The Locus of Serial Processing in Reading Aloud:

Orthography-to-Phonology Computation or Speech Planning?

Petroula Mousikou,^{1,2} Kathleen Rastle,¹ Derek Besner,³ & Max Coltheart²

¹Department of Psychology, Royal Holloway, University of London

²ARC Centre of Excellence in Cognition and its Disorders, and Department of Cognitive Science,

Macquarie University

³Department of Psychology, University of Waterloo

Address for correspondence: Petroula Mousikou

Department of Psychology

1 5 65

Royal Holloway, University of London

TW20 0EX, Egham, United Kingdom.

Tel: +44 1784 414635

Email: Betty.Mousikou@rhul.ac.uk

Short title: The locus of serial processing in reading aloud

Abstract

Dual-route theories of reading posit that a sublexical reading mechanism that operates serially and from left to right is involved in the orthography–to–phonology computation. These theories attribute the Masked Onset Priming Effect (MOPE) and the Phonological Stroop Effect (PSE) to the serial left–to–right operation of this mechanism. However, both effects may arise during speech planning, in the phonological encoding process, which also occurs serially and from left to right. In the present paper, we sought to determine the locus of serial processing in reading aloud by testing the contrasting predictions that the dual-route and speech planning accounts make in relation to the MOPE and the PSE. The results from three experiments that used the MOPE and the PSE paradigms in English are inconsistent with the idea that these effects arise during speech planning, and consistent with the claim that a sublexical serially-operating reading mechanism is involved in the print–to–sound translation. Simulations of the empirical data on the MOPE with the DRC and CDP++ models, which are computational implementations of the dual-route theory of reading, provide further support for the dual-route account.

<u>Keywords</u>: Theories of reading, Speech planning, Masked Onset Priming Effect (MOPE), Phonological Stroop Effect (PSE), Computational models of reading How do people read aloud familiar words such as *flirt*, *term*, and *tweets*, and newly encountered words such as *smirt*, *derp*, and *tweeps*? According to the so-called dual-route theories of reading (e.g., Coltheart, Rastle, Perry, Langdon, & Ziegler, 2001; Perry, Ziegler, & Zorzi, 2007; 2010), our reading system consists of a lexical procedure, which operates in parallel upon letters translating familiar words into their corresponding phonological representations, and a sublexical procedure, which operates serially and from left to right upon letters converting novel words into their corresponding sounds. Several empirical phenomena observed in the reading aloud domain are thought to be due to the serial left–to–right nature of the sublexical reading procedure (for a list of these phenomena see Rastle & Coltheart, 2006). One such phenomenon is the Masked Onset Priming Effect (MOPE).

The MOPE (e.g., Forster & Davis, 1991; Kinoshita, 2000) refers to the finding that target reading aloud occurs faster when targets are preceded by briefly-presented masked primes that share their initial letter/phoneme with the target (e.g., *suf-SIB*), compared to when primes and targets are unrelated to each other (e.g., mof-SIB). The DRC model, a computational instantiation of the dual-route theory of reading (Coltheart et al., 2001), successfully simulates this effect thanks to the serial left-to-right nature of processing of the implemented sublexical reading procedure. According to this model, the MOPE is due to the activation of the first phoneme of the prime by the sublexical reading procedure (during the prime's brief exposure), which has an influence (facilitatory in the onset-related condition and/or inhibitory in the unrelated condition) on the speed of processing of the first phoneme of the target (see Mousikou, Coltheart, Finkbeiner, & Saunders, 2010a). Several studies have further investigated whether the observed priming effect is due to first-phoneme overlap between the prime and the target, or to any phoneme overlap (e.g., Kinoshita, 2000; Mousikou et al., 2010a; Mousikou & Coltheart, 2014). The results from these studies indicated robust priming when the phoneme overlap between the prime and the target was in the first position, and no priming (or significantly less priming) when the overlap was in a later position. According to proponents of the dual-route account of the

MOPE, the finding that the effect is significantly larger when the position of phoneme overlap between the prime and the target is the first reflects the serial left–to–right nature of the orthography–to–phonology computation.

However, an alternative account of the MOPE, known as the *speech-planning account*, postulates that the effect arises further downstream, in the preparation of a speech response, in particular, in the *phonological encoding* process (Kinoshita, 2000; Kinoshita & Woollams, 2002). During phonological encoding, an ordered string of phonological segments is retrieved and a syllable frame is created with three ordered slots that represent the onset, nucleus, and coda. The phonological segments are then associated to the corresponding slots of the syllable frame (segment–to–frame association process) in a sequential left–to–right manner (Levelt, Roelofs, & Meyer, 1999; Meyer, 1991). According to the speech-planning account of the MOPE, the orthography–to–phonology computation of the prime need not occur serially; it can occur in parallel. As such, during prime presentation, all of the prime's phonemes (e.g., /m/, /p/, /f/) are activated in parallel and inserted (in a serial, left-to-right manner) into the onset, nucleus, and coda slots, respectively.¹ Then, the target's phonemes (e.g., /s/, /l/, /b/) are activated in parallel, but when they are to be inserted (in a serial, left-to-right manner) into the onset, nucleus, and coda slots, a mismatch in the onset position (e.g., between /m/ and /s/) holds up the segment-to-frame

¹ It is unclear whether according to the speech-planning account all of the prime's segments/phonemes are inserted into the corresponding slots of onset, nucleus, and coda during prime presentation, or whether it is just the phonological onset of the prime that is inserted into the onset slot. If the latter, this account *must* necessarily postulate that there is an additional process that prevents the remaining segments/phonemes of the prime from being inserted into the nucleus and coda slots, given that it is compatible with the idea that the prime's phonemes are activated in parallel during prime presentation. To our knowledge, this additional process has not been described by any of the proponents of the speech-planning account, and so in the present paper we assume that each of the prime's phonemes is inserted into the onset, nucleus, and coda slots during prime presentation.

association process of the target, i.e. insertion of the target's first phoneme into the onset slot. This delay in the unrelated condition (e.g., *mof*-SIB) compared to the onset-related condition (*suf*-SIB) causes the MOPE. Proponents of this view attribute the position of phoneme-overlap effect to the serial left–to–right nature of the segment–to–frame association process.

The aim of the present paper is to determine the locus of serial processing in reading aloud: is it in the orthography–to–phonology computation or during speech planning? If it is during speech planning, the computation of phonology from orthography need not occur serially across letter strings; it could occur in parallel, which is consistent with theories of reading that assume no serial processing in the orthography–to–phonology computation (e.g., Harm & Seidenberg, 2004; Plaut, McClelland, Seidenberg, & Patterson, 1996), and inconsistent with dual-route theories, which posit that a sublexical serially-operating reading mechanism is involved in the print–to–sound translation (Coltheart et al., 2001; Perry et al., 2007; 2010). Therefore, seeking empirical evidence to adjudicate between the dual-route and speech-planning accounts is critical for evaluating extant theories of reading.

One specific prediction of the speech-planning account, for example, is that the unit underlying the MOPE is the syllabic onset rather than a single phoneme (as the DRC account posits). Kinoshita (2000, Experiment 2) tested this prediction using target words that started either with a simple (e.g., PASTE) or a complex onset (e.g., BLISS). A MOPE was observed only for targets with simple onsets, offering support for the speech-planning account. However, another proponent of the speech planning account failed to observe an effect of onset complexity on the MOPE in an experiment that used Dutch disyllabic words, thus concluding: "there is no evidence from this experiment that the word onset as a unit played a role" (Schiller, 2004, p. 485). Moreover, in a series of experiments, Mousikou, Coltheart, Saunders, & Yen (2010b) tested whether there is a MOPE for word and nonword prime-target pairs that share their initial phoneme, but not their onset (e.g., *disc*-DRUM vs. *melt*-DRUM, *drum*-DISC vs. *melt*-DISC, *biln*-BREV vs. *kalt*-BREV, *brev*-BILN vs. *kalt*-BILN). The results from these experiments indicated a

significant MOPE in all of the above cases, and the DRC model successfully simulated the human data. The speech-planning account cannot accommodate these findings.

Additional empirical evidence in favor of the DRC account and against the speechplanning account of the MOPE was provided more recently by Timmer, Vahid-Gharavi, and Schiller (2012). In an ERP study that investigated the locus of the MOPE in Persian, the authors observed that early in processing (i.e. in the 80-160 ms time window) there were more negative amplitudes for the unrelated condition than for the onset-related condition. According to a metaanalysis of reading and word production studies (Indefrey, 2011; Indefrey & Levelt, 2004), grapheme-to-phoneme conversion is thought to take place approximately between 150 and 330 ms after target presentation, whereas speech planning has been associated with the 330-600 ms time window. Based on these findings the authors concluded that their results are "in line with an early locus of the MOPE as suggested by the DRC model (Coltheart et al., 2001; Mousikou et al., 2010)" (Timmer et al., 2012, p. 38).

Taken together, the available empirical evidence considered above favors the dual-route account over the speech-planning account of the MOPE. However, there is at least one empirical finding in the literature that is at odds with the dual-route account, but can be readily explained by the speech-planning account. In a study carried out in Spanish (Dimitropoulou, Duñabeita, & Carreiras, 2010, Experiment 3), word targets were preceded by masked word and nonword primes. The nonword primes were either pronounceable or unpronounceable. Although a significant MOPE was observed when the primes were words or pronounceable nonwords (e.g., LOBO preceded by the onset-related prime *lefu* was read aloud faster than when preceded by the unrelated prime *cusi*), the effect disappeared when the nonword primes were unpronounceable (e.g., LOBO preceded by the onset-related prime *lpgz* was read aloud as slow as when preceded by the unrelated prime *mxbf*). According to the dual-route account of the MOPE, there is no reason why the sublexical procedure should be prevented from activating the first phoneme of the prime if the prime is unpronounceable, and so the finding that the MOPE depends on prime

pronounceability is inconsistent with the dual-route explanation of the effect. In contrast, this finding can be accommodated within the speech-planning account, because if a prime lacks vowels no syllabic onset will be inserted into the onset slot during phonological encoding. As such, the segment–to–frame association process fails and the MOPE is abolished. The empirical finding observed by Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) is important insofar as it has the potential to falsify the dual-route account of the MOPE. This would have serious implications for dual-route theories of reading (e.g., Coltheart et al., 2001; Perry et al., 2007; 2010), because they offer this effect as primary evidence for serial processing in the print–to–sound translation.

Another well-established empirical phenomenon that is thought to have the same locus as the MOPE, according to dual-route theories of reading, is the Phonological Stroop Effect (PSE). In particular, the PSE (see Coltheart, Woollams, Kinoshita, & Perry, 1999) refers to the finding that color naming of a printed word occurs faster when the word starts with the same phoneme as the color in which it is printed (e.g., *rat* presented in red), compared to when the color name and the word have no phonemes in common (e.g., *tip* presented in red). Coltheart and colleagues additionally observed that color naming was facilitated when the color name and the printed word shared their *last* phoneme (e.g., *cod* presented in red) compared to when there was no phoneme overlap between the color name and the printed word (e.g., sat presented in red). However, such facilitation was much smaller than when color names and printed words shared their initial phoneme. According to Coltheart et al. (1999), the printed words activated their phonological representations via both the lexical and sublexical procedures. Because the lexical procedure operates in parallel, initial and final phonemes were equally activated via this route, facilitating color naming when printed words and color names shared either their initial or final phoneme. Because the sublexical procedure operates serially, from left to right, by the time color naming occurred, initial phonemes would be more activated via this procedure than final phonemes, producing more facilitation in color naming when printed words and color names shared their initial phoneme. The net result of the phoneme activations produced by the joint action of the two

procedures was facilitation for both initial and final phoneme overlap, with the effect being larger when the phoneme overlap was in the initial position than when it was in the final position. The DRC model, additionally equipped with a rudimentary semantic system to allow the model to do color naming (Coltheart, Curtis, Atkins, & Haller, 1993), successfully simulated these empirical findings.

Dual-route theories of reading assume that the locus of the MOPE and the PSE is the same. But if the MOPE is abolished when the primes are unpronounceable indicating that the effect occurs during speech planning, as Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) claim, the PSE may also disappear when the printed letter strings are unpronounceable. In other words, if the PSE occurs during speech planning *RZF* presented in red should be color-named no faster than *RZF* presented in blue. This is because the lack of a syllabic onset in *RZF* will result in a failure of the segment–to– frame association process, thus abolishing the PSE. We tested this idea in Experiment 1 using the PSE paradigm with pronounceable and unpronounceable nonwords in English. If our results were consistent with the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) results, so that unpronounceable nonwords yielded no PSE, the claim that a serially-operating sublexical reading mechanism is involved in the orthography–to–phonology computation would be seriously challenged.

EXPERIMENT 1

Method

Participants. Twenty undergraduate students from the University of Waterloo participated in the study for course credit. Participants were native speakers of Canadian English and reported no visual, reading, or language difficulties.

Materials and Design. Half of the trials (N = 144) consisted of stimuli that were CVC and CCVC nonwords printed in six colors (red, blue, brown, green, pink, and white). These stimuli formed the pronounceable nonword set. Half of the nonwords in this set began with the same phoneme as

the color in which they were printed, but shared no other phonemes with the color name (congruent condition). The remaining half began with a phoneme that corresponded to the initial phoneme of a color that was not the one in which they were printed, and had no phonemes in common with the color name in which they were printed (incongruent condition). The other half of the trials consisted of stimuli that were constructed from the pronounceable nonword set by replacing the vowel with a consonant (i.e. unpronounceable nonword set). Half of the nonwords in this set were congruent and the remaining half were incongruent (see Appendix A).² Twenty-four nonwords that matched the experimental stimuli on the same criteria served as practice items.

Apparatus and Procedure. Participants were tested individually, seated approximately 40 cm in front of a Dell Pentium 4 computer. Stimulus presentation and data recording were controlled by DMDX software (Forster & Forster, 2003). Verbal responses were recorded by a microphone and participants were instructed to name the color in which the printed stimuli were presented as quickly and as accurately as possible. Each trial began with a fixation point (+ sign) that remained on the screen for 753 ms, followed by a blank screen for 335 ms, followed by a colored nonword. Colored nonwords were presented in uppercase letters on a black background (14-point Times New Roman font) and remained on the screen for 1500 ms or until participants responded, whichever happened first. The 288 experimental stimuli were presented to each participant in a different random order, following the 24 practice trials.

² Due to an oversight, five of the pronounceable nonwords and four of the unpronounceable nonwords appeared twice in the same condition. However, the same nonword that appeared twice in the congruent condition also appeared twice in the incongruent condition (e.g., ROZ appeared twice in both 'red' and 'blue'). Therefore, the congruency effect could not have been affected by the double appearance of these items in the same condition. We also re-carried out the analyses after excluding these items, but the results remained the same, hence the analyses we report include all of the items.

Results

The data from three participants were excluded from the analyses, because one participant persistently color-named the nonwords printed in brown as 'orange', for another participant the DMDX software produced timing problems, and for the third participant the recording of the sound files malfunctioned. Participants' responses (N = 17) were hand marked using Cool Edit. We marked the acoustic onset of the responses as described in Rastle, Croot, Harrington, and Coltheart (p. 1088, 2005). In particular, the onset of acoustic energy (excluding lip pops and lip smacking) was denoted by a clear increase in amplitude on the speech waveform following a period of silence. Incorrect responses, mispronunciations, and hesitations (4.6% of the data) were treated as errors and discarded. To control for temporal dependencies between successive trials (Taylor & Lupker, 2001), reaction time of the previous trial and trial order were included in the analyses, so trials whose previous trial corresponded to an error and participants' first trial in the experiment (4.6% of the data) were excluded. Extreme outliers (1.1% of the data) were also identified for each participant and removed.

The analyses were performed using linear mixed effects modelling (Baayen, 2008; Baayen, Davidson, & Bates, 2008) and the *languageR* (Baayen, 2008), *lme4* 1.0-5 (Bates, Maechler, Bolker, & Walker, 2013), and *lmerTest* (Kuznetsova, Brockhoff, & Christensen, 2013) packages implemented in R 3.0.2 (2013–09–25) – "Frisbee Sailing" (R Core Team, 2013). The linear mixed-effects model we report was created using a backward stepwise model selection procedure. Model comparison was performed using chi-squared log-likelihood ratio tests with maximum likelihood. The Box-Cox procedure indicated that inverse RT (-1000/RT) was the optimal transformation to meet the precondition of normality. The model we report included inverse RT (invRT) as the dependent variable, and as fixed effects the interaction between congruency (onset related vs. unrelated) and pronounceability (pronounceable vs. unpronounceable), RT of previous trial (PrevRT), and trial order. Intercepts for subjects and items were included as random effects

and so were random slopes for items for the effect of congruency³: $invRT \sim$ congruency*pronounceability + PrevRT + trial order + (1 | subject) + (1 + congruency | target).

Outliers with a standardized residual greater than 2.5 standard deviations from zero were removed from the fitted model (2% of the data). The results indicated a significant congruency effect, so that color-naming latencies were significantly faster in the onset-related condition compared to the unrelated condition. This was the case for both pronounceable and unpronounceable nonwords (t = -6.820, p < .001 and t = -6.555, p < .001, respectively). Importantly, congruency did not interact with pronounceability (t < 1). Also, unpronounceable nonwords in the incongruent condition (t = -3.040, p < .01).

The error analysis was performed using a logit mixed model (Jaeger, 2008) with the congruency by pronounceability interaction as a fixed effect and intercepts for subjects and items as random effects. The incongruent condition yielded significantly more errors than the congruent condition. This was the case for both pronounceable and unpronounceable nonwords (z = 3.829, p < .001 and z = 4.646, p < .001, respectively). Mean RTs (calculated from a total of 4319 observations) and percentage of errors for each condition are presented in Table 1.

-Insert Table 1 about here-

To quantify evidence for the null interaction (see Rouder, Speckman, Sun, Morey & Iverson, 2009), we calculated the Bayes factor to compare the model we report against the model that did not include the congruency by pronounceability interaction. The model without the interaction term was preferred by a factor of about 11, which according to Jeffreys (1961)

³ Random slopes are included to remove the assumption that either all subjects or all items (or both) show the same sensitivity to the experimental effects being tested.

provides "strong evidence" for the hypothesis that the congruency effect does not depend on the pronounceability of the printed nonwords.

Discussion

Experiment 1 investigated whether the PSE disappears when the printed letter strings are unpronounceable. The results indicated that this was not the case: irrespective of the pronounceability of the stimuli, nonwords whose initial sound was the same as the initial sound of the color in which they were printed were color-named faster than nonwords whose initial sound did not match the initial sound of the color in which they were printed. The dual-route account of the PSE can accommodate these findings. In addition, we observed that unpronounceable nonwords yielded faster color-naming latencies than pronounceable nonwords. This finding is discussed in detail in the General Discussion.

The dual-route account predicts that the MOPE will also occur irrespective of the pronounceability of the primes. However, this prediction is inconsistent with the findings that Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) obtained in a MOPE experiment conducted in Spanish. Thus, in Experiment 2 we sought to determine whether the MOPE depends on prime pronounceability in English using monosyllabic nonword targets preceded by pronounceable and unpronounceable monosyllabic nonword primes. The choice of monosyllabic nonword stimuli in our experiment was deliberate since a robust MOPE is typically observed with such stimuli (Kinoshita, 2000; Mousikou et al., 2010a; Mousikou et al., 2010b; Mousikou et al., 2010c; Mousikou, Roon, & Rastle, in press). As such, the potential absence of a MOPE with unpronounceable nonword primes in the presence of a robust MOPE with pronounceable nonword primes would provide very strong evidence against the dual-route account of the MOPE and in favour of the speech-planning account.

EXPERIMENT 2

Method

Participants. Twenty-four undergraduate students from Macquarie University participated in the study for course credit. Participants were native speakers of Australian English and reported no visual, reading, or language difficulties.

Materials. Most of the stimuli from Experiment 1 were used in Experiment 2. Items that started with BW were replaced with items that started with BR, because BW onsets do not exist in English and introducing ambiguity/conflict in target reading aloud could influence the MOPE (see Kinoshita & Woollams, 2002). Thirty-six CVC nonwords and thirty-six CCVC nonwords served as target items. Another 144 nonwords with similar structures served as onset-related and unrelated primes. Prime *N* (Coltheart, Davelaar, Jonasson, & Besner, 1977) was 8.75 for the onset-related primes and 8.88 for the unrelated primes. An additional 144 nonwords with no vowels served as unpronounceable onset-related and unrelated primes. Four groups of 72 prime-target pairs were formed with the targets remaining the same in all groups. Two experimental conditions were tested. In the onset-related condition pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes shared their first phoneme with the targets (e.g., *reg*-RAV and *rnz*-RAV). In the unrelated condition pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes shared their first phoneme with the targets (e.g., *reg*-RAV and *rnz*-RAV). In the unrelated condition pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes shared no phonemes with the target in the same position (e.g., *mub*-RAV and *cnz*-RAV). A total of 288 prime-target pairs formed the experimental stimuli (see Appendix B) and four prime-target pairs with similar characteristics served as practice items.

Design. Each experimental condition (onset related and unrelated) for each type of nonword prime (pronounceable and unpronounceable) consisted of 72 prime-target pairs, making a total of 288 trials per participant in a fully counterbalanced design (as in Mousikou et al., 2010a; 2010b). Every participant saw the 72 targets four times, each time preceded by a different type of prime. The 288 trials were divided into four blocks so that the same target would not appear more than once within the same block. A short break was administered between the blocks. The blocks were constructed in a way that at least 36 trials intervened before the same item reappeared. Four lists were constructed to counterbalance the order of block presentation. An equal number of participants (N = 6) were tested on each list.

Apparatus and Procedure. Participants were tested individually, seated approximately 40 cm in front of a Dell CRT monitor in a dimly lit room. Stimulus presentation and data recording were controlled by DMDX software (Forster & Forster, 2003). Verbal responses were recorded by a microphone and participants were instructed to read aloud the nonwords presented on the screen as quickly as possible. The presence of primes was not mentioned to the participants. Each trial started with the presentation of a forward mask (####), which remained on the screen for 500 ms. The prime was then presented in lowercase letters for 50 ms (five ticks based on the monitor's refresh rate of 10 ms), followed by the target that was presented in uppercase letters and acted as a backward mask to the prime. The target nonwords appeared in white on a black background (12-point Courier New font) and remained on the screen for 2000 ms or until participants responded, whichever happened first. Following the four practice trials, the order of trial presentation within blocks and lists was randomized across participants.

Results

Participants' responses (N = 24) were hand marked using CheckVocal (Protopapas, 2007). The acoustic onset of the responses was marked in the same way as in Experiment 1. Incorrect responses, mispronunciations, and hesitations (.5% of the data), trials that were presented first in each of the blocks, and trials whose previous trial corresponded to an error (1.9% of the data), as

well as extreme outliers that were identified separately for each participant (.6% of the data) were discarded.⁴

The analyses were performed in the same way as in Experiment 1. The Box-Cox procedure indicated that inverse RT (-1000/RT) was the optimal transformation, so the model we report included invRT as the dependent variable, and the interaction between prime relatedness (onset related vs. unrelated) and prime pronounceability (pronounceable vs. unpronounceable), RT of previous trial, and trial order as fixed effects. Intercepts for subjects and items were included as random effects, and so were by-subject random slopes for the effect of prime relatedness to remove the assumption that all participants showed the same amount of MOPE: invRT ~ prime relatedness*prime pronounceability + PrevRT + trial order + (1 + prime relatedness | subject) + (1 | target).

Outliers with a standardized residual greater than 2.5 standard deviations from zero were removed from the fitted model (2.1% of the data). The results showed a significant MOPE, so that target reading aloud latencies were faster in the onset-related condition compared to the unrelated condition for both pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes (t = -6.601, p <.001 and t = -6.589, p < .001, respectively). Importantly, the MOPE did not interact with prime pronounceability (t < 1). There was also a significant pronounceability effect, so that pronounceable primes yielded faster target reading aloud latencies than unpronounceable primes both in the onset-related and unrelated conditions (both ts = -3.202, p < .01). The error rate in this experiment was too low to perform an informative error analysis, hence errors were not analysed.

⁴ Some of the target nonwords yielded more than one plausible pronunciations. For these items, we considered alternative responses as correct. All acceptable pronunciations per item are shown in Appendix B. The overall low error rate in this experiment (.5%) indicates that the majority of the responses that participants gave matched the pronunciations that we considered as acceptable.

Mean RTs (calculated from a total of 6567 observations), and percentage of errors for each condition are presented in Table 2.

-Insert Table 2 about here-

Given the null interaction, as in Experiment 1, we calculated the Bayes factor to compare the model we report against the model that did not include the MOPE by prime pronounceability interaction. The model without the interaction term was preferred by a factor of about 25, which according to Jeffreys (1961), provides "strong to very strong evidence" for the hypothesis that the MOPE does not depend on prime pronounceability.

Discussion

Experiment 2 was carried out to determine whether the MOPE depends on prime pronounceability in English, as the speech planning account predicts (Kinoshita, 2000; Dimitropoulou et al., 2010). We observed a MOPE of equal size for both pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes, a result that contrasts sharply with the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) findings, which showed no MOPE when the primes were unpronounceable. Thus, our finding cannot be accommodated within the speech-planning account but provides strong support in favor of the dual-route account of the MOPE, according to which the sublexical procedure activates the first phoneme of the prime during prime presentation irrespective of the prime's pronounceability, thus influencing the processing of the first phoneme of the target and yielding a MOPE.

To assess whether the DRC model can simulate our data we ran our stimuli through DRC 1.2.1 (<u>http://www.cogsci.mq.edu.au/~ssaunder/DRC/category/builds/</u>). With the default parameters and a prime duration of 26 cycles (see Mousikou et al., 2010b) the model made no errors and produced a significant MOPE for both pronounceable and unpronounceable primes,

both ts(71) = 71.0, p < .001 (see Table 3). Thus, the DRC simulations agreed with the human results.⁵ The model's pronunciations of the target stimuli and its RTs (in cycles) for each item are shown in Appendix C. Similarly, we ran our stimuli through the CDP++ model of reading aloud (Perry et al., 2010), which also attributes the MOPE to the left-to-right processing of the prime by the sublexical procedure. With the default parameters and a prime duration of 25 cycles (as per Perry et al., 2010) the model mispronounced 17 target nonwords both in the onset-related and unrelated conditions, and two target nonwords in the onset-related condition. This was the case for both pronounceable and unpronounceable primes (see Appendix D).⁶ Importantly though, the model produced a significant MOPE for both pronounceable and unpronounceable primes (t(52) = 5.269 and t(52) = 8.12, both ps < .001), hence, the CDP++ model successfully simulated the human data.⁷ The DRC and CDP++ pronunciation symbols, their corresponding symbols in IPA, and example words containing the corresponding sounds are provided in Appendix E.

-Insert Tables 3 and 4 about here-

http://www.cogsci.mq.edu.au/~ssaunder/DRC/category/builds/

⁵ The differences between DRC 1.2.1 and DRC 1.2 (Mousikou et al., 2010b) are documented here:

⁶ Given that the participants' pronunciations of the target nonwords agreed overall with the pronunciations that we considered as acceptable, we only considered as erroneous the model's pronunciations that did not match any of the acceptable pronunciations (see Appendix B). In the analyses we only included the items that the model pronounced correctly both in the onset-related and unrelated conditions for each type of prime.

⁷ It is worth mentioning that we also ran the stimuli from Experiment 2 through CDP+ (Perry et al., 2007). With the default parameters and a prime duration of 25 cycles (as per Perry et al., 2007, p. 294) the model made a significant number of errors (35% across all conditions) and failed to produce a MOPE for both pronounceable and unpronounceable primes (t(44) < 1 and t(43) = 0, respectively).

The remaining issue concerns how to explain the discrepancy between the findings by Dimitropoulou et al. (2010, Experiment 3) and our findings in Experiment 2. A major difference between their study and our study is that ours was conducted in English, whereas theirs was conducted in Spanish. However, we see no basis for assuming that the two proposed accounts would make different predictions in relation to an effect of prime pronounceability on the MOPE on the basis of the language being processed. Another major difference between the two studies was that in our experiment participants read aloud monosyllabic nonwords (preceded by pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes), whereas in the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) experiment participants read aloud multisyllabic words (preceded by word primes and pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes). Hence, the effect of prime pronounceability on the MOPE may depend on the lexical status and/or syllable length of the stimuli. In principle, independently of whether the stimuli are words or nonwords, and whether they consist of one or multiple syllables, the dual-route account predicts that a MOPE should be observed for both pronounceable and unpronounceable primes. For this reason, in Experiment 3 we sought to determine whether there is a MOPE for both types of primes when the stimuli consist of multisyllabic target words. As such, Experiment 3 was an attempt to replicate the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) results in the English language using the same type of stimuli and experimental design that they used.

EXPERIMENT 3

Method

Participants. Thirty undergraduate students from Royal Holloway, University of London, participated in the study for course credit. Participants were native speakers of Southern British English and reported no visual, reading, or language difficulties.

Materials. We chose our stimuli using the same selection criteria that Dimitropoulou et al. (2010, Experiment 3) used. In particular, 150 disyllabic English words from the English Lexicon Project (ELP) database (Balota, Yap, Cortese, Hutchison, Kessler, Loftis,...Treiman, 2007) were selected as target items. Target words were of low-to-moderate frequency (log frequency on the Zipf scale M = 2.81⁸ according to SUBTLEX-UK (van Heuven, Mandera, Keuleers, & Brysbaert, 2014), consisted of five to seven letters (M = 6), and had a mean N (orthographic neighbourhood) of 1.38. Given that Spanish is a transparent language with regular/consistent grapheme-tophoneme mappings, we ensured that the target words in our experiment also contained regular/consistent pronunciations. In particular, we ran a large set of words from the ELP database through CDP++ (Perry et al., 2010), and a disyllabic version of the DRC model that contains only a sublexical procedure (Rastle & Coltheart, 2000) and therefore produces only regular pronunciations. We then selected the items for which the pronunciations of the two models matched, thus ensuring that the grapheme-to-phoneme mappings in these words were regular/consistent. Furthermore, given that stress in Spanish is marked, whereas in English it is not, we opted for using only first-syllable stressed words as targets, so that the English target words in our experiment would be comparable to the Spanish target words in the Dimitropoulou et al. experiment in terms of stress regularity/predictability.⁹

As in the Dimitropoulou et al. study, for each target word three types of primes were chosen (high-frequency words, pronounceable nonwords, and unpronounceable nonwords) in two conditions (onset-related and unrelated). The high-frequency word primes (log frequency on the Zipf scale M = 4.08 and M = 3.99, mean N = 1.29 and N = 1.55, for onset-related and unrelated primes, respectively) were selected using the same procedure as that used for the targets. We

⁸ Values 1-3 correspond to low-frequency words and values 4-7 correspond to high-frequency words.

⁹ We opted for choosing items with no more than two syllables so that we could tightly control for their properties using the available computational models of disyllabic reading.

obtained the pronounceable nonword primes (mean N = 1.13 and N = 1.15, for onset-related and unrelated primes, respectively) by submitting the disyllabic words from the ELP database to Wuggy (Keuleers & Brysbaert, 2010). The unpronounceable nonword primes were created by generating random consonant sequences. Primes and targets in the onset-related condition shared their first letter and phoneme but had no other letters/phonemes in common in the same position. In the unrelated condition primes and targets shared no letters/phonemes in the same position. Prime-target pairs were also matched on number of letters and phonemes. The stimuli used in Experiment 3 are shown in Appendix F. In addition, six target words with their corresponding primes (36 in total), which had the same characteristics as the experimental stimuli, were selected and used as practice items.¹⁰

Design. Each experimental condition (onset-related and unrelated) for each type of prime (words, pronounceable nonwords, and unpronounceable nonwords) consisted of 25 prime-target pairs for a total of 150 trials per participant. Six lists were created with each target word appearing only once in each list. The priming conditions were counterbalanced across lists (e.g., the target word SANDAL was preceded by the onset-related word prime *stigma* in List A, the unrelated word prime *recent* in List B, the onset-related pronounceable nonword prime *soslin* in List C, the unrelated pronounceable nonword prime *ticlet* in List D, the onset-related unpronounceable nonword prime *ticlet* in List F).

¹⁰ Due to the restrictions we had in selecting our stimuli, five of the primes were monosyllables (i.e. *mosque, bless, prawn, glance*, and *floon*). However, this was also the case in the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) stimulus set. For example, the primes *piel, diez, buen, dios, juez, bien*, and *buil*, are monosyllabic in Spanish.

Results

Participants' responses (N = 30) were hand marked using CheckVocal (Protopapas, 2007). The acoustic onset of the responses was marked in the same way as in Experiments 1 and 2. Incorrect responses, mispronunciations, and hesitations (6.7% of the data), trials that were presented first and trials whose previous trial corresponded to an error (7.4% of the data), as well as extreme outliers that were identified separately for each participant (.8% of the data) were discarded. The analyses were performed in the same way as in Experiments 1 and 2. The model we report included invRT as the dependent variable, and the interaction between prime relatedness (onset related vs. unrelated) and prime type (word vs. pronounceable nonword vs. unpronounceable nonword), RT of previous trial, and trial order as fixed effects. Intercepts for subjects and items were included as random effects, and so were by-item random slopes for the

effect of prime relatedness: invRT ~ prime relatedness*prime type + PrevRT + trial order + (1 | subject) + (1 + prime relatedness | target).

Outliers with a standardized residual greater than 2.5 standard deviations from zero were removed from the fitted model (1.8% of the data). The results indicated a significant MOPE, so that reading aloud latencies were faster in the onset-related condition compared to the unrelated condition for word primes (t = -2.846, p < .01), pronounceable nonword primes (t = -5.483, p < .01) .001), and unprounceable nonword primes (t = -1.992, p < .05). The significant MOPE for unpronounceable nonword primes contrasts sharply with the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) results, which showed no MOPE when the primes were unpronounceable. There was also a significant interaction between prime relatedness and prime type when the MOPE for pronounceable nonword primes was compared to the MOPE for word primes and unpronounceable nonword primes. In particular, pronounceable nonword primes yielded a significantly bigger MOPE than word primes (t = 1.965, p < .05) and unpronounceable nonword primes (t = 2.604, p < .01). However, the interaction between prime relatedness and prime type was not significant when the MOPE for unpronounceable nonword primes was compared to the MOPE for word primes (t <1). The latter result also conflicts with the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) results, which indicated a bigger MOPE for word primes compared to unpronounceable nonword primes. In addition, our results indicated a significant pronounceability effect: word primes yielded significantly faster target reading aloud latencies than unpronounceable nonword primes, both in the onset-related and unrelated conditions (t = -3.495, p < .001 and t = -2.605, p < .01, respectively), and pronounceable nonword primes yielded significantly faster target reading aloud latencies than unpronounceable nonword primes in the onset-related condition (t = -4.493, p < .001).

The error analysis was performed using a logit mixed model with the prime relatedness by prime type interaction as a fixed effect and intercepts for subjects and items as random effects. The onset-related condition yielded significantly fewer errors than the unrelated condition when the primes were unpronounceable nonwords (z = -2.288, p < .05). Also, word primes and

pronounceable nonword primes yielded significantly fewer errors than unpronounceable nonword primes in the unrelated condition (z = -2.325, p < .05 and z = -2.667, p < .01, respectively). The error rate difference between the onset-related and unrelated condition was also significantly bigger for unpronounceable nonword primes, compared to word primes (z = 2.742, p < .01) and pronounceable nonword primes (z = 2.052, p < .05). Mean RTs for each condition (calculated from a total of 3787 observations), and percentage of errors (based on the total number of trials in each condition), are presented in Table 5.

-Insert Table 5 about here-

Discussion

We carried out a MOPE experiment using the same experimental design and type of stimuli that Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) used in the English language. In contrast to their findings and in agreement with our results from Experiment 2 we observed a significant MOPE for both pronounceable and unpronounceable primes. However, it is worth noting that the MOPE was significantly bigger for pronounceable nonword primes compared to word primes. We hypothesize that this could be because word primes are likely to activate their lexical representations during prime presentation. The lexical representations of the onset-related word primes share more phonemes with the targets in the same position, compared to unrelated word primes (e.g., *stigma*-SANDAL vs. *recent*-SANDAL), thus yielding a significant MOPE. However, competition between the primes' and targets' lexical representations could significantly reduce the size of the effect.

Also, the MOPE was significantly bigger for pronounceable nonword primes compared to unpronounceable nonword primes, which contrasts with our finding in Experiment 2, where equal MOPE was observed for both types of primes. However, the stimuli in Experiment 2 were monosyllabic, whereas the ones used in Experiment 3 were disyllabic. Perhaps the syllable

becomes a prominent representational unit in reading aloud when the printed letter strings are multisyllabic. In the case of pronounceable nonword primes there was more phoneme overlap between the first syllable of the onset-related primes and the first syllable of the targets, compared to unrelated prime-target pairs (e.g., *so.slin-SAN.DAL* vs. *ti.clet-SAN.DAL*), thus yielding a robust MOPE. In the case of unpronounceable nonword primes, there was also more phoneme overlap between the primes and the targets in the onset-related condition compared to the unrelated condition (e.g., *sjxlqk-SANDAL* vs. *tvwmhf-SANDAL*), thus yielding a significant MOPE. However, an attempt to process the first syllable of an unpronounceable prime would result in processing a phonotactically illegal sequence of letters, which would induce conflict or ambiguity, thus reducing significantly the size of the effect. This explanation is compatible with the results from the error analysis, which revealed many more reading aloud errors when the primes were unpronounceable.

The CDP++ model (Perry et al., 2010) explains the MOPE as result of left–to–right processing of the prime by the sublexical procedure. Hence, we ran the stimuli from Experiment 3 through this model to assess whether it can simulate our findings. With the default parameters and a prime duration of 25 cycles (as per Perry et al., 2010) the model produced one error in the onset-related condition and a significant MOPE for all three types of primes, t(148) = 23.422 for word primes, t(148) = 28.767 for pronounceable nonword primes, and t(148) = 25.123 for unpronounceable nonword primes, all *ps* < .001 (see Table 6). Thus, the CDP++ model successfully simulated a significant MOPE for all three types of primes. It is also worth noting that the size of the MOPE was numerically smaller when the primes were words (2.2 cycles) compared to when they were pronounceable nonwords (2.4 cycles), which is consistent with the human results. However, the size of the MOPE was numerically bigger when the primes were unpronounceable nonwords (2.5 cycles) compared to when they are pronounceable to when they were pronounceable nonwords (see the traget stimuli and its RTs (in cycles) for each item are provided in Appendix G.

-Insert Table 6 about here-

General Discussion

Recent computational instantiations of the dual-route theory of reading (e.g., Coltheart et al., 2001; Perry et al., 2007; 2010) posit that a serially-operating sublexical reading mechanism is involved in the orthography-to-phonology computation. Such theories attribute the MOPE to the serial left-to-right nature of operation of this mechanism. However, the speech-planning account (Kinoshita, 2000; Dimitropoulou et al., 2010) attributes the MOPE to the serial left-to-right nature of the segment-to-frame association process, which occurs further downstream, during phonological encoding. Thus, according to the speech-planning account, the orthography-tophonology computation need not occur serially; it may occur in parallel. Recently, a study that was carried out in Spanish (Dimitropoulou et al., 2010) offered new evidence in favor of the speech-planning account of the MOPE and against the dual-route account. In particular, Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) failed to obtain a MOPE when target words were preceded by unpronounceable primes. According to the speech-planning account, if a prime is unpronounceable its syllabic onset cannot be identified, and so the segment-to-frame process fails and the MOPE is abolished. The dual-route account cannot explain this finding. In the present paper we sought to determine whether serial processing in reading aloud occurs indeed during speech planning, rather than in the orthography-to-phonology computation as dual-route theories of reading postulate.

Three experiments were carried out using the PSE and the MOPE paradigms. According to dual-route theories of reading, both effects are due to the serial left–to–right nature of operation of the sublexical reading mechanism. In Experiment 1 the printed stimuli consisted of phonologically congruent/incongruent pronounceable and unpronounceable nonwords that participants had to color-name. We observed a robust PSE irrespective of the pronounceability of

the stimuli. In Experiments 2 and 3 target nonwords/words were preceded by onsetrelated/unrelated pronounceable and unpronounceable primes. We observed a significant MOPE for both types of primes in both experiments. These results contrast sharply with the Dimitropoulou et al. findings providing evidence against the idea that the PSE and the MOPE arise during speech planning.

But how could one explain the discrepancy between our data and the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) data? In Experiment 3 we used the same experimental design and type of stimuli that Dimitropoulou et al. used, hence the only major difference between our study and the Dimitropoulou et al. study was that ours was in English, whereas theirs was in Spanish. A possible explanation is that the syllabic onset is a functional unit in Spanish. If that were the case, the orthography-to-phonology translation of the unpronounceable prime's syllabic onset would have failed during prime presentation (given that unpronounceable primes lack a syllabic onset), and so no MOPE would be expected in the Spanish language. As it has already been mentioned in the introduction, Mousikou et al. (2010b) investigated this issue in English using prime-target pairs with shared initial phoneme, but not syllabic onset (e.g., *disc*-DRUM vs. *melt*-DRUM, drum-DISC vs. melt-DISC, biln-BREV vs. kalt-BREV, brev-BILN vs. kalt-BILN). They observed a significant MOPE in all cases, which indicated that the syllabic onset is not a functional unit in the English language. Similar results were obtained in Dutch (Schiller, 2004). Such an experiment in Spanish could determine whether syllabic onsets play a functional role in this language and could potentially explain the discrepancy between our findings and the Dimitropoulou et al. (2010) findings.

The question of whether the MOPE disappears when the primes are unpronounceable provides the only direct test of the contrasting predictions of the dual-route and speech-planning accounts. However, two other empirical phenomena in the MOPE literature have been explained within the speech-planning account. These are the presence of a MOPE in picture naming (Schiller, 2008) and the absence of a MOPE with irregular word targets (Kinoshita & Woollams, 2002). In relation to these phenomena Mousikou et al. (2010c, p 743) noted: "More specifically, the dual-route account of the MOPE claims that nonlexical processing of the first letter of the prime during prime presentation results in the activation of its corresponding phoneme which will either compete with the first phoneme of the target if they are different and hence delay naming of the target, or facilitate its activation if they are the same and hence speed up target naming, or both. This should occur independently of whether the targets are regular or irregular words, nonwords or even pictures (for a MOPE found in the picture naming task in the Dutch language, see Schiller, 2008)." Hence, the dual-route account indeed predicts a MOPE in picture naming, which is consistent with the empirical findings (Schiller, 2008), but in principle, it also predicts a MOPE with irregular word targets, which is inconsistent with the available empirical evidence (Forster & Davis, 1991; Kinoshita & Woollams, 2002). Mousikou et al. (2010c) investigated this issue with the DRC model using regular and irregular word targets preceded by onset-related and unrelated masked primes. Although the model showed a significant regularity effect, so that regular word targets were read aloud significantly faster than irregular word targets (as it was also the case in the Kinoshita and Woollams data), it failed to show a MOPE with irregular word targets. This was because of very strong competition between the incorrect 'regularised' pronunciation of the irregular phoneme of the target (produced by the sublexical procedure) and its correct irregular pronunciation (produced by the lexical procedure), which was not resolved until the target word was named by the model. Thus, target reading aloud latencies were determined by the time the irregular target phoneme reached threshold, which happened at the same time for targets preceded by onset-related and unrelated primes. In other words, any influence of the first phoneme of the prime on the speed of processing of the first phoneme of the target did not affect overall target reading aloud latencies, thus resulting in the absense of a MOPE. Therefore, no empirical phenomenon in the literature can be explained by the speechplanning account, but not the dual-route account. Yet, the findings from the three experiments we report in this paper can be explained by the dual-route account but not the speech-planning

account, providing strong support for the claim that a sublexical reading mechanism that operates serially and from left to right is involved in the orthography–to–phonology computation.

Although the dual-route account seems to be the only account that can accommodate these findings, it is worth noting that on the assumption that a response can be initiated as soon as the initial phoneme has been computed (Kawamoto, Kello, Jones, & Bame, 1998), an account that posits parallel computation of phonology from orthography across the letter string can also explain the serial nature of the MOPE. In particular, according to this account, the orthography–to–phonology computation of the prime's letters could occur in parallel, but if readers initiate articulation as soon as the initial phoneme of the target letter string 'becomes known' (rather than when *all* of the phonemes of the target letter string become known), savings in target reading aloud will only occur if the phoneme overlap between the prime and the target is in the initial position.

However, the idea that a response can be initiated as soon as the initial phoneme has been computed is incompatible with several empirical findings in the reading aloud and speech production literature. For example, in a large-scale multiple regression study, Spieler & Balota (1997) found that word length (defined in terms of number of letters) was one of the primary predictors of word reading aloud latency. If people initiate articulation as soon as they have computed the initial phoneme of a word, a word-length effect on reading aloud latency should not have been observed. Further, anticipatory coarticulatory effects in speeded reading aloud, i.e. the lip protrusion in articulating the vowel of *spoon* extends to the initial phoneme /s/ (Rastle et al., 2000), cannot be explained if one assumes that articulation begins as soon as the initial phoneme becomes known. Moreover, recently, Cholin, Dell, and Levelt (2011) observed that English speakers are faster in producing high-frequency syllables (e.g., /kæl/) compared to low-frequency syllables (e.g., /kæk/). If speakers started articulation as soon as the initial phoneme (i.e. /k/) became known, syllable-frequency effects would not have been observed in this study. Last, our own results from the present study are incompatible with the initial-phoneme criterion hypothesis.

For example, according to Kawamoto et al. (1998, p. 881), "the plosivity of the IP should affect the magnitude of the onset effect. In particular, we would expect a larger onset effect (i.e. more priming) based on acoustic latencies when the IP of the target was a nonplosive than when it was a plosive because with plosive initial consonants, the release of the plosive would be delayed until the vowel is identified." The initial phonemes of the target nonwords in Experiment 2 were mainly plosives (48 items started with plosives and 24 items started with non-plosives). We calculated the MOPE for plosives and non-plosives separately and the results indicated similar size of MOPE for both types of consonants (i.e. for plosives, the MOPE for pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes was 17 and 15 ms, respectively; for non-plosives, it was 11 and 17 ms for pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes, respectively). Hence, the claim that a response can be initiated as soon as the initial phoneme has been computed is not supported by several lines of evidence. As such, the only account that offers a valid explanation for the present findings is the dual-route account.

We explicitly tested the dual-route account by simulating the behavioral data on the MOPE with the DRC and CDP++ computational models of reading, which are computational instantiations of the dual-route theory of reading. Both models simulated successfully a MOPE for nonword targets preceded by pronounceable and unpronounceable nonword primes (Experiment 2). Also, the CDP++ model simulated successfully a MOPE for disyllabic word targets preceded by word primes, pronounceable nonword primes and unpronounceable nonword primes. These simulation results provide additional support for the claim that the MOPE is due to the processing of the primes by a sublexical serially-operating reading mechanism.

Finally, an additional effect that we observed in all three experiments and we have not discussed so far is the pronounceability effect. In Experiment 1 unpronounceable nonwords yielded significantly faster color-naming latencies than pronounceable nonwords in the incongruent condition. In the congruent condition the effect was smaller but in the same direction. This result suggests that participants must have generated the phonology of the nonwords when

these were pronounceable, which would interfere with the phonology of the color name they had to utter, thus slowing down color-naming latencies. Such interference would not be present with unpronounceable nonwords because their phonology cannot be generated. This finding is consistent with Bakan and Alperson's (1967) observation that consonantal letter strings such as FJQ produce less interference than pronounceable letter strings such as EKL or DAP when colornamed. However, the pronounceability effect observed in Experiments 2 and 3 was in the opposite direction: unpronounceable primes yielded significantly slower target reading aloud latencies than pronounceable primes. Critically, the primes were masked so participants could not see them. A potential explanation for this finding is that participants (at least sometimes or some of them) may process more letters of the prime than just the first. This idea was initially proposed by Mousikou et al. (2010a) who observed more priming when primes and targets shared their first two letters/phonemes (sif-SIB) compared to when they only shared their first letter/phoneme (suf-SIB). The difference in priming between the two conditions was very small (3 ms) but significant, leading the authors to suggest that the sublexical reading procedure may be operating at different speeds across individuals (or on some trials). Thus, on some occasions more letters of the prime than the first could be processed. If that were the case, when the primes were unpronounceable, the phonotactical illegality at the beginning of the primes could potentially conflict with the orthography-to-phonology computation process, thus slowing down target reading aloud in this condition. This idea is further supported by the error analysis in Experiment 3: unpronounceable nonword primes yielded significantly more errors than word primes and pronounceable nonword primes suggesting more interference in target reading aloud in this condition. Neither the DRC nor the CDP++ models were able to simulate this pronounceability effect that people showed in the MOPE experiments. Further empirical work is required to determine the nature of this effect.

Conclusion

The findings from the present experiments falsify the idea that the MOPE and the PSE arise during speech planning and corroborate the original dual-route interpretation of both effects, providing strong support for the claim that serial processing is involved in the orthography–to– phonology computation.

References

- Bakan, P., & Alperson, B. (1967). Pronounceability, attensity, and interference in the color-word test. American Journal of Psychology, 80,416–420.
- Baayen, R.H. (2008). Analyzing linguistic data: A practical introduction to statistics using R. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Baayen, R.H., Davidson, D.J., & Bates, D.M. (2008). Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects for subjects and items. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 59, 390–412.
- Balota, D.A., Yap, M.J., Cortese, M.J., Hutchison, K.A., Kessler, B., Loftis, B.,...Treiman, R. (2007). The English Lexicon Project. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39, 445–459.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S. (2013). lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4. R package version 1.0-5. <u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4</u>.
- Cholin, J., Dell, G.S, & Levelt, W.J.M. (2011). Planning and articulation in incremental word production: syllable-frequency effects in English. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 37*, 109–122.
- Coltheart, M., Curtis, B. Atkins, P. & Haller, M. (1993). Models of reading aloud: Dual-route and parallel-distributed-processing approaches. *Psychological Review*, *100*, 589–608.
- Coltheart, M., Davelaar, E., Jonasson, J.T., & Besner, D. (1977). Access to the internal lexicon. In S. Dornic (Ed.), *Attention and Performance VI* (pp. 535–555). London: Academic Press.
- Coltheart, M., Rastle, K., Perry, C., Langdon, R., & Ziegler, J.C. (2001). The DRC model: A model of visual word recognition and reading aloud. *Psychological Review*, *108*, 204–258.
- Coltheart, M., Woollams, A., Kinoshita, S., & Perry, C. (1999). A position-sensitive Stroop effect: Further evidence for a left-to-right component in print-to-speech conversion. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 6, 456–463.
- Dimitropoulou, M., Duñabeitia, J.A., & Carreiras, M. (2010). Influence of prime lexicality, frequency, and pronounceability on the masked onset priming effect. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *63*, 813–837.

- Forster, K.I., & Davis, C. (1991). The density constraint on form-priming in the naming task: Interference effects from a masked prime. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *30*, 1–25.
- Forster, K.I., & Forster, J.C. (2003). DMDX: A Windows display program with millisecond accuracy. *Behavior Research Methods Instruments and Computers*, 35, 116–124.
- Harm, M.W., & Seidenberg, M.S. (2004). Computing the meanings of words in reading:
 Cooperative division of labor between visual and phonological processes. *Psychological Review*, *111*, 662–720.
- Indefrey, P. (2011). The spatial and temporal signatures of word production components: A critical update. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *2*, 1–16.
- Indefrey, P., & Levelt, W.J.M. (2004). The spatial and temporal signatures of word production components. *Cognition*, 92, 101–144.
- Jaeger, T.F. (2008). Categorical data analysis: Away from ANOVAs (transformation or not) and towards logit mixed models. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *59*, 434–446.
- Jeffreys, H. (1961). *Theory of probability (3rd ed.)*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, Clarendon Press.
- Kawamoto, A.H., Kello, C.T., Jones, R., & Bame, K. (1998). Initial phoneme versus whole-word criterion to initiate pronunciation: Evidence based on response latency and initial phoneme duration. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24, 862–885.
- Keuleers, E., & Brysbaert, M. (2010). Wuggy: A multilingual pseudoword generator. *Behavior Research Methods* 42, 627–633.
- Kinoshita, S. (2000). The left-to-right nature of the masked onset priming effect in naming. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 7, 133–141.
- Kinoshita, S., & Woollams, A. (2002). The masked onset priming effect in naming: Computation of phonology or speech-planning? *Memory & Cognition*, 30, 237–245.

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., & Christensen, R.H.B. (2013). ImerTest: Tests for random and

fixed effects for linear mixed effect models (lmer objects of lme4 package). R package version 2.0–3. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lmerTest

- Levelt, W.J.M., Roelofs, A., & Meyer, A.S. (1999). A theory of lexical access in speech production. *Behavioral & Brain Sciences*, 22, 1–75.
- Meyer, A.S. (1991). The time course of phonological encoding in language production: Phonological encoding inside a syllable. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *30*, 69–89.
- Mousikou, P., & Coltheart, M. (2014). The serial nature of the masked onset priming effect revisited. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*,

doi: 10.1080/17470218.2014.915332

- Mousikou, P., Coltheart, M., Finkbeiner, M. & Saunders, S. (2010a). Can the dual-route cascaded computational model of reading offer a valid account of the masked onset priming effect? *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 63, 984–1003.
- Mousikou, P., Coltheart, M., Saunders, S., & Yen, L. (2010b). Is the orthographic/phonological onset a single unit in reading aloud? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 36, 175–194.
- Mousikou, P., Coltheart, M., & Saunders, S. (2010c). Computational modelling of the masked onset priming effect in reading aloud [Special Issue]. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 22, 725–763.
- Mousikou, P., Roon, K.D., & Rastle, K. (in press). Masked primes activate feature representations in reading aloud. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition*.
- Perry, C., Ziegler, J.C., & Zorzi, M. (2007). Nested incremental modeling in the development of computational theories: the CDP+ model of reading aloud. *Psychological Review*, 114, 273–315.

Perry, C., Ziegler, J. C., & Zorzi, M. (2010). Beyond single syllables: Large-scale modeling of

reading aloud with the Connectionist Dual Process (CDP++) model. *Cognitive Psychology*, *61*, 106-151.

- Plaut, D.C., McClelland, J.,L., Seidenberg, M.S., & Patterson, K.E. (1996). Understanding normal and impaired word reading: Computational principles in quasi-regular domains. *Psychological Review*, 103, 56–115.
- Protopapas, A. (2007). CheckVocal: A program to facilitate checking the accuracy and response time of vocal responses from DMDX. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39, 859–862.
- Rastle, K., Croot, K.P., Harrington, J.M., & Coltheart, M. (2005). Characterizing the motor execution stage of speech production: Consonantal effects on delayed naming latency and onset duration. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 31, 1083–1095.
- Rastle, K., Harrington, J., Coltheart, M., & Palethorpe, S. (2000). Reading aloud begins when the computation of phonology is complete. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 26, 1178–1191.
- Rastle, K., & Coltheart, M. (2000). Lexical and nonlexical print-to-sound translation of disyllabic words and nonwords. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 42, 342–364.
- Rastle, K., & Coltheart, M. (2006). Is there serial processing in the reading system; and are there local representations? In: Andrews, S. (Ed.) From inkmarks to ideas: Current issues in lexical processing. Hove: Psychology Press.
- R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>http://www.R-project.org/.</u>
- Rouder, J.N., Speckman, P.L., Sun, D., Morey, R.D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian t tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 16, 225–237.
- Schiller, N.O. (2004). The onset effect in word naming. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 50, 477–490.

- Schiller, N. O. (2008). The masked onset priming effect in picture naming. *Cognition*, 106, 952–962.
- Spieler, D. H., & Balota, D. A. (1997). Bringing computational models of word naming down to the item level. *Psychological Science*, 8, 411–416.
- Taylor, T.E., & Lupker, S.J. (2001). Sequential effects in naming: A time-criterion account. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition, 27, 117–138.
- Timmer, K., Vahid-Gharavi, N., & Schiller, N.O. (2012). Reading aloud in Persian: ERP evidence for an early locus of the masked onset priming effect. *Brain & Language*, 122, 34–41.
- van Heuven, W.J.B., Mandera, P., Keuleers, E., & Brysbaert, M. (2014). SUBTLEX-UK: A new and improved word frequency database for British English. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 67, 1176–1190.
- Woollams, A., Lambon Ralph, M.A., Plaut, D.C., & Patterson, K. (2007). SD-squared: On the association between semantic dementia and surface dyslexia. *Psychological Review*, 114, 316–339.
- Perry, C., Ziegler, J. C., & Zorzi, M. (2010). Beyond single syllables: Large-scale modeling of reading aloud with the Connectionist Dual Process (CDP++) model. *Cognitive Psychology*, 61, 106–151.

Table 1. Mean Colour-naming Latencies (RTs in ms) with Standard Deviations (in parentheses)

	Pronounceable		Unpronounceable		Pronounceability effect
	RTs (SDs)	%E	RTs (SDs)	%E	
Congruent	617 (154)	2.9	608 (161)	2.5	-9
Incongruent	675 (167)	6.2	662 (177)	6.5	-13
Congruency effect	58		54		

and Percent Error Rates (%E) for each condition in Experiment 1.

Table 2. Human Mean Reading Aloud Latencies (RTs in ms) with Standard Deviations (in

parentheses) and Percent Error Rates (%E) for each condition in Experiment 2.

	Pronounceable primes		Unpronounceable primes		Pronounceability effect	
	RTs (SDs)	%E	RTs (SDs)	%E		
Onset related	502 (79)	.4	508 (81)	.8	6	
Unrelated	517 (78)	.2	523 (80)	.6	6	
MOPE	15		15			

Table 3. DRC Mean Reading Aloud Latencies (RTs in cycles) with Standard Deviations (in

parentheses) in Experiment 2 (Prime Duration = 26 cycles).

	Pronounceable primes	Unpronounceable primes	Pronounceability effect
	RTs (SDs)	RTs (SDs)	
Onset related	132.4 (3.6)	132.4 (3.6)	0
Unrelated	133.4 (3.6)	133.4 (3.6)	0
MOPE	1	1	

Table 4. CDP++ Mean Reading Aloud Latencies (RTs in cycles) with Standard Deviations (in

parentheses) in Experiment 2 (Prime Duration = 25 cycles).

	Pronounceable primes	Unpronounceable primes	Pronounceability effect
	RTs (SDs)	RTs (SDs)	
Onset related	103.2 (15.2)	103.2 (15.4)	0
Unrelated	106.6 (16.2)	105.4 (16.1)	-1.2
MOPE	3.4	2.2	

Table 5. Human Mean Reading Aloud Latencies (RTs in ms) with Standard Deviations (in

	Word primes		Pronounceable		Unpronounceable		Pronounceability	
			nonword pri	mes	nonword prin	mes	effect	
	RTs (SDs)	%E	RTs (SDs)	%E	RTs (SDs)	%E	Words	Nonwords
Onset related	522 (100)	7.7	518 (96)	6.3	529 (98)	6	7	11
Unrelated	531 (102)	5.9	535 (103)	5.5	538 (96)	8.8	7	3
MOPE	9		17		9			

parentheses) and Percent Error Rates (%E) for each condition in Experiment 3.

Table 6. CDP++ Mean Reading Aloud Latencies (RTs in cycles) with Standard Deviations (in

	Word primes	Pronounceable nonword primes	Unpronounceable nonword primes	Pronound	ceability
	RTs (SDs)	RTs (SDs)	RTs (SDs)	Words	Nonwords
Onset related	84.4 (7.6)	84.2 (7.5)	84.2 (7.5)	-0.2	0
Unrelated	86.6 (7.3)	86.6 (7.2)	86.7 (7.3)	0.1	0.1
MOPE	2.2	2.4	2.5		

parentheses) in Experiment 3 (Prime Duration = 25 cycles).

	Congruent			Incongruent	
Pronounceable	Unpronounceable	Color	Pronounceable	Unpronounceable	Color
BWAC	BWFC	blue	BWAC	BWFC	red
BWAM	BWFM	blue	BWAM	BWFM	red
BWAZ	BWFZ	blue	BWAZ	BWFZ	red
BWIF	BWGF	blue	BWIF	BWGF	red
BWIK	BWGM	blue	BWIK	BWGM	red
BWIV	BWGS	blue	BWIV	BWGS	red
BWIZ	BWVK	blue	BWIZ	BWVK	red
BWOC	BWVV	blue	BWOC	BWVV	red
BWOG	BWVZ	blue	BWOG	BWVZ	red
BWOM	BWZC	blue	BWOM	BWZC	red
BWOS	BWZG	blue	BWOS	BWZG	red
BWOT	BWZT	blue	BWOT	BWZT	red
BLAG	BLFG	brown	BLAG	BLFG	white
BLAJ	BLFM	brown	BLAJ	BLFM	white
BLAM	BLFP	brown	BLAM	BLFP	white
BLAP	BLGP	brown	BLAP	BLGP	white
BLEB	BLGV	brown	BLEB	BLGV	white
BLEF	BLGZ	brown	BLEF	BLGZ	white
BLEP	BLNB	brown	BLEP	BLNB	white
BLUC	BLNF	brown	BLUC	BLNF	white
BLUP	BLNP	brown	BLUP	BLNP	white
BLUS	BLZC	brown	BLUS	BLZC	white
BLUV	BLZJ	brown	BLUV	BLZJ	white
BLUZ	BLZZ	brown	BLUZ	BLZZ	white
GLAB	GLFF	green	GLAB	GLFF	pink
GLAF	GLGB	green	GLAF	GLGB	pink
GLAJ	GLGJ	green	GLAJ	GLGJ	pink
GLOM	GLGK	green	GLOM	GLGK	pink
GLOP	GLNB	green	GLOP	GLNB	pink
GLOT	GLNJ	green	GLOT	GLNJ	pink
GLOV	GLNZ	green	GLOV	GLNZ	pink
GLOZ	GLZM	green	GLOZ	GLZM	pink
GLOZ	GLZP	green	GLOZ	GLZP	pink
GLUB	GLZT	green	GLUB	GLZT	pink
GLUJ	GLZV	green	GLUJ	GLZV	pink
GLUK	GLZZ	green	GLUK	GLZZ	pink
PAF	PFF	pink	PAF	PFF	green
PAF	PFV	pink	PAF	PFV	green
PAV	PFV	pink	PAV	PFV	green
PAV	PGJ	pink	PAV	PGJ	green
PAZ	PGM	pink	PAZ	PGM	green
POB	PGV	pink	POB	PGV	green
POF	PNF	pink	POF	PNM	green
POL	PNF	pink	POL	PNM	green
POZ	PNZ	pink	POZ	PNZ	green
PUJ	PZB	pink	PUJ	PZB	green
PUM	PZF	pink	PUM	PZF	green
PUV	PZL	pink	PUV	PZL	green
RAF	RFF	red	RAF	RFF	blue
RAS	RFS	red	RAS	RFS	blue

Appendix A. Experimental stimuli used in Experiment 1.

RAV	RFV	red	RAV	RFV	blue
RAZ	RNM	red	RAZ	RNM	blue
RIT	RNM	red	RIT	RNM	blue
RIV	RNZ	red	RIV	RNZ	blue
RIZ	RVN	red	RIZ	RVN	blue
ROF	RVN	red	ROF	RVN	blue
ROF	RVZ	red	ROF	RVZ	blue
ROV	RZF	red	ROV	RZF	blue
ROZ	RZV	red	ROZ	RZV	blue
ROZ	RZZ	red	ROZ	RZZ	blue
WAF	WFF	white	WAF	WFF	brown
WAV	WFV	white	WAV	WFV	brown
WAZ	WFZ	white	WAZ	WFZ	brown
WEC	WGF	white	WEC	WGF	brown
WEM	WGM	white	WEM	WGM	brown
WEP	WGP	white	WEP	WGP	brown
WEV	WNC	white	WEV	WNC	brown
WID	WNM	white	WID	WNM	brown
WUF	WNP	white	WUF	WNP	brown
WUJ	WVD	white	WUJ	WVD	brown
WUM	WVJ	white	WUM	WVJ	brown
WUP	WVV	white	WUP	WVV	brown

Targets	Acceptable	Pronounceable primes		Unpronounceable primes		
	pronunciations	Onset related	Unrelated	Onset related	Unrelated	
BLAG	blæg	bomp	zost	bnfz	vnfz	
BLAJ	blædz	bisp	semp	bngs	angs	
BLAM	blæm	boft	crin	bnyy	cnvv	
BLAP	blæn	besk	stit	bngf	vngf	
BLEB	bleb	bonk	fonk	bnzc	fnzc	
BLEF	blef	bant	tump	bnyz	knyz	
BLEP	blen	baft	dand	bnfm	rnfm	
BLUC	blak: blok	basp	spid	bnzg	mnzg	
BLUP	blan: blon	bist	trin	bnzt	rnzt	
BLUS	blas: blus: blaz: bluz	bect	neft	hngm	zngm	
BLUV	blay: bloy	himp	smed	bnfc	rnfc	
BLUZ	blaz: bloz	beft	mond	bnyk	cnvk	
BRAK	bræk	belf	lint	higy	figy	
BRAV	bræv	belk	slig	bizc	mize	
BRFF	bref	binc	kuln	hign	kign	
BREK	brek	hamp	nint	hifn	lifn	
BREP	bren	bont	iand	bifg	vifa	
BRET	bret	bolf	zold	bigz	migz	
BREV	brev	balf	dact	binf	tinf	
BRID	brid	bemp	volf	binz	ijin ninz	
BRIV	briv	bulp	lelt	bizz	pjiiz nizz	
BRIZ	briz	beld	colk	binn	gipp	
BROG	brng	baln	kaft	bifm	gjiip kifm	
BROT	brot	belp	kick	bizi	vizi	
GLAB	alæb	roft	hisk	opzy	mpzy	
GLAE	glau	gont	munt	gpzv	hipz v	
GLAI	glæl	gont	munt	gpiiz	rpzt	
GLAD	glæuz	goliu	frim	gpzt	tpyk	
GLOD	glbu	gleb	sisp	gpvk	tpvk srvi	
GLON	glom	guet	sisp	gpvj gyfb	SI VJ	
GLOF	glop	galik	zast	gvib	honi	
GLOY	glbt	gusk	viiik	gpnj	rpnb	
	glov	gunu	yesk drup	gpit	upff	
GLUZ	glub: glub	gapt	uiup vint	gpm	dpap	
GLUB	glada, gluda	gask	VIIIt	gpzp	dpzp fnzm	
GLUK	glady, glous	gaci	vonip	gpzm	1pziii	
DAD	gink, giok	glat	fea	gpzz	npzz mai	
	pæb	pilli	lie	pgj	nigj	
PAF	pæl	pia	lig	ркт	<u>gкm</u>	
	рæк	per	nom	pzi	VZI	
PAV	pæv	ped	dob	pgm	bgm	
PAL	pæz		mec	pII	<u>jii</u>	
POB	ppb	piz	ler	pnz	KNZ	
POF	pot	pag	san	pzb	nzb	
POL	וסק	pev	ned	ptv	atv	
	ppz	pei	kun	pnt	rnt	
PUJ	pad3; pud3	pem	seb	pzt	Izt	
PUM	рлт; рот	pez	zeg	pgv	tgv	
PUV	рлу; роу	pog	nen	psl	zsl	
RAF	ræf	res	mep	ľVZ	qvz	

Appendix B Experiments	l stimuli used in Ex	periment 2 and acce	ntable target	pronunciations
rependin D. Experimente	i sumun useu m L	permient 2 und deed	puble unger	pronunciations.

RAS	ræs; ræz	reb	deg	rff	gff	
RAV	ræv	reg	mub	rnz	cnz	
RAZ	ræz	rem	fod	rnm	pnm	
RIT	rıt	ral	sof	rzf	szf	
RIV	riv	rup	yop	rfs	mfs	
RIZ	f IZ	rel	hof	rtv	ptv	
ROF	røf	rab	tad	rvn	kvn	
ROG	rog	ruv	teb	rzz	nzz	
ROP	rop	rud	yig	rfv	lfv	
ROV	rvv	rez	jeb	rzl	dzl	
ROZ	rdz	rid	kag	rbk	tbk	
WAF	wæf; wɒf	wom	tem	wnp	dnp	
WAV	wæv; wøv	wez	liz	wnm	jnm	
WAZ	wæz; wbz	wof	kiv	wgf	kgf	
WEC	wek	wib	zab	wfv	zfv	
WEM	wem	wub	tav	wvd	lvd	
WEP	wep	wut	nim	wfz	gfz	
WEV	wev	WOS	kug	wgm	cgm	
WID	wid	wef	nuv	wnc	lnc	
WUF	waf; wof	wob	tog	wgp	rgp	
WUJ	wadz; wodz	wek	fek	wff	sff	
WUM	wʌm; wom	wal	cav	wvj	nvj	
WUP	wлp; wop	wes	ved	WVV	bvv	

Appendix C. DRC pronunciations and RTs (in cycles) per item at a prime duration of 26 cycles

(Experiment 2).

Target		Pronounceable		Unpronounceable		
		Onset related	Unrelated	Onset related	Unrelated	
BLAG	bl{g	136	137	136	137	
BLAJ	bl{_	136	137	136	137	
BLAM	bl{m	136	137	136	137	
BLAP	bl{p	136	137	136	137	
BLEB	blEb	136	137	136	137	
BLEF	blEf	136	137	136	137	
BLEP	blEp	136	137	136	137	
BLUC	blVk	136	137	136	137	
BLUP	blVp	136	137	136	137	
BLUS	blVs	136	137	136	137	
BLUV	blVv	136	137	136	137	
BLUZ	blVz	136	137	136	137	
BRAK	br{k	136	137	136	137	
BRAV	br{v	136	137	136	137	
BREF	brEf	136	137	136	137	
BREK	brEk	136	137	136	137	
BREP	brEp	136	137	136	137	
BRET	brEt	136	137	136	137	
BREV	brEv	136	137	136	137	
BRID	brId	136	137	136	137	
BRIV	brIv	136	137	136	137	
BRIZ	brIz	136	137	136	137	
BROG	brOg	136	137	136	137	
BROT	brQt	136	137	136	137	
GLAB	gl{b	136	137	136	137	
GLAF	gl{f	136	137	136	137	
GLAJ	gl{	136	137	136	137	
GLOD	glOd	136	137	136	137	
GLOM	glOm	136	137	136	137	
GLOP	glOp	136	137	136	137	
GLOT	glOt	136	137	136	137	
GLOV	glOv	136	137	136	137	
GLOZ	glOz	136	137	136	137	
GLUB	glVb	136	137	136	137	
GLUJ	glV	136	137	136	137	
GLUK	glVk	136	137	136	137	
PAB	 p{b	129	130	129	130	
PAF	p{f	129	130	129	130	
PAK	p{k	126	127	126	127	
PAV	p{v	129	130	129	130	
PAZ	p{z	129	130	129	130	
POB	pQb	129	130	129	130	
POF	pQf	129	130	129	130	
POL	pQl	129	129	129	129	
POZ	pQz	129	130	129	130	
PUJ	pV	129	130	129	130	
PUM	pVm	129	130	129	130	
PUV	pVv	129	130	129	130	

RAF	r{f	129	130	129	130	
RAS	r{s	129	130	129	130	
RAV	r{v	129	130	129	130	
RAZ	r{z	129	130	129	130	
RIT	rIt	128	129	128	129	
RIV	rIv	129	130	129	130	
RIZ	rIz	129	130	129	130	
ROF	rQf	129	130	129	130	
ROG	rQg	129	130	129	130	
ROP	rQp	129	130	129	130	
ROV	rQv	129	130	129	130	
ROZ	rQz	129	130	129	130	
WAF	w{f	129	130	129	130	
WAV	w{v	129	130	129	130	
WAZ	w{z	129	130	129	130	
WEC	wEk	129	130	129	130	
WEM	wEm	129	130	129	130	
WEP	wEp	129	130	129	130	
WEV	wEv	129	130	129	130	
WID	wId	129	130	129	130	
WUF	wVf	129	130	129	130	
WUJ	wV_	129	130	129	130	
WUM	wVm	129	130	129	130	
WUP	wVp	129	130	129	130	

Target	Pronounce	able					Unpronoun	iceabl	le			
	Onset			Unrelated			Onset			Unrelated		
BLAG	bl{g	С	102	bl{g	С	104	bl{g	С	102	bl{g	С	104
BLAJ	bl{	W		bl{	W		bl{	W		bl{	W	
BLAM	bl{m	С	100	bl{m	С	102	bl{m	С	100	bl{m	С	102
BLAP	bl{p	С	100	bl{p	С	102	bl{p	С	100	bl{p	С	102
BLEB	blEb	С	113	blEb	С	114	blEb	С	113	blEb	С	114
BLEF	blEf	С	106	blEf	С	106	blEf	С	106	blEf	С	108
BLEP	blEp	С	109	blEp	С	116	blEp	С	109	blEp	С	110
BLUC	blVk	С	130	blVk	С	132	blVk	С	130	blVk	С	132
BLUP	blVp	С	100	blVp	С	103	blVp	С	100	blVp	С	102
BLUS	blVz	С	130	blVz	С	132	blVz	С	130	blVz	С	131
BLUV	blVv	С	101	blVv	С	103	blVv	С	101	blVv	С	103
BLUZ	blVz	С	102	blVz	С	104	blVz	С	102	blVz	С	104
BRAK	br1k	W		br1k	W		br1k	W		br1k	W	
BRAV	br{v	С	101	br{v	С	103	br{v	С	101	br{v	С	103
BREF	brÈf	С	103	brÈf	С	105	brÈf	С	103	brÈf	С	105
BREK	brEkf@st	W		brEkf@st	W		brEkf@st	W		brEkf@st	W	
BREP	brEp	С	105	brEp	С	106	brEp	С	105	brEp	С	106
BRET	brEt	С	104	brEt	С	103	brEt	С	104	brEt	С	104
BREV	brEv	С	118	brEv	С	125	brEv	С	118	brEv	С	117
BRID	brId	С	101	brId	С	106	brId	С	101	brId	С	103
BRIV	brIv	С	101	brIv	С	103	brIv	С	101	brIv	С	103
BRIZ	brIz	С	101	brIz	С	103	brIz	С	101	brIz	С	103
BROG	brQg	С	101	brQg	С	103	brQg	С	101	brQg	С	103
BROT	brQt	С	101	brQt	С	103	brQt	С	101	brQt	С	103
GLAB	gl{b	С	100	gl{b	С	103	gl{b	С	100	gl{b	С	103
GLAF	gl#f	W		gl#f	W		gl#f	W		gl#f	W	
GLAJ	gl{	W		gl{	W		gl{	W		gl{	W	
GLOD	glQd	С	106	glQd	С	107	glQd	С	106	glQd	С	108
GLOM	glQm	С	121	glQm	С	123	glQm	С	120	glQm	С	123
GLOP	glQp	С	102	glQp	С	103	glQp	С	102	glQp	С	102
GLOT	glQt	С	102	glQt	С	104	glQt	С	102	glQt	С	103
GLOV	glVv	W		glVv	W		glVv	W		glVv	W	
GLOZ	gl5z	W		gl5z	W		gl5z	W		gl5z	W	
GLUB	glVb	С	100	glVb	С	103	glVb	С	100	glVb	С	103
GLUJ	glV	W		glV	W		glV	W		glV	W	
GLUK	glVk	С	100	glVk	С	102	glVk	С	100	glVk	С	102
PAB	p{b	С	90	p{b	С	95	p{b	С	90	p{b	С	93
PAF	p#f	W		p#f	W		p#f	W		p#f	W	
PAK	p{k	С	106	p{k	С	113	p{k	С	106	p{k	С	112
PAV	p{v	С	91	p{v	С	93	p{v	С	91	p{v	С	93
PAZ	p{z	С	134	p{z	С	140	p{z	С	135	p{z	С	139
POB	pQb	С	94	pQb	С	96	pQb	С	94	pQb	С	97
POF	pQf	С	95	pQf	С	97	pQf	С	95	pQf	С	97
POL	p51	W		p51	W		p51	W		p51	W	
POZ	p5z	W		p5z	W		p5z	W		p5z	W	
PUJ	pju	W		pju	W		pju	W		pju	W	
PUM	pVm	С	93	pVm	С	96	pVm	С	93	pVm	С	98
PUV	pVv	С	91	pVv	С	93	pVv	С	91	pVv	С	93

Appendix D. CDP++ pronunciations, accuracy (C = Correct; W = Wrong), and RTs (in cycles) per item at a prime duration of 25 cycles (Experiment 2). RTs of erroneous pronunciations have been removed.

RAF	r#f	W										
RAS	r{z	С	162	r{z	С	167	r{z	С	164	r{z	С	166
RAV	r{v	С	91	r{v	С	93	r{v	С	91	r{v	С	93
RAZ	r{z	С	158	r{z	С	167	r{z	С	158	r{z	С	170
RIT	rIt	С	91	rIt	С	93	rIt	С	91	rIt	С	93
RIV	rIv	С	92	rIv	С	102	rIv	С	92	rIv	С	93
RIZ	rIz	С	91	rIz	С	94	rIz	С	91	rIz	С	99
ROF	rQf	С	91	rQf	С	93	rQf	С	90	rQf	С	93
ROG	rQg	С	95	rQg	С	96	rQg	С	94	rQg	С	96
ROP	rQp	С	96	rQp	С	109	rQp	С	95	rQp	С	97
ROV	rVv	W										
ROZ	r5z	W										
WAF	wQz	W		wQf	С	151	wQz	W		wQf	С	144
WAV	wQz	W		w{v	С	184	wQz	W		w{v	С	181
WAZ	wQz	С	53	w1z	W		wQz	С	53	w1z	W	
WEC	wEk	С	96	wEk	С	96	wEk	С	92	wEk	С	96
WEM	wEm	С	91	wEm	С	92	wEm	С	94	wEm	С	93
WEP	wEp	С	91	wEp	С	94	wEp	С	91	wEp	С	93
WEV	wEv	С	94	wEv	С	97	wEv	С	94	wEv	С	97
WID	wId	С	103	wId	С	105	wId	С	103	wId	С	103
WUF	wVf	С	91	wVf	С	122	wVf	С	91	wVf	С	92
WUJ	wV	W										
WUM	wVm	С	93	wVm	С	92	wVm	С	91	wVm	С	94
WUP	wVp	С	91	wVp	С	92	wVp	С	92	wVp	С	92

Appendix E. DRC and CDP++ pronunciation symbols, their corresponding IPA symbols, and example words containing the corresponding sounds (in bold).

DRC/CDP++ symbol	IPA symbol	Example word
1	еі	b ay
3	3:	b ur n
5	UO	no
7	IƏ	peer
9	э:	poor
E	e	pet
J	t∫	cheap
Q	D	pot
Т	θ	th in
V	Λ	p u tt
b	b	bad
f	f	fat
h	h	had
j	j	yank
1	1	lad
n	n	nat
r	r	rat
t	t	tack
V	V	vat
Z	Z	zap
{	æ	pat
2	аі	b uy
4	JI	boy
6	au	br ow
8	eə	p air
D	ð	then
Ι	Ι	p i t
N	ŋ	ba ng
S	ſ	sheep
U	υ	p u t
Z	3	measure
d	d	dad
g	g	game
i	i:	b ea n
k	k	cad
m	m	mad
р	р	pat
S	S	sap
u	u:	boon
W	W	why
#	a:	b ar n
	dʒ	jeep

Targata		Word prin	205	Pronounce	eable	Unpronoun	ceable
Targets		word prin	lies	nonword p	orimes	nonword pi	rimes
		Onset	Unrolated	Onset	Unrolated	Onset	Unrolated
		related	Unrelated	related	Unielateu	related	Unierateu
BANISH	ʻbænı∫	beetle	common	bellap	mibble	bfhsvf	hxmsrl
BOBBIN	'bpbin	battle	parcel	banfer	liggle	bhdzpk	fmspjp
FERMENT	ʻf3mənt ¹¹	fragile	plaster	finsood	bostard	fkdkxgz	dswrdxz
BELLOW	'beloυ	butter	suffer	babber	codder	bpfkfp	fsqpqh
BODIED	'bpd1d	button	kettle	barbot	furgle	bmkhvx	hkvfrs
BECKON	'bekən	budget	fillet	bamper	fudish	bzhfnq	lbnslk
CARPAL	'kapəl	county	winter	cullin	debort	cpxhtj	djpwwf
GAMBIT	'gæmīt	gospel	frenzy	glorag	mespel	gvwdlx	hndfkp
BIGOT	'bıgət	banjo	comic	bafin	melid	bfkzb	mftsq
CLOVER	'klouvə	cannon	novice	carful	buggle	cfqhzd	lqhlxk
FLOOZY	ʻfluzi	finish	kitten	fander	mobble	fkmqhv	dmzkph
TATTLE	'tætəl	ticket	pocket	tilber	codern	tqzhpr	mfhdrd
BAFFLE	'bæfəl	bucket	timber	bossin	dosset	bxntmk	lnjwpq
TOGGLE	ʻtogəl	tennis	bumper	telish	melder	tzzsjd	pktnzf
DOGMA	'dɒgmə	disco	habit	defil	pevol	dnqqt	lpbdn
FELON	'felən	fancy	drama	fibet	pobid	fxpzl	mxnjl
HENNA	'henə	hobby	lobby	harty	rilly	hzvzx	ltwsh
LIVID	ʻlıvıd	lemon	madam	lacot	naral	lfmhv	bczfq
MAGMA	ʻmægmə	medal	coral	mendy	nolid	mjdqf	lpsxn
MIMIC	'mımık	metal	pasta	manty	tasel	mnpdj	pxlmr
MUTTER	'm∧tə	mosque	willow	miseau	welloy	mbkqqn	hvrsrk
PESKY	'peski	panda	limit	pamic	tafet	pjkbw	fzvrb
SURLY	ʻs3li	sober	lever	samer	biver	sxnbq	dxqdm
TARRY	'tari	tiger	fever	tover	moger	txlwx	vkcvp
TIMID	'tımıd	token	robot	tozal	sofen	tqkwx	rlvjf
DAMASK	'dæməsk	dragon	victim	dredel	gragon	dnxxqw	hsprhb
DOLLOP	'dɒləp	damage	crater	dunnet	speezy	dbhjwz	jbpnbf
GAGGLE	ʻgægəl	gossip	puffin	golter	preedy	gbkxfx	mhpmtq
HIPPIE	'hıpi	hammer	banner	hasser	gonner	hklbxh	mzbjsk
HERMIT	'hзmīt	happen	nibble	hoggle	paggle	hxmnbv	pdltvk
JIGGLE	'dʒɪgəl	jacket	butler	jelber	burrip	jbkwhf	pdndmm
LOCKET	ʻlpkıt	lizard	bundle	lirall	pittle	lbtnwv	mcqjtx
MAGGOT	'mægət	member	bounty	misack	romber	mjsppw	rfqjmx
MUSKET	'm∧skıt	mental	simple	momble	vongle	mkzpsz	rvbzww
NUGGET	'nлgīt	needle	ribbon	nenack	sothod	nblhwq	pvwmzn
PAMPER	'pæmpə	polish	cuddle	peresh	lorrod	pkwjpd	dmnbsk
PANTRY	'pæntri	public	treble	pedlin	lompod	pqsbxj	fkjplt
PONCHO	'ppntfou	puppet	wiggle	pecket	dissil	pvlzji	rndzrk
MIDGET	'mɪdʒɪt	marble	purple	menshy	goftar	mpvqpv	sjzqmj
PONDER	'ppndə	puzzle	tickle	pessin	sivish	pjbjsf	hpmwlk
ROSTER	'rɒstə	rabbit	method	rallod	tazzle	rnvqhm	tpklwt
						•	•

Appendix F. Experimental stimuli used in Experiment 3 and target pronunciations in IPA.

¹¹ Two of the target items (*ferment, segment*) could either receive first- or second-syllable stress. None of the participants pronounced *segment* with a second-syllable stress, but some of the participants pronounced *ferment* with second-syllable stress. These pronunciations were counted as correct.

RIDDLE	ʻrıdəl	racket	banter	roshet	clorry	rqhlfb	fhvbvs
RIPPLE	ʻrıpəl	rocket	tender	rucket	carish	rpqnbz	pxqwxn
RENDER	'rendə	rustic	tackle	rallom	coggle	rzsqqz	msjxbz
RADISH	'rædıſ	rubble	turtle	revall	millen	rzmdnm	tqvqdm
SERMON	's3mən	silver	mullet	sivage	cander	sxkkjl	pbvhdf
SNAZZY	ʻsnæzi	spider	poison	secket	mertle	sxdvxb	mrvxhp
SIZZLE	'sızəl	summit	temper	scover	mollet	sxvkis	lnrkvz
TENDON	'tendən	tactic	profit	tamant	fampet	tkplzb	lvawwa
TODDLE	'tpdəl	target	lavish	tancar	ricket	tlaxxn	szhlhw
TUSSLE	'tasəl	toilet	vermin	talder	comper	tmfvbp	fkppyb
CRIPPLE	'kripəl	cluster	verdict	comboss	smender	cmhbfix	vpwzfsz
PLACID	'nlæsid	petrol	talent	ponest	sumple	pfbdia	hpfawy
RAVAGE	'rævidz	reckon	sudden	ronnel	tember	rdwrfm	sfhpsa
BEATNIK	'hitnik	blossom	hamster	bloster	snuddle	hfyahhy	tnagsvz
BLEMISH	'blem1	bonkers	truffle	brackle	spanter	bybdtby	vhnlhda
PIGMENT	'nigmont	plastic	scalpel	preslem	domslin	pxtnwvd	Inihvrx
SEGMENT	'segmont	scandal	numpkin	spestic	fulprom	szbryky	fxkhnnm
BELERY	'helfri	basket	ransom	bandle	mindal	bztryb	ndmikw
PLATTER	- 'nlæta	naddock	luggage	perpoll	torrish	pahymky	dedywik
SAUNA	- sono	silly	netty	setty	tully	sdram	vhdkt
PIVOT	'nivot	nanic	cumin	nango	duril	nzxdf	radhs
BISTRO	'histrou	brandy	temple	bengle	domble	bkxibm	miaxhl
WAVER	'weivo	widow	soggy	wicko	cully	wfzst	nskzn
	'kændid	custom	modest	compel	fragot	cykfps	hmkyhf
	'sapal	sister	wicket	soster	totish	exybea	mrsma
FIGMENT	'framont	frantic	problem	flactif	spolsom	fyilzbl	rayppyb
	'la lorit	orvetal	grumblo	comband	strubal	athfndz	yfabary
	'dwndol	drastic	trumpot	dostand	lampist	damhyan	rmyhyhy
HACKSAW	'hækso	herring	village	hedding	dretter	hablkdb	mzawnth
VISTA	'visto	valid	magic	vonit	namfy	vprpl	nfihw
CRONY	'krowni	cabin	zebra	casal	meyal	cftvk	wfdzi
	'dæzəl	doctor	mentor	doster	nitish	dklbyh	rvlkab
CORRIE	'knhol	aorpot	morgin	ororry	sostor	onrfnt	nrkidk
	'ymndol	valuet	nlangti	viptov	motost	vftmni	ni kjuk
	'tellou	toffaa	bittor	toopio	hiddia	tybuiz	sziywz
WACCLE	1æ100	tonee	trauma	wilher		txIIVJZ	philipux
	wægəi	willdow	naliah	wilder	pirpit mallit	wjibyx	nripinp
FOODLE	pudəl ʻamadal	picket	rensn	pesset		pkyrq	TKWZIID
SANDAL	Sændər	sugma	hettle	sosiii		sjxiqk	
	Kæmbə	cotton	bottle	corasn	Jodale	cnpxiq	
NETTLE DALLID	netəl	number	namper	narrip	Inser	nsindw	VQWKXV
PALLID	pænd		middle	picter	mervon		nnjpsi
SUNNET	spnit	settle	rattle	savack	mution	sxpsas	rixhms
PUNDII	pAndit	pistol	ciumsy	plovel	ramand	pvxnsm	wjtnnx
CUILEI	KAtlit	canvas	prison	corand	segral	cmvxnx	wvzrbp
FEIISH	fetij	filter	saddle	fallom	carble	fjprhw	lxjskb
TWIDDLE	twidəl	traffic	witness	tortant	prosash	tzfkfsb	rdlmrzx
TRINKET	triŋkit	textile	stumble	tanglom	bastond	tdjdbpz	vhxbqrz
TREMOR	tremə	tariff	bubble	tissil	dollet	tlsfnx	vwhplb
	tsmait	trigger	haddock	traffer	flobber	tpqImkb	sxnhvwp
	Krevis	custard	mustard	congool	tolster	cvmwmpf	rvwrpdx
FLICKER	TIIKƏ	tertile	rubbish	torring	narrock	Inlansm	sxnpmwk
FURNISH	13nıj	flutter	glitter	trotter	collock	tvqztmb	tbxrkqz
PELLET	pelit	pardon	wobble	pıpple	mososh	pnjrkz	tlvbps

PEL-VIC 'pelvik plenty mascot polest gandle gabkdw wpdzbm SODDEN 'sudan savage glance snapar pimage sjwflb pywfbk ZENITH 'zenu0 zonbic pollen zompec barrol zzclvs jhtzdw DRIBBLE 'drabal dolphin monster destard clender dqhdfhx mjnqzdf TRICKLE 'trikol tabloid bunling tatrand ploster thywqp HUDDLE 'hadal hornet nectar harst ploster thywqp HNDER 'modal market vanish milmer paper byvnth fszlj MORBID 'modal market vanish milmer teser bpjrt fvlxdm BONNY 'buni bless cargo bimer teser bpjrt fvlxdm SRPENT 'sapat saffron council smithe combiss skigwc vmmkpd	PECTIN	'pektın	patent	handle	pandle	combal	pmbkpx	sfsdrx
SODDEN 'sordan savage glance snapar pinage sjvflb pywlbk ZENITH 'zeni0 zombie pollen zompee barrol zvclvs jitzdw DRIBBLE 'drhol dolphin monster destard clender diphifh mjarzdf TRICKLE 'trikal tabloid bunting tartand ploster tb/wadv dydyzz HUDDLF 'budal hornet nectar harpit pancer hngthk dydyzz HUDDLF 'budal hornet nectar harpit pancer hngthk dydyzz HUDDLF 'budal massel fossil hottle masker pstk dydyzz MCBDLE 'mdal massel fossil hottle msk dydyzz miker basker pstk fossil hytk	PELVIC	[°] pelvik	plenty	mascot	potest	gandle	pzbkdw	wpdzbm
ZENTH'zenthzonthicpollenzonthiczonthicjitzdwDRIBBLE'dribaldolphinmonsterdestardclenderdqhdfhxmjnqzdfTRICKLE'trikaltabloidbuntingtartandplosterth/wrdvlvvigtpGROTTO'grotoogalloppuddlegappleflimergbkpjsdvqnzzHDDDE'hudahasslefossilhottleblerryhqkhlmhzvvigtpGOODIE'gudigutterdinnergauphasippergbvrthfszfkjMCRBID'modalmarmalbucklemanserpetilemsbdrflphnlzMDDLE'medalmarketvanishmilmerplipermtybrkfvkddSERPENT'sspantsaffroncouncilsmuttlecombissssizpwewmikkfPRICKLE'prikalpublishlobsterplatoofnoodishpbmtlmzdshqpbTOOT1-E'tutaltutishhorrorcullurmiddorcfkxvfzdpzCACKLE'bsgalbonnettinkerbasberfivishbdvmzfpwqzsCACKLE'bsgalbonnetinkerbasberfivishbdvmzfpwqzsCACKLE'frekalcollarhorrorcullurmiddorcfkxvfzdpzCACKLE'frekalgardicparentfingotgambelfqmlpbdldbgmFRESCO'frekalgardichorrorcullurmiddor </td <td>SODDEN</td> <td>ʻspdən</td> <td>savage</td> <td>glance</td> <td>snapar</td> <td>pimage</td> <td>sjwflb</td> <td>pvwfbk</td>	SODDEN	ʻspdən	savage	glance	snapar	pimage	sjwflb	pvwfbk
DRIBBLE 'dribal dolphin monster destard clender dipdifty mjaqzdf TRICKLE 'trikal tabloid bunting tartand ploster tbwadv lwijqth GROTTO 'grotoo gallop puddle gapple flimer gbkpjs dvqraz HUDDLE 'hndal homet nectar happit pancer hnqtbk dxtwwg HUDDLE 'hndal hassle fossil hortle blerry hqkblm rbwskh GODIE 'gudi gutter dinner gapple flitter mskaft hphal BDNNY 'boni bless cargo bimer teser bpjzt fvlxds BONNY 'boni bless cargo bimer teser bpjzt fvlxds gabpt BORGLE 'praki publish lobster platof noodish phmlnz dsktp TOOTLE 'tutal turip kidner bask	ZENITH	ʻzenıθ	zombie	pollen	zompee	barrol	zvclvs	jhtzdw
TRICKLE 'trikal tabloid bunting tartand ploster th'wadv lwyigrb GROTTO 'grotoo gallop puddle gapple flimer gbkpjx dvqnzx HUDDLE 'h.dab homet nectar harpit pancer hnqtb dxtrwq HINDER 'h.nda hassle fossil hottle blerry hgkblm dxtrwq MORBID 'msold mammal buckle manser pettle mshdrf lphnlz MDDLE 'medal market vanish milmer pilzer mtybr fvkdd SERPENT 'sspant saffron council smuttle combiss sxjzpwc vnmjkpf PRICKLE 'prkal publish lobster platoof noodiish pbmulmz dshpqb TOOTLE 'tutal turing turing turing turing turing/hg PRICKLE 'prkal boltke basdp fiving bdvmz fskdg npgrz TOOTLE 'tutal turing tu	DRIBBLE	'drıbəl	dolphin	monster	destard	clender	dqhdfhx	mjngzdf
GROTTO 'grotou gallop puddle gapple flimer gbkpix dvqnzx HUDDLE 'hndal hornet nectar hapit pancer hnqtbk dvtvvq HUDDLE 'hnda hassle fossil hottle blerry hqkblm rbmxkh GOODE 'gudi gutter dinner gaupha sipper gbvnth fszfsj MCBDLE 'medol market vanish millner pliper mtjbrh brkthvhn BONNY 'boni bless cargo bimer teser bpjzt fvkda BROKLE 'prkal auffor council smuttle combiss stjzpwc vnnjkpf FRICKLE 'prkal bippo paper hiver telen blyr bsdxp HOOKY 'bagal bonnet tinker basber fivish bdvmz fyazs GACKLE 'kreglu colfar horror callur middor	TRICKLE	'trıkəl	tabloid	bunting	tartand	ploster	tbfwzdv	lvwjarb
HUDDLE 'hadal hornet nectar harpit pancer hnqtbk dxtvwq HINDER 'hında hassle fossil hortle blerry hqtbk dxtvwq HINDER 'muda hassle fossil hortle blerry hqtbk hqtbk MCRBID 'mobid mammal buckle manser pettle msthff lphnlz MEDDLE 'medal market vanish milmer pliper mtjbrh brkvh BONNY 'broni bless cargo bilmer teser bpjzt fvlkd SERPENT 'sspant saffron coucil smutle combiss szizgr vnnikdp fpgznz HOOKY 'huki hippo paper hiver teles hblzls bdxpg SAVY 'skekal coffin muser cobeen hennet czvbr njmlvz CACKLE 'kkaji coffin muser cobeen <	GROTTO	'grptou	gallop	puddle	gapple	flimer	gbkpjx	dvqnzx
HINDER 'hmda hassle fossil hottle blerry hqkblm rbmxkh GOODIE 'gudi gutter dinner gaupha sipper gbvmth fs/ki MORBID 'modal market vanish milmer plete mshdrf lphnlz MEDDLE 'medal market vanish milmer plete mshdrf lphnlz BONNY 'broni bless cargo bimer teser bpjzt fvlsd BONNY 'broni bless cargo bimer teser bpjzt fvlsd hpbnlz BORGLE 'tust turinj kidney tassit beriph tqrdp fpgzmz GOTLE 'tust turinj kidney tassit beriph tqrdp fpgzmz SAVYY 'sævi super fella soder floon sstfg fpwzzs CASHEW 'kæju colfar nosonter cswrbr fpkzs	HUDDLE	ʻhʌdəl	hornet	nectar	harpit	pancer	hnatbk	dxtywa
GOODIE 'gudi gutter dinner gaupha sipper gbvmth fszfkj MORBID 'mnbid market vanish malmer pettle mshdrt lphnlz MEDDLE 'medal market vanish milmer piper mijbr brkvhm BONNY 'bbni bless cargo bimer teser bjjzt fvlxd BERENT 'sspant saffron coucil smutle combiss szjzve vanish FRICKLE 'prkal publish lobster platoof noodish bpmtlmz dsnhpqb TOOTLE 'tutal turip kidney tassit beriph tqrdqb figzmz HOOKY 'huki hippo paper hiver tele hblzds bdxp SAVY 'skekal coffin muster cobcen hennet czvbr fzdprz CASHEW 'kzkal coffin muster cobcen fadpz	HINDER	'hındə	hassle	fossil	hottle	blerry	hakblm	rbmxkh
MORBIDimsbdrgarterpritemishdrflphnlzMEDDLE'medalmarketvanishmillnerplipermijbhlzBONNY'boniblesscargobimerteserbjztfvkdSERPENT'sspantsaffroncouncilsmuttlecombissssizpwcvnmjkpfPRICKLE'prtkalpublishlobsterplatoofnoodishpbmtfuzdshpqbTOOTLE'tutalturnipkidneytassitberiphtyrdydfyranzHOOKY'hukihippopaperhivertelerhblxrbsdxpSAVVY'sævisuperfellasoderfloonsxfjgftyrzCACKLE'tskakalcoffinmustercobeenhennetczvbrnjmhvzCASHEW'kækjacollarhorrorcullurmiddorcflxzvfzdrzCASHEW'kækjacollarhorrorcullurmiddorcflxzvfzdrzFROLIC'freskoofabricnapkinflagotgambelfqmlpbdldbgmFROLIC'freskoufabricnapkinflagotgadatirpimpergkbxzstjfbrvFACILE'fsesalfingerbeaconferrombercoffbxkrvpbrxkvFACILE'fsesalfingerbeaconferrombercoffbxkrvthykrkuFRULC'fraskougarishmessagegarbocktarkuhyfreFACILE<	GOODIE	ʻgudi	gutter	dinner	gaupha	sipper	gbymth	fszfki
MEDDLE'medalmarketvanishmillerplipermtjbrhbrkvhmBONNY'bbniblesscargobimerteserbpj2tfvlxdBONNY'bbniblesscargosmutlecombisssxjzpwcvnmjkpfPRICKLE'prkalpublishlobsterplatoofnoodishpbmtlmzdsnhpqbPRICKLE'prkalpublishlobsterplatoofnoodishpbmtlmzdsnhpqbHOOKY'hukihippopaperhivertelerhblxrbsdxpSAVVY'sævisuperfellasoderfloonsxfjqfbzlsBURGLE'bzgalbonnettinkerbasberfivishbdvmzfpwqzsCACKLE'kæfucollarhorrorcullurmiddorcflxzvfzdprzCRANNY'krenicatleburdencuckonsontercsxxzffreesoFRESCO'freskoofabricnapkinfingadmasconfdxkjqnjnqrkGOGGLE'gpagagarlicdaintygadditpimpergkbxzstjfbrvFACILE'faslafingerbeaconferromberosbrkrvpbrxkvSKILLET'skultsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqrdznPILLAGE'plid3partnerturmoilprooserflussompxrvzhpmrbmqPUDGY'pd3jprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvz	MORBID	'mobid	mammal	buckle	manser	pettle	mshdrf	lphnlz
BONNY'bbniblesscargobimerppp:hppitfvlxdSERPENT'sspontsaffroncouncilsmuttlecombisssxizpwcvmmikpfPRICKLE'prikalpublishlobsterplatoofnoodishpbmtlmzdsnhpqbTOOTLE'tutalturnipkidneytassitberiphtqrdqbfpqzmzHOOKY'hukihippopaperhivertelerhblxrbsdxpSAVVY'sævisuperfellasoderfloonsxfiqfbzlsBURGLE'bzgalbonnettinkercobeenhennetczvbtrnjmhvzCASHEW'kækalcollarhorrorcullurmiddorcffxzvfzdprzCRANNY'krenicattleburdencuckonsontercswrmqsxzkzfFRESCO'freskoofabricnapkinflagotgambelfqmlpbdldbqmFROLC'freskaufingerbeaconferromberooffbxkrvpbrxkvSKILLET'skultsparklehostilespabblegarandszdbprkdqrddznPULAGE'pludjpartnerturminpotoscadysaloncadysalonGOGGLE'gimagarnishmessagegarbocktattackgepsrhzhpnrbmqPULAGE'pludjpartnerturminpotosfdydzaphyrkdqrddzaGOGGLE'gimagarnishmessagegarbocktatt	MEDDLE	'medal	market	vanish	milmer	pliner	mtibrh	brkyhm
SERPENTisapintsaffoncouncilmulticcouncilPRICKLE'prikalpublishlobsterplatoofnoodishpbmtlmzdsnlpqbTOOTLE'tutalturnipkidneytassitberiphtqrdpbfpqzmzHOCKY'hukihippopaperhivertelerhblxrbsdxpSAVYY'sævisuperfellasoderfloonsxfjqfb2lsBURGLE'bagalbonnettinkerbasberfivishbdvnmzfpwqzsCACKLE'kæfacoffinmustercobeenhennetczvbtrnjmhvzCASHEW'kæfacoffinmustercobeenhennetczvbtrnjmhvzCRANNY'kæfacoffinmustercobeenhennetczvbtrfddhgmFRESCO'freskoofabricnapkinflagotgambelfgmlpbdldhgmFROLC'frolkfiscalparentfimpadmasconfdxkjqnjnqrkGOGGLE'gggalgarlicdaintygadditpimpergkbxzstjfbrvFACILE'fasalfingerbeaconferomberooffbxkrvpbrkvSKILLET'sklutsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqhdznPULAGE'phid'partnerturmoilprooserflussompzvtbmqtlmhxnsGLIMMER'glinngarlichmasgegarboctdwyhzkdrdznPUDGY<	BONNY	'hpni	bless	cargo	bimer	teser	bpizt	fvlxd
PRICKLE prikal publish lobster platoof noodish ppmtmz dshipqb TOOTLE 'tutal turnip kidney tassit beriph tqrdqb fpqznz HOOKY 'huki hippo paper hiver teler hblxr bsdxp SAVVY 'sævi super fella soder floon ssfjq fbzls BURGLE 'bagal bonnet tinker basber fivish bdvnmz fpwqzs CACKLE 'kækal coffin muster cobeen hennet czvbtr njimlvz CASHEW 'kæfu collar horror cullur middor cflxzv fzdprz CRANNY 'kræni cattle burden cuckon sonter cswtru gindvz frROLC 'frolikh fiscal parent fingpad mascon fdxkjq njinqrk GOGGLE 'gpgal garlic dainty gaddit pimper gkbxzs tjfbrv FROLLC 'frolikh fiscal parent fingpad mascon fdxkjq njinqrk GOGGLE 'gpgal garlic dainty gaddit pimper gkbxzs tjfbrv FACLE 'freskoo fabric napkin flagot garbel garand szdbprk dqbdzn PRLLAGE 'pild3 partner turnoil proser flussom pzvtbmq tlmhxns GLIMMER 'glmma garnish message garbock tartack gcpsrhz hpnrbmq PUDGY 'pad3i prawn meter petto teper pvrvz lkmxh SMUGGLE 'smagal sponsor cricket spackt forsund sjöhvrvg thvvkv SMUGGLE 'smagal sponsor cricket spackt forsund sjöhvrvg thvvkv SMUGGLE 'smagal sponsor cricket spackt forsund sjöhvrq tdvvlzc PERISH 'perf] paddle wizard potten daggle pqbmfd mvrynb MANGO 'mængoo melon solid meriz vimel mjszf rvqwt DINGO 'dingoo devil salad doldy pasby dmirjz wiksx STUCLP 'hktap husger garden bedrog sympt tdvvlzc STUGGLE 'stata sasse maslad doldy pasby dmirjz wiksx STUGY 'ktata spaste humble clanab pendry cspmqz vnknkx STUGY 'stata session cabage siming corrill szqmpz vphsfk MANGO 'mængoo melon solid meriz vimel mjszf rvqwt DINGO 'dingoo devil salad doldy pasby dmirjz wiksx STUTTER 'ktab session cabage siming corrill szqmpz vphsfk STUTTER 'ktab session cabage siming corrill szqmpz vphsfk TTUTTER 'ktab session cabage siming corrill szqmpz wgnmblq FRITTER 'fnta fashion garbage furdal dessoll fimsdkgi szpqnkc STAMMER 'stæma sausage morning saffill dodding sxtrpp byfxk	SERPENT	'sapant	saffron	council	smuttle	combiss	sxiznwc	vnmiknf
TNOTLEfutualturnipkidneytassitberöphtyrdybfipzmzHOOKY'hukihippopaperhivertelerhblxrbsdxpSAVVY'sævisayerfellasoderfloonssfiqfb2lsBURGLE'bsgolbonnettinkerbasberfivishbdvnmzfpwqzsCACKLE'kækalcoffinmustercobeenhennetczvbtrnjmhvzCASHEW'kæfucollarhorrorcullurmiddorcflxzvfzdprzCRANNY'kæfucatleburdencuckonsontercswrmqsxzkzfFRESCO'freskoufabricnapkinflagotgambelfymlpbdldbqmFACILE'grgalgarlicdaintygadditpimpergkbxzstjfbrvFACILE'freskalfingerbeaconferromberoffbxkrvpbrxkvSKILLET'skultsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqrbdznPILLAGE'phd3jpartnerturmoilprotocktatackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvzlkmxhCOMBO'kamboocandysaloncadinlaftcxsptpdqvpMUSGLE'masalmanagehomagemallodgfinmymhxvfrlnvrbMAGO'lmgoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmirjzwlss	PRICKLE	'prikal	publish	lobster	platoof	noodish	nbmtlmz	dsnhnah
HOOKYInternInternet <td>TOOTLE</td> <td>'tutol</td> <td>turnin</td> <td>kidney</td> <td>tassit</td> <td>herinh</td> <td>tardab</td> <td>fnazmz</td>	TOOTLE	'tutol	turnin	kidney	tassit	herinh	tardab	fnazmz
InternInter	HOOKY	'huki	hinno	naper	hiver	teler	hblyr	hedyn
SNVT Skulj version	SAVVV	'emvi	super	fella	soder	floon	syfia	fbzle
DORGLEbygarDormetminketDasketInvisitDorwinCACKLE'kækacoffinmustercobeenhennetczvbtnjmhvzCASHEW'kæfucollarhorrorcullurmiddorcflxzvfzdprzCRANNY'krænicattleburdencuckonsontercswrnqsxzkzfFRESCO'freskoofabricnapkinflagotgambelfqmlpbdldbqmFROLIC'frolkfiscalparentfimpadmasconfdkkjqnjnqrkGOGGLE'gpgalgarlicdaintygadditpimpergkbxzstjfbrvFACILE'freskalfingerbeaconferromberoofftbrkrvpbrkvFACILE'fresalfingerbeaconferromberoofftbrkrvpbrkvFMUKR'glmagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvvzlkmxhCOMBO'kamboocandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'mAsalmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smagalsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvvlzcPERISH'perifpaddlewizardpottendagglepdpmlfdmrvphMANGO'mærgoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwt<		'hagal	bonnot	tinkor	bashar	fivish	bdynmz	fpwaze
CASHEW 'kæfu collar horor cullur middor cflxv fzdprz CRANNY 'kræni cattle burden cuckon sonter cswrmq sxzkzf FRESCO 'freskoo fabric napkin flagot gambel fqmlpb dldbqm FROLIC 'frblik fiscal parent fimpad mascon fdxkjq njnqrk GOGGLE 'gogal garlic dainty gaddit pimper gkbxzs tjifbrv FACILE 'fæsaul finger beacon ferrom beroof fbxkrv pbrxkv SKILLET 'skult sparkle hostile spabble garrand szdbprk dqrbdzn PILLAGE 'pilud3 partner turmoil prooser flussom pzvtbmq tlmhxns GLIMMER 'glima garnish message garbock tartack gcpsrhz hpnrbmq PUDGY 'pAd3i prawn meter petto teper pvrvz lkmxh COMBO 'kamboo candy salon cadin lafit cxspt pdqvp MUSSEL 'mAsal manage homage mallod grimmy mrbxvf rlnvrb SMUGGLE 'smagal sponsor cricket spacket forsund sjbmvrq tdvwlzc PERISH 'peruf paddle wizard potten daggle pqbmfd mrvpnb MANGO 'mængoo melon solid meriz vinel mjszf rvqwt DINGO 'dngoo devil salad doldy pasby dmrjz wlsxs FFEEBLE 'fibal format socket flinny durish fhdwpq djwfdq CRETIN 'kretın cancel humble clanab pendry cspmqz vnknkx STINGY 'stnd3 symbol pencil sandit bedrop sxsvcr vlgzws HICCUP 'hikAp hunger garden hoddle lomper hzdprx blfxmn PEDDLE 'pedal parish cancer pammit flanny pzslhk rjshrb MUZZLE 'mAzal master wonder mecter febush mrrqkb vghsfk STTMGY 'stnd3 clever nephew clerry hurrit cdfpsz rjqzb KTMER 'gredal parish cancer pammit flanny pzslhk rjshrb MUZZLE 'mAzal master wonder mecter febush mrrqkb vghsfk STTMER 'stata sausage morning saffill dodding sxrtbpq brvwddb CODDLE 'gbedal parish cancer pammit flanny pzslhk rjshrb MUZZLE 'mAzal master wonder mecter febush mrrqkb vghsfk STAMER 'stama sausage morning saffill dodding sxrtbpq brvwddb CODDLE 'kvdal clever nephew clerry hurrit cdfpsz rjqzsb LIMBER 'limba lesson hazard loogaf cartle lpqlnc hgnvzd GABISH 'ggrif giggle bottom grooky loback gbhjrz wtbzlb NIFTY 'nrfti novel camel natle rosol ndhrw lqjkr TFEID 'tepid tango model tagle bosan tbtnq mftm TALON 'ttelan tempo visit tovid gonty tvqkx mpsbf		- Usgar	ooffin	mustor	oabaan	honnot	ozubtr	nimbuz
CRANNY 'kræni cattle burden cuckon sonter cswrmq sxzkzf FRESCO 'freskoo fabric napkin flagot gambel fqmlpb dldbqm FROLIC 'frolik fiscal parent fimpad mascon fdxkjq njnqrk GOGGLE 'gogol garlic dainty gaddit pimper gkbxzs tjfbrv FACILE 'fæsaul finger beacon ferrom beroof fbxtrv pbrxkv SKILLET 'skilit sparkle hostile spabble garrand szdbprk dqrbdzn PILLAGE 'pilid3 partner turmoil prooser flussom pzvtbmq tlmhxns GLIMMER 'glima garnish message garbock tartack gcpsrhz hpnrbmq PUDGY 'pAd3i prawn meter petto teper pvrvz lkmxh COMBO 'kømboo candy salon cadin lafit cxspt pdqvp MUSSEL 'mAsal manage homage mallod grimmy mrbxvf rlnvrb SMUGGLE 'smagal sponsor cricket spacket forsund sjbmvrq tdvwlzc PERISH 'pert] paddle wizard potten daggle pqbmfd mrvpnb MANGO 'mængoo melon solid meriz vimel mjszf rvqwt DINGO 'dngoo devil salad doldy pasby dmrjz wlsss FEEBLE 'fibal format socket flinny durish fhdwpq djwfdq CRTIN 'kretin cancel humble clanab pendry cspmqz vnknkx STINGY 'stind3i symbol pencil sandit bedrop sxsvcr vlgzws HICCUP 'hikap hunger garden hoddle lomper hzdprx blfxmn PEDDLE 'pedəl parish cancer pammit flanny pzslhk rjshrb MUZZLE 'mazal master wonder mecter febush mrrqkb vghsfk STUTTER 'statə session cabbage sirning corrill szqmpz wgnmblq FRTTTR 'statə session cabbage sirning corrill szqmpz wgnmblq STAMMER 'stæm sausage morning saffill dodding sxtbpq brwlds STAMMER 'stæm sausage morning saffill dosding sxtbpq brwlds NIFTY 'nifti novel camel natle rosol ndhrw lqjkr TEPID 'tepid tango model tagle bosan tbtnq rnftm TAL	CACKLE	Kækəi (lenfu		homon	cobeen	middor	czvbu	fadara
CRANNTKræntcatteburdencuckonsontercswrndssxxztFRESCO'freskoofabricnapkinflagotgambelfqmlpbdldbqmFROLIC'frolkfiscalparentfimpadmasconfdxkjqnjnqrkGOGGLE'gogolgarlicdaintygadditpimpergkbxzstjfbrvFACILE'fæsalfingerbeaconferromberooffbxkrvpbrxkvSKILLET'skultspartnerturnoilprooserflussompzvtbmqtlmhxnsGLIMMER'glmapgarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAdjiprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvzlkmxhCOMBO'kamboocandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqpMUSSEL'mAsalmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxrfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgalsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdwlzcPERISH'pertf'paddlewizardpottendagglepdpmfdmryphMANGO'mængoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dngoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibalformatsocketflinnydurishfldwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretincancelhumbleclanabpendrycsmru <td></td> <td>Kæju (1-mm)</td> <td>collar</td> <td>horror</td> <td>cullur</td> <td>Iniddor</td> <td>CHXZV</td> <td></td>		Kæju (1-mm)	collar	horror	cullur	Iniddor	CHXZV	
FRESCUfreskoofabrichapkinfragodgambelfqmipbdidoqmFROLIC'frolikfiscalparentfimpadmasconfdxkjqnjnqrkGOGGLE'gpgalgarlicdaintygadditpimpergkkxzstjfbrvFACILE'fæsaulfingerbeaconferromberooffbxkrvpbrkvSKILLET'skilitsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqrbdznPILLAGE'pilid3partnerturmoilprooserflussompzvtbmqtlmhxnsGLIMMER'glimagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvrzzlkmxhCOMBO'kəmboocandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'maxalmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgalsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvklzcPERISH'pertf'paddlewizardpottendagglepdpmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mængoonelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dingoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibalformatsocketflinnydurifzwlsxsFEEBLE'fitbalformatsocketflinnypurshktsphrk		Kræni	Cattle	burden	CUCKON	sonter	Cswrmq	SXZKZI
FROLICTDIIKfiscalparentfimpadmasconfdxkjqnjnrkGOGGLE'gpgalgarlicdaintygadditpimpergkbxzstjfbrvFACILE'fæsailfingerbeaconferromberooffbxkrvpbtxvSKILLET'skiltsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqrbdznPILLAGE'pilid3partnerturmoilprooserflussompzvtbmqtlmhxnsGLIMMER'glimagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvvzlkmxhCOMBO'kəmboocadiysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'mAsalmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgalsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'pertfpaddlewizardpotendagglepdpmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mangoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dingoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibalformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretuncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknxSTINGY'stind3isymbolpencilsanditbedropsx	FRESCO	freskou	fabric	паркіп	flagot	gambel	Iqmipb	didbqm
GOGGLE[gbga]garticdanitygadditpimpergköxzstjtprvFACILE'fæsailfingerbeaconferromberooffbxkrvpbrxkvSKILLET'skilitsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqrbdznPILLAGE'pilid3partnerturmoilproserflussompzvtbmqtlinhxnsGLIMMER'gimagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pad3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvzlkmxhCOMBO'kamboocandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'masalmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smagalsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvqtdvwlzcPERISH'perifpaddlewizardpottendagglepdpmfdmrvpnbMANGO'dmgoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibalformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'krettncancerpaminitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mazalmasterwondermeeterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTGY'statasessioncancerpaminit <flanny< td="">pzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mazalmasterwondermeeterfebushmrqkbv</flanny<>	FROLIC		nscal	parent	Impad	mascon	тахкја	njnqrk
FACILETessallIngerbeaconterromberootfbxkrvpbrxkvSKILLET'skılıtsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqrbdznPILLAGE'plild3partnerturmoilprooserflussompzvtbmqtlmhxnsGLIMMER'glimagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvzlkmxhCOMBO'kamboocandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'mAsalmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgalsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'pertfpaddlewizardpottendagglepdpmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mængoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dingoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibalformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRTIN'kretincancelhumbleclanabpendrysymsolperkvPEDDLE'pedalparishcancerpaminitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzalmasterwondermecterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stAtasessioncabbagesirningcorill	GOGGLE	gogəl	garlic	dainty	gaddit	pimper	gkbxzs	tjfbrv
SkilltsparklehostilespabblegarrandszdbprkdqrbdznPILLAGE'pilld3partnerturmoilprooserflussompzvtbmqtlmhxnsGLIMMER'glmagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvzlkmxhCOMBO'kəmboocandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'mAsəlmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgəlsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'pertjfpaddlewizardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mængoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dingoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stind3isymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedalparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzalmasterwondermeeterfebushmrqkb <td< td=""><td>FACILE</td><td>fæsail</td><td>finger</td><td>beacon</td><td>ferrom</td><td>beroof</td><td>fbxkrv</td><td>pbrxkv</td></td<>	FACILE	fæsail	finger	beacon	ferrom	beroof	fbxkrv	pbrxkv
PILLAGE'pilid3partnerturmoilprooserflussompzytbmqtimknsGLIMMER'glmagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettotartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettotartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqMUSSEL'mAsolmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgolsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'perifpaddlewizardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mængoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dingoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmzvvnkxxSTINGY'stind3isymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzvsHICCUP'hikAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedalparishcancerpaminitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mxalmasterwondermecterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'statasessioncabegesirningcorrill </td <td>SKILLET</td> <td>skilit</td> <td>sparkle</td> <td>hostile</td> <td>spabble</td> <td>garrand</td> <td>szdbprk</td> <td>dqrbdzn</td>	SKILLET	skilit	sparkle	hostile	spabble	garrand	szdbprk	dqrbdzn
GLIMMER'gImagarnishmessagegarbocktartackgcpsrhzhpnrbmqPUDGY'pAdziprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvzlkmxhCOMBO'kəmboucandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'mAsəlmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgəlsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'pertJpaddlewizzardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'marggoumelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dingoudevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stındzisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hıkAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpaminit <flanny< td="">pzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'statəsessioncabagesirningcorrillszqnpzwgnmblqGODLE'kodəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpsz</flanny<>	PILLAGE	°pilid3	partner	turmoil	prooser	flussom	pzvtbmq	tlmhxns
PUDGY'pAd3iprawnmeterpettoteperpvrvzlkmxhCOMBO'komboocandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'mAsalmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgalsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'pertfpaddlewizardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mængoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dingoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibalformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'krettncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stind3isymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDLE'pedalparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzalmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'statasessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritafashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszqrmkcSTAMMER'stemasausagemorningsaffilldoddings	GLIMMER	glimə	garnish	message	garbock	tartack	gcpsrhz	hpnrbmq
COMBO'kəmboucandysaloncadinlafitcxsptpdqvpMUSSEL'mʌsəlmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smʌgəlsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'perɪʃpaddlewizardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mæŋgoumelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dmgoudevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stındʒisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxxvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikʌphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mʌzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'statəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fırtəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kbdəlclevernephewclertyhurrit </td <td>PUDGY</td> <td>ʻpʌdʒi</td> <td>prawn</td> <td>meter</td> <td>petto</td> <td>teper</td> <td>pvrvz</td> <td>lkmxh</td>	PUDGY	ʻpʌdʒi	prawn	meter	petto	teper	pvrvz	lkmxh
MUSSEL'mAsəlmanagehomagemallodgrimmymrbxvfrlnvrbSMUGGLE'smAgəlsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'pertjpaddlewizardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mængoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dmgoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stind'zisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxxvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpaminitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'statəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kodalclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogaf	СОМВО	'kəmbou	candy	salon	cadin	lafit	cxspt	pdqvp
SMUGGLE'smAgəlsponsorcricketspacketforsundsjbmvrqtdvwlzcPERISH'perifpaddlewizardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mængoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dmgoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stindgisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'statəsessioncabagesirningcorrillszqmpzwgnmblqFRITTER'firtəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kodəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBLE'gobəlgadgetclergygassitnarri	MUSSEL	'mʌsəl	manage	homage	mallod	grimmy	mrbxvf	rlnvrb
PERISH'pertſpaddlewizardpottendagglepqbmfdmrvpnbMANGO'mæŋgoomelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dŋgoodevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stindʒisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikʌphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mʌzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stʌtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszqmpkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODLE'kbdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gpbəlgadgetclergygasitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garɪʃgigglebottomgroolyloback	SMUGGLE	ʻsmʌgəl	sponsor	cricket	spacket	forsund	sjbmvrq	tdvwlzc
MANGO'mæŋgoumelonsolidmerizvimelmjszfrvqwtDINGO'dıŋgoudevilsaladdoldypasbydmrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stındzisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hıkAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'statəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kbdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'lımbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGARISH'ggrtjfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'niftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqikrTEPID'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkx	PERISH	'perı∫	paddle	wizard	potten	daggle	pqbmfd	mrvpnb
DINGO'dıŋgoudevilsaladdoldypasbydımrjzwlsxsFEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stındzisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hıkAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mʌzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stʌtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqmpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'krdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGARISH'garıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'niftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkx	MANGO	ʻmæŋgou	melon	solid	meriz	vimel	mjszf	rvqwt
FEEBLE'fibəlformatsocketflinnydurishfhdwpqdjwfdqCRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stindʒisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikʌphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpamiitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mʌzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stʌtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kbdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gobəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqikrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'taləntempovisittovidgontyt	DINGO	ʻdıŋgou	devil	salad	doldy	pasby	dmrjz	wlsxs
CRETIN'kretıncancelhumbleclanabpendrycspmqzvnknkxSTINGY'stındzisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hıkAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mʌzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stʌtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kbdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'lımbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gubəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'gurıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıfti <novel< td="">camelnatlerosolndhrwlqikrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspx<td>FEEBLE</td><td>ʻfibəl</td><td>format</td><td>socket</td><td>flinny</td><td>durish</td><td>fhdwpq</td><td>djwfdq</td></novel<>	FEEBLE	ʻfibəl	format	socket	flinny	durish	fhdwpq	djwfdq
STINGY'stindzisymbolpencilsanditbedropsxsvcrvlgzwsHICCUP'hikAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stAtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kodəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gobəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nifti <novel< td="">camelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbf</novel<>	CRETIN	'kretin	cancel	humble	clanab	pendry	cspmqz	vnknkx
HICCUP'hıkAphungergardenhoddlelomperhzdprxblfxmnPEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mAzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stAtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'krdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gobəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxMIFTY'niftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'taləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbf	STINGY	'stındʒi	symbol	pencil	sandit	bedrop	sxsvcr	vlgzws
PEDDLE'pedəlparishcancerpammitflannypzslhkrjshrbMUZZLE'mʌzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stʌtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kvdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gobəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbf	HICCUP	'hıkлp	hunger	garden	hoddle	lomper	hzdprx	blfxmn
MUZZLE'mAzəlmasterwondermecterfebushmrrqkbvghsfkSTUTTER'stAtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kvdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gpbəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garı∫gigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	PEDDLE	'pedəl	parish	cancer	pammit	flanny	pzslhk	rjshrb
STUTTER'stAtəsessioncabbagesirningcorrillszqrnpzwgnmblqFRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kbdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gpbəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garı∫gigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	MUZZLE	'mʌzəl	master	wonder	mecter	febush	mrrqkb	vghsfk
FRITTER'fritəfashiongarbagefurdalldessollfmsdkgjszpqmkcSTAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kvdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'limbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gobəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'niftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	STUTTER	'st∧tə	session	cabbage	sirning	corrill	szqrnpz	wgnmblq
STAMMER'stæməsausagemorningsaffilldoddingsxrtbpqbrvwldbCODDLE'kvdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'lımbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gvbəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'niftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	FRITTER	'frītə	fashion	garbage	furdall	dessoll	fmsdkgj	szpqmkc
CODDLE'kpdəlclevernephewclerryhurritcdfpszrjqzsbLIMBER'lımbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gpbəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garıfgigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	STAMMER	'stæmə	sausage	morning	saffill	dodding	sxrtbpq	brvwldb
LIMBER'lımbəlessonhazardloogafcartlelpqlnchgnvzdGOBBLE'gpbəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garı∫gigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	CODDLE	'kɒdəl	clever	nephew	clerry	hurrit	cdfpsz	rjqzsb
GOBBLE'gpbəlgadgetclergygassitnarrimgdfhbkrlvmqxGARISH'garı∫gigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	LIMBER	ʻlımbə	lesson	hazard	loogaf	cartle	lpqlnc	hgnvzd
GARISH'garı∫gigglebottomgrookylobackgbhjrzwtbzlbNIFTY'nıftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	GOBBLE	ʻgpbəl	gadget	clergy	gassit	narrim	gdfhbk	rlvmqx
NIFTY'niftinovelcamelnatlerosolndhrwlqjkrTEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	GARISH	'garı∫	giggle	bottom	grooky	loback	gbhjrz	wtbzlb
TEPID'tepidtangomodeltaglebosantbtnqrnftmTALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	NIFTY	'nıfti	novel	camel	natle	rosol	ndhrw	lqjkr
TALON'tæləntempovisittovidgontytvqkxmpsbfMANGLE'mængəlmomenthelmetmedlinproodymftspxrvvpib	TEPID	'tepid	tango	model	tagle	bosan	tbtnq	rnftm
MANGLE 'mængəl moment helmet medlin proody mftspx rvvpib	TALON	'tælən	tempo	visit	tovid	gonty	tvqkx	mpsbf
JU III FILT	MANGLE	ʻmæŋgəl	moment	helmet	medlin	proody	mftspx	rvvpjb

SORDID	ʻsədid	sturdy	nation	sumble	natath	sbkqlp	lqmzvf
RODENT	'roʊdənt	random	picnic	rample	mactel	rvwklz	msxjfb
PIDGIN	ʻpıdʒın	pebble	marvel	pelker	mososs	psbfmr	rsnblf

Appendix G. CDP++ pronunciations and RTs (in cycles) per item at a prime duration of 25 cycles

Targets			P	rime types			
		W	ords	Pronou	inceable	Unpron	ounceable
		Onset		Onset	worus	Onset	words
		related	Unrelated	related	Unrelated	related	Unrelated
BANISH	'b{nIS	78	81	78	81	78	81
BOBBIN	ʻbQbIn	87	89	87	89	87	89
FERMENT	'f3mEnt	87	91	87	89	87	89
BELLOW	ʻbEl5	79	82	79	82	80	82
BODIED	ʻbQdId	121	122	121	122	121	122
BECKON	'bEk@n	79	82	79	82	79	82
CARPAL	'k#p@l	93	95	93	94	93	95
GAMBIT	'g{mbIt	74	77	74	77	75	76
BIGOT	'bIg@t	89	94	89	94	89	94
CLOVER	'kl5v@	76	79	76	78	77	79
FLOOZY	'fluzI	89	92	89	91	89	91
TATTLE	't{t@l	90	92	90	92	90	92
BAFFLE	ʻb{f@l	82	85	82	87	82	85
TOGGLE	'tQg@l	93	95	93	95	93	95
DOGMA	'dQgm@	74	77	74	77	74	77
FELON	'fEl@n	79	81	79	82	79	81
HENNA	'hEn@	82	85	82	87	82	86
LIVID	ʻlIvId	74	77	74	77	74	76
MAGMA	`m{gm@	83	87	83	88	84	86
MIMIC	ʻmImIk	74	75	74	76	74	75
MUTTER	'mVt@	75	78	75	78	75	77
PESKY	ʻpEskI	110	112	110	112	110	112
SURLY	's3lI	76	76	75	77	75	77
TARRY	't#rI ¹²		70		70		70
TIMID	ʻtImId	70	73	70	73	70	72
DAMASK	'd{m@sk	81	84	81	84	81	84
DOLLOP	'dQl@p	87	91	88	90	87	90
GAGGLE	ʻg{g@l	86	87	86	88	85	88
HIPPIE	ʻhIpI	72	75	72	76	72	76
HERMIT	'h3mIt	81	84	81	85	81	85
JIGGLE	'_Ig@l	84	87	84	87	85	87
LOCKET	<u>'lQkIt</u>	88	91	88	91	88	91
MAGGOT	'm{g@t	83	86	83	87	83	87
MUSKET	'mVskIt	99	101	100	102	99	101
NUGGET	'nVgIt	88	89	88	89	88	89
PAMPER	'p{mp@	94	99	94	98	94	98
PANTRY	`p{ntrl	95	89	86	89	86	89
PONCHO	°pQnJ5	85	86	84	86	84	89
MIDGET	<u>`ml_lt</u>	82	84	82	84	82	84
PONDER	[•] pQnd@	76	78	75	78	75	79
ROSTER	<u>'rQst@</u>	81	83	81	83	81	83
RIDDLE	ʻrId@l	79	81	79	81	79	82

(Experiment 3). RTs of erroneous pronunciations have been removed.

¹² This item was errouneously pronounced as /'t{rI/ in the onset-related condition.

RIPPLE	'rIp@l	79	81	79	82	78	84
RENDER	'rEnd@	75	77	75	78	75	78
RADISH	'r{dIS	84	86	84	86	84	86
SERMON	's3m@n	77	79	77	79	77	79
SNAZZY	'sn{zI	88	90	88	90	88	90
SIZZLE	'sIz@l	87	89	87	89	87	89
TENDON	'tEnd@n	77	79	77	80	77	79
	'tOd@l	86	88	86	88	86	88
TUSSLE	'tVs@l	87	80	86	80	87	88
	<u>'lrIn@l</u>	8/	87	80	86	8/	87
	'nl(ald	76	70	77	70	76	87
PLACID		/0	79	11	79	/0	80
RAVAGE		86	89	86	89	80	89
BEATNIK	bitnik	88	90	88	90	88	90
BLEMISH	'blEmIS	84	87	84	87	84	87
PIGMENT	ʻplgm@nt	83	86	83	86	83	86
SEGMENT	'sEgm@nt	99	99	98	99	98	99
BELFRY	'bElfrI	82	84	82	84	82	85
PLATTER	'pl{t@	80	83	80	83	80	83
SAUNA	`s\$n@	82	84	82	84	82	85
PIVOT	'pIv@t	79	84	79	83	79	84
BISTRO	'bistr5	107	109	107	106	107	109
WAVER	'wlv@	74	78	74	78	75	77
CANDID	'k{ndId	79	81	79	82	79	81
SUPPLE	'sVn@l	79	81	79	80	78	81
FIGMENT	·flom@nt	87	91	87	89	87	90
	'kVlprIt	88	90	80	90	88	90
	'dwlnd@l	86	90	85	90	<u>85</u>	90
	th (Iraf	80	02	85	02	00	02
	II (KS)	90	93	90	93	90	95
	VISUU (lanfan I	74	/0	/4	/0	74	/0
		91	92	91	92	90	92
DAZZLE		/9	81	/9	81	/8	81
COBBLE	[•] kQb(<i>a</i>)I	8/	89	87	89	8/	89
VANDAL	`v{nd@l	85	86	85	87	85	86
TALLOW	't{15	79	81	79	81	79	81
WAGGLE	ʻw{g@l	90	92	90	91	90	93
POODLE	'pud@l	84	89	84	87	84	89
SANDAL	`s{nd@l	80	82	80	82	80	83
CAMBER	`k{mb@	88	89	88	90	88	90
NETTLE	'nEt@l	81	84	83	84	83	84
PALLID	ʻp{lId	79	81	79	81	79	82
SONNET	'sOnIt	83	85	83	85	83	85
PUNDIT	'pVndIt	88	90	88	93	88	90
CUTLET	'kVtlIt	100	101	100	101	100	101
FETISH	'fEtIS	81	84	81	85	81	84
TWIDDLE	'twId@l	90	91	89	91	88	91
TRINKET	'trINILIt	102	104	102	103	102	104
	ttrEm@	74	104	74	103	74	77
	42m2t	/4	07	/4	//	/4	//
		90	9/	90	98	<u>91</u>	<u>98</u>
		88	89	88	90	88	89
FLICKER	<u>'fllk(a)</u>	11	81	11	81	11	80
FURNISH	<u>'t3nIS</u>	86	88	86	89	86	88
PELLET	ʻpElIt	84	87	84	87	84	87
PECTIN	'pEktIn	92	94	92	95	92	95

PELVIC	'pElvIk	77	79	76	79	76	79
SODDEN	'sQd@n	79	82	79	81	79	81
ZENITH	'zEnIT	82	84	82	84	82	84
DRIBBLE	'drIb@l	85	88	85	89	85	88
TRICKLE	'trIk@l	82	83	81	83	80	83
GROTTO	ʻgrOt5	82	84	82	84	82	84
HUDDLE	'hVd@l	81	83	80	83	80	82
HINDER	'hInd@	76	79	76	78	76	79
GOODIE	'gUdI	90	91	90	92	89	90
MORBID	ʻm\$bId	78	80	78	80	79	80
MEDDLE	'mEd@l	81	84	81	83	82	83
BONNY	'hOnI	78	81	78	81	78	81
SERPENT	's3n@nt	85	86	85	86	85	86
PRICKLE	'nrIk@l	89	91	89	91	88	90
TOOTLE	'tut@l	93	95	92	94	93	95
HOOKY	'hIlkI	86	89	86	88	86	88
	's Jul	8/	86	8/	86	8/	86
BURGLE	$\frac{3(v)}{(h3g@l)}$	89	91	89	91	89	91
	105g@1	85	86	85	86	8/	87
CASHEW	^K KWI ^{(k} /Su	86	88	86	88	86	80
CRANNY	<u>k</u> isu trini	85	86	8/	87	85	87
EPESCO	frEek5	02	04	07	04	01	03
FROLIC	'frOllb	92	94 87	92	94	91	93
GOGGLE		86	89	86	89	86	89
EACILE	$\frac{gQgw}{f(a2)}$	81 81	83	<u>81</u>	84	80	84
SVILLET	1{821 'al-111t	01	07	01	04	05	07
DILLACE	SKIIII	95	97	93	90	93	97
CLIMMER	 `allm@	0/	89	0/	90	<u>87</u>	89
	'nV I	70	04	70	04 01	<u> </u>	04 91
COMPO	<u> </u>	79 05	86	<u> </u>	80	<u> </u>	86
	'mVa@l	05	80	04	<u> </u>	04 95	86
MUSSEL SMUCCLE	'amVa@1	05	0/	0.5	80	<u>83</u> 97	80
DEDISU	smvg@i	8/	88	8/	89	8/	89
PERISH	pens (Mat	70	/9	70	<u> </u>	70	<u>/9</u> 01
MANGO	m{Ng5	/9	81	/9	81	/9	81
DINGO		82	85	82	85	82	85
FEEBLE		/5	/8	/5	//	/5	//
CRETIN	krEtIn	8/	88	8/	88	8/	89
STINGY	stin_i	90	92	90	92	90	93
HICCUP	^s hlkVp	84	86	84	86	84	86
PEDDLE	pEd(a)	80	83	80	83	80	91
MUZZLE	·mVz@l	80	83	80	82	80	82
STUTTER	'stVt@	83	85	83	85	83	85
FRITTER	'frlt@	87	90	87	89	87	89
STAMMER	<u>'st{m@</u>	82	84	82	84	82	84
CODDLE	'kQd@l	89	91	89	90	89	90
LIMBER	ʻlImb@	82	84	82	88	82	85
GOBBLE	ʻgQb@l	84	86	83	85	83	85
GARISH	ʻg8rIS	83	85	84	85	83	86
NIFTY	<u>`nlftl</u>	111	112	111	111	112	111
TEPID	<u>'tEpld</u>	73	75	73	75	73	75
TALON	<u>`t{l@n</u>	85	88	85	87	84	88
MANGLE	<u>'m{Ng@l</u>	85	85	83	85	83	85
SORDID	ʻs\$dId	79	81	79	81	79	81

RODENT	'r5d@nt	84	89	84	86	84	86
PIDGIN	ʻpI_In	86	88	86	89	86	91