



Plan S

Making full and immediate Open Access a reality

What is the Future of Plan S?

“Plan S: the role of libraries”

Open Science International Staff Week

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

November the 7th 2019

Agnès Ponsati Obiols



General comments to start...

- There's been some life before Plan S (OA2020...)
- Initiative Former OA Commissioner CE + Science Europe President
 - Top down approach
 - Reserach Funders bias
 - Wealth-Nordic bias (one size?)
 - the system change is global endeavour
 - Minimal thinking on Libraries ...as stakeholders





main goal:

- “After 1 January 2020 scientific publications on the **results** from research funded by public grants provided by national and European research councils and funding bodies, **must be published in compliant Open Access Journals or on compliant Open Access Platforms.**”

Key elements: all supported from libraries!

- **No publication should be locked** behind a paywall
- OA must be immediate, i.e. **no embargo periods**
- Publication under an **open license**; no transfer/licensing of copyright
- **No hybrid model of publication**, except as a **transitional arrangement** with a clearly defined endpoint





HOW?

*Libraries **commenting** the proposal and **supporting** statements*

LIBRARIES AS DRIVERS and TRANSFORMERS:
CONTRIBUTIONS-SUGGESTIONS FOR NEW GUIDELINES

- **OA2020**
- **LIBER**
- **SPARC**
- **COAR**
- **OPENAIRE**



Making the 10 Principles real ...by

- ❑ Authors **retain copyright of their publication with no restrictions.** **TRAINING- ADVOCACY**
 - ❑ All publications must be published under an **open license, preferably the Creative Commons Attribution** LicenceCC BY. In all cases, the license applied should fulfil the requirements defined by the Berlin Declaration;
- ## **TRAINING-LICENSING**
- ❑ The Funders will ensure jointly the **establishment of robust criteria and requirements for the services that compliant** high quality Open Access journals and Open Access platforms must provide. In case such high quality Open Access journals or platforms do not yet exist, **the Funders will, in a coordinated way, provide incentives to establish and support them** when appropriate; support will also be provided for Open Access infrastructures where necessary;
 - ❑ Where applicable, Open Access **publication fees are covered by the Funders or universities**, not by individual researchers; it is acknowledged that all scientists should be able to publish their work Open Access even if their institutions have limited means;
 - ❑ When **Open Access publication fees are applied, their funding is standardised and capped** (across Europe);
 - ❑ The **Funders will ask universities, research organisations, and libraries to align their policies and strategies, notably to ensure transparency;**
 - ❑ The above **principles shall apply to all types of scholarly publications**, but it is understood that the timeline to achieve **Open Access for monographs and books may be longer than January 1st 2020;**
 - ❑ The importance of open archives and **repositories for hosting research outputs is acknowledged because of their long-term archiving function and their potential for editorial innovation;** **REPOSITORY ROLE**
- ❑ **The 'hybrid' model of publishing is not compliant with the above principles;** **LICENSING**
 - ❑ The **Funders will monitor compliance and sanction non-compliance** **REPOSITORIES**

Offering means for compliance...

- **Gold** > Transformative Agreements
- **Green** > Institutional Rep. (not just preservation!)
- **Red** (Publishing Platforms)
 - > Next Generation of Repositories?
 - > Repositories as Publishing Platforms?
 - Open Peer Review as a Service?

11 Actions taken from the Library side...

1. **Setting** up Platforms to comply
2. **Adopting** the technical requirements (rich metadata, doi, FAIR...)
3. **Promoting** COAR-PLAN S agreement
4. **Monitoring** compliance (REBIUN study 2014-2018)
5. **Providing** OA funds for publishing managed by libraries/consortia
6. **Promoting-Funding** Dimond Initiatives- **Flipping models** (Scoop3, KU, LIBRARIA...etc.)
7. **Supporting** OA Infrastructures (Arxiv, DOAJ, Sherpa Romeo...)
8. **Transforming** subscription model agreements
9. **Outreaching** Plan S among scientists (copyright, licences,...etc)
10. **Studying** on APC costs calculations (Nation wide x Publisher/Locally)
11. **Budgeting to:** maintain the IR platforms as a compliance way, funding GOLD OA agreements, Flipping funding, Transformative agreements.

Story so far.

But others have some homework to do... Spain and PlanS

- Ministry of Science and Universities > Research Agency **POSITION**

"If we do it, that we do it well, not in a crazy way," Rodrigo emphasizes. "If Spain adheres to that plan, we assume responsibility for it being a measure for all researchers in our country, and that implies different communities with different sensitivities and needs." That is why he announces that the Ministry will only "make the political decision once the state agency has valued what the economic repercussions are." El País 31.1.2019

**MORE DATA NEEDED ON REAL COSTS (APC costs on Research Projects ?)
HOW TO FILL THE GAP? +output -subscriptions**



CSIC 1st Reserach Performing Organization. What has been done?



- ✓ IR since 2008 (51% 10yrs)
- ✓ OA Mandate 4/2019 linked PCO exercise
- ✓ OA Publishing Programme > 2008 (+3.300 ca articles 10% total for 10yrs period)
- ✓ 2 TA so far...
- ✓ SCOAP3 funder-member
- ✓ Supporting OS infastructures (Arxiv,DOAJ, Sherpa,DataCite)
- ✓ Approach the PlanS ...

