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TEE SEASONALLY ADJUSTED INDEX OF TEXAS BUSINESS activity declined in March for the second consecutive month. At $123.7 \%$ of its 1957-59 monthly average value, the March index was $7 \%$ below February and $4 \%$ below March of last year. In January the index reached a new peak of $138.3 \%$ of 1957-59. Examination of past behavior of the index shows that an unusually strong rise is often followed by one or two months of decline. The previous peak value of $137.1 \%$ reached in May of last year was followed by declines in June and July. The current decline in the overall index coincided with declines in a substantial number of other barometers of Texas business.

Seasonally adjusted miscellaneous freight carloadings rose $2 \%$ in March. This was the third consecutive rise in this index since its December 1962 low. It is a welcome reversal of decline in the index. Nationally, total cars of revente freight loaded in March were above March 1961
but slightly below March 1962. Increased shipments of manufactured goods and coal pushed total carloadings ahead of 1962 for the second week in April. Volume for the year was up $3.9 \%$ from the national total in 1961 but $3.8 \%$ below 1962. The rails are still experiencing heavy competition from other transportation media.

Seasonally adjusted March crude petroleum production held almost at the February level. The index value of $89.0 \%$ of $1957-59$ was $0.1 \%$ below February. For the first quarter of the year, the index averaged $88.8 \%$, compared with $91.2 \%$ for the first quarter of last year. This represents a $2.6 \%$ decline in the average value of the index for the quarter. Since the index is based on total production during the month, the evidence is plain that production in Texas for the first quarter was not gaining ground but losing it. Data from the April issue of World Oil support this conclusion. The data show cumulative January-

## TEXAS BUSINESS ACTIVITY

Index-Adjusted for seasonal variation-1957-1959=100



February 1963 production for Texas at 150.6 million barrels, down $4.2 \%$ from the 157.2 million barrels for the first two months of 1962. Cumulative January-February 1963 production for Louisiana was 80.4 million barrels, up $4.9 \%$ from 76.7 million barrels produced during the first two months of 1962. The index of petroleum production has been below the $100 \%$ value since February 1960.

Seasonally adjusted crude oil runs to stills dropped $3 \%$ in March. At $108.5 \%$ of 1957-59 average monthly runs the index was $5 \%$ above March 1962. Warmer weather in March reduced the demand for fuel oil. March demand

## SELECTED BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

(1957-58=100)

| Index |  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 1962 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1968 \\ \text { From } \\ \text { Feb } 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { far } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| Texas business activity........ 123.7 | 182.8 | 128.3 | - | - 4 |
| Miscellaneous freight carloadings in S.W. district. $\qquad$ 77.5 | 75.8 | 76.6 | + 2 |  |
| Crude petroleum production..... 89.0* | 89.15 | 88.8 | ** | + 1 |
| Crude oil runs to stills. ......... 108.5 | 111.5 | 108.4 | - 8 | + 5 |
| Total electric power consumption 134,6* | 139.0r | 127.9 | - 8 | + 5 |
| Industrial power consumption...125,7* | 180.9r | 121.6 | $\square 4$ | + 8 |
| Bank debits . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 128.6 | 288.1 | 129.2 | - | - |
| Ordinary life insurance sales....118.0 | 181.1 | 103.5 | - 10 | + 14 |
| Total retail sales. . . . . . . . . . . . . $217.6^{*}$ | 114.6r | 117,6r | + 8 | ** |
| Durable-goods ates . . . . . . . . 188.8* | 118.9r | 136.6r | + 13 | - 2 |
| Nondurable-goods sales .......109.2* | 112.3* | 108.1 r | -18 | + 1 |
| Urban building permits issued. .137.1 | 139.5 | 129.2 | - 2 | + 6 |
| Residential . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 122.7 | 115.8 | 114.7 | $+6$ |  |
| Nonresidential . ..............152,4 | 175.9 | 156.9 | $-18$ | - 8 |
| Total industrial production..... 118 | 114 | $10 \% \mathrm{r}$ | $-1$ | $+4$ |
| Average weekly earningsmanufacturing . ...............100.7* | 100.5 | 101.0 | ** | ** |
| Average weekly hourt... manufactaring .................111.1* |  | 110.9 |  | ** |
| Adjusted for seasonal variation. *Preliminary. |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {rechevised. }}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$. |  |  |  |  |

for gasoline was $1.7 \%$ above last year. Stocks of residual fuel oil were $14.3 \%$ above March 1962. Gasoline stocks were $2.1 \%$ above March 1962. April is a month of large seasonal decline in demand, hence the reduction in March runs in order to reduce inventories of refined products.

Total electric power consumption fell $3 \%$ in March after seasonal adjustment. Industrial power consumption declined 4\%. Both indexes were above their March 1962 values.

Sales of ordinary life insurance in March dropped $10 \%$ after seasonal adjustment. At $118.0 \%$ of average monthly
sales during the 1957-59 base period the index was still $14 \%$ above March 1962. In February the index rose to the second highest value in its history.

Retail sales in Maxch rose $3 \%$ after taking seasonal factors into account. The rise was caused by improvement in sales of consumer durables, whose index rose $13 \%$. Sales of nondurables slipped downward $3 \%$. In the durables category both automotive stores and lumber, building material, and hardware stores did particularly well.

Nationally, March retail sales rose $1 \%$ from February after seasonal adjustment. At $\$ 20,695$ million, national sales were at a new high for the third consecutive month. Increased sales in both durable and nondurable goods contributed to the rise. High personal incomes in the nation are fueling the rise in retail sales. March personal incomes rose to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of $\$ 452.7$ billion, a new record. Manufacturing payrolls rose

## RETAIL SALES TRENDS BY KINDS OF BUSINESS

Source: Burean of Busineas Research in cooperation with the Burean of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce

|  | Percent change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Normal eeasonal | Actmal |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & \text { Prom } \\ & \text { Feb } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 19681 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1968 \text { 1 } \end{aligned}$ | far 19 from ar 196 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n-Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { Prom } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| DURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores ${ }^{\text {\% }}$. . . 368 | $-9$ | $+6$ | -8 | $+4$ |
| Furniture \& household appliance storest . .... 165 | $+9$ | $\pm$ ¢ | $-\delta$ | $-2$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores .... 268 | +11 | +22 | -2 | - 2 |
| NONDURABLE GOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel storea . . . . . . 271 | $+85$ | +92 | * | -2 |
| Drag stores ......... 168 | + 8 | $+5$ | $+\mathbf{3}$ | $+1$ |
| Eating and drinking <br> places ............... 81 | +10 | +18 | ** | - 1 |
| Food stores . . . . . . . . 374 | +12 | +16 | +1 | $+2$ |
| Gasoline and servica stations .............. 818 | +11 | $+9$ | +2 | ** |
| General mechandise stores $\dagger$. . . . . . ......... 317 | +44 | +21 | ** | +1 |
| Other retail ntorest.... 261 | +18 | $+7$ | ** | + 2 |

*Average seasonal change from preceding month to ourrent month.
**Change is lesa than one-half of $1 \%$.
tricludes kinds of busineps other than classification listed.
to a record rate of $\$ 95.4$ billion, Farm income in March dropped $\$ 200$ million to $\$ 12.7$ billion, the lowest rate since last July.
March urban building permits slipped down $2 \%$ after seasonal adjustment. The total was $6 \%$ above March of last year. A $6 \%$ month-to-month increase in residential permits was more than offset by a $13 \%$ drop in nonresidential permits.

The March value of the index of total permits is the highest March on record. Construction has been a mainstay of the state's economy.
Industrial production declined $1 \%$ in March after seasonal adjustment. At $113 \%$ of $1957-59$, the index was $4 \%$ above a year ago. This index is compiled by the Dallas Federal Reserve Bank.

The index of average weekly earninga in manufacturing was up $0.2 \%$ in March after seasonal factors are taken

ORDINARY LIFE INSURANCE SALES IN TEXAS

into account. At $100.7 \%$ of $1957-59$ the index was virtually unchanged from March 1962. Average weekly hours rose $0.7 \%$ from February. This was partially offset by a less-than-seasonal increase in average hourly earnings.

A glance at the table of indexes of March business activity in twenty Texas cities shows only three increases. Beaumont, Texarkana, and Tyler had increases of $4 \%$, $3 \%$, and $3 \%$, respectively. EI Paso and Lubbock indexes held at their February values. Other indexes showed declines ranging from $2 \%$ to $16 \%$.
Comparison of March values of the indexes with their year-ago levels shows more pius signs. Austin was $8 \%$ above March 1962. Corsicana was up $5 \%$. Houston was up $1 \%$; Laredo, $3 \%$; Lubbock, $4 \%$; San Antonio, 3\%; Texarkana, $5 \%$; and Tyler, $6 \%$.

March insured unemployment in the state was $8.5 \%$ of average covered employment. This was only $61 \%$ of the national rate of $5.7 \%$. It is slightly above the March 1962 figure of $3.1 \%$ for the state. A comparison of March unemployment in Texas and in surrounding states is shown below:

State

| Arkansas | 7.4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Louisiana | 5.8 |
| New Mexico | 6.7 |
| Oklahoma | 5.9 |
| Texas | 3.5 |
| United States | 5.7 |

The number of insured unemployed in the state was 64,000 in March. Nationally, the figure was 2,348,100.

Total nonagricultural employment in the gtate was 2,655,000 in March, up $2.3 \%$ over March 1962. Employment in durable goods manufacturing rose $1.5 \%$ over March of last year, Manufacturing of nondurables em-

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN TEXAS


| Cities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{Max}_{1962}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| Alsilene | .108.8 | 217.8 | 122.0 | - 7 | - 11 |
| Amarillo | .114.7 | 124.4 | 117.0 | - 8 | - 2 |
| Austin | . 148.1 | 165.4 | 181.8 | - 14 | $+8$ |
| Beaumont | . 114.8 | 110.3 | 124.1 | $+4$ | - 7 |
| Corpas Christi | .106.0 | 118.1 | 112.0 | - 9 | - 5 |
| Corsicana | .111.1 | 118.7 | 106.0 | - 5 | $+$ |
| Dallas | . 125.5 | 149.9 | 138.6 | $-18$ | - 9 |
| El Paso | .111.4 | 111.2 | 116.5 | ** | - 4 |
| Fort Worth | . 106.2 | 11.8 .9 | 114.4 |  | $-7$ |
| Galveston | 97.1 | 94.2 | 97.7 | + 8 | - |
| Houston | 126.7 | 181.0 | 125.4 | - 8 | + |
| Laredo | . 129.7 | 136.1 | 1.25 .7 | - 6 | + |
| Lubbock | . 140.7 | 141.2 | 135.8 | ** | +4 |
| Port Arthur | 94.8 | 96.2 | 103.9 | - 2 | 9 |
| San Angelo | . 100.6 | 111.5 | 107.5 | - 10 | $-6$ |
| Sash Antonio | . 125.7 | 131.9 | 121.7 | - 5 | $\pm$ |
| Texarkana | . 186.2 | 131.7 | 129.3 |  | $\pm 5$ |
| Tyler | . 1178 | 114.0 | 111.5 |  | +6 |
| Waco | . 12220 | 127.5 | 128.6 |  | 5 |
| Wiohita Falls | .106.8 | 116.4 | 115.1 | - B | - |

Adjusted for seasonal variation.
**Change is less than one-halt of $1 \%$.
ployed $1 \%$ fewer persons. Nonmanufacturing employment rose $2.7 \%$. Wholesale and retail trade, finance, insurance and real estate, government, and services all showed gains in employment over March 1962.
With employment at a high level and most barometers of Texas business showing gains over March of last year, Texas business seems in a strong position to advance with the nation's economy during the remainder of the year. Weakness in some areas is proof enough that we cannot become complacent and relax our efforts designed to strengthen the state's economy.

## ATLAS OF TEXAS

## Stanley A. Arbingast, Professor of Resources College of Business Administration <br> Lorrin Kennamer, Associate Professor of Geography College of Arts and Sciences

# SETTING THE TEXAS ALLOWABLES 

by A. Cameron Mitchell<br>College of Business Administration, The University of Texas



BY THE MARKET DEMAND ACT OF 1932, THE RAILROAD Commission of Texas is required to estimate the demand for Texas crude petroleum and to distribute among fields the production permitted. The tasks of estimating the demand and equitably distributing the allowable among the various fields in the state are extremely complex. In recent months, the Commission has changed the method of expressing the quantity of crude permitted to be produced. Formerly expressed in terms of numbers of days production, the allowable is now set as a percentage of the total productive capacity of those wells which come under the control of the state regulatory agency. The percentage allowables method expresses crude oil production in more precise terms than the older method, and smaller variations can now be permitted. Though the expression has been varied somewhat, the techniques of forecasting demand for crude and the Commission's operations in setting the allowables remains unchanged.
Both the Railroad Commission and the Bureau of Mines forecast the market demand for Texas crude oil. The Railroad Commission uses the Bureau of Mines forecast as one of the items of information in making its own forecast. Any discussion of the setting of allowables by the Railroad Commission, therefore, must necessarily contain reference to the Bureau of Mines forecast, and the weekly estimates compiled by the American Petroleum Institute on crude production, refinery runs, refined product output, product stocks, and imports. Both the Bureau of Mines and the Railroad Commission of Texas depend to some extent on these weekly estimates in making their forecasts.
Weekly estimates of crude-oil production compiled by the API have been highly accurate in recent years. Data. are obtained on a statewide basis or, in some cases, on the basis of a group of states by oil company personnel responsible for the task, but individual company data are not obtained. For the year 1961, the figures published by the API represented $99.6 \%$ of those reported by the Bureau of Mines.

The figures published weekly by the API cover refinery runs; production of gasoline, kerosene, distillate fuel oil, and residual fuei oil; stocks of these four major products; and the imports of crude oil and refined products. Differentials are added to these reports to account for companies which report to the Bureau of Mines but which do not report to the API. The crude runs reported by the companies to the API represent $96 \%$ of the total runs to stills published by the Bureau of Mines. Since the reports of crude runs are highly accurate, it is assumed that the accuracy of the data on stocks and production of the four major refined products are also accurate, because they are obtained in the same manner. The differentials which are added to raise the API totals to the Bureau of Mines basis totals are derived from comparisons observed between Bureau of Mines published figures and those which are reported to the API each month.

Responsibility for what is now the Bureau of Mines monthly petroleum forecast has been assigned to several government agencies at various times since the forecast was first issued in the early 1930's. Ray Lyman Wilbur, then Secretary of the Interior, initiated work on several demand forecasts. At first, the Federal Oil Conservation Board was given the responsibility for making the forecasts. Later, the Petroleum Code, under the National Industrial Recovery Administration, was assigned the task, and upon expiration of the NIRA, responsibility was transferred to the Bureau of Mines. The Bureau has made the forecasts on a monthly basis since then except during World War II.

The Bureau of Mines monthly forecast for a given month is issued about the fifteenth of the preceding month. Around the tenth of each month, however, these forecasts are sent to state regulatory agencies, which request the use of the forecasts in making their own estimates of demand. The forecasts of the Bureau of Mines are not binding in any way and are not considered as even subtle suggestions to the state regulatory agencies, which use the forecasts as they see fit in making their own demand estimates.

At the time the Bureau of Mines estimates are made, several series of recent data are on file. Data on the amount of crude-oil production, stocks, and refinery output for the third month preceding the forecast month are available. These data are the actual figures and are not based on a sample or forecast. In addition to the data for the third month preceding, data for the second month prior to the forecast month are available from the API on a sample basis. Latest actual data are compared with the API data covering the same period. The weekly figures from the API on crude-oil production, refinery runs, refined product output, product stocks, and imports are also available.

Current API data are adjusted (usually adjustment of stock levels seasonally), and adjustment is carried forward to the previous month's forecast by the Bureau
of Mines. Collectively, these figures represent, directly or indirectly, all the factors which affect the demand for and supply of petroleum. In addition, historical figures for the past forty years which are reported in the Monthly Petroleum Statements and in Minerals Yearbook are used to obtain long-term trends. After all these data have been gathered, the Bureau has workable data up to one month before the forecast month.

The Bureau of Mines forecast begins with the estimate of demand for each of the refined products. Demands for petroleum products are forecast on the assumption of normal weather and current price levels. Relationships of demand historically for various products as affected by various business trends-such as the Federal Reserve Board Index of Industrial Production, passenger-car registrations, interrelations between fuel prices, and the end uses of the products-are all considered.

With domestic demand for each refined product estimated, the Bureau of Mines makes the following series of calculations to arrive at an output figure, that is, the combined output of this product by petroleum refineries and natural gasoline plants.

Domestic demand of refined products<br>plus Exports<br>Total demand<br>plus Change in stocks<br>Supply<br>Iess Imports<br>Output

Exports are forecast on the basis of the historical record, but imports are forecast on the basis of import quotas established by executive order and adjusted fow seasonal differences on a historical basis. Changes in stocks normally follow seasonal patterns. The changes are forecast with the seasonal pattern, desired levels, and any reasons for deviations are kept in mind.

Output of all refined products must equal the runs of crude oil refineries plus the production of natural-gas liquids at natural gasoline plants. Yields of refined products from crude oil dexived by this calculation must be within the current economic capabilities of the refineries.

After a forecast of crude runs has been completed, the level of crude imports must be established. Runs of foreign crude approximate crude imports. Domestic crude supplies the remaining volume, The following formula is used to estimate demand for domestic crude.

> Crude runs of domestic and foreign crude plus Crude exports
> Total demand of domestic and foreign crude

Once the domestic demand for crude oil has been determined, demand must be apportioned among the oil-producing states. Apportionment is made on the basis of competitive trends; that is, the ability of a crude oil to compete in the market with other crudes in relation to quality, availability, convenience of transportation, and cost of production.

Regardless of the fact that this Bureau of Mines estimate is available and is relatively accurate, it is necessary that the Texas Railroad Commission make its own estimate, drawing as much as advisable from the information already provided by the Bureau of Mines forecast.

The Bureau of Mines forecasts are made on a national level and do not consider all of the factors which make up the Railroad Commission forecast. Much has been said about the laudable notions of conservation and correlative rights, but the Railroad Commission is responsible for: applied conservation and applied equity. Often, instead of searching for basic truths and equalities, the Commission must follow a course dictated by common sense. In most cases, it is really impossible to decide exactly what is right in setting allowables, but action must be taken, and act the Commission does.

Unfortunately it is impossible to give an accurate deacription and analysis of the construction of the forecast of demand made by the Commission, for the forecasts are made in closed meetings, In addition, the past demand estimates are not available for publication; however, it may be surmised that the Railroad Commission is more successful at making forecasts of Texas demand than is the Bureau of Mines. If the Commission failed to be more accurate consistently than the Bureau of Mines, the Commissioners no doubt would use the Bureau of Mines figures more than they do.
There are several factors which affect proration. The demand is distributed among the various fields in several fashions. The distribution is usually set on the scheduled allowables for a field. The scheduled daily allowable is the aggregate of the no-shutdown daily allowables of all wells under no shutdown conditions. A particular well either may be exempt from shutdown or subjected to it. A well may be exempt from shutdown by either being a marginal producer, stripper, or under new-pool rules, under-water flood, or some special allowable. For example, the East Texas field is on a flat 20 -barrel per day allowable because of the use of water insertion. A well subject to shutdown usually has a schedule allowable derived from its Maximum Efficiency Rate (MER) or the 1947 Yardstick (see below) depending upon the size of the field, when it was discovered, and other factors.

The evolution of the allowable assignment for a well begins with discovery. When a field is discovered, the per well allowable is exempt from shutdown and conforms to the 1947 Yardstick, a tabular presentation of the depth of the well in feet, the size of the lease in acres, and the daily allowable in barrels. After 18 months or the drilling of six wells, which ever comes first, the field goes off discovery rules and comes under the 1947 Yardstick on the 20 -acre spacing rule. The well is usually subject to shutdown in this phase. In some cases, wells remain under the 1947 Yardstick 20 -acre rule indefinitely, especially if the field is small. In other cases, either the Railroad Commission or the producers may request the setting up of field rules to adjust allowables in a specific field. After a hearing on the matter, the Commission may change the Yardstick category (spacing) or set a special MER for the field. This is called MER apparently for the lack of anything better; it has little to do with the real maximum efficiency rate.

Some fields still have their scheduled allowables ori the basis of MER in the original sense. Most of these were in existence before 1957. New fields are not assigned an MER in the traditional conservation sense, because it is impossible to arrive at a maximum efficiency rate under today's proration conditions. The MER can only be established by observation of the characteristics of a field
which is producing at or near its full potential. Roughly speaking, a field should be producing at better than a 15-day allowable (or $50 \%$ of its capability) to determine MER. Several factors enter into the MER, the type of and the reactions to a particular drive probably being most important. Unfortunately, the only time in recent years that any well flows at a pace rapid enough to determine its MER is upon discovery and during the time it is on new-pool rules. However, during this time, the well is operating with dissolved-gas drive; and the reaction of the field to the two permanent drives, water and gas-cap, cannot be observed until after the well is subjected to shatdown, at which time it is not flowing at a rate fast enough to glean the true nature of the conditions. This is the reason that new wells which have an MER assigned to them have an MER in name only.

Apparently, the 1947 Yardstick, having little if anything to do with conservation, is a holdover from the war. The Yardstick may have some equity overtones, since the deeper the well, the higher the cost and also the more the allowable. During the war, the government bought on the basis of five different types of crude which regularly occurred at different depths. Therefore, the buying was based upon depth. Since that time, the Yardstick has been based on the same principle with the exception that all crude is treated as one type.

Several of the older fields under MER which would obtain higher allowables under the Yardstick with present proration have attempted to change over to the Yardstick. The Commission has been reluctant to allow the change in many cases because, if all the older fields were allowed on the Yardstick, the number of shutdown days would have to be increased.

The process of setting the allowable for a given month actually starts six months before when buyers send sixmonth forecasts of their nominations for purchases to the Railroad Commission. No real action is taken, however, until the week before the statewide nominations hearing.

Every buyer of petroleum in the state submits his nomination for the amount of petroleum he intends to buy under a certain allowable. These nominations are usually in the hands of the Commission on the Monday before the statewide meeting.

The Bureau of Mines forecast usually arrives during the week before the meeting. Also available are the questionnaires which had been sent to all major producers asking what their desired stock levels are for the month and how much they are over or under. In addition, the Commission has obtained the API weekly figures. The Commission has also estimated the amount of production which might be realized undex different proration schedules. The last moth's allowables are stated, and then any expected additions are made. Based on trends for the past several months, a reduction of from $10 \%$ to $12 \%$ is made. The reason for the reduction is that the allowables are invariably underproduced by this amount.

Thus, with the Bureau of Mines forecast, erude-oil nominations, API figures, and stock statistics as basic information, the Commissioners meet with their staff and work out the forecast of demand. It is impossible to say exactly how the demand estimate is made in general terms. Different factors must be considered at various times. All that can be said is that the Commissioners consider all the relevant material and arrive at a figure.

The Commissioners do not consider seasonal variations and trend particularly, but rather base the final estimate on common sense.

By the time of the statewide hearing, the allowable has been reasonably determined-although not officially. The meetings seem mainly to be occasions where unusual nominations are discussed, and where complaints and problems may be heard. For example, a pipeline company may nominate for smaller allowable than the one expected because the pipeline company may have become overstocked and would like to lower its stock level. In extreme cases, pipeline owners may have to prorate their producers on different allowables from the statewide allowable; such matters as this are considered at the meeting.

All of the buyers announce their nominations, and then a discussion period is invited by the Commissioners. A roll call of districts is made. When these formalities are finished, the Commissioners confer, and then announce the allowable.
The method of setting the allowable and distributing it among fields may seem haphazard; however, it apparw ently works. Also, it is fairly standardized, so that the producers and buyers know. what to expect.
A statistical analysis of several monthly series of data relevant to the setting of allowables by the Railroad Commission was completed recently. The analysis was performed on a computer, since the number of months covered was, in most cases, 180. A brief list of some of the findings follows:

1. The amount of petroleum stocks seemed to have very little influence on the allowables set. This is to be expected since the stocks remain more or less constant.
2. Surprisingly, the level of imports was found to be virtually unrelated to the level of allowables.
3. Crude oil nominations were highly related to allowables.
4. The relationship between exports of petroleum from the United States and allowables was significantly large.
5. The relationship between allowables and the Bureau of Mines forecast was high but not extremely high.
6. Using annual series, it was found that the level of crude reserves and demand for Texas crude were significantly related.
7. An analysis of the seasonal factors in the demand for Texas crude petroleum showed that, while the seasonal variation was not pronounced, it is changing. The share allocated to the beginning of the year is increasing, arid the share allocated to the middle of the year is decreasing.

It is apparent that the Railroad Commission has had adequate if not good success in setting statewide allowables. The lack of use of sophisticated statistical techniques is perhaps unfortunate but is, in some ways, justifiable. The factors affecting the demand seem to be dynamic. Conventional seasonal and trend analysis would not to be profitable because of the fact that there is little seasonal variation on the one hand, and the error due to the usual trend lines seems fairly large. Another factox which the Railroad Commission has had in its favor in the past was the extremely wide margin of error which was permissible because of the days of the month allowable schedule. It will be interesting to see how the more exact percentage allowable system will work out.

## TEXAS RETAIL TRADE:

## FIRST' QUARTER 1963

by Robert M. Lockwood



WHETHER STIMULATED BY THE LATE EASTER, THE GENerally early spring, public confidence in the national and state economies, or consumer imponderables, Texas retail sales in March increased somewhat over February, pushing the seasonally adjusted index to $117.6 \%$ of the 1957 1959 average. Total estimated retail sales for March ran to $\$ 1,036.9$ million, almost precisely the same figure recorded in March a year ago.

Although its gains were not spectacular, the first quartex of 1963 remained ahead of the first three months of last year in both estimated total sales and average level of seasonally adjusted index. Durable, nondurable, and total estimated retail sales for January and February of this year all advanced the comparable figures for 1962. Generally speaking, March 1963 was last year's March all over again: a slight relative decline in durable spending this year was offset by a small increase in estimated nondurable buying.

In slow but steady advance over the past quarter, retail sales in Texas have paralleled those throughout the country. When adjusted for seasonal variations, the January-to-February and the February-to-March gains were $1 \%$ and $3 \%$, respectively, in Texas, compared to $1 \%$ in each instance on the national level. The gain nationally between adjusted first-quarter sales figures for last year and those for this year was almost $8 \%$, against a comparable increase of almost $10 \%$ in Texas.

Durable goods sales during the last quarter again were more striking than nondurable figures, both in their strength and in the extent of their fluctuation. From a seasonally adjusted value of $126.2 \%$ in January, the index of durable goods sales declined almost $6 \%$ in February, to $118.9 \%$ of the 1957-1959 average, and increased in March to $133.8 \%$, a gain of nearly $13 \%$ over February.

Nondurables, on the other hand, representing $61 \%$ of estimated total first-quarter sales against $63 \%$ last year,
slid up about $5 \%$ on a seasonally adjusted basis, from $106.8 \%$ in January to $112.3 \%$ in February, and fell off less than $3 \%$ from February's quarterly high to the $109.2 \%$ registered in March.

Throughout both Texas and the nation, several influences appear to be favoring the retail merchant. Considering seasonal fuctuations, national nonagricultural employment rose during each month of the first quarter. Total unemployment reached its lowest point this year in March, aggregating $5.6 \%$, on a seasonaily adjusted basis, of the civilian labor force.

Although March figures are not yet available, nonfarm employment in Texas also rose during January and February. Because these figures are not adjusted for seasonal variation, the $5.8 \%$ February unemployment in Texas is misleadingly high. Unemployment characteristically increases after Christmas and during the worst: of the winter.

ESTIMATES OF TOTAL RETAIL GALES

| Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & \text { Mab3. } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{Jann}_{1963} \mathrm{Mar}$ | Percent change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \text { Mar 1963Jan-Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from from } \\ & \text { Feb 1968 Mar 1962Jan-Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | (millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$1,086.9 | \$2,876, 4 | $+12$ | * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $+8$ |
| Durable goods* | 400.3 | 1,113.7 | $+9$ | - 2 | + 5 |
| Nondurable goods | (626.6 | 1,762,7 | $+15$ | + 1 | + 2 |

*Contains automotive stores, furniture stores, and lumber, building material, and hardware stores.
*adange is leas than one half of $1 \%$.
Both total personal income and disposable income continue the unbroken climb began many months ago. On a seasonally adjusted basis, personal income stood at an annual rate of $\$ 440.5$ billion at the end of last year, an increase of $5.8 \%$ over the previous year and $11 \%$ above the 1960 figure. Beginning in 1960 , disposable personal income increased almost as much, by $4.1 \%$ in 1961 and $5.3 \%$ last year. At $\$ 382.9$ billion, the 1962 year-end disposable income. was $9.6 \%$ ahead of that of two years previously.

Personal savings also have increased greatly during the same period. Most of this growth came during 1961. At the end of that year the rate of personal saving, adjusted for seasonal fluctuations, was $22.5 \%$ above that at the end of 1960. After rising another $2.3 \%$ by the end of last year, the rate of saving was more than a quarter greater than it had been two years previously. The end-1962 level, $\$ 26.2$ billion, followed slight downturns in the first, third, and fourth quarters.

Although the total has fallen off slightly from the heights reached last December, outstanding consumer credit still stands at well over $\$ 60$ billion, equivalent to roughly a sixth of disposable personal income. Creditors expect total credit outstanding to reach almost $\$ 70$ billion by the end of the year. Much of this rush into credit buying began with the unexpectedly successful movement of 1963 automobiles last fall. Refiecting this surge of durables buying was the year-end spurt in instalment buying, which finished the year $11 \%$ ahead of 1961 . Totaling $\$ 48$-plus billion on January 1, instalment credit outstanding is expected to rise to more than $\$ 50$ billion by the end of the year.

CREDIT RATIOS IN DEPARTMENT AND APPAREL STORES

| Claseiflcation | Patic of credit bales to net sales* |  | Ratio of collections to oratstandings $\dagger$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1962 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Mar }}{1962}$ |
| ALL STORES . ..........46 | 76.0 | 75.7 | 38.0 | 40.8 |
| BY CIIIES |  |  |  |  |
| Austin ................ 4 | 65.5 | 66.1 | 46.5 | 47.0 |
| Cleburne .............. 8 | 60.8 | 48.6 | 88.5 | 29.8 |
| Dallas . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4 | 83.0 | 88.3 | 87.4 | 89.9 |
| Houston ............., 4 | 80.7 | 80.0 | 40.2 | 39.9 |
| San Antonio .......... 3 | 76.6 | 75.6 | 34.9 | 39.6 |
| Waco ................ 4 | 59.1 | 57.4 | 36.0 | 89.1 |
| BY TYPE OF STORE |  |  |  |  |
| Department stores <br> (over $\$ 1$ million)...... 18 | 78.3 | 77.9 | 37.6 | 89.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Department stores } \\ & \text { (onder } \$ 1 \text { million) } \ldots .11 \end{aligned}$ | 58.8 | 57.5 | 86.1 | 89.2 |
| Dry goods and apparel stores .................. 5 | 71.5 | 78.8 | 52.1 | 55.8 |
| Women's specialty shops 9 | 72.6 | 72.5 | 88.2 | 41.8 |
| Men's clothing stores.... 8 | 66.1 | 64.7 | 39.6 | 89.0 |
| BY VOLUME OF NET SALES |  |  |  |  |
| \$1,500,000 and over...... 13 | 78.1 | 77.9 | 88.0 | 40.2 |
| \$500,000 to \$1,500,000.... 13 | 65.0 | 64.7 | 89.3 | 42,2 |
| \$250,000 to \$500,000 .... 7 | 53.8 | 58.5 | 40.5 | 44.8 |
| Less than $\$ 250,000 . . . . .13$ | 57.8 | 54.7 | 30.4 | 31.7 |
| *Oredit sules divided by net sales. <br> f Collections during the month as <br> the first of the month. | a pe | of | count | aid on |

Well over a third of the instalment debt is in automobiles. Car loans have become increasingly liberal over the past couple of years. Notes running 36,42 , and even 48 months have begun to appear in some areas. Most of the pressure toward easing automobile credit apparently has come from the dealers, and the present state of the new car market is apt to increase this pressure still more. After jumping off to a big lead last fall, new car sales throughout the nation are running behind only the record pace established in 1955. An example or two will illustrate the extent to which new cars are a significant retail factor in Texas.
In metropolitan Houston, where new vehicles sold faster than in the nation as a whole last year, the total sales were more than $30 \%$ above those of 1961, establishing a new record high almost $8 \%$ above that of 1955. About 87 out of every 100 of those vehicles were automobiles.

Dallas County had the second best car buying year in its history in 1962, and the 1963 cars so far have kept right on moving. March was the best single month in
almost eight years. New car registrations in Dallas County for the first three months of this year were 1,050 ahead of the first quarter of 1955 , the record carbuying year.

That the automobile industry as a whole is optimistic is borne out by its actual production for the first and second quarters last year and the first quarter this year and its planned production for April-June of this year. The industry built almost 1.94 million cars in the first quarter of this year, compared to about 1.77 million in January-Mareh 1962. Against actual second-quarter production of 1.86 million automobiles last year, the industry is undertaking now the completion of almost two million cars during the current quarter. To some extent, of course, the industry's steel-buying, and, therefore, its production, is influenced by the possibility of a steel strike in June.

From what might be described as spotty optimism, at best, during the first two months of this year, retailers' opinions now, in both state and nation, appear to range from a low of cautious to a high of cheerful optimism. Strong pre-easter buying in several Texas communities made a better first quarter out of what many retailers regarded as a slow starter. Buyers are nowhere exhibiting evidence of being influenced by the possibility of a tax cut. If there is a significant nonpersonal factor at work on buyers, it appears to be simply confidence in the economy.

POBTAL RECEIPTS

| City | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar 2- } \\ \text { Mar 29, } 1963 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 2 \ldots \\ \text { Mar } 29,1963 \\ \text { Mrom } \\ \text { Feb } 1 . \\ \text { Mar } 1,1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 2 \\ \text { Mar } 2,1963 \\ \text { Mrom } \\ \text { Mar } \\ \text { Mar } 80,1962 \end{gathered}$ |
| Angleton | . $\$ 78590$ | $-28$ | $\pm 33$ |
| Athens | 9,668 | - 3 | + 28 |
| Bellaire | 87,469 | + 7 | +26 |
| Brownfleld | . 10,750 | ** | + 22 |
| Childress | 5, 512 | + 4 | + 7 |
| Coleman | . 7,674 | +18 | + 8 |
| Cuero | . 8,901 | +72 | +58 |
| Eagle Prass | 7,782 | + 12 | $+17$ |
| El Campo | 10,705 | + 8 | $+16$ |
| Electra | 4,485 | $+25$ | +24 |
| Freeport | 16,600 | - 4 | + 11 |
| Gainesville | . 19,140 | + 71 | $+47$ |
| Galena Park | 6,980 | + 19 | + 5 |
| Grlmer | 4,677 | -18 | ** |
| Gonzales | 6,813 | $+16$ | $+21$ |
| Granbury | 4,328 | $\pm 47$ | + 18 |
| Groves | 6,450 | + 2 | + 29 |
| Hillshoro | 8,842 | + 3 | +22 |
| Fiuntsylile | . 15,780 | 5 | + $\mathrm{Es}^{\text {8 }}$ |
| Hurst | 8,698 | $\pm 4$ | + 46 |
| Irving | . 58,001 | +77 | +64 |
| Kenedy | 4,859 | + 8 | + 6 |
| Kermit | 8,005 | -1 | $+4$ |
| Kerrville | . 18,542 | - 11 | $\pm 17$ |
| La Grange | E,056 | + 5 | + 7 |
| Lake Jackson | 7,161 | +85 | + 50 |
| Marlin | 7,847 | + 2 | $\pm 25$ |
| Navasota | 4,848 | - 3 | + 1 |
| Pittsburg | 4,015 | $+9$ | +15 |
| Port Lavaca | . 10,200 | + 2 | + 22 |
| Richardson | , 36,870 | $+15$ | + 51 |
| Taft | . 2,618 | -7 | + 9 |
| Yoakum | . 11,961 | - 7 | ** |

**Percent change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

# BUILDING CONSTRUCTION IN TEXAS: FIRST QUARTER 1963 

by James J. Kelly

TOTAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS hit a new peak in the first quarter of 1963 when the three-month average of the index reached 132.4. The index was at its highest level in the post-World War II period in this quarter because of two strong months; in February the index was 139.5 , and in March it was 137.1. These were the highest monthly levels recorded for the index of total construction authorized in the state in the last fifteen yeaxs with the single exception of August 1961. Although the index is adjusted for seasonal variation, it is subject to wide erratic fluctuations, and the first quarter average takes on significance primarily because authorizations in February and March were both at a high level.

A strong rise in nonresidential building authorizations in Texas in the first quarter of 1963 accounted for the rise in the total authorizations in the state. The index of nonresidential building permits for the first three months averaged $\mathbf{1 5 5 . 4 \%}$ of the 1957-59 base period. The index maintained unusually high levels in February and March when it stood at a seasonally adjusted 175.9 and 152.4, respectively. The activity in these two months was at the postwar high of nonresidential construction and pushed the total index into the new high levels.
The index of residential construction authorizations for the first three months of 1963 in Texas maintained almost the same level it had averaged during 1962. The first quarter average of the index was 116.3. Although this was exceeded in the last three quarters of 1962 , the average of the index for the first cuarter 1963 was close to the 1962 yearly average of 117.8 .

Normally, residential permits are authorized at the highest level in the second quarter of the year, while the authorization of nonresidential construction varies irregularly. In the first quarter, residential permits gradually increased, while nonresidential shot up to a new peak and held there for two months. The building industry got off to a good start in the state in 1963 and may, if seasonal expectations are borne out, anticipate a good second quarter.

The dollar estimate of total new construction authorized for the first quarter of 1963 in Texas was $\$ 371.2$ million, an improvement of $4 \%$ over the estimated total for the first three months of 1962. Additions, alterations, and repairs on housekeeping dwellings and other private buildings amounted to $\$ 45.3$ million in the first quarter of 1968 , an increase of $7 \%$ above the comparable 1962 period.
Residential authorizations in the January-March 1963 period were up $4 \%$ over January-March 1962 to $\$ 216.7$ million. Permits for the construction of one-family dwellings, the larger portion of the residential market, dropped $10 \%$ in this quarter below what they had been in first quarter 1962 to $\$ 154.5$ million, but this drop was offset by a larger percentage rise in apartment building permits which rose $76 \%$ in the first quarter to $\$ 55.7$ million.

Authorizations to build nonresidential structures rose to $\$ 154.6$ million, a $4 \%$ increase, in the first quarter over 1962's first quarter. The largest increase in the nonresidential category was the addition of a permit for $\$ 19.5$ million for the construction of a sports stadium in Houston. This permit brought the amusement building category to a first-quarter total of $\$ 20.9$ million. Authorizations to build hotels, motels, and tourist courts almost doubled in the first quarter of 1963, increasing $95 \%$ to $\$ 5.9$ million above the first quarter of 1962. Other important increases in the first three months of 1963 over the same period last year included a $29 \%$ increase in educational building authorizations to $\$ 35.1$

ESTIMATED VALUE OF BUILDING AUTHORIZED
Source: Bureau of Business Research in cooperation with the Burean of the Census, U. S. Department of Commerce

| Classification | March 1968 | $\underset{1963}{\text { Jan-Mar }}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \text { Jan-Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \quad \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1963 \text { Jan-Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | (thowsand | of dollars) |  |  |
| ALL PERMITS . . . . . . . . | . $\$ 155,685$ | \$416,530 | + 13 | + 7 |
| New construction | 136,344 | 871,241 | + 11 | + 4 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| (housekeeping) | 83,952 | 216,679 | + 24 | $\pm 4$ |
| One-family dwellings. | . 60,769 | 154,459 | + 24 | - 10 |
| Multiple-family dwellings ... | 23,183 | 62,220 | + 24 | $+76$ |
| Nonresidential buildings $\ldots . . . . . . .$. | . 52,892 | 154,562 | - 5 | $+4$ |
| Nonhousekeeping buildings |  |  |  |  |
| (residentiaj) | 1,184 | 5,056 | - 68 | $+85$ |
| Amusement buildings | 19,884 | 20,875 | +8,434 | $+630$ |
| Churches | 2,346 | 7,086 | $-21$ | - 25 |
| Industrial buildings.. | , 5,008 | 14,673 | +143 | + 37 |
| Garages (commercial and private) ..... | . 569 | 1,954 | -28 | + 1 |
| Service stations | 1,426 | 8,150 | $+50$ | $+3$ |
| Hospitals and institutions | , 1,301 | 7,779 | - 40 | -48 |
| Office-bank buildings. | . 3,034 | 29,078 | -86 | - 30 |
| Works and utilities. | . 307 | 4,848 | - 91 | -34 |
| Educational buildings | $8,213$ | 35,081 | -25 | $+29$ |
| Stores and mercantile buildings | 6,684 | 19,805 | $\pm 16$ | $-12$ |
| Other buildings and structures | . 2,541 | 6,528 | + 65 | - 4 |
| Additions, alterations, and repairs | 19,841 | 45,289 | $+32$ | $\pm 37$ |
| METROPOLITAN vs. NONMETROPOLITAN $\dagger$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total metropolitan ...... | . 186,987 | 368,150 | $+12$ | $\bigcirc 12$ |
| Central cities | 114,241 | 296,080 | + 20 | $+11$ |
| Otiside central cities:. | . 22,696 | 72,070 | $-15$ | $+16$ |
| Total nonmetropolitan . | . 18,748 | 48,380 | +18 | - 21 |
| $\begin{gathered} 10,000 \text { to } 60,000 \\ \text { population } . \end{gathered}$ | . 9,666 | 26,607 | ** | - 82 |
| Less than 10,000 population | $\therefore$ 9,082 | 21,873 | $+46$ | - 1 |

$\dagger$ As defined in 1960 Census.
**Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.
million and a $37 \%$ increase in permits for industrial buildings to $\$ 14.7$ million. Permits to build in two Large categories of nonresidential structures were less in this period than they had been in first quarter 1962: officebank building permits decreased $30 \%$ to $\$ 29.1$ million, and permits to build stores and mercantile buildings decreased $12 \%$ to $\$ 19.1$ million.

Building gains in Texas are still being made in the metropolitan areas, while the volume of building declines in the nonmetropolitan areas. This is borne out in a comparison of first-quarter 1963 permits with those of the same period last year. The total dollar volume of authorizations in metropolitan areas amounted to $\$ 368.2$ million in the first quarter of 1963, an increase of $12 \%$ over the same period last year. Authorizations in nonmetropolitan areas for the first quarter were $\$ 48.4$ million, a $21 \%$ decrease from the first quarter of 1962.

The total valuation of building authorized in Houston for the first quarter was $\$ 95.8$ million, an increase of $8 \%$ above the first quarter of 1962. An increase of $11 \%$ in residential permits in that city for the first three months of 1963 brought total residential authorizations to $\$ 45.8$ million, and this increase in residential permits was enough to offset a drop of $15 \%$, to $\$ 35.4$ million in first-quarter authorizations to build nonresidential structures. Pasadena authorized $16 \%$ more permits in the first quarter of 1968 than in the first quarter of 1962.

Dallas recorded increases in both residential and nonresidential permits in the first quarter compared with the first quarter of last year. Permits to build residences increased $19 \%$ to $\$ 31.3$ million; nonresidential authorizations increased $56 \%$ to $\$ 26.6$ million; and the total value of all construction increased $36 \%$ to $\$ 64.2$ million. Cities
in the Dallas area showing increases in permits for the first quarter were Richardson ( $35 \%$ ), Garland ( $25 \%$ ), Irving ( $11 \%$ ), and Grand Prairie ( $2 \%$ ).
Austin issued permits to build a greater valuation of buildings in the first three months of 1963 than in the same period last year. Total authorizations in Austin for the first quarter of 1963 were $\$ 26.5$ million, an increase of $40 \%$ above the first quarter of 1962. Residential permits were up $37 \%$ to $\$ 14.0$ million, and nonresidential permits were up $59 \%$ to $\$ 11.2$ million.

Total permits issued in Lubbock in the first quarter of 1963 amounted to $\$ 16.2$ million, an increase of $54 \%$ over permits issued in the first quarter of 1962. This increase resulted from a jump in nonresidential permits of $377 \%$ to a total of $\$ 9.9$ million for the quarter and included building' permits issued to Texas Technological College for the construction of two dormitories. Residential permits issued in Lubbock for the quarter dropped to $\$ 5.2$ million, a decrease of $33 \%$ when compared with the first quarter total for 1962.

Fort Worth authorized a total construction of $\$ 1.7$ million in the first quarter of 1963, a $6 \%$ increase over the same period in 1962. Arlington issued $25 \%$ less permits in the first quarter. Amarillo issued a total of $\$ 11.3$ million in permits in the quarter, an increase of $10 \%$ above the first quarter last year.

Those large cities showing decreases in the quarterly comparison were Galveston with a $78 \%$ drop, San Antonio with a $2 \%$ drop, El Paso with a $30 \%$ drop, and Abilene with a $34 \%$ drop. Beaumont permits dropped $34 \%$ and Port Arthur $10 \%$. In almost all these cities, decreases were recorded in both the residential and nonresidential categories.

## BUILDING AUTHORIZED IN TEXAS SElected ciries

|  | Residential |  |  | Dwelling units |  |  | Nonresidential |  |  | Total construction* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Januar 1963 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{y}-\mathrm{March} \\ 1962 \end{array}$ | Percent change |  | $\frac{\mathrm{Mar}}{1966}$ | Percent change | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jaruary } \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { y-Mareh } \\ 1062 \end{array}$ | Percent change | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } \\ \text { 196? } \end{gathered}$ | -March 1962 | Percent change |
| Abilene | 2,922,550 | \$ 8,992,083 | - 14 | 188 | 252 | -27 | \$ 1,425,669 | \$ 3,312,974 | $-57$ | \$ 4.481.332 | \$ 6,839,722 | - 34 |
| Amarillo | 7,811,410 | 6,966,505 |  | 520 | 484 | $+7$ | 2,94.8,559 | 1,846,900 | $+60$ | 11,272,826 | 10,212,713 | $+10$ |
| Arlington | 4,006,613 | 4,420,578 | - 9 | 318 | 207 | + 5t | 1,989,296 | 3,612,964 | -45 | 6,104,471 | 8,112,991 | $-25$ |
| Austin | 18,963,156 | 10,216,829 | $+37$ | 1,180 | 844 | + 84 | 11,171,955 | 7,088,181 | + 69 | 26,508,916 | 18,884,255 | + 40 |
| Beaumont | 1,644,221 | 1.847,500 | - 11 | 161 | 211 | - 24 | . 832,661 | 1,980,442 | - 68 | 2,694,882 | 4,100,046 | -34 |
| Corpus Christi | 3,236,681 | 2,910,100 | + 11 | 301 | 321 | - 6 | 2,532,288 | 1,889,104 | + 34 | 6,221,376 | 5,a39,694 | $+17$ |
| Dailas | 31,344,443 | 26,426,314 | $+19$ | 8,787 | 2,552 | $+46$ | 26,554,501 | 16,997,172 | + 56 | 64,194,752 | 47,220,159 | + 86 |
| E1 Paso | 4,476,850 | 6,182,650 | - 28 | 352 | 489 | -28 | 2,806,269 | 4,701,369 | - 40 | 8,510,280 | 12,191,549 | $-50$ |
| Fort Worth | 1,337,866 | 3,981,916 | $+10$ | 45.5 | 370 | + 23 | 4,623,788 | 4,767,410 | - 3 | 11,713,969 | 11,062,162 | +6 |
| Galveston | 592,423 | 702,699 | $-16$ | 40 | 62 | -35 | 1,219,402 | 8,048,968 | -85 | 2,048,078 | 9,885,764 | -78 |
| Garland | 3,847,816 | 3,890,842 | - 1 | 441 | 382 | + 15 | 2,962,412 | 1,557,666 | +90 | 7,073,521 | 5,680,890 | + 25 |
| Grand Prairie | 1,023,800 | 1,338,250 | $-23$ | 71 | 124 | -48 | 1,108,822. | 774,450 | $+43$ | 2,233,037 | 2,193,255 | + 2 |
| Houston | 45,783,894 | 41,418,259 | + 11 | 5.352 | 4,690 | $+14$ | 35,361,459 | 41,486,506 | $-15$ | 95,827,684 | 89,118,099 | + 8 |
| Irving | 8,629,158 | 5,242,463 | - 88 | 867 | 653 | -44 | 3,703,018 | 1,273,342 | +191 | 7,808,374 | 6,604,152 | + 11 |
| Longview | 710,000 | 1,288,000 | $-43$ | 43 | 74 | --42 | 1,065,964 | 1,052,300 | + 1 | 2,095,164 | 2,449,400 | $-14$ |
| Lubbock | 5,196,610 | 7,797,383 | $-33$ | 364 | 580 | $-87$ | 9,917,126 | 2,078,976 | $+377$ | 16,202,625 | 10,550,506 | $+54$ |
| Mesquite | 2,801,299 | 2,728,614 | + 3 | 288 | 281 | ** | 649,548 | 1,070,245 | $-40$ | 3,530,127 | 3,857,132 | - 8 |
| Midand | 2,576,000 | 2,573,000 | ** | 154 | 156 | - 1 | 1,613,075 | 1,973,600 | - 18 | 4,562,060 | 4,872,465 |  |
| Odesga | 786,472 | 1,503,700 | - 48 | 89 | 96 | - 59 | 1,421,951 | 795.285 | $+79$ | 2,381,540 | 2,417,562 | $-1$ |
| Pargdena | 2,980,000 | 3,286,700 | - 9 | 270 | 247 | + 9 | 2,601,400 | 1,385,100 | + 95 | 5,858,300 | 5,047,000 | +16 |
| Port Arthur | 367,024 | 488,164 | $-25$ | 36 | 51 | - 29 | 538,962 | 412,378 | +31 | 1,119,755 | 1,243,294 | -10 |
| Rlchardson | 4,667,603 | 3,468,453 | + 35 | 401 | 257 | + 56 | 883,938 | 596,860 | $+48$ | 5,628,102 | 4,166,411 | +85 |
| Sin Angelo. | 976,850 | 886,900 | + 17 | 85 | 78 |  | 798,292 | 79,718 | $+001$ | 1,895,543 | 1,632,404 | + 16 |
| San Antonio Tyler | $8,516,032$ $3,089,275$ | 8,784,990 | - 3 | 1,148 | 1,158 | -1 | 5,209,712 | 5.463,549 | - 5 | 15,788,569 | 16,106,519 | +16 $-\quad 2$ |
| Tyler | 3,089,275 | 2,582,250 | + 22 +85 | 831 | 147 | $+125$ | 409,075 | 517,240 | - 21 | 3,738,559 | 3,284,050 | + 14 |
| Waco ........ | $3,007,800$ $2,943,456$ | $1,628,800$ $1,564,764$ | +85 +88 | 388 | 177 | +88 | 1,922,608 | 2,662,694 | -28 | 6,519,351 | 4,716,846 | + 16 |
| Wichita Falls | 2,943,456 | 1,564,764 | $+88$ | 294 | 130 | $+126$ | 1,916,092 | 1,390,616 | $+38$ | 5,271,483 | 3,843,847 | +37 |

[^0]${ }^{* *}$ Change is less than one-half of $1 \%$.

# HELIUM IN TEXAS 

by Rick P. Fisher



WITHIN THE NEXT FEW YEARS TEXAS WILL CONTAIN what may well be the only source of helium in the world. An unusual gas, now in demand for industrial and scientific research-especially as they pertain to defense, nuclear energy development, and space exploration-helium is being pumped into a natural underground storage tank, the depleted natural gas field at Cliffside, near Amarillo. It is not without good reason that this area was chosen for the storage of helium gas. At present. an estimated $95 \%$ of the world's recoverable helium is located within 250 miles of Amarillo.

The helium industry has had a Texas character since its beginning. Helium gas remained a mere laboratory curiosity from its discovery as a component of natural gas in 1905 until the outbreak of World War $I$, when the Army and Navy became interested in using it as a nonflammable substitute for hydrogen in lifting airships. In 1917 construction was started on three extruction plants at the Petrolia field in Clay County, northeast of Wichita Falls. These plants were built by private companies but financed by the government; the war ended before any of the helium produced could be used, and the plants were shut down. The Navy built a plant at Fort Worth in 1921, using the Petrolia field as its gas source, and in 1925 all helium operations were placed under the supervision of the Bureau of Mines, which promptly acquired the Clifiside field and shut down the failing operations at Fort Worth and Petrolia. The Bureau of Mines has been the Frree World's only producer and distributor of commercial helium since that time.

Helium occurs in traceable quantities in only two natural sources on earth-in the atmosphere and in natural gas. Helium must constitute at least $1 \%$ of the substance from which it is to be extructed in order for production to be commercially feasible. This characteristic of the gas eliminates the atmosphere as a possible source, for helium is but one part in two hundred thousand of air. A few scattered natural gas deposits in the south central and southwest United States remain, at present, the only
usable souxces of helium for commercial extraction. Ascording to a 1960 estimate by the Bureau of Mincs, the total United States reserve of helium is around 154 billion cubic feet, about $10 \%$ of which is available to the five government extraction plants. Almost $95 \%$ of that reserve is contained within four helium-bearing natural gas fields-the Panhandle field of Texas, the Hugoton field of Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas, the Greenwood field of Kansas, and the Keyes field of Oklahoma, Other sources are known to the Bureau of Mines-two on the publie domain in Utah, specifically set aside as helium reserves, and a few small, shut-in gas fields in Arizona and Colorado, owned by private companies. No important new sources have been discovered since 1943.
Generally, helium-bearing natural gas is piped from the gas fields to the government's extraction plants under contracts with various gas transmission companies. After the helium has been extracted, the remaining natural gas is sold to the transmission companies. In extracting the gas, advantage is taken of the fact that helium has the lowest liquification temperature of any known gas. The natural gas is cooled to a point below the boiling points of its other constituents, which pass out in liquid form, leaving gaseous helium with a purity of $98.2 \%$ to $99.5 \%$. The semi-puxe helium is then passed through activated charcoal at a temperature below the boiling point of nitrogen, the only remaining impurity in the gas, which is filtered out as a liquid. Grade A helium remains $-99.995 \%$ pure-which is pumped directly to waiting storage or transportation tanks. It is stored in highpressure containers as well as in the underground facility at Cliffside or shipped directly to consumers in special railway tank cars, standard compressed gas cylinders, or truck semitrailers.

The Bureau of Mines operates five extraction plants, the first constructed at Amarillo in 1927, and the latest at Keyes, Oklahoma, in 1959. The others are at Exell, Texas, Navajo (Shiprock), New Mexico, and Otis, Kansas. All five plants produce Grade A helium. The government's total investment in the five plants as of 1959 , without adjustment for depletion and depreciation, was more than $\$ 40$ million- $\$ 27.5$ million in plant and housing facilities, $\$ 7$ million in shipping facilities (cylinders, tank cars, ete.), $\$ 5$ million in gas rights and pipelines, and $\$ 0.5$ million in service and supervisory facilities. In excess of $70 \%$ of the helium extracted is consumed by the government in atomic energy and defense research projects and nearly $20 \%$ by private companies under government contracts, leaving but $10 \%$ for use in private industry and research.

Total production in the United States in 1960 amounted to $475,179,000$ cubic feet, while production in Texas during the same year was $120,921,000$ cubic feet. By way of comparison, total national helium production in 1950 was $81,394,000$ cubic feet, all of which was produced in Texas.

The conclusion to be drawn from the fact that Texas' total helium production has increased at the same time that the state's proportion of total national production has decreased drastically-from $100 \%$ to $25.4 \%$ in ten years-is that a predominantly Texas industry is rapidly becoming a regional and national one, in which Texas remains a major producer.

From 1954 to 1959 demand so exceeded production that helium had to be rationed strictly to essential users, cutting civilian consumption to a fraction of its potential. In 1959 construction was begun on the plant at Keyes, Oklahoma, and finished in six months at a total cost of $\$ 11$ million. Since production began in late 1959 , the present national helium requirement has been met so adequately that nearly 273 million cubic feet in excess of

demand have been produced and stored at the Cliffside reservoir, and strict rationing was ended in 1960.

Helium, which is tasteless, odorless, totally invisible, and harmless, has become indispensable to industry and research by virtue of its unique characteristics, some of which are its high electrical and thermal conductivity, inertness, low density, low refractive index, slow ionization, rapid diffusion, and the lowest liquefication temperature of any gas. Its boiling point is only 4.2 degrees above
absolute zero, and temperatures lower than 20 degrees above absolute zero cannot be obtained without the use of liquid helium as a coolant.

The use of helium in liquid form has opened many fields of low temperature research. Molecular fragments in chemical reactions, usually having lives so short as to be nearly unmeasurable, can be frozen in place by liquid helium and studied with ease. Some metals become superconductors at temperatures near absolute zero, offering little or no resistance to the flow of electricity. From this observation came the development of the cryotron, which performs the function of a transistor when surrounded by liquid helium, enabling development of

Table 1
ANNUAL HELYUM PRODUCTION, NATIONAL AND TEXAS

| Year | U. S. | Texas | Texas percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (thousnid cubic feet) |  |  |
| 1950 | 81,894 | 81.894 | 100.0 |
| 1951 | . 112,009 | 82,690 | 79.8 |
| 1952 | . 144,556 | 106,988 | 74.0 |
| 1853 | . .161,087 | 103,711 | 64.5 |
| 1954 | . 190,741 | 110,588 | 57.9 |
| 1955 | . 285,868 | 139,397 | 69.1 |
| 1956 | .266,987 | 145,880 | 54.6 |
| 1957 | . 810,865 | 204,286 | 65.6 |
| 1958 | . 352,134 | 294,452 | 88.6 |
| 1959 | . 375,408 | 238,113 | 63.4 |
| 1060 | .475,179 | 120,921 | 25.4 |

Source: Minerale Yearbool, U. S. Department of the Interior.
very small, extremely reliable computors. Low temperature amplifiers, such as the MASER (microwave amplification by stimulated emission of radiation) make possible the construction of extremely sensitive receivers for use in ultrahigh-frequency communications on the earth and in space, as well as increasing the sensitivity of ra. dio telescopes and radar.
Helium is also used as a leak detector in the manufacture of beer kegs and airplane fuel tanks because of its rapid diffusion, as an inert shield for magnesium, aluminum, and stainless steel welding, as a stable atmosphere for the crystallization of germanium used in the manufacture of transistors, in combination with oxygen as a breathing medium for divers, mixed with flammable anesthetics to prevent operating room explosions, by the government in atomic energy and missile research, and, of course, as a gas for airships and meteorological balloons.
The helium industry is not in such overall good condition as would appear from production, consumption, and storage figures, for while the Bureau of Mines was extracting 475 million cubic feet of the gas (1960), an estimated 4 billion cubic feet were liberated into the atmosphere and wasted by gas customers in the northern part of the United States-a loss in value of $\$ 62$ million. For each cubic foot extracted, better than eight were wasted, 333 million cubic feet per month, 11 million per day. Considering the ever-increasing natural gas consumption by industry and homeowners, the Bureau of Mines estimates that the nation's helium supply would be used up by 1985 were no conservation measures taken. Helium waste can be curtailed only by construction of
more extraction plants and storage of the resulting surplus, the bases of the helium conservation program enacted by Congress in 1961.

A new development has been added, however, for the new extraction plants will be built and operated by private industry, the Bureau of Mines maintaining control over storage, final purification, and distribution of the helium extracted. The program took effect in April 1961 under two pieces of Iegislation. The first directs the Secretary of the Interior to contract with private companies for the purchase of partially refined helium, the contracts to be no more than 25 years in duration, and permits the Bureau of Mines to borrow from the Treasury as much as Congress may authorize to meet the contract obligations; the second authorizes the Bureau of Mines to borrow $\$ 47.5$ million per year. The plants under contract are to gather natural gas and extract helium at $70 \%$ purity, which is to be piped to Amarilio for further purification and sale or storage in the Cliffside field.

The Secretary of the Interior has contracted with four companies for construction of five extraction plantsthe Helex Corporation, a subdivision of Northern Natural Gas Co. of Omaha, at Bushton, Kansas; Cities Service Helex, Inc., at Ulysses, Kansas; National Helium Corp., at LiberaI, Kansas; and Phillips Petroleum Co. (two Texas plants) at Dumas (Moore County) and Hitchland (Hansford County). The Bushton plant has been operating since last December, and the other four were on stream by April 1, 1963. A govermment-owned pipeline from Bushton to Amarillo is now transmitting. Lateral lines are to be tied in as each plant reaches full production. The ultimate goal of the program is to have twelve privately operated extraction plants feeding the Amarillo plant and reservoir. An estimated $\$ 225$ million will have to be borrowed from the Treasury before the program begins to pay for itself through helium sales at

Table 2
HELIUM USE BY COMMERCIAL CONSUMERS, DECEMBER 1955
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\hline \hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent used } \\ \text { for each } \\ \text { purpose }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent used } \\ \text { on government } \\ \text { contracts }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent used } \\ \text { by others }\end{array}\right]$

Source: Minerals Yearbook, U. S. Department of the Interior.
the recently increased price of $\$ 35$ per thousand cubic feet.

The Bureau of Mines proposes to conserve a total of 88 billion cubic feet of helium during the next 25 years -36 billion for sale and 52 billion for storage at Cliffside -and to cut waste to 81 billion cubic feet during the same period. One result will be lower heating bills for gas customers in the northern states; they will get more heat per cubic foot (and per dollar) with the nonflammable helium removed from the gas. All twelve of the proposed extraction plants are to tie in with the Amarillo plant and storage facility by pipeline, making that area of Texas the sole depository and primary distribution center of helium for government and private use, as well as leaving Texas a major producer with two plants operated by the government and at least two by private concerns under contract with the government.

# AN ANALYSIS OF THE TEEN-AGE MARKET 
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#### Abstract

This study presents an investigation of several aspects of the teen-ager as a consumer. Emphasis is given in the analysis of social forces acting on the teen-ager with respect to the family unit and the peer group. In the closely-related economic sphere the teen-ager is viewed as a consumer and consideration is given to quality, quantity, and price of products advertised to this segment of the consumer market. One primary object of the research has been to project the teen-ager in the role of the future adult consumer and in this respect analysis is made of the teen-agers' future credit and savings.


## ORDER YOUR COPY FROM BUREAU OF BUSINESS RESEARCH

(Texas residents add $2 \%$ sales tax)


As a reader's guide to better utility of retail sales data, an average percent change from the preceding month has been computed for each month of the year. This percent change is marked with a dagger ( $\dagger$ ) following that figure. The next percent change represents the actual change from the preceding month. A large variation in the normal seasonal from the actual figure represents an abnormal month. The third percent change shows the change from the identical period the preceding year. Postal receipt information which is marked by an asterisk (*) indicates cash receipts received during the four-week postal accounting period onded March 29,1963 , and the percent changes from the preceding period and the comparable period in
the previous year. Annual postal data are for 18 four-week periods falling closest within 1961 and 1962 calendar years. Changes less than one-half of 1 percent are marked with a double asterisk (**). Waco retail sales information is reported in cooperation with the Baylor Bureau of Business Research. End-of-month deposits as reported represent money on deposit in individual demand deposit accounts on the last day of the month and are indicated by the symbol ( $\ddagger$ ). All population figures are final 1960 census data, with the exceptions of those marked ( $r$ ) which are official revisions. Figures under Texarkana with the following symbol (§) are for Texarkana, Texas, only.

| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mar}_{1963} \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| ABILENE (pop. 90,368) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 14t | $+7$ | $-11$ |
| Automotive stores | - 9才 | - 6 | $-16$ |
| Drug stores | + $3 \dagger$ | + 5 | ** |
| General merchandise stores. | + 44 $\dagger$ | $+8$ | $-17$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + $11 \dagger$ | + 24 | $-20$ |
| Postal receipts" . ..................... \$ | \$ 122,588 | + 3 | + 17 |
| Building permits, less Pederal contracts \$ | \$ 1,677.765 | + 3 | - 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ . 8 | 8 99,815 | ** | $-12$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | \$ 72,557 | + 4 | * |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 16.8 | - I |  |
| Employment (area) | 36,600 | ** | 1 |
| Manufacturing employment. (area). | 4,420 | $-4$ | - 11 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.6 | - 1 | + 20 |

## ALICE (pop. 20,861)

Retail sales

| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + 11 * | 6 | - 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* .................... \$ | 17,032 | -9 | -15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 144,134 | -19 | B |

## ALPINE (pop. 4,740)

| Postal receidts* | \$ | 5,420 | $+10$ | $+17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta | \$ | 106,450 | +322 | +1848 |
| Bank debits (thousands). | - | 2,922 | $+1$ | 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . | \$ | 3,876 | 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 9.0 | $+5$ | 5 |

## ANDREWS (pop. 11,135)

| Postal receipts** ...................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,713 | - 15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 82,584 | $-12$ | - 32 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 5,632 | $\pm$ | * |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . . \$$ | 7,280 | - 3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.1 | $+12$ |  |

## ARANSAS PASS (pop. 6,956)

| Postal receiptts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 4,972 | $+17$ | $+9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \% | 15,800 | + 4 | - 9 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \% | 4,404 | 2 | -6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) F. $^{8}$ | 6.212 |  | + 21 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnaver | 8.6 | $-1$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Feb } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mar } \\ \text { from } \\ 1963}}{ }$ <br> Mar 1962 |
| BAY CITY（pop．11，656） |  |  |  |
| Retatili satles | －9＊ | ＋ 24 | ＋ 16 |
| Postal receipts＊ | 14，146 | ＋ 30 | ＋ 7 |
| Bank debits（thousands） | 14，404 |  | ＊＊ |
| End－of－month deposits（thoukands）$\ddagger$ ．${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 22，295 | － 2 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 7.7 | $+$ |  |
| Nonagriculturad placements | 78 | $+11$ | － 26 |

## BAYTOWN（pop．28，159）

| 客 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | －9¢ | ＋ 5 | 22 |
| lood stores | ＋12t | ＋ 14 | 12 |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 32，729 | $\pm 8$ | ＋19 |
| Builaing permite，less federal contracts \＄ | 447，363 | $+10$ | ． 97 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 25，573 | － 7 | 2 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 27，463 | ＊＊ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.2 |  | － 10 |
| Employment（area） | ．547，800 | $+1$ |  |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 91，400 | \＃＊ |  |
| Percent unemployed（area） | 4.5 | － |  |

## BCAUMONT（pop．119，175）

| Retail sales | $+14{ }^{+}$ | $+18$ | － 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ＋ $35 \dagger$ | ＋ 41 | 8 |
| Automotive stores | －9¢ | $+12$ | － 18 |
| General merchandise stores． | $+44 \dagger$ | ＋ 36 |  |
| Lumber，building matexial， and hardware stores． | ＋11 $\dagger$ | $+37$ | 26 |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 141，434 | ＋ 11 | $+22$ |
| Euilding permits，less federal contracts \＄ | \％1，211，718 | $+80$ |  |
| Bank debita（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | （181，322 | ＋ 13 | － 8 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger .$. （ | \＄107，147 | \％＊ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.8 | $+13$ | － 8 |
| Employment（area） | 106.300 |  | － 1 |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 34，880 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed（area） | 7.6 |  | ＋ 19 |

## BEEVILLE（pop．13，811）

| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$$ | 18，824 | ＋ 5 | $+36$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 65，169 | $+48$ | － 49 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 10，714 | ＋ 7 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thowands）${ }_{\text {a }}$ ．\＄ | 14，327 | － 8 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.9 |  | － 11 |

## BIG SPRING（pop．31，230）

| Retaij sales | $+14 \dagger$ | $+6$ | － 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | －9t | － 2 | － 23 |
| Drug stores | ＋ 3 | － 1 | 5 |
| Furniture and household appliance storet ．．．．． | ＋9才 | $+89$ | ＋ 6 |
| Lumber，building material， and hamdware stores． | ＋11才 | ＋ 29 | ＋5 |
| Postal receiptg＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 36，945 | $+7$ | ＋ 22 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 610，746 | $+50$ | －23 |
| Bank debitg（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 37，713 | 1 | － 8 |
| Find－of－month deposits（thousands）4．\＄ | 27，686 | 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．． | 16.8 | ＊＊ | $+1$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 148 | ＋16 | － 88 |

## BISHOP（pop．3，722）

| Postal receipts＊ | 8，818 | ＋ 21 | ＋12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 18，000 | 90 | － 56 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 1，980 | ＋ 21 | $-20$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousends）\＆．\＄ | 2，580 | 1 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.3 | ＋22 | － 10 |



## BONHAM（pop．7，357）

| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 104，800 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 8，380 | $+17$ | ＋ 12 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．$\$$ | 7，388 | － 5 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 13.3 | $+22$ | ＋ 8 |

BORGER（pop．20，911）

| Postal receipts＊$\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 19,675 | +15 | +17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts $\$$ | 194,699 | -2 | -23 |
| Nonagrieultural placements $\ldots . . . .$. | 105 | +54 | -48 |

BRADY（pop．5，338）

| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$^{\text {\％}}$ | 5，223 | $+17$ | $+21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 4，000 | －93 | － 75 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 5，625 | $+24$ | ＋ 8 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\dagger$ ．${ }_{\text {\％}}$ | 7，193 | ＊＊ | － 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 9.4 | ＋25 |  |

## BRENHAM（pop．7，740）

| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 9，766 | ＋ 1 | ＋19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 78，828 | $+63$ | ＋83 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 10，461 | ＋14 | $+1$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）t．．\＄ | 13，241 | ＊＊ | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 9.5 | ＋ 13 | 5 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 78 | $+86$ | ＋ 73 |

## BROWNSVILLE（pop．48，040）

| Retail sales | $+1{ }^{1}+$ | ＋ 22 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores |  | ＋ 35 | ＋ 12 |
| Lumber，building material， and hardware stores． | $+11{ }^{\dagger}$ | ＋ 28 | － 3 |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 37.916 | － 2 | $+21$ |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 326，832 | $+123$ | ＋ 22 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 35，719 | 2 | $+10$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）\％．．\＄ | 21，179 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 19.3 |  | ＋ 5 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 245 | $+2$ |  |

## BROWNWOOD（pop．16，974）

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ＋35 $\dagger$ | ＋ 48 |  |
| Automotive stores | －9† | ＋23 | $+5$ |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 29，469 | ＋ 3 | ＋ 24 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 42，900 | ＋1043 | ＋785 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 16，448 | ＋ 7 | ＋ 1 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 12，976 | － 2 | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 15.1 | $+10$ |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 69 | － 8 |  |

## BRYAN（pop．27，542）

| Retail sales | ＋14才 | $+14$ | $+8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stiores | $+357$ | ＋ 38 | ＋ |
| Automotive stores | － $9 \dagger$ | ＋ 18 | $+$ |
| Food stores | ＋ 124 | ＋ 15 | － 6 |
| Lumber，building material， and hardware stores． | $+11{ }^{+}$ |  | $+27$ |
|  | 28，100 | ＋ 9 | ＋ 27 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 153，500 | － 46 | －6 |
| Bank debits（thoasands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 26，607 | ＋ 2 |  |
| End－of－menth deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 18，349 |  |  |
| Anmual rate of deposit turnover． | 17.4 | ＋ 2 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 308 | $+69$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions City snd item | $\underset{1968}{\mathrm{Mar}^{2}}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } \\ & 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { From } \\ & \text { Feb } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1968 \\ \text { from } \\ \text { F } \oplus \mathrm{b} 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| CALDWELL (pop. 2,204) |  |  |  | COLORADO CITY (pop. 6,457) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipta* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 2,846 | $+13$ | + 28 | Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 2,240 | + 1 | +68 | Automotive stores . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {, }}$ |  |  | -19 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . \$ | 4,035 | -1 | + 4 | Postal receipts* <br> Bank debits (thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5} .548 \\ & 4.479 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +11 \\ & -18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +12 \\ & -15 \end{aligned}$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.6 |  | $-10$ | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ \% | 8,580 | -1 | - 5 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 81 | -15 | 10 |
| CAMERON (pop. 5,640) |  |  |  | COPPERAS COVE (pop. 4,567) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,423 | + 45 | $+$ | Postal receiptas ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,374 | - 15 | + 25 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 15,700 | 58 | - 70 | Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 254,2282 | +815 | -22 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 4,295 |  | - 9 | Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . | 1,348 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ¢. \$ | 4,830 |  | ** | Endeop-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 1,158 |  | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.4 | + 2 | $-12$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.2 | ** | - 10 |
| CANYON (pop. 5,864) |  |  |  | CORPUS CHRISTI (pop. 184,163r) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Retail males | + 14 ${ }^{+}$ | +12 |  |
| Building permits, less federat contracts \$ | 9,800 | -85 | -94 | Apparel stores | + $35 \%$ | +82 | + 16 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,682 | + 21 | +5 | Automotive stores | - 94 |  | -9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousandis) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 7,406 | + 7 | + 3 | General merchandise stores......... | + 44* | $+48$ | + 14 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 | $+19$ | + 2 | Lumber, building material, and hardware stores... | + ${ }^{11 \dagger}$ | $+49$ | - |
|  |  |  |  | Nurseries |  | +119 | $+96$ |
| CARROLLTON (pop. 4,242) |  |  |  | Postal receipts* | 190,018 |  | $+10$ |
|  |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ 2,771,057 + $49+70$ |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (..............\% 5,800 - 8 + 11 |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands)............ 8 | 200,272 | - 1 | - 6 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 243,250 | + 47 | + 17 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots \$$ 114,579 $+1+$ |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$$ | 5.636 |  | + 28 | Annusl rate of deposit turnover...... | 21.0 | *** |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .{ }_{\$}$ Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 3,994 |  | +27 | Employment (area) ................ | 68,100 | ** |  |
|  | 20.3 |  | ** | Manufacturing employment (area). Percent unemployed (aren) | $\begin{gathered} 8,840 \\ 6.3 \end{gathered}$ | ** |  |
| CISCO (pop. 4,499) |  |  |  | CORSICANA (pop. 20,344) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 4,917 | $\pm 19$ | + 39 | Postal receipts* | 25,268 129,818 | +12 +20 | +11 +75 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 50,000 |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 129,818 17,571 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 3,426 | + 2 | + 2 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 20,179 | - 4 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 3,697 |  | - 5 |  | 10.2 |  | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 10.9 |  | $+$ | Nonagricultural placements :.... | 167 | +88 +48 | +14 |
| CLEBURNE (pop. 15,381) |  |  |  | CRYSTAL CITY (pop. 9,101) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Postal receipts* ....................s | 3,449 | $+6$ | + 12 |
| Retail sales |  |  |  | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 58,100 | +128 | +341 |
| General merchandise stores. | $+44 \dagger$ | + 49 | - 5 | Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 2,867 | + 8 | $-2$ |
| Fostal receipts .................... $\%$ | 16,211 | $+14$ | + 20 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 2,974 | - 5 | $+7$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 111,285 | + 40 | + 35 | Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11.3 | + 12 | $-10$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............\$ | 11,731 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 12.021 |  | + 3 | DALLAS (pop. 679,684) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of denosit turnover. | 11.7 | $+7$ | + 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment (area) .............. | 219,300 | +1 +1 |  |  | $+10 \dagger$ $+26 t$ | +20 +34 | + ${ }_{*}^{1}$ |
| Percent unemployed (area)......... | 51,645 4.6 | $+\quad 2$ +18 | $\begin{array}{r} \\ +8 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ |  | +106 $+\quad 26 \dagger$ $+13 \dagger$ | +80 +34 -48 | +8 +8 |
|  | 4.6 | - 18 |  | Eating and drinking places | + $8 \dagger$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Florists | $+11 \dagger$ | + 3 |  |
| CLUTE (pop. 4,501) |  |  |  | Food stores | $+11 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Furnitare and household |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 1,484 | - 25 | - 40 | appliance stores | $\begin{array}{r}+6 t \\ + \\ + \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 67,600 |  | + 56 | Gasoline and service stations General merchandise stores.. |  |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 1,845 | +14 | - 4 |  |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | 1,428 | - 3 | $-17$ | and hardware stores. | $+26 \dagger$ | + 35 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 15.8 | + 17 | + 8 | Office, store, and school |  |  |  |
| COLLEGE STATION (pop. 11,396) |  |  |  | Postal receipts*Building permits, less federal contracts $\$ 18,300,633$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ 3,084,540 End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\%$. $\$ 1,279,798$ |  |  | 10 $+\quad 1$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 31,982 | -95 | -68 |  |  | - 6 | +10 -10 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ | 5,813 | + 2 |  | Employment (area) .................. 478,900 |  | +1 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (theusands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 3,185 | + 2 | + 7 | Manufacturing employment (area). 105,525 |  | ** | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 14.7 | ** | $-5$ | Percent unemployed (area) | 4.6 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and Item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

DEER PARK (pop. 4,865)

| Postal reeeipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,641 | $\pm 86$ | + 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 112,225 | - 1 | $-37$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 3,021 | - 34 | -11 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. \$ | 2,114 | - 5 | $-20$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 16.7 | - 32 | $+3$ |

## DEL RIO (pop. 18,612)

| Retail seles |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + $11 \dagger$ | $+21$ | -2 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {s }}$.....................$\%$ | 16,270 | $+15$ | $+34$ |
| Building permite, less federal contracts \$ | 234,180 | $+47$ | +225 |
| Bank debits (thousands)..............\$ | 11,800 | + 5 | ** |
| End-oi-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 15,097 | $+5$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.2 | + 8 | - 3 |
| DENISON (pop. 22,748) |  |  |  |
| Retall sales |  |  |  |
| Automotive stores | - 9t | $+18$ | $+10$ |
| Postal recejpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 26,822 | + 82 | + 58. |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 298,480 | $-44$ | +120 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 16,101 | $+2$ | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {S }}$. S | 14,580 | - | + 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 13.2 | + 2 |  |
| Nonegricaltaral placements | 189 | + 80 | - 24 |

## DENTON (pop. 26,844)

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug stores | $+\mathbf{s} \boldsymbol{\dagger}$ | + 4 | $+9$ |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | \$ 38,989 | -6 | $+28$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | \$ 2,269,460 | +469 | +224 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ 27,250 | $+24$ | + 26 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$.. | \$ 25,438 | $-1$ | +18 |
| Annuad rate of deposit turnover. | 12.8 | $+25$ | +5 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 157 | $+27$ | +5 |


| DONNA (pop. 7,522) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal recelpts* | 4,298 | + 13 | + 35 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 38,650 | +409 | $+47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 2,745 | + | $+3$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 3,657 | - 3 | $+26$ |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 8.9 |  | - 19 |

## EDINBURG (pop. 18,706)

| Postal receipts* | 11,976 | + 5 | $+22$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 61,600 | $+11$ | - 67 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 122 |  | + 23 |

## EDNA (pop. 5,038)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 6,006 | +52 | $+34$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permitg, less federal contracts \$ | 18,950 | $-88$ | 44 |
| Bank debits (thousands).............. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 10,056 | + 20 | $\ldots$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 6,300 | - | . $\cdot$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.6 | $+26$ |  |

## ENNIS (pop. 9,347)

| Buildin | 89,890 | - 21 | - 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank deblts (thousands)............. \$ | 6,194 | + 5 | -25 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger . .8$ | 7,137 | ** | - 3 |
| Anmual rate of deposit turnoy | 10.4 | $+$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## EL PASO (pop. 276,687)

| Retail sales | + 14¢ | $+9$ | - 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $85 \dagger$ | + 44 | -16 |
| Automotive stores | - $9 \uparrow$ | $-1$ | $-23$ |
| Generat merchandise stores | + 44 $\dagger$ | $+39$ | * |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + 11 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $+16$ | $-24$ |
| Postal receipts** . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 340,261 | + 9 | +17 |
| Building wermits, less federal contracts \$ | 3,885,782 | $+40$ | $-16$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. $\%$ | 382,727 | $+15$ | - 5 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 192,958 | 9 | 46 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 22.7 | +15 | --10 |
| Employment (area) . ............... | 91,700 | * |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 14,910 |  | + 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.1 | ** | $+24$ |

FORT STOCKTON (pop. 6,373)

| Building permits, fess federal contracts \$ | 49,800 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 5,694 | + | 1 | 2 |
| Enduci-month deposits (thousands) \%. . ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5,181 | - | 3 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.1 | $+$ | 3 | - 2 |

FORT WORTH (pop. 356,268)

| Retail sales | $+11 \dagger$ | $+17$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+194$ | + 22 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | $+11 \dagger$ | + 4 | $+4$ |
| Eating and drinking places. | + 11 ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $+16$ | $+$ |
| Furnitare and household appliance stores ..... | + 15 $\dagger$ | $+8$ | - 15 |
| Gasoline and service stations. | $+8 \dagger$ | $+7$ | ** |
| General merchandise stores. | + 245 | +28 | + |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + 23t | $+13$ | $+7$ |
| Postal reeeipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 880,473 | $+8$ | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 8,801,841 | $+7$ | - 40 |
| Bank deblts (thousands) ............. \% | 784,598 | $+4$ | $-8$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 401,812 | $+3$ | + 3 |
| Annual rate of degosit turnover. | 28.8 | + 4 | 10 |
| Employment (area) | 210,300 | $+1$ | ** |
| Manufactaring employment (area). | 51,645 | + 2 | + |
| Pexcent unemployed (area) | 4.6. | -18 | -6 |


| FREDERICKSBURG (pop. 4,629) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail sales | + 14¢ | +15 | $+9$ |
| Drug stores | $+3 \uparrow$ | + 5 | - 1 |
| Food stores | + 12¢ | $+13$ | + 2 |
| General merchandise stores. | + 44¢ | + 23 | $+11$ |
| Postal recelpts* ..................... 8 | 5,119 | $-80$ | $+25$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 107,485 | + 19 | $+84$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 7,977 | + 9 | + 9 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. S | 8,256 | -2 | $+9$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.5 | $+14$ | 1 |

GALVESTON (pop. 67,175)

| Retail aqales | + $16 \dagger$ | $+12$ | - 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | $+35 t$ | $+24$ | 1 |
| Automotive stores | - $9 \dagger$ | $+11$ | $-30$ |
| Furniture and household appliance storea | $+9 \dagger$ | $+14$ | 8 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware shores. | + 11 $\dagger$ | - 2 | -28 |
| Poatal recelpts**................... \$ $^{\text {\% }}$ | 106,852 | $+11$ | $+81$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 453,296 | - 25 | - 90 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 90,756 | $+14$ | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. 8 | 61,991 | + 8 | $-6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.8 | +15 | $+7$ |
| Employment (area) | 58,200 | + 1 | *** |
| Manafacturing employment (area) | 10,440 | + 1 | - 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 7.0 | - 11 | $-15$ |


| Local Business Conditions | Mar <br> City and item | Percent change <br> Mar 1963 Mar 1968 <br> from <br> Feb 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Local Business Conditions Gity and item | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mar} \\ 1963 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mar 1963 Feb 1968 | Mar 1963 from Mar 1962 |
| GREENVLLLE (pop. 19,087) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 14¢ | $+21$ | - |
| Apparel stores | $+35 \dagger$ | + 19 | - |
| Drug stores | + $8 \dagger$ | ** | $-10$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + $11 \%$ | $+26$ |  |
| Postal reeeipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$$ | 26,024 | $+30$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 121,665 | - 17 | $-18$ |
| Bank delits (thousands) ............. \& | 14,684 | - 2 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . W $^{\text {d }}$ | 13,791 | $-2$ | - 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 12.6 | - 2 | + 13 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 55 | +25 | -40 |

## GATESSILLE (pop. 4,626)

| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$ | 6,780 | + | $+32$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. | 5,038 | + | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger \ldots$ | 5,962 | $+4$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.3 | ** | $-5$ |
| GIDDINGS (pop. 2,821) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptst . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 3,323 | $-15$ | $+17$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 16,900 | -68 | + 6 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 8,262 | $+10$ | + 14 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. \$ | 4,216 | + 2 | +12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.4 | $+16$ | +3 |


| GLADEWATER (pop. 5,742) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receiptas* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\%$ | 6,198 | $+14$ | ** |
| Bank delits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,246 | + 12 | 6 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 .$. \$ | 5,627 | - 2 | 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 7.0 | + 18 | 8 |
| Employment (area) | 28,550 | ** | - 1 |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 5,650 | +1 | - 8 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 6.0 | - 14 | + 29 |


| GOLDTHWAITE (pop. 1,383) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* | \$ | 2,060 | + 5 | + ${ }^{0}$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) | \$ | 4.878 | + 34 | $+48$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | \$ | 4,749 | +88 | $+50$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. |  | 12.8 | +29 |  |

## GRAHAM (pop. 8,505)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 8,435 | $-17$ | $+20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 38,095 | $+2$ | +56 |
| Bank dehits (thousands)............. \$ | 8,795 | + 2 | 3 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\dagger .$. \$ | 10,418 | + 8 | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 10.8 | ** | 8 |

## GRAND PRALRIE (pop. 30,386)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 25,255 | $+3$ | $+2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 764,365 | +103 | $+47$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)............. \$ | 17,081 | 5 | \#* |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) d. $^{\text {S }}$ | 10,980 |  | + 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 19.2 | - | - 1 |
| Employment (area) | 478,900 | + 1 | + 7 |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 105,525 | ** | $+3$ |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.0 | $-7$ | +5 |

## GRAPEVINE (pop. 2,821)

| Postal receipts* . .................... 8 | 3,656 | - 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 4,081 | + 79 | $+17$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \% | 2,817 | $+1$ | + |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. 禹 | 3,114 | +11 | + 12 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 11,4 | 2 |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change <br>  <br> City and item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

HOUSTON（pop．938，219）

| Retail sales | ＋ $12 \dagger$ | ＋14 | 2. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | ＋ 287 | ＋ 31 | 3 |
| Automotive stores | $+18 \%$ | ＋ 12 | － 7 |
| Drug stores | ＋${ }^{+}$ | ＋ 8 | ＋ 5 |
| Eating and drinking places | ＋9 $\dagger$ | $+11$ | 2 |
| Food stores | ＋9 $\dagger$ | ＋15 | 3 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | ＋ $14 *$ | ＋ 9 | 1 |
| Casoline and service stations． | $+10 \dagger$ | $+7$ | $+$ |
| General merchandise stores． | $\pm 26.1$ | $+20$ | － 1 |
| Liquor atores | ＋12才 | $+10$ | － 4 |
| Lumber，building material， and hardware stores．．． | ＋30¢ | $+17$ |  |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {g }}$ | \＄2，084，865 | ＋ 4 | $+24$ |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | \＄58，414，642 | ＋217 | ＋136 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | \＄3，1．58，082 | $+10$ | ＊＊ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） 4 ．． | ＊1．490．544 | $+$ | $+6$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover．．．．．． | 25.4 |  | 5 |
| Employment（area）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 547，800 | ＋ 1 | ＋ 7 |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 91，400 | ＊＊ | － 4 |
| Fercent unemploycd（area）． | 4.5 | －6 | $+7$ |

## JACKSONVILLE（pop．9，590）

| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | 18，028 | 1 | $+17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildins permits，less federal contracts $\$$ | 45，600 | $-15$ | $-14$ |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 11，478 | ＋ 20 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$⿻$ 小．．$\$$ | 9，316 | － 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 14.7 | ＋18 | －6 |
| JASPRR（pop．4，889） |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | ＋14¢ | $+14$ | $+1$ |
| Automotive stores | － $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text {d }}$ | ＊＊ | ＊ |
| Hay，grain and feed stores |  | $+37$ | ＋ 5 |
| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． \＄ | 7，209 | 6 | $-15$ |
| Building permits，lesis federal contracts \＄ | 22，550 |  | － 42 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 8，543 | $\pm 3$ | － 11 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．．${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 8，438 | － 23 | － 18 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 10.5 | ＊＊ | － 12 |
| JUSTMN（pop．622） |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts＊＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 814 | ＋ 17 | $+26$ |
| Bunk debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 1，720 | ＋ 40 | ＋ 39 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） $4 .$. \＄ | 763 |  | － 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 25.5 | $+45$ | ＋ 29 |

## KATY（pop．1，569）

| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 28，000 | $+$ |  | －38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 1，958 | － | 5 | ＋ 30 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） $4 . . \$$ | 2，303 | － | 2 | ＋ 23 |
| Annuat tate of deposit | 10.1 | － | 2 | ＋ |

Annual rate of deposit tarnover．．．

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15,511 | + | 2 | + |
| 85,200 | + | 5 |  |
| 11,113 | + | +28 |  |
| 12,720 | + | -14 |  |
| 10.6 | + | - | 8 |
| 28,560 |  | - | 5 |
| 5,650 | +1 | - | 1 |
| 5.0 | -14 | +39 |  |

## KILLEEN（pop．23，377）

| Postal receipts＊${ }^{*}$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．． 8 | 34，434 | － 16 | ＋．13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal eontracts \＄ | 779，444 | ＋ 51 | ＋ 33 |
| Bank debits（thoustands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 13，868 | $+9$ | ＋ 17 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）子．．${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 9，814 |  | ＋ 1 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 18.2 | ＋ 8 | ＋ 21 |

KINGSVILLE（pop．25，297）

| Postal receipta＊ | 19，084 | ＋ 38 | ＋ 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，lese federsl contracts \＄ | 115，120 | －85 | ＋60 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 11，935 | $+16$ | 9 |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands） F．$_{\text {a }}^{\text {d }}$ | 10，791 | －23 | － 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnov | 11.5 | ＋ 31 |  |

## KIRBYVILLE（pop．1，660）

| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 8，790 | $+11$ | $+21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {W }}$ | 2，209 | $+12$ | $-12$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．\＄ | 3，831 | ＋ 9 | $+80$ |
| Anoual rate of deposit turnover． | 8.3 | ＋ 8 | $-87$ |
| LA FERIA（pop．3，047） |  |  |  |
| Postsl receipta＊＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\％ | 2，809 | $+6$ | 2 |
| Building permits，less federal contracts \＄ | 2.000 | ＋74 | $-20$ |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1，426 | － 18 | $+1$ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．${ }^{\text {S }}$ \＄ | 1，342 | 5 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 12.4 | － 9 | ＋ 2 |

## LA MARQUE（pop．13，969）

| Postal receipts．${ }^{*}$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\＄}}$ | 10，494 | ＋ 5 | ＋28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts 8 | 140，651 | － 70 | $+8$ |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．\＄ | 8，295 | ＊＊ |  |
|  | 5，859 | $+1$ | － 8 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 17.0 |  | ＋12 |
| Employment（area） | 53.200 |  | ＊＊ |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 10，440 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed（area） | 7.0 | － 11 | $-15$ |

## LAMESA（pop．12，438）

| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | －9才 |  | ＋5 |
| Druce stores | ＋ $3 \dagger$ |  | ＋ 7 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{\text {F }}$ ．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$$ | 12，052 | 4 | $+6$ |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．．．＊ | 17，439 | $-18$ | ＊＊ |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．．\＄ | 19，343 |  | $-11$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover． | 10.4 | － 10 | ＋ 12 |
| Nonagricultural placementa | ББ | － 10 |  |

## LAMPASAS（pop．5，061）

| Podal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．$\$$ | 5，587 | ＋ 4 | $+20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts | 233，097 | ＋216 | ＋252 |
| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．． | 7，040 | ＋ 8 |  |
| End－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger$ ．$\$$ | 6，580 |  | － 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.1 | $+14$ |  |

## LA PORTE（pop．4，512）

| Bank debits（thousands）．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 3，848 | － | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End－of－month deporita（thousands）$\ddagger$ ． $\mathbf{\$}^{\text {S }}$ | 3，806 | － | 1 | ＋ 23 |
|  | 12.1 | － | 2 | －10 |

LAREDO（pop．60，678）

| Postal receipts＊．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | 37，566 | － | ＋ 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits，less federal contracts $\$^{\text {S }}$ | 60，760 | $-58$ | － 37 |
| Bank debits（thousande）．．．．．．．．．．．．．．${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 35，061 |  |  |
| Fnd－of－month deposits（thousands）$\ddagger . . \$$ | 24，925 | －． 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 16.8 | $+11$ |  |
| Employment（area） | 18，600 | ＊＊ |  |
| Manufacturing employment（area）． | 1，255 | ＊ |  |
| Percent unegnployed（area） | 12．61 | 5 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 274 | － 6 | － 55 |



|  |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mrom } \\ \text { from }}}{ }$ |
| City and item | 1963 | Feb 1963 | Mar 1862 |

## LUFKIN (pop. 17,641)

Retail sales

| Automotive stores | - 9才 | - 8 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 24,244 | $-28$ | +9 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 476,900 | +151 | +299 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 29,999 | $+12$ | + 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 26,307 | 3 | 5 |
| Anmual rate of deposit turnover | 13.5 | $+14$ | $+6$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 40 | $-27$ | - 70 |

## McALLEN (pop. 32,728)

| Retail sales | $+14 \%$ | $+12$ | $+7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Automotive stores | - $9 \dagger$ | $+16$ | + 11 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | + 9t |  | + 5 |
| Gasoline and service atations. | $+114$ | - 1 | - I |
| Postal receipts* . .................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 84,046 | 1 | $+16$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 212,375 | $-47$ | -90 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \% | \$0,899 | + 2 | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 26,503 | -3 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.8 | $+4$ | $\rightarrow 6$ |
| Nonagricultural placements | 323 | + 36 |  |

## McCAMEY (pop. 3,375)

| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ $^{\text {d }}$ | 8,035 | $+8$ | $+3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 1,751 | $+$ | $+10$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 1,754 | - | -15 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 11.7 | $+10$ | $+27$ |
| MeGREGOR (pop. 4,642) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contraets \$ | 1,000 | $-67$ | $\cdots 75$ |
| Bank debits (thoutands)............. \$ | 3,580 | $+15$ | $+25$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $4 . . \$$ | 5,648 | $+4$ | $+15$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 7.6 | $+15$ | $+10$ |

McKINNEY (pop. 13,763)

| Postal receipts* ..................... $\%$ | 14,167 | $+38$ | $+40$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 161,891 | $+82$ | $+20$ |
| Bank debits (thousands)..............\$ | 10,407 | +8 | +5 |
| End-os-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 9,471 | 3 | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.0 | $+10$ | + 2 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 92 | $+21$ | - 86 |

## MARSHALL (pop. 23,846)

| Retail salea | $+144$ | $+21$ | - 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $35 \dagger$ | + 35 | 3 |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{4}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \& $^{\text {d }}$ | 28,514 | + 8 | +82 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 56,445 | - 84 | - 68 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 16,770 | - 2 | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 21,952 | - | $-1$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.1 | - 1 | , |
| Nonagriculturad placementa | 148 | $+45$ | - 3 |

## MESQUITE (pop. 27,526)

| Retall sales |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eating and drinking places. | + 10才 | $+41$ | $+11$ |
|  | 15,462 | + 12 | + 56 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 715,431 | - 58 | 8 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 6,689 | 5 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 5,720 | - 2 | - 3 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 13.9 | $+$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 478,900 | +1 | $+$ |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 105.525 | r |  |
| Percent unemployed (aren) | 4.0 | - 7 |  |



| Local Business Conditions <br> Clty and item | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mer} \\ & 1963 \end{aligned}$ | Percent change |  | Local Business Conditions City and item | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mar} \\ \mathrm{M} 968 \end{gathered}$ | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1968 \\ \text { from } \end{gathered}$ $\text { Feb } 1968$ | Mar 1968 Mar 1962 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Fob } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar 1963 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar 1962 } \end{aligned}$ |
| PARIS (pop. 20,977) |  |  |  | PORT ARTHUR (pop. 66,676) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + $14 \ddagger$ | $+86$ | + 14 | Retail salen ....................... | + $14 \dagger$ | + 8 | -9 |
| Apparel stores | $+85 t$ | $+83$ | + 14 | Apparel stores | $+85 t$ | + 21 |  |
| Automotive stores | ${ }^{9 \dagger}$ | + 28 | $+16$ | Automotive stores | - $9 \dagger$ |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + ${ }^{11 \dagger}$ | +107 | $+97$ | Food stores Furniture and household | + 124 |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 22,349 | + 9 | + 18 | appliance stores | + ${ }^{+} \dagger$ | + 13 | - 22 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 117,216 | $-16$ | $-22$ | Gasoline and service stations | + $31 \dagger$ |  | - 19 |
| Bank debits (thousands) | 17,865 | $+11$ | $+4$ | Postal receipts* | 47,828 | - 8 | - 1 |
| End-of-month deposite (thousands) \% . \$ | 15,047 |  | +12 | Building permits, less federal contracts | 343,838 | + 22 | 49 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.8 | + 18. | $-10$ | Bank debits (thousands) | 68,268 |  | $-10$ |
| Nonagricultaral placements | 86 | 28 | 29 | End-or-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 40,870 |  | - 11 |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 18.4 | + 11 | ** |
| PASADENA (pop. 58,737) |  |  |  | Employment (area) .................. | 106,800 |  |  |
| Retall sales Automotive stores | $\begin{aligned} & +14 \dagger \\ & -9 \dagger \end{aligned}$ | +21 +29 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ +\quad 2 \end{array}$ | Manafacturing employment (area). Percent unemployed (area).......... | $\begin{array}{r} 34,880 \\ 7.6 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & +1 \\ & +19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pottal receipts* | 48,116 | + 2 | + 31 | RAYMONDVILLE (pop. 9,385) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, jess federal contracts \$ | 1,100,700 | -62 | - 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Bank dehits (thousands) ............. | 46,948 | $+10$ | + 9 | Postal reec | 5,480 | -84 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thourands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 27,334 | ** | ** | Building permits, less federal contracts | 21, 5, 625 |  | +36 +12 |
| Annual rate of deposilt turnov | 20.6 | + 18 | ** | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 6,625 7,861 |  | +12 $+\quad 9$ |
| PECOS (pop. 12,728) |  |  |  | Annual rate of deposit turnover. Nonagricaltural placements ... | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \\ & 88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.+\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ -58 \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +4 \\ & -73 \end{aligned}$ |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . ............... $\$$ | 10,674 | - 19 | - 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Building permite, less federal contrats \$ | 48.960 | + 80 | $-17$ | ROBSTOWN (pop, 10,266) |  |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 76 | $+17$ |  | Postal receipts* | 8,928 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Building perraits, less federal contracts | 85,240 | + 86 | -94 |
| PHARR (pop. 14,106) |  |  |  | Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 9,074 | + 12 |  |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . ............... | 6,695 | - | $+80$ | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 8,847 | - 5 |  |
| Building permits. less federal contracts \$ | 42,890 | $-18$ | $+60$ |  | 12.0 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 4,458 | +9 +10 | +10 | ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 4,523 | $+10$ | +14 | ROCKDALE (pop. 4,481) |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.4 | $+10$ | ** | Postal receipts* <br> Building permits, less federal contracts | $\begin{array}{r} 4,860 \\ 22,300 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} +15 \\ +1562 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8 \\ -84 \end{array}$ |
| PILOT POINT (pop. 1,254) |  |  |  |  | 4,350 5,980 | +20 $+\quad 5$ | $+$ |
| Bank debits (thousands).............s | 1.294 | + 82 | + 44 | Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 9,0 | + ${ }^{5}$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $/$. . \$ | 1,749 | * | $+15$ |  |  |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 8.9 | + 38 | + 25 | SAN ANGELO (pop. 58,81 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Retail sales | $+14 \dagger$ | $+18$ |  |
| PLAINVIEW (pop. 18,735) |  |  |  | Automotive stores | - $8 \dagger$ | -18 | $-18$ |
| Retail sales |  |  |  | General merchandise stores | + 449 | + 87 |  |
| Automotive stores | - ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | + 16 | + 55 | Jewelry stores $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  | +12 |
| Postal receipts* | 25,921 | + 2 | + 22 | Postal receipts* . .................. | 88,709 588,039 |  | +18 +80 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 154,750 | + 48 | 74 | Suilding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 588,039 | + +80 $+\quad 2$ | +80 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 34,598 | 11 | -8 | Bank debits (thousands) ........... ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 60,528 |  |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 29,643 | $-4$ | + 5 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 47,008 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.7 | - | -10 | Annual rate of deposit turno | $\begin{array}{r} 13.1 \\ 19,850 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Nonagricultural placeme | 250 | $+15$ | $+16$ | Manufacturing employment (area). | 19,850 8,170 | +1 +8 | +1 +7 |
| PLANO (pop. 3,695) |  |  |  | Percent memployed (area) | 5.0 | - 17 |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . ..... 8 | 4,270 | $-22$ | + 58 | SAN JUAN (pop. 4,371) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 219,579 | -68 | $+7$ | Postal receipts* ...................s | 2,610 | $-17$ | + 12 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 2,628 | + 59 | $+19$ | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 6,950 | -48 | - 59 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 2,343 |  | $+21$ | Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 1,827 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 13.7 | $+57$ |  | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$ | 2,048 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Annual rate of deyosit | 10.5 |  |  |
| PORT ISABEL (pop. 3,575) |  |  |  | SAN MARCOS (pop. 12,713) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .8$ | 2,784 |  | $+11$ |  |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ Bank debits (thousands)........... | 8.060 | $-37$ | - 48 | Postal receipts* <br> Building permits, less federal contracta | $\begin{array}{r} 11,925 \\ 113,663 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............\% | 1,224 | +14 | $-27$ | Bank debits (thousands) | $\begin{array}{r} 113,663 \\ 7,741 \end{array}$ | +79 $+\quad 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & +16 \\ & +\quad 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . Annual rate of depostt turnover..... | 1,229 | $+17$ | +22 | End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | $\begin{aligned} & 7,741 \\ & 8,984 \end{aligned}$ | + 2 -5 | $\begin{aligned} & +\quad 6 \\ & +\quad 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| Annual rate of deposst turnover | 12.9 |  | -31 | End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. Annual rate of deposit turnover. | $\begin{array}{r} 8,984 \\ 10.1 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & +10 \\ & \times \quad 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| PORT NECHES (pop. 8,696) |  |  |  | SAN SABA (pop. 2,728) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts** .................\$ | 8,305 | + 17 | + 24 |  | 2,620 | -21 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 158,280 |  | +89 | Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 19,250 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 8,556 | + 11 | +11 | Bank debits (thousands) ............ | 4,067 | +20 | + 18 |
| End-oit-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . | 5,877 | $-8$ | $-4$ | Endof-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. 8 | 4,423 |  |  |
| Annual rata of deposit turnover. | 16.7 | + 20 | $+14$ | Annual rate of deposit turnover..... | 10.9 | $+27$ | + 1 |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | Mar 1963 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mar } \\ \text { from } \\ \\ \hline}}{ }$ Feb 1963 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mar } \\ \text { trom }}}{ } 1968$ Mar 1962 |
| SAN ANTONIO (pop. 587,718) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 17\% | $+14$ |  |
| Apparel stores | + $27 \dagger$ | $+36$ | $+$ |
| Automotive stores | +15\% | + 7 | ** |
| Drug storea | + $1^{\ddagger}$ | $+$ |  |
| Eating and drinklng places | $+9 \dagger$. | + 12 |  |
| Food stores | + $7 \uparrow$ | $+11$ |  |
| Furnitare and household |  |  |  |
| Gasoline and service station | + 12 $\ddagger$ | $+20$ |  |
| General merchandise stores | $+409$ | +22 |  |
| Jewelry stores |  |  | $-11$ |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores... | $+26 \ddagger$ | + 84 |  |
| Nurseries |  | + 78 | $+35$ |
| Stationery stores |  | -12 |  |
| Postal receipts**................... 5 | 851,869 | $+$ | + 15 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 8,708,921 | $+33$ |  |
| Bank debits (thoussands) ............ \$ | 710,100 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $1 . .8$ | 416,579 | $+$ |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 20.6 | $+$ |  |
| Employment (area) | 209,400 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (ares). | 25,100 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area). | 5.5 | - | +12 |
| SEAGOVILLE (pop. 3,745) |  |  |  |
| Rostal reteipts* ....................8 | 3,859 | + 15 | $+70$ |
| Building permite, less federal contracta \& | 86,805 | - 56 | +505 |
| Bank debits (thousands)............ \$ | 2,370 | + 11 | $+27$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 1,554 |  | $+25$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 19.0 |  |  |
| SEGUIN (pop. 14,299) |  |  |  |
| Postal recefipts**................... \$ | 12,063 | + |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 86,835 | + 28 | $+37$ |
| Bank deblts (thousands) ............. 5 | 11,018 | + 11 | $+$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\%$ | 15,034 | $+$ | $+$ |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.9 | + 11 |  |
| SHERMAN (pop. 24,988) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | $+14 \dagger$ | + 12 | - 18 |
| Adparel stores | +. $35 \%$ | $+46$ | $+10$ |
| Automotive stores | $\rightarrow 9 \dagger$ |  | - 28 |
| Furniture and household appliance stores .... | + 9\% |  |  |
| General mexchandise stores | + ${ }_{44}$ | +22 |  |
| Lumber, building materlal, and hardware stores. | $+11 \dagger$ | + 41 | --12 |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 84,525 | ** | +26 |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \$ | 270,147 | -67 | - 77 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............s | 29,598 | $+13$ |  |
| End-of-month deposita (thousands) $\dagger$. $\%$ | 19,305 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 18.4 | $+16$ |  |
| Nonagricutisal placemen | 139 | 15 |  |
| SILSBEE (pop. 6,277) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ....................\$ | 8,074 | ** | + 17 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 51,425 | + 78 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............\$ | 4,275 | + 3 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 5,862 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.1 |  |  |
| SINTON (pop. 6,008) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts**................ \$ | 5,841 | + 2 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 96.525 | +178 | + ${ }^{85}$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............\$ | 9,753 |  | -18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4. . 8 | 4,853 |  | + 4 |
| Annual rate of deposit tornover | 9.0 | +1 | -22 |
| SMITHVILLE (pop. 2,933) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ...................8 | 2,345 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 30,500 |  | - 53 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 1,825 | + 21 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) | 2,819 | ** |  |
| Annual rate of deposit tarnover. | 6.8 | $+21$ |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| city and item | $\underset{1968}{\mathrm{Mar}^{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } 1968 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| SLATON (pop. 6,568) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* | 4,011 |  | +89 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 11,556 | -62 | 86 |
| Eank debits (thousands) ............ | 4,110 |  |  |
| End-ot-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 4,4,816 |  |  |
| Antual rate of deposit turnover. | 9.9 | ** |  |
| Employment (area) | 54,200 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,030 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.9 | - 13 | $-17$ |
| SNYDER (pop. 13,850) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts ...................s | 14,395 | $+26$ |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 87,749 | +155 | $+46$ |
| Bank debita (thousands) ............. | 14,551 | +20 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. . $\%$ | 17,821 | - | $+$ |
| Annaal rate of deposit turnov | 9.6 | + 25 |  |
| SOUTH HOUSTON (pop. 7,253) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 108,947 | - 84 | $-77$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 4,739 | $+$ | $+18$ |
| End-ol-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. | 4,038 |  | + 22 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 14.5 |  |  |
| SULPHUR SPRINGS (pop. 9,160) |  |  |  |
|  | 18,549 | +28 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 279,569 | +239 | +208 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. 8 | 12,331 | $+23$ | + 10 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousends) 4 . \$ | 12,730 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 11.7 | +28 | + 14 |
| SWESTWATER (pop. 13,914) |  |  |  |
| Postal recelpts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 13,672 | +18 | $+11$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracta \% | 181,440 | +1244 | +258 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 11,123 | - |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10,256 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.9 | - |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 84 | $\pm 14$ | -24 |

## TAYLOR (pop. 9,434)

Retail salea

| Automotive stores | - $\mathrm{g}^{4}$ | 4 | $-27$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Postal receipts ${ }^{*}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | 8,904 | +_17 | $\pm 13$ |
| Building permits, legs federal contracts \$ | $\mathbf{3 8 , 9 2 5}$ | $-13$ | 6 |
| Eank debits (thousands)............ ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 7,265 | ** | 2 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \& . $\$$ | 13,888 | ** | +9 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 6.8 | + 5 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 39 | +290 | - 46 |


| TEMPLE (pop. 30,419) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail salea | + 14¢ | + 15 |  |
| Apparel stores | + $85 \dagger$ | + 35 |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores |  |  |  |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | + $11+$ |  |  |
| Postal receipta* . .................. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 40,798 | 5 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \& | 556,270 | + 85 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. | 29,276 | +18 |  |
| Nonagrícultura placementa | 165 |  | - 2 |
| TERRELJ (pop. 13,803) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 8,685 | $+18$ | + 29 |
| Bullding permits, less federal contracts \$ | 110,800 | +291 | +223 |
| Bank debits (thoosands) ............ | 8,347 |  | + 20 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \% . $\%$ | 8,877 |  | + 11 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnove | 11.9 |  | + 3 |
| Nonagricultural placernents | 48 | $+43$ |  |
| TOMBALL (pop. 1,713) |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 18,000 |  |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) .............s | 6,805 |  |  |
| Find-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 6,255 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 13.0 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Business City and item | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 1966 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1963 \\ & \text { from } 1963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar } 1968 \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| TEXARKANA, TEX. (pop. 30,218) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Furniture and household appliance stores |  | $+15$ |  |
| Postal receipts*§ .................... $64,494 \quad+7$ † 26 |  |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contractas̊ . ........................... $\$$ | 456,992 | $+166$ | +248 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ $\$$ | 58,415 | $+15$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) t \$. \$ | 18,862 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnovers | 18.5 | + 19 | + 11 |
| Employment (area) | 81,650 | + |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area) | 6,520 |  | + 42 |
| Fercent unemployed (area) | 6.2 | $-14$ | $-16$ |
| TEXAS CITY (pop. 32,065) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ................... ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 22,858 | - 17 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 955,550 | +232 |  |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \$ | 22,040 |  | - 8 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 14,196 | - | - 15 |
| Annual rate of deposit tuxnover. | 18.4 | - | + |
| Employment (area) | 58,200 | $+1$ | ** |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,440 | + 1 | - 4 |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 7.0 | -11. | $-15$ |
| TYLER (pop. 51,230) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 14¢ | $+$ |  |
| Apparel stores | $+35 \dagger$ | + 80 |  |
| Automotive stores | 9† | + | -12 |
| Postal receipts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 114,038 |  | + 18 |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 841,546 | - | -40 |
| Bank debits (thourands) . ............ \$ | 99,112 | +12 |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger .$. \$ | 69,195 | + |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover. | 17.8 | + |  |
| Employment (area) | 31,850 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 7,490 |  |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.7 | - 10 |  |
| Nonagricultural placements | 752 | + 12. | 24 |
| UVALDE (pop. 10,293) |  |  |  |
| Postal reeeipts* ................... \$ | 8,291 | $-6$ | $+19$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 210,951 | $+385$ | + 70 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............ \% | 11,239 | $-10$ | +18 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | 8,865 | + 1 |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 15.3 | - 6 | + 12 |
| VERNON (pop. 12,141) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales |  |  |  |
| Automotive store | - ${ }^{9 \dagger}$ | $+16$ | - 49 |
| Postal reeeipts** ..................\$ | 8,181 | - 29 | 80 |
| Building permits, leas federal contracts \$ | 84,675 | - | -27 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 13,719 | - | - 12 |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \$. \$ | 18,561 |  |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 8.6 | * | - 10 |
| Nonagricuitural placements | 38 | - | $-37$ |
| VICTORIA (pop. 33,047) |  |  |  |
| Retail sales | + 14 | - 1 | - 10 |
| Apparel stores | $+35 \dagger$ | +26 | $+5$ |
| Automotive stores | $-9 \dagger$ | $-10$ | - 11 |
| Food stores | + $12 \%$ |  | - 8 |
| Lamber, building material, and hardware stores... | $+11 \dagger$ | $+$ | $-30$ |
| Postal receipts* . ................. | 38,807 | - 7 | $+12$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 844,665 | +149 | $+109$ |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. $\$$ | 64,226 |  | $-13$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) 4 : \$ | 78,488 |  | 2 |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 9.8 | $+8$ | - 12 |
| Nonagricaltural placements | 448 | $+20$ | - 25 |
| WEATHERFORD (pop. 9,759) |  |  |  |
|  | 10,936 | - 4 | + 15 |
| Building permite, less federal contracts \$ | 34,000 | $-24$ | ${ }_{7}^{46}$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. $\$$ | 13,677 |  |  |


| Local Business Conditions |  | Percent change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and item | $\underset{1963}{\substack{\text { Mar }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } 1963 \\ \text { From } 1963 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar 1963 } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Mar } 1962 \end{aligned}$ |
| WACO (pop. 103,462r) |  |  |  |
| Itetail sales | +14. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | + 18 |  |
| Adparel stores | + $35 \dagger$ | +12 |  |
| Automotive store | - 89 | $+$ | + |
| General merchandise stores. | + $44 t$ | + 20 |  |
| Lamber, building material, and hardware stores... | + 11 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | -18 |
| Postal recelpts* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ (................ ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 184,249 |  | $+22$ |
| Building permits, less federal contraets \$ | 861,685 |  | -. 73 |
| Bank debits (thousands) ............. \$ | 125,272 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. 8 | 71,459 | - |  |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover...... | 20.5 | $+10$ | - |
| Employment (area) ....i.......... | 50,700 | ** |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 10,250 | ** |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 5.1 | $-18$ |  |
| WAXAHACHIE (pop. 12,749) |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts* ${ }^{\text {a }}$................. ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 30,780 | + 72 |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 32,080 | -82 | - 85 |
| Banls debits (thonsands) .............\% | 9,013 | $+11$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger . . \$$ | 10,821 | $+$ |  |
| Anntal rate of deposit turnover | 11.9 | + | - 9 |
| Nonagricultural placements | 78 | - 1 | - 26 |
| WESLACO (pop. 15,649) |  |  |  |
| Postal receiptst ...................\$ | 10,014 | - 1 | $+$ |
| Building permits, less federal contracts \$ | 64,047 | - 80 | - 46 |
| Bank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 7,612 | - 2 | ** |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) \%. \$ | 7,323 | - ${ }^{1}$ | ** |
| Annual rate of deposit turnover | 12.3 |  |  |
| WICHITA FALLS (pop. 101,724) |  |  |  |
| hetail sales | + 14 $\dagger$ | + 21 |  |
| Apparel stores | + $35 \dagger$ | $+28$ |  |
| Automotive stores | - 9才 | $+21$ | ** |
| Eating and drinking plac | $+10 \uparrow$ | ** | - 7 |
| Furniture and household <br> appliance stores $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .+9 \neq \ldots$ |  |  |  |
| General merchandise stores. | + 44 $\dagger$ | + 23 |  |
| Lumber, building material, |  |  |  |
| Postal receipts ................... ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 124,146 |  |  |
| Building permits, less federal contracts | 1,261,808 | +16 |  |
| Pank debits (thousands) . . . . . . . . . . \$ | 118,997 | $+$ |  |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $\ddagger$. ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ | 98,544 | - 2 | + 2 |
| Amnual rate of deposit turnover...... | 14.2 |  | - 10 |
| Employment (area) ................ | 45,050 |  |  |
| Manufacturing employment (area). | 3,940 | + |  |
| Percent unemployed (area) | 4.8 | - 11 |  |

## LOWER RIO GRANDE VALEEY (pop. 352,086) (Cameron, Willacy, and Hidalgo Counties)

| Retail sales | +14 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $+12$ | + 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apparel stores | + $35{ }^{+}$ | +24 | $+10$ |
| Automotive stores | - 9¢ | $+16$ | + |
| Drug stores | + $3 \dagger$ | $+7$ | $+$ |
| Eating and drinking places. | $+10 \dagger$ | + 2 | $-1$ |
| Food stores | + 12 $\dagger$ | +7 | $+$ |
| Furniture and household appliance stores | $+9 \dagger$ | $-24$ | $+$ |
| Gasoline and service stations. | +11* | $+1$ | $-2$ |
| General merchandise stores. | $+14 \dagger$ | + 24 | . ** |
| Jewelry stores |  | 6 | - 9 |
| Lumber, building material, and hardware stores. | $+11 \dagger$ | $+17$ | $+$ |
| Office, store, and school supply dealers |  | +88 | $+17$ |
| Postal xecelpts*. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | -8 | $+15$ |
| Building permits, lesa federal contracts |  | $-16$ |  |
| Bank debits (thousands)...... |  | $+8$ | $+2$ |
| End-of-month deposits (thousands) $f$. . |  |  | - 8 |
| Annual rate of degosit turnover. | 15.9 | $+$ | $+$ |

## BAROMETERS OF TEXAS BUSINESS

All figures are for Texas unless otherwise indicated. All indexes are based on the average months for 1957-59, except where indicated; all are adjusted for seasonal variation, except annual indexes. Employment estimates are Texas Employment Commission data in cooperation with the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the U. S. Department of Labor. The index of Texas business activity is based on bank debits in 20 cities, adjusted for price level. An asterisk (*) indicates preliminary data subject to revision. Revised data are marked ( r ).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## A SURVEY OF UNIVERSITY BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC RESEARCH PROJECTS, 1959-1963

This publication is an inventory of business and economic research projects undertaken by faculty members and doctoral candidates in 284 colleges and universities in the United States. The listing includes all projects completed at these institutions during the academic years 1959 through 1961 and those scheduled for completion during the academic years 1962 and 1963.

The purpose of this publication is to provide a guide to the ever-increasing volume of business and economic research for use by businessmen, business consultants, government officials, and students. Knowledge of what has been done and what is being done should reduce the duplication of research in fields already covered and should help to channel new research into fields where work needs to be done.

The survey was conducted and prepared for publication by the staff of the Bureau of Business Research at The University of Texas and published by the Small Business Administration.
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[^0]:    *Includes additions, alterations, and repalrs.

