

1-2020

The Impact of Native Language Status on the Frequency of Heart Failure Readmissions

Cooper Blazek

Dave Katzianer, MD

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1



Part of the [Cardiology Commons](#), and the [Translational Medical Research Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Phase 1 by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

SI/CTR Abstract

Word Count: 245

The Impact of Native Language Status on the Frequency of Heart Failure Readmissions

Cooper Blazek, Dave Katzianer, M.D*

Introduction. Heart failure readmissions are costly and lead to poor health outcomes. The efficacy of discharge instructions or other outpatient interventions may be affected by patient's primary language status. The aim of this study is to look at the impact of primary language status on the frequency of heart failure admissions.

Methods. This study was a retrospective chart review of EMRs, on Epic software, at Jefferson academic medical center and community affiliate in Philadelphia, PA between March 2017 and October 2018. Patients were included if they had a principal diagnosis of HF or a diagnosis associated with "acute" heart failure within the first five problems on their discharge problem list. More detailed chart review was performed on ambiguous cases. A patient encounter was classified as 'readmission' for any in-hospital stay within 30-days, whether the patient was placed into 'observation' or 'inpatient' status.

Results. There were 2350 acute HF encounters; consisting of 1524 unique patients. Of those 1524, 1425 listed English as their primary language while 99 listed a different primary language. Of the 2350 encounters, 2209 of those were from English-speakers; 469 were thirty-day

readmissions (0.212 readmission rate). The non-primary English speakers made up 141 of the total 2350 encounters; 29 were thirty-day readmissions (0.206 readmission rate).

Conclusions. Our data, in contrast to other studies, suggests language barriers may not significantly influence HF readmission rates. Results may be regionally specific. Future studies are needed to further delineate the impact of primary language status on frequency of health care admissions.