


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access



Etanercept treatment for extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis, enthesitis-related arthritis, or psoriatic arthritis: 6-year efficacy and safety data from an open-label trial

Ivan Foeldvari¹, Tamàs Constantin², Jelena Vojinović³, Gerd Horneff^{4,5}, Vyacheslav Chasnyk⁶, Joke Dehoorne⁷, Violeta Panaviene^{8,9}, Gordana Sušić¹⁰, Valda Stanevicha¹¹, Katarzyna Kobusinska¹², Zbigniew Zuber¹³, Bogna Dobrzyniecka¹⁴, Irina Nikishina¹⁵, Brigitte Bader-Meunier¹⁶, Luciana Breda¹⁷, Pavla Doležalová¹⁸, Chantal Job-Deslandre¹⁹, Ingrida Rumba-Rozenfelde^{20,21}, Nico Wulffraat²², Ronald D. Pedersen²³, Jack F. Bukowski²³, Bonnie Vlahos²³, Alberto Martini²⁴, Nicolino Ruperto^{25*}  and for the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO)

Abstract

Background: To describe the 6-year safety and efficacy of etanercept (ETN) in children with extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (eoJIA), enthesitis-related arthritis (ERA), and psoriatic arthritis (PsA)

Methods: Patients who completed the 2-year, open-label, phase III *CLinical Study In Pediatric Patients of Etanercept for Treatment of ERA, PsA, and Extended Oligoarthritis (CLIPPER)* were allowed to enroll in its 8-year long-term extension (CLIPPER2). Children received ETN at a once-weekly dose of 0.8 mg/kg, up to a maximum dose of 50 mg/week. Efficacy assessments included the JIA core set of outcomes, the JIA American College of Rheumatology response criteria (JIA-ACR), and the Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Score (JADAS). Efficacy data are reported as responder analyses using a hybrid method for missing data imputation and as observed cases. Safety assessments included treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs).

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: nicolaruperto@gaslini.org

²⁵Clinica Pediatrica e Reumatologia, IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, EULAR Centre of Excellence in Rheumatology 2008-2023, Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO), Via Gaslini, 5, 16147 Genoa, Italy
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

(Continued from previous page)

Results: Out of 127 patients originally enrolled in CLIPPER, 109 (86%) entered CLIPPER2. After 6 years of trial participation (2 years in CLIPPER and 4 years in CLIPPER2), 41 (32%) patients were still taking ETN, 13 (11%) entered the treatment withdrawal phase after achieving low/inactive disease (of whom 7 had to restart ETN), 36 (28%) discontinued treatment for other reasons but are still being observed, and 37 (29%) discontinued treatment permanently. According to the hybrid imputation analysis, proportions of patients achieving JIA ACR90, JIA ACR100, and JADAS inactive disease after the initial 2 years of treatment were 58%, 48%, and 32%, respectively. After the additional 4 years, those proportions in patients who remained in the trial were 46%, 35%, and 24%. Most frequently reported TEAEs [*n* (%), events per 100 patient-years] were headache [28 (22%), 5.3], arthralgia [24 (19%), 4.6], and pyrexia [20 (16%), 3.8]. Number and frequency of TEAEs, excluding infections and injection site reactions, decreased over the 6-year period from 193 and 173.8, respectively, during year 1 to 37 and 61.3 during year 6. A single case of malignancy (Hodgkin's lymphoma) and no cases of active tuberculosis, demyelinating disorders, or deaths were reported.

Conclusions: Open-label etanercept treatment for up to 6 years was safe, well tolerated, and effective in patients with eoJIA, ERA, and PsA.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov: CLIPPER, [NCT00962741](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT00962741), registered 20 August, 2009, CLIPPER2, [NCT01421069](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01421069), registered 22 August, 2011.

Keywords: Etanercept, Juvenile idiopathic arthritis, Enthesitis-related arthritis, Extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (eoJIA), Enthesitis-related arthritis (ERA), Psoriatic arthritis (PsA), Efficacy, Safety, Clinical trial

Background

Juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) is a heterogeneous chronic disease estimated to affect approximately 1 in 1000 children [1–3]. It encompasses seven clinical categories: systemic arthritis, oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis (extended and persistent: eoJIA and poJIA), rheumatoid factor-positive polyarthritis, rheumatoid factor-negative polyarthritis, psoriatic arthritis (PsA), enthesitis-related arthritis (ERA), and undifferentiated arthritis [4]. Available treatments have greatly improved clinical outcomes [5–11], but few have been studied in all JIA categories [5, 12, 13], with long-term data being relatively scarce [14].

Etanercept (ETN), an inhibitor of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), was shown to be safe and efficacious in children with polyarticular JIA who received up to 8 years of continuous treatment [15, 16], but evidence of long-term benefits in the JIA categories of eoJIA, ERA, and psoriatic arthritis has been limited [17, 18].


Clinical Study In Pediatric Patients of Etanercept for Treatment of ERA, PsA, and Extended Oligoarthritis (CLIPPER, NCT00962741) was a 2-year open-label study, designed to assess efficacy and safety of ETN in pediatric patients with eoJIA, ERA, and PsA [19]. CLIPPER and CLIPPER2 (NCT01421069), its 8-year long-term extension, will provide efficacy and safety data for up to 10 years of treatment in this patient population. Here we present 6-year interim findings, from 2 years of CLIPPER and 4 years of CLIPPER2, on safety and efficacy in patients with eoJIA, ERA, or PsA.

Methods

Patients and study design

Full methodology of the CLIPPER trial was described previously [8, 19]. Briefly, CLIPPER was a 24-month, Phase IIIb, open-label multicenter study performed at 38 centers in 19 member countries of the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO) [20]. Patients classified as eoJIA (2–17 years of age), ERA (12–17 years), or PsA (12–17 years) received ETN 0.8 mg/kg (maximum dose, 50 mg) once weekly (QW) for up to 96 weeks. All participants were required to have ≥ 2 active joints (e.g., joints with swelling or with limitation of motion [LOM] accompanied by either pain or tenderness). Those with eoJIA or PsA were required to have had an unsatisfactory response or intolerance to a non-biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) (e.g., methotrexate). Patients with ERA were required to have had an unsatisfactory response or intolerance to either a non-biologic DMARD or a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Individuals previously treated with biologics were excluded. CLIPPER2 is an on-going, 8-year, open-label extension study of CLIPPER. Patients who received at least one dose of ETN and who completed approximately 2 years of CLIPPER were eligible to enter the active treatment period of CLIPPER2.

A combined flow chart of CLIPPER and CLIPPER2 is presented in Fig. 1. All CLIPPER participants who completed 24 months of treatment with ETN were eligible to enroll in CLIPPER2. Patients who had either met the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) definition for JIA clinically inactive disease [21] (CID_{ACR}) for at least 6 months of continuous treatment (clinical remission,



CR_{ACR}) or, in investigator’s judgment, had a good clinical response and would benefit from etanercept withdrawal, were eligible to enter the withdrawal period. Those in the withdrawal period who flared and were in need of ETN retreatment per the investigator’s clinical judgment could enter the retreatment period. (flare was defined as ≥ 30% worsening in at least three of the six ACR Pediatric components, with ≥ 30% improvement in not more than one of the remaining components and a minimum of two active joints.) Patients who did not complete 24 months of active treatment in CLIPPER or discontinued ETN for any reason before the end of CLIPPER2, as well as those in the withdrawal period who were ineligible for retreatment with ETN or who discontinued during the retreatment period, were eligible to enroll into the observational period of CLIPPER2 and were assessed for safety only, every 6 months until the end of the trial.

Efficacy and safety outcomes

The efficacy endpoints were assessed for up to 72 months of trial participation (24 months of CLIPPER and 48 months of CLIPPER2) and included the JIA core set of measures [22]: Physician Global Assessment (PGA) [23], Patient/Parent Global Assessment (PtGA) [23], number of active joints and joints with LOM, levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), and the cross-culturally adapted and validated version of Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) [24]. In addition, we reported the overall back pain and nocturnal back pain on a 100-mm visual analog scale (VAS) for patients with ERA; body surface area (BSA; %) affected and PGA of psoriasis for patients with PsA; proportions of patients achieving JIA American College of Rheumatology’s (ACR) 30, 50, 70, 90, and 100 criteria [21], and the Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Score (JADAS) [25]

73-joint inactive disease, JADAS clinically inactive disease (CID_{JADAS}) [26] at each visit; and proportions of patients achieving sustained JIA ACR clinical remission (CR_{ACR}) defined as no joints with active arthritis; no fever, rash, serositis, splenomegaly, or generalized lymphadenopathy attributable to JIA; CRP level within normal limits; PGA of disease activity score of best possible on the scale used; duration of morning stiffness of ≤ 15 min; and no active uveitis [21]. CR on medication was defined as persistence of clinically inactive disease (CR_{ACR} or CR_{JADAS} criteria) for ≥ 6 continuous months. Disease activity was assessed based on the following cut-off values of the JADAS score: ≤ 1 , CID; 1–3.8, low disease activity (LDA); 3.9–10.5, moderate disease activity (MDA); > 10.5 , high disease activity (HDA) [25–27]. Time to disease flare was assessed for patients who entered the withdrawal period.

Safety outcomes included reports of infections, malignancy, and other treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) classified as per the latest release of MedDRA.

Statistical analysis

All efficacy and safety analyses were based on the modified intention-to-treat (mITT) population, defined as all patients who received at least one dose of ETN.

For the responder analyses, missing values were imputed using a hybrid method, based on patients' enrolment status, trial period at cut-off date, and reasons for permanent discontinuation (Additional file 1: Table S1). Specifically, for patients who did not complete CLIPPER, missing data were considered non-response (non-responder imputation, NRI). For those who did complete CLIPPER but did not enroll in CLIPPER2, imputation was performed using the last observation carried forward (LOCF) approach. For patients enrolled in CLIPPER2 and who were in active treatment period at the cut-off date, observed cases (OC) were used (i.e., there was no imputation). For those enrolled in CLIPPER2, patients who were in the withdrawal or retreatment period at the cut-off date, or who permanently discontinued the trial for pregnancy-related reasons, the LOCF approach was used, using the last available data from the active treatment period. For patients enrolled in CLIPPER who were in the observational period at the cut-off date, or who permanently discontinued treatment for reasons not related to pregnancy, the NRI approach was used. Finally, for those who enrolled in CLIPPER2 and had missing values before the protocol amendment that added efficacy assessments, those who entered the observational period directly, entered the withdrawal period or dropped out for reasons related to pregnancy, or had not entered the withdrawal period and had no efficacy data at the time of cut-off, the LOCF approach was used. Supporting responder analyses were conducted using OC and the more conservative NRI approaches (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Data are presented as means or proportions (%), with 95% confidence intervals.

All other efficacy analyses were conducted using the OC approach. Median time to flare for patients in the withdrawal period was determined using Kaplan-Meier analysis.

TEAEs were summarized as numbers of events (n) and events per 100 patient-years (EP100PY). For patients in the observational period of CLIPPER2, safety was assessed by collecting serious AEs only.

Results

Patient disposition and baseline characteristics

Six years after treatment initiation (i.e., after 2 years of CLIPPER and 4 years of CLIPPER2), 48 (38%) of patients enrolled in CLIPPER ($N = 127$) were still receiving etanercept, either continuously (41 [32%]) or as retreatment (7 [6%]), whereas 6 (5%) stopped treatment due to low/inactive disease and 36 (28%) because they entered the observation period during CLIPPER2 (Fig. 1). A total of 37 (29%) patients permanently discontinued trial participation: 18 (14%) during CLIPPER and 19 (15%) during CLIPPER2 (Fig. 1). Additional 36 (28%) stopped ETN treatment but remained in the observational period, for a total of 73 (46%) patients who discontinued the study drug.

Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients entering CLIPPER2 (Table 1) were similar to the population enrolled in CLIPPER, which were described previously [8]. The proportions of patients with PsA and ERA who entered CLIPPER2 (79% and 82%, respectively) were lower than the proportion of patients with eoJIA (92%).

The median age of patients with eoJIA (8.0 years) was lower than the median age of patients with ERA or PsA (14.0 years for both), which was the consequence of the study's inclusion criteria. Most patients with eoJIA and PsA were female (69% and 78%, respectively), whereas the majority of patients with ERA were male (84%). After 24 months of etanercept treatment in CLIPPER, there were differences between the three JIA categories in the mean values of JADAS score, CRP, number of active joints, and joints with LOM. Overall, 89% of patients were receiving DMARDs at baseline of CLIPPER2, with methotrexate being the most commonly used (72%) (Table 1).

Efficacy

The mean improvements from baseline in JADAS disease activity at month 24 of CLIPPER were largely maintained at month 48 of CLIPPER2 (Fig. 2). A similar pattern was observed for other measures of disease activity, as well as for patient-reported outcomes (Additional file 1: Table S2).

In patients with ERA, improvements in back pain and nocturnal back pain achieved from baseline to month 24

Table 1 Baseline characteristics at enrollment in the open-label extension phase, after 24 months of treatment with etanercept (baseline CLIPPER2)

	eoJIA n = 55	ERA n = 31	PsA n = 23	Total n = 109
% of CLIPPER	92% (55/60)	82% (31/38)	79% (23/29)	86% (109/127)
Age, years ^a	10.6 (4.6)	16.2 (1.6)	15.8 (2.4)	13.3 (4.5)
Female ^a	38 (69)	5 (16)	18 (78)	61 (56)
JIA core set				
Physician Global Assessment of disease activity, 0–100 score	1.0 (1.4) n = 49	0.7 (0.7) n = 30	0.8 (1.0) n = 19	0.8 (1.2) n = 98
Number of active joints ^a	0.6 (1.0) n = 40	0.7 (1.2) n = 28	1.3 (4.6) n = 19	0.8 (2.3) n = 87
Number of joints with LOM ^a	0.8 (1.1) n = 40	1.4 (3.2) n = 28	1.7 (5.0) n = 19	1.2 (3.0) n = 87
C-reactive protein, mg/L ^a (normal < 5 mg/L)	3.9 (8.5) n = 47	2.7 (4.1) n = 27	1.1 (0.2) n = 18	3.0 (6.5) n = 92
PtGA score ^a	1.4 (2.0)	1.0 (1.3)	1.3 (1.5)	1.3 (1.7)
CHAQ score ^a	0.3 (0.6) n = 47	0.1 (0.2) n = 20	0.2 (0.3) n = 13	0.2 (0.5) n = 80
JADAS 73 score ^a	3.5 (4.7) n = 37	2.3 (2.2) n = 25	3.3 (5.4) n = 18	3.1 (4.3) n = 80
Additional measures				
Overall back pain VAS, mm ^a	– n = 0	2.3 (4.8) n = 26	– n = 0	2.3 (4.8) n = 26
Nocturnal back pain VAS, mm ^a	– n = 0	2.1 (3.7) n = 26	– n = 0	2.1 (3.7) n = 26
Psoriasis BSA, percentage ^a	– n = 0	– n = 0	1.4 (2.4) n = 19	1.4 (2.4) n = 19
PGA of psoriasis ^a	– n = 0	– n = 0	0.6 (0.9) n = 19	0.6 (0.9) n = 19
Baseline therapies ^b				
Any DMARD	50 (91)	28 (90)	19 (83)	97 (89)
Methotrexate	45 (82)	17 (55)	16 (70)	78 (72)
Oral corticosteroid	7 (13)	7 (23)	1 (4)	15 (14)
Oral NSAID	26 (47)	19 (61)	9 (39)	54 (50)

^aMean (SD)^bNumber (percentage)

Abbreviations: BSA, body surface area; CHAQ, Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire; DMARD, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; eoJIA, extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis; ERA, enthesitis-related arthritis; JADAS, Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Score; JIA, juvenile idiopathic arthritis; LOM, limitation of motion; NSAID, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drug; PGA, Physician Global Assessment; PtGA, Patient/Parent Global Assessment; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; SD, standard deviation; VAS, visual assessment scale

in CLIPPER (reductions in mean score from 25.9 to 2.4 and from 16.4 to 2.2, respectively) were largely maintained during the first 48 months of CLIPPER2; a similar pattern was observed for improvements in BSA and PGA of psoriasis in patients with PsA (Additional file 1: Table S3).



There was a similar pattern in response rates across the three JIA categories obtained using the hybrid method for data imputation, with the strongest initial response in terms of JADAS inactive disease observed for patients with eoJIA (Fig. 3), which was supported by analyses conducted using OC-only and NRI-only methodologies for missing data imputation (Additional file 1: Figure A1).

CR_{ACR} or CR_{JADAS} was achieved by 27% (34/127) and 24% (30/127) of all patients, respectively. After 2 years, there was an apparent overall decline in the proportions of ACR30–100 responders in patients with eoJIA and ERA, but not in those with PsA (Fig. 3).

Twenty-two (17%) patients discontinued ETN treatment due to low activity or inactive disease and entered the withdrawal period (Fig. 1); of those, 13 (59%) experienced disease flares, with a median time to flare of 190 days.

Safety

Over the 6 years of trials' duration, the total exposure to ETN amounted to 524.4 patient-years. Exposure and



TEAE occurrence data by JIA category are summarized in Table 2.

The most frequently reported TEAEs after 6 years of etanercept treatment, not including infections and injection site reactions, were headache ($n = 28$; 5.3 EP100PY), arthralgia ($n = 24$; 4.6 EP100PY), pyrexia ($n = 20$; 3.8 EP100PY), diarrhea ($n = 12$; 2.3 EP100PY), and leukopenia ($n = 12$; 2.3 EP100PY). Of those, more than twofold differences in EP100PY by JIA category were observed for headache (eoJIA, 4.9; ERA, 3.2; PsA, 9.2), pyrexia (eoJIA, 4.5; ERA, 1.9; PsA, 3.8), diarrhea (eoJIA, 2.0; ERA, 3.8; PsA, 0.8), and leukopenia (eoJIA, 3.7; ERA, 1.3; PsA, 0.8). Overall, the infections were more common in patients with eoJIA than those with ERA or PsA, with EP100PY values of 143, 58, and 98, respectively (Table 2).

In the combined 6 years of treatment, there were a total of 561 (107.0 EP100PY) treatment-emergent infections, with the most common being those of the upper respiratory tract (eoJIA $n = 86$, 35.0 EP100PY; ERA 20, 12.6; PsA 34, 28.4), pharyngitis (eoJIA 45, 18.3; ERA 21, 13.2; PsA 20, 16.7), gastroenteritis (eoJIA 18, 7.3; ERA 5, 3.2; PsA 8, 6.7), and bronchitis (eoJIA 16, 6.5; ERA 7, 4.4; PsA 3, 2.5). No individual TEAE occurred more than three times in the same patient. The most frequent TEAEs (> 5% in any JIA subtype) calculated by the number of patients reporting (instead of the number of events and EP100PY) are summarized in Additional file 1: Table S4.

Seven cases of uveitis were reported: three in patients with eoJIA (mild in severity, and judged by the investigator not to be related to treatment), two in patients with ERA (one mild and one moderate in severity, both judged not related to treatment), and two in the PsA subgroup (one mild and one moderate in severity, deemed not related and related to treatment, respectively). There were also four cases of Crohn's disease: one

in a patient with eoJIA (severe, deemed related to treatment) and three in patients with ERA (two moderate and one severe, all deemed not related to treatment). One patient with Crohn's disease was HLA B27-positive.

A total of 15 patients experienced 19 instances of anemia, leukopenia, or neutropenia (Additional file 1: Table S4). Of those, 12 (80%) were taking methotrexate at baseline, which is comparable with the methotrexate use in the overall trial population (72%; Table 1). One patient (with eoJIA) who was receiving methotrexate entered the withdrawal period because of treatment-emergent leukopenia, but subsequently entered the retreatment period.

A single case of malignancy was reported (Hodgkin lymphoma; Table 2 and Additional file 1: Table S4), in a patient with eoJIA who was treated with ETN for 27 months and had been receiving methotrexate for approximately 8 years. There were no deaths or cases of active tuberculosis or demyelinating disorders.

Discussion

This is the first report on the safety and efficacy of ETN in patients with eoJIA, ERA, or PsA that spans over 6 years of continuous treatment. The study shows that treatment with ETN is effective, with acceptable safety and tolerability. Disease activity measures and PROs were relatively stable from the previously reported results after 2 years of treatment [19] to the end of year 6 in the current report, suggesting a long-term maintenance of clinical benefits. The maintenance of benefits is particularly evident in the OC analysis (Additional file 1: Figure S1), which, however, included only patients who remained in the study and is therefore biased toward those with a satisfactory response to treatment. An opposite bias is manifest in the NRI analysis, which counts all missing values as non-responders (Additional file 1: Figure S1) and

Table 2 Etanercept exposure and TEAEs by JIA category

	eoJIA $n = 60$	ERA $n = 38$	PsA $n = 29$	Total $N = 127$
Exposure, patient-years	245.6	158.9	119.9	524.4
TEAEs (excluding infections and injection site reactions), n ($n/100PY$)	244 (99.4)	151 (95.0)	90 (75.0)	485 (92.5)
Infections, n ($n/100PY$)	351 (142.9)	93 (58.5)	117 (97.5)	561 (107.0)
TEAEs causing withdrawal (excluding infections and injection site reactions), n ($n/100PY$)	5 (2.0)	8 (5.0)	0	13 (2.5)
Infections causing withdrawal, n ($n/100PY$)	2 (0.8)	0	1 (0.8)	3 (0.6)
Serious TEAEs, n ($n/100PY$)	11 (4.5)	17 (10.7)	4 (3.3)	32 (6.1)
Serious infections, n ($n/100PY$)	5 (2.0)	4 (2.5)	4 (3.3)	13 (2.5)
Opportunistic infections ^a , n ($n/100PY$)	0	1 (0.6)	1 (0.8)	2 (0.4)
Autoimmune disorders ^b , n ($n/100PY$)	4 (1.6)	4 (2.5)	2 (1.7)	10 (1.9)
Malignancies, n ($n/100PY$)	1 (0.4)	0	0	1 (0.2)

^aAll opportunistic infections were herpes zoster (recurrent or > 1 dermatome affected)

^bUveitis, $n = 7$; Crohn's disease, $n = 3$

^cHodgkin lymphoma

Abbreviations: 100PY, 100 patient-years; eoJIA, extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis; ERA, enthesitis-related arthritis; PsA, psoriatic arthritis; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event

disregards the possibility that patients who discontinued the trial may indeed have had a clinical response. In order to reconcile many possible scenarios for non-response and also account for the fact that 46% of patients discontinued ETN treatment after 6 years, we employed a hybrid method for missing data imputation, which suggests a decline in the response of about 15–20 percentage points between the years 2 and 6, particularly for the more stringent response criteria (ACR50 and above) (Fig. 3). However, about half of this decline was realized during the first 6 months of CLIPPER2, which raises the possibility that it could be attributed, at least partially, to treatment discontinuation, or other administrative differences between two separate clinical trials. Despite this decline, the data demonstrate a substantial long-term effect over a 6-year period.

Direct comparison of our findings with those from previous ETN studies in JIA is difficult, due to differences in time points, response measures, sample size, or JIA disease categories of the patients enrolled [16–18, 28–33]. With these differences in mind, we point out that 61% of patients in our study achieved ACR70 response at 48 months, compared to approximately 75% of patients at 4 years in an open-label extension study of ETN in polyarticular-course JIA [16], and 75% of patients from the Dutch Arthritis and Biologicals in Children Register at 51 months [17]. Similarly, 27% and 24% of patients in our study achieved sustained clinical remission by ACR or JADAS criteria, respectively, compared with 24% (10/42) of patients who had achieved sustained CR_{ACR} (according to the same definition we used in our trial [21]) in a small, 4-year study of children with JIA (predominantly polyarthritis 78%) [34].

Overall, similar rates of TEAEs were observed across all JIA categories and no new major safety signals were observed. However, infections were more common in patients with eoJIA than those with ERA or PsA. Compared with patients with ERA or PsA, the eoJIA subgroup was younger, had a longer disease duration, had higher rates of MTX and CS use, and had a higher disease activity (Table 1) [8], so one or more of these factors may have accounted for the higher infection rate. TEAEs were less frequent after the initial 2 years of treatment. Overall, the TEAE rates were similar to those observed in other long-term studies of ETN in JIA [16, 18, 19, 31].

One patient with eoJIA developed Hodgkin lymphoma after 27 months of treatment with ETN and methotrexate. The incidence of Hodgkin lymphoma in post-marketing ETN data for patients aged 0–17 years was 9.5 per 100,000 patient-years, which is higher than the value for patients in the same age range for the general US population recorded in the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results database (0.9 per 100,000 patient-years) [35]. However, patients with JIA cannot be easily compared

with the general population, since both JIA and the extensive pre-treatment with immuno-suppressants, including methotrexate, have been suggested as additional risk factors for lymphoma [36, 37], and a retrospective study of 2000–2014 US claims data did not find an increased risk of malignancies in TNF-treated children with JIA, pediatric inflammatory bowel disease, or pediatric plaque psoriasis [38]. Nevertheless, a possibility must be allowed that the case of Hodgkin lymphoma observed in our study could have been related to the patient's treatment (methotrexate, ETN, or both).

The limitations of this study include the non-randomized, open-label design and the relatively low retention of treatment, with many patients with missing data or lost to follow-up. In addition, because of a late protocol amendment, efficacy data are not available for all patients from the beginning of the extension study.

Conclusions

In conclusion, open-label treatment with ETN up to 6 years was safe, well tolerated, and effective in patients with eoJIA, ERA, and PsA. No new safety signals were detected.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. Summary of missing data imputation methods for the responder analysis. **Table S2.** Disease activity and patient-reported outcomes (observed cases). **Table S3.** Outcomes specific for enthesitis-related arthritis and psoriatic arthritis (observed cases). **Table S4.** The most frequent TEAEs, excluding infections and injection site reactions (> 5% in any JIA subtype, by System Organ Class). **Figure S1.** ACR30–100 and JIA inactive disease response rates (OC vs NRI). Additional Tables (A1 to A4) and Figure A1 related to missing data imputation based on patients' enrolment status, trial period at cut-off date, and reasons for permanent discontinuation. (DOCX 224 kb)

Abbreviations

ACR: American College of Rheumatology; BSA: Body surface area; CHAQ: Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire; CR: Clinical remission; CRP: C-reactive protein; DMARD: Disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drug; eoJIA: Extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis; EP100PY: Events per 100 patient-years; ERA: Enthesitis-related arthritis; ETN: Etanercept; HDA: High disease activity; JADAS: Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Score; LDA: Low disease activity; LOCF: Last observation carried forward; LOM: Limitation of motion; MDA: Moderate disease activity; NSAID: Non-steroid anti-inflammatory drug; PGA: Physician Global Assessment; PsA: Psoriatic arthritis; PtGA: Patient/Parent Global Assessment; SD: Standard deviation; TEAE: Treatment-emergent adverse event; VAS: Visual analog scale

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank all patients who participated in this study, as well as all investigators and medical staff at all of the participating centers. We wish to thank Marco Garrone from the PRINTO International Coordinating Center in Genoa, Italy, for his assistance in the preparation of the manuscript. Medical writing support was provided by Samantha Forster and Vojislav Pejović of Engage Scientific Solutions and was sponsored by Pfizer.

Funding

This work was sponsored by Pfizer.

Availability of data and materials

Upon request, and subject to certain criteria, conditions, and exceptions (see <https://www.pfizer.com/science/clinical-trials/trial-data-and-results> for more information), Pfizer will provide access to individual de-identified participant data from Pfizer-sponsored global interventional clinical studies conducted for medicines, vaccines, and medical devices (1) for indications that have been approved in the US and/or EU or (2) in programs that have been terminated (i.e., development for all indications has been discontinued). Pfizer will also consider requests for the protocol, data dictionary, and statistical analysis plan. Data may be requested from Pfizer trials 24 months after study completion. The de-identified participant data will be made available to researchers whose proposals meet the research criteria and other conditions, and for which an exception does not apply, via a secure portal. To gain access, data requestors must enter into a data access agreement with Pfizer.

Authors' contributions

Drafting of the manuscript and conceptualization of statistical analyses were performed by NR, RP, JB, and BV. Statistical analyses were conducted by RP. All authors participated in the study conception and design, data collection, or analysis and interpretation of data. All authors revised the manuscript critically, provided editorial input, and approved the manuscript for publication.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the relevant regulatory bodies of each country and institution. It was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the Good Clinical Practices. All patients or their parents/caregivers provided written consent for participation in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

I. Foeldvari has served on advisory boards with AbbVie, Novartis, Chugai, and Genzyme.

T. Constantin has received speaker's fees from or served as a consultant for AbbVie, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche.

J. Vojinović has received honoraria from AbbVie, Pfizer, TEVA, MSD, and Roche.

G. Horneff has received honoraria from Chugai, UCB, Pfizer, AbbVie, Roche, and Novartis.

J. Dehoorne has received speaker's fees from or served as a consultant for AbbVie, Pfizer, and Roche.

V. Stanevicha has received consulting fees from AbbVie and Roche and has a partnership with Pfizer.

I. Nikishina has received honoraria from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, and Roche.

P. Doležalová has received speaker's bureau honoraria from Pfizer, AbbVie, Novartis, Hoffman-La Roche, Medac, Swedish Orphan Biovitrum, Eli Lilly, Sanofi, and Genzyme.

R. Pedersen and B. Vlahos are employees of Pfizer, the sponsor of this study, and own company stock.

J. Bukowski is a former employee of Pfizer, the sponsor of this study, and may own company stock.

A. Martini has received speaker's bureau and honoraria from Abbott, AbbVie, Amgen, Biogen Idec, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Astellas, Boehringer, Italfarmaco, Janssen, MedImmune, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sanofi, Roche, Servier, and Takeda.

N. Ruperto has received speaker's bureau and honoraria from Abbott, AbbVie, Amgen, Biogen Idec, Astellas, Alter, AstraZeneca, Boehringer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, CD-Pharma, Celgene, Crescendo Bioscience, EMD Serono, Hoffman-La Roche, Italfarmaco, Janssen, MedImmune, Medac, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sanofi Aventis, Servier, Takeda, and Vertex.

The IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, which is the public hospital where Dr.

Ruperto and Prof Martini work as full-time employees, has received contributions to support the research activities of the network of PRINTO (www.printo.it) from Abbott, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Francesco Angelini SPA, Glaxo SmithKline, Janssen Biotech Inc., Novartis, Pfizer Inc., Roche, Sanofi Aventis, and Schwarz Biosciences GmbH; this money has been reinvested for the research activities of

the hospital in a fully independent manner without any commitment to third parties.

V. Chasnyk, V. Panaviene, G. Sušić, K. Kobusinska, Z. Zuber, B. Dobrzyniecka, B. Bader-Meunier, L. Breda, C. Job-Deslandre, I. Rumba-Rozenfelde, and N. Wulffraat declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Hamburg Centre for Pediatric and Adolescent Rheumatology, Hamburg, Germany. ²Unit of Pediatric Rheumatology-Immunology, Second Department of Pediatrics, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary. ³Clinic of Pediatrics, Clinical Center Niš, Faculty of Medicine, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia.

⁴Department of General Paediatrics, Asklepios Clinic Sankt Augustin, Sankt Augustin, Germany. ⁵Department of Paediatric and Adolescents Medicine, Medical Faculty, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany.

⁶Saint-Petersburg State Pediatric Medical University, Saint-Petersburg, Russian Federation. ⁷Department of Pediatric Rheumatology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium. ⁸Children's Hospital, Affiliate of Vilnius University Hospital Santaros Clinic, Vilnius, Lithuania. ⁹Clinic of Children's Diseases, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania. ¹⁰Division of Pediatric Rheumatology, Institute of Rheumatology, Belgrade, Serbia. ¹¹Department of Pediatrics, Riga Stradins University, Children University Hospital, Riga, Latvia. ¹²Provincial Children's Hospital J. Brudzińskiego, Bydgoszcz, Poland. ¹³Andrzej Frycz Modrzewski Krakow University, Krakow, Poland. ¹⁴Szpital Specjalistyczny im. A. Falkiewicza, Szpital Specjalistyczny, Wrocław, Poland. ¹⁵Pediatric Department, V.A. Nasonova Research Institute of Rheumatology, Moscow, Russian Federation. ¹⁶IMAGINE Institute, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, Centre de Référence National pour les Rhumatismes Inflammatoires et les Maladies Auto-Immunes Systémiques rares de l'enfant (RAISE), Unité d'Immunologie, Hématologie et Rhumatologie Pédiatrique, Paris, France. ¹⁷Dipartimento di Pediatria, Ospedale Policlinico – Università degli Studi di Chieti, Chieti, Italy. ¹⁸Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, General University Hospital and 1st Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic. ¹⁹Hôpital Universitaire Cochin, Centre de Référence National pour les Arthrites Juveniles, Site Patients Adultes - Service Rhumatologie A, Paris, France. ²⁰Faculty of Medicine, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia. ²¹University Children Hospital, Riga, Latvia. ²²Department of Pediatric Immunology and Rheumatology, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ²³Pfizer, Collegeville, PA, USA. ²⁴Dipartimento di Neuroscienze, Riabilitazione, Oftalmologia, Genetica e Scienze Materno-Infantili (DiNOGMI), Università degli Studi di Genova, Genoa, Italy. ²⁵Clinica Pediatrica e Reumatologia, IRCCS Istituto Giannina Gaslini, EULAR Centre of Excellence in Rheumatology 2008-2023, Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO), Via Gaslini, 5, 16147 Genoa, Italy.

Received: 19 November 2018 Accepted: 9 May 2019

Published online: 23 May 2019

References

1. Prakken B, Albani S, Martini A. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Lancet*. 2011; 377(9783):2138–49.
2. Ravelli A, Martini A. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9563):767–78.
3. Thierry S, Fautrel B, Lemelle I, Guillemin F. Prevalence and incidence of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a systematic review. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2014; 81(2):112–7.
4. Petty RE, Southwood TR, Manners P, Baum J, Glass DN, Goldenberg J, He X, Maldonado-Cocco J, Orozco-Alcala J, Prieur AM, et al. International League of Associations for Rheumatology classification of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: second revision, Edmonton, 2001. *J Rheumatol*. 2004;31(2):390–2.
5. Brunner HI, Ruperto N, Tzaribachev N, Horneff G, Chasnyk VG, Panaviene V, Abud-Mendoza C, Reiff A, Alexeeva E, Rubio-Perez N, et al. Subcutaneous golimumab for children with active polyarticular-course juvenile idiopathic arthritis: results of a multicentre, double-blind, randomised-withdrawal trial. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2018;77(1):21–9.
6. Brunner HI, Ruperto N, Zuber Z, Keane C, Harari O, Kenwright A, Lu P, Cuttica R, Keltsev V, Xavier RM, et al. Efficacy and safety of tocilizumab in patients with polyarticular-course juvenile idiopathic arthritis: results from a

- phase 3, randomised, double-blind withdrawal trial. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2015; 74(6):1110–7.
7. De Benedetti F, Brunner HI, Ruperto N, Kenwright A, Wright S, Calvo I, Cuttica R, Ravelli A, Schneider R, Woo P, et al. Randomized trial of tocilizumab in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 367(25):2385–95.
 8. Horneff G, Burgos-Vargas R, Constantin T, Foeldvari I, Vojinovic J, Chasnyk VG, Dehoorne J, Panaviene V, Susic G, Stanevica V, et al. Efficacy and safety of open-label etanercept on extended oligoarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis, enthesitis-related arthritis and psoriatic arthritis: part 1 (week 12) of the CLIPPER study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2014;73(6):1114–22.
 9. Lovell DJ, Ruperto N, Goodman S, Reiff A, Jung L, Jarosova K, Nemcova D, Mouy R, Sandborg C, Bohnsack J, et al. Adalimumab with or without methotrexate in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 359(8):810–20.
 10. Ruperto N, Brunner HI, Quartier P, Constantin T, Wulffraat N, Horneff G, Brik R, McCann L, Kasapcopur O, Rutkowska-Sak L, et al. Two randomized trials of canakinumab in systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 367(25):2396–406.
 11. Ruperto N, Lovell DJ, Quartier P, Paz E, Rubio-Perez N, Silva CA, Abud-Mendoza C, Burgos-Vargas R, Gerloni V, Melo-Gomes JA, et al. Abatacept in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled withdrawal trial. *Lancet.* 2008;372(9636):383–91.
 12. Beukelman T, Patkar NM, Saag KG, Tolleson-Rinehart S, Cron RQ, DeWitt EM, Ilowite NT, Kimura Y, Laxer RM, Lovell DJ, et al. 2011 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for the treatment of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: initiation and safety monitoring of therapeutic agents for the treatment of arthritis and systemic features. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2011;63(4):465–82.
 13. Burgos-Vargas R, Tse SM, Horneff G, Pangan AL, Kalabic J, Goss S, Unnebrink K, Anderson JK. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter study of adalimumab in pediatric patients with enthesitis-related arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2015;67(11):1503–12.
 14. Shepherd J, Cooper K, Harris P, Picot J, Rose M. The clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of abatacept, adalimumab, etanercept and tocilizumab for treating juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess.* 2016;20(34):1–222.
 15. Lovell DJ, Giannini EH, Reiff A, Cawkwell GD, Silverman ED, Nocton JJ, Stein LD, Gedalia A, Ilowite NT, Wallace CA, et al. Etanercept in children with polyarticular juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Pediatric Rheumatology Collaborative Study Group.* *New England J Med.* 2000;342(11):763–9.
 16. Lovell DJ, Reiff A, Ilowite NT, Wallace CA, Chon Y, Lin SL, Baumgartner SW, Giannini EH. Safety and efficacy of up to eight years of continuous etanercept therapy in patients with juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;58(5):1496–504.
 17. Otten MH, Prince FH, Twilt M, Ten Cate R, Armbrust W, Hoppenreijns EP, Koopman-Keemink Y, Wulffraat NM, Gorter SL, Dolman KM, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-blocking agents for children with enthesitis-related arthritis—data from the dutch arthritis and biologicals in children register, 1999–2010. *J Rheumatol.* 2011;38(10):2258–63.
 18. Prince FH, Twilt M, ten Cate R, van Rossum MA, Armbrust W, Hoppenreijns EP, van Santen-Hoeufft M, Koopman-Keemink Y, Wulffraat NM, van Suijlekom-Smit LW. Long-term follow-up on effectiveness and safety of etanercept in juvenile idiopathic arthritis: the Dutch national register. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2009;68(5):635–41.
 19. Constantin T, Foeldvari I, Vojinovic J, Horneff G, Burgos-Vargas R, Nikishina I, Akikusa JD, Avcin T, Chaitow J, Koskova E, et al. Two-year efficacy and safety of etanercept in pediatric patients with extended oligoarthritis, enthesitis-related arthritis, or psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 2016;43(4):816–24.
 20. Ruperto N, Martini A. Networking in paediatrics: the example of the Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation (PRINTO). *Arch Dis Child.* 2011;96(6):596–601.
 21. Wallace CA, Giannini EH, Huang B, Irtter L, Ruperto N. American College of Rheumatology provisional criteria for defining clinical inactive disease in select categories of juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2011;63(7):929–36.
 22. Giannini EH, Ruperto N, Ravelli A, Lovell DJ, Felson DT, Martini A. Preliminary definition of improvement in juvenile arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1997;40(7): 1202–9.
 23. Filocamo G, Davi S, Pistorio A, Bertamino M, Ruperto N, Lattanzi B, Consolaro A, Magni-Manzoni S, Galasso R, Varnier GC, et al. Evaluation of 21-
 24. numbered circle and 10-centimeter horizontal line visual analog scales for physician and parent subjective ratings in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 2010;37(7):1534–41.
 25. Ruperto N, Ravelli A, Pistorio A, Malattia C, Cavuto S, Gado-West L, Tortorelli A, Landgraf JM, Singh G, Martini A, et al. Cross-cultural adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (CHAQ) and the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) in 32 countries. Review of the general methodology. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2001;19(4 Suppl 23):S1–9.
 26. Consolaro A, Ruperto N, Bazzo A, Pistorio A, Magni-Manzoni S, Filocamo G, Malattia C, Viola S, Martini A, Ravelli A, et al. Development and validation of a composite disease activity score for juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2009;61(5):658–66.
 27. Consolaro A, Bracciolini G, Ruperto N, Pistorio A, Magni-Manzoni S, Malattia C, Pederzoli S, Davi S, Martini A, Ravelli A, et al. Remission, minimal disease activity, and acceptable symptom state in juvenile idiopathic arthritis: defining criteria based on the juvenile arthritis disease activity score. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2012;64(7):2366–74.
 28. Consolaro A, Ruperto N, Bracciolini G, Frisina A, Gallo MC, Pistorio A, Verazza S, Negro G, Gerloni V, Goldenstein-Schainberg C, et al. Defining criteria for high disease activity in juvenile idiopathic arthritis based on the juvenile arthritis disease activity score. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2014;73(7):1380–3.
 29. Henrickson M, Reiff A. Prolonged efficacy of etanercept in refractory enthesitis-related arthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 2004;31(10):2055–61.
 30. Donnithorne KJ, Cron RQ, Beukelman T. Attainment of inactive disease status following initiation of TNF-alpha inhibitor therapy for juvenile idiopathic arthritis: enthesitis-related arthritis predicts persistent active disease. *J Rheumatol.* 2011;38(12):2675–81.
 31. Otten MH, Prince FH, Ten Cate R, van Rossum MA, Twilt M, Hoppenreijns EP, Koopman-Keemink Y, Oranje AP, de Waard-van der Spek FB, Gorter SL, et al. tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-blocking agents in juvenile psoriatic arthritis: are they effective? *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2011;70(2):337–40.
 32. Giannini EH, Ilowite NT, Lovell DJ, Wallace CA, Rabinovich CE, Reiff A, Higgins G, Gottlieb B, Singer NG, Chon Y, et al. Long-term safety and effectiveness of etanercept in children with selected categories of juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2009;60(9):2794–804.
 33. Tse SM, Burgos-Vargas R, Laxer RM. Anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha blockade in the treatment of juvenile spondylarthropathy. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2005;52(7):2103–8.
 34. Horneff G, De Bock F, Foeldvari I, Girschick HJ, Michels H, Moebius D, Schmelting H. Safety and efficacy of combination of etanercept and methotrexate compared to treatment with etanercept only in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA): preliminary data from the German JIA Registry. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2009;68(4):519–25.
 35. Trachana M, Pratsidou-Gertsis P, Badouraki M, Haidich AB, Pardalos G. Achievement of clinical remission in patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis under a 2-10-year Etanercept exposure. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2013; 32(8):1191–7.
 36. Hooper M, Wenkert D, Bitman B, Dias VC, Bartley Y. Malignancies in children and young adults on etanercept: summary of cases from clinical trials and post marketing reports. *Pediatr Rheumatol Online J.* 2013;11(1):35.
 37. Horneff G, Foeldvari I, Minden K, Moebius D, Hospach T. Report on malignancies in the German juvenile idiopathic arthritis registry. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2011;50(1):230–6.
 38. Diak P, Siegel J, La Grenade L, Choi L, Lemery S, McMahon A. Tumor necrosis factor alpha blockers and malignancy in children: forty-eight cases reported to the Food and Drug Administration. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2010;62(8): 2517–24.
 39. Beukelman T, Xie F, Chen L, Horton DB, Lewis JD, Mamtani R, Mannion MM, Saag KG, Curtis JR. Risk of malignancy associated with paediatric use of tumour necrosis factor inhibitors. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2018;77(7):1012–6.