

On generalized G_2 -structures and T -duality

Viviana del Barco and Lino Grama

Abstract. This is a short note on generalized G_2 -structures obtained as a consequence of a T -dual construction given in [6]. Given classical G_2 -structure on certain seven dimensional manifolds, either closed or co-closed, we obtain integrable generalized G_2 -structures which are no longer a usual one, and with non-zero three form in general. In particular we obtain manifolds admitting closed generalized G_2 -structures not admitting closed (usual) G_2 -structures.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 53C30, 22E25, 17B01, 81T30, 53D18 .

Keywords. T -duality, generalized G_2 -structure, solvable Lie algebra.

1. Introduction

Generalized G_2 -structures were introduced by Witt [11] with the intention of generalizing the classical concept of G_2 -structure defined by a 3-form φ [9]. A generalized G_2 -structure on a 7-dimensional differentiable manifold M is a reduction from the structure group $\mathbb{R}^* \times Spin(7,7)$ of the vector bundle $TM \oplus T^*M$ to $G_2 \times G_2$. This reduction determines a generalized metric and a 2-form on M , which split $TM \oplus T^*M$ into submodules with positive and negative definite metrics. Therefore there is associated a pair of spinors Ψ_{\pm} in the irreducible spin representation $\Delta = \mathbb{R}^8$ of $Spin(7)$. The $G_2 \times G_2$ invariant tensor $\Psi_+ \otimes \Psi_- \in \Delta \otimes \Delta$ can be considered as a differential form on M , so it induces elements $(\Psi_+ \otimes \Psi_-)^{even/odd}$ corresponding to the even and odd degrees [11] . Up to a B -field transformation and a dilaton we have (see also [8])

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= (\Psi_+ \otimes \Psi_-)^{even} = c - c \star \varphi + s \star (\alpha \wedge \star \varphi) - s \alpha \wedge \varphi - s \star \alpha, \\ \hat{\rho} &= (\Psi_+ \otimes \Psi_-)^{odd} = s \alpha - c \varphi - s \star (\alpha \wedge \varphi) - s \alpha \wedge \star \varphi + c \frac{1}{7} \varphi \wedge \star \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

V. del Barco supported by FAPESP grants 2015/23896-5 and 2017/13725-4.
L. Grama supported by FAPESP grant 2016/22755-1 and 2012/18780-0.

where α is a unit 1-form, φ is a 3-form and the parameters s, c correspond, respectively, to the sine and cosine of the angle between the spinors Ψ_{\pm} ; in particular $s^2 + c^2 = 1$.

Let H be a 3-form on M . A generalized G_2 -structure defined by the spinors $\rho, \hat{\rho}$ as above, is called *strongly integrable* with respect to H if

$$d_H \rho = d_H \hat{\rho} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $d_H \cdot = d \cdot + H \wedge$ is the twisted operator of d . The generalized G_2 -structure is called *weakly integrable of odd (resp. even) type* if

$$d_H \hat{\rho} = \lambda \rho, \quad (\text{resp. } d_H \rho = \lambda \hat{\rho}). \quad (2)$$

for some non-zero constant λ . The real number λ is called the Killing number.

A usual G_2 -structure φ on a 7-manifold induces a generalized G_2 -structure on M . In this case $\Psi_+ = \Psi_-$ and $s = 0$, therefore the even and odd spinors are given by

$$\rho = 1 - \star\varphi, \quad \hat{\rho} = -\varphi + dV. \quad (3)$$

When H is zero, we see that the generalized structure is strongly integrable (1) if and only if the usual G_2 is parallel, that is, φ is closed and co-closed. Instead, weak integrability of odd type cannot occur for usual G_2 -structures, independently of H (see [11, Page 288]). If we let H to be any closed 3-form, the only compact strongly integrable generalized G_2 -structures are the usual parallel G_2 -structures [11]. Fino and Tomassini gave the first example of a compact strongly integrable generalized G_2 -structure for H non-closed [7].

Making an analogy with the classical case we define a generalized G_2 -structure with spinors ρ and $\hat{\rho}$ to be a closed (resp. co-closed) structure if

$$d_H \hat{\rho} = 0, \quad (\text{resp. } d_H \rho = 0). \quad (4)$$

Given a closed G_2 -structure, the generalized structure associated to φ is closed with respect to any 3-form H such that $H \wedge \varphi = 0$. To the contrary, if φ is co-closed, then the generalized structure is co-closed only for $H = 0$. In fact by (3), $d_H \rho = H - H \wedge \star\varphi - d \star\varphi = 0$ if and only if $H = 0$.

Witt himself was interested in the relation of generalized G_2 -structures defined on T -dual manifolds. Recall that T -duality is a concept introduced by Bouwknegt, Evslin, Hannabuss and Mathai [2], [3] for manifolds having the structures of principal torus bundles. According to [2], if H and H^\vee are closed 3-forms on T -dual manifolds M and M^\vee are T -dual then there exists an isomorphism τ between the differential complexes $(\Omega_{T^k}^\bullet(M), d_H)$ and $(\Omega_{T^k}^\bullet(M^\vee), d_{H^\vee})$. Such isomorphism τ satisfies

$$d_{H^\vee} \tau(\rho) = \tau(d_H \rho).$$

Therefore the integrability conditions are preserved by T -duality, thus generalized G_2 -structures on M integrable with respect to H , induce generalized G_2 -structures on M^\vee , integrable with respect to H^\vee . And vice-versa.

The aim of this short note is to contribute with further examples of integrable generalized G_2 -structures following the construction of T -duals the authors developed in [6], together with Leonardo Soriani.

We start with a usual G_2 -structure on a seven dimensional manifold seen as a generalized G_2 with respect to certain three forms H . In the dual manifold to the given one we obtain an integrable generalized G_2 which is no longer a usual one, and with non-zero three form in general. Our previous work focuses on invariant structures on compact quotients of solvable Lie groups by discrete subgroups. So this framework maintains here and we develop the examples at the Lie algebra level.

In [6] we indicated how duality would contribute with the study of symplectic structures by dualizing generalized complex structures. A similar spirit is pursued here, but in this case we work directly with the spinors defining the generalized structures. In this manner we are able to present manifolds admitting closed generalized G_2 -structures not admitting closed (usual) G_2 -structures. This note was motivated by the papers of Witt, Fino and Tomassini [7, 11].

Acknowledgements. The authors want to thank the Scientific Committee and the Organizers of the Conference on “String Geometries and Dualities” (Australia-Brazil meeting) held at IMPA, December 12 - 16, 2016 for providing an enjoyable, interesting and productive ambiance.

2. Lie algebras and infinitesimal duality


We briefly recall the concept of duality of Lie algebras [6].

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra together with a 3-form $H \in \Lambda^3 \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then H is viewed as an alternating map $H : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We assume that H is closed with respect to the differential d of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{a} be an abelian ideal of \mathfrak{g} , we say that the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H)$ is admissible if $H(x, y, \cdot) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{a}$. Notice that when $\dim \mathfrak{a} = 1$ then any closed 3-form gives an admissible triple.

In this case, denote \mathfrak{n} the quotient Lie algebra and $q : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ and $q^\vee : \mathfrak{g}^\vee \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ the quotient maps. The subspace \mathfrak{c} of $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^\vee$

$$\mathfrak{c} = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^\vee : q(x) = q^\vee(y)\}$$

is a Lie subalgebra and the following diagram is commutative



Here p and p^\vee are the projections over the first and second component, respectively. A 2-form $F \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{c}^*$ is said to be *non-degenerate in the fibers* if for all $x \in \mathfrak{k} = \{(x, 0) \in \mathfrak{c} : x \in \mathfrak{a}\}$, there exists some $y \in \mathfrak{k}^\vee = \{(0, y) \in \mathfrak{c} : y \in \mathfrak{a}^\vee\}$ such that $F(x, y) \neq 0$. Such an F exists if and only if $\dim \mathfrak{a} = \dim \mathfrak{a}^\vee$.

Definition. Two admissible triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee, \mathfrak{a}^\vee, H^\vee)$ are said to be dual if $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathfrak{n} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\vee/\mathfrak{a}^\vee$ and there exist a 2-form F in \mathfrak{c} which is non-degenerate in the fibers such that $p^*H - p^{\vee*}H^\vee = dF$.

Remark. This definition of duality is weaker than the original one of T -duality in [2, 3]. It is suitable for the algebraic context this work is restricted to, but in general it does not imply that the corresponding nilmanifolds are T -duals in the sense of [2, 3] or even in the sense of [4], as pointed out in [6].

The dual of a given admissible triple always exists and its construction is described in the following result.

Theorem 2.1. [6] *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H)$ be an admissible triple with \mathfrak{a} a central ideal and let $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{a} . Define*

- $\Psi^\vee = (\iota_{x_1}H, \dots, \iota_{x_m}H)$,
- $\mathfrak{g}^\vee = (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_{\Psi^\vee}$ and
- $H^\vee = \sum_{k=1}^m z^k \wedge dx^k + \delta$ where $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{a}^\vee and δ is the basic component of H .

Then $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee, \mathfrak{a}^\vee, H^\vee)$ is an admissible triple and is dual to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H)$.

Conversely, if $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee, \mathfrak{a}^\vee, H^\vee)$ is dual to $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H)$, then there exist a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ of \mathfrak{a} and a basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ of \mathfrak{a}^\vee such that the formulas above hold.

Here $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a})_{\Psi^\vee}$ denotes the central extension of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ by the closed 2-form Ψ^\vee (see [6] for details).

G_2 and $SU(3)$ -structures on Lie algebras were treated by several authors. In our context, the main references are the work of Conti, Fernandez, Fino, Manero, Raffero and Tomassini (see [5, 7, 10] and references therein). A G_2 -structure on a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a non-degenerate 3-form φ such that is some adapted basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ of the dual \mathfrak{g}^* of \mathfrak{g} , it is written as

$$\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{236} - e^{146} - e^{245}.$$

To continue, we consider Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} endowed with G_2 -structures and with non-trivial center. We consider central ideals in \mathfrak{g} and their dimensions is what we call the dimension of the fiber, having in mind a possible torus bundle structure on the Lie group associated to \mathfrak{g} . After fixing a closed 3-form giving integrability of the usual G_2 -structure, we compute the dual triple and the generalized G_2 -structure arising on it.

2.1. One dimensional fiber

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra with non-trivial center and let \mathfrak{a} be a one dimensional central ideal. Fix a generator $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ be an orthogonal decomposition with respect to the metric induced by φ . Let φ be a G_2 -structure on \mathfrak{g} , then if α is the dual element to x we have

$$\varphi = \alpha \wedge \omega + \psi_+, \text{ with } \iota_x \omega = 0 \text{ and } \iota_x \psi_+ = 0.$$

Up to a normalization of coefficients, the forms (ω, ψ) define an $SU(3)$ -structure on $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$ [1, 5].

The spinors associated to the generalized G_2 -structure induced by φ are given by (3):

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - \psi_- \wedge \alpha, \quad \hat{\rho} = -\alpha \wedge \omega - \psi_+ + dV. \quad (5)$$

Any closed 3-form H in \mathfrak{g} makes $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H)$ an admissible triple, since $\dim \mathfrak{a} = 1$. According to Theorem 2.1, the dual triple is $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee, \mathfrak{a}^\vee, H^\vee)$ where \mathfrak{g}^\vee is the central extension of \mathfrak{n} by $\iota_x H$. Explicitly, $\mathfrak{g}^\vee = \mathbb{R}z \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ and the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g}^\vee satisfies

$$[u, v] = [u, v]_{\mathfrak{n}} + (\iota_x H)(u, v)z \quad \text{and} \quad [z, u] = 0, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathfrak{n}.$$

Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the 1-form such that $\tilde{\alpha}(z) = 1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(\mathfrak{n}) = 0$, then the closed 3-form on \mathfrak{g}^\vee is $H^\vee = \tilde{\alpha} \wedge d\alpha + \delta$ where δ the basic part of H . Note that $H \neq 0$ if and only if $d\alpha \neq 0$ which means that $\mathbb{R}x$ is not a direct factor of \mathfrak{g} . The 2-form $F \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{c}^*$ giving the duality on the correspondence space is given by $F = \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \alpha$.

The dual spinors ρ^\vee and $\hat{\rho}^\vee$ are given by (see [4])

$$\rho^\vee = \iota_x e^F \rho, \quad \hat{\rho}^\vee = \iota_x e^F \hat{\rho}.$$

Explicitly, we obtain

$$\rho^\vee = -\tilde{\alpha} + \psi_- + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha} \wedge \omega^2, \quad \hat{\rho}^\vee = -\omega + \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \psi_+ + \frac{1}{6}\omega^3. \quad (6)$$

Remark. The spinors above correspond to a generalized G_2 -structure associated to the $SU(3)$ -structure (ω, ψ_+) , when one imposes the angle of the spinors to be $\pi/2$. These structures were considered in [7].

Remark. Notice that the dual of a usual G_2 -structure is never a usual G_2 -structure, but a pure generalized G_2 .

Example 2.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra spanned by $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$ and satisfying the bracket relations

$$[e_1, e_7] = -e_3, \quad [e_1, e_5] = -e_4, \quad [e_2, e_7] = -e_4, \quad [e_1, e_3] = -e_6,$$

and zero in the other cases. The Lie differential in the dual basis is $de^3 = e^{17}$, $de^4 = e^{15} + e^{27}$ and $de^6 = e^{13}$. Consider the central ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}e_6$.

The 3-form $\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{236} - e^{146} - e^{245}$ is a closed G_2 -structure [10, Example 1.5]. Notice that $\varphi = e^6 \wedge \omega + \psi_+$ with $\omega = -e^{14} - e^{23} - e^{57}$ and $\psi_+ = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{135} - e^{245}$. The pair (ω, ψ_+) define an $SU(3)$ -structure on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$

We consider all possible closed 3-forms H such that $d_H \hat{\rho} = 0$. Canonical computations give that H is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} H = & a_1(e^{134} + e^{267} + e^{123} + e^{357}) + a_3(e^{136} + e^{137} + e^{145} - e^{247}) \\ & + a_2(e^{126} - e^{237}) + a_4(e^{156} - e^{357}) + a_5(e^{157} + e^{134} + e^{267} + e^{357}) \\ & + a_6(e^{167} - e^{257}) + a_7(e^{367} - e^{457}) + a_8(e^{146} + e^{236}) \\ & + a_9(e^{347} + e^{567}) + a_{10}(e^{124} + e^{257}) + a_{11}(e^{125} + e^{137}) \\ & + a_{12}e^{127} + a_{13}e^{135} + a_{14}e^{245} + a_{15}(e^{145} + e^{235}), \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For any H as in (7), the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H)$ is a compatible triple and $d_H \hat{\rho} = 0$. Thus $\rho, \hat{\rho}$ define a closed generalized G_2 -structure with respect to H . Now we describe the dual triple.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^\vee has a dual basis $\{f^1, \dots, f^7\}$ such that the Lie algebra differential is

$$\begin{aligned} df^3 &= f^{17}, \\ df^4 &= f^{15} + f^{27}, \\ df^6 &= \iota_{e_6} H = -a_6 f^{17} - (a_1 + a_5) f^{27} - a_7 f^{37} - a_9 f^{57} \\ &\quad + a_2 f^{12} + a_3 f^{13} + a_4 f^{15} + a_8 (f^{14} + f^{23}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we identify e_i with f_i for $i = 1, \dots, 5, 7$, taking into account that $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\vee/\mathfrak{a}^\vee$. The dual 3-form is

$$\begin{aligned} H^\vee &= f^{136} + a_1 (f^{134} + f^{123} + f^{357}) - a_2 f^{237} + a_3 (f^{137} - f^{247} + f^{145}) \\ &\quad - a_4 f^{357} + a_5 (f^{157} + f^{134} + f^{357}) - a_6 f^{257} - a_7 f^{457} + a_9 f^{347} \\ &\quad + a_{10} (f^{124} + f^{257}) + a_{11} (f^{125} + f^{137}) + a_{15} (f^{145} + f^{235}) \\ &\quad + a_{12} f^{127} + a_{13} f^{135} + a_{14} f^{245}. \end{aligned}$$

and the dual spinor $\hat{\rho}^\vee$ is, by (6),

$$\hat{\rho}^\vee = -\omega + f^6 \wedge \psi_+ = f^{14} + f^{23} + f^{57} + f^{2456} + f^{1267} + f^{3467} - f^{1356}.$$

One can check directly that $d_{H^\vee} \hat{\rho}^\vee = 0$.

Depending on the coefficients a_i of H in (7), we reach non-isomorphic Lie algebras. For instance, if $H = e^{136} + e^{137} - e^{247} + e^{145}$ ($a_3 = 1$ while the others are zero), then $\mathfrak{g}^\vee \simeq \mathfrak{g}$. Meanwhile \mathfrak{g}^\vee has one dimensional center for $H = e^{146} + e^{236}$. If $H = 0$ then the only non-trivial Lie brackets of \mathfrak{g}^\vee are $[f_1, f_7] = -f_3$ and $[f_1, f_5] = -f_4 = [f_2, f_7]$.

When H is as in (7) and satisfies $a_4 \cdot a_7 = 0$ and $a_3^2 + a_8^2 > 0$ then $(\iota_{e_6} \sigma)^3 = 0$ for any closed 3-form in the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^\vee , so these Lie algebras do not admit closed G_2 -structures, but they admit closed generalized G_2 -structures, as we showed above.

Example 2.3. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of dimension 7 with non-zero Lie brackets $[e_2, e_5] = -e_6$, $[e_4, e_5] = e_7$ admits closed G_2 -structures [5], such as, for instance, $\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} + e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{236} - e^{245}$. Consider the central ideal \mathfrak{a} spanned by e_7 and let H be the 3-form

$$\begin{aligned} H &= a_1 (e^{124} - e^{456}) + a_2 (e^{125} - e^{345}) - a_3 (e^{134} - e^{156}) + a_4 e^{135} + \\ &\quad a_5 (e^{145} - e^{235}) + a_6 (e^{145} + e^{246}) + a_7 (e^{234} - e^{256}) + a_8 e^{245}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where a_i are real coefficients. Thus H is closed and satisfies $d_H \hat{\rho} = d_H(-\varphi + dV) = -d\varphi - H \wedge \varphi = 0$. Therefore, we have a closed generalized G_2 -structure with respect to H .

Since $\iota_{e_7} H = 0$, the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^\vee is defined by the only non-zero bracket relation $[f_2, f_5] = -f_6$ (as before we identify e_i with f_i for

$i = 1, \dots, 6$). The dual 3-form is

$$\begin{aligned} H^\vee = & -f^{457} + a_1(f^{124} - f^{456}) + a_2(f^{125} - f^{345}) - a_3(f^{134} - f^{156}) + \\ & a_4 f^{135} + a_5(f^{145} - f^{235}) + a_6(f^{145} + f^{246}) + a_7(f^{234} - f^{256}) \\ & + a_8 f^{245}. \end{aligned}$$

The duality preserving the integrability, implies that $d_{H^\vee} \hat{\rho}^\vee = 0$. Thus we re-obtained Example 5.1. of Fino and Tomassini in [7].

2.2. Fiber of dimension 2

When \mathfrak{a} has dimension greater than one, there is no global expressions as (5) for the dual spinors, so their computations need to be done by hand in each case.

Example 2.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra such that the Lie algebra differential on a dual basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^7\}$ is

$$de^1 = e^{35} + e^{46}, \quad de^3 = e^{67}, \quad de^4 = e^{57}, \quad de^5 = e^{47}, \quad de^6 = e^{37}, \quad de^2 = de^7 = 0.$$

This Lie algebra is solvable and unimodular, and it admits a co-closed G_2 form. Indeed,

$$\varphi = e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} - e^{136} - e^{145} - e^{235} + e^{246}$$

satisfies

$$\star\varphi = e^{1234} + e^{1256} + e^{3456} + e^{1357} - e^{1467} - e^{2367} - e^{2457}$$

and $d\star\varphi = 0$ (see [10, Example 2.6]).

Denote \mathfrak{h} the Lie algebra with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_6\}$ and unique non-zero differential $de^1 = e^{35} + e^{46}$. Then \mathfrak{g} is the one dimensional extension of \mathfrak{h} by the derivation D defined as $De_{3+i} = e_{6-i}$, $i = 0, \dots, 3$.

The spinors associated to this G_2 -structure are given in (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= 1 - (e^{1234} + e^{1256} + e^{3456} + e^{1357} - e^{1467} - e^{2367} - e^{2457}) \\ \hat{\rho} &= -(e^{127} + e^{347} + e^{567} - e^{136} - e^{145} - e^{235} + e^{246}) + e^{1234567}. \end{aligned}$$

The triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}, H = 0)$ with $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a compatible triple. And the generalized G_2 -structure is co-closed with respect to $H = 0$ (recall that co-closed G_2 -structures are co-closed generalized G_2 -structures only for $H = 0$). We shall compute the dual triple and structure.

Since $\iota_{e_2} H = \iota_{e_1} H = 0$, the dual Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^\vee is the trivial extension of $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{a}$, thus it has a dual basis $\{f^1, \dots, f^7\}$ such that the differentials account to

$$df^3 = f^{67}, \quad df^4 = f^{57}, \quad df^5 = f^{47}, \quad df^6 = f^{37}, \quad df^1 = df^2 = df^7 = 0.$$

One has that \mathfrak{g}^\vee is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus (\mathbb{R} \times_D \mathbb{R}^4)$, with D as above, induced to \mathbb{R}^4 . The dual 3-form is $H^\vee = f^{135} + f^{146}$.

The dual spinor ρ^\vee is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^\vee &= -(f^{34} + f^{56} + f^{12}) + f^1(f^{367} + f^{457}) + f^2(f^{357} - f^{467}) + f^{123456} \\ &= -(f^{12} + f^{34} + f^{56}) + (f^{136} + f^{145} + f^{235} - f^{246})f^7 + f^{123456} \end{aligned}$$

One can verify that $d_H \rho^\vee = 0$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^\vee also admits a co-closed usual G_2 -structure since it is of the form $\mathbb{R}f_7 \rtimes_D \mathbb{R}^6$ for the derivation given above and $\omega = f^{12} + f^{34} + f^{56}$, $\psi_+ = f^{135} - f^{146} - f^{236} - f^{246}$ define a half flat structure on \mathbb{R}^6 . So Proposition 2.1. in [10] give co-closed G_2 -structures on \mathfrak{g}^\vee .

References

- [1] V. Apostolov and S. Salamon. Kähler reduction of metrics with holonomy G_2 . *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 246(1):43–61, 2004.
- [2] P. Bouwknegt, J. Evslin, and V. Mathai. T-duality: topology change from H-flux. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 249(2):383–415, 2004.
- [3] P. Bouwknegt, K. Hannabuss, and V. Mathai. T-duality for principal torus bundles. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 3:018, 2004.
- [4] G. R. Cavalcanti and M. Gualtieri. Generalized complex geometry and t-duality. *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, “A celebration of the mathematical legacy of Raoul Bott”, 50:341–365, 2011.
- [5] D. Conti and M. Fernández. Nilmanifolds with a calibrated G_2 -structure. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, 29(4):493–506, 2011.
- [6] V. del Barco, L. Grama, and L. Soriani. T-duality on nilmanifolds. arXiv 1703.07497, preprint. 2017.
- [7] A. Fino and A. Tomassini. Generalized g_2 -manifolds and $SU(3)$ -structures. *Int. J. Math.*, 19(10):1147–1165, 2008.
- [8] S. Hu and Z. Hu. On geometry of the (generalized) G_2 -manifolds. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 30(20):33, 2015.
- [9] D. Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [10] V. Manero. Construction of Lie algebras with special G_2 -structures. arXiv 1507.07352, preprint. 2015.
- [11] F. Witt. Generalised G_2 -manifolds. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 265(2):275–303, 2006.

Viviana del Barco

e-mail: delbarc@ime.unicamp.br

UNR-CONICET (Argentina) and IMECC-UNICAMP (Brazil)

Lino Grama

e-mail: linograma@gmail.com

IMECC-UNICAMP