyama et al., 1995), while male rabbits establish territories with secretions from chin glands (Mykytowycz, 1962). In rodents, the male preputial gland influences oestrus induction (Ma et al., 1999).

Chemical defense: The variety of aversive, toxin-producing glands, which have evolved for anti-predator defense or for prey capture, is equally striking (Eisner et al., 2005; Fry et al., 2009). In cnidarians, ectodermal gland cells exist in many species that release potent neurotoxins - a possible ancestral mechanism of venom delivery that may predate the origin of nematocysts (Jouiaei et al., 2015; Moran et al., 2011). Small-molecule- or peptidesecreting cells have independently evolved to fuel the venom glands of aculeate Hymenoptera, scorpions, stingrays and the crural glands of male duck-billed platypus (Calvete, 2013; Derby, 2014; Eisner et al., 2005; Hoffman, 2010). In spiders, snakes, Heloderma lizards and a handful of insectivorous mammals, venoms appear to be derived from modified salivary gland cells (Fry et al., 2009, 2006; King and Hardy, 2013; Ligabue-Braun et al., 2012). The jaws of some marine polychaete worms connect to venom glands that release a cocktail of membrane-disrupting toxins, neurotoxins and protease inhibitors that overcome macroscopic prev (von Reumont et al., 2014), while velvet worms (Onychophora) use adhesive, protein-based slime secretions ejected by unique cephalic glands within the oral papillae to capture prey and for defense (Fig. 1C; Baer and Mayer, 2012; Benkendorff et al., 1999). In mollusks, the hypobranchial gland is tasked with mucus production, and is further modified in cephalopods to produce defensive ink (Benkendorff, 2010; Morton, 1977; Roseghini et al., 1996). Sea stars and brittle stars (Echinodermata) possess various exocrine glands that secrete mucopolysaccharide mucus, but also alarmand escape-eliciting pheromones (Buchanan, 1963; McClintock and Baker, 2013). Turbellarian platyhelminths produce curious 'epitheliosomes' granular or filamentous structures released from epithelial cells, which may repel predators (Tyler, 1984; Whittington and Cribb, 2001). Defensive glands that release volatile compounds have also arisen an inordinate number of times, separately in almost two dozen families of beetles (Fig. 1N; Dettner, 1987: Francke and Dettner, 2005) as well as in hemipteran bugs. cockroaches, lepidopterans, myriapods, mites (Fig. 1G), harvestman and others (Blum, 1981; Eisner et al., 2005; Raspotnig, 2010; Raspotnig et al., 2017; Shear, 2015). In rove beetles (Staphylinidae), distinct abdominal defense glands have evolved in at least five different subfamilies (Fig. 1M; Dettner, 1993; Francke and Dettner, 2005), collectively producing a cache of noxious hydrocarbons, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, acids, esters, iridoids, quinones and terpenes.



Fig. 1. Diversity of animal exocrine glands. (A) Wood ant (*Formica* sp.) worker spraying formic acid. (B) Male crested gecko (*Correlophus ciliates*) femoral pore releasing sex and territorial pheromones. (C) Orb-weaving spider (*Araneus* sp.) secreting silk from opisthosomal gland. (D) Velvet worm (*Euperipatoides rowelli*), ejecting glue-like polymer to capture prey. (E) Myrmecophile histerid beetle (*Chlamydopsis* sp.), with elytral trichomes secreting unidentified 'appeasement' compounds for host ant. (F) Confocal image of abdominal trichomes of myrmecophile *Diartiger* rove beetle. (G) Scanning electron microscope image of oribatid mite (*Hermaniella* sp.) defensive oil gland. (H) Surface rendering of cephalic organs of leafcutter ant (*Atta vollenweideri*); red, brain; blue, post-pharyngeal gland; yellow, pharynx; orange, optical nerve; lilac, alarm pheromone-producing mandibular gland. (I) Saliva-producing canine submaxillary gland. (J) Human salivary gland. (K) Human mucus-producing saccular gland. (L) CHC-producing oenocyte of small tortoiseshell butterfly (*Aglais urticae*). (M) Confocal image of staphylinine rove beetle defensive gland. (N) Confocal image of pygidial gland secretory lobes of ground beetle *Harpalus pensylvanicus*. (O) Human sebaceous gland tissue section stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin. (P) Paraffin section of lumen of axolotl (*Ambystoma mexicanum*) mucus gland, stained with Movat's pentachrome. Image credits: David Miller (A,B); Hans Braxmeier and Simon Steinberger (C); Alexander Bär (D); Nick Porch (E). Joseph Parker (F,M); Adrian Brückner, Günther Raspotnig and Edith Stabentheiner (G); Marco Smolla and Christoph Kleineidam (H); Roy Winkelman (I,K); A. C. Hollande, 1914 (L); Adam Rork (N); Paul Rigby (O); Thomas Lozito (P).

(Box 1). In many cases in arthropods for example, glands and their respective chemistries are often family specific, genus specific or even species specific (e.g. Parker, 2016; Raspotnig et al., 2017; Rodriguez et al., 2018; Roelofs and Rooney, 2003; Symonds and Elgar, 2008). Although certain glands are conserved across large, ancient taxonomic groups – mammary glands and insect oenocytes being examples (Blomquist and Bagnères, 2010; Chung and Carroll, 2015; Makki et al., 2014; Oftedal, 2002) – such examples are few, and are dwarfed by the myriad unique exocrine glands common only to specific taxa of lower rank (Blum, 1996; http://

www.pherobase.com). Moreover, even highly conserved glands can be subject to repeated loss, as evidenced by the absence of mammalian sebaceous glands in cetaceans, hippos, elephants and naked mole rats (Lopes-Marques et al., 2019).

A corollary of exocrine gland convergence is that the secretory cells that constitute animal glands are themselves convergent, being gained and lost as animals chemically adapt to new ecological circumstances. A consequence of this dynamic pattern of gland birth and death is that perhaps no other category of animal cell type exhibits such extensive evolutionary turnover and lineage-specific

innovation. The ultimate cause of this hotspot of cellular novelty is obvious: there is a clear adaptive advantage of evolving new chemical secretions. Yet, there is likely another, proximate reason. Glandular properties may be relatively mechanistically facile to impart onto cells, rendering their convergent evolution especially likely. In their seminal paper on insect exocrine glands, Noirot and Quennedey (1974) defined three major gland cell classes (Fig. 2). It is clear that these types are not confined to insects but occur much more generally throughout the Metazoa (Müller et al., 2014; Raspotnig et al., 2003; Requena and Sangüeza, 2017; Tucker, 2007). Noirot and Quennedey (1974)'s class 1 gland cells are simply epidermal cells that have gained pronounced biosynthetic and secretory activities. Such cells are continuous with the surrounding epidermis, sometimes appearing as patches of tissue, often sculpted into a reservoir into which the class 1 cells secrete (Fig. 2B). Lepidopteran pheromone glands, scent glands of harvestmen, oil glands of oribatid and astigmatid mites, as well as the wax glands of certain hemipterans are of the class 1 type (Clawson, 1988; Raspotnig et al., 2003; Staddon, 1979; Steinbrecht, 1964). Class 1 gland cells underscore the basic mechanistic simplicity of gland evolution: through the transformation of regions of pre-existing epithelium into secretory patches or reservoirs, new glands can arise. The two remaining classes of exocrine cells identified by Noirot and Quennedey (1974) are similarly prone to convergence. Class 2 cells are comparable to class 1, although not continuous with the epidermis. Insect oenocytes belong to this class: developmentally, oenocytes delaminate from the ectoderm during embryogenesis (Lawrence and Johnston, 1982), organizing into clusters of cells beneath the epidermis (Fig. 2B), where they secrete cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) (Blomquist and Bagnères, 2010; Chung and Carroll, 2015).

Class 3 gland cells are more anatomically complex, forming bicellular units composed of a secretory cell and a duct cell (Noirot and Quennedey, 1974). An 'end apparatus' of porous cuticle and microvilli connects the two cells (Fig. 2B). Despite this more sophisticated anatomy, a large number of non-homologous glands are composed of cells that fit into the class 3 category, spanning the majority of insect orders and other arthropods, including myriapods and crustaceans (e.g. Bacchus, 1979; Billen, 2009; Bin and Vinson, 1986; Giglio et al., 2005; Goettler et al., 2007; Hipeau-Jacquotte, 1987; Hölldobler et al., 2018; Liang and Schal, 1993; Quennedey et al., 2002; Rork et al., 2019; Rosenberg, 1983; Steidle and Dettner, 1993). Some exocrine mammalian glands are likewise composed of glandular units with class 3 morphology, including the biosynthetic acinar and secretory duct cells of sebaceous glands, salivary glands and mammary glands (e.g. Hamilton and Montagna, 1950; Hand et al., 1999; Hassiotou and Geddes, 2013; Hovey et al., 2002; Jenkinson et al., 1985; Richert et al., 2000; Smith and Thiboutot, 2008; Tucker, 2007). It seems likely that this general anatomy of a biosynthetic bulb cell joined to a secretory duct has sufficiently straightforward mechanistic origins to have evolved many times. Indeed, in mammalian salivary and mammary glands, a shared molecular pathway controls their branching morphogenesis (Varner and Nelson, 2014).

Whereas glands can be composed of a single, principal secretory cell type, complexity in chemical secretions can often come from combining different gland cell types together in a biosynthetic division of labor. For example, there are multiple instances in which complex glands have evolved from class 3 cells arranged around a central reservoir formed from an invagination of class 1 cells, with the class 1 and 3 cell types secreting different compounds into the reservoir. The defensive gland of aleocharine rove beetles (Staphylinidae) is a model example (Jordan, 1913; Parker et al., 2018 preprint; Steidle and Dettner, 1993) (Fig. 2A,B). Here, cells comprising the intersegmental membrane between two abdominal segments invaginate to form a reservoir inside the dorsal abdomen. The invaginated cells differentiate into class 1 secretory units that produce fatty acid derivatives that fill the reservoir. These function as a solvent for noxious benzoquinones secreted from class 3 glands situated directly posterior, via ducts feeding into the class 1 reservoir (Fig. 2B). It is intuitive how the evolution of developmental patterning could juxtapose different gland cell types to create such



Fig. 2. Animal gland cell types exemplified in the rove beetle abdomen. (A) Aleocharine rove beetle in defensive posture with positions of class 1, 2 and 3 gland cells. Class 2 cells are represented by oeonocytes (blue), which are segmentally repeated. Class 1 cells are represented by the defensive reservoir (red), created by an invagination of intersegmental membrane between abdominal segments 6 and 7. Class 3 gland units reside in segment 7, with a biosynthetic bulb and secretory duct that feeds into the reservoir. (B) Oenocytes synthesize long-chain cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) onto the cuticle for waterproofing and pheromonal communication. Class 1 cells produce fatty acid-based compounds that can include short chain hydrocarbons, aldehydes and fatty acid esters. Class 3 cells synthesize benzoquinones which dissolve in the fatty acid derivatives inside the reservoir, yielding the final defensive secretion.

chemical synergism. The mechanistic challenge, however, is to explain how the gland cell types themselves were assembled at a molecular level in the first place during evolution. Clearly, natural selection has propelled this process in inordinate contexts, producing a great wellspring of metazoan cell type novelty. But for no single instance in any animal species have we inferred the molecular succession of events that led to the evolution of a novel gland cell type. A missing part of almost every chemical ecology story is the assembly of the molecular machinery that produces the compounds of interest.

The molecular architecture of gland cell types

Each evolutionary origin of a novel exocrine gland involves the creation of new cell types bestowed with the capacity to synthesize and secrete specific compounds. This process - the molecular assembly of gland cell types – is a pervasive and recurring evolutionary phenomenon, but we have only a vague understanding of how glandular machinery is pieced together during evolution. Are new gland cell types assembled from newly-evolved gene products, or from pre-existing molecular components that have been co-opted? How does expression of this machinery come under transcriptional control so that novel gland cell identities can be specified during development? And what molecular constraints exist on how new glands are assembled, and the kinds of chemicals they are able to secrete? In what follows, we discuss what is known about the evolution of biosynthetic pathways in animal exocrine glands and the extent of knowledge of their secretory mechanisms. Our focus is largely on small-molecule-secreting glands where most mysteries remain. We emphasize emergent patterns and possible constraints in the molecular evolution of biosynthesis and secretion, as well as problematic gaps in our understanding. Based on our observations, we propose a model for how new gland cell types are assembled during evolution.

Biosynthetic pathway assembly in gland cell type evolution

There is an ultimate biosynthetic constraint on the evolution of glandular chemistry, and this stems from the simple fact that all biomolecules are necessarily linked to the chemistry of the primary metabolism (Fischbach and Clardy, 2007; Walsh and Tang, 2017; Wink, 2003). Parent molecules of any secretion are universally limited to amino acids (proteins), fatty acids (lipids), carbohydrates (mono- and polysaccharides), nucleotides (DNA/RNA) and the products of primary metabolism of these compound classes. Chemical secretions can be primary metabolites themselves (Eisner et al., 2005; http://www.pherobase.com; Morgan, 2010), but far more commonly they are specialized secondary metabolites (Morgan, 2010; Walsh and Tang, 2017). However, their limited primary metabolic origins mean there are only seven different 'logics' that yield the chemical diversity we know, namely: non-ribosomal and ribosomal peptides (Warner and McIntosh, 2009), polyketide/ fatty acid synthase products (Pankewitz and Hilker, 2008), glycosides and saccharides (Gleadow and Woodrow, 2002), nucleosides (Croteau et al., 2000), isoprenoids/terpenes (Breitmaier, 2006), alkaloids (Pelletier, 1983) and phenylpropanoids (Korkina, 2007). All natural products, whether primary or secondary metabolites, are members of one of these broad classes, or a combination thereof (Croteau et al., 2000; Walsh and Tang, 2017). Organisms are thus restricted to building novel biosynthetic assembly lines around a limited pool of precursors.

The secretions of many glands are non-protein products and so must be synthesized enzymatically. The enzymatic steps that convert a metabolite into a secreted compound are commonly carried out inside the gland cell itself. How does a new set of enzymes evolve and become assembled into a pathway capable of executing a novel biosynthetic function? Pathway evolution has been studied extensively with regard to the ancient derivation of secondary from primary metabolism (Alves et al., 2002; Fan et al., 2017; Jensen, 1976; Khersonsky and Tawfik, 2010). Models have been proposed for how a small number of ancient, primordial enzymes with low efficiency but broad specificity evolved into highly specialized metabolic enzymes, eventually giving rise to modern biochemical diversity (Alves et al., 2002; Andersson et al., 2015; Beran et al., 2019; Carbonell et al., 2011; Conant and Wolfe, 2008; Downing, 1991; Fischbach and Clardy, 2007; Jensen, 1976; Roelofs and Rooney, 2003). However, comparably few studies have explicitly addressed how new enzyme pathways emerge in the context of gland cell type evolution.

In principle, there are three possible evolutionary scenarios underlying the assembly of a new pathway: enzyme co-option, enzyme duplication and de novo enzyme evolution. Enzyme cooption posits that new pathways are assembled via recruitment of pre-existing enzymes into the novel cell type (True and Carroll, 2002). For example, partial recruitment of an ancient pathway could occur, involving loss of one or more enzymes that changes the pathway's biosynthetic output in the new cell type (Fig. 3A,B). In contrast, enzyme duplication holds that during the course of gland cell type evolution, new pathways evolve via duplication of ancestral enzyme-encoding loci, followed by functional divergence of paralogues with restricted expression in the novel cell type (Fig. 3C) (Lynch and Conery, 2000; Ohno, 1970). A final possibility is that wholly new classes of enzyme might evolve via gene fusion or fission, or via de novo gene generation from noncoding DNA (Tautz and Domazet-Lošo, 2011).

Inasmuch as it is possible to ascertain a pattern, published data points to gene duplication as the major driving force in the assembly of new pathways in novel gland cell types. Most enzymes known to mediate metabolic steps within animal glands appear to have dedicated roles in those glands, but nevertheless belong to larger gene families. A clear example is the task-specific involvement of unique cytochrome P450 enzymes (CYPs) to mediate key oxidation steps in diverse glands. CYPs are heme-thiolate proteins found in all living organisms and constitute one the largest enzyme families (Feyereisen, 1999, 2012). CYPs are usually monooxygenases, catalyzing the transfer of oxygen to a substrate with a non-activated C-H bond while reducing other redox partners (mostly NADPH+H⁺) to water. In insect oenocytes, a cell-type-specific CYP (CYP4G1) carries out terminal decarbonylation of long-chain aldehydes into the resultant alkane CHCs (e.g. Chen et al., 2016; Qiu et al., 2012; Reed et al., 1994). Specific CYPs function in the midgut and fat body of bark beetles, converting plant monoterpenes into aggregation pheromones (Chiu et al., 2018, 2019; Huber et al., 2007; Nadeau et al., 2017; Sandstrom et al., 2008, 2006). In locusts, a tissue-specific CYP transforms phenylalanine into a precursor for cyanide production (Wei et al., 2019), and in the fall webworm, Hyphantria cunea, another pheromone gland-specific CYP catalyzes the epoxidation of open chain precursors of cis-9,10-epoxy-(3Z,6Z)-3,6-henicosadiene and cis-9,10-epoxy-(3Z, 6Z)-1,3,6-henicosatriene to their final cyclic forms (Rong et al., 2014). In human skin, a specialized CYP converts cholesterol into pregnenolone (Smith and Thiboutot, 2008; Thiboutot et al., 2003).

Many other enzyme families show comparable gland cell type specificity, underscoring what appears to be a general principle, that enzyme duplication is a key step in gland cell assembly. The



Fig. 3. Models of biosynthetic pathway evolution in novel gland cell types. (A) Ancestral tissue with an exocrine gland composed of a single secretory cell type (blue) expressing three enzymes: A, B and C. The enzymes convert a precursor (black) into a secreted product (blue). Enzyme expression is controlled by a single terminal selector transcription factor (TS; blue) that binds to blue enhancers to activate transcription. (B) Co-option model. A novel gland cell type (yellow) evolves within the gland. Two enzymes, A and C, are co-opted into the new cell type via novel enhancers (yellow) for a terminal selector (yellow) that controls differentiation of the new cell type. Without an intermediate biosynthetic step mediated by enzyme B, a new secreted product (yellow compound) is made by the new gland cell type. (C) Duplication model. A novel gland cell type (red) evolves within the gland. Two enzymes are recruited into the novel cell type via a terminal selector (red). Enzyme duplicates diverge from their paralogues to carry out novel biosynthetic steps, converting the black precursor compound into a red secreted product.

fatty-acyl-CoA reductase (FACR) enzyme family is another example. Fatty acids are used as precursors for compounds with diverse roles in chemical communication. They are produced by primary metabolism of sugars and converted into aldehydes or alcohols by FACRs. A dedicated oenocyte FACR converts fattyacyl-CoA into an aldehyde precursor for insect CHCs (Cinnamon et al., 2016; Finet et al., 2019; Jaspers et al., 2014). Species-specific FACRs are expressed in female moth sex pheromone glands, where they produce fatty alcohols for conversion into acetate pheromones (Carot-Sans et al., 2015; Hagström et al., 2013; Lassance et al., 2010; Liénard et al., 2010; Moto et al., 2003). In bumble bees, the high diversity of male sex pheromones (so-called 'male marking pheromones') has been linked to extensive duplication and divergence of FACRs expressed in labial glands (Tupec et al., 2019). In honey bee workers, FACRs are expressed in different body regions, controlling synthesis of C18-C32 alcohols that likely function as nestmate pheromones (Teerawanichpan et al., 2010). FACRs have been convergently recruited into mammalian

epidermal glands: they are enriched in sebaceous glands, as well as eyelid meibomian glands where they control fatty alcohol synthesis required for wax monoesters (Cheng and Russell, 2004).

The repeated involvement of CYPs and FACRs adds weight to a further idea: that duplication within specific enzyme families is especially likely for certain biosynthetic steps. Numerous examples support this notion. In *Phaedon* leaf beetles (Chrysomelidae), larval defensive glands express a glucose-methanol-choline oxidoreductase (GMCO) that oxidizes an alcohol to make 8-oxogeranial, a precursor for the iridoid chrysomelidial (Rahfeld et al., 2014). In related *Chrysomela* leaf beetles, this pathway has been evolutionarily lost. Remarkably, however, an independent GMCO duplication has occurred, yielding a salicyl alcohol oxidase. This GMCO is now expressed in the larval defense gland instead, where it carries out an analogous step to its more ancient, distant GMCO paralog: oxidizing host-plant-derived salicyl alcohol into defensive salicylaldehyde (Kirsch et al., 2011). In insect oenocytes, fatty acid elongases (Elovls) have been recruited to extend fatty acid chain length during CHC

synthesis (Combs et al., 2018; Wicker-Thomas and Chertemps, 2010; Wicker-Thomas et al., 2015, 2009). In Drosophila, a male-specific Elovl, Bond, is expressed in the ejaculatory bulb where it controls chain elongation in sex pheromone biosynthesis (Ng et al., 2015). Elongases are found across the Metazoa (Castro et al., 2016; Guillou et al., 2010): in mammals, these enzymes have been independently recruited in sebaceous glands and hepatocytes (Carmona-Antoñanzas et al., 2013) for long-chain sphingolipid synthesis. Like the CYP, FACR and ElovI families, desaturase enzymes that insert double bounds into fatty acids have duplicated recurrently to yield glandspecific enzymes (Buček et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2009; Liénard et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2004; Roelofs et al., 2002; Roelofs and Rooney, 2003; Sakai et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2010). Terpene synthases (TPSs) are another large enzyme class, synthesizing the vast diversity of terpenoids (Breitmaier, 2006; Degenhardt et al., 2009). Speciesspecific TPSs have been characterized in plants, and duplicated paralogs likely underlie the diversity of animal terpenes (Beran et al., 2019; Christianson, 2017).

Compared to the wealth of examples of duplication, cases of enzyme co-option, or *de novo* enzyme evolution, are rarer. To our knowledge, no glandular biosynthesis pathway is known to have been entirely assembled from co-opted or de novo-evolved enzymes. However, examples do exist of unique, terminal pathway enzymes that appear to have evolved de novo to exploit the products of another pathway or food source, transforming it into a signal for communication or defense. For example, certain millipedes and butterflies transform aliphatic and aromatic hydroxynitriles - either synthesized from amino acids or sequestered from the diet - into hydrogen cyanide (HCN) (Shear, 2015; Zagrobelny et al., 2008, 2018). HCN liberation is catalyzed by a highly specific enzyme, (S)-hydroxynitrile lyase, which functions solely for this purpose (Dadashipour et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2005; Yamaguchi et al., 2018). The challenges of identifying and characterizing new classes of enzyme may lead to an underestimate of the prevalence of examples of de novo enzyme evolution. It nonetheless seems evident that, in contrast to such cases, enzyme duplication appears to be the modus operandi, yielding biosynthesis pathways that are gland cell type-specific, and dedicated to manufacturing particular compounds.

The importance of duplication may also hold true for glands that secrete proteins or peptides. In these cases, biosynthesis is transcriptional and translational, without enzyme catalysis necessarily involved. Protein venoms have been widely studied as models of gene family evolution (Fry et al., 2009, 2006; Wong and Belov, 2012), and evidence from venom glands of diverse species including snakes, spiders, cone snails and centipedes indicates that gene duplication is the prevailing mechanism for evolving novel protein secretions (Casewell et al., 2011; Dowell et al., 2016; Ellsworth et al., 2019; Fry et al., 2009, 2006; Wong and Belov, 2012). Further support comes from spider silk glands, where largescale duplication of *spidroin* genes, which encode primary silk proteins, enabled evolution of specialized silk types with different material properties (Clarke et al., 2015; Vienneau-Hathaway et al., 2017). Counter to this trend, almost half the venom proteins in Nasonia wasps are single-copy genes with normal roles in wasp physiology that have been co-opted into the venom gland (Martinson et al., 2017). Co-opted loci vary across Nasonia species not through gene birth and death, but via increased or reduced expression in the gland. One potential reason for this exception is that parasitoid venoms trigger a systemic change in host physiology, capable of supporting development of the wasp's larvae. Co-opted venom genes may ordinarily control wasp metabolism, but can also function as an envenomating cassette capable of inducing host physiological stasis.

We note that there remain several 'known unknowns' in animal biosynthesis, namely, chemical processes that are not encoded in the genome. One such factor is diet, which can modulate available precursor compounds (Brückner et al., 2018; Huth et al., 1993; Whitman et al., 1992). Another is non-enzymatic reactivity, which occurs spontaneously as a result of physicochemical properties of molecules. Examples are the intramolecular formation of Schiff bases, and the intra- and intermolecular Mannich reactions in alkaloid biosynthesis (Dewick, 2002; Leete, 1967; Schramm et al., 2019). A further phenomenon is the presence or absence of bacterial symbionts that may mediate reactions either inside the gland or elsewhere by modifying precursors (Becerra et al., 2015; Brucker et al., 2008; Florez et al., 2015; Piel, 2002; Piel et al., 2004). Unfortunately, little is known about the interplay between these factors and the genomic changes underlying gland evolution.

Secretory system assembly

The essential counterpart to evolving a chemical biosynthesis pathway (or novel secreted proteins) is the installation of a highcapacity secretory apparatus. Secretory mechanisms are poorly characterized for virtually all animal gland cell types with the exception of protein-secreting glands, which we discuss briefly in Box 2. For small-molecule-producing gland cell types, evidence indicates a high degree of idiosyncrasy in the secretory machinery, although its functional significance is unclear. A key question is how secretory systems are specialized for efficient transport and release of the corresponding compound. In salivary glands, a complex system of intramembrane channels and transporters governs fluid and electrolyte release (Roussa, 2011). Like several other mammalian exocrine glands, salivary glands comprise terminal 'acinar' cells with biosynthetic capacity, linked to duct cells that conduct the acinar secretions. A diversity of ion channels. ATPase transporters and water-permeable aquaporin channels releases isotonic saliva from acinar cells, which is further modified by ionic flux through duct cell channels to create the final, hypotonic saliva (Roussa, 2011). A further example of specialized transport occurs in insect oenocytes. Large amounts of CHCs are present in hemolymph lipoproteins termed lipophorins, which take up hydrocarbons from oenocytes and transport them to the cuticle. At least in lepidopterans, this process seems to be highly selective: lipophorins target long-chain hydrocarbons to the cuticle, whereas shorter-chained compounds are targeted to abdominal glands for use as pheromone precursors (Fan et al., 2002; Gu et al., 1995; Matsuoka et al., 2006; Schal et al., 1998a,b). It is unclear how hydrocarbons move from lipophorins to their sites of secretion. In Drosophila, lipid-shuttling lipophorins bind to low density lipoprotein receptors (LDLRs) expressed on recipient tissues, causing lipids to be unloaded for use in metabolism (Parra-Peralbo and Culi, 2011). LDLRs on epidermal or pheromone gland cells may similarly bind hydrocarbon-shuttling lipophorins dispatched from oenocytes. Even if so, final secretion of the hydrocarbon by the target cells is not understood in molecular detail.

Although small-molecule secretory mechanisms have been acutely understudied, it is likely that active transport of such compounds out of cells occurs. Active secretion rather than simple diffusion is likely needed to circumvent cytotoxicity arising from small-molecule accumulation. Active transport may also counter the lipophilic affinity of many small molecules, redirecting them from lipid bilayers (Widhalm et al., 2015). What these transport

Box 2. Protein secretion and the unfolded protein response

Many animal cell types, including 'non-gland' cells, possess the capacity for protein secretion, and a conserved molecular and organellar pathway exists for routing translated proteins out of the cell. The classical secretory route transports nascent polypeptides via the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) where they are folded and post-translationally modified prior to trafficking through the Golgi, where further modifications occur. The mature protein is then secreted from the cell via constitutive or regulated exocytosis (Kelly, 1985). Additional, unconventional routes for protein secretion have also been discovered (Rabouille, 2017). Discussion of these widely studied secretory mechanisms is beyond the scope of this article. However, dedicated protein-producing gland cells have an exceptionally high secretory load relative to most cells. Consequently, during the evolutionary assembly of novel proteinsecreting gland cell types, supporting mechanisms must be put in place to accommodate mass protein trafficking. One key mechanism is the unfolded protein response (UPR): a quality control system that ensures reliable folding of proteins in the ER (Hetz, 2012). The UPR is triggered by the ER intramembrane protein IRE1, which binds misfolded proteins. Detection of misfolding causes IRE1 to cleave transcripts of X box-binding protein 1 (xbp1), yielding an mRNA encoding the XBP1 transcription factor. On translation, XBP1 enters the nucleus to drive expression of chaperones that promote protein folding in the ER, as well as others that degrade misfolded ER proteins. XBP1 also promotes phospholipid synthesis to further expand the ER, raising the ceiling for high-output protein secretion (Hetz, 2012). Multiple mammalian proteinsecreting cell types, including salivary and mammary gland cells, fail to fully differentiate in xbp1 mutant animals (Hasegawa et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2005). These defects likely stem from a breakdown in the UPR, causing loss of feedback between the secretory needs of the cell and its ability to expand to full secretory function (Hetz, 2012). In Drosophila, xbp1 expression similarly marks cell types with high protein secretory load (Ryoo et al., 2013). XBP1 and the UPR may thus constitute a conserved component of the protein-secreting gland cell tool kit of metazoans.

mechanisms might be and how they are tailored to accommodate high levels of specific compounds are largely mysterious, but one protein family that may have a recurring involvement is the adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette (ABC) transporters. ABC transporter are conserved across all domains of life, playing important roles in small-molecule movement across membranes. Their functionality depends on transmembrane domains that bind substrates, implying potential specificity for the types of compounds they transport (Dermauw and Van Leeuwen, 2014). Evidence from Tribolium flour beetles (Broehan et al., 2013), locusts (Yu et al., 2017) and Drosophila (Zuber et al., 2018) indicates that one ABC transporter subfamily H member, ABCH9C, is needed for secretion of lipids onto the insect cuticle (potentially these 'lipids' are CHCs, although compound identities were not examined in these studies). In insecticide-resistant Anopheles gambiae mosquitos, increased CHC deposition occurs onto the legs, correlated with increased expression of an ABCH transporter (Balabanidou et al., 2019). A study in plants recently demonstrated that ABC transporters also provide a critical secretion mechanism for highly volatile compounds, such as flower fragrances (Adebesin et al., 2017). The same may be true in animals. Indeed, in a pioneering study of the *Tribolium* defensive gland, Li et al. (2013) knocked down an ABC transporter subfamily C protein via RNA interference. This manipulation reduced benzoquinone levels in the defensive gland reservoir, implying a role in secretion or trafficking of volatile compounds or their precursors.

A molecular evolutionary model of gland cell assembly

By what process do novel biosynthetic pathways and secretory systems come to be coordinately assembled within the same cell type - during both development and evolution? We propose a framework for gland cell-type assembly that borrows from the wellsupported model of neuronal subtype differentiation. In this model, differentiation of neuronal classes, each with distinct neurotransmitter chemistries, is contingent on different 'terminal selector' transcription factors (Flames and Hobert, 2009; Hobert, 2008, 2016). These are master regulatory proteins (Mann and Carroll, 2002; Whyte et al., 2013) expressed during terminal differentiation, which govern expression of batteries of loci that define the functional properties of neurons. The targets of terminal selectors include genes encoding synthesis, synaptic secretion and reception of specific neurotransmitters, as well as ion channels and components of the cytoskeleton and extracellular matrix. Adapting this model to animal exocrine glands, we propose that differentiation of gland cell types is likewise controlled by glandular or biosynthetic terminal selectors (Fig. 3). These transcription factors coordinate expression of both the enzymatic pathways and secretory machinery that confer glandular functionality on naïve cells (Arendt, 2008; Arendt et al., 2016). We assume that additional aspects of the differentiated state of gland cells, such as cellular anatomy and intercellular adhesion, are likewise under control of the same putative terminal selector. The analogous logic behind the differentiation of neurons and gland cells may be more than coincidental: both cell types exhibit pronounced biosynthetic and secretory capabilities, and moreover, cell lineage studies in early-branching animal phyla imply that neurons may be evolutionarily derived from an ancestral secretory gland cell type (Babonis et al., 2018). Neurons may thus represent a specialized and highly derived class of gland cell.

What evidence exists for gland terminal selectors? The transcriptional differentiation of gland cell identity has not, in general, been deeply investigated, and this is especially so for smallmolecule-secreting glands. The strongest support for the terminal selector paradigm comes from intensively studied mammalian exocrine glands. A clear example is the differentiation of the exocrine pancreas, where the identity and function of secretory acinar cell types has been shown to depend on the pancreas transcription factor 1-L complex (PTF1-L). PTF1-L is a trimeric protein complex that directly activates numerous targets throughout the genome, including digestive enzymes, secretory proteinencoding genes and unfolded protein response loci (Hoang et al., 2016). PTF1-L both confers acinar cell fate and suppresses induction of alternative cell fates; it subsequently maintains acinar cell biosynthesis and secretory homeostasis. Such a transcriptional regulator fits an idealized notion of a gland terminal selector: a global switch that 'programs' biosynthesis and secretion by coordinating expression of batteries of effector loci throughout the lifetime of the gland. A further example is the control of milk production by alveolar cells in the mammary gland, where two transcription factors appear to function in parallel as terminal selectors: Stat5, which controls expression of milk proteins (Liu et al., 1995, 1997) and SREBP, which controls synthesis and secretion of milk lipids (Anderson et al., 2007).

We propose that the widespread, convergent evolution of animal glands depends on analogous terminal selectors in different gland cell types, recruiting novel biosynthetic pathways and secretory components (Fig. 3B,C). The identities of these transcription factors must surely differ between non-homologous glands, but they share the same role of installing the molecular toolkit for glandular

Box 3. Illuminating gland cell type assembly through single-cell biology

Transcriptome sequencing (RNAseq) of biosynthetically active glandular tissue has been used relatively successfully to identify putative genes involved in compound biosynthesis or glandular function (Bourguignon et al., 2015; Buček et al., 2016, 2015; Li et al., 2013; Nakaoka et al., 2017; Rork and Renner, 2018; Vogel et al., 2010). However, one caveat with canonical RNAseq is that 'bulk' sequencing of whole gland structures provides only a global view of the transcriptome at the organ level, with no resolution of the transcriptional states of different cells within the gland (e.g. Eberwine et al., 2014; Jaitin et al., 2014). This is particularly problematic for multicellular glands, which are frequently composed of distinct gland cell types (Noirot and Quennedey, 1974), each of which may manufacture a different compound. Glandular tissue may also be enmeshed with other cell types (Fig. 4A), including non-secretory cells that could be serving ancillary roles. In these instances, isolating the gland cell types of interest may be too technically challenging to yield sufficient tissue for RNAseq.

The advent of single-cell sequencing technologies circumvents this issue. Similar to bulk RNAseq, single-cell RNAseq (scRNAseq) harnesses next-generation sequencing (NGS) to transcriptionally sample a tissue (Kulkarni et al., 2019; Stuart and Satija, 2019). However, the tissue is first separated into individual cells. There are two general approaches - dropletbased and target cell-based - both of which are of potential use in the study of complex glands. Droplet-based methods (Fig. 4A; e.g. inDrop, Drop-seq, 10x Genomics Chromium) employ enzymatic dissociation to digest the tissue into a cell suspension. Droplet microfluidics then separates the suspension into individual cells, each inside an oil droplet. Cells are lyzed independently, and transcripts are tagged with oligonucleotide cell barcodes and unique molecular markers (UMIs) prior to sequencing (Fig. 4A). This approach can be used to transcriptionally profile large tissue sections (e.g. whole segments of small insects that contain glands of interest), and so can be used to characterize glandular tissue as well as associated non-gland tissue. One current limitation is that droplet-based scRNAseq usually does not recover all transcripts present in a single cell; a relatively small fraction may typically be sequenced. It may therefore not be the method of choice if the gland cells of interest are at low abundance in a given tissue. A further limitation is that microfluidic separation tends to constrain the size distribution of sequenceable cells. Larger cells, such as some big secretory type cells, may exceed the current size limit. Neither of these limitations apply to target cell-based scRNAseq methods. These methods, which include SMART-seq/SMART-seq2 and CATS (capture and

function. For the vast majority of gland cell types, these terminal selectors remain hypothetical and their identities mysterious. In Drosophila, certain developmentally regulated transcription factors are known to be required for the formation of exocrine gland cell types. For example, the Hox protein Abdominal A, the compartment-specifying Engrailed, and the protein Spalt, have been shown to be necessary for oenocyte specification during embryogenesis (Makki et al., 2014). However, it is not clear if these or other downstream transcription factors are the oenocyte terminal selectors, directly responsible for controlling expression of CHC biosynthesis enzymes (e.g. CYP4G1, FACR, Elovl and desaturase), as well as proteins controlling lipophorin synthesis. In contrast, in Drosophila salivary glands, the transcription factor CrebA has been demonstrated as being necessary and sufficient to directly control expression of secretory proteins (Abrams and Andrew, 2005; Fox et al., 2010). Presumably, CrebA functions in parallel to additional terminal selectors that govern production of the saliva itself. In the silk moth (Bombyx mori) distinct terminal selectors have been found that function in complementary domains along the silk gland to directly control silk protein expression. The Hox protein Antennapedia controls expression of sericin as well as other silk protein-encoding targets in the middle silk gland (Tsubota et al., 2016), whereas the LIM-homeodomain protein Arrowhead directly activates multiple *fibroin* loci in the posterior silk gland

amplification by tailing and switching), use individual cells that are either manually dissected or isolated via cell sorting. Unlike the droplet-based approach, target cell-based methods are not optimized for ultra-high throughput, but rather individual or small numbers of cells are sequenced to high read depth, with template-switching PCR giving near-complete transcriptome coverage. Target-cell-based techniques are particularly useful for transcriptionally profiling small pieces of dissected glandular tissue or even single gland cells.

Connected to these methods has been the development of multivariate bioinformatics tools for single-cell data analysis (Fig. 4B). Because dropletbased scRNAseq can provide transcriptomes for many non-target cells, it can potentially be used to illuminate the process of gland cell type assembly. Putatively more ancestral cell types may be identified as sources of enzymes or secretory components in the novel gland cell type of interest; alternatively, cell types may be identified that express paralogs of these components, and new enzyme classes that evolved de novo may also be found (Fig. 4B). Statistical methods to explore such relationships between cell types within a tissue, as well as methods to study cell types across species, have recently become areas of intense focus (Carmona et al., 2017; Gehring et al., 2018 preprint; Horie et al., 2018; Konstantinides et al., 2018a,b; Marioni and Arendt, 2017; Pimentel et al., 2017; Tasic, 2018). By performing scRNAseq at different stages of gland development, 'trajectory inference' tools such as RNA velocity (La Manno et al., 2018) are available that can be used to trace temporal differentiation programs of gland cell types and pinpoint their parent tissues. Such an approach may help illuminate both the evolutionary origins and transcriptional mechanisms controlling gland cell specification and differentiation. Further insights into transcriptional control may be gained from ATAC-seq (assay for transposase-accessible chromatin; Buenrostro et al., 2013), another NGS method that reveals regions of open chromatin in gland cells, to which putative terminal selectors may bind (Fig. 4C). ATACseq uses a transposase to ligate sequencing adapters into regions of open chromatin on a genome-wide level. Read depth is thus correlated with chromatin 'openness' (Buenrostro et al., 2015), highlighting regions of high DNA accessibility where cis-regulatory elements are located (Blythe and Wieschaus, 2016; Davie et al., 2015). ATACseq may be useful find specific elements necessary for recruitment of co-opted or duplicated enzymes, and hence to identify terminal selectors and other relevant transcription factor(s) controlling gland cell assembly (Fig. 4C).

(Kimoto et al., 2015). The terminal selector model may extend to plants: in *Petunia* flowers, the transcription factor ODORANT1 controls expression of biosynthetic enzymes for producing volatile phenlypropanoids/benzoids (Van Moerkercke et al., 2012; Verdonk et al., 2005), as well as an ABC transporter that mediates release of these floral fragrance components into the atmosphere (Balabanidou et al., 2019).

Evident from some of these examples is that single terminal selectors may not necessarily execute gland cell differentiation alone. Even PTF1-L, which may qualify as a terminal selector extraordinaire in pancreatic acinar cells, functions in combination with at least two other proteins, the nuclear receptor liver receptor homolog-1 (LRH-1; also known as NR5A2) (Holmstrom et al., 2011), and the basic helix-loop-helix protein MIST1, a transcription factor that enhances secretion both in pancreatic acinar cells and other mammalian exocrine cell types (Lo et al., 2017; Pin et al., 2000, 2001). Molecular mechanisms by which sets of terminal selectors function collectively to confer glandular function are unclear. It has been proposed that, as a general rule, evolution of new cell types is contingent upon transcription factors physically interacting in new ways, forming novel 'core-regulatory complexes' that can directly activate loci encoding cell-type functionality (Arendt, 2008; Arendt et al., 2016). Alternatively, different transcription factors may simply be co-expressed in the new cell type and operate at distinct loci, or bind enhancers within the same locus without forming a protein-protein complex. In the case of exocrine pancreatic cells, PTF1-L is trimeric and functions through co-operative binding between subunits. It may therefore qualify as such a core-regulatory complex for acinar cell function. Nevertheless, to achieve full acinar cell differentiation, PTF1-L must co-regulate enhancers with LRH-1, without physically binding to the latter protein (Hale et al., 2014).

How does a terminal selector's cassette of target loci evolve? Terminal selectors themselves are developmentally regulated, and function cell autonomously in cells fated to become the gland. By the time they are expressed, the gland cells have likely fully proliferated, but not fully differentiated. Recruitment of the terminal selector's battery of enzymes, secretory proteins and other functional gene products requires the evolution of *cis*-regulatory enhancer regions in all such target loci (Fig. 3B,C). In the case of biosynthetic pathways, gene duplication appears to create the set of enzymes that are recruited into the new gland. Limited evidence of single-copy enzyme co-option implies that most enzymes are typically under strong selective constraints, which restricts their capacity to be re-used in novel biosynthetic pathways. This inference fits with the view of Jensen (1976), that duplication is the main mechanism for evolving novel metabolic enzymes, since it enables catalytic specialization. Duplication allows for conservation of biochemical reactions via one copy of the duplicate gene, but also creates an opportunity for neofunctionalization – the emergence of novel functions in the sister copy via selection or drift (Ohno, 1970). We suggest a corollary, that biosynthesis pathways within gland cell types may be more strongly optimized by natural selection for their dedicated biosynthetic function than if they were composed of coopted enzymes. Glandular biosynthesis pathways are therefore evolutionary modules (Wagner, 1996; Wagner et al., 2007), able to evolve without pleiotropic constraints imposed by functions elsewhere in the animal.

A major conceptual challenge is to explain how such a modular set of duplicated enzyme loci themselves came into being. The fates of duplicated genes have been widely debated by molecular evolutionists, with a number of competing models proposed for how duplicated loci are not simply lost via genetic drift, but instead become visible to natural selection by impacting the phenotype (Bergthorsson et al., 2007; Force et al., 1999; Lynch and Conery, 2000; Ohno, 1970). We propose that gland cell evolution is one of the main driving forces behind enzyme duplication and secondary metabolic pathway evolution. Despite the seeming scarcity of coopted enzymes in glandular biosynthesis pathways, we posit that during the early evolution of a novel gland cell type, initial pathway assembly may in fact occur via co-option of pre-existing enzymes, which only later duplicate (Fig. 3B). We envisage enzyme co-option via two scenarios. First, a transcription factor that ancestrally functions elsewhere in the body may become developmentally recruited into the novel gland to function as a terminal selector. Target enzyme loci expressed in ancestral tissue now become co-opted into the novel gland. Alternatively, enhancer evolution within an ancestral set of enzyme loci permits a terminal selector to co-opt pre-existing enzymes into the gland. Via either of these scenarios, the same enzymes now function in two cell types. In such a situation, pleiotropic conflict arises from selection to optimize the enzymes for new biosynthetic purposes. Duplication henceforth becomes selectively advantageous, yielding paralogues with glandspecific expression (Fig. 3C). The observed trend that we noted above, in which many glandular biosynthesis pathways appear to be composed mainly of duplicated rather than co-opted enzymes, may

reflect the completion of this molecular evolutionary process in the majority of animal gland cell types so far studied.

Gland assembly constraints and evolutionary patterns of chemical usage in animals

Across metazoan phylogeny, two broad-scale patterns in chemical evolution can be observed. The first is that homologous glands in different taxa are capable of producing taxon-specific compounds. This evolvability implies biosynthetic 'reprogramming' within the gland. The second trend is that, despite the great diversity of animal secretions, repetitive patterns of compounds usage occur, signifying constraints on potential biosynthetic diversification. The first of these patterns is straightforward to explain by invoking the proposed terminal selector model of gland cell differentiation. Once a new gland has evolved, the terminal selectors that control expression of effector loci are evolutionarily static, and conserved in lineages inheriting the gland. However, there is evolutionary turnover in the enzymes recruited for expression in the gland. Through *cis*-regulatory changes in the genome, the biosynthetic cassette expressed in the gland can change, modifying its chemical output. Such turnover in recruitment has been shown for venom proteins in Nasonia wasps (Martinson et al., 2017). The aleocharine rove beetle defense gland (Fig. 2) represents a possible example for small-molecule secretions. The same, homologous gland is conserved across most of the >16,000 members of this subfamily. The common chemical element is benzoquinone, but different species supplement these with diverse short chain alkanes, esters, aldehvdes and acids (Steidle and Dettner, 1993). The secretion has been modified most remarkably in 'myrmecophile' species that are symbiotically associated with ants and capable of secreting behavior-manipulating compounds, including sulcatone (host ant alarm pheromone) (Stoeffler et al., 2007, 2011) and monoterpenes, which may mimic ant-mutualistic aphids (Stoeffler et al., 2013). In these examples, radical biosynthetic reprogramming has seemingly occurred, enabling adaptive changes in ecology. We propose such reprogramming has been achieved by defense gland terminal selectors recruiting novel enzyme pathways (Parker et al., 2018 preprint).

Through differential enzyme recruitment, terminal selectors may diversify glandular secretions. However, there is an apparent counter to this process, evident in pervasive convergence in the types of compounds animals synthesize. Convergence is seen in the widespread use of fatty-acid-derived compounds like hydrocarbons and alcohols for chemical communication (Blomquist and Bagnères, 2010; Chung and Carroll, 2015; Finet et al., 2019; Leonhardt et al., 2016; Morgan, 2010; Tegoni et al., 2004) or the employment of terpenes for diverse processes (Beran et al., 2019; Blunt et al., 2014; Breitmaier, 2006; Trapp and Croteau, 2001). A further example is the frequent use of aromatic benzoquinones for chemical defensive; these compounds have evolved repeatedly in harvestman, millipedes, earwigs, crickets, termites, cockroaches, caddisflies and at least four different families of beetles (Blum, 1981; Eisner et al., 2005; Francke and Dettner, 2005). One explanation for chemical convergence is that potential genetic biases exist at the biosynthetic level. As mentioned above, metazoan biochemical diversity is constrained by limited primary metabolic precursors that can feed into secondary metabolic pathways. However, the proposed molecular evolutionary framework for gland cell-type assembly suggests an additional, important source of constraint. During the initial evolutionary stages of gland cell assembly, our model postulates that terminal selectors tend to co-opt pre-existing enzymes – a process that has led to the repeated involvement of certain enzyme families. Despite the potential for

subsequent enzyme duplication to allow divergence between the ancestral and duplicated enzyme copy, the finite number of gene families from which enzymes may be recruited means that the range of possible reactions that can happen will be inherently restricted. Depending on the compound type, certain catalytic steps are almost invariably carried out by members of one or a handful of substrate-compatible enzyme families.

To illustrate this notion with an example, the observation that benzoquinones are so widely used in insect defense implies that the enzymes required for benzoquinone synthesis might be easily recruited from a more conserved pathway. One obvious candidate is the pathway controlling cuticle tanning, which employs a pro-phenol oxidase, laccase (He et al., 2018), to convert dietary tyrosine into quinone precursors of melanin (Blum, 1981; Duffey, 1974; Pryor, 1940; Roth and Stay, 1958). Although there remains confusion over how benzoquinones are synthesized (Duffey, 1974; Meinwald et al., 1966; Morgan, 2010; Rocha et al., 2013), laccase recruitment is an attractive scenario: one can imagine how a conserved biosynthetic enzyme, expressed in epidermal cells of all insects to oxidize tyrosine into melanin precursors, could be recruited into ectodermally derived glandular cell types to oxidize tyrosine into benzoquinone precursors. It is an accessible means to evolving a highly effective chemical defense, and may thus be a 'path of genetic least resistance' that evolution has taken multiple times (Schluter, 1996). We suggest that a pre-adaptive 'gland toolkit' is encoded in animal genomes: a parts list of enzyme families, functioning pathways and secretory mechanisms poised for co-option, duplication and modification on each reinvention of the gland. While versatile, this toolkit is limited, and its repeated use has restricted the exploration of chemical space by animals.

Any such molecular constraints that influence animal chemical secretions must resonate at the ecological level. By making certain types of compound more or less likely to evolve, these constraints define the spectrum of evolvability of animal secretions. We believe chemical ecology is poised to move beyond the pure phenotypic identification and analysis of compounds, with emphasis



Fig. 4. Single-cell dissection of gland cell type assembly. (A) Complex tissue containing gland cell types of interest (red) is enzymatically digested. Individual cells are separated into oil droplets. mRNA molecules in single cells are tagged with unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) and a cell barcode to indicate cell of origin. After tagging, the transcriptome is sequenced. (B) A cell-by-transcript matrix is used to cluster cells based on similarity of their transcriptomes, creating a tSNE or UMAP plot (left panel). Control tissue lacking the gland is also included in the analysis, revealing a unique cluster comprising the gland to (middle panel). By analyzing transcriptomic relatedness of cell types, evolutionary assembly of the novel cell type can be inferred from novel or pre-existing components expressed by other cell types. (C) Assay for transposase-accessible chromatin (ATAC-Seq) identifies open chromatin, guiding identification of ancient and novel enhancers in co-opted loci (left panel), cell-type-specific enhancers in duplicated loci (middle panel), and enhancer loss or enhancer absence in unrecruited loci.

increasingly being placed on the molecular architecture and evolution of exocrine glands (see also Rork and Renner, 2018). Advancement in this direction will depend on the uptake of genetic, cell biological and genomic approaches by chemical ecologists. One approach that has huge promise is single-cell profiling, where new technologies have made it possible to quantify molecular attributes of single cells within a tissue or organ. In Box 3 and Fig. 4, we outline how single-cell methods could be used for fine-scale molecular interrogation of glands. The key advance is the ability to analyze individual cell types housed within more complex tissues at the transcriptomic or chromatin levels (Fig. 4A). This enhanced resolution permits biosynthesis pathways, secretory proteins or putative terminal selectors to be identified based on their expression within the cell type of interest (Fig. 4B). Moreover, by quantifying similarities between cell types, historical processes of gland cell type assembly can be inferred: enzymes that have be co-opted or duplicated from more ancient cell types can be identified, along with enhancers that control their novel expression (Fig. 4C). By virtue of its cellular-level resolution, single-cell data also brings the molecular gland 'toolkit' more rapidly into view, streamlining the design of functional genetic experiments. Tools such RNAi-mediated gene silencing and CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing permit functional studies of gene manipulation to be carried out in a broad range of animal species (Goldstein and King, 2016). Targeted knock-downs or knockouts of enzymes and secretory proteins can now potentially be performed in many taxa, as well as mutation or excision of gland cell type enhancers. The functional consequences of manipulating or removing these factors can be assayed via mass spectrometric analysis of the gland's secretory product. The combination of singlecell methods and functional studies is potentially very powerful, and promises to uncover molecular evolutionary steps that have shaped chemical interactions in many metazoan contexts.

Conclusion

Glands are the embodiment of cell type innovation in the Metazoa. These structures represent a natural experiment in cellular engineering, and provide a testing ground for single-cell biology to answer basic questions about the evolution of novelty. Key gaps in knowledge include how modular, gland-specific biosynthesis pathways evolve, and how these pathways and their corresponding secretory systems come to be under transcriptional control. Explaining these phenomena may illuminate molecular constraints that shape the evolution of animal interactions.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the organizers of the JEB 'Genome Editing for Comparative Physiology' symposium for the opportunity to write this article. We thank David Miller, Marco Smolla, Christoph Kleineidam, Nick Porch, Adam Rork, Paul Rigby and Thomas Lozito for making their images available to us. Members of the Parker laboratory provided valuable feedback on this paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Funding

A.B. is a Simons fellow of the Life Sciences Research Foundation (LSRF). This work was supported by a Rita Allen Foundation Scholars Award, a Shurl and Kay Curci Foundation grant, a Klingenstein-Simons Fellowship Award and an Army Research Office MURI award W911NF1910269 to J.P.

References

- Abrams, E. W. and Andrew, D. J. (2005). CrebA regulates secretory activity in the Drosophila salivary gland and epidermis. Development 132, 2743-2758. doi:10. 1242/dev.01863
- Adebesin, F., Widhalm, J. R., Boachon, B., Lefèvre, F., Pierman, B., Lynch, J. H., Alam, I., Junqueira, B., Benke, R., Ray, S. et al. (2017). Emission of

volatile organic compounds from petunia flowers is facilitated by an ABC transporter. *Science* **356**, 1386-1388. doi:10.1126/science.aan0826

- Alves, R., Chaleil, R. A. and Sternberg, M. J. (2002). Evolution of enzymes in metabolism: a network perspective. J. Mol. Biol. 320, 751-770. doi:10.1016/ S0022-2836(02)00546-6
- Anderson, S. M., Rudolph, M. C., McManaman, J. L. and Neville, M. C. (2007). Key stages in mammary gland development. Secretory activation in the mammary gland: it's not just about milk protein synthesis! *Breast Cancer Res.* 9, 204. doi:10. 1186/bcr1653
- Andersson, D. I., Jerlström-Hultqvist, J. and Näsvall, J. (2015). Evolution of new functions de novo and from preexisting genes. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* 7, a017996. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a017996
- Arendt, D. (2008). The evolution of cell types in animals: emerging principles from molecular studies. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 9, 868. doi:10.1038/nrg2416
- Arendt, D., Musser, J. M., Baker, C. V., Bergman, A., Cepko, C., Erwin, D. H., Pavlicev, M., Schlosser, G., Widder, S., Laubichler, M. D. et al. (2016). The origin and evolution of cell types. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 744-757. doi:10.1038/nrg. 2016.127
- Attardo, G. M., Lohs, C., Heddi, A., Alam, U. H., Yildirim, S. and Aksoy, S. (2008). Analysis of milk gland structure and function in *Glossina morsitans*: milk protein production, symbiont populations and fecundity. *J. Insect Physiol.* 54, 1236-1242. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2008.06.008
- Babonis, L. S., DeBiasse, M. B., Francis, W. R., Christianson, L. M., Moss, A. G., Haddock, S. H. D., Martindale, M. Q. and Ryan, J. F. (2018). Integrating embryonic development and evolutionary history to characterize tentacle-specific cell types in a ctenophore. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 2940-2956. doi:10.1093/molbev/ msy171
- Bacchus, S. (1979). New exocrine gland on the legs of some Rhinotermitidae (Isoptera). Int. J. Insect Morphol. Embryol. 8, 135-142. doi:10.1016/0020-7322(79)90012-6
- Baer, A. and Mayer, G. (2012). Comparative anatomy of slime glands in Onychophora (velvet worms). J. Morphol. 273, 1079-1088. doi:10.1002/jmor. 20044
- Balabanidou, V., Kefi, M., Aivaliotis, M., Koidou, V., Girotti, J. R., Mijailovsky, S. J., Juárez, M. P., Papadogiorgaki, E., Chalepakis, G., Kampouraki, A. et al. (2019). Mosquitoes cloak their legs to resist insecticides. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 286, 20191091. doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.1091
- Becerra, J. X., Venable, G. X. and Saeidi, V. (2015). Wolbachia-free heteropterans do not produce defensive chemicals or alarm pheromones. J. Chem. Ecol. 41, 593-601. doi:10.1007/s10886-015-0596-4
- Benkendorff, K. (2010). Molluscan biological and chemical diversity: secondary metabolites and medicinal resources produced by marine molluscs. *Biol. Rev.* 85, 757-775. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2010.00124.x
- Benkendorff, K., Beardmore, K., Gooley, A. A., Packer, N. H. and Tait, N. N. (1999). Characterisation of the slime gland secretion from the peripatus, *Euperipatoides kanangrensis* (Onychophora: Peripatopsidae). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **124**, 457-465. doi:10.1016/S0305-0491(99)00145-5
- Beran, F., Köllner, T. G., Gershenzon, J. and Tholl, D. (2019). Chemical convergence between plants and insects: biosynthetic origins and functions of common secondary metabolites. *New Phytol.* 223, 52-67. doi:10.1111/nph.15718
- Berenbaum, M. R. (1995). The chemistry of defense: theory and practice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 2-8. doi:10.1073/pnas.92.1.2
- Bergthorsson, U., Andersson, D. I. and Roth, J. R. (2007). Ohno's dilemma: evolution of new genes under continuous selection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 17004-17009. doi:10.1073/pnas.0707158104
- Betz, O. (2010). Adhesive exocrine glands in insects: morphology, ultrastructure, and adhesive secretion. In *Biological Adhesive Systems* (ed. J. Byern and I. Grunwald), pp. 111-152: Springer.
- Billen, J. (1991). Ultrastructural organization of the exocrine glands in ants. *Ethol. Ecol. Evol.* 3, 67-73. doi:10.1080/03949370.1991.10721913
- Billen, J. (2009). Occurrence and structural organization of the exocrine glands in the legs of ants. Arthropod. Struct. Dev. 38, 2-15. doi:10.1016/j.asd.2008.08.002
- Bin, F. and Vinson, S. (1986). Morphology of the antennal sex-gland in male *Trissolcus basalis* (Woll.) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae), an egg parasitoid of the green stink bug, *Nezara viridula* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). *Int. J. Insect Morphol. Embryol.* **15**, 129-138. doi:10.1016/0020-7322(86)90052-8
- Bland, K. and House, C. (1971). Function of the salivary glands of the cockroach, Nauphoeta cinerea. J. Insect Physiol. 17, 2069-2084. doi:10.1016/0022-1910(71)90168-5
- Blomquist, G. J. and Bagnères, A.-G. (2010). *Insect Hydrocarbons*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Blum, M. S. (1981). Chemical Defenses of Arthropods. New York: Academic Press, Inc.
- Blum, M. S. (1996). Semiochemical parsimony in the Arthropoda. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 41, 353-374. doi:10.1146/annurev.en.41.010196.002033
- Blunt, J. W., Copp, B. R., Keyzers, R. A., Munro, M. H. and Prinsep, M. R. (2014). Marine natural products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 31, 160-258. doi:10.1039/c3np70117d
- Blythe, S. A. and Wieschaus, E. F. (2016). Establishment and maintenance of heritable chromatin structure during early *Drosophila* embryogenesis. *eLife* 5, e20148. doi:10.7554/eLife.20148

- Bourguignon, T., Šobotník, J., Brabcová, J., Sillam-Dussès, D., Buček, A., Krasulová, J., Vytisková, B., Demianová, Z., Mareš, M., Roisin, Y. et al. (2015). Molecular mechanism of the two-component suicidal weapon of Neocapritermes taracua old workers. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 809-819. doi:10.1093/molbev/msv273
- Breitmaier, E. (2006). Terpenes: Flavors, Fragrances, Pharmaca, Pheromones. John Wiley & Sons.
- Broehan, G., Kroeger, T., Lorenzen, M. and Merzendorfer, H. (2013). Functional analysis of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter gene family of Tribolium castaneum. BMC Genomics 14, 6. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-6
- Brucker, R. M., Harris, R. N., Schwantes, C. R., Gallaher, T. N., Flaherty, D. C., Lam, B. A. and Minbiole, K. P. (2008). Amphibian chemical defense: antifungal metabolites of the microsymbiont Janthinobacterium lividum on the salamander Plethodon cinereus. J. Chem. Ecol. 34, 1422-1429. doi:10.1007/s10886-008-9555-7
- Brückner, A., Schuster, R., Wehner, K. and Heethoff, M. (2018). Nutritional quality modulates trait variability. Front. Zool. 15, 50. doi:10.1186/s12983-018-0297-2
- Brunetti, A. E., Carnevale Neto, F., Vera, M. C., Taboada, C., Pavarini, D. P., Bauermeister, A. and Lopes, N. P. (2018). An integrative omics perspective for the analysis of chemical signals in ecological interactions. Chem. Soc. Rev. 47, 1574-1591. doi:10.1039/C7CS00368D
- Buček, A., Matoušková, P., Vogel, H., Šebesta, P., Jahn, U., Weißflog, J., Svatoš, A. and Pichová, I. (2015). Evolution of moth sex pheromone composition by a single amino acid substitution in a fatty acid desaturase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 12586-12591. doi:10.1073/pnas.1514566112
- Buček, A., Brabcová, J., Vogel, H., Prchalová, D., Kindl, J., Valterová, I. and Pichová, I. (2016). Exploring complex pheromone biosynthetic processes in the bumblebee male labial gland by RNA sequencing: bumblebee male labial gland. Insect Mol. Biol. 25, 295-314. doi:10.1111/imb.12221
- Buchanan, J. B. (1963). Mucus secretion within the spines of ophiuroid echinoderms. In Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, vol. 141, pp. 251-259: Wiley Online Library
- Buenrostro, J. D., Giresi, P. G., Zaba, L. C., Chang, H. Y. and Greenleaf, W. J. (2013). Transposition of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-binding proteins and nucleosome position. Nat. Methods 10, 1213. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2688
- Buenrostro, J. D., Wu, B., Chang, H. Y. and Greenleaf, W. J. (2015). ATAC-seq: a method for assaying chromatin accessibility genome-wide. Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol. 109. 21.29.1-21.29.9. doi:10.1002/0471142727.mb2129s109
- Calvete, J. J. (2013). Snake venomics: from the inventory of toxins to biology. Toxicon 75, 44-62. doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2013.03.020
- Carbonell, P., Lecointre, G. and Faulon, J.-L. (2011). Origins of specificity and promiscuity in metabolic networks. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 43994-44004. doi:10. 1074/ibc.M111.274050
- Carmona, S. J., Teichmann, S. A., Ferreira, L., Macaulay, I. C., Stubbington, M. J., Cvejic, A. and Gfeller, D. (2017). Single-cell transcriptome analysis of fish immune cells provides insight into the evolution of vertebrate immune cell types. Genome Res. 27, 451-461. doi:10.1101/gr.207704.116
- Carmona-Antoñanzas, G., Tocher, D. R., Taggart, J. B. and Leaver, M. J. (2013). An evolutionary perspective on ElovI5 fatty acid elongase: comparison of Northern pike and duplicated paralogs from Atlantic salmon. BMC Evol. Biol. 13, 85. doi:10. 1186/1471-2148-13-85
- Carot-Sans, G., Muñoz, L., Piulachs, M.-D., Guerrero, A. and Rosell, G. (2015). Identification and characterization of a fatty acyl reductase from a Spodoptera littoralis female gland involved in pheromone biosynthesis. Insect Mol. Biol. 24. 82-92. doi:10.1111/imb.12138
- Casewell, N. R., Wagstaff, S. C., Harrison, R. A., Renjifo, C. and Wüster, W. (2011). Domain loss facilitates accelerated evolution and neofunctionalization of duplicate snake venom metalloproteinase toxin genes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28, 2637-2649. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr091
- Castro, L. F. C., Tocher, D. R. and Monroig, O. (2016). Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis in chordates: Insights into the evolution of Fads and ElovI gene repertoire. Prog. Lipid Res. 62, 25-40. doi:10.1016/j. plipres.2016.01.001
- Chen, N., Fan, Y.-L., Bai, Y., Li, X.-D., Zhang, Z.-F. and Liu, T.-X. (2016). Cytochrome P450 gene, CYP4G51, modulates hydrocarbon production in the pea aphid, Acyrthosiphon pisum. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 76, 84-94. doi:10. 1016/j.ibmb.2016.07.006
- Chen, Z., Corlett, R. T., Jiao, X., Liu, S.-J., Charles-Dominique, T., Zhang, S., Li, H., Lai, R., Long, C. and Quan, R.-C. (2018). Prolonged milk provisioning in a jumping spider. Science 362, 1052-1055. doi:10.1126/science.aat3692
- Cheng, J. B. and Russell, D. W. (2004). Mammalian wax biosynthesis: I. Identification of two fatty acyl-coenzyme A reductases with different substrate specificities and tissue distributions. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 37789-37797. doi:10. 1074/jbc.M406225200
- Chiu, C. C., Keeling, C. I. and Bohlmann, J. (2018). Monoterpenyl esters in juvenile mountain pine beetle and sex-specific release of the aggregation pheromone trans-verbenol. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 115, 3652-3657. doi:10.1073/pnas.1722380115

- Chiu, C. C., Keeling, C. I. and Bohlmann, J. (2019). The cytochrome P450 CYP6DE1 catalyzes the conversion of α -pinene into the mountain pine beetle aggregation pheromone trans-verbenol. Sci. Rep. 9, 1477. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-38047-8
- Christianson, D. W. (2017). Structural and chemical biology of terpenoid cyclases. Chem. Rev. 117, 11570-11648, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00287
- Chung, H. and Carroll, S. B. (2015). Wax, sex and the origin of species: dual roles of insect cuticular hydrocarbons in adaptation and mating. BioEssays 37, 822-830. doi:10.1002/bies.201500014
- Cinnamon, E., Makki, R., Sawala, A., Wickenberg, L. P., Blomquist, G. J., Tittiger, C., Paroush, Z. E. and Gould, A. P. (2016). Drosophila Spidey/Kar regulates oenocyte growth via PI3-kinase signaling. PLoS Genet. 12, e1006154. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006154
- Clarke, T. H., Garb, J. E., Hayashi, C. Y., Arensburger, P. and Ayoub, N. A. (2015). Spider transcriptomes identify ancient large-scale gene duplication event potentially important in silk gland evolution. Genome Biol. Evol. 7, 1856-1870. doi:10.1093/gbe/evv110
- Clawson, R. C. (1988). Morphology of defense glands of the opilionids (daddy longlegs) Leiobunum vittatum and L. flavum (Arachnida: Opiliones: Palpatores: Phalangiidae), J. Morphol. 196, 363-381, doi:10.1002/imor.1051960309
- Combs, P. A., Krupp, J. J., Khosla, N. M., Bua, D., Petrov, D. A., Levine, J. D. and Fraser, H. B. (2018). Tissue-specific cis-regulatory divergence implicates eloF in inhibiting interspecies mating in Drosophila. Curr. Biol. 28, 3969-3975.e3. doi:10. 1016/i cub 2018 10 036
- Conant, G. C. and Wolfe, K. H. (2008). Turning a hobby into a job: how duplicated genes find new functions. Nat. Rev. Genet. 9, 938. doi:10.1038/nrg2482
- Conte, Y. L. and Hefetz, A. (2008). Primer pheromones in social hymenoptera. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 53, 523-542. doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.52.110405.091434
- Croteau, R., Kutchan, T. M. and Lewis, N. G. (2000). Natural products (secondary metabolites). Biochem. Mol. Biol. Plants 24, 1250-1319.
- Dadashipour, M., Ishida, Y., Yamamoto, K. and Asano, Y. (2015). Discovery and molecular and biocatalytic properties of hydroxynitrile lyase from an invasive millipede. Chamberlinius hualienensis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112. 10605-10610. doi:10.1073/pnas.1508311112
- Davidson, E. H. and Erwin, D. H. (2006). Gene regulatory networks and the evolution of animal body plans. Science 311, 796-800. doi:10.1126/science. 1113832
- Davie, K., Jacobs, J., Atkins, M., Potier, D., Christiaens, V., Halder, G. and Aerts, S. (2015). Discovery of transcription factors and regulatory regions driving in vivo tumor development by ATAC-seq and FAIRE-seq open chromatin profiling. PLoS Genet. 11, e1004994. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004994
- Degenhardt, J., Köllner, T. G. and Gershenzon, J. (2009). Monoterpene and sesquiterpene synthases and the origin of terpene skeletal diversity in plants. Phytochemistry 70, 1621-1637. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.07.030
- Derby, C. (2014). Cephalopod ink: production, chemistry, functions and applications. Mar. Drugs 12, 2700-2730. doi:10.3390/md12052700
- Dermauw, W. and Van Leeuwen, T. (2014). The ABC gene family in arthropods: comparative genomics and role in insecticide transport and resistance. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 45, 89-110. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.11.001
- Dettner, K. (1987). Chemosystematics and evolution of beetle chemical defenses. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 32, 17-48. doi:10.1146/annurev.en.32.010187.000313
- Dettner, K. (1993). Defensive secretions and exocrine glands in free-living staphylinid bettles-their bearing on phylogeny (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 21, 143-162. doi:10.1016/0305-1978(93)90020-R
- Dewick, P. M. (2002). Medicinal Natural Products: A Biosynthetic Approach: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dowell, N. L., Giorgianni, M. W., Kassner, V. A., Selegue, J. E., Sanchez, E. E. and Carroll, S. B. (2016). The deep origin and recent loss of venom toxin genes in rattlesnakes. Curr. Biol. 26, 2434-2445. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.07.038
- Downing, H. A. (1991). The function and evolution of exocrine glands. In The Social Biology of Wasps (ed. K.G. Ross and R. W. Matthews), pp. 540-569.
- Duffey, S. S. (1974). The Biosynthesis of Defensive Chemicals by Millipedes: Parrallelism with Plant Biosynthetic Pathways. University of British Columbia.
- Dulac, C. and Torello, A. T. (2003). Molecular detection of pheromone signals in mammals: from genes to behaviour. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 4, 551. doi:10.1038/ nrn1140
- Eberwine, J., Sul, J.-Y., Bartfai, T. and Kim, J. (2014). The promise of single-cell sequencing. Nat. Methods 11, 25. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2769
- Eisner, T. (2003). For Love of Insects. Camebridge, MA: Harvard University Press Eisner, T. and Meinwald, J. (1995). Chemical ecology. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 1. doi:10.1073/pnas.92.1.1
- Eisner, T., Eisner, M. and Siegler, M. (2005). Secret Weapons. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Ellsworth, S. A., Nystrom, G. S., Ward, M. J., Freitas de Sousa, L. A., Hogan, M. P. and Rokyta, D. R. (2019). Convergent recruitment of adamalysin-like metalloproteases in the venom of the red bark centipede (Scolopocryptops sexspinosus). Toxicon 168, 1-15. doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2019.06.021
- Fan, Y., Chase, J., Sevala, V. L. and Schal, C. (2002). Lipophorin-facilitated hydrocarbon uptake by oocytes in the German cockroach Blattella germanica (L.). J. Exp. Biol. 205, 781-790.

- Fan, P., Miller, A. M., Liu, X., Jones, A. D. and Last, R. L. (2017). Evolution of a flipped pathway creates metabolic innovation in tomato trichomes through BAHD enzyme promiscuity. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 2080. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02045-7
- Fang, S., Ting, C.-T., Lee, C.-R., Chu, K.-H., Wang, C.-C. and Tsaur, S.-C. (2009). Molecular evolution and functional diversification of fatty acid desaturases after recurrent gene duplication in *Drosophila*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 26, 1447-1456. doi:10. 1093/molbev/msp057
- Feyereisen, R. (1999). Insect P450 enzymes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 44, 507-533. doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.44.1.507
- Feyereisen, R. (2012). Insect CYP genes and P450 enzymes. In *Insect Molecular Biology and Biochemistry* (ed. L. Gilbert), pp. 236-316: Elsevier.
- Finet, C., Slavik, K., Pu, J., Carroll, S. B. and Chung, H. (2019). Birth-and-death evolution of the fatty acyl-CoA reductase (FAR) gene family and diversification of cuticular hydrocarbon synthesis in *Drosophila*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **11**, 1541-1551. doi:10.1093/gbe/evz094
- Fischbach, M. A. and Clardy, J. (2007). One pathway, many products. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **3**, 353. doi:10.1038/nchembio0707-353
- Flames, N. and Hobert, O. (2009). Gene regulatory logic of dopamine neuron differentiation. *Nature* 458, 885. doi:10.1038/nature07929
- Florez, L. V., Biedermann, P. H. W., Engl, T. and Kaltenpoth, M. (2015). Defensive symbioses of animals with prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 32, 904-936. doi:10.1039/C5NP00010F
- Folk, G. E. and Semken, A. (1991). The evolution of sweat glands. Int. J. Biometeorol. 35, 180-186. doi:10.1007/BF01049065
- Force, A., Lynch, M., Pickett, F. B., Amores, A., Yan, Y.-L. and Postlethwait, J. (1999). Preservation of duplicate genes by complementary, degenerative mutations. *Genetics* **151**, 1531-1545.
- Fox, R. M., Hanlon, C. D. and Andrew, D. J. (2010). The CrebA/Creb3-like transcription factors are major and direct regulators of secretory capacity. J. Cell Biol. 191, 479-492. doi:10.1083/jcb.201004062
- Francke, W. and Dettner, K. (2005). Chemical signalling in beetles. In *The Chemistry of Pheromones and Other Semiochemicals II* (ed. S. Schulz), pp. 85-166: Springer.
- Fry, B. G., Vidal, N., Norman, J. A., Vonk, F. J., Scheib, H., Ramjan, S. R., Kuruppu, S., Fung, K., Hedges, S. B. and Richardson, M. K. (2006). Early evolution of the venom system in lizards and snakes. *Nature* **439**, 584. doi:10. 1038/nature04328
- Fry, B. G., Roelants, K., Champagne, D. E., Scheib, H., Tyndall, J. D., King, G. F., Nevalainen, T. J., Norman, J. A., Lewis, R. J., Norton, R. S. et al. (2009). The toxicogenomic multiverse: convergent recruitment of proteins into animal venoms. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* **10**, 483-511. doi:10.1146/annurev.genom.9. 081307.164356
- Gehring, J., Park, J. H., Chen, S., Thomson, M. and Pachter, L. (2018). Highly multiplexed single-cell RNA-seq for defining cell population and transcriptional spaces. *biorxiv.org.* doi:10.1101/315333
- Giglio, A., Ferrero, E. A. and Brandmayr, T. Z. (2005). Ultrastructural identification of the antennal gland complement in *Siagona europaea* Dejean 1826, a myrmecophagous carabid beetle: antennal glands in a myrmecophagous. *Acta Zool.* **86**, 195-203. doi:10.1111/j.1463-6395.2005.00199.x
- Gleadow, R. M. and Woodrow, I. E. (2002). Mini-review: Constraints on effectiveness of cyanogenic glycosides in herbivore defense. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 28, 1301-1313. doi:10.1023/A:1016298100201
- Goettler, W., Kaltenpoth, M., Herzner, G. and Strohm, E. (2007). Morphology and ultrastructure of a bacteria cultivation organ: the antennal glands of female European beewolves, *Philanthus triangulum* (Hymenoptera, Crabronidae). *Arthropod. Struct. Dev.* **36**, 1-9. doi:10.1016/j.asd.2006.08.003
- Goldstein, B. and King, N. (2016). The future of cell biology: emerging model organisms. *Trends Cell Biol.* 26, 818-824. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2016.08.005
- Gu, X., Quilici, D., Juarez, P., Blomquist, G. and Schal, C. (1995). Biosynthesis of hydrocarbons and contact sex pheromone and their transport by lipophorin in females of the German cockroach (*Blattella germanica*). J. Insect Physiol. 41, 257-267. doi:10.1016/0022-1910(94)00100-U
- Guillou, H., Zadravec, D., Martin, P. G. and Jacobsson, A. (2010). The key roles of elongases and desaturases in mammalian fatty acid metabolism: Insights from transgenic mice. *Prog. Lipid Res.* 49, 186-199. doi:10.1016/j.plipres.2009.12.002
- Hagström, Å. K., Walther, A., Wendland, J. and Löfstedt, C. (2013). Subcellular localization of the fatty acyl reductase involved in pheromone biosynthesis in the tobacco budworm, *Heliothis virescens* (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera). *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 43, 510-521. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.03.006
- Hale, M. A., Swift, G. H., Hoang, C. Q., Deering, T. G., Masui, T., Lee, Y.-K., Xue, J. and MacDonald, R. J. (2014). The nuclear hormone receptor family member NR5A2 controls aspects of multipotent progenitor cell formation and acinar differentiation during pancreatic organogenesis. *Development* 141, 3123-3133. doi:10.1242/dev.109405
- Hamilton, J. B. and Montagna, W. (1950). The sebaceous glands of the hamster. I. Morphological effects of androgens on integumentary structures. *Am. J. Anat.* 86, 191-233. doi:10.1002/aja.1000860203
- Hand, A. R., Pathmanathan, D. and Field, R. B. (1999). Morphological features of the minor salivary glands. Arch. Oral Biol. 44, S3-S10. doi:10.1016/S0003-9969(99)90002-X

- Hansson, B. S. and Stensmyr, M. C. (2011). Evolution of insect olfaction. *Neuron* **72**. 698-711. doi:10.1016/i.neuron.2011.11.003
- Hartmann, T. (2008). The lost origin of chemical ecology in the late 19th century. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 4541-4546. doi:10.1073/pnas.0709231105
- Hasegawa, D., Calvo, V., Avivar-Valderas, A., Lade, A., Chou, H.-I., Lee, Y. A., Farias, E. F., Aguirre-Ghiso, J. A. and Friedman, S. L. (2015). Epithelial Xbp1 is required for cellular proliferation and differentiation during mammary gland development. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 35, 1543-1556. doi:10.1128/MCB.00136-15
- Hassiotou, F. and Geddes, D. (2013). Anatomy of the human mammary gland: current status of knowledge. *Clin. Anat.* 26, 29-48. doi:10.1002/ca.22165
- Hay, M. E. (2009). Marine chemical ecology: chemical signals and cues structure marine populations, communities, and ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 1, 193-212. doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163708
- He, S., Johnston, P. R., Kuropka, B., Lokatis, S., Weise, C., Plarre, R., Kunte, H. J. and McMahon, D. P. (2018). Termite soldiers contribute to social immunity by synthesizing potent oral secretions. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 27, 564-576. doi:10.1111/ imb.12499
- Hetz, C. (2012). The unfolded protein response: controlling cell fate decisions under ER stress and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **13**, 89. doi:10.1038/nrm3270
- Hipeau-Jacquotte, R. (1987). Ultrastructure and presumed function of the pleural dermal glands in the atypical male of the parasitic copepod *Pachypygus gibber* (Crustacea: Notodelphyidae). J. Crustac. Biol. 7, 60-70. doi:10.2307/1548625
- Hoang, C. Q., Hale, M. A., Azevedo-Pouly, A. C., Elsässer, H. P., Deering, T. G., Willet, S. G., Pan, F. C., Magnuson, M. A., Wright, C. V., Swift, G. H. et al. (2016). Transcriptional maintenance of pancreatic acinar identity, differentiation, and homeostasis by PTF1A. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **36**, 3033-3047. doi:10.1128/MCB. 00358-16
- Hobert, O. (2008). Regulatory logic of neuronal diversity: Terminal selector genes and selector motifs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 20067-20071. doi:10.1073/ pnas.0806070105
- Hobert, O. (2016). Terminal selectors of neuronal identity. In *Current Topics in Developmental Biology* (ed. P. M. Wassarman), vol. 116, pp. 455-475: Elsevier.
- Hoffman, D. R. (2010). Ant venoms. Curr. Opin Allergy Clin. Immunol. 10, 342-346. doi:10.1097/ACI.0b013e328339f325
- Hölldobler, B., Kwapich, C. L. and Haight, K. L. (2018). Behavior and exocrine glands in the myrmecophilous beetle *Lomechusoides strumosus* (Fabricius, 1775) (formerly called *Lomechusa strumosa*) (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Aleocharinae). *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0200309. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0200309
- Holmstrom, S. R., Deering, T., Swift, G. H., Poelwijk, F. J., Mangelsdorf, D. J., Kliewer, S. A. and MacDonald, R. J. (2011). LRH-1 and PTF1-L coregulate an exocrine pancreas-specific transcriptional network for digestive function. *Genes Dev.* 25, 1674-1679. doi:10.1101/gad.16860911
- Horie, R., Hazbun, A., Chen, K., Cao, C., Levine, M. and Horie, T. (2018). Shared evolutionary origin of vertebrate neural crest and cranial placodes. *Nature* 560, 228. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0385-7
- Hovey, R. C., Trott, J. F. and Vonderhaar, B. K. (2002). Establishing a framework for the functional mammary gland: from endocrinology to morphology. *J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia* 7, 17-38. doi:10.1023/A:1015766322258
- Huber, D., Erickson, M., Leutenegger, C., Bohlmann, J. and Seybold, S. (2007). Isolation and extreme sex-specific expression of cytochrome P450 genes in the bark beetle, *Ips paraconfusus*, following feeding on the phloem of host ponderosa pine, *Pinus ponderosa*. *Insect Mol. Biol.* **16**, 335-349. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2583. 2007.00731.x
- Huth, A., Dettner, K., Fröβl, C. and Boland, W. (1993). Feeding of xenobiotic ωphenylalkanoic acids remarkably changes the chemistry and toxicity of the defensive secretion of *Oxytelus sculpturatus* Grav. (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Oxytelinae). *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **23**, 927-935. doi:10.1016/0965-1748(93)90110-E
- Jaitin, D. A., Kenigsberg, E., Keren-Shaul, H., Elefant, N., Paul, F., Zaretsky, I., Mildner, A., Cohen, N., Jung, S., Tanay, A. et al. (2014). Massively parallel single-cell RNA-seq for marker-free decomposition of tissues into cell types. *Science* 343, 776-779. doi:10.1126/science.1247651
- Jakobsson, S., Borg, B., Haux, C. and Hyllner, S. (1999). An 11-ketotestosterone induced kidney-secreted protein: the nest building glue from male three-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 20, 79-85. doi:10. 1023/A:1007776016610
- Jaspers, M. H., Pflanz, R., Riedel, D., Kawelke, S., Feussner, I. and Schuh, R. (2014). The fatty acyl-CoA reductase Waterproof mediates airway clearance in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Biol.* 385, 23-31. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.10.022
- Jenkinson, D. M., Elder, H., Montgomery, I. and Moss, V. (1985). Comparative studies of the ultrastructure of the sebaceous gland. *Tissue Cell* **17**, 683-698. doi:10.1016/0040-8166(85)90004-7
- Jensen, R. A. (1976). Enzyme recruitment in evolution of new function. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 30, 409-425. doi:10.1146/annurev.mi.30.100176.002205
- Jin, H.-J. and Kaplan, D. L. (2003). Mechanism of silk processing in insects and spiders. Nature 424, 1057. doi:10.1038/nature01809
- Jordan, K. H. C. (1913). Zur Morphologie und Biologie der myrmecophilen Gattungen Lomechusa und Atemeles und einiger verwandter Formen. Aus d. Zool. Inst. zu Leipzig: W. Engelmann.

REVIEW

- Kelly, R. B. (1985). Pathways of protein secretion in eukaryotes. *Science* 230, 25-32. doi:10.1126/science.2994224
- Khersonsky, O. and Tawfik, D. S. (2010). Enzyme promiscuity: a mechanistic and evolutionary perspective. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 79, 471-505. doi:10.1146/ annurev-biochem-030409-143718
- Kikuyama, S., Toyoda, F., Ohmiya, Y., Matsuda, K., Tanaka, S. and Hayashi, H. (1995). Sodefrin: a female-attracting peptide pheromone in newt cloacal glands. *Science* 267, 1643-1645. doi:10.1126/science.7886452
- Kimoto, M., Tsubota, T., Uchino, K., Sezutsu, H. and Takiya, S. (2015). LIMhomeodomain transcription factor Awh is a key component activating all three fibroin genes, fibH, fibL and fhx, in the silk gland of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 56, 29-35. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.11.003
- King, G. F. and Hardy, M. C. (2013). Spider-venom peptides: structure, pharmacology, and potential for control of insect pests. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 58, 475-496. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153650
- Kirsch, R., Vogel, H., Muck, A., Reichwald, K., Pasteels, J. M. and Boland, W. (2011). Host plant shifts affect a major defense enzyme in *Chrysomela lapponica*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 4897-4901. doi:10.1073/pnas.1013846108
- Kistner, D. H. (1979). Social and evolutionary significance of social insect symbionts. Social Insects 1, 339-413. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-342201-9.50015-X
- Kittredge, J. and Takahashi, F. (1972). The evolution of sex pheromone communication in the Arthropoda. J. Theor. Biol. 35, 467-471. doi:10.1016/ 0022-5193(72)90145-2
- Konstantinides, N., Degabriel, S. and Desplan, C. (2018a). Neuro-evo-devo in the single cell sequencing era. *Curr. Opin. Syst. Biol.* **11**, 32-40. doi:10.1016/j.coisb. 2018.08.001
- Konstantinides, N., Kapuralin, K., Fadil, C., Barboza, L., Satija, R. and Desplan,
 C. (2018b). Phenotypic convergence: distinct transcription factors regulate common terminal features. *Cell* **174**, 622-635.e13. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.021
- Korkina, L. (2007). Phenylpropanoids as naturally occurring antioxidants: from plant defense to human health. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 53, 15-25.
- Kulkarni, A., Anderson, A. G., Merullo, D. P. and Konopka, G. (2019). Beyond bulk: a review of single cell transcriptomics methodologies and applications. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 58, 129-136. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2019.03.001
- Kumar, P., Pandit, S. S., Steppuhn, A. and Baldwin, I. T. (2014). Natural historydriven, plant-mediated RNAi-based study reveals CYP6B46's role in a nicotinemediated antipredator herbivore defense. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 1245-1252. doi:10.1073/pnas.1314848111
- La Manno, G., Soldatov, R., Zeisel, A., Braun, E., Hochgerner, H., Petukhov, V., Lidschreiber, K., Kastriti, M. E., Lönnerberg, P., Furlan, A. et al. (2018). RNA velocity of single cells. *Nature* 560, 494. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0414-6
- Land, M. F. and Fernald, R. D. (1992). The evolution of eyes. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 1-29. doi:10.1146/annurev.ne.15.030192.000245
- Lassance, J.-M., Groot, A. T., Liénard, M. A., Antony, B., Borgwardt, C., Andersson, F., Hedenström, E., Heckel, D. G. and Löfstedt, C. (2010). Allelic variation in a fatty-acyl reductase gene causes divergence in moth sex pheromones. *Nature* 466, 486. doi:10.1038/nature09058
- Lawrence, P. A. and Johnston, P. (1982). Cell lineage of the *Drosophila* abdomen: the epidermis, oenocytes and ventral muscles. *Development* **72**, 197-208.
- Lee, A. H., Chu, G. C., Iwakoshi, N. N. and Glimcher, L. H. (2005). XBP-1 is required for biogenesis of cellular secretory machinery of exocrine glands. *EMBO J.* 24, 4368-4380. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600903
- Leete, E. (1967). Alkaloid biosynthesis. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 18, 179-196. doi:10.1146/annurev.pp.18.060167.001143
- Leonhardt, S. D., Menzel, F., Nehring, V. and Schmitt, T. (2016). Ecology and evolution of communication in social insects. *Cell* **164**, 1277-1287. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2016.01.035
- Li, J., Lehmann, S., Weißbecker, B., Naharros, I. O., Schütz, S., Joop, G. and Wimmer, E. A. (2013). Odoriferous defensive stink gland transcriptome to identify novel genes necessary for quinone synthesis in the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003596. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003596
- Liang, D. and Schal, C. (1993). Ultrastructure and maturation of a sex pheromone gland in the female German cockroach, *Blattella germanica*. *Tissue Cell* 25, 763-776. doi:10.1016/0040-8166(93)90057-R
- Liénard, M. A., Strandh, M., Hedenström, E., Johansson, T. and Löfstedt, C. (2008). Key biosynthetic gene subfamily recruited for pheromone production prior to the extensive radiation of Lepidoptera. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 8, 270. doi:10.1186/ 1471-2148-8-270
- Liénard, M. A., Hagström, Å. K., Lassance, J.-M. and Löfstedt, C. (2010). Evolution of multicomponent pheromone signals in small ermine moths involves a single fatty-acyl reductase gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 10955-10960. doi:10.1073/pnas.1000823107
- Ligabue-Braun, R., Verli, H. and Carlini, C. R. (2012). Venomous mammals: a review. *Toxicon* 59, 680-695. doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2012.02.012
- Liu, X., Robinson, G. W., Gouilleux, F., Groner, B. and Hennighausen, L. (1995). Cloning and expression of Stat5 and an additional homologue (Stat5b) involved in

prolactin signal transduction in mouse mammary tissue. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 92, 8831-8835, doi:10.1073/pnas.92.19.8831

- Liu, X., Robinson, G. W., Wagner, K.-U., Garrett, L., Wynshaw-Boris, A. and Hennighausen, L. (1997). Stat5a is mandatory for adult mammary gland development and lactogenesis. *Genes Dev.* **11**, 179-186. doi:10.1101/gad.11.2. 179
- Liu, W., Rooney, A. P., Xue, B. and Roelofs, W. L. (2004). Desaturases from the spotted fireworm moth (*Choristoneura parallela*) shed light on the evolutionary origins of novel moth sex pheromone desaturases. *Gene* **342**, 303-311. doi:10. 1016/j.gene.2004.08.017
- Lo, H.-Y. G., Jin, R. U., Sibbel, G., Liu, D., Karki, A., Joens, M. S., Madison, B. B., Zhang, B., Blanc, V., Fitzpatrick, J. A. et al. (2017). A single transcription factor is sufficient to induce and maintain secretory cell architecture. *Genes Dev.* 31, 154-171. doi:10.1101/gad.285684.116
- Locke, M. (1969). The ultrastructure of the oenocytes in the moltiintermolt cycle of an insect. *Tissue Cell* **1**, 103-154. doi:10.1016/S0040-8166(69)80009-1
- Lopes-Marques, M., Machado, A. M., Alves, L. Q., Fonseca, M. M., Barbosa, S., Sinding, M.-H. S., Rasmussen, M. H., Iversen, M. R., Frost Bertelsen, M., Campos, P. F. et al. (2019). Complete inactivation of sebum-producing genes parallels the loss of sebaceous glands in Cetacea. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 36, 1270-1280. doi:10.1093/molbev/msz068
- Lynch, M. and Conery, J. S. (2000). The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. Science 290, 1151-1155. doi:10.1126/science.290.5494.1151
- Ma, W., Miao, Z. and Novotny, M. V. (1999). Induction of estrus in grouped female mice (*Mus domesticus*) by synthetic analogues of preputial gland constituents. *Chem. Senses* 24, 289-293. doi:10.1093/chemse/24.3.289
- Makki, R., Cinnamon, E. and Gould, A. P. (2014). The development and functions of oenocytes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 59, 405-425. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-011613-162056
- Mann, R. S. and Carroll, S. B. (2002). Molecular mechanisms of selector gene function and evolution. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **12**, 592-600. doi:10.1016/S0959-437X(02)00344-1
- Marioni, J. C. and Arendt, D. (2017). How single-cell genomics is changing evolutionary and developmental biology. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 33, 537-553. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100616-060818
- Martinson, E. O., Mrinalini, Kelkar, Y. D., Chang, C.-H. and Werren, J. H. (2017). The evolution of venom by co-option of single-copy genes. *Curr. Biol.* 27, 2007-2013.e8. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.032
- Matsuoka, K., Tabunoki, H., Kawai, T., Ishikawa, S., Yamamoto, M., Sato, R. and Ando, T. (2006). Transport of a hydrophobic biosynthetic precursor by lipophorin in the hemolymph of a geometrid female moth which secretes an epoxyalkenyl sex pheromone. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **36**, 576-583. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2006. 04.006
- McClintock, J. B. and Baker, B. I. (2013). Chemistry and ecological role of starfish secondary metabolites. *Starfish Biol. Ecol. Asteroidea* 81, 81-89.
- Meinwald, J. and Eisner, T. (2008). Chemical ecology in retrospect and prospect. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 4539-4540. doi:10.1073/pnas.0800649105
- Meinwald, J., Koch, K. F., Rogers, J. E., Jr and Eisner, T. (1966). Biosynthesis of arthropod secretions. III. Synthesis of simple p-benzoquinones in a beetle (*Eleodes longicollis*). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 1590-1592. doi:10.1021/ ja00959a074
- Moran, Y., Genikhovich, G., Gordon, D., Wienkoop, S., Zenkert, C., Özbek, S., Technau, U. and Gurevitz, M. (2011). Neurotoxin localization to ectodermal gland cells uncovers an alternative mechanism of venom delivery in sea anemones. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 279, 1351-1358. doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1731
- Morgan, E. D. (2010). Biosynthesis in Insects. Cambridge: RSC Publishing.
- Morton, B. (1977). The hypobranchial gland in the Bivalvia. Can. J. Zool. 55, 1225-1234. doi:10.1139/z77-161
- Moto, K. I., Yoshiga, T., Yamamoto, M., Takahashi, S., Okano, K., Ando, T., Nakata, T. and Matsumoto, S. (2003). Pheromone gland-specific fatty-acyl reductase of the silkmoth, *Bombyx mori. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100, 9156-9161. doi:10.1073/pnas.1531993100
- Müller, C. H., Rosenberg, J. and Hilken, G. (2014). Ultrastructure, functional morphology and evolution of recto-canal epidermal glands in Myriapoda. *Arthropod. Struct. Dev.* 43, 43-61. doi:10.1016/j.asd.2013.08.001
- Mykytowycz, R. (1962). Territorial function of chin gland secretion in the rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus (L.). Nature 193, 799. doi:10.1038/193799a0
- Nadeau, J., Petereit, J., Tillett, R., Jung, K., Fotoohi, M., MacLean, M., Young, S., Schlauch, K., Blomquist, G. and Tittiger, C. (2017). Comparative transcriptomics of mountain pine beetle pheromone-biosynthetic tissues and functional analysis of CYP6DE3. *BMC Genomics* 18, 311. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3696-4
- Nakaoka, T., Iga, M., Yamada, T., Koujima, I., Takeshima, M., Zhou, X., Suzuki, Y., Ogihara, M. H. and Kataoka, H. (2017). Deep sequencing of the prothoracic gland transcriptome reveals new players in insect ecdysteroidogenesis. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0172951. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172951
- Nation, J. L., Sr. (2015). Insect Physiology and Biochemistry. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Neville, M. (2013). Lactation: Physiology, Nutrition, and Breast-Feeding. Springer Science & Business Media.

- Ng, W. C., Chin, J. S., Tan, K. J. and Yew, J. Y. (2015). The fatty acid elongase Bond is essential for Drosophila sex pheromone synthesis and male fertility. Nat. Commun. 6, 8263. doi:10.1038/ncomms9263
- Noirot, C. and Quennedey, A. (1974). Fine structure of insect epidermal glands. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 19, 61-80. doi:10.1146/annurev.en.19.010174.000425
- Oftedal, O. T. (2002). The mammary gland and its origin during synapsid evolution. *J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia* **7**, 225-252. doi:10.1023/A:1022896515287 Ohno, S. (1970). *Evolution by Gene Duplication*. Springer-Verlag.
- Pankewitz, F. and Hilker, M. (2008). Polyketides in insects: ecological role of these widespread chemicals and evolutionary aspects of their biogenesis. *Biol. Rev.* 83, 209-226. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.00040.x
- Parker, J. (2016). Myrmecophily in beetles (Coleoptera): evolutionary patterns and biological mechanisms. *Myrmecol. News* 22, 65-108.
- Parker, J. and Grimaldi, D. A. (2014). Specialized myrmecophily at the ecological dawn of modern ants. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 2428-2434. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.068
- Parker, J., Eldredge, K. T., Thomas, I. M., Coleman, R. and Davis, S. R. (2018). Hox-logic of body plan innovations for social symbiosis in rove beetles. *bioRxiv*, 198945. doi:10.1101/198945
- Parra-Peralbo, E. and Culi, J. (2011). Drosophila lipophorin receptors mediate the uptake of neutral lipids in oocytes and imaginal disc cells by an endocytosisindependent mechanism. PLoS Genet. 7, e1001297. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen. 1001297
- Pasteels, J. M. (1969). Les glandes tégumentaires des staphylins termitophiles: III. — Les aleocharinæ des genresTermitopullus (Corotocini, corotocina), Perinthodes, catalina (termitonannini, perinthina), Termitusa (termitohospitini, termitusina). *Insectes Soc.* 16, 1-26. doi:10.1007/BF02224459
- Pelletier, S. W. (1983). Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives. Berlin: Springer.
- Piel, J. (2002). A polyketide synthase-peptide synthetase gene cluster from an uncultured bacterial symbiont of *Paederus* beetles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 14002-14007. doi:10.1073/pnas.222481399
- Piel, J., Hui, D., Wen, G., Butzke, D., Platzer, M., Fusetani, N. and Matsunaga, S. (2004). Antitumor polyketide biosynthesis by an uncultivated bacterial symbiont of the marine sponge *Theonella swinhoei*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101, 16222-16227. doi:10.1073/pnas.0405976101
- Pimentel, H., Bray, N. L., Puente, S., Melsted, P. and Pachter, L. (2017). Differential analysis of RNA-seq incorporating quantification uncertainty. *Nat. Methods* 14, 687. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4324
- Pin, C. L., Bonvissuto, A. C. and Konieczny, S. F. (2000). Mist1 expression is a common link among serous exocrine cells exhibiting regulated exocytosis. *Anat. Rec.* 259, 157-167. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0185(20000601)259:2<157::AID-AR6>3.0.CO;2-0
- Pin, C. L., Rukstalis, J. M., Johnson, C. and Konieczny, S. F. (2001). The bHLH transcription factor Mist1 is required to maintain exocrine pancreas cell organization and acinar cell identity. J. Cell Biol. 155, 519-530. doi:10.1083/jcb. 200105060
- Pryor, M. (1940). On the hardening of the cuticle of insects. Proc. R. Soc. B 128, 393-407. doi:10.1098/rspb.1940.0018
- Qiu, Y., Tittiger, C., Wicker-Thomas, C., Le Goff, G., Young, S., Wajnberg, E., Fricaux, T., Taquet, N., Blomquist, G. J. and Feyereisen, R. (2012). An insectspecific P450 oxidative decarbonylase for cuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 14858-14863. doi:10.1073/pnas.1208650109
- Quennedey, A., Drugmand, D. and Deligne, J. (2002). Morphology and ultrastructure of paired prototergal glands in the adult rove beetle *Philonthus varians* (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae). *Arthropod. Struct. Dev.* **31**, 173-183. doi:10. 1016/S1467-8039(02)00047-6
- Rabouille, C. (2017). Pathways of unconventional protein secretion. *Trends Cell Biol.* 27, 230-240. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2016.11.007
- Raguso, R. A., Agrawal, A. A., Douglas, A. E., Jander, G., Kessler, A., Poveda, K. and Thaler, J. S. (2015). The raison d'être of chemical ecology. *Ecology* 96, 617-630. doi:10.1890/14-1474.1
- Rahfeld, P., Kirsch, R., Kugel, S., Wielsch, N., Stock, M., Groth, M., Boland, W. and Burse, A. (2014). Independently recruited oxidases from the glucosemethanol-choline oxidoreductase family enabled chemical defences in leaf beetle larvae (subtribe Chrysomelina) to evolve. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20140842. doi:10. 1098/rspb.2014.0842
- Raspotnig, G. (2010). Oil gland secretions in Oribatida (Acari). In *Trends in Acarology* (ed. M. W. Sabelis and J. Bruin), pp. 235-239. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Raspotnig, G., Schuster, R. and Krisper, G. (2003). Functional anatomy of oil glands in *Collohmannia gigantea* (Acari, Oribatida). *Zoomorphology* 122, 105-112. doi:10.1007/s00435-003-0075-2
- Raspotnig, G., Schaider, M., Föttinger, P. and Schönhofer, A. (2017). A model for phylogenetic chemosystematics: evolutionary history of quinones in the scent gland secretions of harvestmen. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 5, 139. doi:10.3389/fevo.2017. 00139
- Reed, J. R., Vanderwel, D., Choi, S., Pomonis, J. G., Reitz, R. C. and Blomquist, G. J. (1994). Unusual mechanism of hydrocarbon formation in the housefly: cytochrome P450 converts aldehyde to the sex pheromone component (Z)-9tricosene and CO2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **91**, 10000-10004. doi:10.1073/ pnas.91.21.10000

- Requena, L. and Sangüeza, O. (2017). Embryology, anatomy, histology, and physiology of the sebaceous glands. In *Cutaneous Adnexal Neoplasms* (ed L. Requena L. and O. Sangüeza), pp. 755-764: Springer.
- Richert, M. M., Schwertfeger, K. L., Ryder, J. W. and Anderson, S. M. (2000). An atlas of mouse mammary gland development. J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia 5, 227-241. doi:10.1023/A:1026499523505
- Rocha, D., Wouters, F., Zampieri, D., Brocksom, T., Machado, G. and Marsaioli, A. (2013). Harvestman phenols and benzoquinones: characterisation and biosynthetic pathway. *Molecules* 18, 11429-11451. doi:10.3390/molecules180911429
- Rodriguez, J., Jones, T. H., Sierwald, P., Marek, P. E., Shear, W. A., Brewer, M. S., Kocot, K. M. and Bond, J. E. (2018). Step-wise evolution of complex chemical defenses in millipedes: a phylogenomic approach. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 3209. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-19996-6
- Roelofs, W. L. (1995). Chemistry of sex attraction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 44-49. doi:10.1073/pnas.92.1.44
- Roelofs, W. L. and Rooney, A. P. (2003). Molecular genetics and evolution of pheromone biosynthesis in Lepidoptera. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100, 9179-9184. doi:10.1073/pnas.1233767100a
- Roelofs, W. L., Liu, W., Hao, G., Jiao, H., Rooney, A. P. and Linn, C. E. (2002). Evolution of moth sex pheromones via ancestral genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 99, 13621-13626. doi:10.1073/pnas.152445399
- Rong, Y., Fujii, T., Katsuma, S., Yamamoto, M., Ando, T. and Ishikawa, Y. (2014). CYP341B14: a cytochrome P450 involved in the specific epoxidation of pheromone precursors in the fall webworm *Hyphantria cunea*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 54, 122-128. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.09.009
- Rork, A. M. and Renner, T. (2018). Carabidae semiochemistry: current and future directions. J. Chem. Ecol. 44, 1069-1083. doi:10.1007/s10886-018-1011-8
- Rork, A. M., Mikó, I. and Renner, T. (2019). Pygidial glands of *Harpalus pensylvanicus* (Coleoptera: Carabidae) contain resilin-rich structures. *Arthropod Struct. Dev.* 49, 19-25. doi:10.1016/j.asd.2018.12.004
- Roseghini, M., Severini, C., Erspamer, G. F. and Vittorio, E. (1996). Choline esters and biogenic amines in the hypobranchial gland of 55 molluscan species of the neogastropod Muricoidea superfamily. *Toxicon* 34, 33-55. doi:10.1016/0041-0101(95)00104-2
- Rosenberg, J. (1983). Coxal organs of *Lithobius forficatus* (Myriapoda, Chilopoda). *Cell Tissue Res.* 230, 421-430. doi:10.1007/BF00213815
- Roth, L. M. and Stay, B. (1958). The occurrence of para-quinones in some arthropods, with emphasis on the quinone-secreting tracheal glands of *Diploptera punctata* (Blattaria). *J. Insect Physiol.* **1**, 305-318. doi:10.1016/0022-1910(58)90049-0
- Roussa, E. (2011). Channels and transporters in salivary glands. *Cell Tissue Res.* 343, 263-287. doi:10.1007/s00441-010-1089-y
- Rudall, K. and Kenchington, W. (1971). Arthropod silks: the problem of fibrous proteins in animal tissues. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 16, 73-96. doi:10.1146/annurev. en.16.010171.000445
- Ryoo, H. D., Li, J. and Kang, M.-J. (2013). Drosophila XBP1 expression reporter marks cells under endoplasmic reticulum stress and with high protein secretory load. PLoS ONE 8, e75774. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075774
- Sakai, R., Fukuzawa, M., Nakano, R., Tatsuki, S. and Ishikawa, Y. (2009). Alternative suppression of transcription from two desaturase genes is the key for species-specific sex pheromone biosynthesis in two Ostrinia moths. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 39, 62-67. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2008.10.001
- Sandstrom, P., Welch, W. H., Blomquist, G. J. and Tittiger, C. (2006). Functional expression of a bark beetle cytochrome P450 that hydroxylates myrcene to ipsdienol. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 36, 835-845. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.08.004
- Sandstrom, P., Ginzel, M. D., Bearfield, J. C., Welch, W. H., Blomquist, G. J. and Tittiger, C. (2008). Myrcene hydroxylases do not determine enantiomeric composition of pheromonal ipsdienol in *Ips spp. J. Chem. Ecol.* 34, 1584-1592. doi:10.1007/s10886-008-9563-7
- Schal, C., Sevala, V. and Cardé, R. T. (1998a). Novel and highly specific transport of a volatile sex pheromone by hemolymph lipophorin in moths. *Naturwissenschaften* **85**, 339-342. doi:10.1007/s001140050511
- Schal, C., Sevala, V. L., Young, H. P. and Bachmann, J. A. (1998b). Sites of synthesis and transport pathways of insect hydrocarbons: cuticle and ovary as target tissues. *Am. Zool.* 38, 382-393. doi:10.1093/icb/38.2.382
- Schluter, D. (1996). Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution* 50, 1766-1774. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1996.tb03563.x
- Schramm, S., Köhler, N. and Rozhon, W. (2019). Pyrrolizidine alkaloids: Biosynthesis, biological activities and occurrence in crop plants. *Molecules* 24, 498. doi:10.3390/molecules24030498
- Sharma, M., Sharma, N. N. and Bhalla, T. C. (2005). Hydroxynitrile lyases: at the interface of biology and chemistry. *Enzyme. Microb. Technol.* 37, 279-294. doi:10. 1016/j.enzmictec.2005.04.013
- Shear, W. A. (2015). The chemical defenses of millipedes (Diplopoda): biochemistry, physiology and ecology. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 61, 78-117. doi:10. 1016/j.bse.2015.04.033
- Shubin, N., Tabin, C. and Carroll, S. (1997). Fossils, genes and the evolution of animal limbs. *Nature* 388, 639. doi:10.1038/41710
- Simpson, T. L. (2012). The Cell Biology of Sponges. New York: Springer.

Smith, K. and Thiboutot, D. (2008). Thematic review series: skin lipids. Sebaceous gland lipids: friend or foe? J. Lipid Res. 49, 271-281. doi:10.1194/jlr.R700015-JLR200

- Smith, C. L., Varoqueaux, F., Kittelmann, M., Azzam, R. N., Cooper, B., Winters, C. A., Eitel, M., Fasshauer, D. and Reese, T. S. (2014). Novel cell types, neurosecretory cells, and body plan of the early-diverging metazoan *Trichoplax adhaerens. Curr. Biol.* 24, 1565-1572. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.046
- Smith, C. L., Pivovarova, N. and Reese, T. S. (2015). Coordinated feeding behavior in *Trichoplax*, an animal without synapses. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0136098. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136098
- Staddon, B. W. (1979). The scent glands of Heteroptera. In Advances in Insect Physiology, vol. 14, pp. 351-418: Elsevier.
- Steidle, J. L. M. and Dettner, K. (1993). Chemistry and morphology of the tergal gland of freeliving adult Aleocharinae (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) and its phylogenetic significance. *Syst. Entomol.* **18**, 149-168. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3113.1993.tb00659.x
- Steiger, S. and Stökl, J. (2018). Pheromones regulating reproduction in subsocial beetles: insights with references to eusocial insects. J. Chem. Ecol. 44, 785-795. doi:10.1007/s10886-018-0982-9
- Steinbrecht, R. A. (1964). Feinstruktur und Histochemie der Sexualduftdrüse des Seidenspinners Bombyx mori L. Z. Zellforsch. Mikrosk. Anat. 64, 227-261.
- Stoeffler, M., Maier, T. S., Tolasch, T. and Steidle, J. L. (2007). Foreign-language skills in rove-beetles? Evidence for chemical mimicry of ant alarm pheromones in myrmecophilous *Pella* beetles (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *J. Chem. Ecol.* 33, 1382-1392. doi:10.1007/s10886-007-9315-0
- Stoeffler, M., Tolasch, T. and Steidle, J. L. (2011). Three beetles—three concepts. Different defensive strategies of congeneric myrmecophilous beetles. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 65, 1605-1613. doi:10.1007/s00265-011-1171-9
- Stoeffler, M., Boettinger, L., Tolasch, T. and Steidle, J. L. (2013). The tergal gland secretion of the two rare myrmecophilous species Zyras collaris and Z. haworthi (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) and the effect on Lasius fuliginosus. Psyche J. Entomol. 2013. doi:10.1155/2013/601073

Stuart, T. and Satija, R. (2019). Integrative single-cell analysis. Nat. Rev. Genet. 20, 257-272. doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0093-7

- Symonds, M. R. and Elgar, M. A. (2008). The evolution of pheromone diversity. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23, 220-228. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2007.11.009
- Tasic, B. (2018). Single cell transcriptomics in neuroscience: cell classification and beyond. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 50, 242-249. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2018.04.021
- Tautz, D. and Domazet-Lošo, T. (2011). The evolutionary origin of orphan genes. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12, 692. doi:10.1038/nrg3053
- Teerawanichpan, P., Robertson, A. J. and Qiu, X. (2010). A fatty acyl-CoA reductase highly expressed in the head of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) involves biosynthesis of a wide range of aliphatic fatty alcohols. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 40, 641-649. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.06.004
- Tegoni, M., Campanacci, V. and Cambillau, C. (2004). Structural aspects of sexual attraction and chemical communication in insects. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 29, 257-264. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2004.03.003
- Thiboutot, D., Gilliland, K., Cong, Z., Jabara, S., McAllister, J. M., Sivarajah, A. and Clawson, G. (2003). Human skin is a steroidogenic tissue: steroidogenic enzymes and cofactors are expressed in epidermis, normal sebocytes, and an immortalized sebocyte cell line (SEB-1). *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **120**, 905-914. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1747.2003.12244.x
- Thiel, T., Brechtel, A., Brückner, A., Heethoff, M. and Drossel, B. (2019). The effect of reservoir-based chemical defense on predator-prey dynamics. *Theor. Ecol.* **12**, 365-378. doi:10.1007/s12080-018-0402-3
- Tittiger, C. (2004). Functional genomics and insect chemical ecology. J. Chem. Ecol. 30, 2335-2358. doi:10.1007/s10886-004-7940-4
- Tortora, G. J. and Derrickson, B. (2017). *Principles of Anatomy & Physiology*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated.
- Trapp, S. C. and Croteau, R. B. (2001). Genomic organization of plant terpene synthases and molecular evolutionary implications. *Genetics* 158, 811-832.
- True, J. R. and Carroll, S. B. (2002). Gene co-option in physiological and morphological evolution. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 18, 53-80. doi:10.1146/ annurev.cellbio.18.020402.140619
- Tsubota, T., Tomita, S., Uchino, K., Kimoto, M., Takiya, S., Kajiwara, H., Yamazaki, T. and Sezutsu, H. (2016). A Hox gene, Antennapedia, regulates expression of multiple major silk protein genes in the silkworm Bombyx mori. J. Biol. Chem. 291, 7087-7096. doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.699819
- Tucker, A. (2007). Salivary gland development. In Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, vol. 18, pp. 237-244: Elsevier.
- Tupec, M., Buček, A., Janoušek, V., Vogel, H., Prchalová, D., Kindl, J., Pavlíčková, T., Wenzelová, P., Jahn, U., Valterová, I. et al. (2019). Expansion of the fatty acyl reductase gene family shaped pheromone communication in Hymenoptera. *eLife* 8, e39231. doi:10.7554/eLife.39231
- Tyler, S. (1984). Turbellarian platyhelminths. In Biology of the Integument, pp. 112-131. New York, NY: Springer.
- Van Moerkercke, A., Galván-Ampudia, C. S., Verdonk, J. C., Haring, M. A. and Schuurink, R. C. (2012). Regulators of floral fragrance production and their target genes in petunia are not exclusively active in the epidermal cells of petals. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 3157-3171. doi:10.1093/jxb/ers034

- Vander Meer, R. K., Breed, M. D., Espelie, K. E. and Winston, M. L. (1998). Pheromone Communication in Social Insects. Boulder, CO: Westview.
- Varner, V. D. and Nelson, C. M. (2014). Cellular and physical mechanisms of branching morphogenesis. *Development* 141, 2750-2759. doi:10.1242/dev.104794
- Verdonk, J. C., Haring, M. A., van Tunen, A. J. and Schuurink, R. C. (2005). ODORANT1 regulates fragrance biosynthesis in petunia flowers. *Plant Cell* 17, 1612-1624. doi:10.1105/tpc.104.028837
- Vienneau-Hathaway, J. M., Brassfield, E. R., Lane, A. K., Collin, M. A., Correa-Garhwal, S. M., Clarke, T. H., Schwager, E. E., Garb, J. E., Hayashi, C. Y. and Ayoub, N. A. (2017). Duplication and concerted evolution of MiSp-encoding genes underlie the material properties of minor ampullate silks of cobweb weaving spiders. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **17**, 78. doi:10.1186/s12862-017-0927-x
- Vogel, H., Heidel, A. J., Heckel, D. G. and Groot, A. T. (2010). Transcriptome analysis of the sex pheromone gland of the noctuid moth *Heliothis virescens*. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 29. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-29
- von Reumont, B. M., Campbell, L. I., Richter, S., Hering, L., Sykes, D., Hetmank, J., Jenner, R. A. and Bleidorn, C. (2014). A polychaete's powerful punch: venom gland transcriptomics of *Glycera* reveals a complex cocktail of toxin homologs. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 6, 2406-2423. doi:10.1093/gbe/evu190
- Wagner, G. P. (1996). Homologues, natural kinds and the evolution of modularity. *Am. Zool.* 36, 36-43. doi:10.1093/icb/36.1.36
- Wagner, G. P., Pavlicev, M. and Cheverud, J. M. (2007). The road to modularity. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8, 921. doi:10.1038/nrg2267
- Walsh, C. and Tang, Y. (2017). Natural Product Biosynthesis: Chemical Logic and Enzymatic Machinery. London: Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Wang, H.-L., Liénard, M. A., Zhao, C.-H., Wang, C.-Z. and Löfstedt, C. (2010). Neofunctionalization in an ancestral insect desaturase lineage led to rare ∆6 pheromone signals in the Chinese tussah silkworm. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 40, 742-751. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.07.009
- Warner, J. R. and McIntosh, K. B. (2009). How common are extraribosomal functions of ribosomal proteins? *Mol. Cell* 34, 3-11. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2009.03.006
- Wei, J., Shao, W., Cao, M., Ge, J., Yang, P., Chen, L., Wang, X. and Kang, L. (2019). Phenylacetonitrile in locusts facilitates an antipredator defense by acting as an olfactory aposematic signal and cyanide precursor. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaav5495. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aav5495
- Whitman, D. W., Jones, C. G. and Blum, M. S. (1992). Defensive secretion production in Lubber Grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Romaleidae): influence of age, sex, diet, and discharge frequency. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 85, 96-102. doi:10. 1093/aesa/85.1.96
- Whittington, I. D. and Cribb, B. W. (2001). Adhesive secretions in the Platyhelminthes. Adv. Parasitol. 48, 101-224. doi:10.1016/S0065-308X(01)48006-7
- Whyte, W. A., Orlando, D. A., Hnisz, D., Abraham, B. J., Lin, C. Y., Kagey, M. H., Rahl, P. B., Lee, T. I. and Young, R. A. (2013). Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers at key cell identity genes. *Cell* **153**, 307-319. doi:10.1016/i.cell.2013.03.035
- Wicker-Thomas, C. and Chertemps, T. (2010). Molecular biology and genetics of hydrocarbon production. In *Insect Hydrocarbons: Biology, Biochemistry, and Chemical Ecology* (ed. G. J. Blomquist and A.-G. Bagnères), pp. 53-74. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wicker-Thomas, C., Guenachi, I. and Keita, Y. F. (2009). Contribution of oenocytes and pheromones to courtship behaviour in *Drosophila*. *BMC Biochem.* 10, 21. doi:10.1186/1471-2091-10-21
- Wicker-Thomas, C., Garrido, D., Bontonou, G., Napal, L., Mazuras, N., Denis,
 B., Rubin, T., Parvy, J.-P. and Montagne, J. (2015). Flexible origin of hydrocarbon/pheromone precursors in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J. Lipid Res. 56, 2094-2101. doi:10.1194/jlr.M060368
- Widhalm, J. R., Jaini, R., Morgan, J. A. and Dudareva, N. (2015). Rethinking how volatiles are released from plant cells. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 545-550. doi:10.1016/ j.tplants.2015.06.009
- Wilson, E. O. (1965). Chemical communication in the social insects. *Science* 149, 1064-1071. doi:10.1126/science.149.3688.1064
- Wink, M. (2003). Evolution of secondary metabolites from an ecological and molecular phylogenetic perspective. *Phytochemistry* 64, 3-19. doi:10.1016/ S0031-9422(03)00300-5
- Wong, E. S. and Belov, K. (2012). Venom evolution through gene duplications. Gene 496, 1-7. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2012.01.009
- Wyatt, T. D. (2014a). Pheromones and Animal Behavior: Chemical Signals and Signatures. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wyatt, T. D. (2014b). Proteins and peptides as pheromone signals and chemical signatures. Anim. Behav. 97, 273-280. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.07.025
- Yamaguchi, T., Nuylert, A., Ina, A., Tanabe, T. and Asano, Y. (2018). Hydroxynitrile lyases from cyanogenic millipedes: molecular cloning, heterologous expression, and whole-cell biocatalysis for the production of (R)mandelonitrile. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 3051. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20190-x
- Yu, Z., Wang, Y., Zhao, X., Liu, X., Ma, E., Moussian, B. and Zhang, J. (2017). The ABC transporter ABCH-9C is needed for cuticle barrier construction in *Locusta migratoria*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 87, 90-99. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2017.06.005
- Zagrobelny, M., Bak, S. and Møller, B. L. (2008). Cyanogenesis in plants and arthropods. *Phytochemistry* 69, 1457-1468. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2008.02.019

- Zagrobelny, M., de Castro, É., Møller, B. and Bak, S. (2018). Cyanogenesis in arthropods: from chemical warfare to nuptial gifts. *Insects* **9**, 51. doi:10.3390/ insects9020051
- Zhou, Y.-L., Ślipiński, A., Ren, D. and Parker, J. (2019). A Mesozoic clown beetle myrmecophile (Coleoptera: Histeridae). *Elife* 8, e44985. doi:10.7554/eLife.44985
- Zuber, R., Norum, M., Wang, Y., Oehl, K., Gehring, N., Accardi, D., Bartozsewski, S., Berger, J., Flötenmeyer, M. and Moussian, B. (2018). The ABC transporter Snu and the extracellular protein Snsl cooperate in the formation of the lipid-based inward and outward barrier in the skin of *Drosophila*. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* **97**, 90-101. doi:10.1016/j.ejcb.2017.12.003