**SCIENTIFIC REPORTS** natureresearch

# **Patient-Derived Cells to Guide OPEN Targeted Therapy for Advanced Lung Adenocarcinoma**

**Seok-Young Kim1,2, JiYeon Lee2, Dong Hwi Kim1,2, Hyeong -Seok Joo1,2, Mi RanYun1,2, Dongmin Jung3, JiyeonYun2, SeongGu Heo2, Beung -ChulAhn2, ChaeWon Park2, Kyoung Ho Pyo2, You JinChun2, Min Hee Hong [2](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3490-2195), Hye Ryun Ki[m](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1842-9070) 2\* & ByoungChul Cho2\***

**Adequate preclinical model and model establishment procedure are required to accelerate translational research in lung cancer. We streamlined a protocol for establishing patient-derived cells (PDC) and identifed efective targeted therapies and novel resistance mechanisms using PDCs. We generated 23 PDCs from 96 malignant efusions of 77 patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma. Clinical and experimental factors were reviewed to identify determinants for PDC establishment. PDCs were characterized by driver mutations and** *in vitro* **sensitivity to targeted therapies. Seven PDCs were analyzed by whole-exome sequencing. PDCs were established at a success rate of 24.0%. Utilizing cytological diagnosis and tumor colony formation can improve the success rate upto 48.8%.**  *In vitro* **response to a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) in PDC refected patient treatment response and contributed to identifying efective therapies. Combination of dabrafenib and trametinib was potent against a rare** *BRAF* **K601E mutation. Afatinib was the most potent EGFR-TKI against uncommon**  *EGFR* **mutations including L861Q, G719C/S768I, and D770\_N771insG. Aurora kinase A (AURKA) was identifed as a novel resistance mechanism to olmutinib, a mutant-selective, third-generation EGFR-TKI, and inhibition of AURKA overcame the resistance. We presented an efficient protocol for establishing PDCs. PDCs empowered precision medicine with promising translational values.**

Non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is a leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Oncogenic driver mutations have been identifed in NSCLC including epidermal growth factor receptor gene (*EGFR*) mutations, anaplastic lymphoma kinase gene (*ALK*) fusions, v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B (*BRAF*) mutations, and *ROS1* fusions. Over the last decade, small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) have been developed to target these mutations, which revolutionized therapeutic landscape in NSCLC; Treatment with TKIs have prolonged survival and increased disease control in patients with advanced  $\mathrm{NSCLC^{1,2}}$  $\mathrm{NSCLC^{1,2}}$  $\mathrm{NSCLC^{1,2}}$  $\mathrm{NSCLC^{1,2}}$ .

Unfortunately, most patients eventually relapse within a year on TKI therapy. To date, various mechanisms of acquired resistance to TKIs have been reported. The most common molecular mechanisms of resistance are secondary mutations in kinase domains of the drug targets and activation of alternative pathways<sup>3-5</sup>. With advances in molecular profling of acquired resistance, new therapeutic strategies, such as combination targeted therapies and next-generation TKIs, have been introduced to overcome the TKI resistance<sup>[1](#page-10-0)</sup>. On the other hand, molecular determinants that clearly guide subsequent therapy have not been observed in some patients who failed to previous treatment.

Drug-resistant cell lines that are established following chronic exposure to a drug *in vitro* are conventionally used for studying the mechanisms of TKI resistance in NSCLC. However, a limited panel of NSCLC cell lines harboring the *EGFR* mutation, *ALK* fusion, or *ROS1* fusion is commercially-available. Additionally, these models may exhibit different patterns of drug sensitivity likely due to lack of genetic complexity found in patients<sup>6</sup>.

Patient-derived cells (PDC) generated from tumor specimens have shown to refect patient tumor characteristics and clinical responses<sup>7</sup>. The practical challenges for primary culture of tumor cells involve limited availa-bility of tumor specimens, outgrowth of stromal cells, and tumor cell senescence<sup>[8,](#page-10-6)[9](#page-10-7)</sup>. Here, we evaluated clinical and experimental factors that may impact a success rate of PDC establishment, which can accelerate model

<sup>1</sup>JEUK Institute for Cancer Research, JEUK Co.,Ltd., Gumi-City, Kyungbuk, Korea. <sup>2</sup>Yonsei Cancer Center, Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea. <sup>3</sup>Severance Biomedical Science Institute, Yonsei University of College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea. \*email: [NOBELG@yuhs.ac](mailto:NOBELG@yuhs.ac); [CBC1971@yuhs.ac](mailto:CBC1971@yuhs.ac)

establishment procedure and promote translational research. We also investigated resistance mechanisms and novel combinational therapies to overcome resistance to third-generation EGFR-TKIs in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC using our PDCs.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Ethics approval.** This study was approved by Yonsei University Hospital Institutional Review Board (Seoul, Korea) (IRB no.: 4-2016-0788). This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and all patients provided informed consent.

**Sample processing and establishment of patient-derived cells.** A total of 96 malignant efusions (89 pleural efusions, 4 pericardial efusions, and 3 ascites) were collected from 77 patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma at Yonsei Cancer Center between March 2016 and July 2018. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, immunohistochemistry, and direct sequencing were routinely performed for initial diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma. PANAMutyper<sup>TM</sup>R (Panagene, Daejeon, Korea) was routinely performed for genotyping of *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC at recurrence. Clinical data were retrieved based on electric medical record system.

All samples were kept on ice during transport from Yonsei Cancer Center to the laboratory where samples were processed. Ninety-two cases were assessed for malignancy by a pathologist (HS Shim), whereas four cases were rejected due to insufficient amount of cells. Samples that were positive for malignancy were defined as M+. Otherwise, samples were defned as M−.

Malignant effusions were processed as previously described<sup>10,11</sup>. In brief, samples were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min before cell pellets were suspended in PBS. Ten, cells were separated by density gradient centrifugation using Ficoll-PaquePLUS (GE Healthecare Bio-Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden). Mononuclear cells including tumor cells were isolated from the interphase layer, washed twice with HBSS, suspended in R10 medium [RPMI-1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum, 5% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% primocin (Invitrogen, Massachusetts, USA)], and seeded on collagen IV pre-coated culture plates at a density of approximately 1 to  $2 \times 10^6$  cells per plate. After culture initiation, cells were observed for 10 days using light microscopy. Tumor colony formation, defned by multiple lung adenocarcinoma cells (n  $\geq$  2) adherent to a culture plate, was occasionally observed in primary cultures (Supplementary Fig. 1)<sup>10</sup>. If such tumor colony formation was detected, a primary culture was defined as TCF+. Otherwise, a primary culture was defned as TCF- (Supplementary Fig. 1). Primary cultures were frequently contaminated with stromal cells (Supplementary Table 1). To achieve high tumor purity, diferential trypsinization was regularly performed (Supplementary Fig.  $2)^{7,12}$  $2)^{7,12}$  $2)^{7,12}$ .

**Cell culture.** H2291 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and MRC-5 cells were obtained from Korean Cell Line Bank. PC9 cells were provided by J.C. Lee (Korea Institute of Radiological and Medical Science, Seoul, Korea). H2291, PC9, and all PDCs were cultured in R10 medium. MRC-5 cells were cultured in MEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 5% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% primocin. All cells were replenished with medium every three days and maintained in a 5% CO2 incubator at 37 °C. Cells were passaged at ratios of 1:2 to 1:6 using TrypLE (Invitrogen).

**Flow cytometry.** Cells were stained with a human EpCAM antibody or a human fibroblast antibody (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Flow cytometry was performed using FACSVerse (BD Biosciences, California, USA) and analyzed with FlowJo sofware.

**DNA and RNA extractions.** gDNA was extracted from PDCs using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). In 5 cases (YU-1088, YU-1094, YU-1095, YU-1096, and YU-1097), germline DNA was extracted from normal blood of corresponding patients using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits (Qiagen). RNA was extracted from PDCs using TRIzol (Invitrogen) before being synthesized to cDNA using SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System.

**Direct sequencing.** *EGFR* sequencing service was provided by Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, Korea). *EML4-ALK* gene arrangements were PCR amplifed as previously describe[d13](#page-10-11). *CD74-ROS1, TPM3-ROS1*, *SLC34A2-ROS1* genes were PCR amplifed using AccuPower® PCR Premix (Bioneer, Seoul, Korea). All PCR primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

**Whole-exome sequencing and data analysis.** gDNA purity and concentration were tested by PicoGreen® dsDNA assay (Invitrogen) and agarose gel electrophoresis method. Genomic fragment library was prepared using SureSelect v5 Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and then sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2500 (California, USA). The resulting sequencing reads were mapped to the human genome reference (hg19) using the Burrows-Wheeler alignment tool<sup>[14,](#page-10-12)15</sup>. Somatic mutations were called using MuTect2. In 2 cases (YU-1070) and YU-1089) which lack corresponding normal blood samples, germline variants were filtered out using ExAC\_AF database at a frequency of >0.01. Copy number variation was analyzed by CNVkit in PDCs (YU-1088, YU-1094, YU-1095, YU-1096, and YU-1097) where corresponding normal blood samples were available<sup>16</sup>. Annotation was performed with cosmic database<sup>[17](#page-10-15),[18](#page-10-16)</sup>.

**Cell viability assays.** Cells were seeded at a density of 2500–5000 per well in 96-well clear bottom microplates. Cells were incubated overnight and treated with drugs for 3 days. Cell viability was analyzed using CellTiter-Glo (Promega, Wisconsin, USA). IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated using GraphPad Prism version 5. Drugs used in the assays were purchased from Selleckchem (Texas, USA). Combination index (CI) was calculated using the Chou-Talalay method and the Bliss independence model<sup>[19](#page-10-17),[20](#page-10-18)</sup>. For crystal violet assays, cells were seeded at a density of 20000 cells per well on 6-well plates. Cells were incubated overnight and exposed to the indicated drugs for 14 days. Medium containing drugs were replenished every 3 days.

**Immunoblot analysis.** Bim, Bax, Cleaved PARP, BRAF, pCRAF (S338), CRAF, MEK, pMEK (S217/221), EGFR, pEGFR (Y1068), AKT, pAKT (S473), ERK, pERK (T202/Y204), AURKA, pAURKA, S6, pS6 (S240/244), and HRP–conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Actin was obtained from Merck Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany). The immunoblots were detected by SuperSignal™ West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA).

**Statistical analysis.** In univariate analysis, the Fisher's exact test and Mann-Whitney U test were applied to investigate association between PDC establishment and variables. In multivariate analysis, multivariate logistic regression model was used.

### **Results**

**Positive cytological diagnosis of malignancy and tumor colony formation impact PDC establishment.** A total of 23 PDCs were established from malignant effusions of advanced lung adenocarcinoma at a success rate of 24.0%. Established PDCs were free of stromal cells by light microscopy, strongly positive for EpCAM (an epithelial cell marker), could be frozen/thawed, and propagated at least 10 times (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Fig. 3A)<sup>7,[21](#page-10-19),[22](#page-10-20)</sup>.

Previous studies have shown that several factors including genetic alteration impact success rate of patient-derived xenograft model establishment, whereas little is known about establishing PDC from advanced lung adenocarcinoma[23–](#page-10-21)[25](#page-10-22). To address this question, we reviewed association of factors to PDC establishment. Univariate analysis revealed that positive cytological diagnosis of malignancy (M+) and tumor colony formation in the initial primary culture (TCF+) were strongly correlated with PDC establishment ( $OR = 8.3654$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; OR=22.0772, *P*<0.001) as well as multivariate analysis (OR=4.8336, *P*=0.0239; OR=14.1733, *P*=0.0131) (Table [1](#page-3-0) and Supplementary Fig. 1). As expected, high concordance was observed between M+ and TCF+ group in malignant effusions (Fig. [1A\)](#page-4-0). The success rate was high in  $M+$ /TCF+subgroup (20/41, 48.8%), implying that these factors may be a powerful indicator of successful model establishment (Fig. [1B](#page-4-0)). A major reason for failure of model establishment was a paucity of tumor cells in samples (62/73; 84.9%) followed by tumor cell senescence (11/73; 15.1%).

**Characteristics of PDCs.** We characterized PDCs by direct sequencing  $(n=23)$  and whole-exome sequencing (WES) (n=7) (Table [2,](#page-4-1) Supplementary Table 4, and Supplementary Fig. 4). Fourteen *EGFR*-mutant cell lines were generated from *EGFR*-mutant tumors progressing to first-  $(n=8)$ , second-  $(n=1)$ , or third-generation EGFR-TKIs (n=5). Routine genetic testing of rebiopsy samples at recurrence were available in 9 patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC. Notably, *EGFR* genotypes detected in the rebiopsy samples were concordant to those in corresponding PDCs (Table [2\)](#page-4-1). Three PDCs which were originated from ALK-positive NSCLC maintained *EML4-ALK* fusion genes. Five *ROS1*-fusion PDCs which were generated from ROS1-positive NSCLC maintained various *ROS1* fusion genes (*SLC34A2-ROS1, CD74-ROS1*, and *TPM3-ROS1)* (Table [2\)](#page-4-1). WES identifed *BRAF K601E* as a driver mutation in YU-1070 cells that were derived from NSCLC without druggable genomic alterations (Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Fig. 4A). Tese results demonstrate that PDCs largely maintain known patient driver mutations.

Extensive passaging may result in a genetic drift of cell lines<sup>[26](#page-10-23),[27](#page-10-24)</sup>. To investigate this issue, we analyzed 5 PDCs at early and later passages using direct sequencing (YU-1092, YU-1096, YU-1152, and YU-1097) or WES (YU-1094). A mutation allele frequency (MAF) of EGFR mutations were preserved upto approximately 30 passages (Supplementary Fig. 4B). Furthermore, somatic mutations and copy number variations were stably maintained between passages (Supplementary Fig. 4A,C, and D). These results may suggest that driver mutations and tumor-related genes are stably maintained at least in tested PDCs.

Next, we compared *in vitro* sensitivity to TKI in PDC with response in the clinic. Ten patients in our study received subsequent TKI therapy after PDC establishment (5 osimertinib, 3 first-generation EGFR-TKI, 2 entrectinib). Twelve PDCs established from these patients were screened with TKI which the patients were treated with (Fig. [2A](#page-5-0)). Two out of fve patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC were positive for *EGFR* T790M mutation, a marker of sensitivity to osimertinib, and received clinical benefts from osimertinib therapy, achieving a partial response (PR) and relatively long progression-free survival (PFS)<sup>[3](#page-10-2)</sup>. Two corresponding PDCs (YU-1090 and YU-1073) exhibited *in vitro* sensitivity to osimertinib. Tree PDCs (YU-1093, YU-1152, and YU-1094) generated from patients who were treated with osimertinib and had progressive disease as a best response were resistant to the drug (Fig. [2B\)](#page-5-0). Three patients who received first-generation EGFR-TKI treatment did not achieve a partial response and had short PFS ( $n = 3$ ). Accordingly, 4 corresponding PDCs (YU-1088, YU-1099, YU-1095, and YU-1091) were not responsive to geftinib (Fig. [2C\)](#page-5-0). Two patients with *ROS1*-positive NSCLC received entrectinib. One patient experienced a partial response with PFS of 6.5 months and corresponding PDC (YU-1080) was sensitive to entrectinib (Supplementary Fig. 3B). Te other patient displayed cardiac toxicity to entrectinib therapy [not evaluable according to RECIST (Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors)] and switched to crizotinib. PFS on crizotinib was 4.2 months, indicating intrinsic resistance to the therapy (Fig. [2D](#page-5-0)). YU-1082 and YU-1083 cells were established from the patient before the start of crizotinib therapy and were resistant to the drug *in vitro*. A similar pattern was observed for YU-1085 cells that were established from the patient afer crizotinib therapy (Fig. [2D](#page-5-0) and [E\)](#page-5-0). Together, these data suggest that PDCs may refect patient treatment response to TKI.

**PDCs can guide the selection of potentially efective therapy in oncogene-driven lung adenocar**cinoma. *BRAF* mutations are found in 1-3% of lung adenocarcinoma<sup>[2](#page-10-1)</sup>. The two main types of *BRAF* mutations,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Table 1. Univariable and multivariable analyses for determining factors correlated to PDC establishment. <sup>a</sup>The P value is calculated using the Fisher's exact test unless indicated otherwise. <sup>b</sup>The P value is calculated with the Mann-Whitney U test. 'The P value and odd ratio are analyzed by multivariate logistic regression. PDC, patientderived cells.

V600E and non-V600E, are associated with diferent clinicopathological features of lung adenocarcinoma and exhibit different therapeutic response to BRAF-targeted targeted agents<sup>1[,28](#page-10-25)</sup>. While dabrafenib alone or in combination with trametinib demonstrated promising efficacy in BRAF V600E mutant NSCLC, appropriate treatment paradigms are still under investigation for non-V600E mutations<sup>29,30</sup>.

To identify an effective therapy for treatment of non-V600E BRAF mutant NSCLC, we tested efficacy of the single-agent and combination targeted therapy in YU-1070 cells harboring a *BRAF* K601E mutation. YU-1070 cells were highly resistant to vemurafenib, dabrafenib, and trametinib (Supplementary Fig. 5A). On the other hand, treatment with trametinib sensitized YU-1070 cells to dabrafenib (Fig. [3A](#page-6-0)). The combination of dabrafenib with trametinib induced c-Raf phosphorylation and completely blocked ERK phosphorylation (Fig. [3B\)](#page-6-0). These data demonstrate that the *BRAF* K601E mutation may respond to the dabrafenib/trametinib combination therapy.

Most NSCLC patients harboring common *EGFR* mutations, such as deletions in exon 19 or the L858R mutation in exon 21, respond dramatically to EGFR-TKIs. However, there is a paucity of data regarding the activity of



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Factors critical to establishing PDCs of advanced lung adenocarcinoma. (**A**) Sankey plot visualizes correspondence between positive cytological diagnosis of malignancy (lef) and tumor colony formation within 10 days of culture initiation (right) in malignant efusions. (**B**) Bar graph shows success rates of PDC establishment for total ( $n=96$ ) or M+/TCF+ samples ( $n=41$ ).

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

Table 2. Characteristics of PDCs. <sup>a</sup>Routine genetic testing results were available in re-biopsy samples after disease progression in these cases and confirmed driver mutations detected in corresponding PDCs. <sup>b</sup>PDC was generated from advanced lung adenocarcinoma progressing to the annotated therapy. N/A, not available.

EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC harbor uncommon *EGFR* mutations, such as G719X, L861Q, S768I alone or in combination with each other, which occur in approximately 10% of *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC<sup>[31](#page-10-28)</sup>.

To select the best treatment, we tested efficacy of EGFR-TKIs in YU-1092 cells (*EGFR* L861Q) and YU-1099 cells (*EGFR* G719C/S768I). Both YU-1092 and YU-1099 cells were resistant to geftinib (Fig. [3C](#page-6-0) and [D](#page-6-0)). Afatinib was the most potent drug with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 6 nmol/L (*EGFR* L861Q) and 106 nmol/L (*EGFR* G719C/S768I) (Fig. [3C\)](#page-6-0). Osimertinib was moderately effective against *EGFR* L861Q (IC<sub>50</sub> = 75 nmol/L) and ineffective against the G719C/S768I complex mutation (IC<sub>50</sub> = 836 nmol/L) (Fig. [3C](#page-6-0)). Afatinib more potently decreased EGFR and S6 phosphorylation compared with geftinib and osimertinib in YU-1099 cells (Fig. [3D\)](#page-6-0).

*EGFR* exon 20 insertions are among the rarer *EGFR* mutations (approximately 9% of *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC patients) and treatment for these mutations remain elusive without an approved inhibitor<sup>32,33</sup>. To identify optimal EGFR-TKIs, we investigated YU-1074 cells harboring the *EGFR* D770\_N771insG mutation (Fig. [3C](#page-6-0)). Afatinib





**Cell line ID EGFR mutation status** *In vitro*  **sensitivity to gefitinib (IC50, nmol/L) Prior TKI therapy Subsequent TKI therapy Best response by RECIST criteria PFS on subsequent therapy (Mo) Response in the clinic vs** *in vitro*  **sensitivity** YU-1088 Exon 19 deletion 765 Osimertinib Gefitinib SD 7.0 Matching YU-1099 G719C/S768I 1148 Gefitinib Erlotinib SD 5.6 Matching YU-1095 Exon 19 deletion 1953 Osimertinib Gefitinib SD 7.0 Matching YU-1091 L858R 5264 Afatinib+Ruxolitinib Erlotinib SD 2.5 Matching **C**



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Figure 2.** PDC modeling of patient treatment response to TKIs. (**A**) Twelve PDCs were established from 10 patients who received subsequent TKI therapy. Clinical follow-up data were retrospectively collected. At the same time, PDCs were screened with TKI which the patients received. *In vitro* sensitivity to the TKI in the PDC and response in the clinic were compared. (**B**) Summary of 5 EGFR-mutant PDCs which were generated from patients treated with osimertinib as subsequent TKI therapy. (**C**) Summary of 4 EGFR-mutant PDCs which were generated from patients treated with a frst-generation EGFR-TKI as subsequent TKI therapy. (**D**) Clinical course of a patient with ROS1-positive NSCLC. YU-1082, YU-1083, and YU-1085 cells were derived from patient tumors at the indicated time points. (**E**) YU-1082, YU-1083, and 1085 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of crizotinib. Data are presented as the mean $\pm$  SEM (n = 3). PR, partial response; PD, progressive-disease; SD, stable disease; PFS, progression-free survival.

potently inhibited growth of YU-1074 cells, whereas osimertinib was less effective than afatinib (Fig. [3C](#page-6-0)). Together, these data suggest that afatinib among all EGFR-TKIs tested may be the most efective treatment for the uncommon *EGFR* mutations.

*EGFR* C797S mutation is one of the most commonly reported mechanisms of acquired resistance to third-generation EGFR-TKIs<sup>[5](#page-10-3)</sup>. EGFR T790M mutation *in cis* to C797S mutation confers resistance to third-generation EGFR-TKIs as well as first-generation EGFR-TKIs<sup>[34](#page-10-31)</sup>. A combination of brigatinib and cetuximab has been introduced to overcome the C797S-mediated resistance<sup>35</sup>. We aimed to evaluate EGFR-TKI efficacies in YU-1097 cells harboring an *EGFR* exon 19 del/T790M/C797S mutation (T790M *in cis* to C797S). YU-1097 cells were resistant to single-agent geftinib, afatinib, osimertinib, and brigatinib (Supplementary Fig. 5B). Notably, YU-1097 cells were highly sensitive to the combination of brigatinib and cetuximab (Fig. [3E\)](#page-6-0). The drug combi-nation synergistically suppressed phosphorylation of AKT and ERK (Fig. [3F](#page-6-0)). These results show that the triple mutation may respond to the brigatinib/cetuximab combination therapy.

**AURKA as a potential therapeutic target in** *EGFR***-mutant NSCLC resistant to third-generation EGFR-TKI.** Mechanisms of drug resistance remains elusive in 47–60% of patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC









 $\sim$ 

 $\subseteq$  $\mathbf{S}$ 

 $\Omega$ 

**RRAF** 





YU-1097 (EGFR exon 19 del/T790M/C797S)





<span id="page-6-0"></span>Figure 3. PDCs can guide the selection of potentially effective therapy in oncogene-driven lung adenocarcinoma. (**A**) YU-1070 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of trametinib alone or in combination with dabrafenib. (ANOVA with Dunnett's post test:  $*p < 0.05$ ,  $***p < 0.001$  vs the value in DMSO control,  $^{***}p < 0.05$  vs the value at the indicated comparison,  $n=3$  (B) YU-1070 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of trametinib alone or in combination with dabrafenib for 1hour. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. (**C**) YU-1092, YU-1099, and YU-1074 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of gefitinib, afatinib, or osimertinib. Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM  $(n=3)$  (two-tailed Student *t*-test: \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.005 vs the value at the indicated comparison). n.s., not signifcant. (**D**) YU-1099 cells were treated with indicated concentrations of geftinib, afatinib, or osimertinib for 24hours. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. (**E**) YU-1097 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of brigatinib alone or in combination with cetuximab for 2 weeks. Colony formation was stained by crystal violet (upper panel). The bar graph shows quantification of the crystal violet staining (lower panel). (ANOVA with Dunnett's post test: \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.001 vs the value in negative

**F** 

control,  $\dot{\tau}$   $p$  < 0.05 vs the value at the indicated comparison, n = 3). (**F**) YU-1097 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of brigatinib alone or in combination with cetuximab for 6hours. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. (**A** and **C**) Cell viability was measured by CellTiter-Glo. Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 3). (**B**, **D**, and **F**) Immunoblots are representative of 3 independent experiments. The full-length blots can be found in Supplementary Fig. 6.

progressing to third-generation EGFR-TKIs, posing a challenge to clinical decision making for these patients<sup>36,37</sup>. Using our clinically-relevant cell lines, we aimed to provide therapeutic strategies in this setting. In our panel of PDCs resistant to third-generation EGFR-TKIs, WES revealed genetic alterations (*EGFR* C797S, *MET* amplifca-tion, PIK3CA amplification, and PTEN loss) associated with osimertinib resistance<sup>[36](#page-10-33)-38</sup>. However, known genetic alteration associated with drug response was not observed in YU-1089 cells (Fig. [4A,](#page-8-0) Supplementary Fig. 4A and E). First-, second-, and third-generation EGFR-TKIs failed to inhibit growth of YU-1089 cells (Fig. [4B\)](#page-8-0). The EGFR-TKIs suppressed phosphorylation of EGFR and ERK but had no efect on phosphorylation of AKT (Fig. [4C\)](#page-8-0).

To overcome the *EGFR*-independent mechanism of olmutinib resistance using YU-1089 cells, we comprised a panel of 79 investigational or FDA-approved drugs which target a wide range of kinases (Supplementary Table 5). Then, we performed drug combination screening on YU-1089 cells with olmutinib and each drug in the panel to nominate potent drug combinations. The screening identified 41 drugs with synergistic effects (CI < 1). The most strong synergy was observed with tozasertib, which targets Aurora kinases (Fig. [4D](#page-8-0))<sup>39</sup>.

We next characterized the synergistic effect of combined *EGFR* and aurora kinase inhibition. The combination of olmutinib with tozasertib potently inhibited colony formation of YU-1089 cells compared to either agent alone (Fig. [4E](#page-8-0)). The robust synergism was confirmed in a  $5 \times 5$  dose response matrix by using the Chou-Talalay method, resulting in a combination index (CI) value of 0.029 at 50% growth inhibition. Furthermore, the combination of olmutinib with tozasertib synergistically decreased phosphorylation of AKT and ERK and increased expression of apoptotic markers in YU-1089 cells. The comparable antitumor synergy was also shown by a combination of olmutinib with alisertib, a highly selective Aurora A kinase inhibitor under clinical development  $(CI = 0.196$  at 44% growth inhibition), and a combination of osimertinib with tozasertib  $(CI = 0.189$  at 52% growth inhibition) (Supplementary Fig. 7A[\)40.](#page-10-37) Recently, Shah *et al*. has shown that AURKA confers resistance to third-generation EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC and inhibition of AURKA can resensitize the tumor to EGFR-TKIs<sup>41</sup>. Tus, we tested if this drug combination strategy is applicable to other osimertinib-resistant PDCs. However, the osimertinib/alisertib combination was less potent in YU-1095, YU-1096, and YU-1097 cells than in YU-1089 cells (Supplementary Fig. 7B). These differential responses to combined EGFR and AUKRA inhibition may be due to difference in AURKA expression<sup>41[,42](#page-10-39)</sup>. Supporting this hypothesis, AURKA expression was lower in PDCs that were not responsive to the drug combination (Supplementary Fig. 7C)<sup>[41,](#page-10-38)42</sup>. Together, these results suggest that Aurora kinase A may be an actionable therapeutic target to overcome acquired resistance to third-generation EGFR-TKIs in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC.

#### **Discussion**

In this study, we established 23 PDCs that represent molecularly heterogeneous subsets of advanced lung adenocarcinoma. Among them, cell lines of *ROS1* fusions with various fusion partners, uncommon *EGFR* mutations, a resistant C797S mutation, and a rare *BRAF* mutation were included (Table [2](#page-4-1)[\)5](#page-10-3),[43](#page-11-0). To the best of our knowledge, there are no commercially-available NSCLC cell lines endogenously harboring these mutations. Using novel cell lines, we presented efective therapeutic strategies which may inform future clinical decision making.

Selection of appropriate tumor specimens is important for successfully establishing patient-derived models<sup>23,24</sup>. Previous studies have shown that tumor cellularity in malignant effusions of NSCLC is highly variable, ranging from 0.1% to 90[%44](#page-11-1). Furthermore, cytological diagnosis of malignant efusions can be misleading because of potential mimics such as reactive mesothelial cells[45](#page-11-2). To use the malignant efusion as starting material, there is an urgent need to optimize establishment procedures. Our fndings provided the evidence that both positive cytological diagnosis of malignancy  $(M+)$  and tumor colony formation  $(TCF+)$  were crucial to establishing PDCs from malignant effusions. Indeed, using  $M+/TCF+$  malignant effusions can increase the success rate of PDC by approximately 2-fold (24.0% vs 48.8%). Additionally, M-/TCF−, M−/TCF+, and M+/TCF− malignant efusions (55/96, 57.3%) that have a low potential for establishing PDCs (3/55; 5.5%) can be excluded in a stepwise manner, thereby substantially reducing time and efort needed for sample processing and subsequent long-term culture. Carter *et al*. has shown that tumor cellularity in malignant efusions of advanced NSCLC is not correlated to sample volume<sup>44</sup>. Accordingly, we observed sample volume did not impact cytological diagnosis ( $P=0.42372$ ) or PDC establishment (*P*=0.58232) (Table [1](#page-3-0) and Supplementary Fig. 9). Although the diference was not statistically signifcant (OR=0.5904, *P*=0.3064) (Table [1](#page-3-0)), we observed a higher success rate in *EGFR* wild-type cases (31.0%) than *EGFR* mutant cases (20.9%). Similarly, John *et al*. and our group reported the negative correlation between EGFR mutations and NSCLC PDX model establishment from surgical resection, which may refect a favorable prognostic value of EGFR mutations<sup>[46](#page-11-3)[–48](#page-11-4)</sup>. Interestingly, we noted tumor cell senescence in some  $M+/$ TCF+ primary cultures (11/41; 26.8%) between 4 to 7 passages. Despite high tumor purity, 5 PDCs became senescent between 10 to 23 passages, whereas other PDCs stably propagated over serial passage (Supplementary Table 3). These results show that some advanced lung adenocarcinoma (16/41; 39.0%) may depend on niche factors, which are not provided by R10 medium or autocrine signaling, for optimal growth. Notedly, recent study has utilized Wnt, FGF7, and FGF10 to establish NSCLC organoid models<sup>[49](#page-11-5)</sup>. The success rate for organoids was higher than the success rate for PDX or PDC, implying that these specifc factors may be associated with niche factor dependency observed in the subset of advanced lung adenocarcinoma<sup>[48,](#page-11-4)49</sup>. Direct comparison between these



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 4.** Aurora kinase A as a potential therapeutic target in EGFR-mutant NSCLC resistant to olmutinib. (**A**) Summary of WES analysis in PDCs resistant to third-generation EGFR-TKIs. Known mechanisms of resistance to osimertinib are shown. (**B**) Inhibition of YU-1089 cells by gefitinib ( $IC_{50} = 2,162$  nmol/L), erlotinib  $(IC_{50} = 1,231 \text{ nmol/L})$ , afatinib  $(IC_{50} = 275 \text{ nmol/L})$ , dacomitinib  $(IC_{50} = 244 \text{ nmol/L})$ , neratinib  $(IC_{50} = 275 \text{ nmol/L})$ nmol/L), olmutinib (IC<sub>50</sub> = 857 nmol/L), osimertinib (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1,013 nmol/L), and nazartinib (IC<sub>50</sub> = 3,908 nmol/L). Cell viability was measured by CellTiter-Glo. Data are presented as the mean±SEM (n=3). (**C**) YU-1089 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of geftinib, afatinib, or osimertinib for 6hours. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. Immunoblots are representative of 3 independent experiments. (**D**) Combinatorial drug screening with 1μM of olmutinib and 1μM of each kinase inhibitor from the drug library was performed on YU-1089 cells to identify potent drug combinations. The x axis represents a number of kinase inhibitors used in this screen. The y axis represents combination index (CI) determined by the Bliss independence model. Each dot is the resulting CI for the individual drug. Gray dots indicate drugs with antagonism (CI > 1), whereas black dots indicate drugs with synergism (CI < 1). Tozasertib (red) exhibited the

strongest synergistic effect. The screening identified 41 drugs with synergistic effects (CI < 1). (**E**) YU-1089 cells were treated with olmutinib alone, tozasertib alone, or a combination of olmutinib with tozasertib for 2 weeks. Colony formation was stained by crystal violet (upper panel). The bar graph (lower panel) shows quantification of the crystal violet staining. (ANOVA with Dunnett's post test: \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$  vs the value in negative contr  $^{***}$ p < 0.001 vs the value at the indicated comparison, n = 3). (F) YU-1089 cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of tozasertib or in combination with olmutinib for 24hours. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. Immunoblots are representative of 3 independent experiments. The full-length blots can be found in Supplementary Fig. 8.

patient-derived models may provide insight into tumorigenesis of NSCLC and therapeutic potential for targeting these niche factors and related signaling pathways.

To demonstrate clinical relevance, we tested efficacy of single-agent or combination targeted therapies in our PDCs harboring a *BRAF* K601E mutation and uncommon *EGFR* mutations (L861Q, G719C/S768I, D770\_ N771GinsG). Our data suggest that the *BRAF K601E* mutation may respond to a combination of dabrafenib and trametinib in a similar manner to a BRAF V600E mutation<sup>29</sup>. Indeed, the drug combination has demonstrated efficacy in a PDX model of *BRAF* K601E mutated melanoma<sup>[50](#page-11-6)</sup>. Generally, NSCLC with uncommon *EGFR* muta-tion has been known to be less sensitive to first-generation EGFR-TKIs<sup>[31,](#page-10-28)[51,](#page-11-7)52</sup>. Similar to our findings in a PDC harboring the L861Q mutation, afatinib has shown lower  $IC_{50}$  values than first- or third-generation EGFR-TKIs in genetically-engineered Ba/F3 cells<sup>31[,53](#page-11-9)[,54](#page-11-10)</sup>. To our knowledge, we first reported *in vitro* efficacy of EGFR-TKIs against the *EGFR* G719C/S768I mutation and demonstrated that afatinib was the most potent among other EGFR-TKIs against the *EGFR* G719C/S768I mutation. These previous findings and ours corroborate the clinical activity of afatinib in patients with the uncommon mutations with an overall response rate (ORR) of 71.1% and median PFS of 10.7 months<sup>[32](#page-10-29)</sup>.

However, we noted that  $IC_{50}$  values of osimertinib in YU-1092 cells and YU-1074 cells were comparable to the reported mean plasma concentration in patients receiving osimertinib ( $\approx$ 120 nmol/L), suggesting a potential of osimertinib against these mutations<sup>55</sup>. Consistent with our preclinical findings, osimertinib was shown to achieve an ORR of 60% in 5 patients with NSCLC harboring uncommon *EGFR* mutations (G719X, G719X/ S768I, and L861Q)<sup>56</sup>. Additionally, nazartinib, a third-generation EGFR-TKI, also demonstrated preclinical activity against major variants of *EGFR* exon 20 insertions (D770\_N771insSVD, V769\_D770insASV, and H773\_ V774insNPH)<sup>[57](#page-11-13)</sup>. More recently, a patient with lung adenocarcinoma harboring *EGFR* exon 20 insertion (S768\_ D770dup) was shown to respond to osimertini[b58.](#page-11-14) Together, patients with NSCLC harboring an *EGFR* L861Q or D770\_N771insG mutation may respond to osimertinib.

To date, heterogeneous mechanisms of osimertinib resistance have been reported<sup>5</sup>. Our data suggest that *EGFR* C797S-mediated resistance can be overcome by a combination of brigatinib and cetuximab, consistent with the previous finding<sup>35</sup>. Recent study has shown that overexpression of AURKA and its upstream TPX2 confers resistance to osimertinib and rociletinib<sup>41</sup>. Indeed, we found that combined inhibition of EGFR and AURKA is efficacious in YU-1089 cells that were established from patient tumor progressing to olmutinib (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)). It is plausible that YU-1089 cells responded to tozasertib and alisertib due to elevated expression of AURKA $41,42$ .

We observed that EGFR-TKI treatment in EGFR-mutant PDCs increases total EGFR protein (Fig. [3D,F](#page-6-0) and [4C\)](#page-8-0). Previous studies and ours imply that this phenomenon may be common among EGFR-TKI resistant cell lines, although a molecular mechanism behind the phenomenon remains unclear<sup>34,35</sup>. It is well established that inhibition of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling pathway causes temporary relief of RTK-dependent negative feedback mechanisms, resulting in a rebound in RTK expression or downstream signaling activation<sup>[59,](#page-11-15)60</sup>. EGFR signaling is regulated by various EGFR inducible negative regulators such as LRIG1, MIG6, SOCS4, and SOC5<sup>61</sup>. Furthermore, LRIG1 and MIG6 are overexpressed in EGFR-mutant NSCLC cell lines and function as a negative regulator of EGFR signaling<sup>[61](#page-11-17)[–63](#page-11-18)</sup>. These findings may suggest a possible involvement of EGFR inducible negative regulators in EGFR upregulation afer EGFR-TKI treatment. Further studies are required to investigate mecha-nisms of the EGFR rebound and its relationship to EGFR-TKI resistance<sup>[59](#page-11-15),[60](#page-11-16)</sup>.

Tis study had several limitations. Previous studies have demonstrated that long-term culture of patient-derived models results in accumulation of somatic mutations and subclonal selection. Occasionally, these genetic drifs may functionally impact drug sensitivity<sup>[26](#page-10-23),[27](#page-10-24)</sup>. PDCs in our study varied in their growth rates and time they take to achieve high tumor purity (Supplementary Table 3). We observed that majority of PDCs at early passages (1 to 8, median passage number of 3) were contaminated with fbroblasts (0%–51.9%, median value of 3.94%) in line with previous findings (Supplementary Table  $1)^8$ . Because of differential trypsinization, fibroblasts did not overgrow tumor cells, although fbroblast contamination generally resulted in additional cell passaging and a delay in functional tests (Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). Particularly, drug testing in 1 PDC was only available afer 20 passages, which may not well represent patient tumor. Therefore, improved culture conditions should be tested in M+/TCF+malignant efusions to accelerate tumor growth and turn-around time for functional assays. We also acknowledge that the presented therapeutic strategies should be validated in prospective clinical studies.

In summary, we streamlined a protocol for establishing PDCs and showed that these PDCs can be valuable preclinical platforms for designing therapeutic strategies.

#### **Data availability**

Materials and data are available upon reasonable request to corresponding authors.

Received: 7 August 2019; Accepted: 2 December 2019; Published online: 27 December 2019

#### **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Nguyen-Ngoc, T., Bouchaab, H., Adjei, A. A. & Peters, S. BRAF Alterations as Terapeutic Targets in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Torac Oncol* **10**, 1396–1403 (2015).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>2. Pao, W. & Girard, N. New driver mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Lancet Oncol* **12**, 175–180 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>3. Mok, T. S. *et al*. Osimertinib or Platinum-Pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-Positive Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* **376**, 629–640 (2016).
- 4. Oxnard, G. R. *et al*. Preliminary results of TATTON, a multi-arm phase Ib trial of AZD9291 combined with MEDI4736, AZD6094 or selumetinib in EGFR-mutant lung cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **33** (2015).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>5. Lim, S. M., Syn, N. L., Cho, B. C. & Soo, R. A. Acquired resistance to EGFR targeted therapy in non-small cell lung cancer: Mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. *Cancer Treat Rev* **65**, 1–10 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>6. Gainor, J. F. *et al*. Molecular Mechanisms of Resistance to First- and Second-Generation ALK Inhibitors in ALK-Rearranged Lung Cancer. *Cancer Discov* **6**, 1118–1133 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>7. Crystal, A. S. *et al*. Patient-derived models of acquired resistance can identify efective drug combinations for cancer. *Science* **346**, 1480–1486 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>8. Brower, M., Carney, D. N., Oie, H. K., Gazdar, A. F. & Minna, J. D. Growth of cell lines and clinical specimens of human non-small cell lung cancer in a serum-free defned medium. *Cancer Res* **46**, 798–806 (1986).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>9. Liu, X. *et al*. ROCK inhibitor and feeder cells induce the conditional reprogramming of epithelial cells. *Am J Pathol* **180**, 599–607 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>10. Mancini, R. *et al*. Spheres derived from lung adenocarcinoma pleural efusions: molecular characterization and tumor engrafment. *PLoS One* **6**, e21320 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>11. Roscilli, G. *et al*. Human lung adenocarcinoma cell cultures derived from malignant pleural efusions as model system to predict patients chemosensitivity. *J Transl Med* **14**, 61 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>12. Jones, J. C. Reduction of contamination of epithelial cultures by fbroblasts. *CSH Protoc* **2008**, pdb prot4478 (2008).
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>13. Soda, M. *et al*. A prospective PCR-based screening for the EML4-ALK oncogene in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **18**, 5682–5689 (2012).
- <span id="page-10-13"></span><span id="page-10-12"></span>14. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589–595 (2010). 15. Tso, K. Y., Lee, S. D., Lo, K. W. & Yip, K. Y. Are special read alignment strategies necessary and cost-efective when handling sequencing reads from patient-derived tumor xenografs? *BMC Genomics* **15**, 1172 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>16. Talevich, E., Shain, A. H., Botton, T. & Bastian, B. C. CNVkit: Genome-Wide Copy Number Detection and Visualization from Targeted DNA Sequencing. *PLoS Comput Biol* **12**, e1004873 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>17. Cibulskis, K. *et al*. Sensitive detection of somatic point mutations in impure and heterogeneous cancer samples. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**, 213–219 (2013).
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>18. Forbes, S. A. *et al*. COSMIC: exploring the world's knowledge of somatic mutations in human cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, D805–811 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>19. Chou, T. C. Drug combination studies and their synergy quantifcation using the Chou-Talalay method. *Cancer Res* **70**, 440–446 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>20. Zhao, W. *et al*. A New Bliss Independence Model to Analyze Drug Combination Data. *J Biomol Screen* **19**, 817–821 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>21. Spizzo, G. *et al*. EpCAM expression in primary tumour tissues and metastases: an immunohistochemical analysis. *J Clin Pathol* **64**, 415–420 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>22. Zheng, C., Sun, Y. H., Ye, X. L., Chen, H. Q. & Ji, H. B. Establishment and characterization of primary lung cancer cell lines from Chinese population. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* **32**, 385–392 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>23. Katsiampoura, A. *et al*. Modeling of Patient-Derived Xenografs in Colorectal Cancer. *Mol Cancer Ter* **16**, 1435–1442 (2017).
- <span id="page-10-40"></span>24. Wu, L. *et al*. Patient-Derived Xenograft Establishment from Human Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. *Clin Cancer Res* **23**, 1060–1067 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>25. Masuda, N., Fukuoka, M., Takada, M., Kudoh, S. & Kusunoki, Y. Establishment and characterization of 20 human non-small cell lung cancer cell lines in a serum-free defned medium (ACL-4). *Chest* **100**, 429–438 (1991).
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>26. Knudsen, E. S. *et al*. Pancreatic cancer cell lines as patient-derived avatars: genetic characterisation and functional utility. *Gut* **67**, 508–520 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>27. Li, X. *et al*. Organoid cultures recapitulate esophageal adenocarcinoma heterogeneity providing a model for clonality studies and precision therapeutics. *Nat Commun* **9**, 2983 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>28. Marchetti, A. *et al*. Clinical features and outcome of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer harboring BRAF mutations. *J Clin Oncol* **29**, 3574–3579 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>29. Planchard, D. *et al*. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with previously treated BRAF(V600E)-mutant metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: an open-label, multicentre phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* **17**, 984–993 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>30. Planchard, D. *et al*. Dabrafenib in patients with BRAF(V600E)-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: a single-arm, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* **17**, 642–650 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>31. Banno, E. *et al*. Sensitivities to various epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors of uncommon epidermal growth factor receptor mutations L861Q and S768I: What is the optimal epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor? *Cancer Sci* **107**, 1134–1140 (2016).
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>32. Yang, J. C. *et al*. Clinical activity of afatinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring uncommon EGFR mutations: a combined post-hoc analysis of LUX-Lung 2, LUX-Lung 3, and LUX-Lung 6. *Lancet Oncol* **16**, 830–838 (2015).
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>33. Harada, T. *et al*. Characterization of epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer patients of African-American ancestry. *Oncogene* **30**, 1744–1752 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>34. Niederst, M. J. *et al*. Te Allelic Context of the C797S Mutation Acquired upon Treatment with Tird-Generation EGFR Inhibitors Impacts Sensitivity to Subsequent Treatment Strategies. *Clin Cancer Res* **21**, 3924–3933 (2015).
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>35. Uchibori, K. *et al*. Brigatinib combined with anti-EGFR antibody overcomes osimertinib resistance in EGFR-mutated non-small-cell lung cancer. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14768 (2017).
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>36. Piotrowska, Z. *et al*. Landscape of Acquired Resistance to Osimertinib in EGFR-Mutant NSCLC and Clinical Validation of Combined EGFR and RET Inhibition with Osimertinib and BLU-667 for Acquired RET Fusion. *Cancer Discov* **8**, 1529–1539 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-34"></span>37. Le, X. *et al*. Landscape of EGFR-Dependent and -Independent Resistance Mechanisms to Osimertinib and Continuation Terapy Beyond Progression in EGFR-Mutant NSCLC. *Clin Cancer Res* **24**, 6195–6203 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-35"></span>38. Kim, T. M. *et al*. Mechanisms of Acquired Resistance to AZD9291: A Mutation-Selective, Irreversible EGFR Inhibitor. *J Torac Oncol* **10**, 1736–1744 (2015).
- <span id="page-10-36"></span>39. Harrington, E. A. *et al*. VX-680, a potent and selective small-molecule inhibitor of the Aurora kinases, suppresses tumor growth *in vivo*. *Nat Med* **10**, 262–267 (2004).
- <span id="page-10-37"></span>40. Melichar, B. *et al*. Safety and activity of alisertib, an investigational aurora kinase A inhibitor, in patients with breast cancer, small-cell lung cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer, head and neck squamous-cell carcinoma, and gastro-oesophageal adenocarcinoma: a fvearm phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol* **16**, 395–405 (2015).
- <span id="page-10-38"></span>41. Shah, K. N. *et al*. Aurora kinase A drives the evolution of resistance to third-generation EGFR inhibitors in lung cancer. *Nat Med* **25**, 111–118 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-39"></span>42. Huang, X. F. *et al*. Aurora kinase inhibitory VX-680 increases Bax/Bcl-2 ratio and induces apoptosis in Aurora-A-high acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **111**, 2854–2865 (2008).
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>43. Lin, J. J., Riely, G. J. & Shaw, A. T. Targeting ALK: Precision Medicine Takes on Drug Resistance. *Cancer Discov* **7**, 137–155 (2017).
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>44. Carter, J. et al. Molecular Profiling of Malignant Pleural Effusion in Metastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Carcinoma. The Effect of Preanalytical Factors. *Ann Am Torac Soc* **14**, 1169–1176 (2017).
- <span id="page-11-3"></span><span id="page-11-2"></span>45. Idowu, M. O. & Powers, C. N. Lung cancer cytology: potential pitfalls and mimics - a review. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* **3**, 367–385 (2010). 46. Coate, L. E., John, T., Tsao, M. S. & Shepherd, F. A. Molecular predictive and prognostic markers in non-small-cell lung cancer.
- *Lancet Oncol* **10**, 1001–1010 (2009). 47. John, T. *et al.* The ability to form primary tumor xenografts is predictive of increased risk of disease recurrence in early-stage nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **17**, 134–141 (2011).
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>48. Kang, H. N. *et al*. Establishment of a platform of non-small-cell lung cancer patient-derived xenografs with clinical and genomic annotation. *Lung Cancer* **124**, 168–178 (2018).
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>49. Sachs, N. *et al*. Long-term expanding human airway organoids for disease modeling. *EMBO J* **38** (2019).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>50. Rogiers, A. *et al*. Dabrafenib plus trametinib in BRAF K601E-mutant melanoma. *Br J Dermatol* **180**, 421–422 (2018).
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>51. Hata, A. *et al*. Complex mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor gene in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Torac Oncol* **5**, 1524–1528 (2010).
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>52. Galli, G. *et al*. Uncommon mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor and response to frst and second generation tyrosine kinase inhibitors: A case series and literature review. *Lung Cancer* **115**, 135–142 (2018).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>53. Kobayashi, Y. & Mitsudomi, T. Not all epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer are created equal: Perspectives for individualized treatment strategy. *Cancer Science* **107**, 1179–1186 (2016).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>54. Masuzawa, K. *et al*. Characterization of the efcacies of osimertinib and nazartinib against cells expressing clinically relevant epidermal growth factor receptor mutations. *Oncotarget* **8**, 105479–105491 (2017).
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>55. Cross, D. A. *et al*. AZD9291, an irreversible EGFR TKI, overcomes T790M-mediated resistance to EGFR inhibitors in lung cancer. *Cancer Discov* **4**, 1046–1061 (2014).
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>56. Ramalingam, S. S. *et al*. Osimertinib As First-Line Treatment of EGFR Mutation-Positive Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **36**, 841–849 (2017).
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>57. Jia, Y. *et al*. EGF816 Exerts Anticancer Efects in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer by Irreversibly and Selectively Targeting Primary and Acquired Activating Mutations in the EGF Receptor. *Cancer Res* **76**, 1591–1602 (2016).
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>58. Piotrowska, Z., Fintelmann, F. J., Sequist, L. V. & Jahagirdar, B. Response to Osimertinib in an EGFR Exon 20 Insertion-Positive Lung Adenocarcinoma. *J Torac Oncol* **13**, e204–e206 (2018).
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>59. Lito, P. *et al*. Relief of profound feedback inhibition of mitogenic signaling by RAF inhibitors attenuates their activity in BRAFV600E melanomas. *Cancer Cell* **22**, 668–682 (2012).
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>60. Serra, V. *et al*. PI3K inhibition results in enhanced HER signaling and acquired ERK dependency in HER2-overexpressing breast cancer. *Oncogene* **30**, 2547–2557 (2011).
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>61. Segatto, O., Anastasi, S. & Alema, S. Regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor signalling by inducible feedback inhibitors. *J Cell Sci* **124**, 1785–1793 (2011).
- 62. Nagashima, T. *et al*. Mutation of epidermal growth factor receptor is associated with MIG6 expression. *FEBS J* **276**, 5239–5251 (2009).
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>63. Torigoe, H. *et al*. Tumor-suppressive efect of LRIG1, a negative regulator of ErbB, in non-small cell lung cancer harboring mutant EGFR. *Carcinogenesis* **39**, 719–727 (2018).

## **Acknowledgements**

We thank patients for donating tissue samples. Whole blood samples were provided by the Biobank, Severance Hospital, Seoul, Korea. This study was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the NRF funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (2016R1A2B3016282).

## **Author contributions**

Conception and design: S.-Y. Kim, H.R. Kim, B.C. Cho. Methodology: S.-Y. Kim. Acquisition of data: S.-Y. Kim, H.R. Kim, J.Y. Lee, D.H. Kim, H.-S. Joo, J.Y. Yun, B.-C. Ahn, C.W. Park, K.H. Pyo, Y.J. Chun, M.H. Hong, B.C. Cho. Analysis and interpretation of data: S.-Y. Kim, H.R. Kim, M.R. Yun, D.M. Jung, S.G. Heo, B.C. Cho. Writing and editing of the manuscript: S.-Y. Kim, H.R. Kim, B.C. Cho. Study supervision: B.C. Cho.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

## **Additional information**

**Supplementary information** is available for this paper at<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56356-4>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to H.R.K. or B.C.C.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Commons Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2019$