

817P Cabazitaxel treatment in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) clinical trials compared to usual care in CAPRI: An observational study in the Netherlands

H.M. Westgeest¹, M. Kuppen², A.J.M. van den Eertwegh³, J. Van Moorselaar⁴, N. Mehra⁵, I. van Oort⁶, A.C.M. van den Bergh⁷, J.L. Coenen⁸, K.K.H. Aben⁹, R. Somford¹⁰, R. de Wit¹¹, A. Bergman¹², J. Lavalaye¹³, C.A. Uyl-de Groot¹⁴, W.R. Gerritsen²

¹Medical Oncology, Amphia Ziekenhuis, Breda, Netherlands, ²Institute for Medical Technology Assessment, Erasmus School of Health Policy and Management, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ³Medical Oncology, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ⁴Urology, Vrije University Medical Centre (VUMC), Amsterdam, Netherlands, ⁵Medical Oncology, Radboud University Medical Centre Nijmegen, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ⁶Urology, Radboud University Medical Centre Nijmegen, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ⁷Radiation Oncology, UMCG, Groningen, Netherlands, ⁸Medical Oncology, Isala Klinieken, Zwolle, Netherlands, ⁹IKNL, Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation, Utrecht, Netherlands, ¹⁰Urology, Canisius Wilhelmina Ziekenhuis, Nijmegen, Netherlands, ¹¹Medical Oncology, Erasmus MC Daniel den Hoed Cancer Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ¹²Division of Internal Medicine (MOD) and Oncogenomics, The Netherlands Cancer Institute Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ¹³Nuclear Medicine, Antonius Ziekenhuis, Nieuwegein, Netherlands, ¹⁴Institute for Medical Technology Assessment, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Background: Cabazitaxel (CAB) has been shown in the TROPIC trial to improve overall survival (OS) in mCRPC patients after docetaxel (DOC). However clinical trial populations may not reflect the real world population. The objective is to compare patient characteristics and outcome of CAB within clinical trials and in standard of care (SOC) from data extracted from the CAPRI registry.

Methods: CRPC pts treated with CAB directly after DOC, before 1-1-2017, either within a clinical trial or as SOC were retrospectively identified and followed to 1-1-2018. For multivariable analyses, missing values were imputed by multiple imputation using the Monte Carlo Markov Chain method.

Results:

Table: 817P Baseline characteristics at start cabazitaxel (baseline period defined as 42 days before to 7 days after start of cabazitaxel).

Total percentages may not equal 100 because of rounding.

	Cabazitaxel 1 st line post-docetaxel (n = 173)		
	Usual care (n = 109)	Trial (n = 64)	p-value
Age (years) Median (IQR)	68 (64-72)	67 (64-72)	0.502
≥75 years (%)	17	13	
Period on ADT (months) Median (IQR)	25 (18-37)	30 (19-45)	0.091
ALP (U/L) Median (IQR)	222 (100-360)	192 (97-366)	0.799
Missing (%)	18	11	
PSA (ug/L) Median (IQR)	200 (65-567)	209 (79-500)	0.711
Missing (%)	12	8	
Hemoglobin (mmol/L) Median (IQR) Missing (%)	7.1 (6.3-7.8) 17	7.7 (6.7-8.1) 11	0.029
LDH (U/L) Median (IQR) Missing (%)	328 (252-504) 26	268 (209-397) 14	0.010
ECOG performance (%) 0 1 >1 Missing	16 49 9 27	23 56 3 17	0.186
Visceral disease (%) No Yes Missing	29 19 52	45 11 44	0.038
Opioid use (%) No Yes Missing	23 28 50	41 27 33	0.140
Symptoms (%) No Yes Missing	6 78 16	17 72 11	0.033
Docetaxel cycles Median (IQR) Missing (%)	7 (5-10) 1	10 (7-10) 3	0.002
Time since last docetaxel dose (months) Median (IQR) <6 months (valid %) Missing (%)	2.2 (0.9-4.7) 86 5	3.9 (2.0-6.0) 74 5	0.001

From a total of 3,616 pts in the CAPRI database, we identified 356 pts treated with CAB, of which 173 pts were treated directly post-DOC. Trial pts had less symptoms and visceral disease, lower LDH, higher hemoglobin, received more DOC cycles and had a longer treatment-free interval since last DOC (see Table). The median number of CAB cycles was higher in trials compared to SOC (5 vs 4, $p = 0.031$). Median OS was 13.6 vs 9.6 months for trial pts and SOC, respectively (HR 0.73, $p = 0.07$). PSA response ($\geq 50\%$ decline) was 27 vs 11%, respectively ($p = 0.210$). However, after correction for prognostic factors, trial participation did not retain statistical significance (HR 0.94, $p = 0.73$), but longer period on ADT, lower LDH and absence of visceral metastases were significant for better OS. In addition, lower PSA and absence of symptoms had a trend for better OS.

Conclusions: The OS in the trial subgroup is in agreement with the OS of the TROPIC trial in a contemporary real world setting. However, the SOC pts had a trend for worse OS which may be explained by worse prognostic factors at CAB initiation. Accordingly, pts whose disease has progressed post-DOC should be carefully selected for treatment to ensure optimal outcomes.

Clinical trial identification: The CAPRI study is registered in the Dutch Trial Registry as NTR3591.

Legal entity responsible for the study: Institute for Medical Technology Assessment, Erasmus University Rotterdam.

Funding: The CAPRI registry was funded by Sanofi-Aventis Netherlands B.V., Janssen-Cilag B.V., Astellas Pharma B.V., and Bayer B.V. The funding organizations had no role in the design and conduct of the study, collection, management, analysis, interpretation of the data, and preparation, review, or approval of the abstract.

Disclosure: A.J.M. van den Eertwegh: Study grant: Sanofi; Travel expenses, speaker fee, advisory board: Astellas. J. Van Moorselaar: Grants/research supports: Astellas, Ferring, Ipsen; Honoraria, consultation fees: Amgen, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Janssen, Sanofi-Genzyme I. van Oort: Astellas, Janssen, Sanofi, Bayer. J.L. Coenen: Advisory board: Sanofi R. de Wit: Sanofi, Merck, Roche. A. Bergman: PI of one IIS sponsored by Sanofi. W.R. Gerritsen: Speaker fees: Astellas, Bavarian Nordic, Bristol-Myers Squibb, MSD, Janssen-Cilag; Advisory boards: Amgen, Astellas, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Curevac, Dendreon, Janssen-Cilag, Merck (MSD), Morphosys, Sanofi; Ad hoc consultancy: Aglaia Biomedical Ventures, Psioxus Therapeutics. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.