

Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Phase 1 Class of 2022

1-2020

Evaluating the Migration Rates in Percutaneous Spinal Cord Stimulation Trials

William Hirsch

Thomas Jefferson University, william.hirsch2@jefferson.edu

Nikolaos Mouchtouris, MD

Thomas Jefferson University, nikolaos.mouchtouris@jefferson.edu

Ashwini Sharan MD

Thomas Jefferson University, Ashwini.Sharan@jefferson.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1

Part of the Neurology Commons, Surgery Commons, and the Translational Medical Research

Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation

Hirsch, William; Mouchtouris, MD, Nikolaos; and Sharan MD, Ashwini, "Evaluating the Migration Rates in Percutaneous Spinal Cord Stimulation Trials" (2020). Phase 1. Paper 55. https://jdc.jefferson.edu/si_ctr_2022_phase1/55

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Phase 1 by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Evaluating the Migration Rates in Percutaneous Spinal Cord Stimulation Trials
William Hirsch, Nikolaos Mouchtouris, MD, and Ashwini Sharan, MD
Introduction:

Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) provides symptom reduction in patients with chronic low back pain. The most common complication in SCS is percutaneous lead migration from initial placement site. It is our goal to determine whether using skin anchors during trial implantation reduces SCS trial lead migration rates compared to historical controls.

Methods:

197 patients who underwent SCS trial placement at Thomas Jefferson University

Hospital between 2015 and 2018 were considered for this study. Complete data including

device impedance measurements and pre and post trial x-rays was collected on 12 historical
control patients and 19 patients with leads secured using an anchor.

Results:

The mean degree of lead migration was not statistically significantly different between the anchor group and control group in the right lead (0.71 mm (95% CI -6.24, 7.66, p=0.84) and the left lead (-0.85 mm (95% CI -7.70, 6.00, p=0.80). Additionally, there was no statistical difference in device impedance from the first day of the trial to the trial removal date between the anchor group and control group (-47.35 Ohms (95% CI -181.48, 86.78, p=0.47).

Discussion:

There was no significant reduction in lead migration or device impedance measurement in patients who underwent trial SCS with leads secured with an anchor compared to historical controls. This raises the guestion of whether the anchoring technique successfully reduces lead

migration and emphasizes the importance of obtaining pre and post trial x-rays to evaluate lead
migration.