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#### Abstract

An $A_{1}-A_{\infty}$ estimate improving a previous result in [22] for $\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right]$ with $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and $b \in$ BMO is obtained. Also a new result in terms of the $A_{\infty}$ constant and the one supremum $A_{q}-A_{\infty}^{\exp }$ constant is proved, providing a counterpart for commutators of the result obained in [19]. Both of the preceding results rely upon a sparse domination result in terms of bilinear forms which is established using techniques from [13].


## 1. Introduction

We recall that a weight $w$, namely a non negative locally integrable function, belongs to $A_{p}$ if

$$
[w]_{A_{p}}=\sup _{Q}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\right)^{p-1}<\infty \quad 1<p<\infty
$$

or in the case $p=1$ if

$$
[w]_{A_{1}}=\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{esssup}} \frac{M w(x)}{w(x)}<\infty
$$

Given $\Omega \in L\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Omega=0$ we define the rough singular integral $T_{\Omega}$ by

$$
T_{\Omega} f(x)=p v \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\Omega\left(y^{\prime}\right)}{|y|^{n}} f(x-y) d y
$$

where $y^{\prime}=\frac{y}{|y|}$.
During the last years an increasing interest in the study of the sharp dependence on the $A_{p}$ constants of rough singular integrals has appeared. In particular it was established in [10] that

$$
\left\|T_{\Omega}\right\|_{L^{2}(w)} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}[w]_{A_{2}}^{2}
$$

[^0]Recently the following sparse domination (very recently reproved in [13] for the case $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ ) was established in [3].

Theorem. For all $1<p<\infty, f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $g \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} T_{\Omega}(f) g d x\right| \leq c_{n} C_{T} s^{\prime} \sup _{\mathcal{S}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left(\int_{Q}|f|\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|g|^{s}\right)^{1 / s} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\mathcal{S}$ is a sparse family of a dyadic lattice $\mathcal{D}$,

$$
\begin{cases}1<s<\infty & \text { if } \Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right) \\ q^{\prime} \leq s<\infty & \text { if } \Omega \in L^{q, 1} \log L\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
C_{T}= \begin{cases}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}, & \text { if } \Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right) \\ \|\Omega\|_{L^{q, 1}} \log L\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right) & \text { if } \Omega \in L^{q, 1} \log L\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

The preceding sparse domination was widely exploited in [20]. Among other estimates, the following $A_{1}-A_{\infty}$ estimate was established in that paper (see Lemma 2.2 in Section 2 for the definition of the $A_{\infty}$ constant)

$$
\left\|T_{\Omega}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}[w]_{A_{1}}^{\frac{1}{p}}[w]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

The preceding inequality is an improvement of the following estimate established earlier in [22]

$$
\left\|T_{\Omega}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}[w]_{A_{1}}^{\frac{1}{p}}[w]_{A_{\infty}}^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
$$

Now we recall that the commutator of an operator $T$ and a symbol $b$ is defined as

$$
[b, T] f(x)=T(b f)(x)-b(x) T f(x)
$$

In the case of $T$ being a Calderón-Zygmund operator this operator was introduced by R.R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss in [2]. They established that $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ is a sufficient condition for $[b, T]$ to be bounded on $L^{p}$ for every $1<p<\infty$ and also a converse result in terms of the Riesz transforms, namely that the boundedness of $\left[b, R_{j}\right]$ on $L^{p}$ for some $1<p<\infty$ and for every Riesz transform implies that $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$.

In [22] the following estimate for commutators of rough singular integrals and a symbol $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ was obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right]\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}[w]_{A_{1}}^{\frac{1}{p}}[w]_{A_{\infty}}^{2+\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the main goals of this paper is to improve the dependence on the $[w]_{A_{\infty}}$ constant in (1.2). Our result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $T_{\Omega}$ be a rough homogeneous singular integral with $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ and let $b \in$ BMO. For every weight $w$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right]\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M_{r}(w)\right) \rightarrow L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{3} p^{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>1$. Assuming additionally that $w \in A_{\infty}$

$$
\left\|\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right]\right\|_{L^{p}(M(w)) \rightarrow L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{3} p^{2}[w]_{A_{\infty}}^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
$$

and, furthermore, if $w \in A_{1}$, then

$$
\left\|\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right]\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{3} p^{2}[w]_{A_{1}}^{\frac{1}{p}}[w]_{A_{\infty}}^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} .
$$

Very recently a conjecture left open by K. Moen and A. Lerner in [18] was solved by K. Li in [19]. Actually he obtained a more general result.

Theorem. Let T be a Calderón-Zygmund operator or a rough singular integral with $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Then for every $1<q<p<\infty$

$$
\|T\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n, p, q} c_{T}[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}
$$

where

$$
[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}=\sup _{Q}\langle w\rangle_{Q}\left\langle w^{\frac{1}{1-q}}\right\rangle_{Q}^{\frac{q-1}{p}} \exp \left(\left\langle\log w^{-1}\right\rangle_{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
$$

and
$c_{T}= \begin{cases}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} & \text { if } T=T_{\Omega} \text { with } \Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right), \\ c_{K}+\|T\|_{L^{2}}+\|\omega\|_{\text {Dini }} & \text { if } T \text { is an } \omega \text {-Calderón-Zygmund operator } .\end{cases}$
This result can be regarded as an improvement of the linear dependence on the $A_{q}$ constant established in [20], and that, as it was stated there, follows from the linear dependence on the $A_{1}$ constant by [5, Corollary 4.3]. Such an improvement stems from the fact that

$$
[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}} \leq c_{n}[w]_{A_{q}}
$$

In the next Theorem we provide a counterpart of the preceding result for commutators.

Theorem 1.2. Let $T$ be a Calderón-Zygmund operator or a rough singular integral with $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Then for every $1<q<p<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|[b, T]\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq c_{n, p, q} c_{T}[w]_{A_{\infty}}[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We would like to recall the following known estimates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|[b, T]\|_{L^{p}(w)} & \leq c[w]_{A_{q}}^{2} \\
\left\|\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right]\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} & \leq c[w]_{A_{q}}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first of them can be derived as a consequence of the quadratic dependence on the $A_{1}$ constant of $[b, T]$ obtained in [24] combined with [5, Corollary 4.3], while the second one was established in [22]. In both cases we improve the dependence on the $A_{q}$ constant since we are able to prove a mixed $A_{\infty}-A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}$ bound and

$$
\max \left\{[w]_{A_{\infty}},[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}\right\} \leq c_{n}[w]_{A_{q}} .
$$

In order to establish Theorems 1.2 and 1.1 we will rely upon a suitable sparse domination result for $\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right]$. This result will be a natural bilinear counterpart of the result obtained in [17] for $[b, T]$ with $T$ a Calderón-Zygmund operator and also of (1.1). The precise statement is the following.

Theorem 1.3. Let $T_{\Omega}$ be a rough homogeneous singular integral with $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Then, for every compactly supported $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ every $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$ and $1<p<\infty$, there exist $3^{n}$ dyadic lattices $\mathcal{D}_{j}$ and $3^{n}$ sparse families $\mathcal{S}_{j} \subset \mathcal{D}_{j}$ such that

$$
\left|\left\langle\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right] f, g\right\rangle\right| \leq C_{n} p^{\prime}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, p}(b, f, g)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, p}^{*}(b, f, g)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}(b, f, g)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{r, Q}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) g\right\rangle_{s, Q}|Q| \\
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}^{*}(b, f, g)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.4. In the preceding Theorem and throughout the rest of this work $\langle h\rangle_{\alpha, Q}^{w}=\left(\frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_{Q}|h|^{\alpha} w d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. We may drop $\alpha$ in the case $\alpha=1$ and $w$ when we consider the Lebesgue measure.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We devote Section 2 to gather some results and definitions that will be needed to prove the main theorems. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. We end this work providing a proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we gather some definitions and results that will be necessary for the proofs of the main theorems.

We start borrowing some definitions and a basic lemma from [14]. Given a cube $Q_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ the family of all dyadic
cubes with respect to $Q_{0}$, namely, the cubes obtained subdividing repeatedly $Q_{0}$ and each of its descendants into $2^{n}$ subcubes of the same sidelength.

We say that $\mathcal{D}$ is a dyadic lattice if it is a collection of cubes of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that:
(1) If $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\mathcal{D}\left(Q_{0}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}$.
(2) For every pair of cubes $Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists a common ancestor, namely, we can find $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$.
(3) For every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists a cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $K \subset Q$.

Lemma 2.1 ( $3^{n}$ dyadic lattices lemma). Given a dyadic lattice $\mathcal{D}$, there exist $3^{n}$ dyadic lattices $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{3^{n}}$ such that

$$
\{3 Q: Q \in \mathcal{D}\}=\cup_{j=1}^{3^{n}} \mathcal{D}_{j}
$$

and for each cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ and $j=1, \ldots, 3^{n}$, there exists a unique cube $R \in \mathcal{D}_{j}$ with sidelength $l(R)=3 l(Q)$ containing $Q$.

Now we gather some results that will be needed to prove Theorem 1.1. The first of them is the so called Reverse Hölder inequality that was proved in [8] (see also [9]).

Lemma 2.2. For every $w \in A_{\infty}$, namely for every weight such that

$$
[w]_{A_{\infty}}=\sup _{Q} \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_{Q} M\left(w \chi_{Q}\right)<\infty
$$

the following estimate holds

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w^{r_{w}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r_{w}}} \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} w\right)
$$

where $r_{w}=1+\frac{1}{\tau_{n}[w]_{A_{\infty}}}$ and $\tau_{n}>0$ is a constant independent of $w$.
At this point we would like to recall that if $w \in A_{p} \subseteq A_{\infty}$ then $[w]_{A_{\infty}} \leq c_{n}[w]_{A_{p}}$. This fact makes mixed $A_{\infty}-A_{p}$ bounds interesting, since they provide a sharper dependence than $A_{p}$ bounds. We also need to borrow the following lemma from [22].

Lemma 2.3. Let $w \in A_{\infty}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dyadic lattice and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be an $\eta$-sparse family. Let $\Psi$ be a Young function. Given a measurable function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ define

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{S}} f(x):=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\|f\|_{\Psi(L), Q} \chi_{Q}(x) .
$$

Then we have

$$
\left\|\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{S}} f\right\|_{L^{1}(w)} \leq \frac{4}{\eta}[w]_{A_{\infty}}\left\|M_{\Psi(L)} f\right\|_{L^{1}(w)} .
$$

We recall that $\Psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a Young function if it is a convex, increasing function such that $\Psi(0)=0$. We define the local Orlicz norm associated to a Young function $\Psi$ as

$$
\|f\|_{\Psi(L)(\mu), E}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_{E} \Psi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) d \mu \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $E$ is a set of finite measure. We note that in the case $\Psi(t)=t^{r}$ we recover the standard $L^{r}$ local norm. We shall drop $\mu$ from the notation in the case of the Lebesgue measure and write $w$ instead of $w d x$ for measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Using the preceding definition of local norm, we can define the maximal function associated to a Young function $\Psi$ in the natural way,

$$
M_{\Psi(L)} f(x)=\sup _{x \in Q}\|f\|_{\Psi(L)(\mu), Q}
$$

We end this section recalling two basic estimates that work for doubling measures. The first of them is a particular case of the generalized Hölder inequality and the second can be derived, for example, from $[1$, Lemma 4.1].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_{Q}\left|f-f_{Q}\|g \mid d \mu \leq\| f-f_{Q}\left\|_{\exp L(\mu), Q}\right\| g \|_{L \log L(\mu), Q}\right.  \tag{2.1}\\
& \leq c_{n}\|f\|_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mu)}\|g\|_{L \log L(\mu), Q} \quad \text { if } \mu=w d x \text { with } w \in A_{\infty} . \\
& \|f\|_{L \log L(\mu), Q} \leq c_{n} r^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_{Q} w^{r} d \mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} r>1 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For a detailed account of local Orlicz norms and maximal functions associated to Young functions we encourage the reader to consult references such as [25], [23], [21] or [4].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

The proof of Theorem 1.3 relies upon techniques recently developed by A. K. Lerner in [13]. Given an operator $T$ we define the bilinear operator $\mathcal{M}_{T}$ by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{T}(f, g)(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T\left(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 3 Q}\right)\right||g| d y
$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ containing $x$. Our first result provides a sparse domination principle based on that bilinear operator.

Theorem 3.1. Let $1 \leq q \leq r$ and $s \geq 1$. Assume that $T$ is a sublinear operator of weak type $(q, q)$, and $\mathcal{M}_{T}$ maps $L^{r} \times L^{s}$ into $L^{\nu, \infty}$, where $\frac{1}{\nu}=\frac{1}{r}+\frac{1}{s}$. Then, for every compactly supported $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and every $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$, there exist $3^{n}$ dyadic lattices $\mathcal{D}_{j}$ and $3^{n}$ sparse families $\mathcal{S}_{j} \subset \mathcal{D}_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\langle[b, T] f, g\rangle| \leq K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}(b, f, g)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}^{*}(b, f, g)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}(b, f, g)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{r, Q}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) g\right\rangle_{s, Q}|Q| \\
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}^{*}(b, f, g)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
K=C_{n}\left(\|T\|_{L^{q} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}}+\left\|\mathcal{M}_{T}\right\|_{L^{r} \times L^{s} \rightarrow L^{\nu, \infty}}\right) .
$$

It is possible to relax the condition imposed on $b$ for this result and the subsequent ones, but we restrict ourselves to this choice for the sake of clarity.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 2.1, there exist $3^{n}$ dyadic lattices $\mathcal{D}_{j}$ such that for every $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there is a cube $R=R_{Q} \in \mathcal{D}_{j}$ for some $j$, for which $3 Q \subset R_{Q}$ and $\left|R_{Q}\right| \leq 9^{n}|Q|$.

Let us fix a cube $Q_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Now we can define a local analogue of $\mathcal{M}_{T}$ by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{T, Q_{0}}(f, g)(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x, Q \subset Q_{0}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0} \backslash 3 Q}\right)\right||g| d y .
$$

We define the sets $E_{i} i=1, \ldots 4$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\left\{x \in Q_{0}:\left|T\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)(x)\right|>A_{1}\langle f\rangle_{q, 3 Q_{0}}\right\}, \\
& E_{2}=\left\{x \in Q_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{T, Q_{0}}\left(f, g\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right)\right)(x)>A_{2}\langle f\rangle_{r, 3 Q_{0}}\left\langle g\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right)\right\rangle_{s, Q_{0}}\right\}, \\
& E_{3}=\left\{x \in Q_{0}:\left|T\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right)\right)(x)\right|>A_{3}\left\langle f\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right)\right\rangle_{q, 3 Q_{0}}\right\}, \\
& E_{4}=\left\{x \in Q_{0}: \mathcal{M}_{T, Q_{0}}\left(f\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right), g\right)(x)>A_{4}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, 3 Q_{0}}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q_{0}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can choose $A_{i}$ in such a way that

$$
\max \left(\left|E_{1}\right|,\left|E_{2}\right|,\left|E_{3}\right|,\left|E_{4}\right|\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+5}}\left|Q_{0}\right|
$$

Actually it suffices to take

$$
A_{1}, A_{3}=\left(c_{n}\right)^{1 / q}\|T\|_{L^{q} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}, A_{4}=c_{n, r, \nu}\left\|\mathcal{M}_{T}\right\|_{L^{r} \times L^{s} \rightarrow L^{\nu, \infty}}
$$

with $c_{n}, c_{n, r, \nu}$ large enough. For this choice of $E_{i}$ the set $\Omega=\cup_{i} E_{i}$ satisfies $|\Omega| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\left|Q_{0}\right|$.

Now applying Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to the function $\chi_{\Omega}$ on $Q_{0}$ at height $\lambda=\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ we obtain pairwise disjoint cubes $P_{j} \in \mathcal{D}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left|P_{j}\right| \leq\left|P_{j} \cap E\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|P_{j}\right|
$$

and also $\left|\Omega \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}\right|=0$. From the properties of the cubes it readily follows that $\sum_{j}\left|P_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|Q_{0}\right|$ and $P_{j} \cap \Omega^{c} \neq \emptyset$.

Now, since $\left|\Omega \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}\right|=0$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{0} \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}}\left|T\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right|\left|\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) g\right| \leq A_{1}\langle f\rangle_{q, 3 Q_{0}} \int_{Q_{0}}\left|g\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right)\right| \\
& \int_{Q_{0} \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}}\left|T\left(\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g| \leq A_{3}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f\right\rangle_{q, 3 Q_{0}} \int_{Q_{0}}|g| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, since $P_{j} \cap \Omega^{c} \neq \emptyset$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{P_{j}}\left|T\left(\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f \chi_{3 Q_{0} \backslash 3 P_{j}}\right)\right||g| \leq A_{2}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, 3 Q_{0}}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q_{0}}\left|Q_{0}\right| \\
& \int_{P_{j}}\left|T\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0} \backslash 3 P_{j}}\right)\right|\left|\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) g\right| \leq A_{4}\langle f\rangle_{r, 3 Q_{0}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) g\right\rangle_{s, Q_{0}}\left|Q_{0}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our next step is to observe that for any arbitrary pairwise disjoint cubes $P_{j} \in \mathcal{D}\left(Q_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{0}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g| \\
& =\int_{Q_{0} \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g|+\sum_{j} \int_{P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g| \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{0} \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g|+\sum_{j} \int_{P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0} \backslash 3 P_{j}}\right)\right||g| \\
& +\sum_{j} \int_{P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 P_{j}}\right)\right||g| .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first two terms, using that $[b, T] f=[b-c, T] f$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{0} \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g|+\sum_{j} \int_{P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0} \backslash 3 P_{j}}\right)\right||g| \\
& \leq \int_{Q_{0} \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}}\left|b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right|\left|T\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right) \| g\right|+\sum_{j} \int_{P_{j}}\left|b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right|\left|T\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0} \backslash 3 P_{j}}\right)\right||g| \\
& +\int_{Q_{0} \backslash \cup_{j} P_{j}}\left|T\left(\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g|+\sum_{j} \int_{P_{j}}\left|T\left(\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f \chi_{3 Q_{0} \backslash 3 P_{j}}\right)\right||g| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, combining all the preceding estimates with Hölder's inequality (here we take into account $q \leq r$ and $s \geq 1$ ) and calling $A=\sum_{i} A_{i}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q_{0}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g| \leq \sum_{j} \int_{P_{j}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 P_{j}}\right)\right||g| \\
& +A\left(\langle f\rangle_{r, 3 Q_{0}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) g\right\rangle_{s, Q_{0}}\left|Q_{0}\right|+\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q_{0}}}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, 3 Q_{0}}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q_{0}}\left|Q_{0}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{j}\left|P_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|Q_{0}\right|$, iterating the above estimate, we obtain that there is a $\frac{1}{2}$-sparse family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{0}}\left|[b, T]\left(f \chi_{3 Q_{0}}\right)\right||g| & \leq A \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q}}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, 3 Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}|Q|  \tag{3.2}\\
& +A \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}}\langle f\rangle_{r, 3 Q}\left\langle g\left(b-b_{R_{Q}}\right)\right\rangle_{s, Q}|Q|
\end{align*}
$$

To end the proof, take now a partition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by cubes $R_{j}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset 3 R_{j}$ for each $j$. One way to do that is the following. We take a cube $Q_{0}$ such that supp $(f) \subset Q_{0}$ and cover $3 Q_{0} \backslash Q_{0}$ by $3^{n}-1$ congruent cubes $R_{j}$. Each of them satisfies $Q_{0} \subset 3 R_{j}$. We continue covering in the same way $9 Q_{0} \backslash 3 Q_{0}$, and so on. The family of the resulting cubes of this process, including $Q_{0}$, satisfies the desired property.

Having such a partition, apply (3.2) to each $R_{j}$. We obtain a $\frac{1}{2}$-sparse family $\mathcal{F}_{j} \subset \mathcal{D}\left(R_{j}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{R_{j}}|[b, T](f)||g| & \leq A \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q}}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, 3 Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}|Q| \\
& +A \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{r, 3 Q}\left\langle g\left(b-b_{R_{Q}}\right)\right\rangle_{s, Q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, setting $\mathcal{F}=\cup_{j} \mathcal{F}_{j}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|[b, T](f)||g| & \leq A \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R_{Q}}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, 3 Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}|Q| \\
& +A \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}}\langle f\rangle_{r, 3 Q}\left\langle g\left(b-b_{R_{Q}}\right)\right\rangle_{s, Q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now since $3 Q \subset R_{Q}$ and $\left|R_{Q}\right| \leq 3^{n}|3 Q|$, clearly $\langle h\rangle_{\alpha, 3 Q} \leq c_{n}\langle h\rangle_{\alpha, R_{Q}}$. Further, setting $\mathcal{S}_{j}=\left\{R_{Q} \in \mathcal{D}_{j}: Q \in \mathcal{F}\right\}$, and using that $\mathcal{F}$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-sparse, we obtain that each family $\mathcal{S}_{j}$ is $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 9^{n}}$-sparse. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|[b, T](f)||g| & \leq c_{n} A \sum_{j=1}^{3^{n}} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{R}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, R}\langle g\rangle_{s, R}|R| \\
& +c_{n} A \sum_{j=1}^{3^{n}} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{r, R}\left\langle g\left(b-b_{R}\right)\right\rangle_{s, R}|R|
\end{aligned}
$$

and (3.1) holds.
Given $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{p, T}$ by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{p, T} f(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|T\left(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 3 Q}\right)\right|^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p}
$$

(in the case $p=\infty$ we call $\mathcal{M}_{p, T} f(x)=M_{T} f(x)$ ).
Our next step is to provide a suitable version of [13, Corollary 3.2] for the commutator. The result is the following.

Corollary 3.2. Let $1 \leq q \leq r$ and $s \geq 1$. Assume that $T$ is a sublinear operator of weak type $(q, q)$, and $\mathcal{M}_{s^{\prime}, T}$ is of weak type $(r, r)$. Then, for every compactly supported $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and every $b \in \mathrm{BMO}$, there exist $3^{n}$ dyadic lattices $\mathcal{D}_{j}$ and $3^{n}$ sparse families $\mathcal{S}_{j} \subset \mathcal{D}_{j}$ such that

$$
|\langle[b, T] f, g\rangle| \leq K \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}(b, f, g)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}^{*}(b, f, g)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}(b, f, g)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{r, Q}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) g\right\rangle_{s, Q}|Q| \\
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, r, s}^{*}(b, f, g)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) f\right\rangle_{r, Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
K=C_{n}\left(\|T\|_{L^{q} \rightarrow L^{q, \infty}}+\left\|\mathcal{M}_{s^{\prime}, T}\right\|_{L^{r} \rightarrow L^{r, \infty}}\right) .
$$

Proof. The proof is the same as [13, Corollary 3.2]. It suffices to observe that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{M}_{T}\right\|_{L^{r} \times L^{s} \rightarrow L^{\nu, \infty}} \leq C_{n}\left\|\mathcal{M}_{s^{\prime}, T}\right\|_{L^{r} \rightarrow L^{r, \infty}} \quad(1 / \nu=1 / r+1 / s),
$$

and to apply Theorem 3.1.
Remark 3.3. At this point we would like to note that if $T$ is an $\omega$ -Calderón-Zygmund operator, with $\omega$ satisfying a Dini condition, since $M_{T}$ is of weak-type $(1,1)$ with

$$
\left\|M_{T}\right\|_{L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}} \leq c_{n}\left(C_{K}+\|T\|_{L^{2}}+\|\omega\|_{\text {Dini }}\right)
$$

(see [12], also for the notation) and we have that

$$
\|T\|_{L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}} \leq c_{n}\left(\|T\|_{L^{2}}+\|\omega\|_{\text {Dini }}\right)
$$

then from the preceding Corollary we recover a bilinear version of the sparse domination established in [17].

In order to use Corollary 3.2 to obtain Theorem 1.3, we need to borrow some results from [13]. Given an operator $T$, we define the maximal operator $M_{\lambda, T}$ by

$$
M_{\lambda, T} f(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x}\left(T\left(f \chi_{\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash 3 Q}\right) \chi_{Q}\right)^{*}(\lambda|Q|) \quad 0<\lambda<1
$$

That operator was proved to be of weak type $(1,1)$ in [13] where the following estimate was established.
Theorem 3.4. If $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, then

$$
\left\|M_{\lambda, T_{\Omega}}\right\|_{L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}} \leq C_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}\left(1+\log \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \quad 0<\lambda<1
$$

Also in [13] the following result showing the relationship between the $L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}$ norms of the operators $M_{\lambda, T}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{p, T}$ was provided.
Lemma 3.5. Let $0<\gamma \leq 1$ and let $T$ be a sublinear operator. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) there exists $C>0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
\left\|\mathcal{M}_{p, T} f\right\|_{L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}} \leq C p^{\gamma}
$$

(2) there exists $C>0$ such that for all $0<\lambda<1$,

$$
\left\|M_{\lambda, T} f\right\|_{L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}} \leq C\left(1+\log \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\gamma}
$$

At this point we are in the position to prove that Theorem 1.3 follows as a corollary from the previous results.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Theorem 3.4 combined with Lemma 3.5 with $\gamma=1$ yields

$$
\left\|\mathcal{M}_{p, T_{\Omega}}\right\|_{L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}} \leq c_{n} p\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}
$$

with $p \geq 1$. Also, by [26], we have that

$$
\left\|T_{\Omega}\right\|_{L^{1} \rightarrow L^{1}, \infty} \leq C_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}
$$

Hence, by Corollary 3.2 with $q=r=1$ and $s=p>1$, there exist $3^{n}$ dyadic lattices $\mathcal{D}_{j}$ and $3^{n}$ sparse families $\mathcal{S}_{j} \subset \mathcal{D}_{j}$ such that

$$
\left|\left\langle\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right] f, g\right\rangle\right| \leq C_{n} p^{\prime}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{3^{n}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, p}(b, f, g)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, p}(b, f, g)\right)
$$
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## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We start providing a proof for (1.3). We follow some of the key ideas from [15, 16] (see also [22]). By duality, it suffices to prove (1.3) it suffices to show that

$$
\left\|\frac{\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right] f}{M_{r} w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(M_{r} w\right)}} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{3} p^{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\|\frac{f}{w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}
$$

We can calculate the norm by duality. Then,

$$
\left\|\frac{\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right] f}{M_{r} w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(M_{r} w\right)}=\sup _{\|h\|_{L^{p}\left(M_{r} w\right)}=1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right] f(x) h(x) d x\right| .
$$

Let us define now a Rubio de Francia algorithm suited for this situation (see [6, Chapter IV.5] and [4] for plenty of applications of the Rubio de Francia algorithm). First we consider the operator

$$
S(f)=\frac{M\left(f\left(M_{r} w\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{\left(M_{r} w\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

and we observe that $S$ is bounded on $L^{p}\left(M_{r} w\right)$ with norm bounded by a dimensional multiple of $p^{\prime}$. Relying upon $S$ we define

$$
R(h)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \frac{S^{k} h}{\|S\|_{L^{p}\left(M_{r} w\right)}^{k}} .
$$

This operator has the following properties:
(a) $0 \leq h \leq R(h)$,
(b) $\|R h\|_{L^{p}\left(M_{r} w\right)} \leq 2\|h\|_{L^{p}\left(M_{r} w\right)}$,
(c) $R(h)\left(M_{r} w\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \in A_{1}$ with $\left[R(h)\left(M_{r} w\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]_{A_{1}} \leq c p^{\prime}$. We also note that $[R h]_{A_{\infty}} \leq[R h]_{A_{3}} \leq c_{n} p^{\prime}$.
Using Theorem 1.3 and taking into account (a) we have that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right] f(x) h(x) d x\right| \\
& \leq C_{n} s^{\prime}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, s}(b, f, h)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, s}^{*}(b, f, h)\right) \\
& \leq C_{n} s^{\prime}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, s}(b, f, R h)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, s}^{*}(b, f, R h)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and it suffices to obtain estimates for

$$
I:=\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, s}(b, f, R h) \quad \text { and } \quad I I:=\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1, s}^{*}(b, f, R h)
$$

First we focus on $I$. Now we choose $r, s>1$ such that $s r=1+\frac{1}{\tau_{n}[R h]_{A_{\infty}}}$. For instance, choosing $r=1+\frac{1}{2 \tau_{n}[R h]_{A}}$ we have that $s=2 \frac{1+\tau_{n}[R h]_{A_{\infty}}}{1+2 \tau_{n}[R h]_{A_{\infty}}}$ and also that $s r^{\prime}=2\left(1+\tau_{n}[R h]_{A_{\infty}}\right) \simeq[R h]_{A_{\infty}}$. Now we recall that for every $0<t<\infty$ it was established in [7, Corollary 3.1.8] that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|b(x)-b_{Q}\right|^{t} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq(t \Gamma(t))^{\frac{1}{t}} e^{\frac{1}{t}+1} 2^{n}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}
$$

For $t>1$ we have that $(t \Gamma(t))^{\frac{1}{t}} e^{\frac{1}{t}+1} 2^{n} \leq c_{n} t$. Taking into account the preceding estimate, the choices for $r$ and $s$, the reverse Hölder inequality (Lemma 2.2), and the property (c) above, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|b(x)-b_{Q}\right|^{s}|R h(x)|^{s} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \int_{Q}|f| d y \\
& \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left\langle b-b_{Q}\right\rangle_{s r^{\prime}, Q}\langle R h\rangle_{s r, Q} \int_{Q}|f| d y \\
& \leq c_{n}\left(s r^{\prime}\right)\|b\|_{\text {BMO }} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} R h\right) \int_{Q}|f| d y \\
& \leq c_{n}[R h]_{A_{\infty}}\|b\|_{\text {BMO }} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}} R h(Q) \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f| d y \\
& \leq c_{n} p^{\prime}\|b\|_{\text {BMO }} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}} R h(Q) \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f| d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

An application of Lemma 2.3 with $\Psi(t)=t$ yields

$$
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}} R h(Q) \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f| d y \leq 8[R h]_{A_{\infty}}\|M f\|_{L^{1}(R h)} \leq c_{n} p^{\prime}\|M f\|_{L^{1}(R h)}
$$

From here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|M f\|_{L^{1}(R h)} & \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|M f|^{p^{\prime}}\left(M_{r} w\right)^{1-p^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(R h)^{p} M_{r} w\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq 2\left\|\frac{M f}{M_{r} w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(M_{r} w\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by [15, Lemma 3.4] (see also [24, Lemma 2.9])

$$
\left\|\frac{M f}{M_{r} w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(M_{r} w\right)} \leq c p\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\|\frac{f}{w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)} .
$$

Gathering all the preceding estimates we have that

$$
I \leq c_{n}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} p\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{3}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\|\frac{f}{w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)} .
$$

Now we turn our attention to $I I$. Recalling that we have chosen $r s=1+\frac{1}{\tau_{n}[R h]_{A_{\infty}}}$, taking into account the Reverse Hölder inequality and applying also (2.1) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|b(y)-b_{Q}\right| f(y) d y\right)\langle R h\rangle_{s, Q}|Q| \\
& \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left|b(y)-b_{Q}\right| f(y) d y\right)\langle R h\rangle_{r s, Q}|Q| \\
& \leq c_{n}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\|f\|_{L \log L, Q} R h(Q) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then a direct application of Lemma 2.3 with $\Psi(t)=t \log (e+t)$ yields the following estimate

$$
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\|f\|_{L \log L, Q} R h(Q) \leq 8[R h]_{A_{\infty}}\left\|M_{L \log L} f\right\|_{L^{1}(R h)} .
$$

Arguing as in the estimate of $I$,

$$
\left\|M_{L \log L} f\right\|_{L^{1}(R h)} \leq 2\left\|\frac{M_{L \log L} f}{M_{r} w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(M_{r} w\right)}
$$

Now [24, Proposition 3.2] gives

$$
\left\|\frac{M_{L \log L} f}{M_{r} w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(M_{r} w\right)} \leq c_{n} p^{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\|\frac{f}{w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}
$$

Combining all the estimates we have that

$$
I I \leq c_{n}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} p^{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\|\frac{f}{w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}
$$

Finally, collecting the estimates we have obtained for $I$ and $I I$, we arrive at the desired bound, namely

$$
\left\|\frac{\left[b, T_{\Omega}\right] f}{M_{r} w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}\left(M_{r} w\right)}} \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{3} p^{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left\|\frac{f}{w}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}
$$

We end the proof observing that the $A_{\infty}$ and the $A_{1}-A_{\infty}$ results are a direct consequence of the estimate we have just established and of the Reverse-Hölder inequality (see $[15,16,8]$ for this kind of argument).

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us consider first the case in which $T$ is a Calderón-Zygmund operator. Calculating the norm by duality we have that

$$
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{p}(w)}=\sup _{\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}=1}\left|\int[b, T](f) g w\right| .
$$

Now taking into account Remark 3.3 (or [17]) we have that

$$
\left|\int[b, T](f) g w\right| \leq c_{n} c_{T} \sum_{j=1}^{3^{n}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1,1}(b, f, g w)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1,1}^{*}(b, f, g w)\right)
$$

so it suffices to provide estimates for

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}(b, f, g w) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}^{*}(b, f, g w)
$$

First we work on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, 1,1}(b, f, g w)$. Following ideas in [19] we have that

$$
\langle w\rangle_{Q}\left\langle w^{\frac{1}{1-q}}\right\rangle^{q-1}=\langle w\rangle_{Q}\left\langle\sigma^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\right\rangle \frac{p}{\bar{A}, Q}
$$

where $\bar{A}(t)=t^{\frac{p}{q-1}}$ and $\sigma=w^{1-p^{\prime}}$. Then, choosing $s<p^{\prime}$ and taking into account [11, Lemma 6], (2.1) and (2.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}(b, f, g w)=\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\langle f\rangle_{Q}\left\langle g\left(b-b_{Q}\right) w\right\rangle_{Q}|Q| \\
& \leq c \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left\langle f w^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\rangle_{A, Q}\left\langle w^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right\rangle_{\bar{A}, Q}\|g\|_{L \log L(w), Q}\left\|b-b_{Q}\right\|_{\exp L(w), Q} \\
& \leq c s^{\prime}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}[w]_{A_{\infty}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left\langle f w^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\rangle_{A, Q}\left\langle w^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right\rangle_{\bar{A}, Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}^{w} \\
& \times \exp \left(\left\langle\log w^{-1}\right\rangle_{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \exp \left(\langle\log w\rangle_{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq c s^{\prime}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}[w]_{A_{\infty}}[w]_{A_{Q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left\langle f w^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\rangle_{A, Q}^{p}|Q|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left(\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}^{w}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \exp \left(\left\langle\log w^{-1}\right\rangle_{Q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}|Q|\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq c_{n} \gamma^{-1}\left\|M_{A}\right\|_{L^{p}}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}}[w]_{A_{\infty}}[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(w)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we use the Carleson embedding Theorem [8, Theorem 4.5] and the sparsity of $\mathcal{S}$.

Now we turn our attention to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}^{*}(b, f, g w)$. We observe that for any $r>1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}^{*}(b, f, g w) & =\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left\langle f\left(b-b_{Q}\right)\right\rangle_{Q}\langle g w\rangle_{Q}|Q| \\
& \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\langle f\rangle_{r, Q}\left\langle b-b_{Q}\right\rangle_{r^{\prime}, Q}\langle g w\rangle_{Q}|Q| \\
& \leq c\|b\|_{\text {BMO }} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\langle f\rangle_{r, Q}\langle g w\rangle_{Q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

and from this point it suffices to follow the proof of [19, Theorem 3.1] to obtain the following estimate

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}^{*}(b, f, g w) \leq c[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{p}}}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(w)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}
$$

Combining the estimates for $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}(b, f, g w)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, 1,1}^{*}(b, f, g w)$ we obtain (1.4) in the case of $T$ being a Calderón-Zygmund operator.

Let us consider now the remaining case. Assume that $T$ is a rough singular integral with $\Omega \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Calculating the norm by duality and denoting by $[b, T]^{t}$ the adjoint of $[b, T]$ we have that

$$
\|[b, T] f\|_{L^{p}(w)}=\sup _{\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}=1}\left|\int[b, T](f) g w\right|=\sup _{\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}=1}\left|\int[b, T]^{t}(g w) f\right| .
$$

Taking into account that $[b, T]^{t}$ is also a commutator we can use the sparse domination obtained in Theorem 1.3 so we have that

$$
\left|\int[b, T]^{t}(g w) f\right| \leq c_{n} u^{\prime}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \sum_{j=1}^{3^{n}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, u, 1}(b, f, g w)+\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, u, 1}^{*}(b, f, g w)\right)
$$

and then the question reduces to control both

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, u, 1}(b, f, g w) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, u, 1}^{*}(b, f, g w) .
$$

We begin observing that, arguing as before, choosing $1<s<p^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_{j}, u, 1}(b, f, g w) & =\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{u, Q}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) g w\right\rangle_{1, Q}|Q| \\
& \leq c s^{\prime}[w]_{A_{\infty}}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{u, Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}^{w} w(Q)=c[w]_{A_{\infty}}\|b\|_{\mathrm{BMO}} B_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand we have that for $s_{1}>1$ to be chosen later

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}, u, 1}^{*}(b, f, g w) & =\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left\langle\left(b-b_{Q}\right) f\right\rangle_{u, Q}\langle g w\rangle_{1, Q}|Q| \\
& \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\langle f\rangle_{u s_{1}, Q}\left\langle b-b_{Q}\right\rangle_{u s_{1}^{\prime}, Q}\langle g w\rangle_{1, Q}|Q| \\
& \leq c\|b\|_{\text {BMO }} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\langle f\rangle_{u s_{1}, Q}\langle g w\rangle_{1, Q}|Q|=c\|b\|_{\text {BMO }} B_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Hölder inequality, we have that both $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are controlled by

$$
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\langle f\rangle_{u s_{1}, Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}^{w} w(Q)
$$

We note that we can choose $u s_{1}$ as close to 1 as we want so let us rename $u s_{1}=r$. Now denoting $\bar{B}(t)=t^{\frac{p}{r(q-1)}}$ and arguing as in [19,

Theorem 3.1] we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}_{j}}\langle f\rangle_{r, Q}\langle g\rangle_{s, Q}^{w} w(Q) & \left.\leq[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}} A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}}\left\langle f^{r} w^{\frac{r}{p}}\right\rangle_{B, Q}^{\frac{p}{r}}|Q|\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \left.\left.\leq g\rangle_{s, Q}^{w}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \exp \left(\langle\log w\rangle_{Q}\right)|Q|\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq c_{n} \gamma^{-1} p\left\|M_{B}\right\|_{L^{p / r}}^{\frac{1}{r}}[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(w)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last step we have used again the sparsity of $\mathcal{S}$ and the Carleson embedding theorem ([8, Theorem 4.5]). Collecting all the estimates

$$
\left|\int[b, T]^{t}(g w) f\right| \leq c_{n}\|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}[w]_{A_{\infty}}[w]_{A_{q}^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(A_{\infty}^{\exp }\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(w)}\|g\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(w)} .
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Kangwei Li for some insightful discussions during the elaboration of this paper.

## References

[1] M. Caldarelli, A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, On a counterexample related to weighted weak type estimates for singular integrals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2017), no. 7. 3005-3012
[2] R.R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. of Math., 103 (1976), no. 3, 611-635
[3] J.M. Conde-Alonso, A. Culiuc, F. Di Plinio and Y. Ou, A sparse domination principle for rough singular integrals, Anal. PDE 10 (2017), no. 5, 1255-1284.
[4] D. Cruz-Uribe, J. M. Martell, and C. Pérez, Weights, Extrapolation and the Theory of Rubio de Francia, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 215. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.
[5] J. Duoandikoetxea, Extrapolation of weights revisited: New proofs and sharp bounds, J. Funct. Anal. 260 (2015), 1886-1901.
[6] J. García-Cuerva, J. L. Rubio de Francia Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 116. Notas de Matemática (Mathematical Notes), 104. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
[7] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier analysis. Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250. Springer, New York, 2014.
[8] T.P. Hytönen, C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds involving $A_{\infty}$. Anal. PDE 6 (2013), no. 4., 777-818.
[9] T.P. Hytönen, C. Pérez, E. Rela, Sharp reverse Hölder property for $A_{\infty}$ weights on spaces of homogeneous type. J. Funct. Anal. 263 (2012), no. 12. 3883-3899.
[10] T.P. Hytönen, L. Roncal and O. Tapiola, Quantitative weighted estimates for rough homogeneous singular integrals, Israel J. Math., 218 (2017), no. 1, 133164.
[11] G.H. Ibañez-Firnkorn, I.P. Rivera-Ríos, Sparse and weighted estimates for generalized Hörmander operators and commutators, preprint. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.01018
[12] A.K. Lerner, On pointwise estimates involving sparse operators, New York J. Math., 22 (2016), 341-349.
[13] A.K. Lerner A weak type estimate for rough singular integrals, preprint. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.07397
[14] A.K. Lerner and F. Nazarov, Intuitive dyadic calculus: the basics, preprint. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.05639
[15] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, and C. Pérez, Sharp $A_{1}$ bounds for CalderónZygmund operators and the relationship with a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 14 (2008), Art. ID rnm161, 11 pp.
[16] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, and C. Pérez, $A_{1}$ bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators related to a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden, Math. Res. Lett. 16 (2009), no. 1, 149-156.
[17] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, and I. P. Rivera-Ríos, On pointwise and weighted estimates for commutators of Calderón-Zygmund operators, Adv. Math. 319 (2017), 153-181.
[18] A.K. Lerner, K. Moen, Mixed $A_{p}-A_{\infty}$ estimates with one supremum. Studia Math. 219 (2013), no. 3, 247-267.
[19] K. Li, Sharp weighted estimates involving one supremum, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 355 (2017), no. 8, 906-909.
[20] K. Li, C. Pérez, I. P. Rivera-Ríos, L. Roncal, Weighted norm inequalities for rough singular integral operators, preprint. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.05170
[21] C. Pérez, On sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator between weighted $L^{p}$-spaces with different weights. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 71 (1995), no. 1, 135-157.
[22] C. Pérez, I. P. Rivera-Ríos, L. Roncal, $A_{1}$ theory of weights for rough homogeneous singular integrals and commutators, preprint. Available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.06432, to appear in Ann. Sci. Scuola Norm. Sup. (Scienze)
[23] L. Pick, A. Kufner, O. John, and S. Fučik, Function spaces. Vol. 1. Second revised and extended edition. De Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, 14. Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin, 2013.
[24] C. Ortiz-Caraballo, Quadratic $A_{1}$ bounds for commutators of singular integrals with BMO functions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 60 (2011), no. 6, 2107-2130.
[25] M.M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics,146. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
[26] A. Seeger, Singular integral operators with rough convolution kernels, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), no. 1, 95-105.
(1) Department of Mathematics, University of the Basque Country, Bilbao, Spain
(2) BCAM - Basque Center for Applied Mathematics

E-mail address: petnapet@gmail.com


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B20, 42B25.
    Key words and phrases. Rough singular integrals, commutators, sparse bounds, weights.

    This research was supported by the Basque Government through the BERC 2014-2017 program and by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness MINECO through BCAM Severo Ochoa excellence accreditation SEV-2013-0323 and also through the project MTM2014-53850-P.

