#### THE CONSEQUENCES OF LONG-TERM APPLICATION OF BIOSOLIDS TO ARABLE SOILS



# The impact of long-term biosolids application (>100 years) on soil metal dynamics

Abdul-Wahab Mossa, Elizabeth H. Bailey, Abida Usman, Scott D. Young\*, Neil M. J. Crout School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Leicestershire LE12 5RD, UK

\* Corresponding author, E-mail address: scott.young@nottingham.ac.uk

## The impact of long-term biosolids application (>100 years) on soil metal dynamics

Abdul-Wahab Mossa, Elizabeth H. Bailey, Abida Usman, Scott D. Young\*, Neil M. J. Crout
School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Leicestershire
LE12 5RD, UK

6 \* Corresponding author, E-mail address: scott.young@nottingham.ac.uk

#### 7 Abstract

8 Biosolids application to arable land is a common, and cost-effective, practice but the impact of 9 prolonged disposal remains uncertain. We evaluated the dynamics of potentially toxic elements 10 (PTEs) at a long-established 'dedicated' sewage treatment farm. Soil metal concentrations 11 exceeded regulations governing application of biosolids to non-dedicated arable land. 12 However, measurement of isotopic exchangeability of Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb demonstrated 13 support for the 'protection hypothesis' in which biosolids constituents help immobilise 14 potential toxic metals (PTMs). Metal concentrations in a maize crop were strongly, and almost 15 equally, correlated with all 'capacity-based' and 'intensity-based' estimates of soil metal 16 bioavailability. This was attributable to high correlations between soil factors controlling 17 bioavailability (organic matter, phosphate etc.) on a site receiving a single source of PTMs. 18 Isotopic analysis of the maize crop suggested contributions to foliar Pb from soil dust 19 originating from neighbouring fields. There was also clear evidence of metal-specific effects 20 of biosolids on soil metal lability. With increasing metal concentrations there was both 21 decreasing lability of Cd and Pb, due to interaction with increasing phosphate concentrations, 22 and *increasing* lability of Ni, Cu and Zn due to weaker soil binding. Such different responses 23 to prolonged biosolids disposal to arable soil should be considered when setting regulatory 24 limits.

*Keywords:* Biosolids; metal lability, trace elements; lead isotopes; isotopic dilution

#### 26 **1. Introduction**

27 Application of biosolids to arable soil is currently the preferred disposal method. This is partly 28 because it is cost effective compared with alternative methods: the cost is approximately 30-29 50% lower than landfilling or incineration (Antille et al., 2017). Moreover, recycling plant 30 nutrients and organic matter make the use of biosolids in agriculture an environmentally 31 favourable option in comparison to other management options (Mamindy-Pajany et al., 2014; 32 Samaras et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008). However, contamination of sewerage systems with 33 potentially toxic elements (PTEs), such as Cd, Zn, Cu and Pb, diminishes the beneficial impact 34 of biosolids and presents a significant environmental burden (Hernandez-Soriano and Jimenez-35 Lopez, 2012; Roig et al., 2012; Singh and Agrawal, 2008).

36 Ample research has indicated that a variety of soil properties alter metal bioavailability 37 (Rosenfeld et al., 2018). Therefore, assessment of metal contamination based solely on total 38 soil metal concentration is a poor indicator of environmental impact (Meers et al., 2007). This 39 is particularly relevant in biosolid-amended soils where contaminants are introduced into soils 40 already in association with adsorptive organic and inorganic phases. This limits the 41 environmental risks of these contaminants and forms the basis of the "protection hypothesis" 42 (Mamindy-Pajany et al., 2014). On the other hand, organic matter mineralization (post 43 application) could result in the release of contaminants into more bioavailable forms over long 44 periods of biosolids application - a concept loosely labelled the "time bomb hypothesis" 45 (Stietiya and Wang, 2011).

Some studies suggest that the free ion activity (FIA) of divalent metal cations in the soil
solution is the best indicator of PTE bioavailability (Hooda, 2010; Tye et al., 2003). The FIA
will depend on the soil characteristics which control the solid ⇒ solution equilibrium of labile
PTEs (Groenenberg et al., 2017). Thus, estimating the labile pool in soil may enable a more

50 accurate assessment of toxicity and risk from PTEs. The 'labile' pool of metal is the fraction 51 of soil metal that forms an equilibrium between the solid and solution phases within a short 52 time scale; see for example Groenenberg et al., (2017). Whilst chemical extractions have been 53 traditionally used to quantify available metal (Kim et al., 2015), it is acknowledged that these 54 extractions are operationally defined and can be poorly selective (Young et al., 2005). Other 55 limitations include re-adsorption processes during extraction or overestimation of lability due 56 to the dissolution of mineral surfaces thereby releasing non-labile metal (Marzouk et al., 2013a; 57 Young et al., 2005). The isotopic dilution technique has been recognised as a more robust and 58 mechanistically based method that reliably quantifies the labile reservoir of metal in soil 59 (Hamon et al., 2008; Stacey et al., 2001). The technique is based on the premise that when a 60 known amount of a tracer isotope of a metal is introduced into a soil suspension, its 61 solid:solution ratio mirrors that of the native reactive metal. Thus, the ratio of the tracer isotope 62 to that of the indigenous isotope in solution can be used to calculate the size of the soil metal 63 labile pool (also termed the E-value or isotopically exchangeable fraction) (Hamon et al., 2008; 64 Stacey et al., 2001).

The aim of this study was to assess the consequences of long-term application of biosolids to arable soils. The specific objectives were to determine: (i) solubility of PTEs, (ii) the 'reactivity' of soil PTE reservoir and (iii) transfer of PTEs to agricultural crops at a longestablished sewage treatment farm in the UK.

- 69 2. Materials and methods
- 70 **2.1.** Location and sample collection.

Soil and plant samples (n = 38) were collected on 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> of August 2013 from 31 fields of a sewage processing farm (c. 700 ha) in the East Midlands of England that has been in use for over 100 years. At the time of sampling (n = 31 fields), the site was used to grow feedstock 74 (nine varieties of fodder maize; Table S1) for biogas production. The practice is to ensile the 75 maize prior to use for gas production and residues are returned to the arable fields. No produce 76 from the farm is used for human or animal consumption; the facility operates under a U.K. 77 DEFRA (Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs) licence as a 'dedicated site'. It 78 is worth noting that the site is a fully operational disposal site with more than 60 individual 79 fields, each of which carries the imprint of urban biosolids disposal, and the facility has been 80 used for more than a century. Therefore, even though there are no audits of biosolids 81 composition or disposal rate, the range of biosolids application across the site is evident from 82 the range of metal concentrations in soil, for example the concentration of Zn ranges from near background concentration (122 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) to heavily contaminated (> 2000 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The 83 84 variation in soil organic matter content, phosphate concentration and metal concentrations 85 undoubtedly represent the historical imprint of past biosolids applications and provide unique 86 evidence for testing the two confounding hypotheses surrounding the use of biosolids in 87 agricultural soils. The invaluable advantage of using the site is its longevity – a feature which 88 is key to examining the long term effects and which provides considerable advantages over 89 short-term (but controlled) trials with biosolids disposal to soil.

90 Four maize plants were cut 3-4 cm above ground level from within one square metre in each 91 field. Four soil samples (0 - 20 cm) were taken within rows inside the same square metre area 92 and mixed into one composite sample. Leaves were removed from the maize stems and both 93 leaves and stems were washed with tap water, followed by deionised water, and then oven-94 dried at 60 °C for four days. Stems and leaves were ground and combined into one composite 95 sample using a cutting mill (Retsch, Model SM 100) and ultra-centrifugal mill with titanium screen (Retsch, Model ZM 200), prior to analysis. Soil samples were sieved to <4 mm, air-96 97 dried and a subsample (c. 20 g) was agate ball-milled (Retsch, Model PM 400) prior to analysis 98 of carbon, free oxides and total elemental concentrations.

#### 99 2.2. Plant material digestion and analysis

A portion of finely ground plant material (c. 200 mg) was digested with 6 mL of concentrated 100 101 Primar grade HNO<sub>3</sub> using a Multiwave PRO Anton Paar microwave reaction system, with 102 heating at 140°C for 20 minutes. Elemental analysis was by ICP-MS (Model iCapQ, Thermo 103 Scientific, Bremen). To assess the accuracy and precision of the digestion and analysis, a 104 standard reference material, NIST 1573a Tomato Leaves (National Institute of Standards and 105 Technology), and 3 operational blanks, were included in each sample batch. The elemental 106 recoveries for the certified reference material were  $120\% \pm 0.61\%$ ,  $96.1\% \pm 5.05\%$ ,  $99.3\% \pm$ 107 4.50%, and  $103\% \pm 7.21\%$  for Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd respectively.

108 2.3. Soil characterization

109 Soil pH was determined in a suspension with Milli-Q water (18.2 MQ cm; 1:2.5 m/v) after 110 shaking for 30 minutes on an end-over-end shaker; the measurement was repeated in 0.01 M 111 Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1:10 m/v) suspensions. Soil organic matter was estimated from loss on ignition (% 112 LOI): approximately 5 g of oven-dried soil (< 4 mm) was ignited in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 hours. Available P (Olsen-P) in soil was estimated using the bicarbonate extraction 113 114 method described by Olsen et al., (1954) followed by colorimetric analysis adapted from 115 Murphy and Riley, (1962). Approximately 2.0 g of soil was weighed into 50 mL centrifuge tubes with approximately 0.3 g of low phosphate charcoal and 30 mL of 0.5 M sodium 116 117 bicarbonate. Samples were shaken end-over-end shaken for 30 minutes before centrifuging at 118 2500 g for 15 minutes. An aliquot of the extracted supernatant was added to 2 mL 3 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 119 to neutralise the NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, followed by 4 mL of acid molybdate reagent and 4 mL of ascorbic 120 acid as a reducing agent; the final volume was made up to 50 mL with Milli-Q water. The 121 colour was allowed to develop for 20 minutes and absorbance read at 880 nm in a spectrophotometer (Model Ce1011, Cecil Instruments, Cambridge, UK). Samples were run in 122 123 triplicates. Estimates of total Al, Fe and Mn oxides were determined using the Dithionite124 Citrate–Bicarbonate (DCB) extraction method (Anschutz et al., 1998). Finely ground soil 125 (0.250 mg) was shaken with 20 mL DCB reagent at 20 °C and the concentrations of Al, Mn, 126 Fe in solution were determined by ICP-MS after centrifuging and syringe filtration ( $<0.22 \mu m$ ).

#### 127 2.3.1. Total elemental concentrations in soil (M<sub>Total</sub>)

Approximately 200 mg of finely ground soil was digested in a mixture of HNO<sub>3</sub>, HClO<sub>4</sub> and 128 129 HF acids in a heating block digester prior to analysis by ICP-MS. Approximately 200 mg of 130 finely ground soil were digested with 2 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> (70% trace element grade) and 1 mL of 131 HClO<sub>4</sub> (70% analytical grade) at 80 °C for 8 h followed by 2 h of heating at 100 °C. This was 132 followed by addition of 2.5 mL of HF (40% trace element grade) and heating at 120 °C for 8 h 133 to dryness. A further 2.5 mL of HNO3 and 2.5 mL of Milli-Q water were then added to the 134 dried residue and the vessels were heated at 50 °C for 30 min. After the digestion was complete 135 the final volume was made up to 50 mL using Milli-Q water. The reference material NIST 136 2711a Montana soil, (National Institute of Standards and Technology) was used to ensure QA and 10 operational blank digestions were used to estimate limits of detection (LOD). The 137 138 recoveries for the certified reference material were 86.7%  $\pm$  1.72%, 105  $\pm$  1.90%, 102%  $\pm$ 139 1.38%,  $114\% \pm 2.09\%$ , and  $107\% \pm 2.82\%$  for Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb respectively.

#### 140 2.3.2. Soluble metal concentrations (M<sub>soln</sub>)

141 Dissolved trace and major metallic elements were determined on soil suspensions in 0.01 M 142  $Ca(NO_3)_2$  (1:10 soil:solution ratio) following 3 days equilibration time on an end-over-end 143 shaker. Following measurement of suspension pH, the soil aqueous phase was isolated by 144 centrifugation (2200 g) and filtration (0.22 µm syringe filters) prior to elemental analysis by 145 ICP-MS and determination of dissolved organic and inorganic carbon using a Shimadzu TOC 146 -Vcp analyser.

147 2.3.3. Isotopically exchangeable metal  $(M_E)$ :

148 The concentrations of isotopically exchangeable Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb were determined as described in Mossa et al., (2017). Briefly, soils were suspended in 0.01 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (3 g: 30 149 mL) and pre-equilibrated on an end-over-end shaker for 2 days. Suspensions were then spiked 150 with five enriched stable isotopes, <sup>62</sup>Ni<sup>2+</sup>, <sup>65</sup>Cu<sup>2+</sup>, <sup>70</sup>Zn<sup>2+</sup>, <sup>108</sup>Cd<sup>2+</sup> and <sup>204</sup>Pb<sup>2+</sup>, and equilibrated 151 for a further three days. The solution phase was isolated by centrifuging (2200 g) for 15 min 152 and syringe-filtration ( $<0.22 \mu m$ ) prior to determination of isotopic ratios ( $^{62}Ni$ / $^{60}Ni$ ,  $^{65}Cu$ / $^{63}Cu$ , 153 <sup>70</sup>Zn/<sup>66</sup>Zn, <sup>108</sup>Cd/<sup>111</sup>Cd, <sup>204</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>204</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb, and <sup>204</sup>Pb/<sup>208</sup>Pb) by Q-ICP-MS (Model iCapQ, 154 155 Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany). To reduce polyatomic interference, the instrument was 156 operated in 'collision cell with kinetic energy discrimination (KED) mode'. To minimize 157 plasma flicker noise, multiple runs (c. 10×100 sweeps) and short dwell times were employed. 158 To minimise mass bias, a correction factor was estimated at a regular interval throughout the 159 analytical session (every 15 samples). The correction factor was defined as the ratio between 160 the true isotopic ratio (expected) and the isotopic ratio in standard reference material NIST 161 SRM-981 (lead wire). The correction factors were used to correct for mass bias and thereby 162 convert intensity (CPS) ratios to true isotope ratios and calculate isotopic abundances of the spike isotopes. Each measurement was replicated twice, and the relative standard deviation was 163 164 mostly less than 5%. As the relative abundance of Pb isotope varies according to its sources, 165 Two unspiked samples were used determine Pb isotopic ratio instead of relying on the relative 166 abundance of naturally occurring isotopes. The concentrations of isotopically exchangeable 167 Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, and Pb, (*E*-values), were calculated from Equation 1 (Gäbler et al., 1999).

168 
$$M_E = \left(\frac{M_{soil}}{W}\right) \left(\frac{C_{spike}V_{spike}}{M_{spike}}\right) \frac{(^{Iso1}IA_{spike} - ^{Iso2}IA_{spike}R_{SS})}{(^{Iso2}IA_{soil}R_{SS} - ^{Iso1}IA_{soil})}$$
1

Where  $M_{soil}$  and  $M_{spike}$  are the average atomic mass metal in soils and spike solutions respectively, W is the mass of the soil (kg),  $C_{spike}$  is the gravimetric concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) of the metal in the spike solution,  $V_{spike}$  is the volume of spike added (L), IA is the isotopic abundance,  $R_{ss}$  is the ratio of isotopic abundances for the two isotopes in the spiked soil solution. The same experimental procedure was repeated for all soils using 10<sup>-5</sup> M Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA as the suspending solution instead of 0.01 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. This was in response to the extremely low solubility of Pb in the soils (Atkinson et al., 2011); the Pb data (Pb<sub>*E*</sub>) presented include only *E*-values obtained using EDTA as the soil suspension matrix.

#### 177 2.3.4. Soil solution speciation

The geochemical model, WHAM VII (Tipping, 1994), was used to speciate Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in the solution phase of the 0.01 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> soil suspension that was used to determine *E*values. Inputs to the model included cation and anion concentrations and pH in the Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> suspension. The dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was converted to fulvic acid (FA) concentration by assuming (i) a carbon content of 50% and (ii) that FA constituted 65% of DOC (Buekers et al., 2008; Lofts et al., 2008; Marzouk et al., 2013b). Partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> (P<sub>CO2</sub>) was set to 0.004 atm and the temperature was set to 25 °C.

#### 185 2.3.5. Lead isotopic composition analysis in soil and plant samples

Abundances of the Pb isotopes <sup>204</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>207</sup>Pb and <sup>208</sup>Pb were determined in soil and plant 186 187 acid digests by ICP-MS. Instrument parameters were 6000 quadrupole sweeps with a dwell time of 10 ms and a dead time correction factor of 34.7 ns. To ensure that the detector remained 188 189 within the range of pulse-counting mode, all soil digests were diluted to a uniform Pb concentration of 10 µg L<sup>-1</sup> with Milli-Q water. This was not possible for plant digests, which 190 191 were simply diluted to a HNO<sub>3</sub> concentration of 3% using Milli-Q water. Isobaric interference from <sup>204</sup>Hg was corrected by measuring <sup>202</sup>Hg. Thallium (<sup>203</sup>Tl, <sup>205</sup>Tl) was used as an internal 192 193 mass bias correction (MBC) and NIST-981 was then used to refine the MBC, as described by 194 Usman et al. (Usman et al., 2018). The NIST-981 standard was run after every 10 samples.

#### 195 **2.4.** Data analysis

Multiple regression analysis was utilised to explore the effect of soil properties on metal solubility and lability. All variables, apart from pH, included in the multiple regression were tested for normality and log-transformed when required. All statistical analysis were performed using R (R Core Team, 2018).

#### 200 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 201 **3.1.** General characterization of the site

General characteristics of soils used in the study are given in Table S2. Soil pH<sub>Ca</sub>, pH measured 202 203 in the solution phase of the 0.01 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> suspensions, showed near-neutral values with 204 very little variation across the soil dataset (5.95-6.94). Loss on ignition (LOI), covered a broad 205 range 3.52-23.4% (Table 1). As expected in biosolids-amended soils, total P concentrations were positively correlated with LOI (r = 0.98; p<0.001) and varied widely from 0.945 to 13.7 206 g kg<sup>-1</sup>. The concentration of total free oxides in soil (DCB extraction) were variable, with 207 ranges of 1.49-7.29 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, 0.24-2.82 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and 10.9-36.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for Al, Mn and Fe 208 209 respectively. DOC concentration, in the solution phase of 0.01 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> suspensions, varied between  $3.10 - 40.9 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , reflecting different histories of biosolids application. 210

#### 211 **3.2.** Enrichment factors

An enrichment factor (EF) was used to reflect the status and the degree of pollution in soil.
Values of EF were determined after normalizing against Al following Eq. 2 (Bing et al., 2016;
Izquierdo et al., 2013):

215 
$$EF = \frac{(\frac{Me}{Al})sample}{(\frac{Me}{Al})background}$$
 (2)

Where (Me/Al)<sub>sample</sub> is the concentration (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of an element relative to Al concentration normalised to a similar ratio with the local background ratio (Me/Al)<sub>background</sub>. Background concentrations used for comparison were median values for topsoils derived from the Triassic Mercian Mudstone of the UK (British Geological Survey, 2006; Izquierdo et al., 2013).
Sutherland. (2000) identified five contamination categories based on the enrichment factor:
EF<2 depletion to minimal enrichment, EF=2-5: moderate enrichment, EF=5-20: significant</li>
enrichment, EF=20-40: very high enrichment, EF>40 extremely high enrichment. The majority
of elements had a mean EF greater than 5 reflecting significant enrichment (Fig S1).

224

#### **3.3.** Total metal concentrations in soils

Total metal concentrations in soil ( $M_{Total}$ ) were strongly correlated with LOI (r = 0.93–0.98, p 225 226 < 0.001, Table S3), suggesting a common source of contamination, consistent across all fields. Samples showed a wide range of M<sub>Total</sub>, with Zn being the most abundant metal, with 227 concentration spanning over one order of magnitude (122–2050 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>); Cu ranged from 25.3 228 to 766 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Cd from 0.43 to 48.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Cr from 43.2 to 1670 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and Pb from 68.6 229 to 688 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The large variation in total soil metal concentration reflects 230 231 variable biosolids application rates throughout the sampling area and the length of time that 232 each field has been used for biosolids disposal. Values of M<sub>Total</sub> in most fields exceeded the 233 maximum permissible concentrations of metals in normal arable soils permitted by current 234 regulations (The Sludge Use in Agriculture Regulations, 1989). However, it should be noted 235 that the site is operated under licence from the Department of Environment Food & Rural 236 Affairs (DEFRA, U.K) and does not produce crops for human or animal consumption.

Figure 2 shows ratios between Zn and several metals (Cr, Cu, Rb, and Cd) in soils as a function of total soil P concentration ( $P_{Total}$ ), which can be used as a reasonable proxy for biosolids loading. Rubidium was selected as a fingerprint for the presence of clays and therefore as an indication of native soil. Rubidium was used as a proxy for clay minerals based on (i) the fact that it is a common constituent of clay minerals (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001) and (ii) the assumption that the parent material is similar across the site. This is evidenced by the strong correlation between Rb and Al (r = 0.84) and Cs (r = 0.88) in these soils. Also biosolids are an 244 unlikely source of Rb, as indicated by the weakly negative correlation between LOI and Rb 245 concentration in soil (r = -0.37). Biosolids composition, leaching, and plant uptake are the main factors that most likely control the ratios between different metals in biosolids-amended 246 247 soils. An attempt was made to estimate the annual loss through leaching and offtake by crop 248 plants (Table 2). For metal loss through leaching, it was assumed that half of the annual rainfall 249 (c. 600 mm) was leached below the surface soil (20 cm). Metal concentration measured in 0.01 250 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>Soln</sub>, was used as a proxy of metal concentration in the soil solution. Metal loss 251 through crop harvest was based on metal concentration in the maize crop (above-ground 252 biomass) assuming an annual yield of 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

253 Notwithstanding the very crude assumptions underpinning the estimates in Table 2 it seems 254 reasonable to conclude that metal losses through plant uptake and leaching are probably 255 negligible and metals added with the sludge are largely conserved. Thus the relationships 256 shown in Fig. 2 suggest that metal ratios in soil are mainly the result of differences in the ratios 257 of the two end members – the native soil and the biosolids. The positive correlation between 258 Zn:Rb ratio and  $P_{Total}$  (Fig. 2A) indicates that biosolids application caused (i) increased Zn 259 contents in soil and (ii) a dilution effect of *native* soil through increasing organic matter content, 260 thus shifting Zn:Rb to greater values. This is evidenced by a range of 0.76 - 1.55 EFs for Rb, 261 indicating depletion to minimal enrichment. By contrast, the trends in Fig. 2(B-D) show that 262 the ratios decrease towards an asymptote reflecting the composition of the applied sludge 263 integrated over time.

#### 264 **3.4.** Changes in metal lability with sludge loading

The *E*-values (M<sub>*E*</sub>) of the studied metals spanned over two orders of magnitude (Table 3). M<sub>Total</sub> was strongly correlated with M<sub>*E*</sub> ( $r \ge 0.99$  for Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd; r = 0.72 for Pb). This is probably the result of co-variance of the soil properties that are likely to affect metal lability (e.g. soil organic matter, pH) with biosolid application, coupled with the restricted range of pH across the site (Table 1). The ranges of metal lability (%M<sub>*E*</sub>) are shown in Table 3. Copper was the most labile metal and the lability decreased in the order Cu > Cd > Zn  $\approx$  Ni > Pb. With the exception of Ni, this order is in accordance with the findings of Garforth et al., (2016) who determined E-values for a single soil from the same site. In the present study %Ni<sub>*E*</sub> exhibited a large variation (from 3.30 to 42.4 %); Pb was the least labile metal with an average %Pb<sub>*E*</sub> of 5.3 (Table 3).

275 Figure 3 shows metal lability ( $%M_E$ ) as a function of P<sub>Total</sub>. Nickel, Cu, and Cd largely exhibited a single trend in which %M<sub>E</sub> increased with P<sub>Total</sub> (i.e. metal loading from biosolids). Given the 276 strong correlation between M<sub>Total</sub> and P<sub>Total</sub> (Table S3), and M<sub>Total</sub> and M<sub>E</sub>, a clear trend in %M<sub>E</sub> 277 278 with P<sub>Total</sub> was not anticipated. However, Figs 3A-3C indicate that the interaction of metals with sludge-amended soil have a strong effect on metal lability; in the case of Ni, Cu, and Zn 279 lability ( $%M_E$ ) increased with metal loading. This may be due to progressive occupancy of 280 281 weaker binding sites on soil humus and metal oxides as metal concentration increases. By 282 contrast, there was a clear decreasing trend with metal loading in the case of  $%Cd_E$  and  $%Pb_E$ . 283 This is likely due to the high content of P on the site (up to 13,700 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>); correlations (rvalues) between  $P_{Total}$  and %Cd<sub>E</sub> and %Pb<sub>E</sub> were -0.45 (P = 0.005) and -0.47 (P = 0.003) for 284 Cd and Pb respectively. Large soil P concentrations may promote the precipitation of Cd and 285 286 Pb as insoluble P compounds in soil (Atkinson et al., 2011; Fang et al., 2016; Garforth et al., 287 2016; McBride, 2016; Paltseva et al., 2018). It is worth noting, however, particularly in the case of %Cd<sub>E</sub>, that there was an initial increase in metal lability with P<sub>Total</sub>, followed by a 288 289 downward trend. This may indicate that initially biosolids application has mobilising effects 290 through increased organic matter, dissolved organic matter and the progressive occupancy of weaker metal adsorption sites with metal loading. This trend is then reversed when the 291 292 immobilizing effect of phosphate becomes the predominant factor, for Cd and Pb.

293 **3.5.** Solubility of metals

294 Soluble metal concentrations (M<sub>Soln</sub>) in soil were estimated by equilibration with 0.01 M 295 Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; results are reported as supplementary material (Table S4). Values of M<sub>Soln</sub> were less than 1% of M<sub>Total</sub> for most elements; mean values were 0.78%, 0.25%, 0.13%, 0.31% and 296 0.001% for Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, and Pb respectively. Distribution coefficients (Kd) were calculated 297 298 for each element as the ratio between the *adsorbed* isotopically exchangeable form  $(M_E - M_{Soln})$ mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and the free ion activity ( $M^{2+}$ ; mg L<sup>-1</sup>) in soil solution, determined from M<sub>Soln</sub> in 0.01 299 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> following speciation using the geochemical model WHAM VII. Values of 300  $Log_{10}(K_d)$  for Ni, Zn and Cd were strongly correlated (r = 0.79, 0.93, and 0.79 respectively) 301 302 with soil pH, while no distinctive trend was observed for Cu and Pb (r = 0.43 and 0.44 respectively). 303

To relate metal partitioning between soil solid and solution phases to the variations in soil 304 properties, multiple regression analysis was used. The regression models were based on Sauvé 305 306 et al., (2000) where M<sub>Total</sub>, LOI and soil pH were considered as major explanatory variables 307 controlling K<sub>d</sub>. Concentrations of total soil metal (M<sub>Total</sub>) were excluded from the regression 308 analysis because of the strong correlation between  $M_{Total}$ ,  $M_E$ , and LOI indicating that they 309 provide redundant information which was confirmed by high variance inflation factors (VIF > 10). The results of the regression models are reported in Table 4. Most of the variation in K<sub>d</sub> 310 311 for Ni, Zn, and Cd was described by the model (Table 4). However, only 25% and 22% of the 312 variation in K<sub>d</sub> were explained for Cu and Pb, respectively, indicating that other soil factors 313 control the partitioning of these two metals. As expected, pH had positive coefficients in the regression models reflecting the dual role of pH in controlling metal solubility through 314 315 increasing proton competition for binding sites and decreasing pH-dependent surface charges with decreasing pH (Sauvé et al., 2003; Shaheen et al., 2013). Most of the variation in K<sub>d</sub> for 316 317 Ni, Zn, and Cd was explained by soil pH only in the regression equations (Table 4).

Surprisingly, the variation in K<sub>d</sub> explained by LOI was minor but this may have arisen because
LOI was negatively correlated with pH which may mask any independent effect of LOI on K<sub>d</sub>.

Inclusion of other soil properties in the regression model was also explored. Only total Mn concentration in soil was significant in the case of Ni, Zn, Cd, and Pb; including it in the regression model increased the variation in  $K_d$  values explained to 65%, 92%, 85%, and 57% for Ni, Zn, Cd, and Pb respectively.

#### 324 3.5.1. Metal speciation in solution.

325 Metal speciation in the solution phase of the 0.01 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> suspensions was calculated 326 using WHAM VII. Cadmium, Ni, and Zn were largely (>91% on average) present as free ionic 327 species, with minor proportions (<10%) bound to DOC. Similarly, Ivezić et al., (2012) reported that only 9% and 7% of Cd and Zn, respectively, were associated with FA in the soil solution 328 329 and Zhang et al., (2015) found that including DOC as a factor did not improve the prediction 330 of dissolved Ni using WHAM. By contrast, on average, 41% and 58% of dissolved Pb and Cu 331 were complexed with DOC, respectively, reflecting their high affinity for soil organic matter. 332 Again, this in agreement with Ivezić et al., (2012) who reported that 66% of Cu and Pb were 333 bound to FA in the soil solution.

334 It has been well established that metal 'intensity', rather than 'quantity', is the most relevant representation of metal bioavailability in assessing the ecological consequence of metals in soil 335 336 (Golui et al., 2020; Hamels et al., 2014; McBride and Cai, 2015; Mossa et al., 2020). Using 337 total metal concentration in soil overestimates the 'quantity' of available metal as only a portion 338 of that is reactive. It is therefore important to use the reactive (labile) portion of soil metal as 339 input for WHAM in order to estimate the free ion activity. Thus it is evident from Fig. 4 that 340 using total metal concentrations as input to WHAM largely overestimated the free ion activities 341 determined from solution data alone. Conversely, using the reactive metal concentrations (E-

342 values) substantially improved the prediction of the free ion activity, particularly for Ni and 343 Zn. The only exception was for Pb where no reasonable predictions were obtained irrespective 344 of which pool was used as input. This is consistent with the findings of several other authors 345 who reported poor predictions of Pb using WHAM (Bonten et al., 2008; Marzouk et al., 2013b; Rennert et al., 2017). The poor prediction of the free ion activity of Pb could be due to the 346 347 formation of ternary complexes and poorly insoluble compounds with P (Marzouk et al., 348 2013b). It is clear from Fig. 4 that reasonable prediction can be obtained using only E-values 349 and selected characteristics of the solid phase in soil.

#### 350 **3.6.** Lead isotope ratios

351 To assess the contribution of Pb sources to plant uptake, Pb isotope ratios in soil and the maize 352 crop were determined. Two dominant Pb sources in the UK were used as end members in a simple binary mixing model: (i) Pb from leaded petrol with isotope ratios <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb and 353  $^{208}$ Pb/ $^{207}$ Pb estimated as  $1.067 \pm 0.007$  and  $2.340 \pm 0.011$  respectively (Sugden et al., 1993); 354 and (ii) Pb ore from the Southern Pennine ore-field (U.K.) with values of <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb and 355  $^{208}$ Pb/ $^{207}$ Pb of 1.182  $\pm$  0.004 and 2.458  $\pm$  0.002 respectively (Rohl, 1996). The relative 356 357 contribution of petrol-derived Pb to the total soil and plant Pb concentrations (%Pb<sub>Petrol</sub>) was 358 estimated using Eq. 3 (Farmer et al., 2005).

$$359 \quad \%Pb_{Petrol} = 100 \left( \frac{IR_{Ore} - IR_{Sample}}{IR_{Ore} - IR_{Petrol}} \right)$$
(3)

The IR indicates the isotopic ratio (<sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb) and subscripts indicate the medium (Ore, Petrol, Sample). Soil and plant samples had a range of isotope ratios extending between the two end members (Pennine Pb and petrol) (Fig. 5A). Soils with low Pb concentrations (i.e. low biosolids inputs) showed a greater influence from local ore or coal, whereas the contribution from petrol-derived Pb was more apparent in the soils with high Pb content (Fig. 5B and C). The slope in Fig. 5C suggests that petrol-derived Pb constitutes about 52% of the Pb in 366 biosolids on a time-averaged basis. The binary mixing model demonstrates a range of Pb 367 sources in the maize crop; however, crucially, the plant samples showed a narrower range of 368 Pb isotope ratios than the soil samples (Fig. 5A). Figure 5D compares petrol-derived Pb in soil 369 with that in the maize crop. At high levels of petrol-derived Pb in soil, the isotopic signature of 370 Pb in soil is similar to that in plant samples. However, the ratios are increasingly divergent at 371 low proportions of petrol-derived Pb (i.e. high geogenic source). Two trends in Fig. 5D might 372 suggest that the petrol-derived Pb is more accessible to plants than geogenic Pb, possibly due 373 to differences in contact time. This would be consistent with Izquierdo et al., (2012) who found 374 that petrol-Pb was enriched in the bioavailable pools of Pb for alluvial soils within the same 375 catchment as the current study. Alternatively, it is likely that most of the Pb measured in plant 376 samples was the result of physical contamination from soil dust (despite sample washing). 377 Thus, a small amount of dust from a neighbouring field with a large soil Pb concentration, and 378 therefore a higher proportion of petrol-derived Pb, will significantly affect the isotopic 379 signature of plants growing on a soil with a low Pb concentration. The converse of course is 380 not true – dust from a soil with low Pb concentration (i.e. mainly geogenic sources) will have 381 a negligible effect on the isotopic signature of plants growing on a soil with a high Pb 382 concentration. The net result is that, inevitably, the range of isotopic signatures in the plant 383 samples is narrower than in the soils and shifted towards petrol-derived Pb (Fig. 5A).

**3**84 **3.7.** 

#### .7. Plant uptake of metals

Plant uptake of metals (Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb) was evaluated using different estimates of metal bioavailability in soil:  $M_{Total}$ ,  $M_E$ ,  $M_{Soln}$  and  $(M^{2+})$  (Fig. 6 and Figs S2 – S5 in the supplementary material). All correlations were significant (P < 0.01) for the five trace metals and the four estimates of metal bioavailability explained more than 70% of the variation in Ni, Cu, Zn and Cd concentrations in the maize crop. For Pb<sub>Plant</sub> (Fig. S5), only a maximum of 34% of the variation in Pb<sub>Plant</sub> concentration could be explained by Pb concentration in soil – probably due to surface contamination of plant samples by soil dust and very limited systemic uptake of Pb
by the maize plants (Degryse et al., 2009; Nolan et al., 2005; Nordløkken et al., 2015). The
latter route is probably further limited by low Pb solubility (McBride et al., 2014; Schreck et
al., 2012), as is the case of the sludge-amended soils, due to high phosphate concentrations.

395 In general, soil total metal concentration is of little use of assessing metal bioavailability and 396 plant uptake (Ciadamidaro et al., 2017; McBride et al., 2009) whereas concentration or activity 397 in the soil solution, regarded as an 'intensity' factor, provide the best predictors for plant uptake 398 and toxicity (Black et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2015). However, in the present study, as seen in 399 Fig. 6 (and Fig S2-S5), there was hardly any difference amongst the contrasting estimates of 400 soil metal availability. This lack of discrimination is likely to arise where a single soil type is 401 in receipt of variable amounts of a single contaminant source and is subject to a fairly uniform 402 management regime. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that all the factors that are likely to affect 403 metal availability in soils receiving biosolids (pH, organic matter concentration, phosphate 404 concentration, metal loading) will co-vary with sludge loading (Table S3). This coupled with 405 the narrow pH range (6 - 6.9) controlled by management may explain the similar ability of soil 406 *capacity variables* (i.e. metal reservoir;  $M_{soil}$ ,  $M_E$ ) and *intensity variables* ( $M_{soln}$ ,  $M^{2+}$ ) to predict 407 M<sub>Plant</sub>.

#### 408 **4.** Conclusions

409 The consequences of long-term application of biosolids to arable soils on metal dynamics were 410 investigated. The study was based on a site that had been utilised for biosolids disposal to arable 411 land for well over a century. Several important conclusions emerged.

Despite the large concentration of metals, low levels of lability (%) were observed for
some metals (19.9 ± 10.7, 36.0 ± 5.16, 21.5 ± 7.59, 28.0 ± 4.21, 5.19 ± 2.56 for Ni, Cu,
Zn, Cd, and Pb respectively). These observations support the 'protection hypotheses'

regarding biosolids application to soil in which constituents of biosolids, such as organic
matter and phosphate, accumulate in the soil concurrently with metals and thereby reduce
metal reactivity. Thus, the potential risks arising from biosolids application for over 100
years may be low.

Two distinct trends of metal lability with P concentration in soil were observed. The %
lability of Cd and Pb declined as soil P concentration increased suggesting a direct
interaction. By contrast the lability of Ni, Zn, and Cu increased with soil P. This may be
due to the strong correlation between P and total metal content and progressive occupancy
of weaker binding sites on soil humus and metal oxides as metal concentration increases.
Thus, there is a case for metal specific behaviour to be considered when devising
regulatory limits governing the use of biosolids in agriculture.

Average mole ratios of Zn to Ni, Cu, Cd and Pb in soil were 4.4, 2.4, 69, and 8.4, reflecting 426 Ο 427 disposal through household sewage and industrial wastewater from the city of Nottingham. 428 Analysis of the Pb isotopic composition in the maize crop revealed a greater proportion of 0 429 petrol-derived Pb, compared to the underlying topsoil, at low crop Pb concentrations. This may indicate the influence of soil dust originating from more highly contaminated nearby 430 431 fields. This was consistent with a relatively poor relationship between soil and plant Pb 432 concentrations compared to the other metals studied.

The European Parliament (Directive 2002/32/EC, 2002) set limits of As, Cd, Hg and Pb in
animal feed of 2, 1, 0.1, and 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Cadmium exceeded these limits in
15 fields, with mean and maximum Cd concentrations of 1.2 and 6.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> respectively.
However, the maximum concentrations of As, Hg, and Pb were 0.35, 0.06, and 0.335
respectively - considerably below the EU limits of 2, 0.1, and 30 respectively despite high
levels of enrichment in the soils on site.

- 439 O High correlation between all measures of metal availability in soil in addition to the limited
- soil pH range obscured any advantage in using soluble or labile metal concentration over
- 441 total soil metal concentration in predicting metal bioavailability, determined as
- 442 concentration in a fodder maize crop.

#### 443 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- 444 This work was funded by Islamic Development Bank's Merit Scholarship Programme for High
- 445 Technology awarded to AW Mossa (Reference No. 36/11204351, File No. 78/SYR/P31). The
- 446 authors would like to thank Severn Trent Water Ltd. for their co-operation, and the anonymous
- 447 reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions.

#### 448 **References:**

- Anschutz, P., Zhong, S., Sundby, B., Mucci, A., Gobeil, C., 1998. Burial efficiency of phosphorus and the geochemistry of iron in continental margin sediments. Limnol.
  Oceanogr. 43, 53–64. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.1.0053
- Antille, D.L., Godwin, R.J., Sakrabani, R., Seneweera, S., Tyrrel, S.F., Johnston, A.E., 2017.
  Field-Scale Evaluation of Biosolids-Derived Organomineral Fertilizers Applied to
  Winter Wheat in England. Agron. J. 0. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2016.09.0495
- Atkinson, N.R., Bailey, E.H., Tye, A.M., Breward, N., Young, S.D., 2011. Fractionation of
   lead in soil by isotopic dilution and sequential extraction. Environ. Chem. 8, 493–500.
- 457 Bing, H., Wu, Y., Zhou, J., Li, R., Luo, J., Yu, D., 2016. Vegetation and Cold Trapping Modulating Elevation-dependent Distribution of Trace Metals in Soils of a High 458 459 Mountain Eastern Tibetan Plateau. in Sci. Rep. 6. 24081. 460 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24081
- Black, A., McLaren, R.G., Reichman, S.M., Speir, T.W., Condron, L.M., 2011. Evaluation of
  soil metal bioavailability estimates using two plant species (L. perenne and
  T. aestivum) grown in a range of agricultural soils treated with biosolids and metal salts.
  Environ. Pollut. 159, 1523–1535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.03.004
- Bonten, L.T.C., Groenenberg, J.E., Weng, L., van Riemsdijk, W.H., 2008. Use of speciation
  and complexation models to estimate heavy metal sorption in soils. Geoderma 146,
  303–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.06.005
- British Geological Survey, 2006. Regional Geochemistry of Humber-Trent: Stream Water,
  Stream Sediment and Soil.
- Buekers, J., Degryse, F., Maes, A., Smolders, E., 2008. Modelling the effects of ageing on Cd,
  Zn, Ni and Cu solubility in soils using an assemblage model. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 59, 1160–
  1170. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2008.01053.x
- 473 Ciadamidaro, L., Puschenreiter, M., Santner, J., Wenzel, W.W., Madejón, P., Madejón, E.,
  474 2017. Assessment of trace element phytoavailability in compost amended soils using
  475 different methodologies. J. Soils Sediments 17, 1251–1261.
  476 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-015-1283-3

- 477 Degryse, F., Smolders, E., Zhang, H., Davison, W., 2009. Predicting availability of mineral
  478 elements to plants with the DGT technique: a review of experimental data and
  479 interpretation by modelling. Environ. Chem. 6, 198–218.
  480 https://doi.org/10.1071/EN09010
- 481 Directive 2002/32/EC, 2002. Directive 2002/32/EC of the European Parliament and of the
   482 Council of 7 May 2002 on undesirable substances in animal feed Council statement.
- Fang, W., Wei, Y., Liu, J., 2016. Comparative characterization of sewage sludge compost and
  soil: Heavy metal leaching characteristics. J. Hazard. Mater. 310, 1–10.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.02.025
- Farmer, J.G., Graham, M.C., Bacon, J.R., Dunn, S.M., Vinogradoff, S.I., MacKenzie, A.B.,
  2005. Isotopic characterisation of the historical lead deposition record at Glensaugh, an
  organic-rich, upland catchment in rural N.E. Scotland. Sci. Total Environ. 346, 121–
  137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.11.020
- Gäbler, H.-E., Bahr, A., Mieke, B., 1999. Determination of the interchangeable heavy-metal
  fraction in soils by isotope dilution mass spectrometry. Fresenius J. Anal. Chem. 365,
  409–414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002160051632
- Garforth, J.M., Bailey, E.H., Tye, A.M., Young, S.D., Lofts, S., 2016. Using isotopic dilution
  to assess chemical extraction of labile Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in soils. Chemosphere
  155, 534–541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.04.096
- 496Golui, D., Datta, S.P., Dwivedi, B.S., Meena, M.C., Trivedi, V.K., 2020. Prediction of free497metal ion activity in contaminated soils using WHAM VII, baker soil test and solubility498model.499Chemosphere490https://doi.org/10.1016/i chemosphere 2019.125408
- 499 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125408
- Groenenberg, J.E., Römkens, P.F.A.M., Zomeren, A.V., Rodrigues, S.M., Comans, R.N.J.,
  2017. Evaluation of the Single Dilute (0.43 M) Nitric Acid Extraction to Determine
  Geochemically Reactive Elements in Soil. Environ. Sci. Technol. 51, 2246–2253.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05151
- Hamels, F., Malevé, J., Sonnet, P., Kleja, D.B., Smolders, E., 2014. Phytotoxicity of trace
  metals in spiked and field-contaminated soils: Linking soil-extractable metals with
  toxicity. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 33, 2479–2487. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.2693
- Hamon, R.E., Parker, D.R., Lombi, E., 2008. Advances in Isotopic Dilution Techniques in
  Trace Element Research: A Review of Methodologies, Benefits, and Limitations, in:
  Advances in Agronomy. Academic Press, pp. 289–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/S00652113(08)00406-9
- Hernandez-Soriano, M.C., Jimenez-Lopez, J.C., 2012. Effects of soil water content and organic
   matter addition on the speciation and bioavailability of heavy metals. Sci. Total
   Environ. 423, 55–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.02.033
- Hooda, P.S., 2010. Assessing Bioavailability of Soil Trace Elements, in: Trace Elements in
   Soils. Wiley, Chichester, West Sussex.
- 516 Ivezić, V., Almås, Å.R., Singh, B.R., 2012. Predicting the solubility of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in
  517 uncontaminated Croatian soils under different land uses by applying established
  518 regression models. Geoderma 170, 89–95.
  519 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.11.024
- Izquierdo, M., M. Tye, A., R. Chenery, S., 2013. Lability, solubility and speciation of Cd, Pb
   and Zn in alluvial soils of the River Trent catchment UK. Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts
   15, 1844–1858. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EM00370A
- Izquierdo, M., Tye, A.M., Chenery, S.R., 2012. Sources, lability and solubility of Pb in alluvial
   soils of the River Trent catchment, U.K. Sci. Total Environ. 433, 110–122.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.039

- Kabata-Pendias, A., Pendias, H., 2001. Trace elements in soils and plants, 3rd ed. ed. CRC
   Press, Boca Raton, Fla.
- Kim, R.-Y., Yoon, J.-K., Kim, T.-S., Yang, J.E., Owens, G., Kim, K.-R., 2015. Bioavailability
  of heavy metals in soils: definitions and practical implementation—a critical review.
  Environ. Geochem. Health 37, 1041–1061. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-015-9695y
- Lofts, S., Tipping, E., Hamilton-Taylor, J., 2008. The Chemical Speciation of Fe(III) in
  Freshwaters. Aquat. Geochem. 14, 337–358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10498-0089040-5
- Mamindy-Pajany, Y., Sayen, S., Mosselmans, J.F.W., Guillon, E., 2014. Copper, Nickel and
  Zinc Speciation in a Biosolid-Amended Soil: pH Adsorption Edge, μ-XRF and μXANES Investigations. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 7237–7244.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/es5005522
- Marzouk, E.R., Chenery, S.R., Young, S.D., 2013a. Measuring reactive metal in soil: a
  comparison of multi-element isotopic dilution and chemical extraction. Eur. J. Soil Sci.
  64, 526–536. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12043
- Marzouk, E.R., Chenery, S.R., Young, S.D., 2013b. Predicting the solubility and lability of Zn,
  Cd, and Pb in soils from a minespoil-contaminated catchment by stable isotopic
  exchange. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 123, 1–16.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2013.09.004
- McBride, M.B., 2016. Extractability of Pb in urban gardens and orchards linked to soil
   properties. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 67, 686–694. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12372
- McBride, M.B., Cai, M., 2015. Copper and zinc aging in soils for a decade: changes in metal
  extractability and phytotoxicity. Environ. Chem. 13, 160–167.
  https://doi.org/10.1071/EN15057
- McBride, M.B., Pitiranggon, M., Kim, B., 2009. A COMPARISON OF TESTS FOR
  EXTRACTABLE COPPER AND ZINC IN METAL-SPIKED AND FIELDCONTAMINATED SOIL: Soil Sci. 174, 439–444.
  https://doi.org/10.1097/SS.0b013e3181b66856
- McBride, M.B., Shayler, H.A., Spliethoff, H.M., Mitchell, R.G., Marquez-Bravo, L.G., Ferenz,
  G.S., Russell-Anelli, J.M., Casey, L., Bachman, S., 2014. Concentrations of lead,
  cadmium and barium in urban garden-grown vegetables: The impact of soil variables.
  Environ. Pollut. 194, 254–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.036
- Meers, E., Du Laing, G., Unamuno, V., Ruttens, A., Vangronsveld, J., Tack, F.M.G., Verloo,
  M.G., 2007. Comparison of cadmium extractability from soils by commonly used
  single extraction protocols. Geoderma 141, 247–259.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2007.06.002
- Mossa, A.-W., Dickinson, M.J., West, H.M., Young, S.D., Crout, N.M.J., 2017. The response
  of soil microbial diversity and abundance to long-term application of biosolids.
  Environ. Pollut. 224, 16–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.02.056
- Mossa, A.-W., Young, S.D., Crout, N.M.J., 2020. Zinc uptake and phyto-toxicity: Comparing
  intensity- and capacity-based drivers. Sci. Total Environ. 699, 134314.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134314
- Murphy, J., Riley, J.P., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of
  phosphate in natural waters. Anal. Chim. Acta 27, 31–36.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5
- Nolan, A.L., Zhang, H., McLaughlin, M.J., 2005. Prediction of Zinc, Cadmium, Lead, and
  Copper Availability to Wheat in Contaminated Soils Using Chemical Speciation,
  Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films, Extraction, and Isotopic Dilution Techniques. J.
  Environ. Qual. 34, 496–507. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2005.0496

- Nordløkken, M., Berg, T., Flaten, T.P., Steinnes, E., 2015. Essential and non-essential elements
  in natural vegetation in southern Norway: Contribution from different sources. Sci.
  Total Environ. 502, 391–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.038
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S., Dean, L.A., 1954. Estimation of available phosphorus
  in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. US Dep. Agric. Circular, Vol 939, (P.
  19).
- Paltseva, A., Cheng, Z., Deeb, M., Groffman, P.M., Maddaloni, M., 2018. Variability of
  Bioaccessible Lead in Urban Garden Soils. Soil Sci. Publish Ahead of Print.
  https://doi.org/10.1097/SS.0000000000232
- 585 R Core Team, 2018. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
   586 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- 587 Rennert, T., Rabus, W., Rinklebe, J., 2017. Modelling the concentrations of dissolved
  588 contaminants (Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn) in floodplain soils. Environ. Geochem. Health 39,
  589 331–344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-016-9859-4
- Rohl, B.M., 1996. Lead Isotope Data from the Isotrace Laboratory, Oxford: Archaeometry
  Data Base 2, Galena from Britain and Ireland. Archaeometry 38, 165–180.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4754.1996.tb00769.x
- Roig, N., Sierra, J., Martí, E., Nadal, M., Schuhmacher, M., Domingo, J.L., 2012. Long-term
  amendment of Spanish soils with sewage sludge: Effects on soil functioning. Agric.
  Ecosyst. Environ. 158, 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.05.016
- Rosenfeld, C.E., Chaney, R.L., Martínez, C.E., 2018. Soil geochemical factors regulate Cd
  accumulation by metal hyperaccumulating Noccaea caerulescens (J. Presl & C. Presl)
  F.K. Mey in field-contaminated soils. Sci. Total Environ. 616–617, 279–287.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.016
- Samaras, V., Tsadilas, C.D., Stamatiadis, S., 2008. Effects of Repeated Application of
   Municipal Sewage Sludge on Soil Fertility, Cotton Yield, and Nitrate Leaching. Agron.
   J. 100, 477–483. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2007.0162
- Sauvé, S., Hendershot, W., Allen, H.E., 2000. Solid-Solution Partitioning of Metals in
  Contaminated Soils: Dependence on pH, Total Metal Burden, and Organic Matter.
  Environ. Sci. Technol. 34, 1125–1131. https://doi.org/10.1021/es9907764
- Sauvé, S., Manna, S., Turmel, M.-C., Roy, A.G., Courchesne, F., 2003. Solid–Solution
  Partitioning of Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn in the Organic Horizons of a Forest Soil. Environ.
  Sci. Technol. 37, 5191–5196. https://doi.org/10.1021/es030059g
- Schreck, E., Foucault, Y., Sarret, G., Sobanska, S., Cécillon, L., Castrec-Rouelle, M., Uzu, G.,
  Dumat, C., 2012. Metal and metalloid foliar uptake by various plant species exposed to
  atmospheric industrial fallout: Mechanisms involved for lead. Sci. Total Environ. 427–
  428, 253–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.03.051
- Shaheen, S.M., Tsadilas, C.D., Rinklebe, J., 2013. A review of the distribution coefficients of
  trace elements in soils: Influence of sorption system, element characteristics, and soil
  colloidal properties. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 201–202, 43–56.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2013.10.005
- 617 Singh, R.P., Agrawal, M., 2008. Potential benefits and risks of land application of sewage
  618 sludge. Waste Manag. 28, 347–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.12.010
- Stacey, S., Merrington, G., McLaughlin, M.J., 2001. The effect of aging biosolids on the
  availability of cadmium and zinc in soil. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 52, 313–321.
  https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2001.00376.x
- Stietiya, M.H., Wang, J.J., 2011. Effect of Organic Matter Oxidation on the Fractionation of
   Copper, Zinc, Lead, and Arsenic in Sewage Sludge and Amended Soils. J. Environ.
   Qual. 40, 1162–1171. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2011.0008

- Sugden, C.L., Farmer, J.G., MacKenzie, A.B., 1993. Isotopic ratios of lead in contemporary
  environmental material from Scotland. Environ. Geochem. Health 15, 59–65.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02627823
- Sutherland, R.A., 2000. Bed sediment-associated trace metals in an urban stream, Oahu,
  Hawaii. Environ. Geol. 39, 611–627. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540050473
- 630The Sludge Use in Agriculture Regulations, 1989. The Sludge Use in Agriculture Regulations631[WWWDocument].URL
- 632 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/1989/1263/contents/made (accessed 1.7.19).
- Tipping, E., 1994. WHAMC—A chemical equilibrium model and computer code for waters,
  sediments, and soils incorporating a discrete site/electrostatic model of ion-binding by
  humic substances. Comput. Geosci. 20, 973–1023. https://doi.org/10.1016/00983004(94)90038-8
- Tye, A.M., Young, S.D., Crout, N.M.J., Zhang, H., Preston, S., Barbosa-Jefferson, V.L.,
  Davison, W., McGrath, S.P., Paton, G.I., Kilham, K., Resende, L., 2003. Predicting the
  activity of Cd2+ and Zn2+ in soil pore water from the radio-labile metal fraction.
  Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 67, 375–385. https://doi.org/10.1016/S00167037(02)01138-9
- Usman, A., Louise Ander, E., H. Bailey, E., Nelms, S., Pashley, V., D. Young, S., R. Chenery,
  S., 2018. Optimisation of a current generation ICP-QMS and benchmarking against
  MC-ICP-MS spectrometry for the determination of lead isotope ratios in environmental
  samples. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 33, 2184–2194. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8JA00290H
- Wang, X., Chen, T., Ge, Y., Jia, Y., 2008. Studies on land application of sewage sludge and its
  limiting factors. J. Hazard. Mater. 160, 554–558.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.03.046
- Young, S.D., Zhang, H., Tye, A.M., Maxted, A., Thums, C., Thornton, I., 2005. Characterizing
  the availability of metals in contaminated soils. I. The solid phase: sequential extraction
  and isotopic dilution. Soil Use Manag. 21, 450–458.
  https://doi.org/10.1079/SUM2005348
- Zhang, X., Li, J., Wei, D., Li, B., Ma, Y., 2015. Predicting Soluble Nickel in Soils Using Soil
  Properties and Total Nickel. PLOS ONE 10, e0133920.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133920
- 656

#### Table captions

Table 1. Soil organic matter (LOI), pH and elemental concentrations (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in soil

Table 2. Estimates of average (n = 38) annual metal loss through leaching and offtake by crop. Numbers between brackets denote standard deviations

Table 3. E-values (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and 'lability' (E-value as a % of M<sub>Total</sub>)

Table 4. Coefficients of the multiple regression equation used for predicting distribution coefficient K<sub>d</sub>. Superscripts 'NS' indicate that the coefficient was not significant (P < 0.05).

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Std. deviation
pН	6.38	5.95	6.94	0.35
LOI (%)	10.2	3.52	23.4	4.96
		$(mg kg^{-1})$		
Р	4750	945	13700	3230
Ni	114	24.4	415	107
Cu	198	25.3	766	211
Zn	565	122	2050	532
Cd	9.89	0.43	48.6	12.7
Pb	246	68.6	688	194

Table 1. Soil organic matter (LOI), pH and elemental concentration (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in soil

LOSS (%)	Cr	Cu	Zn	Cd	Rb
Plant offtake	0.00156	0.0221	0.0727	0.0570	0.0406
	$(\pm 0.00444)$	$(\pm 0.0144)$	$(\pm 0.0278)$	$(\pm 0.0318)$	$(\pm 0.0332)$
Leaching	0.00159	0.0343	0.0178	0.0424	0.00464
	$(\pm 0.000686)$	$(\pm 0.00858)$	$(\pm 0.0142)$	$(\pm 0.0184)$	$(\pm 0.00416)$

Table 2. Estimates of average (n = 38) annual metal loss through leaching and offtake by plant. Numbers between brackets denote standard deviations

		E-values (mg	g kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Lability (% $M_E$ )				
	Mean	Median	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Min	Max	
Ni	32.1	17.3	1.1	176	19.9	18.8	3.30	42.4	
Cu	76.5	45.3	5.8	304	36.0	37.0	22.9	44.2	
Zn	149	81.1	12.0	679	21.5	22.1	7.6	33.2	
Cd	2.5	1.5	0.1	11.3	28.0	28.3	19.7	37.3	
Pb	10.9	9.4	1.3	36.4	5.30	4.80	1.50	12.6	

Table 3. *E*-values (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and 'lability' (E-value as a % of  $M_{Total}$ )

	Regro	ession equ	uation			ance explain	ed (%)
	Intercept	pН	LOI	$\mathbb{R}^2$	pН	LOI	Residual
Ni	-1.53 <sup>NS</sup>	0.70	-0.09 <sup>NS</sup>	0.62	61.7	0.38	37.9
Cu	-1.24 <sup>NS</sup>	0.89	$0.67^{NS}$	0.25	18.4	7.12	74.5
Zn	-4.73	12.8	0.27	0.88	86.5	1.84	11.5
Cd	-1.36	0.67	0.4	0.76	62.2	13.5	24.3
Pb	3.57	0.43	-0.30	0.22	19.2	2.57	78.2

Table 4. Coefficients of the multiple regression equation used for predicting distribution coefficient  $K_d$ . Superscripts 'NS' indicate that the coefficient was not significant (P < 0.05)

#### **Figure captions**

Figure 1. Box and whisker diagram of total elemental concentrations in topsoil from 38 arable field locations at a dedicated sewage sludge processing facility.

Figure 2. Ratios of Zn to Rb, Cr, Cu and Cd as a function of total P concentration in soil.

Figure 3. Variation in %M<sub>E</sub> with P<sub>Total</sub> for Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb.

Figure 4. Comparison between free ion activities calculated by WHAM using only solution data (X-axis) and the free ion activities (Y-axis) calculated using either total metal concentration in soil (diamond;  $\bullet$ ) or E-values (circle;  $\bullet$ ) as WHAM inputs. The solid line represents the 1:1 relationship.

Figure 5. (A) Lead isotope signatures in soil and plant samples. Petrol-Pb and geogenic-Pb signatures are shown as end members; the dashed line is the mixing line between them; (B) <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>207</sup>Pb plotted against soil total metal content; (C) the relationship between petrol-derived Pb and Pb<sub>Total</sub>; (D) %Pb<sub>Petrol</sub> in soil and the maize crop. Symbol size in B and D is proportional to total Pb concentration in soil.

Figure 6. Relationships between Cd concentrations in the maize crop and soil. Potential drivers for Cd uptake included (A)  $Cd_{Total}$ , (B)  $Cd_E$ , (C)  $Cd_{Soln}$ , (D)  $Cd^{2+}$  ion activity. The solid lines represent a linear regression fit; the grey shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval around fitted values.

























# The impact of long-term biosolids application (> 100 years) on soil metal dynamics

Abdul-Wahab Mossa, Elizabeth H. Bailey, Abida Usman, Scott D. Young\*, Neil M. J. Crout School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton Bonington Campus, Leicestershire LE12 5RD, UK

\* Corresponding author, E-mail address: <a href="mailto:scott.young@nottingham.ac.uk">scott.young@nottingham.ac.uk</a>

#### **Study site:**

The study site is a dedicated sewage disposal site, run by a water company. The area of the site is 900 ha. The majority of the farm is grown with nine varieties of maize (Table S1); the crop silage is used for biogas production (Anaerobic Digestion).

Table S1. Maize varieties that are cropped in each field

Variety	No. of fields
Barros	3
P7892	4
Ambrosini	7
Anjou 277	2
Torres	7
Valve	1
Cassilas	2
Ronaldinio	4
P7905	1

### **Supplementary Tables**

Soil chemical properties are shown in Table S2. A broad range of soil properties and total element concentration have been observed. The large variation in total elemental concentration in soil indicates variable biosolids application rates throughout the sampling area and over the time period that each field has been used for biosolids disposal.

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Std. deviation
pН	6.38	5.95	6.94	0.35
LOI (%)	10.2	3.52	23.4	4.96
		$(mg kg^{-1})$		
Mg	6980	3160	21500	4260
Κ	14400	4940	31000	5530
Ca	12700	3830	41800	9290
Al	1560	514	2500	521
V	53.4	33.9	109	17.0
Cr	433	43.2	1670	440
Mn	565	152	1790	339
Fe	14100	6880	22800	3470
Co	11.4	6.72	16.5	2.47
As	18.7	8.59	45.6	8.21
Se	1.07	0.32	2.53	0.56
Rb	55.8	40.5	96.1	13.3
Sr	74.1	46.6	136	22.9
Mo	2.33	1.02	4.04	0.70
Ag	6.57	0.25	28.2	6.45
Sn	46.5	5.02	160	45.8
Sb	18.7	1.65	98.1	21.8
Cs	3.55	1.89	9.43	1.69
Ba	648	322	1520	332
Th	7.99	5.55	11.1	1.38
U	2.61	1.39	3.42	0.39

Table S2. Soil organic matter (LOI), pH and element concentration (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in soil

Total metal concentrations in soil strongly correlated with soil organic matter content (LOI) (Table S3) suggesting a common source of contamination.

рН														
-0.58	LOI													
-0.59	0.93	Total C												
-0.69	0.95	0.89	Р											
-0.65	0.96	0.91	0.97	Cr										
0.13	0.39	0.29	0.21	0.26	Co									
-0.63	0.95	0.88	0.96	0.98	0.31	Ni								
-0.62	0.97	0.92	0.97	0.99	0.29	0.98	Cu							
-0.60	0.97	0.92	0.96	0.98	0.34	0.98	1.00	Zn						
-0.49	0.85	0.80	0.81	0.85	0.31	0.76	0.83	0.84	As					
-0.61	0.93	0.83	0.90	0.92	0.44	0.90	0.90	0.91	0.87	Se				
-0.69	0.86	0.79	0.90	0.85	0.33	0.85	0.85	0.86	0.73	0.87	Mo			
-0.62	0.92	0.87	0.95	0.96	0.26	0.99	0.96	0.96	0.69	0.86	0.84	Cd		
-0.50	0.94	0.89	0.89	0.94	0.40	0.90	0.95	0.96	0.91	0.91	0.79	0.87	Ba	
-0.60	0.95	0.89	0.94	0.96	0.32	0.93	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.92	0.83	0.90	0.96	Pb

Table S3. Pearson correlation matrix for  $M_{Total}$ , LOI and soil pH. Bold numbers are **not** significant at p < 0.05.

Table S4 shows element concentrations in the solution phase of 0.01 M (CaNO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> extractions. This extraction estimates the readily bioavailable portion of an element of interest.

Min.	Max.	Median	Mean
0.36	13.1	5.48	6.22
0.25	12.9	3.98	4.19
0.08	0.72	0.26	0.3
2.97	48.9	15.4	16.8
1.18	33.6	6.56	9.43
0.5	14.5	4.01	4.85
0.07	10.8	1.8	2.9
0.95	25	5.82	8.4
1.61	164	14.8	36.1
1.33	661	52.5	136
2.58	196	36.4	52.1
1.93	378	42	92.8
1.26	157	34.6	44.6
53.2	472	120	155
225	764	301	339
0.55	2.86	1.16	1.25
0.1	4.94	1.52	1.68
0.02	1.31	0.08	0.19
	Min. 0.36 0.25 0.08 2.97 1.18 0.5 0.07 0.95 1.61 1.33 2.58 1.93 1.26 53.2 225 0.55 0.1 0.02	Min.Max.0.3613.10.2512.90.080.722.9748.91.1833.60.514.50.0710.80.95251.611641.336612.581961.933781.2615753.24722257640.552.860.14.940.021.31	Min.Max.Median0.3613.15.480.2512.93.980.080.720.262.9748.915.41.1833.66.560.514.54.010.0710.81.80.95255.821.6116414.81.3366152.52.5819636.41.93378421.2615734.653.24721202257643010.552.861.160.14.941.520.021.310.08

Table 4. Elemental concentrations ( $\mu g L^{-1}$ ) in the solution phase of 0.01 M Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

### **Supplementary figures**

Values of enrichment factor for different elements are shown in Figure S1. The enrichment factor was calculated as a ratio to the concentration of Al in soil and was normalised to the ratio in the regional background.



Figure S1. Boxplots of enrichment factors in the studied soils. Horizontal dashed lines represent EF of 5

Figures S2-S5 show the relationships between metal concentration in plant and the metal concentration in soil measured using different estimates of metal bioavailability in soil. All metal bioavailability indices produced good predictions of Ni, Cu, and Zn concentration in plant, explaining more that 70% of the variation in the concentration in plant (Figs S2-S4).



Figure S2. Relationships between Ni concentration in maize plants and Ni concentration in soil. The latter included (A) total Ni<sub>Total</sub>, (B) labile Ni<sub>E</sub>, (C) Ni<sub>Soln</sub>, (D) Ni<sup>2+</sup> activity. The solid lines represent a linear regression fit. Grey shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval around fitted values.



Figure S3. Relationships between Cu concentration in maize plants and Cu concentration in soil. The latter included (A) total  $Cu_{Total}$ , (B) labile  $Cu_E$ , Cusoln, (D)  $Cu^{2+}$  activity. The solid lines represent a linear regression fit. Grey shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval around fitted values.



Figure S4. Relationships between Zn concentration in maize plants and Zn concentration in soil. The latter included (A) total  $Zn_{Total}$ , (B) labile  $Zn_E$ , (C)  $Zn_{Soln}$ , (D)  $Zn^{2+}$  activity. The solid lines represent a linear regression fit. Grey shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval around fitted values.

In the case of Pb (Fig. S5) however, all metal bioavailability measures resulted in weak relations, albeit significant, with Pb concentration in maize plants, where only a maximum of 34% of the variation was accounted for. Contamination of the crop by soil-derived dust probably accounted for most of the Pb measured in the plant.



Figure S5. Relationships between Pb concentration in maize plants and Pb concentration in soil. The latter included (A) total  $Pb_{Total}$ , (B) labile  $Pb_E$ , (C)  $Pb_{Soln}$ , (D)  $Pb^{2+}$  activity. The solid lines represent a linear regression fit. Grey shaded areas represent 95% confidence interval around fitted values.