



Title	Effective stretching position for the supraspinatus muscle evaluated by shear wave elastography in vivo
Author(s)	Nishishita, Satoru; Hasegawa, Satoshi; Nakamura, Masatoshi; Umegaki, Hiroki; Kobayashi, Takuya; Ichihashi, Noriaki
Citation	Journal of shoulder and elbow surgery (2018), 27(12): 2242- 2248
Issue Date	2018-12
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/245812
Right	© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/; The full-text file will be made open to the public on 1 December 2019 in accordance with publisher's 'Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving'.; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version. この論文は出版社版でありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	author

1	Title
2	Effective stretching position for the supraspinatus muscle evaluated by shear wave
3	elastography in vivo
4	
5	Authors and academic degrees
6	Satoru Nishishita, P.T., M.Sc. ^{1) 2) 3)} , Satoshi Hasegawa, P.T., Ph.D. ¹⁾ , Masatoshi Nakamura,
7	P.T., Ph.D. ¹⁾ , Hiroki Umegaki, P.T., M.Sc. ¹⁾ , Takuya Kobayashi, P.T., M.Sc. ¹⁾ , Noriaki
8	Ichihashi, P.T., Ph.D. ¹⁾
9	
10	Department and Institution
11	¹⁾ Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University
12	²⁾ Institute of Rehabilitation Science, Tokuyukai Medical Corporation
13	³⁾ Kansai Rehabilitation Hospital, Tokuyukai Medical Corporation
14	
15	*Corresponding author:
16	Satoru Nishishita
17	Human Health Sciences, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University
18	53 Shogoinkawahara-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8397, Japan

19 Telephone: +81-75-751-3935; Fax: +81-75-751-3909

20	E-mail: nishishitasatoru@gmail.com
21	
22	Ethical Committee approval
23	This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Kyoto University
24	Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine: No. R0314.
25	
26	Acknowledgements
27	We would like to thank Ms. Ibuki and Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.
28	This study was supported by the Grant – in – Aid for Scientific Research (B) 15H03043.
29	
30	Conflict of Interest
31	None.
32	

33 Abstract

34 Background:

Stretching is useful for increasing flexibility in clinical and athletic situations. Although
several authors have recommended various stretching techniques for the supraspinatus
muscle, there is no consensus on the effective stretching position owing to a lack of
quantitative analysis in vivo. This study used ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo to
verify the effective stretching positions for the supraspinatus muscle.

40 Methods:

The study participants were 15 healthy male volunteers. The shear elastic modulus, used as the index of supraspinatus muscle elongation, was computed using ultrasonic shear wave elastography. The shear elastic modulus was measured at neutral position and maximum internal rotation in 9 positions: 0° elevation, 90° abduction, 90° flexion, maximum extension, maximum horizontal adduction at 45° and 90° elevation, and maximum horizontal abduction at 20°, 45°, and 90° elevation.

47 **Results:**

The shear elastic moduli were significantly greater in maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° and 90° elevation and maximum internal rotation at maximum extension than those in the other positions. There were no significant differences in the shear elastic moduli among these 3 positions.

52 **Conclusions:**

This study demonstrated that maximum internal rotation at maximum extension, maximum
internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation, and maximum internal
rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation are effective stretching
positions for the supraspinatus muscle.

57

58 Keywords

- 59 ultrasonic shear wave elastography
- 60 shear elastic modulus
- 61 supraspinatus muscle
- 62 stretching
- 63 shoulder
- 64 rehabilitation
- 65
- 66 Level of evidence
- 67 Basic Science Study, Biomechanics, Imaging.

69 Introduction

Stretching is useful for increasing flexibility in clinical and athletic situations. Many previous studies have reported on the effects of stretching^{7, 9, 11, 18, 26} but few studies have reported the method or position used to create an effective stretch^{24, 30}. Because the shoulder joint has multiple degrees of freedom and a large range of motion, the method used to stretch shoulder muscles needs to be investigated.

Many studies have reported the relationship between the 3-dimensional shoulder position and the moment arm^{16, 17, 32} and torque-vector directions^{2, 37} of each shoulder muscle.

Therefore, the 3-dimensional shoulder position must be considered when devising effective
methods for stretching the shoulder muscles.

Several authors have recommended various stretching positions for each individual muscle^{6, 10, 31, 36}, but there is no consensus on the effective stretching positions owing to a lack of an in vivo quantitative analysis. The cross-body stretch and the sleeper stretch are well known and commonly used for posterior shoulder tightness²², but the effect of stretching on individual muscles and other tissues is unclear.

In previous cadaveric studies, the effective stretching position for the shoulder muscles and 84 joint capsule was simulated and quantitatively analyzed^{13, 23-25}. Clinicians are in great need of 85 an in vivo quantitative analysis of the effect of stretching on individual muscles, but 86 conventionally, it has been difficult to measure the evaluation index of stretching on 87 individual muscles. In human studies, passive torque-angle measurements are widely used to 88 noninvasively examine muscle stretch and passive muscle force^{27, 33, 34}. However, 89 torque-angle measurements are affected by many structures crossing the joint, such as 90 synergistic muscles, aponeuroses, tendons, joint capsules, and ligaments, and cannot be used 9192to identify the effect of an individual muscle. Therefore, passive torque-angle measurements

are not specific to the passive stretching response of individual muscles, especially for the
muscles of the shoulder joint.

A new ultrasound-based technology, called ultrasonic shear wave elastography, has been
 developed that reliably and noninvasively measures soft tissue viscoelastic properties¹. Many
 studies have quantitatively assessed the muscle shear elastic modulus in vivo and in vitro^{4, 14, 14, 15, 19, 21}.

99 The occurrence of shoulder injuries are associated with the supraspinatus (SSP) muscle and 100 infraspinatus muscle because these muscles contribute to the dynamic stability of the 101 shoulder joint³⁵. We targeted the SSP because there is more evidence of reliability and 102 validity using elastography on measuring the SSP^{8, 12, 28} rather than infraspinatus muscle. 103 Specifically, researchers have reported the link between a tight SSP and abduction 104 contracture ⁵.

Several authors have recommended effective stretching positions for the SSP based on 105their knowledge of anatomy and kinesiology^{6, 10, 31, 36}. The positions recommended for 106 stretching the SSP are fully adducting the arm behind the back⁶, positioning the arm behind 107the back while maintaining medial rotation¹⁰, extension, adduction, and internal rotation 108 $(IR)^{36}$, and placing the hand behind the back and reaching up between the shoulder blades³¹. 109 Despite these recommendations, there is no consensus on the effective stretching positions. 110One cadaveric study recommended positioning the arm at abduction with extension as the 111 most effective stretching position for the SSP²⁴. Subsequent research has not been performed 112in vivo; therefore, an in vivo quantitative analysis is needed to determine the effective SSP 113stretching positions. The purpose of the present study was to quantitatively verify the 114effective SSP stretching positions using ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo. 115

116 Materials and Methods

We conducted this experimental study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

119 2.1. Participants

120 An a priori power analysis was conducted using G*Power software version 3.1 (Heinrich 121 Heine University, Dusseldorf, Germany). We estimated that a sample size of 14 participants 122 was required based on a 0.25 effect size, 0.05α level, and 0.8 desired power level. Therefore, 123 15 healthy men (mean ± standard deviation; age: 23.4 ± 3.0 years, height: 172.9 ± 3.0 cm, 124 weight: 66.3 ± 6.0 kg) were included. Participants with a history of neuromuscular disease or 125 musculoskeletal injury involving the upper extremities were excluded. All participants were 126 informed of the purpose and methods of the study before providing written consent.

127

131

128 2.2. Data Collection

129 Shear wave speed was measured by an Aixplorer ultrasound system using an SL10-2

130 linear array transducer (Supersonic Imagine, Aix-en-Provence, France) to assess the shear

132 to determine the influence of the shoulder position on the shear elastic modulus of the SSP,

elastic modulus of the SSP in the nondominant shoulder. We examined the nondominant side

133 because some volunteers had experience participating in overhead sports.

An ultrasound probe was placed 20 mm above the midpoint between the acromial angle and the root of the spine of scapula. The ultrasound images were used to align the probe parallel to the SSP muscle fiber orientation as much as possible (Figure 1). Participants were instructed to sit relaxed on a chair. To consistently position each participant, all procedures were performed by the same 3 testers. One tester measured the shear wave speed, the second fixed the participant's thorax, and the third changed the arm positions (Figure 2). To

 $\mathbf{7}$

minimize the measurement error, the shear elastic modulus was measured twice in the sameposition.

As many measurement positions were selected as possible while preventing patient fatigue 142and confounding results from stretching. The shear elastic modulus of the SSP was measured 143in the 10 arm positions under the following conditions: neutral position to evaluate the effect 144of stretching (reference), arm positions, including horizontal adduction to compare the effect 145146of horizontal abduction, which was recommended in a previous study, and different combinations of varying shoulder joint angles, including horizontal adduction to detect 147148motions that emphasized SSP stretching in the 3 shoulder motions. Actual measurement positions are IR at 0° elevation (Ele0), IR at 90° abduction (Abd90), IR at 90° flexion (Fle90), 149IR at maximum extension (Ext), IR at maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation 150151(Ele90HAd), IR at maximum horizontal adduction with 45° elevation (Ele45HAd), IR at maximum horizontal abduction with 20° elevation (Ele20HAb), IR at maximum horizontal 152abduction with 45° elevation (Ele45HAb), IR at maximum horizontal abduction with 90° 153154elevation (Ele90HAb), and a neutral rotation at 0° elevation (Rest). The arm positions were defined based on the globe system³. In this study, horizontal 155adduction and horizontal abduction were defined as forward and backward changes of the 156plane of elevation. Elevation of the humerus in the 90° , 0° , and -90° planes was defined as 157158flexion, abduction, and extension, respectively. The arm positions were defined as a 159combination of 3 shoulder motions. The sequence in which the arm was moved into the measurement position was elevation, subsequently horizontal abduction/adduction, and lastly, 160rotation. For elevation, the shoulder joint was moved to 45° or 90° abduction, as measured by 161162a goniometer, and this angle was fixed during the subsequent 2 motions using a mark on a vertical pole to indicate the height of the elbow. For Ele20HAb, the position was defined by 163164 moving the elbow into the horizontal abduction position (ie, toward the participant's back)

with the elbow contacting the thorax as much as possible without necessarily maintaining the height of the elbow at 20° elevation. For horizontal and rotational motion, the shoulder joint was moved to the maximum range of motion the individual could tolerate without discomfort or pain. The arm positions were performed in random order to preclude any effect of the measurement sequence

170

171 2.3. Data Analysis

The mean shear wave propagation speed (m/s) within the region of interest was automatically calculated. The shear elastic modulus (G) can be calculated using the shear wave speed (cs) through the following equation²⁹:

175
$$G = \rho c_s^2$$

176 where ρ is the muscle mass density and is assumed to be 1,000 kg/m³.

177 Measurement reliability was assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC_{1,1})

178 with a 95% confidence interval. Comparison of the shear elastic modulus among the

179 measurement positions was assessed using the mean value \pm standard deviation.

A 1-way repeated measures analysis of variance was used to determine the difference in the shear elastic modulus of the SSP among the stretching positions. When a significant main effect was observed, the difference among positions was determined using the Bonferroni post hoc test. Statistical significance was defined using an $\alpha = 0.05$ for all tests. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

186 **Results**

187 Reliability of the shear elastic modulus was assessed using the ICC with a 95% confidence

188 interval (Table I). The ICC ranged from 0.81 for Ele90HAd to 0.98 for Rest. The shear elastic

- modulus at Rest was 8.7 ± 3.5 kPa and moduli in other positions are provided in Table II. The
- 190 mean shear elastic modulus was highest at Ext, followed by Ele90HAb, Ele45HAb,
- 191 Ele45HAd, Abd90, Ele20HAb, Ele90HAd, Fle90, Rest, and Ele0 (Fig. 3).

192 Repeated measures analysis of variance revealed a significant effect on the shear elastic

193 modulus. Bonferroni post hoc tests indicated that the shear elastic moduli in 3 positions (Ext,

194 Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb) were significantly greater than those in the other 7 positions (Fig.

195 3). Only these 3 positions had shear elastic moduli that were significantly greater than that at

196 Rest (Table II), and there were no significant differences in shear elastic moduli among these

197 3 positions. Differences in shear elastic moduli among the other 7 positions were not198 significant.

199 **Discussion**

The results of this study show that the shear elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and 200Ele45HAb were significantly greater than those in the other 7 positions. This suggests that 201202these 3 positions are more effective stretching positions for the SSP than the other 7 positions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to investigate the effective SSP stretching 203positions using quantitative analysis with ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo. 204In this study, the ICC ranged from 0.81 to 0.98 for all positions. ICCs in this range rank as 205"almost perfect" reliability according to the criteria of Landis²⁰. Therefore, we consider the 206207data in this study reliable. The Rest position was the most reproducible position and, therefore, the shear elastic modulus in that position had the highest reliability. In contrast, the 208209 shear elastic modulus in Ele90HAd demonstrated the lowest reliability among the 10 210positions.

The shear elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were significantly greater than that in Rest, suggesting that these 3 positions are effective SSP stretching positions. In contrast, the shear elastic moduli in Ele0, Abd90, Fle90, Ele90HAd, Ele45HAd, and Ele20HAb did not differ significantly from that in Rest, suggesting that these positions are not effective SSP stretching positions. All effective stretching positions found in this study include elevation, horizontal abduction, and maximum IR.

In clinical rehabilitation and sports, the cross-body stretch and the sleeper stretch have been widely used to improve posterior shoulder tightness. These positions are similar to the Ele90HAd and Fle90 positions used in this study. However, the shear elastic moduli in Ele90HAd and Fle90 were not significantly different compared with that in Rest. Our results suggest that horizontal abduction is more important than horizontal adduction for stretching the SSP. In other words, the arm is positioned not in front but in the back of the body to stretch the SSP effectively. In previous studies, the SSP has been found to have an IR

moment arm at 90° of humeral elevation in the sagittal plane¹⁷. In the position in which SSP
has an IR moment arm, contraction of SSP leads to IR. In other words, to stretch the SSP at
90° humeral elevation in the sagittal plane, the humerus must be externally rotated, not
internally rotated.

Because differences in the shear elastic moduli among Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were not significant, we could not identify the most effective stretching position. The shear elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were significantly greater than that in Ele20HAb. It is likely that higher elevation of the humerus behind the body is important to stretch the SSP.

Some of the following SSP stretching positions have been recommended: fully adducting 233the arm behind the back⁶, positioning the arm behind the back while maintaining medial 234rotation¹⁰, and placing the hand behind the back and reaching up between the shoulder 235blades³¹. In terms of the distance between the elbow and the back, these 3 recommended 236positions are similar to the Ele20HAb position used in this study. Judging from the results of 237238this study, these 3 positions need to emphasize elevation to effectively stretch the SSP. Previous studies evaluated other factors, such as muscle contraction, pressure to the muscle, 239traction on the bone, and posture of the whole body, in addition to shoulder position. These 240factors may influence the effect of stretching. In contrast, Ylinen³⁶ recommended extension, 241adduction, and IR. When compared with elevation, this position is an effective SSP stretch. In 242243terms of the importance of elevation and horizontal abduction, our results are similar to those of the quantitative analysis using cadavers reported by Muraki et al.²⁴ All of our test positions, 244except for Rest, included maximum IR. Whether maximum IR is necessary or not will require 245246further study.

This study had several limitations. First, all of the participants in this study were healthy young men. Sex, age, and differences in sport and disease experience may affect the shear

elastic modulus of the shoulder muscles; therefore, we need to be careful when using theseresults for therapy and training in clinical or athletic settings.

Second, we allowed the free movement of the scapula when positioning the humerus. Measuring the movement of the scapula may produce more accurate results. In addition to the shoulder position, most of the previous authors examined muscle contraction, pressure to the muscle, traction on the bone, and posture of the whole body. However, the focus of the current study was to evaluate the influence of the shoulder position only. Examining the influence of these other factors will be necessary in future studies.

We only evaluated the SSP in this study. Further measurements targeting the infraspinatus and other muscles should be conducted. By clarifying effective positions in multiple muscles, we may be able to determine the position needed to stretch multiple muscles simultaneously or to selectively stretch 1 muscle.

262 Conclusions

This study used quantitative analysis to determine the effective stretching positions for the SSP muscle, using ultrasonic shear wave elastography in vivo. Our results suggest that maximum internal rotation at maximum extension, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation, and maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation are effective stretching positions for the SSP muscle.

268 **References**

- Bercoff J, Tanter M, Fink M. Supersonic shear imaging: a new technique for soft tissue
 elasticity mapping. IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control 2004;51:396-409.
- Buneo CA, Soechting JF, Flanders M. Postural dependence of muscle actions: implications
 for neural control. J Neurosci 1997;17:2128-2142.
- 273 3. Doorenbosch CA, Harlaar J, Veeger DH. The globe system: an unambiguous description of
 274 shoulder positions in daily life movements. J Rehabil Res Dev 2003;40:147-155.
- Eby SF, Song P, Chen S, Chen Q, Greenleaf JF, An KN. Validation of shear wave
 elastography in skeletal muscle. J Biomech 2013;46:2381-2387. doi:
 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.07.033
- 5. Eismann EA, Little KJ, Laor T, Cornwall R. Glenohumeral abduction contracture in
 children with unresolved neonatal brachial plexus palsy. J Bone Joint Surg Am
 280 2015;97:112-118. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.N.00203
- Evjenth O, Hamberg J. Muscle Stretching in Manual Therapy: A Clinical Manual: The
 Extremities, Vol. 1. Alfta, Sweden: Alfta Rehab Forlag; 1993. (ISBN No. 9789185934027)
- 7. Freitas SR, Andrade RJ, Larcoupaille L, Mil-homens P, Nordez A. Muscle and joint
 responses during and after static stretching performed at different intensities. Eur J Appl
 Physiol 2015;115:1263-1272. doi: 10.1007/s00421-015-3104-1
- B. Hatta T, Giambini H, Uehara K, Okamoto S, Chen S, Sperling JW, et al. Quantitative
 assessment of rotator cuff muscle elasticity: Reliability and feasibility of shear wave
 elastography. J Biomech 2015;48:3853-3858. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2015.09.038
- 9. Hirata K, Kanehisa H, Miyamoto N. Acute effect of static stretching on passive stiffness of
 the human gastrocnemius fascicle measured by ultrasound shear wave elastography. Eur
 J Appl Physiol 2017;117:493-499. doi: 10.1007/s00421-017-3550-z
- 10. Houglum PA. Therapeutic exercise for musculoskeletal injuries. Champaign, IL: Human
 Kinetics; 2010. (ISBN No. 9780736075954)
- 11. Ichihashi N, Umegaki H, Ikezoe T, Nakamura M, Nishishita S, Fujita K, et al. The effects
 of a 4-week static stretching programme on the individual muscles comprising the
 hamstrings. J Sports Sci 2016;34:2155-2159. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1172725
- 12. Itoigawa Y, Sperling JW, Steinmann SP, Chen Q, Song P, Chen S, et al. Feasibility
 assessment of shear wave elastography to rotator cuff muscle. Clin Anat 2015;28:213-218.
 doi: 10.1002/ca.22498
- Izumi T, Aoki M, Muraki T, Hidaka E, Miyamoto S. Stretching positions for the posterior
 capsule of the glenohumeral joint: strain measurement using cadaver specimens. Am J
 Sports Med 2008;36:2014-2022. doi: 10.1177/0363546508318196
- 303 14. Koo TK, Guo JY, Cohen JH, Parker KJ. Relationship between shear elastic modulus and
 304 passive muscle force: an ex-vivo study. J Biomech 2013;46:2053-2059. doi:
 305 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2013.05.016

- Koo TK, Guo JY, Cohen JH, Parker KJ. Quantifying the passive stretching response of
 human tibialis anterior muscle using shear wave elastography. Clin Biomech (Bristol,
 Avon) 2014;29:33-39. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.11.009
- Kuechle DK, Newman SR, Itoi E, Morrey BF, An KN. Shoulder muscle moment arms
 during horizontal flexion and elevation. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 1997;6:429-439.
- 311 17. Kuechle DK, Newman SR, Itoi E, Niebur GL, Morrey BF, An KN. The relevance of the
 312 moment arm of shoulder muscles with respect to axial rotation of the glenohumeral joint
 313 in four positions. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2000;15:322-329.
- 18. Kusano K, Nishishita S, Nakamura M, Tanaka H, Umehara J, Ichihashi N. Acute effect
 and time course of extension and internal rotation stretching of the shoulder on
 infraspinatus muscle hardness. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2017;26:1782-1788. doi:
 10.1016/j.jse.2017.04.018
- 19. Lacourpaille L, Hug F, Bouillard K, Hogrel JY, Nordez A. Supersonic shear imaging
 provides a reliable measurement of resting muscle shear elastic modulus. Physiol Meas
 2012;33:N19-N28. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/33/3/N19
- 321 20. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data.
 322 Biometrics 1977;33:159-174.
- Leong HT, Ng GY, Leung VY, Fu SN. Quantitative estimation of muscle shear elastic
 modulus of the upper trapezius with supersonic shear imaging during arm positioning.
 PLoS One 2013;8:e67199. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067199
- 326 22. McClure P, Balaicuis J, Heiland D, Broersma ME, Thorndike CK, Wood A. A randomized
 327 controlled comparison of stretching procedures for posterior shoulder tightness. J Orthop
 328 Sports Phys Ther 2007;37:108-114. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2007.2337
- 329 23. Muraki T, Aoki M, Izumi T, Fujii M, Hidaka E, Miyamoto S. Lengthening of the pectoralis
 330 minor muscle during passive shoulder motions and stretching techniques: a cadaveric
 331 biomechanical study. Phys Ther 2009;89:333-341. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20080248
- Muraki T, Aoki M, Uchiyama E, Murakami G, Miyamoto S. The effect of arm position on 33224.333stretching of the supraspinatus, infraspinatus, and posterior portion of deltoid muscles: a 334cadaveric study. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon) 2006;21:474-480. doi: 33510.1016/j.clinbiomech.2005.12.014
- 336 25. Muraki T, Aoki M, Uchiyama E, Takasaki H, Murakami G, Miyamoto S. A cadaveric study
 337 of strain on the subscapularis muscle. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2007;88:941-946. doi:
 338 10.1016/j.apmr.2007.04.003
- 339 26. Nakamura M, Ikezoe T, Umegaki H, Kobayashi T, Nishishita S, Ichihashi N. Changes in
 340 Passive Properties of the Gastrocnemius Muscle-Tendon Unit During a 4-Week Routine
 341 Static Stretching Program. J Sport Rehabil 2017;26:263-268. doi: 10.1123/jsr.2015-0198
- 342 27. Nordez A, McNair PJ, Casari P, Cornu C. Static and cyclic stretching: their different
 343 effects on the passive torque-angle curve. J Sci Med Sport 2010;13:156-160. doi:

- 344 10.1016/j.jsams.2009.02.003
- Rosskopf AB, Ehrmann C, Buck FM, Gerber C, Fluck M, Pfirrmann CW. Quantitative
 Shear-Wave US Elastography of the Supraspinatus Muscle: Reliability of the Method and
 Relation to Tendon Integrity and Muscle Quality. Radiology 2016;278:465-474. doi:
 10.1148/radiol.2015150908
- 34929.Shiina T, Nightingale KR, Palmeri ML, Hall TJ, Bamber JC, Barr RG, et al. WFUMB 350guidelines and recommendations for clinical use of ultrasound elastography: Part 1: basic 351Biol 2015;41:1126-1147. principles and terminology. Ultrasound Med doi: 35210.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2015.03.009
- 353 30. Umehara J, Nakamura M, Fujita K, Kusano K, Nishishita S, Araki K, et al. Shoulder
 354 horizontal abduction stretching effectively increases shear elastic modulus of pectoralis
 355 minor muscle. J Shoulder Elbow Surg 2017;26:1159-1165. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2016.12.074
- 356 31. Walker B. The anatomy of stretching : your illustrated guide to flexibility and injury
 357 rehabilitation. Berkeley, Calif.: North Atlantic Books; 2011. (ISBN No. 9781583943717)
- 358 32. Webb JD, Blemker SS, Delp SL. 3D finite element models of shoulder muscles for
 computing lines of actions and moment arms. Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin
 2014;17:829-837. doi: 10.1080/10255842.2012.719605
- 361 33. Weiss PL, Kearney RE, Hunter IW. Position dependence of ankle joint dynamics--I.
 362 Passive mechanics. J Biomech 1986;19:727-735.
- 363 34. Weppler CH, Magnusson SP. Increasing muscle extensibility: a matter of increasing
 364 length or modifying sensation? Phys Ther 2010;90:438-449. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20090012
- 365 35. Wilk KE, Meister K, Andrews JR. Current concepts in the rehabilitation of the overhead
 366 throwing athlete. Am J Sports Med 2002;30:136-151. doi: 10.1177/03635465020300011201
- 36. Ylinen J. Stretching Therapy: For Sport and Manual Therapies. London, United Kingdom:
 368 Churchill Livingstone; 2008. (ISBN No. 9780443101274)
- 369 37. Yoshida N, Domen K, Koike Y, Kawato M. A method for estimating torque-vector
 370 directions of shoulder muscles using surface EMGs. Biol Cybern 2002;86:167-177. doi:
 371 10.1007/s00422-001-0286-x

373 **Figure and Table Legends.**



374

Figure 1 Position and angle of the probe during measurement. (a) An ultrasound probe was placed 20 mm above the midpoint between the acromial angle and the root of the spine of scapula. (b) The ultrasound images were used to align the probe parallel to the supraspinatus muscle fiber orientation as much as possible.



380

Figure 2 Experimental setup. Participants were instructed to sit relaxed on a chair. To consistently position each participant, all procedures were performed by the same 3 testers: the first tester measured the shear wave speed, the second fixed the participant's thorax, and the third changed the arm positions.



386

Figure 3 Shear elastic moduli of the supraspinatus muscle in each measurement position. The 387388error bar shows the standard deviation. *Indicates that the shear elastic moduli in Ext, Ele90HAb, and Ele45HAb were significantly greater than those in the other 7 positions: 389Ele45HAb-Rest (P = .002), Ele45HAb-Abd90 (P = .011), Ele45HAb-Fle90 (P = .005), 390 391Ele45HAb-Ele90HAd (P = .002), Ele45HAb-Ele45HAd (P = .047), Ele45HAb-Ele20HAb (P = .007), Ele90HAb-Rest (P = .001), Ele90HAb-Abd90 (P = .013), Ele90HAb-Fle90 392(P = .001), Ele90HAb-Ele90HAd (P = .001), Ele90HAb-Ele20HAd (P = .002), and the other 393positions (P < .001). Rest, neutral rotation at 0° elevation; Ele0, maximum internal rotation at 3940° elevation; Abd90, maximum internal rotation at 90° abduction; Fle90, maximum internal 395396rotation at 90° flexion; Ele90Had, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation; Ele45Had, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal 397adduction with 45° elevation; Ele20HAb, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal 398abduction with 20° elevation; Ele45HAb, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal 399 abduction with 45° elevation, Ele90HAb, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal 400abduction with 90° elevation; Ext, maximum internal rotation at maximum extension. 401

Position	ICC [95% CI]
Rest	0.98 [0.95, 0.99]
Ele0	0.85 [0.61, 0.94]
Abd90	0.93 [0.80, 0.97]
Fle90	0.84 [0.60, 0.94]
Ele90HAd	0.81 [0.53, 0.93]
Ele45HAd	0.93 [0.80, 0.97]
Ele20HAb	0.96 [0.90, 0.99]
Ele45HAb	0.97 [0.91, 0.99]
Ele90HAb	0.94 [0.83, 0.98]
Ext	0.93 [0.81, 0.98]

403 **Table I** Intraclass correlation coefficient in each measurement position.

ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; CI, confidence interval; Rest, neutral rotation at 0° 404elevation; Ele0, maximum internal rotation at 0° elevation; Abd90, maximum internal 405406rotation at 90° abduction; Fle90, maximum internal rotation at 90° flexion; Ele90HAd, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation; Ele45HAd, 407maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal adduction with 45° elevation; Ele20HAb, 408maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 20° elevation; Ele45HAb, 409maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation; Ele90HAb, 410maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation; Ext, 411412maximum internal rotation at maximum extension.

Position	Mean \pm S.D. [kPa]	p value (Comparison with Rest)
Rest	8.7 ± 3.5	-
Ele0	7.1 ± 2.6	>0.999
Abd90	12.3 ± 4.4	0.725
Fle90	9.4 ± 3.6	>0.999
Ele90HAd	9.8 ± 3.0	>0.999
Ele45HAd	12.4 ± 5.4	>0.999
Ele20HAb	11.3 ± 4.2	>0.999
Ele45HAb	27.8 ± 11.4	0.002
Ele90HAb	28.7 ± 11.0	0.001
Ext	31.9 ± 8.9	< 0.001

414 **Table II** Shear elastic modulus of the supraspinatus muscle in each measurement position.

SD, standard deviation; Rest, neutral rotation at 0° elevation; Ele0, maximum internal 415416rotation at 0° elevation; Abd90, maximum internal rotation at 90° abduction; Fle90, maximum internal rotation at 90° flexion; Ele90HAd, maximum internal rotation at 417maximum horizontal adduction with 90° elevation; Ele45HAd, maximum internal rotation at 418419maximum horizontal adduction with 45° elevation; Ele20HAb, maximum internal rotation at 420maximum horizontal abduction with 20° elevation; Ele45HAb, maximum internal rotation at maximum horizontal abduction with 45° elevation; Ele90HAb, maximum internal rotation at 421422maximum horizontal abduction with 90° elevation; Ext, maximum internal rotation at maximum extension. 423