



Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

Persistent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/134093

How to cite:

The repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing citation guidance from the publisher.

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



Publisher's statement:

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk



Cell-based Therapies for Stroke: Promising Solution or Dead End?

Johannes Boltze¹, Koji Abe², Andrew N. Clarkson³, Olivier DETANTE^{4, 5}, Pedro M. Pimentel-Coelho⁶, Paulo H. Rosado-de-Castro⁶, Miroslaw Janowski^{7, 8*}

¹University of Warwick, United Kingdom, ²Okayama University, Japan, ³University of Otago, New Zealand, ⁴Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, France, ⁵INSERM U1216 Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences (GIN), France, ⁶Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ⁷University of Maryland, Baltimore, United States, ⁸Mossakowski Medical Research Centre (PAN), Poland

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Neurology

Specialty Section: Stroke

Article type: Editorial Article

Manuscript ID: 518487

Received on: 08 Dec 2019

Revised on: 06 Feb 2020

Frontiers website link: www.frontiersin.org



Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

All authors drafted and approved this editorial

Keywords

stem cell, Stroke, Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), Brain, Treatment

Contribution to the field

Stroke is a leading cause of serious long lasting disability. For many years nearly all therapeutic approaches to stroke were failing. The discovery of stem cells has brought up a lot of hope to overcome daunting outcomes of stroke. Though, no stem cell-based approach has been translated to a routine clinical treatment. Surprisingly, mechanical thrombectomy rapidly became a mainstay of stroke management as it overwhelmingly superseded efficacy of any other therapeutic approach. Therefore, the question arises if stem cell-based therapy is still a promising solution or a dead end. We have collected most recent evidence of the advances in the field of stem cells for stroke. While the replacement of damaged brain tissue by stem cells seems still to be a distant objective, we are witnessing an explosion of novel paradigms including combination therapies. Interestingly, while mechanical thrombectomy is indeed radically improving outcomes, still many patients experience some neurological deficits, which prevent their return to premorbid status. Notably, clot removal provides a gateway for therapeutic agents including stem cells to the infarcted tissue. Moreover, the smaller tissue damage due to thrombectomy may actually be easier repaired by stem cells, so regenerative medicine seems to be more promising solution than ever.

- Editorial: Cell-based Therapies for Stroke: Promising Solution or Dead End?
- Johannes Boltze¹, Koji Abe², Andrew N. Clarkson³, Oliver Detante^{4,5}, Pedro M.
- 3 Pimentel-Coelho^{6,7}, Paulo H. Rosado-de-Castro^{8,9,10}, Miroslaw Janowski^{11, 12*}

- ¹School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom
- 6 ²Department of Neurology, Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and
- 7 Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama University, Okayama, Japan
- ³Department of Anatomy, Brain Health Research Centre and Brain Research New
- 9 Zealand, University of Otago, Dunedin, 9054, New Zealand
- ⁴Stroke Unit, Neurology Department, Grenoble Hospital, Grenoble, France
- ⁵Grenoble Institute of Neurosciences, Inserm U1216, Université Grenoble Alpes,
- 12 Grenoble, France
- 6 Instituto de Biofísica Carlos Chagas Filho, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio
- 14 de Janeiro, Brazil
- ⁷Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia em Medicina Regenerativa, Rio de
- 16 Janeiro, Brazil
- ⁸Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de
- 18 Janeiro, Brazil
- ⁹Department of Radiology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro,
- 20 Brazil
- ¹⁰D'Or Institute for Research and Education, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- ¹¹Department of Diagnostic Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, University of Maryland
- 23 School of Medicine, Maryland, United States of America
- ¹²NeuroRepair Department, Mossakowski Medical Research Centre, Polish
- 25 Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

26	*Correspondence:
27	Miroslaw Janowski, MD, PhD
28	Associate Professor
29	Center for Advanced Imaging Research
30	Department of Diagnostic Radiology and Nuclear Medicine
31	Tumor Immunology and Immunotherapy Program
32	University of Maryland Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer
33	Center
34	University of Maryland School of Medicine
35	HSF III, Room 1174
36	670 W. Baltimore St.
37	Baltimore, MD 21201, USA
38	Phone: 410 706 7904
39	miroslaw.janowski@som.umaryland.edu
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

The introduction of recanalization procedures has revolutionized acute stroke management, although the narrow time window, strict eligibility criteria and logistical limitations still exclude the majority of patients from treatment. In addition, residual deficits are present in many patients who undergo therapy, preventing their return to premorbid status. Hence, there is a strong need for novel, and ideally complementary, approaches to stroke management.

In preclinical experiments, cell-based treatments have demonstrated beneficial effects in the subacute and chronic stages following stroke [1; 2; 3] and therefore are considered a promising option to supplement current clinical practice. At the same time, great progress has been made in developing clinically feasible delivery and monitoring protocols [4]. However, efficacy results initially reported in clinical studies fell short of expectations [5] raising concerns that cell treatment might eventually share the 'dead end fate' of many previous experimental stroke therapies. This Research Topic reviews some of the latest and most innovative studies to summarize the state of the art in translational cell treatments for stroke.

New mechanistic insights from preclinical experiments

Umbilical cord blood (UCB)-derived cells are a widely available and rich source of relatively young cells. However, it is unclear which fraction of this heterogeneous population is responsible for the therapeutic effects reported after stroke. Gornicka-Pawlak and colleagues investigated CD34⁻ mononuclear cells (MNCs) either freshly prepared or cultured for 3 days versus a UCB derived neural stem cell line (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00786/full) [6]. The study particularly focused on restoring cognitive functions after stroke what is a novel endpoint for the UCB derived neural stem cell line. Freshly prepared cells were

found most effective, which is in line with what has been reported for motor and sensory functions using UCB-MNCs after stroke [7]. An enriched environment was provided to the animals, further fostering cognitive recuperation in a clinically meaningful setup. Mu et al revealed that a combination of adipose stem cells and rehabilitation beneficial after experimental stroke is (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00235/full) [8]. This approach follows the newest STem Cells as an Emerging Paradigm in Stroke (STEPS) recommendations and is expected to provide more translationally relevant data [9]. Hwang et al. proved that a combination of UCB-MNC and erythropoietin is also beneficial (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00357/full) [10]. Green and colleagues stereotaxically applied neural stem cells in the subacute smaller stage after large cortico-striatal and striatal strokes (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00335/full) [11]. Cell graft vitality was better preserved in smaller, striatal lesions, which are associated with a stabilization of functional neuronal networks. However, this effect was only transient, indirectly pointing to other long-term degenerative mechanisms and processes that thus far have not been identified. Encouraging results were reported regarding the efficacy of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) which have been applied in numerous preclinical trials for almost two decades. Satani et al. performed a systematic review and meta-analysis on 141 preclinical studies, confirming robust efficacy in acute and subacute time windows (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00405/full) [12]. lt is noteworthy that comparable effects were seen in multiple labs around the world. Based on these robust data, the authors suggest that this approach should advance to carefully planned and implemented clinical trials.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

Translational and clinical considerations

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

Defining the best-suited cell source is crucial to taking the translational process from the preclinical to the clinical stage. Ideally, the respective cells should be applicable for autologous and allogeneic use, and should exert beneficial effects via indirect ('bystander') effects while also exhibiting the potential for replacement of brain cells including astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and, most challenging, neurons thus covering all potential aspects of brain tissue regeneration [13]. Recent research by Gancheva et al. revealed that dental pulp stem cells may perfectly fill this role (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00422/full) [14]. Another relevant aspect to translation is the safety of cell applications. Potential adverse events such as secondary microinfarction were reported when intraarterially administering large diameter cell populations such as MSCs. However, this phenomenon seems to depend on infusion speed and, in particular, cell dose, since lower doses can be safely delivered to the brain [15; 16]. Cell engineering is another approach used to mitigate these potential adverse effects, for instance by increasing cell egress from cerebral capillaries [17]. Moreover, no strong evidence of such complications has been observed after MSC delivery in clinics [18]. The use of MSCderived extracellular vesicles in place of MSCs also may help circumvent this problem. Bang and Kim, both working at the forefront of clinical translation, summarize the state of the art in this field, focusing on emerging clinical applications remaining challenges and

(https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00211/full) [19].

Results from clinical cell therapy studies in stroke have been reported for intravenous injections [20; 21] and intracerebral grafts [22]. Although overall safety has been confirmed, analysis of efficacy endpoints suggests that magnitude of effect

may be smaller in human than animal studies, and a number of logistical challenges also have been identified. Krause's group reviewed such problems, providing an unbiased overview of bottlenecks in the translational process, and discussing relevant aspects such as cost-to-benefit ratios and the role of industry-driven clinical (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00656/full) research [23]. Despite the moderate collective tepid enthusiasm regarding cell-based approaches, encouraging clinical data is available. Haque et al. report metabolic changes observed by magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the brains of patients being treated with autologous bone marrow-derived MNCs (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00656/full) [24]. These changes correlated with NIHSS scores and might not only indicate efficacy, but could also be used as surrogate markers for treatment efficacy in future clinical trials.

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

Summary and outlook

Although clinical translation of cell-based therapies is clearly gaining momentum, a number of open questions remain. One is the role of co-morbidities, which are abundantly present in human patients but are rarely modelled preclinically. Laso-Garcia and colleagues have analysed this discrepancy and provide a comprehensive summary on effects of the most relevant comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, and obesity both from clinical and preclinical perspectives (https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2019.00332/full) [25]. Aspects such as potential cell-drug interactions also await clarification [26]. Finally, remarkable developments towards precision stem cell medicine have been achieved, which may facilitate stem cell-based therapies. Stem cell labelling and real-time imaging are capable of improving precision of transplantations [27]. Progress in

biomarker research [28] and artificial intelligence [29] may soon revolutionize research on outcome assessment, which will be pivotal to the future success of stem cell therapies. In summary, the road on which we travel with cell therapies for stroke is probably not a dead end but the journey remaining is challenging and long. Nevertheless, the overall research progress may finally shed light on the path, with this Research Topic identifying some of the most important past and future milestones along the way.



References

- 159 [1] J. Boltze, U.R. Schmidt, D.M. Reich, A. Kranz, K.G. Reymann, M. Strassburger,
- D. Lobsien, D.C. Wagner, A. Forschler, and W.R. Schabitz, Determination of
- the therapeutic time window for human umbilical cord blood mononuclear cell
- transplantation following experimental stroke in rats. Cell Transplant 21 (2012)
- 163 1199-211.
- 164 [2] L.H. Shen, Y. Li, J. Chen, A. Zacharek, Q. Gao, A. Kapke, M. Lu, K. Raginski, P.
- Vanguri, A. Smith, and M. Chopp, Therapeutic benefit of bone marrow stromal
- cells administered 1 month after stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 27 (2007)
- 167 6-13.
- [3] B. Yang, R. Strong, S. Sharma, M. Brenneman, K. Mallikarjunarao, X. Xi, J.C.
- Grotta, J. Aronowski, and S.I. Savitz, Therapeutic time window and dose
- response of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells for ischemic stroke. J
- 171 Neurosci Res 89 (2011) 833-9.
- 172 [4] R. Guzman, M. Janowski, and P. Walczak, Intra-Arterial Delivery of Cell
- 173 Therapies for Stroke. Stroke 49 (2018) 1075-1082.
- 174 [5] L.L. Cui, D. Golubczyk, A.M. Tolppanen, J. Boltze, and J. Jolkkonen, Cell therapy
- for ischemic stroke: Are differences in preclinical and clinical study design
- responsible for the translational loss of efficacy? Ann Neurol 86 (2019) 5-16.
- [6] E. Gornicka-Pawlak, M. Janowski, A. Habich, A. Jablonska, J. Sypecka, and B.
- Lukomska, Intra-arterial Administration of Human Umbilical Cord Blood
- Derived Cells Inversed Learning Asymmetry Resulting From Focal Brain
- 180 Injury in Rat. Front Neurol 10 (2019) 786.
- 181 [7] G. Weise, M. Lorenz, C. Posel, U. Maria Riegelsberger, V. Storbeck, M.
- Kamprad, A. Kranz, D.C. Wagner, and J. Boltze, Transplantation of

- cryopreserved human umbilical cord blood mononuclear cells does not induce
- sustained recovery after experimental stroke in spontaneously hypertensive
- rats. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 34 (2014) e1-9.
- [8] J. Mu, A. Bakreen, M. Juntunen, P. Korhonen, E. Oinonen, L. Cui, M. Myllyniemi,
- S. Zhao, S. Miettinen, and J. Jolkkonen, Combined Adipose Tissue-Derived
- Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy and Rehabilitation in Experimental Stroke.
- 189 Front Neurol 10 (2019) 235.
- 190 [9] J. Boltze, M.M. Modo, R.W. Mays, A. Taguchi, J. Jolkkonen, and S.I. Savitz, Stem
- 191 Cells as an Emerging Paradigm in Stroke 4: Advancing and Accelerating
- 192 Preclinical Research. Stroke 50 (2019) 3299-3306.
- [10] S. Hwang, J. Choi, and M. Kim, Combining Human Umbilical Cord Blood Cells
- 194 With Erythropoietin Enhances Angiogenesis/Neurogenesis and Behavioral
- 195 Recovery After Stroke. Front Neurol 10 (2019) 357.
- 196 [11] C. Green, A. Minassian, S. Vogel, M. Diedenhofen, D. Wiedermann, and M.
- Hoehn, Persistent Quantitative Vitality of Stem Cell Graft Is Necessary for
- Stabilization of Functional Brain Networks After Stroke. Front Neurol 10
- 199 (2019) 335.
- [12] N. Satani, C. Cai, K. Giridhar, D. McGhiey, S. George, K. Parsha, D.M. Nghiem,
- K.S. Valenzuela, J. Riecke, F.S. Vahidy, and S.I. Savitz, World-Wide Efficacy
- of Bone Marrow Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Preclinical Ischemic
- Stroke Models: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Neurol 10 (2019)
- 204 405.
- [13] M. Janowski, D.C. Wagner, and J. Boltze, Stem Cell-Based Tissue Replacement
- After Stroke: Factual Necessity or Notorious Fiction? Stroke 46 (2015) 2354-
- 207 63.

- [14] M.R. Gancheva, K.L. Kremer, S. Gronthos, and S.A. Koblar, Using Dental Pulp
- Stem Cells for Stroke Therapy. Front Neurol 10 (2019) 422.
- [15] M. Janowski, A. Lyczek, C. Engels, J. Xu, B. Lukomska, J.W. Bulte, and P.
- 211 Walczak, Cell size and velocity of injection are major determinants of the
- safety of intracarotid stem cell transplantation. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 33
- 213 (2013) 921-7.
- [16] L.L. Cui, E. Kerkela, A. Bakreen, F. Nitzsche, A. Andrzejewska, A. Nowakowski,
- M. Janowski, P. Walczak, J. Boltze, B. Lukomska, and J. Jolkkonen, The
- cerebral embolism evoked by intra-arterial delivery of allogeneic bone marrow
- mesenchymal stem cells in rats is related to cell dose and infusion velocity.
- 218 Stem Cell Res Ther 6 (2015) 11.
- [17] L.L. Cui, F. Nitzsche, E. Pryazhnikov, M. Tibeykina, L. Tolppanen, J. Rytkonen,
- T. Huhtala, J.W. Mu, L. Khiroug, J. Boltze, and J. Jolkkonen, Integrin alpha4
- Overexpression on Rat Mesenchymal Stem Cells Enhances Transmigration
- and Reduces Cerebral Embolism After Intracarotid Injection. Stroke 48 (2017)
- 223 2895-2900.
- [18] S.I. Savitz, D. Yavagal, G. Rappard, W. Likosky, N. Rutledge, C. Graffagnino, Y.
- Alderazi, J.A. Elder, P.R. Chen, R.F. Budzik, Jr., R. Tarrel, D.Y. Huang, and
- J.M. Hinson, Jr., A Phase 2 Randomized, Sham-Controlled Trial of Internal
- Carotid Artery Infusion of Autologous Bone Marrow-Derived ALD-401 Cells in
- Patients With Recent Stable Ischemic Stroke (RECOVER-Stroke). Circulation
- 229 139 (2019) 192-205.
- [19] O.Y. Bang, and E.H. Kim, Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicle
- Therapy for Stroke: Challenges and Progress. Front Neurol 10 (2019) 211.

- [20] D.C. Hess, L.R. Wechsler, W.M. Clark, S.I. Savitz, G.A. Ford, D. Chiu, D.R.
- Yavagal, K. Uchino, D.S. Liebeskind, A.P. Auchus, S. Sen, C.A. Sila, J.D.
- Vest, and R.W. Mays, Safety and efficacy of multipotent adult progenitor cells
- in acute ischaemic stroke (MASTERS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-
- controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet Neurol 16 (2017) 360-368.
- [21] M.L. Levy, J.R. Crawford, N. Dib, L. Verkh, N. Tankovich, and S.C. Cramer,
- 238 Phase I/II Study of Safety and Preliminary Efficacy of Intravenous Allogeneic
- Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Chronic Stroke. Stroke 50 (2019) 2835-2841.
- 240 [22] D. Kalladka, J. Sinden, K. Pollock, C. Haig, J. McLean, W. Smith, A.
- McConnachie, C. Santosh, P.M. Bath, L. Dunn, and K.W. Muir, Human neural
- stem cells in patients with chronic ischaemic stroke (PISCES): a phase 1,
- 243 first-in-man study. Lancet 388 (2016) 787-96.
- [23] M. Krause, T.G. Phan, H. Ma, C.G. Sobey, and R. Lim, Cell-Based Therapies for
- Stroke: Are We There Yet? Front Neurol 10 (2019) 656.
- [24] M.E. Hague, R.E. Gabr, S.D. George, S.B. Boren, F.S. Vahidy, X. Zhang, O.D.
- Arevalo, S. Alderman, P.A. Narayana, K.M. Hasan, E.R. Friedman, C.W.
- Sitton, and S.I. Savitz, Serial Cerebral Metabolic Changes in Patients With
- Ischemic Stroke Treated With Autologous Bone Marrow Derived Mononuclear
- 250 Cells. Front Neurol 10 (2019) 141.
- 251 [25] F. Laso-Garcia, L. Diekhorst, M.C. Gomez-de Frutos, L. Otero-Ortega, B.
- Fuentes, G. Ruiz-Ares, E. Diez-Tejedor, and M. Gutierrez-Fernandez, Cell-
- Based Therapies for Stroke: Promising Solution or Dead End? Mesenchymal
- Stem Cells and Comorbidities in Preclinical Stroke Research. Front Neurol 10
- 255 (2019) 332.

- [26] M. Ikhsan, A. Palumbo, D. Rose, M. Zille, and J. Boltze, Neuronal Stem Cell and
 Drug Interactions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis: Concise Review.
- 258 Stem Cells Transl Med 8 (2019) 1202-1211.
- 259 [27] P. Walczak, J. Wojtkiewicz, A. Nowakowski, A. Habich, P. Holak, J. Xu, Z.
- Adamiak, M. Chehade, M.S. Pearl, P. Gailloud, B. Lukomska, W.
- Maksymowicz, J.W. Bulte, and M. Janowski, Real-time MRI for precise and
- predictable intra-arterial stem cell delivery to the central nervous system. J
- 263 Cereb Blood Flow Metab 37 (2017) 2346-2358.
- [28] A. Sloan, S.A. Smith-Warner, R.G. Ziegler, and M. Wang, Statistical methods for
- biomarker data pooled from multiple nested case-control studies. Biostatistics
- 266 (2019).

- 267 [29] J. Heo, J.G. Yoon, H. Park, Y.D. Kim, H.S. Nam, and J.H. Heo, Machine
- Learning-Based Model for Prediction of Outcomes in Acute Stroke. Stroke 50
- 269 (2019) 1263-1265.