

OBLIQUE PROJECTIONS AND FRAMES

J. ANTEZANA, G. CORACH, M. RUIZ AND D. STOJANOFF

ABSTRACT. We characterize those frames on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} which can be represented as the image of an orthonormal basis by an oblique projection defined on an extension \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H} . We show that all frames with infinite excess, and frame bounds $1 \leq A \leq B$, are of this type. This gives a generalization of a result of Han and Larson which only holds for normalized tight frames.

Jorge Antezana, Mariano Ruiz and Demetrio Stojanoff

Depto. de Matemática, FCE-UNLP, La Plata, Argentina and IAM-CONICET
e-mail: antezana@mate.unlp.edu.ar, mruiz@mate.unlp.edu.ar
and demetrio@mate.unlp.edu.ar

Gustavo Corach (corresponding author)

Depto. de Matemática, FI-UBA and IAM-CONICET,
Saavedra 15, Piso 3 (1083),
Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina.
Phone: (54) (11) 4954 - 6781
Fax: (54) (11) 4954 - 6782
e-mail: gcorach@fi.uba.ar

2000 AMS Subject Classifications: Primary 42C15, 47A05.

Key words and phrases. frames, oblique projections.

Partially supported by CONICET (PIP 2083/00), UBACYT I030, UNLP (11 X350) and AN-PCYT (PICT03-9521) .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a (separable) Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in \mathcal{H} . Then \mathcal{F} is called a *frame* if there exist numbers $A, B > 0$ such that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(1) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2$$

The optimal constants A, B for equation (1) are called the *frame bounds* for \mathcal{F} . The frame \mathcal{F} is called *tight* if $A = B$, and *normalized tight* if $A = B = 1$.

Let \mathcal{K} be another Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K} . It is known that, if \mathcal{F} is a frame in \mathcal{H} , there exists a unique surjective bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $Te_n = f_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper, the triple $(T, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a *preframe operator* for \mathcal{F} . The *excess* of \mathcal{F} is the cardinal number $e(\mathcal{F}) = \dim N(T)$, which does not depend on the chosen preframe operator.

A well-known theorem of Han and Larson [4] states that a sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{H} is a normalized tight frame if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in an extension $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that $f_n = P_{\mathcal{H}} e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $P_{\mathcal{H}} \in L(\mathcal{K})$ is the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H} , i.e., the triple $(P_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ is a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} .

The main results of this paper extend their theorem as follows: A frame $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{H} with frame bounds $1 \leq A \leq B$ comes from an orthonormal system, not necessarily complete, in an extension $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$, through a not necessarily orthogonal projection from \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} . If the excess of \mathcal{F} is infinite, the orthonormal system can be chosen to be complete.

For frames with finite excess and frame bounds $1 \leq A \leq B$, there exist an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of an extension $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$, and an oblique projection $Q \in L(\mathcal{K})$ onto \mathcal{H} such that $Qe_n = f_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if the rank of $TT^* - I$ is at most $e(\mathcal{F})$, where $(T, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$ is any preframe operator for \mathcal{F} with domain \mathcal{H} . These results complete previous work by Casazza, Han and Larson [2].

In section 2 we collect some preliminary facts. In section 3, we present the mentioned extensions of the theorem of Han and Larson [4].

We thank Ole Christensen for his useful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space and $L(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . For an operator $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$, we denote by $R(A)$ the range of A and by $N(A)$ the nullspace of A .

Definition 2.1. The *reduced minimum modulus* $\gamma(T)$ of an operator $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$(2) \quad \gamma(T) = \inf\{\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1, x \in N(T)^\perp\}$$

It is well known that $\gamma(T) = \gamma(T^*) = \gamma(T^*T)^{1/2}$. Also, it can be shown that an operator T has closed range if and only if $\gamma(T) > 0$. In this case, $\gamma(T) = \|T^\dagger\|^{-1}$. \triangle

Frames. We introduce some basic facts about frames in Hilbert spaces. For complete descriptions of frame theory and applications, the reader is referred to the survey by Heil and Walnut [5] or the books by Young [7] and Christensen [3].

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in \mathcal{H} .

- i. \mathcal{F} is called a *frame* if there exist numbers $A, B > 0$ such that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(3) \quad A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2$$

- ii. The optimal constants A, B for equation (3) are called the *frame bounds* for \mathcal{F} .
 iii. The frame \mathcal{F} is called *tight* if $A = B$, and *normalized tight* if $A = B = 1$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a frame in \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H}' be separable Hilbert spaces such that \mathcal{H} is a closed subspace of \mathcal{H}' . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K} . From equation (3), it follows that there exists a unique $T \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}')$ such that

$$T(\varphi_n) = f_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We shall say that the triple $(T, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *preframe* (or *synthesis*) operator for \mathcal{F} . Another consequence of equation (3) is that $R(T) = \mathcal{H}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}$, then T is surjective.

Remark 2.4. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a frame in \mathcal{H} and $(T, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} .

- i. The frame bounds of \mathcal{F} can be computed in terms of the preframe operator:

$$(4) \quad A = \gamma(T)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad B = \|T\|^2.$$

- ii. The adjoint $T^* \in L(\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{K})$ of T , is given by $T^*(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle x, f_n \rangle \varphi_n$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

It is called an *analysis operator* for \mathcal{F} .

- iii. If $(T_1, \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ is another preframe operator for \mathcal{F} , then there exists a unique unitary operator $U \in L(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K})$ which sends \mathcal{B}_1 onto \mathcal{B} , and therefore, $T_1 = TU$. It follows that $S = TT^* = T_1T_1^*$, then $Sf = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, f_n \rangle f_n$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$. If we consider S as acting on \mathcal{H} , it follows from (3) that $A.I \leq S \leq B.I$, so that S is a positive invertible operator on \mathcal{H} . Moreover, the optimal constants A, B for equation (3) are

$$B = \|S\| = \rho(S) \quad \text{and} \quad A = \gamma(S) = \|S^{-1}\|^{-1} = \min\{\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(S)\}.$$

S is called the *frame operator* of \mathcal{F} . Note that, by the previous observation, the frame operator does not depend on the chosen preframe operator. Hence, \mathcal{F} is tight if and only if $S = AI$ and normalized tight if and only if $S = I$. \triangle

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a frame in \mathcal{H} and let $(T, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ be a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} . The cardinal number

$$e(\mathcal{F}) = \dim N(T)$$

is called the excess of the frame. Note that, by Remark 2.4, $e(\mathcal{F})$ does not depend on the chosen preframe operator. In particular,

$$e(\mathcal{F}) = \dim \left\{ (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2 : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n f_n = 0 \right\},$$

which is the nullity of the preframe operator induced by the canonical basis of ℓ^2 . Holub [6] and Balan, Casazza, Heil and Landau [1] proved that

$$e(\mathcal{F}) = \sup \{ |I| : I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \{f_n\}_{n \notin I} \text{ is still a frame on } \mathcal{H} \}.$$

This characterization justifies the name ‘‘excess of \mathcal{F} ’’. The frame \mathcal{F} is called a *Riesz basis* if $e(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, i.e., if \mathcal{F} is the image of an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K} by an isomorphism $T \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$.

3. PROJECTIONS AND FRAMES

Frames and projections are related in a variety of ways. For instance, since a frame \mathcal{F} for \mathcal{H} admits a preframe operator $(T, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ and $T \in L(\mathcal{K})$ is surjective with range \mathcal{H} , any right inverse U of T provides a projection $UT \in L(\mathcal{K})$. In this paper we study another type of relationship between frames and projections. Namely, we consider an extension of a theorem by Han and Larson [4]. Along this section we use the fact that every subspace \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{H} induces a representation of elements of $L(\mathcal{H})$ by 2×2 block matrices, that is, we shall identify each $A \in L(\mathcal{H})$ with a 2×2 -matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{S} \\ \mathcal{S}^\perp \end{matrix}$, which we write to emphasize the decomposition which induces it. For example, it is easy to see that $Q \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is an oblique projection with $R(Q) = \mathcal{S}$ if and only if Q has matrix form $Q = \begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{S} \\ \mathcal{S}^\perp \end{matrix}$, for some $X \in L(\mathcal{S}^\perp, \mathcal{S})$.

In [4], Han and Larson characterized normalized tight frames as follows.

Theorem 3.1 (Han and Larson). *A sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a normalized tight frame if and only if there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{K} such that the triple $(P_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ is a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} , i.e., $f_n = P_{\mathcal{H}} e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In what follows we shall study the following question: given a frame $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{H} , do there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$, an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{K} and an oblique (i.e., not necessarily orthogonal) projection $Q \in L(\mathcal{K})$ such that $Qe_n = f_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$? In other words, does it exist a preframe operator $(Q, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ for \mathcal{F} such that Q is idempotent?

This is not true in general. Indeed, there exist at least two obstructions:

- i. Every oblique projection Q satisfies that $\gamma(Q) \geq 1$, because

$$QQ^* \geq QP_{R(Q)}Q^* = P_{R(Q)}, \quad R(QQ^*) = R(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(P) = 1$$

for every orthogonal projection P . Therefore, if there exists a representation of a frame \mathcal{F} as above, then its frame bounds must satisfy $1 \leq A \leq B$. This obstruction is not essential, because it can be fixed by multiplying the frame by a convenient positive constant.

- ii. Even if the frame bounds of \mathcal{F} satisfy $1 \leq A \leq B$, the representation may not exist if $e(\mathcal{F})$ is finite. For example, suppose that \mathcal{F} is a Riesz basis and $f_n = Qe_n$ for an oblique projection $Q \in L(\mathcal{K})$ and an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$. Then, since $(Q, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ is a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} , it holds $\dim N(Q) = e(\mathcal{F}) = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$, $Q = I$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}$. This means that orthonormal bases are the only Riesz bases which admit such a representation.

The paper [2] has a section addressed to this problem. There is, however, an inaccuracy in the statement of its Theorem 3.2. Indeed, it states that every frame for \mathcal{H} can be obtained as the image of an orthonormal basis of an extension of \mathcal{H} by an oblique projection. The next theorems complete, in some sense, the results of Casazza, Han and Larson [2], section 3.

In the rest of this section we shall show that the mentioned representation can be obtained for frames which satisfy the frame bounds restrictions and which have infinite excess. For frames with finite excess (and good frame bounds), the representation can be obtained by considering orthonormal systems instead of orthonormal basis. We also give equivalent conditions on a frame with finite excess in order to admit such a representation with an orthonormal basis.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a frame in a infinite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with frame bounds $1 \leq A \leq B$. Denote $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Then there exist an oblique projection $Q \in L(\mathcal{K})$ with $R(Q) = \mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$ and an orthonormal system $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{K} , such that*

$$f_n \oplus 0 = Q b_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, if $e(\mathcal{F}) = \infty$, then the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be supposed to be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K} , i.e., $(Q, \mathcal{K}, \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} .

Proof. Let $(T, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B})$ be a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} , with $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$. By hypothesis, $TT^* \geq AI \geq I$. Denote by $X = (TT^* - I)^{1/2} \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$. We shall identify \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$, i.e., we identify $f_n \sim f_n \oplus 0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $\tilde{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be defined by $\tilde{T}x = Tx \oplus 0$. Then $\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^* \sim \begin{pmatrix} TT^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L(\mathcal{K})$. Let

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}} & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H} \\ \mathcal{H} \end{matrix} \in L(\mathcal{K}).$$

Then it is clear that Q is a projection on \mathcal{K} with $R(Q) = \mathcal{H} \oplus 0$. Moreover,

$$QQ^* = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}} + XX^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{T}\tilde{T}^*,$$

i.e., $|Q^*| = |\tilde{T}^*|$.

Consider the (right) polar decomposition $T = |T^*|V$; $V \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is a partial isometry with initial space $N(T)^\perp$ and final space $R(T) = \mathcal{H}$. Define $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by

$$(5) \quad Ux = VP_{N(T)^\perp}x \oplus P_{N(T)}x, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then, U is an isometry and $\tilde{T} = |\tilde{T}^*|U$. The partial isometry of the right polar decomposition of Q extends to an unitary operator W on \mathcal{K} , because $\dim(N(Q)) = \dim(R(Q)^\perp)$. Moreover, $Q = |Q^*|W$. Then

$$\tilde{T} = |\tilde{T}^*|U = |Q^*|U = Q W^*U.$$

Therefore, if $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,

$$f_n = Te_n \sim Te_n \oplus 0 = \tilde{T}e_n = Q(W^*Ue_n) = Qb_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{W^*Ue_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is clearly an orthonormal system in \mathcal{K} .

Suppose now that $e(\mathcal{F}) = \dim N(T) = \infty$. We shall show that the isometry U defined in equation (5) can be changed to an unitary operator from \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{K} , still satisfying that $\tilde{T} = |\tilde{T}^*|U$. In order to do this, take

$$Ux = VP_{N(T)^\perp}x \oplus Y P_{N(T)}x, \quad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $Y \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is a partial isometry with initial space $N(T)$ and final space \mathcal{H} . It follows that U maps isometrically $N(T)^\perp$ onto $\mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$ and $N(T)$ onto $\{0\} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Then the sequence $b_n = W^*Ue_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, turns to be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K} . \square

Remark 3.3. Using the notations of Theorem 3.2, if $\mathcal{K}_0 = \overline{\text{span}} \{b_n\}$ and $Q_0 = Q|_{\mathcal{K}_0}$, then it might be possible to consider orthonormal basis instead of orthonormal systems. Nevertheless, Theorem 3.4 below shows that this argument fails, in general: in fact, \mathcal{H} is not necessarily contained in \mathcal{K}_0 , in which case Q_0 is not a projection. \triangle

In case that $e(\mathcal{F}) < \infty$, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a frame in \mathcal{H} with frame bounds $1 \leq A \leq B$. Suppose that $e(\mathcal{F}) < \infty$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exist a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} such that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, a projection $Q \in L(\mathcal{K})$, and an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B} = \{b_n\}_n$ of \mathcal{K} such that $f_n = Qb_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (2) *If $(T, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$ is a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} , then $\dim R(TT^* - I_{\mathcal{H}}) \leq e(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Recall that the frame operator TT^* of \mathcal{F} does not depend on the preframe $(T, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$ chosen. So that we shall consider a preframe $(T, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$ for some orthonormal basis \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{H} . If the first condition holds, then $(Q, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{B})$ is another preframe operator for \mathcal{F} . Therefore $e(\mathcal{F}) = \dim N(T) = \dim N(Q) = \dim(\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H})$. We shall identify $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$ with $N(T)$, and so \mathcal{K} with $\mathcal{H} \oplus N(T)$. We shall also identify \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$, in particular, $f_n \sim f_n \oplus 0 \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $\tilde{T} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, given by $\tilde{T}x = Tx \oplus 0$. Then $\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^* \sim \begin{pmatrix} TT^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H} \\ N(T) \end{matrix} \in L(\mathcal{K})$. Let $X \in L(N(T), \mathcal{H})$

such that $Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}} & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H} \\ N(T) \end{matrix}$. Since \tilde{T} and Q are preframe operators for \mathcal{F} , then

$$\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^* = QQ^* = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}} + XX^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So that, $TT^* - I_{\mathcal{H}} = XX^*$, while $\dim R(XX^*) \leq \dim R(X) \leq \dim N(T)$.

Conversely, if $\dim R(TT^* - I) \leq \dim N(T)$, we consider, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, $X = (TT^* - I)^{1/2} \in L(\mathcal{H})^+$. Note that $\dim N(X)^\perp = \dim R(X) \leq \dim N(T)$. Then there exists a partial isometry $V : N(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with $VV^* = P_{N(X)^\perp}$. As before, we use the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus N(T)$, and the operator $\tilde{T} \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, given by $\tilde{T}x = Tx \oplus 0$. Let $Y = XV \in L(N(T), \mathcal{H})$, and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\mathcal{H}} & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{H} \\ N(T) \end{matrix} \in L(\mathcal{K}).$$

Then $Q^2 = Q$, $R(Q) = \mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\}$ and $|Q^*| = |\tilde{T}^*|$, because $YY^* = XVV^*X^* = XP_{N(X)^\perp}X^* = XX^*$, so that

$$QQ^* = \begin{pmatrix} I + YY^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I + XX^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{T}\tilde{T}^*.$$

The rest of the proof follows the same lines as the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.2, but taking $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus N(T)$. Note that, in this case, the isometry U defined in equation (5) becomes a unitary operator from \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{K} . Then, if W is the unitary operator considered in the first part of the proof of Theorem 3.2, the sequence $b_n = W^*Ue_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, turns to be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{K} . \square

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.1 can be also generalized by replacing the orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ by a Riesz basis in \mathcal{K} ; in this way, we get arbitrary (i.e., not necessarily normalized tight) frames. This result was proved by Han and Larson [4], Proposition 1.6, but with a different formulation. The translation to this setting is the following:

A sequence $\mathcal{F} = \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a frame if and only if there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a Riesz basis $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{K} such that $f_n = P_{\mathcal{H}}x_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, the Riesz basis can be chosen in such a way that it has the same lower frame bound as \mathcal{F} , and the sequence $(I - P_{\mathcal{H}})x_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a normalized tight frame for $\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$.

We give an alternative proof for completeness: Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a frame. Let $(T, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B})$ be a preframe operator for \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus N(T)$. We shall identify \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{H} \oplus \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, writing $x \sim x \oplus 0$, for $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $V : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{K}$ be defined by:

$$Vx = Tx \oplus P_{N(T)}x = TP_{N(T)^\perp}x \oplus P_{N(T)}x, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $T(N(T)^\perp) = R(T) = \mathcal{H}$, it follows that V is bounded, linear and bijective. Hence, if $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $x_n = Ve_n$ is a Riesz basis in \mathcal{K} . Note that $f_n \sim f_n \oplus 0 = P_{\mathcal{H}}x_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 3.1, the sequence $(I - P_{\mathcal{H}})x_n = P_{N(T)}e_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a normalized tight frame for $N(T) = \mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}$. Finally, $\gamma(V) = \gamma(T)$ and $\|V\| = \max\{1, \|T\|\}$. Therefore, the optimal lower frame bound of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the same as those of \mathcal{F} . The converse is clear. \triangle

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balan, P. Casazza, C. Heil and Z. Landau, Deficits and excesses of frames, *Advances in Computational Mathematics* 18 (2003) 93-116.
- [2] P.G. Casazza, D. Han and D.R.Larson, Frames for Banach spaces, *Contemp. Math.* 247 (1999), 149-182.
- [3] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [4] D. Han and D.R.Larson, Frames, bases and group representations, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2000), no. 697.
- [5] C. E. Heil and D.F. Walnut, Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms, *SIAM Rev.* 31 (1989), 628-666.
- [6] J. R. Holub, Pre-frame operators, Besselian frames and near-Riesz bases in Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 122 (1994) 779-785.
- [7] R. M. Young, *An introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series* (revised first edition) Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.