OPEN

Check for updates

Clinical quantitative cardiac imaging for the assessment of myocardial ischaemia

Marc Dewey^{®^{1,2}[∞]}, Maria Siebes^{®³}, Marc Kachelrie^{β4}, Klaus F. Kofoed^{®⁵}, Pál Maurovich-Horvat^{®⁶}, Konstantin Nikolaou⁷, Wenjia Bai[®], Andreas Kofler¹, Robert Manka^{9,10}, Sebastian Kozerke¹⁰, Amedeo Chiribiri^{®¹¹}, Tobias Schaeffter^{11,12}, Florian Michallek¹, Frank Bengel¹³, Stephan Nekolla¹⁴, Paul Knaapen¹⁵, Mark Lubberink^{16,17}, Roxy Senior¹⁸, Meng-Xing Tang¹⁹, Jan J. Piek²⁰, Tim van de Hoef²⁰, Johannes Martens²¹, Laura Schreiber^{®²¹} on behalf of the Quantitative Cardiac Imaging Study Group

Abstract Cardiac imaging has a pivotal role in the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of ischaemic heart disease. SPECT is most commonly used for clinical myocardial perfusion imaging, whereas PET is the clinical reference standard for the quantification of myocardial perfusion. MRI does not involve exposure to ionizing radiation, similar to echocardiography, which can be performed at the bedside. CT perfusion imaging is not frequently used but CT offers coronary angiography data, and invasive catheter-based methods can measure coronary flow and pressure. Technical improvements to the quantification of pathophysiological parameters of myocardial ischaemia can be achieved. Clinical consensus recommendations on the appropriateness of each technique were derived following a European quantitative cardiac imaging meeting and using a real-time Delphi process. SPECT using new detectors allows the quantification of myocardial blood flow and is now also suited to patients with a high BMI. PET is well suited to patients with multivessel disease to confirm or exclude balanced ischaemia. MRI allows the evaluation of patients with complex disease who would benefit from imaging of function and fibrosis in addition to perfusion. Echocardiography remains the preferred technique for assessing ischaemia in bedside situations, whereas CT has the greatest value for combined quantification of stenosis and characterization of atherosclerosis in relation to myocardial ischaemia. In patients with a high probability of needing invasive treatment, invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement is well suited to guide treatment decisions. In this Consensus Statement, we summarize the strengths and weaknesses as well as the future technological potential of each imaging modality.

International guidelines advocate noninvasive testing for patients with suspected ischaemia before proceeding with revascularization decision-making¹⁻⁴. Noninvasive clinical cardiac imaging continues to undergo rapid evolution, focusing on quantitative perfusion technologies for the assessment of myocardial ischaemia and coronary flow. At present, imaging of myocardial ischaemia stands at a crossroads. During a European meeting on quantitative cardiac imaging, a bench-to-bedside-to-bench perspective was used to summarize the current status and future potential of myocardial ischaemia imaging from the viewpoint of basic scientists and clinical researchers. This approach created discussions, which led to this Consensus Statement on the main advantages and disadvantages of each imaging modality, a clinical consensus on the appropriateness for specific indications and a summary of the latest developments, which together provide a framework for future quantitative imaging of myocardial ischaemia.

Pathophysiology considerations

The coronary circulation comprises the epicardial conductance vessels (diameter 1–6 mm) feeding an extensive network of small vessels (diameter <300–400 μ m) that penetrates the cardiac muscle tissue and is the site of regulation of myocardial blood flow (MBF; FIG. 1a,b). High-resolution 3D fluorescence cryomicrotome imaging⁵ has also revealed the existence of abundant small

➡e-mail: dewey@charite.de
https://doi.org/10.1038/
s41569-020-0341-8

collateral vessels both between (intercoronary) and within (intracoronary) perfusion territories of major coronary arteries^{6,7}. Importantly, blood supply via well-developed collaterals can reduce the area of myocardium at risk of ischaemia, which can be detected by all myocardial perfusion imaging techniques⁸⁻¹⁰. From a physiological perspective, microvascular resistance is closely adjusted at rest via integrated control mechanisms to maintain blood supply commensurate with cardiac workload and to compensate for pressure loss induced by an epicardial stenosis (autoregulation)¹¹⁻¹³ (FIG. 1c). When microvascular resistance is minimized by potent vasodilators, such as adenosine, coronary flow becomes primarily dependent on perfusion pressure. Given that vessels without tone behave as elastic conduits, their diameters become pressure-dependent, and the minimized coronary resistance (at maximal vasodilatation; FIG. 1c) increases with decreasing perfusion pressure¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Regional myocardial perfusion in normal tissue is highly heterogeneous, attributable to vascular (asymmetric branching) and metabolic (O₂ consumption) heterogeneity^{17,18}. Transmural perfusion gradients and the microcirculation itself are further influenced by cardiac-coronary interaction with or without an epicardial obstruction. Compressive forces exerted by cardiac contraction render the subendocardium particularly vulnerable to ischaemia, especially at elevated heart rate or at

Author addresses

¹Department of Radiology, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

- ²Berlin Institute of Health and DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research) Partner Site, Berlin, Germany.
- ³Department of Biomedical Engineering and Physics Translational Physiology,
- Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- ⁴Division of X-Ray Imaging and CT, German Cancer Research Centre (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany.
- ⁵The Heart Centre Rigshospitalet, Department of Cardiology and Radiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- ⁶MTA-SE Cardiovascular Imaging Research Group, Heart and Vascular Center,
- Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary.

⁷Universitätsklinikum Tübingen, Radiologische Klinik, Diagnostische und Interventionelle Radiologie, Tübingen, Germany.

- ⁸Biomedical Image Analysis Group, Department of Computing, Imperial College London, London, UK.
- ⁹Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology and Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

¹⁰Institute for Biomedical Engineering, University and ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.
¹¹Department of Cardiovascular Imaging, School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, UK.

- ¹²Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, Medical Physics and Metrological Information Technologies, Berlin, Germany.
- $^{13} Klinik \ f"ur \ Nuklear medizin, Medizinische \ Hochschule \ Hannover, \ Hannover, \ Germany.$
- ¹⁴Nuklearmedizinische Klinik und Poliklinik, Klinikum rechts der Isar der TU München, DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance,

Munich, Germany.

¹⁵Department of Cardiology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

¹⁶Department of Surgical Sciences – Nuclear Medicine & PET, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

- ¹⁷Medical Physics, Uppsala University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden.
- ¹⁸Department of Cardiology, Royal Brompton Hospital London, London, UK.
- ¹⁹Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, London, UK.

²⁰Heart Center, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

²¹Department of Cellular and Molecular Imaging, Comprehensive Heart Failure Center,

Würzburg University Clinics, Würzburg, Germany.

low perfusion pressure distal to a stenosis¹⁹⁻²¹. The effects of epicardial obstruction, therefore, extend beyond the stenosis into the microcirculation²². The fundamental parameters for the assessment of myocardial ischaemia are regional absolute MBF (in units of millilitres per minute per gram of tissue) and relative perfusion, as well as relative parameters, such as myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR), which is the ratio of MBF under exercise-induced or pharmacologically induced stress to MBF at rest²².

Ischaemia imaging modalities

The potential of noninvasive assessment of blood flow in the coronary microcirculation as a gatekeeper for invasive coronary angiography is the subject of a growing research effort. Important new insights have emerged regarding the clinical implications of the quantitative and qualitative assessment of myocardial ischaemia using the different myocardial perfusion imaging modalities, such as SPECT, PET, MRI, echocardiography and cardiac CT. In addition to summarizing the current clinical application of qualitative and quantitative ischaemia imaging, we also discuss the emerging possible use of artificial intelligence with deep learning for the detection and characterization of disease.

The unifying principle of all noninvasive techniques is that a contrast agent is injected into a peripheral vein. The contrast agent is transported to the heart and acts like a test substance (tracer) for blood and its transport through tissues. If the myocardium is normally perfused, the contrast agent is transported to the myocardium and can be detected with the use of noninvasive imaging modalities. If ischaemia is present, less contrast agent reaches the affected region and/or its wash-in or wash-out is delayed. This process is typically registered by a dynamic series of images that are sensitive to the specific contrast agent used.

Subsequent tracer kinetic modelling¹⁶ gives quantitative values of MBF and/or MPR. For this type of analysis, we need to know the time course of the contrast agent or tracer in the blood over time, that is, the arterial input function (AIF). The AIF is typically assessed either from the left ventricular cavity or by arterial blood sampling. From these principles, the AIF clearly accounts for variations in left ventricular function. However, collaterals cannot be accounted for and might introduce delayed wash-in²³ and, on the basis of the mechanisms shown in FIG. 1d,e, might introduce systematic errors in the quantitative MBF or MPR values. Therefore, unlike catheter-based assessment and CT-based estimation of epicardial fractional flow reserve (FFR), the noninvasive ischaemia imaging techniques directly measure MBF and MPR, or at least provide a semiquantitative estimate. Some of these techniques allow the additional assessment of parameters beyond MBF and MPR and are used in further analysis by modern methods, such as machine and/or deep learning²⁴⁻²⁷. The common goal is to guide patient management and to provide the requisite justification for invasive coronary angiography and intracoronary haemodynamic measurements. Importantly, no single imaging technology can currently provide all measures of coronary circulation, and all imaging techniques have specific advantages and disadvantages while also providing different assessments of the coronary vascular components using anatomical or functional imaging (TABLE 1).

Technical characteristics and challenges

Major practical challenges for the assessment of myocardial ischaemia using the methods under consideration include the following:

- SPECT: radiation dose, spatial resolution and limited quantification of myocardial perfusion²⁸.
- PET: lower spatial resolution of quantitative ¹⁵O-water PET than with myocardial CT or MRI perfusion imaging and limited visual assessment^{29,30}.
- MRI: increased prevalence of pacemakers³¹ and limited spatial coverage of the left ventricle³².
- Echocardiography: common presence of noise and artefacts, lack of reproducibility, variable image quality and time-consuming manual analysis³³.
- CT: limited temporal resolution, presence of beam and scatter artefacts, radiation dose, and low contrast-to-noise ratios³⁴⁻³⁷.
- Invasive FFR: diagnostic and prognostic characteristics, complexity of the procedure and its limited uptake in clinical practice^{38,39}.

From technical and pathophysiological perspectives, numerous aspects need to be considered for the quantification of myocardial ischaemia. The tracer distribution characteristics vary widely between imaging techniques. MRI and CT tracers enter the extravascular extracellular space (interstitial space); nuclear tracers can also enter the intracellular space or even bind within the myocyte (most SPECT and PET tracers, except ¹⁵O-water) (TABLE 1). By contrast, microbubbles used for echocardiography remain in the intravascular space. On the basis of the different tracer characteristics, the agreement between the numerical results obtained with the different techniques varies⁴⁰⁻⁴². Even microspheres, which are the experimental gold standard of perfusion, can distribute in a heterogeneous manner when the analysed region becomes small, possibly reflecting technical limitations and/or physiological variations in regional flow⁴³. A nonlinear relationship exists between MBF and tracer uptake in SPECT and most PET tracers except ¹⁵O-water. Both MRI and CT use contrast agents that extravasate from the intravascular to the extravascular space in a fashion that is nonlinearly dependent on blood flow⁴⁴. In addition, obtaining a linear relationship between MRI tracer concentration and signal intensity is difficult because of the complexity of MRI contrast mechanisms^{45,46}. Accurately measuring the AIF is challenging with SPECT⁴⁷, PET⁴⁸, MRI^{49,50} and CT⁵¹ (FIG. 1c,d), which can result in biased measurements of MBF (FIG. 1e). Tracer kinetic modelling of MBF needs to account for these differences between modalities.

Methods of consensus

The emerging imaging concepts and their challenges matter not only to cardiologists, radiologists and nuclear medicine physicians but also to non-specialists. As the field has become very complex, it deserves a comprehensive and up-to-date expert consensus. Experts in the cardiac imaging modalities from seven European countries, with a balance of clinical disciplines (cardiology, nuclear medicine and radiology) and basic scientists, were involved in the Quantitative Cardiac Imaging meeting. This Consensus Statement is not, therefore, endorsed by a single specialist society, but is driven by scientists from all disciplines collaborating to provide a multidisciplinary consensus. The content and recommendations in this article emerged from discussions among our diverse team, which aimed to overcome political and professional interests to produce balanced consensus recommendations. In a subsequent real-time Delphi process, the technical parameters of each modality were summarized by consensus (TABLE 1).

All the authors participated in the Delphi process and judged all imaging modalities, to result in a consensus across modalities. The consensus process was performed after all lectures were given and discussed during the Quantitative Cardiac Imaging meeting and was organized into technical and clinical consensus processes. During the technical consensus process (TABLE 1), a total of 20 authors participated (six cardiologists: K.F.K., A.C., P.K., R.S., J.J.P. and T.v.d.H.; four radiologists: M.D., K.N., R.M. and F.M.; one dual cardiologist-radiologist: P.M.-H; one nuclear medicine physician: F.B.; and eight methodologists: M.S., M.K., S.K., T.S., S.N., M.L., J.M. and L.S.). Technical assessments by every participant for all items were made transparent to all participants to allow real-time evaluation and reconsideration of his or her own judgements for the Delphi consensus process.

For the clinical consensus process (FIG. 2), a total of 16 authors participated and were divided into a development team and a clinical appropriateness team. The development team consisted of eight investigators (one dual cardiologist-radiologist: P.M.-H.; two radiologists: K.N. and F.M.; and five methodologists: M.S., T.S., A.K., J.M. and L.S.), who did not participate in the clinical appropriateness rating but defined the questions and categories used in the clinical consensus. The clinical appropriateness team consisted of eight investigators providing clinical consensus ratings for all methods and all clinical scenarios (four cardiologists: K.F.K., A.C., R.S. and J.J.P.; two radiologists: M.D. and R.M.; one nuclear medicine physician: F.B.; and one methodologist: M.L.). Judgements from each team member were fully taken into consideration, displayed in a transparent fashion during the real-time Delphi process and averaged at the end of the assessment to produce final appropriateness ratings (ranging from 1 to 9) for all imaging tests in all clinical settings.

Moreover, in this Consensus Statement, we avoided giving the final verdict on a 'competition between the methods', but instead presented the potential relative merits of the methods that are currently available. Differences of opinion will always exist, which cannot entirely be avoided, but our cross-disciplinary Delphi process represents a solid approach to the clinical question. This Delphi process helped to define appropriate clinical indications as well as some of the directions for future technical developments to overcome challenges of SPECT, PET, MRI, echocardiography, CT and invasive coronary flow and pressure measurements. This Consensus Statement also describes major trends and breakthroughs relevant to

patients with cardiac disease for all six imaging modalities in the sections below. Although we attempt to give a balanced overview of all the available imaging modalities using the Delphi consensus process, region-specific or institution-specific availability or expertise might increase or decrease the relative utility of a particular modality.

SPECT

Role in assessment of ischaemic heart disease. SPECT has been the centrepiece of clinical cardiovascular imaging for decades. SPECT-based evaluation of the extent of myocardial ischaemia as a percentage of the left ventricular myocardium, together with concomitantly



measured left ventricular function and volumes, is well established for the diagnosis of myocardial ischaemia¹. SPECT not only has incremental prognostic value over clinical assessment alone but is also important in guiding therapy. The current clinical paradigm that the degree of ischaemia defines the individual risk, which in turn should be used for risk-based decision-making on revascularization or optimized medical therapy, is based on large registries of SPECT perfusion imaging⁵². Of note, no studies have compared outcomes when therapy is decided on the basis of SPECT versus an alternative test. As SPECT measures any perfusion to the myocyte with a tracer that is bound in the mitochondria, and owing to the temporal resolution, a distinction between primary-vessel and collateral flow cannot be made.

Quantitative assessment of pathophysiology. Detailed technical characteristics of SPECT are listed in TABLE 1. Technical evolution has occurred, with the aim of maximizing sensitivity to reduce both the amount of injected radiopharmaceuticals and the acquisition time. These advances have been paralleled by a shift towards

Fig. 1 | Interaction between coronary anatomy and physiology in relation to tracer distribution. a Coronary arteries (left panel) penetrating the myocardium at the mid-ventricular level in a 3-mm-thick transverse section, as reconstructed from a 3D stack of cryomicrotome images⁵. The middle panel shows a magnified portion of the transmural microvascular network, as indicated by the red square in the left panel. Terminal arterioles perfusing the capillary bed are shown in the right panel. **b** 3D reconstruction of coronary arteries and arterioles perfusing the heart muscle. c | Schematic illustration of the coronary pressure-flow relationship. Autoregulation maintains coronary flow at rest (green line) over a wide pressure range at a level adapted to oxygen consumption, whereas maximal flow without control (blue line) depends on coronary perfusion pressure. The zero-flow intercept incorporates collateral flow and depends on heart rate and ventricular wall tension¹. In unobstructed vessels (black dashed line), flow increases with only negligible pressure loss at maximal vasodilatation. An epicardial stenosis induces progressive pressure loss with increasing flow (red dashed lines; stenosis severity increasing from top to bottom) and thereby raises minimal microvascular resistance. Stenosis resistance can be compensated at rest by lowering arterial tone, but limits maximal flow and compromises coronary flow reserve. d Bolus-based perfusion methods such as MRI and CT typically obtain the arterial input function (AIF) from an easily visible anatomical region, such as the left ventricle (AIF_{1V}). When tracer is transported along the epicardial vessels, the duration of the bolus increases (bolus dispersion). The upper part of the panel depicts a simulation of computational fluid dynamics that affect a vessel similar to that within the dashed rectangular region in part **b**⁵⁰. Here, the assumption of a DOTA chelate-based tracer is made, which is injected quickly. For visualization purposes, simulations for the upper panel were made with a bolus 100 times shorter than that typically used in patients. See Supplementary Videos 1 and 2 for the dynamics. The lower panel shows the results from simulations of a real bolus, as used in humans. Similar bolus dispersion effects are expected for other tracers, depending on their diffusivity. The lower panel demonstrates that different regions (outlets 1-4) are exposed to slightly different AIFs (colours denote the different outlets in the upper part of the panel). If the observed bolus dispersion effects are not accounted for, a systematic underestimation of myocardial blood flow (MBF) of up to 45% can occur at rest, even in normal epicardial vessels without a stenosis¹³⁵. The tissue curve is a typical concentration-time curve of the amount of tracer contained within a region of interest. For better visualization, the curve is scaled in amplitude by a factor of ten. The duration and shape of the curves depend on MBF. Tracer kinetic modelling of curves incorporates the AIF and results in a quantitative MBF value for that region. e | Tracer bolus broadening in a stenosed vessel. In addition to the bolus broadening in the normal epicardial vasculature, a stenosis increases the resistance and further disturbs bolus transport. Both effects depend on the shape and location of the stenosis (arrows), which results in additional bolus broadening and underestimation of MBF^{185} . P_a , aortic pressure; P_b , extrapolated back pressure. Part **e** adapted with permission from REF.¹⁸⁵, Wiley-VCH.

increased BMI in the patient population. Solid-state detector technology based on cadmium-zinc-telluride (CZT) has been central to the technical advances in SPECT imaging. Small semiconductor detectors allow fully digital acquisition schemes and obviate the need for heavy sodium iodide crystals and large photomultipliers, thereby enabling compact camera designs (FIG. 3a). The high sensitivity (84%) of recent CZT SPECT systems and a specificity of 69% to detect obstructive coronary artery disease⁵³ has enabled dynamic imaging to assess the kinetics of perfusion tracers in blood and the myocardium. This information allows compartmental modelling to delineate absolute MBF and coronary flow reserve (CFR) by SPECT. Recent work has shown the feasibility of MBF quantification with CZT SPECT and has provided validation against the microsphere gold standard in an experimental model⁵⁴ and in humans⁵⁵ compared with PET. Clinically implementing this approach with SPECT protocols has the potential to provide incremental diagnostic and prognostic value for ischaemia assessment over the previous standard of relative regional perfusion defect measurement^{56,57}, because it can detect conditions that affect the entire mvocardium, such as severe ischaemia in multivessel disease or microvascular dysfunction (mostly in the subendocardium), with the caveat of the limited spatial resolution of SPECT. Therefore, integrating measures of transient post-ischaemic dilatation further improves the diagnostic accuracy and can be calculated in a highly automated fashion58.

Indications and clinical applications. SPECT is most commonly applied for myocardial perfusion imaging. Clinical indications for SPECT are listed in detail in FIG. 2. Major advantages of dedicated cardiac SPECT cameras include superior sensitivity and resolution while allowing radiation dose reduction across a range of patient conditions, as well as allowing the imaging of patients with challenging features, such as a high BMI^{59,60}. Compared with regular SPECT, CZT systems increase the effective sensitivity by fourfold to tenfold, and also provide higher spatial and energy resolution^{59,60}. Accordingly, high-quality images are obtained with shorter acquisition times and/or a smaller injected dose of the radiopharmaceutical, which is beneficial for various groups of patients with complicated disease. Several multicentre studies support the usefulness as well as the diagnostic and prognostic value of CZT-based myocardial perfusion SPECT²⁸. Although early work with these novel systems focused on the reduction in imaging time from 15-30 min with standard SPECT down to 2-3 min with CZT SPECT using a standard amount of injected radioactivity^{61,62}, the aim of subsequent work was to reduce the amount of injected radioactivity to minimize patient exposure to ionizing radiation. A recent multicentre trial suggests that CZT imaging can be completed with an effective dose of 1 mSv at an image quality that is still superior to that obtained with standard SPECT63.

Future developments. Commercially available software tools for SPECT analysis can be readily implemented on every acquisition system, allowing reproducible

Table 1 | Technical comparison of tools for the assessment of myocardial ischaemia

lable 1 leclinicat		or the assessment of	of myocardiac ischa	ciilia					
Parameter	SPECT	PET	MRI	Echocardiography	СТ	Invasive coronary flow and pressur measurement			
General									
lonizing radiation use	Yes (radiopharma- ceutical)	Yes (radiopharma- ceutical)	No	No	Yes (X-rays)	Yes (X-rays)			
Stressor	Exercise or vasodilator agents	Exercise or vasodilator agents	Mainly vasodilator agents	Exercise or vasodilator agents	Mainly vasodilator agents	Vasodilator agents			
Contrast agent or tracer	^{99m} Tc-sestamibi or ^{99m} Tc-tetrofosmin	¹⁵ O, ⁸² Rb, ¹³ NH ₃ or ¹⁸ F-flurpiridaz	Gadolinium-based	Microbubbles	lodine-based	None			
Contrast agent or tracer distribution	Intracellular	Freely diffusible: intravascular, extracellular and intracellular	Intravascular and extracellular	Intravascular	Intravascular and extracellular	NA			
Type of measurement	Static; dynamic feasible with new cameras	Dynamic bolus or static	Dynamic bolus	Clearance- reperfusion	Dynamic bolus or static	Pressure and/or flow velocity			
Linear relationship between blood flow and tracer	No (underestimation at high flow)	Yes	No	Yes	No	NA			
Linear relationship between tracer and image signal	Yes (radiopharma- ceutical)	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NA			
Contrast-to-noise ratio	High	Low	High	High	Low	NA			
Temporal resolution (acquisition time per frame)	10s	1–5s	Approximately 100–200 ms	Approximately 4–50 ms (20–250 frames per s)	Approximately 150–200 ms	NA			
Spatial resolution (image analysis voxel size)	$10 \times 10 \times 10 \text{mm}^3$	4×4×4mm³	$1 \times 2 \times 6 - 8 \text{ mm}^3$	$1 \times 1 - 3 \times 3 - 6 \text{ mm}^3$ (spatially varying)	$0.5 \times 0.5 \times 6 - 8 \text{ mm}^3$	NA			
lsotropic left ventricle coverage	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	NA			
Technical challenge	25								
Stenosis quantification	NA	NA	Spatial resolution	NA	Beam hardening	Variability and projections			
Coronary haemodynamic assessment	NA	NA	Spatial resolution	NA	Flow assumptions	Costs and availability			
lschaemia quantification	Spatial and temporal resolution	Spatial and temporal resolution	Contrast agent signal nonlinearity	Intravascular contrast agent	Contrast agent dynamics, noise and dose	NA			
Types of coronary vascular component assessment by each imaging modality									
Epicardial conductance vessel (>500 μm)	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Anatomical and functional assessment	Anatomical and functional assessment			
Resistance vessels and arterioles (<500 µm)	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	NA			
Capillaries	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	Functional assessment	NA			
Endocardial– epicardial flow ratio	NA	NA	Yes	NA	Yes	NA			
Collaterals	Yes (but with limitations)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Contraction-flow relationship	NA	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

Table 1 (cont.) Technical comparison of tools for the assessment of myocardial ischaemia									
Parameter	SPECT	PET	MRI	Echocardiography	СТ	Invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement			
Advantages and dis	sadvantages								
Advantages	Wide availability and quantification now also possible in patients with a high BMI	Technically best-suited test for ischaemia quantification; novel tracers and small cyclotrons have become available	Assessment of function, perfusion and viability without ionizing radiation	Availability at the bedside for analysis without ionizing radiation	Quantitative scale and high spatial resolution for coronary stenosis and plaque analysis	Immediate treatment opportunity during the same procedure			
Disadvantages	Low image quality owing to attenuation	Limited availability (available in specialized centres only)	Limited coronary stenosis analysis and quantification challenges	No coronary artery stenosis analysis and quantification challenges	Radiation dose, low contrast-to-noise ratio and quantification challenges	Limited use in patients without acute presentation			

Consensus ratings were performed using a Delphi process with ratings by 20 investigators (six cardiologists, four radiologists, one dual cardiologist–radiologist, one nuclear medicine physician and eight methodologists). NA, not applicable.

measurement of perfusion defect sizes and ventricular function (FIG. 3b). These tools also enable registration and fusion of SPECT datasets with coronary CT angiography for improved prediction of long-term outcomes⁶⁴ (FIG. 3c) and the latest developments provide options for the absolute quantification of MBF from dynamic datasets (FIG. 3d) and the integration of artificial intelligence techniques^{24,65}. Future work will define how this comprehensive toolbox of quantitative parameters is best utilized in the work-up of myocardial ischaemia for guidance of targeted coronary interventions of the correct coronary artery, for the selection of coronary artery bypass grafting versus stenting versus optimal medical therapy alone, and for serial monitoring of the effects of therapy.

In summary, cardiac SPECT has advanced through the adoption of solid-state detector-equipped dedicated cameras as the new standard. This implementation enables fast assessment of myocardial perfusion and function with exposure to a low dose of radiation, and includes diagnostic and prognostic measures of relative regional ischaemia that are well established and quantitative. The next phase will include the quantification of MBF and CFR. The techniques are readily adopted from PET as the reference standard and can be translated to the field of SPECT owing to the increased sensitivity and the improved temporal resolution of the new cardiac camera systems. On the basis of its characteristics, SPECT is the primary clinical method for the assessment of myocardial ischaemia, and the latest technological advances now also allow robust imaging of patients with challenging features, such as those with a high BMI.

Key points for SPECT.

- SPECT is most commonly used in the clinic for myocardial perfusion imaging.
- Challenges of SPECT include radiation dose and limited quantification of myocardial perfusion²⁸.
- Dedicated cardiac SPECT cameras have emerged, with improved sensitivity and resolution at lower radiation doses and in patients with challenging features (including those with a high BMI)^{59,60}.

- Quantitative measures of the perfusion defect size have become more widely available as diagnostic and prognostic markers with the introduction of solid-state detector CZT technology.
- Dynamic imaging enables compartmental modelling and provides absolute measures of myocardial ischaemia, thereby bringing SPECT closer to PET as the reference standard.

PET

Role in assessment of ischaemic heart disease. PET is an inherently quantitative imaging technique that allows the accurate measurement of radioactivity concentrations in vivo, making PET the primary test for patients with multivessel disease to confirm or exclude balanced ischaemia. Currently, four different PET tracers are used clinically for the assessment of MBF: 82Rb, ¹³N-ammonia, ¹⁵O-water and ¹⁸F-flurpiridaz⁶⁶. On the basis of close to 100% extraction, ¹⁵O-water PET is considered the clinical gold standard for perfusion quantification given that the image signal is directly proportional to tracer concentration, and tracer kinetic modelling is well established. All other tracers show a varying decrease in extraction with increasing flow, requiring correction to derive MBF (FIG. 4a). Because of their short half-lives, 82Rb and 15O-water allow the completion of rest-stress protocols within 30 min, with a total radiation dose that is lower than that of SPECT, although new SPECT technologies considerably reduce the radiation dose, as described above.

Quantitative assessment of pathophysiology. Detailed technical characteristics of PET are listed in TABLE 1. Because ¹⁵O-water is freely diffusible, no simple static uptake PET images are available for visual assessment, and images of perfusion defects are sometimes grainy and difficult to interpret. Therefore, quantification is needed for clinical assessment using ¹⁵O. With ⁸²Rb, ¹³N-ammonia and ¹⁸F-flurpiridaz, perfusion defects are visualized in a similar way to SPECT, but absolute MBF measurements can be provided using tracer kinetic modelling and correction for limited extraction.

Grand	PECT	ET	ARI	chocardiograph	5	nvasive coronary low and pressur neasurement
Availability of technique in clinical practice	8	4	6	7	8	8
Technique is commonly applied in clinical practice	8	5	6	, 7	7	7
Technique includes quantification in clinical practice	5	8	4	3	4	6
Technological potential for ischaemia quantification	6	9	7	5	6	7
Frequency of myocardial ischaemia examinations per modality	8	4	5	6	5	8
Cost-effectiveness of technique: patient perspective	7	5	7	8	6	6
Cost-effectiveness of technique: societal perspective	7	5	6	7	6	6
General adverse events profile	7	7	7	8	7	7
Patient acceptance ^a	7	8	6	8	8	6
General level of evidence for assessment of ischaemic heart disease	8	8	8	7	7	8

Specific indications: effect on patient management

Suspected ischaemic heart disease: non-acute symptomatic presentation	7	8	7	6	7	7
Suspected ischaemic heart disease: acute symptomatic presentation	3	3	4	6	6	7
Suspected ischaemic heart disease: risk stratification	8	8	7	7	7	6
Known ischaemic heart disease: non-acute symptomatic presentation	7	7	8	7	6	8
Known ischaemic heart disease: acute symptomatic presentation	3	2	3	6	5	7
Known ischaemic heart disease: balanced ischaemia in multivessel disease	4	8	6	5	5	7
Known ischaemic heart disease: risk stratification	8	8	7	6	7	6
Status after percutaneous coronary revascularization	6	7	5	6	5	8
Status after coronary surgery	5	7	6	5	6	7
Female sex	5	7	8	7	7	6
Heart failure	5	5	7	6	6	5
Microvascular dysfunction	4	8	7	5	3	3
High BMI	6	7	6	4	6	7
High heart rate	8	8	6	6	3	8
Young age	4	4	8	8	5	4
Potential for detection of secondary findings	4	4	7	5	8	2

Potential for pathophysiological evaluation

Coronary anatomy	6	6	7	5	9	9
Plaque morphology	2	2	4	1	9	2
Plaque composition	2	3	4	1	8	2
Haemodynamic relevance	6	7	7	5	5	7
Microcirculation	4	8	7	4	4	4
Perfusion	7	8	8	6	6	4
Absolute quantification of perfusion	4	9	6	3	5	3
Relative quantification of perfusion	8	9	7	5	6	6
Simultaneous myocardial wall-motion testing	7	7	7	8	5	6
Transmural extent of ischaemic defects	5	7	8	4	6	2

Fig. 2 | Clinical characteristics and appropriateness of myocardial ischaemia assessment tools for different patient scenarios. Consensus ratings were on a scale of 1-9, with 1-3 being inappropriate, 4-6 being uncertain and 7–9 being appropriate. A total of 16 investigators participated. A Delphi clinical consensus process was used, with ratings by eight participants (four cardiologists, two radiologists, one nuclear medicine physician and one methodologist). A separate development team of eight investigators (one dual cardiologist-radiologist, two radiologists and five methodologists) defined the questions and categories shown in the table but did not participate in the clinical appropriateness rating. ^aStudies discussed during the meeting showed higher patient acceptance for CT than for SPECT, MRI or invasive testing^{186–188}.

However, absolute measures remain variable, and a lack of standardization exists between systems. The complexity of quantitative data analysis has long hampered the clinical feasibility of 15O-water PET. However, advances in scanner technology, image reconstruction methods and data analysis during the past decade have enabled nearly automated and fast computation of parametric images showing MBF at the voxel level and have facilitated the use of ¹⁵O-water in the clinical setting^{29,30}. Another disadvantage of the lack of uptake images using ¹⁵O-water is that myocardial function and volumes cannot easily be quantified. Estimating ejection fractions from gated first-pass images has been shown to correlate well with values derived from MRI^{30,67}. An advantage of the free diffusibility of 15O-water is that it allows the calculation of the perfusable tissue fraction, which is the ratio between uptake and clearance rate constants. Normalizing this parameter for the anatomical tissue fraction gives the perfusable tissue index, which is a marker of myocardial viability68,69. Therefore, MBF, viability and functional parameters can now be determined from a single ¹⁵O-water PET scan (FIG. 4b).

Indications and clinical applications. Myocardial perfusion PET is increasingly being used for the evaluation of patients with known or suspected myocardial ischaemia. Clinical indications for PET are listed in detail in FIG. 2. The vast majority of studies have been conducted with static uptake images of ⁸²Rb and ¹³N-ammonia. In a pooled analysis of these studies, weighted sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value and positive predictive value were 91%, 86%, 81% and 93%, respectively70. However, almost all these studies compared PET with invasive coronary angiography without invasive coronary flow or pressure measurement⁷¹. For such indirect detection of obstructive epicardial stenosis, PET is as accurate as CT or MRI perfusion imaging^{72,73}. In addition, mounting evidence indicates that quantitative analysis of PET is superior to staticuptake image grading⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶. Even more compelling are the observations that hyperaemic MBF quantification outperforms PET-derived CFR in the diagnosis of obstructive coronary artery disease, highlighting the potential of stress-only protocols77,78. Unfortunately, reported thresholds of what should be considered pathological hyperaemic MBF or CFR are not uniform across PET



c Fusion with CT angiography

d Dynamic imaging: absolute myocardial flow





Fig. 3 | **SPECT for quantification of myocardial ischaemia. a** | Design of a new, dedicated cardiac SPECT camera with static solid-state detectors focused on the heart, with the major characteristics summarized below the image. **b** | Representative images of current state-of-the-art SPECT measurements, including static images along the cardiac axes (top left), polar maps and segmental scores for quantification of defect size (bottom left), quality-control screens for CT-based attenuation correction of SPECT images (top right) and volumetric information on left ventricular (LV) function and synchrony from electrocardiogram (ECG)-gated images (bottom right). **c** | Advanced methodology for 3D fusion of a SPECT dataset with coronary CT angiography, enabling localization of ischaemia to a coronary artery territory. This image shows anterior wall ischaemia resulting from chronic occlusion of the left anterior descending coronary artery. **d** | Advanced analysis of dynamic SPECT images for absolute quantification of time-activity curves (middle) and parametric display of flow parameters derived from compartmental modelling (bottom), including correction for tracer-specific nonlinear flow and extraction (see FIG. 4a).

tracers²². Cut-off values seem to be, at least in part, related to tracer kinetics and should not be considered interchangeable.

In a contemporary study, PET had higher accuracy (85%) than coronary CT angiography (74%) or SPECT (77%) for the diagnosis of myocardial ischaemia when taking FFR as a reference standard⁴⁰ (FIG. 4c). The comparative accuracy of PET versus CT or MRI perfusion, which have higher spatial resolution, remains to be determined. In terms of prognosis, analogy to large-scale SPECT databases exists⁷⁹. The extent and severity of (reversible) perfusion defects documented with PET hold strong prognostic information beyond that obtained from traditional cardiovascular risk factors²². PET-derived CFR has shown added prognostic value for the identification of at-risk women with more frequent non-obstructive coronary artery disease and potential microvascular dysfunction⁵⁷. Of particular interest is that apparently normal perfusion images with a homogeneous tracer distribution

can be reclassified on the basis of diffusely blunted hyperaemic MBF or CFR; several studies have shown that this subset of patients is at increased risk of future cardiac events^{80–82}.

Future developments. Both ¹⁵O-water and ¹³N-ammonia require the presence of an on-site cyclotron as a high upfront investment because of the short half-lives of both tracers (2 min and 9 min, respectively). By contrast,



Fig. 4 | **PET for quantification of myocardial ischaemia. a** | Tracer signal versus myocardial blood flow (MBF), showing a constant 100% extraction for ¹⁵O-water, an almost 100% extraction for ¹³N-ammonia K₁ and ¹⁸F-flurpiridaz, and a substantial roll-off phenomenon, resulting in underestimation of MBF that increases with MBF, for ¹³N-ammonia (when quantified using its net influx rate), ²⁰¹Tl-SPECT, Gd-DOTA dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MRI, ⁸²Rb and ^{99m}Tc-MIBI-SPECT^{66,189-192}. Note that the ⁸²Rb and ^{99m}Tc curves overlap. **b** | MBF images at rest and during stress, myocardial viability and blood volume from a single rest–stress ¹⁵O-water protocol. This protocol can be used to assess ventricular volumes and ejection fraction. **c** | Example images from the PACIFIC trial showing coronary CT angiography (CCTA), SPECT, PET and invasive coronary angiography (ICA) images, with corresponding fractional flow reserve (FFR), in three patients (numbered 1–3) with coronary artery stenosis (arrows).

⁸²Rb (with a half-life of 1.3 min) is produced by a generator that has to be replaced on a monthly basis, which confers a high monthly cost but a low upfront investment. So-called baby cyclotrons have lower cost and are aimed specifically at the production of ¹³N or ¹⁵O and, therefore, also require lower energies and considerably less radiation shielding than common PET cyclotrons. This feature greatly increases the possibility of using ¹⁵O-water and ¹³N-ammonia in hospitals without PET chemistry facilities. ¹⁸F-flurpiridaz is a novel tracer that has shown higher accuracy than SPECT⁸³ and also promises quantification using direct parametric reconstruction⁸⁴. Furthermore, machine learning used for the integration of variables has great potential in clinical practice for identifying patients with myocardial ischaemia and increased risk of events²⁵.

In summary, cardiac PET is the reference standard for the quantification of perfusion imaging. PET using parametric images showing MBF at the voxel level is now available in routine clinical practice, and PET also allows the derivation of the perfusable tissue index, which is a marker of myocardial viability, thereby expanding the clinical utility of this modality. On the basis of its characteristics, PET is well suited for patients with multivessel disease to confirm or exclude balanced ischaemia, and low-cost baby cyclotrons will improve the cost-effective use of PET.

Key points for PET.

- PET is the clinical reference standard for the quantification of myocardial perfusion.
- Challenges of PET use include limited visual assessment of quantitative ¹⁵O-water PET and the lower spatial resolution of PET compared with CT or MRI perfusion imaging^{29,30}.
- Small or ¹³N-ammonia cyclotrons, as well as the availability of ¹⁸F-labelled tracers, could improve the cost-effectiveness of cardiac PET imaging, as well as logistics and dissemination.
- Quantitative measures of myocardial ischaemia are provided with the addition of the measurement of viability and functional parameters during a single scan.
- Hybrid imaging combining PET perfusion imaging with coronary CT angiography data might provide comprehensive assessment, especially in patients with multivessel disease.

MRI

Role in assessment of ischaemic heart disease. Since its inception⁸⁵, myocardial perfusion MRI has evolved into a clinical modality with excellent sensitivity and specificity for detecting myocardial ischaemia⁸⁶. Most clinical myocardial perfusion MRI approaches are based on dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging using exogenous gadolinium-based contrast agents. The absence of ionizing radiation and the increasing availability of MRI expertise and equipment promote its routine use to guide clinical interventions. All components of a comprehensive MRI examination (that is, ventricular function and late gadolinium enhancement for viability and perfusion) add synergistic information to prognosis^{87,88}.

Long-term follow-up data have demonstrated a strong and independent predictive value of myocardial perfusion MRI for future major adverse cardiac events and death^{89,90}. The MR INFORM study⁹¹ demonstrated that, among patients with stable angina and risk factors for coronary artery disease, the use of myocardial perfusion MRI was associated with a lower incidence of coronary revascularization than the use of FFR and was non-inferior to FFR with respect to major adverse cardiac events.

Quantitative assessment of pathophysiology. Detailed technical characteristics of MRI are listed in TABLE 1. Although mostly visual or semiquantitative assessment is used to identify ischaemia in the clinic⁹², quantitative approaches93 have gained momentum with technical advances in MRI data acquisition, reconstruction and processing^{45,94}. Quantitative perfusion analysis provides incremental prognostic value over semiquantitative and qualitative data analysis, with an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.85 versus 0.75 (REF.⁸⁸). FIGURE 5a summarizes the technical approaches used for qualitative, semiguantitative and quantitative MRI perfusion. Although imaging is typically performed by acquiring three 2D sequences along the short axis in a dynamic fashion, the feasibility of dynamic 3D, whole-heart imaging has been shown³². The conversion of signal intensity to contrast concentration is an important step for quantitative assessment (FIG. 5a). This process involves nonlinear mapping and dual sequence or dual bolus approaches to derive AIF⁹⁵⁻⁹⁷. In practice, a model-free Fermi function model has been widely used, and provides high accuracy and precision⁹⁸ (FIG. 5a). Compared with Fermi models, distributed parameter models offer increased sensitivity (78% versus 96%) and specificity (88% versus 92%) by providing additional insights into changes in the permeability surface area and interstitial volumes evaluated⁹⁹. Automated voxel-wise quantification offers faster assessment than the manual quantification of perfusion but has similar accuracy¹⁰⁰. However, absolute measures remain variable because they are tightly connected to the MRI sequence, and a lack of standardization exists between systems⁴¹. An automated method for AIF detection on the basis of pixel thresholding reduces analysis time and produces similar MBF values to those derived from manual AIFs¹⁰¹.

Indications and clinical applications. MRI is less commonly used than SPECT but avoids ionizing radiation and allows the evaluation of patients with complex disease, who benefit from quantification of function, fibrosis and perfusion. Clinical indications for MRI are listed in detail in FIG. 2. Myocardial perfusion MRI has advanced substantially, with improved temporal resolution and the capacity to offer online automatic quantification in millilitres per gram per minute. The most important clinical application of absolute myocardial perfusion quantification is in patients with complex disease to address questions about multivessel disease, microvascular dysfunction¹⁰² or a combination of both¹⁰³. Quantitative perfusion is also feasible in



Fig. 5 | MRI for myocardial perfusion imaging. a | Imaging, processing and data analysis approaches in myocardial perfusion MRI. Standard multislice 2D MRI perfusion imaging yields image time series of dynamic myocardial signal change (Myo) during contrast agent bolus passage, allowing qualitative assessment of perfusion on the basis of eve-balling of hypoenhancing tissue (upper row). For semiguantitative analysis, the slopes of the dynamic magnetic resonance (MR) signal change during contrast passage are compared with the reduced slopes indicating ischaemic tissue (middle row). Using integrated arterial input function (AIF) measurements, which capture signal changes in the aortic outflow tract, and after conversion of dynamic MR signal changes to gadolinium (Gd) contrast agent concentrations, quantitative perfusion assessment can be performed, providing myocardial blood flow (MBF) values in units of millilitres per gram per minute. In conjunction with 3D MRI perfusion imaging, dynamic wholeheart coverage without slice gaps is possible (lower row). b Comparison of qualitative cardiovascular MR perfusion analysis versus SPECT, demonstrating improved diagnostic performance of cardiovascular MR (CMR) versus SPECT in 425 patients in the MR-IMPACT II trial¹⁰⁸ (left panel). Comparison of quantitative multislice 2D MR perfusion analysis versus PET in 41 patients (middle panel) and in 21 patients (right panel) showing a significant correlation between the modalities and providing evidence of the clinical utility of quantitative MR perfusion imaging^{111,112}. c | Gradientogram analysis allows the measurement of radial extent, temporal persistence, area, peak and average intensity as well as strength of the transmural perfusion gradient (left panels) for detection of obstructive coronary artery disease¹⁰³. Fractal analysis enables pathophysiological differentiation of epicardial disease and microvascular disease (arrows; right panels). d Examples of fully quantitative high-resolution MRI perfusion maps. From left to right: example of an individual with normal stress perfusion, with homogeneous perfusion values ranging from 3 to 4 ml/g/min; example of a patient with angina, smooth epicardial coronary arteries and diffusely reduced stress perfusion values and more severely impaired subendocardial perfusion; and example of a patient with two-vessel coronary artery disease, with severe transmural impaired stress perfusion in the right and left circumflex coronary artery perfusion territories. Part **b** left panel adapted from REF.¹⁰⁸, CC BY 2.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/). Part b middle panel adapted with permission from REF.¹¹¹, Elsevier. Part **b** right panel adapted from REF.¹¹², CC BY 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Part c left panels adapted with permission from REF.¹⁰³, Wiley-VCH. Part d courtesy of C. Scannell, King's College London, UK.

> patients with heart failure and remodelled and thinned left ventricular walls, on the basis of the elevated spatial resolution allowed by cardiac MRI104. Methods for combined assessment of quantitative perfusion and left ventricular scar have been described¹⁰⁵. T1 mapping can be used to differentiate between obstructive disease and microvascular dysfunction with higher accuracy than perfusion MRI and has produced similar mapping results in infarcted and ischaemic tissue¹⁰⁶. In the large, single-centre CE-MARC study¹⁰⁷, the AUC for perfusion MRI (0.89) was higher than that for SPECT (0.74) on the basis of visual assessment. This finding is in agreement with the secondary end points of the multicentre MR-IMPACT II study¹⁰⁸ (FIG. 5b). However, quantitative MRI assessment in this study did not improve accuracy¹⁰⁹, and the randomized, multicentre CE-MARC 2 study110 showed equal reductions in unnecessary invasive coronary angiography procedures using a diagnostic strategy on the basis of either MRI or SPECT. Compared with PET, quantitative perfusion MRI had a similar AUC of 0.83 for the detection of clinically significant coronary artery disease, but MRI perfusion values correlated only weakly with quantitative PET perfusion values, suggesting that further refinements are necessary¹¹¹ (FIG. 5b). This refinement could be possible with perfusion mapping MRI, which has been shown to result in higher correlation with ¹³N-ammonia PET¹¹² (FIG. 5b). However, most of these MRI studies used a limited spatial coverage

of the left ventricle with only three cardiac short-axis slices, possibly resulting in missed diseases and limited spatial resolution with a slice thickness in the order of several PET voxel sizes. Another major challenge for the clinical application of MRI is its use in patients with cardiac devices, which nevertheless can be done safely when the pacing is changed to asynchronous mode for pacing-dependent patients or to demand mode for other patients, and tachyarrhythmia functions are disabled¹¹³. This approach might even allow cardiac MRI in patients with pacemakers or defibrillators, with interpretability not being limited by artefacts³¹.

Future developments. Free-breathing perfusion MRI with subsequent motion correction will make the procedure easier for patients¹¹⁴. Improved detection of myocardial ischaemia is possible using the gradiento-gram analysis, which has been validated in comparison with FFR¹¹⁵ (FIG. 5c). Although most quantitative imaging has focused on myocardial ischaemia owing to coronary obstructions, microvascular dysfunction including impairment of vessel compliance will be the focus of comprehensive cardiac MRI protocols. Given that microvascular dysfunction occurs diffusively and has a patchy distribution¹⁰², it can be differentiated from ischaemia caused by coronary obstructions using fractal analysis¹¹⁶ (FIG. 5c).

High-resolution, pixel-wise automated quantification enables MBF to be measured on a pixel-by-pixel basis and microvascular disease to be distinguished from obstructive disease (FIG. 5d). The ability to visualize subendocardial ischaemia, limiting partial volume effects and spatial averaging, is one of the main technical advantages of perfusion MRI, contributing to the elevated sensitivity of this method for the detection of ischaemia. Machine learning applied to myocardial perfusion MRI might improve quantification and, together with under-sampling, might reduce acquisition and reconstruction times^{27,117}.

In summary, cardiac MRI is a comprehensive test to investigate myocardial perfusion, fibrosis and function. Several approaches to automating image acquisition, reconstruction and assessment will facilitate the clinical implementation of myocardial perfusion MRI. As MRI does not require ionizing radiation, this modality is especially suited for use in young patients. On the basis of its characteristics, MRI should be considered in patients with complex disease who would benefit from imaging of function and fibrosis in addition to perfusion.

Key points for perfusion MRI.

- MRI avoids ionizing radiation and allows detailed characterization of myocardial tissue.
- Challenges associated with MRI application include the increased prevalence of pacemakers³¹, the limited spatial coverage of the left ventricle³² and the need for a method for adequate determination of arterial input function.
- Dedicated image-acquisition protocols (dual sequence) or contrast agent injection schemes (dual bolus) are required for quantitative MRI perfusion analysis.



Fig. 6 | **Contrast echocardiography for myocardial perfusion imaging. a** | Principle of destruction–replenishment imaging with the destruction pulse causing a flash in the image intensity at time zero. **b** | Time–intensity analysis in the region of interest shown as a dashed square in part **a** to derive estimates of myocardial blood flow (MBF). **c** | Clinical image showing multiple perfusion defects in the mid-septum, apex and right wall (arrows). **d** | An example of suboptimal image quality, which is an important challenge of echocardiography. Other important challenges include time-consuming, manual region-of-interest analysis and the limited acoustic window. **e** | An example of high frame rate contrast echocardiography acquired in the same patient as in part **a**, demonstrating its potential to improve image quality. MBFR, myocardial blood flow reserve.

- Automated voxel-wise quantification and dynamic 3D, whole-heart MRI could improve the detailed and complete assessment of myocardial ischaemia.
- Evaluation of patients with complex disease is improved by the quantification of function, fibrosis and perfusion, and fractal analysis might allow obstructive and microvascular disease to be differentiated.

Echocardiography

Role in assessment of ischaemic heart disease. Echocardiography, like MRI, avoids ionizing radiation but can be performed at the bedside. Contrast echocardiography using microbubble tracers improves image quality and reproducibility in the assessment of global and regional left ventricular function^{118,119}. The microbubbles consist of a gas core and typically a lipid or albumin shell. Ultrasound microbubbles remain entirely intravascular and can oscillate in resonance, producing the signals from individual microbubbles¹²⁰. During infusion and steady state, signals emanating from microbubbles reflect the relative microvascular blood volume¹²¹. Microbubbles can be cleared from the myocardium by applying a series of high-power ultrasound pulses, and the myocardial replenishment is assessed by reverting to low-power imaging (FIG. 6a). This approach allows the myocardial blood velocity to be estimated as a semiquantitative measure of myocardial ischaemia121 both at rest and during stress (FIG. 6b). Echocardiography is the preferred technique in bedside situations, for instance in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome, and can be useful in chronic myocardial ischaemia if good acoustic conditions are present. As collaterals supply blood to the myocardium, myocardial contrast echocardiography allows quantitative assessment of MBF subtended by an occluded artery. An association exists between collateral blood flow and myocardial viability after a recent myocardial infarction, as shown by quantitative myocardial contrast echocardiography¹²², which also accurately detects multivessel disease¹²³. Using vasodilator stress, hyperaemic flow can be assessed in each of the 16 segments of the left ventricle, and CFR is calculated by dividing hyperaemic MBF by MBF during rest¹²⁴. A good agreement has been shown between CFR measured by echocardiography and FFR, with the greatest discordance in symptomatic patients with normal FFR¹²⁵. Echocardiography can also be used to assess viability in akinetic myocardial segments by assessing the microvasculature, which is present in viable but not necrotic tissue126.

Quantitative assessment of pathophysiology. Detailed technical characteristics of echocardiography are listed in TABLE 1. Quantitative assessment of myocardium perfusion can be achieved through destruction-reperfusion imaging and analysis of the time-intensity curves from different regions of interest in the myocardium (FIG. 6). Quantitative myocardial perfusion at rest has been shown to predict viable myocardium after myocardial infarction and in chronic coronary artery disease¹¹⁸. MBF reserve assessed during hyperaemic echocardiography has been shown to be associated with the severity of coronary stenosis in patients with stable angina¹¹⁸.

In a meta-analysis, MBF reserve had high accuracy for the prediction of flow-limiting coronary artery disease¹¹⁸ and could be used to determine the pathophysiological basis of microcirculatory angina¹²⁷.

Indications and clinical applications. Clinical indications for echocardiography are listed in detail in FIG. 2. Prospective studies including one randomized trial have investigated the use of contrast echocardiography for the detection of myocardial ischaemia (FIG. 6c) and its use for risk stratification of patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease, in both the acute and outpatient settings¹²⁸⁻¹³². However, reliable quantification of myocardial perfusion remains elusive and sometimes even qualitative assessment can be challenging^{133,134}. This limitation is first and foremost owing to variability in image quality (FIG. 6d). The common presence of noise and artefacts is an important factor that, in turn, affects the reproducibility of contrast echocardiography. This problem is particularly relevant for stress echocardiography, in which both high image quality and frame rates are required. In addition, limitations of the acoustic window can further diminish the signal-to-noise ratio. Echocardiography is ubiquitously available, is a bedside technique without ionizing radiation and is a preferred imaging technique among experienced operators in patients with acute chest pain.

Future developments. An important challenge for echocardiography is the lack of automated quantification techniques and software. Although various physical and human factors affecting quantification are known and can be accounted for¹³⁵, automated myocardial segmentation remains challenging owing to speckle noise and 3D deformations. Artificial intelligence solutions using machine learning for automated myocardial segmentation on contrast echocardiography are being developed¹³⁶. These techniques promise automated and fast segmentation and perfusion quantification. High frame rate ultrasonography with up to 6,000 frames per second can be achieved through parallel data acquisition and digital beam forming. This approach offers image processing opportunities to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (FIG. 6c-e). High frame rate echocardiography can reveal the coronary microvasculature even without contrast but only in fairly shallow regions137. The feasibility of high frame rate contrast echocardiography for myocardial perfusion imaging in humans has been reported33. With this quantification, existing challenges might be addressed but its clinical utility needs further evaluation. In addition to high frame rate echocardiography, new 3D imaging¹³⁸ might help to address the clinical application challenges discussed above.

In summary, echocardiography is a readily available bedside imaging test for which contrast-enhanced ultrasound applications using microbubbles have enabled semiquantitative measures of perfusion. High frame rate ultrasonography might overcome the major image quality issues that are often present during echocardiography, such as low signal-to-noise ratio and artefacts. Automated segmentation and 3D imaging will facilitate echocardiography. On the basis of its characteristics, echocardiography should be considered when bedside imaging is required.

Key points for echocardiography.

- Echocardiography is most commonly applied for cardiac function imaging but is increasingly also being used for the assessment of myocardial perfusion and can be performed at the bedside.
- The challenges of echocardiography include the common presence of noise and artefacts, lack of reproducibility, variable image quality and time-consuming manual analysis³³.
- High frame rate echocardiography allows the estimation of myocardial blood velocity as a semiquantitative measure of myocardial ischaemia with improved image quality.
- Artificial intelligence solutions using machine learning for automated myocardial segmentation promise automated and fast segmentation and perfusion quantification.
- Evaluation of patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome at the bedside is the primary strength of echocardiography when performed by experienced operators.

Cardiac CT

Role in assessment of ischaemic heart disease. Cardiac CT is widely available with widespread clinical application for noninvasive coronary angiography and has a class I (level of evidence B) recommendation in the 2019 European guidelines, together with functional imaging, for use in individuals with a low-to-intermediate clinical likelihood of coronary artery disease⁴. However, stenosis grading by CT is limited in its capacity to predict ischaemia¹³⁹, and CT perfusion imaging is not frequently used. For appropriate spatial coverage, >64 CT detector rows are recommended, while 256-row and 320-row CT provides whole-heart coverage in one heartbeat. For myocardial CT perfusion imaging, static imaging either with or without a vasodilator stressor (such as adenosine) is used, whereas dynamic (4D) imaging allows the quantification of MBF but requires multiple scans over time. Dynamic CT perfusion imaging might provide the greatest clinical value but challenges with this modality include increased radiation dose, motion artefacts and image quality limitations¹⁴⁰. A meta-analysis showed higher sensitivity (0.85 versus 0.72) but lower specificity (0.81 versus 0.90) for dynamic compared with static CT perfusion imaging141. The greatest role of CT in relation to myocardial ischaemia might be in stenosis and plaque quantification.

Quantitative assessment of pathophysiology. Detailed technical characteristics of CT are listed in TABLE 1. Advantages of CT include its high spatial resolution and the linear relationship between image attenuation, measured in Hounsfield units, and myocardial contrast agent concentration. Therefore, myocardial perfusion can, in principle, be measured quantitatively (absolute MBF)¹⁴². (FIG. 7a) and/or semiquantitatively (static imaging)^{143,144}.

Furthermore, microvascular disease in addition to collateral circulation specifically in patients with occluded vessels can also be evaluated^{145,146}. Although absolute





Fig. 7 | **CT for myocardial perfusion imaging. a** | CT angiography showing a coronary stenosis in the left anterior descending coronary artery (top left) and the corresponding anterior myocardial perfusion defect during adenosine stress (top right)⁴⁹. Time attenuation curve (bottom left) and left ventricular polar map of absolute myocardial blood flow (bottom right). **b** | Photon-counting energy-selective X-ray detectors show promise in improving quantification of tissue densities in CT and increased contrast-to-noise ratio (compared with standard CT perfusion). **c** | Machine learning using convolutional deep neural networks can generate high-quality images from low-dose CT acquisition and reduce image artefacts. FWHM, full-width at half-maximum.

quantification of ischaemia is possible, the added value over semiquantitative assessment is uncertain¹⁴⁷. Current CT scanners are challenged by beam-hardening artefacts, limited temporal resolution resulting in cardiac motion artefacts and low contrast-to-noise ratios. Beamhardening artefacts can be reduced using dedicated correction methods^{34–36}. Temporal resolution can be improved by a higher rotation speed, dual-source CT or post-acquisition algorithms that estimate motion in order to compensate for it during image reconstruction³⁷. Image acquisition during systole has the potential to improve CT perfusion image analysis, whereas β -blockers, which are often required for coronary CT angiography, might diminish the subsequent intended effects of vasodilator stressors¹⁴⁸.

Indications and clinical applications. Cardiac CT has undergone exponential growth in the past decade, and clinical indications are listed in detail in FIG. 2. Coronary CT angiography is included in clinical guidelines as a diagnostic tool in stable patients with chest pain and an intermediate pretest probability of obstructive coronary artery disease^{1,3,4}. However, in patients with heavily calcified or stented coronary lesions, the addition of CT perfusion to CT angiography improves diagnostic accuracy from 71% to 87%¹⁴⁹. Using combined CT angiography and CT perfusion might therefore reduce the number of unnecessary invasive coronary angiography procedures performed^{150,151}. To identify patients in whom coronary revascularization might relieve myocardial ischaemia, myocardial CT perfusion imaging147 and computational fluid dynamics modelling to estimate FFR by CT have been investigated^{152,153}. The international CORE320 study154 was the first to demonstrate that the combination of static CT myocardial perfusion imaging and coronary CT angiography can correctly identify coronary stenosis associated with myocardial ischaemia by SPECT, and this finding has been corroborated in

a meta-analysis¹⁵⁵. Initial experience suggests that the combination of CT myocardial perfusion imaging and FFR estimated by CT can provide an even higher diagnostic accuracy, with an improvement in the AUC from 0.78 to 0.85 (REF.¹⁵⁶). Importantly, computational fluid dynamics modelling to estimate FFR relies on mathematical assumptions and equal responses by the microcirculation to vasodilators. Moreover, and in contrast to invasive FFR, noninvasively estimated FFR does not account for collateral flow in the same manner¹⁵⁷. To what extent the implementation of CT-FFR will translate into improved patient outcomes still needs to be elucidated. Of note, a meta-analysis showed that CT perfusion has a higher specificity than CT-FFR (86% versus 78%)¹⁴¹. The randomized CATCH-2 trial¹⁵⁸ demonstrated that the addition of CT myocardial perfusion imaging to coronary CT angiography alone safely reduces the need for invasive examination and treatment (FIG. 7a). The CRESCENT II trial¹⁵⁹ demonstrated the benefit of a tiered CT protocol with limited use of CT perfusion only if CT angiography shows a \geq 50% stenosis, which led to more appropriate revascularization indications than functional testing (88% versus 50%). In a two-centre study, semiguantitative static CT myocardial perfusion imaging achieved a similar performance to perfusion MRI compared with the reference standard of invasive angiography and SPECT¹⁶⁰. This finding was supported in a meta-analysis, which showed similar negative likelihood ratios for perfusion imaging using PET, MRI or CT of 0.14, 0.14 and 0.12, respectively73. Importantly, in the 2-year follow-up of the CORE320 study¹⁶¹, the combination of CT angiography and semiquantitative CT perfusion showed similar accuracy in predicting cardiovascular events to invasive coronary angiography and SPECT combined. In another single-centre study, MBF derived from dynamic CT perfusion had independent predictive value, with a hazard ratio of 5.7 when adjusted for obstructive coronary artery disease on CT angiography¹⁶².

Future developments. Two-compartment analysis might reduce underestimation of MBF by CT163,164, and the semiquantitative transmural perfusion ratio might improve diagnosis of myocardial ischaemia compared with using the MBF¹⁶⁵. Low kilovolt scanning (70–80 kV) enables a substantial reduction in radiation dose, while increasing contrast-to-noise ratio¹⁶⁶. New photoncounting energy-selective X-ray detectors are being developed for improved contrast and reduced radiation dose¹⁶⁷ (FIG. 7b). Algorithmic solutions will further improve contrast and reduce noise168. Four-dimensional, non-rigid motion correction will allow visual interpretation and pave the way for quantitative assessment of the 2-3 billion voxels acquired during dynamic CT perfusion imaging¹⁶⁹. Convolutional neural networks will reduce artefacts (FIG. 7c) by predicting high-quality images from low-dose CT^{170,171}. Sparse-view CT is a futuristic way of reducing radiation dose in which the dose-emitting source is masked at different angular positions during gantry rotation. The resulting structured CT image artefacts might be corrected using deep neural networks²⁶, and accurate scatter correction might

become possible using deep learning, which is much faster than using Monte Carlo simulations¹⁷².

In summary, CT perfusion is rarely used but theoretically well suited for quantification of myocardial perfusion in addition to its capacity noninvasively to exclude obstructive coronary stenosis and to characterize coronary atherosclerosis¹⁷³. Low kilovolt scanning, new CT detector technology and algorithmic solutions are promising approaches to reducing CT radiation dose and image artefacts. On the basis of these characteristics, the greatest value of CT in relation to myocardial ischaemia is for the combined assessment of stenosis and atherosclerosis.

Key points for CT.

- CT perfusion imaging is not frequently used, whereas CT angiography offers highly accurate coronary angiography.
- Challenges of cardiac CT include limited temporal resolution, beam and scatter artefacts, radiation dose and low contrast-to-noise ratios³⁴⁻³⁷.
- CT systems with increased rotation speed, photoncounting energy-selective X-ray detectors and advanced machine learning technology, as well as two-compartment analysis could overcome the technological challenges.
- The combined assessment of stenosis and characterization of atherosclerosis in relation to myocardial ischaemia promises the greatest clinical value but requires testing in trials.
- Evaluation of patients with insufficient angiographic image quality or borderline stenosis on coronary CT angiography might be improved with the use of CT for myocardial ischaemia.

Invasive coronary flow and pressure

Role in assessment of ischaemic heart disease. Invasive coronary physiology techniques have an increasing role in the clinical assessment of stenosis-induced myocardial ischaemia. Their availability during catheterization allows informed decision-making about coronary revascularization directly in the catheterization laboratory¹⁷⁴. Invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement is recommended in clinical guidelines to guide decision-making in the absence of noninvasive stress testing results¹. To enable better decision-making in these situations, the advantages and limitations of coronary physiology techniques in clinical practice must be recognized.

Quantitative assessment of pathophysiology. Detailed technical characteristics of invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement are listed in TABLE 1. The most widely adopted invasive approach to pathophysiology is (myocardial) FFR, which is calculated as the ratio of mean distal coronary pressure to mean aortic pressure during pharmacologically induced coronary vasodilatation (FIG. 8a). The aim of FFR is to quantify the impairment of maximal coronary flow induced by a stenosis from invasive coronary pressure measurement¹⁷⁵. FFR-guided coronary interventions substantially reduce the need for revascularization procedures compared with





decision-making by visual assessment alone and leads to similar long-term clinical outcomes¹⁷⁶. However, two important issues remain. First, the adoption of FFR guidance remains limited to <6% of patients in most countries globally, with only a few exceptions³⁸. This situation is in stark contrast to clinical guidelines, which advocate the widespread use of invasive coronary measurements. Furthermore, noninvasive ischaemia imaging tests are used in only 64% of all patients before coronary angiography^{150,151}. Second, the prognostic value of FFR in patients with stenoses and an abnormal FFR is limited, with 27% of patients who are managed by medical therapy alone having a cardiovascular event within 5 years³⁹. This finding calls into question the clinical practice guidelines advocating revascularization in all vessels with an abnormal FFR1. The limited adoption of FFR is related to practical and economic issues as the procedure is both time-consuming and costly. Some of these problems can be addressed by the use of simpler measurements, such as the instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR) or resting distal coronary-to-aortic pressure ratio (P_d/P_s) . iFR is defined as the ratio of distal coronary pressure to aortic pressure at a distinct time in cardiac diastole, termed the wave-free period (FIG. 8a), in resting, non-vasodilated conditions¹⁷⁷. The diagnostic efficiency of FFR and iFR for haemodynamically significant coronary stenoses is similar to that of SPECT or PET³⁸. Moreover, an iFR-guided revascularization strategy has been documented to provide equivalent clinical outcomes to those of an FFR-guided strategy in two large randomized clinical trials, where fewer coronary revascularization procedures were indicated in the iFR-guided treatment groups178,179.

Importantly, pressure-based measurements provide an estimate of stenosis-induced flow impairment, but they are different from coronary flow or CFR, which determine ischaemia. CFR is defined as the ratio of coronary flow during pharmacological vasodilatation to flow at rest (FIG. 8a), representing the reserve capacity of the coronary circulation to increase flow in response to increased demand. A lower CFR is linked to symptoms of ischaemia and is predictive of myocardial infarction and death. A challenge is that coronary pressure-derived estimates of flow impairment do not correlate with measured CFR in 30-40% of patients132,133 (FIG. 8b). This situation arises because physiology dictates that an increase in coronary flow leads to lower (abnormal) FFR but higher (normal) CFR and vice versa¹⁸⁰. This observation suggests that combined FFR and CFR is better for identifying the individual pathophysiology and predicting clinical outcomes132 (FIG. 8c).

FFR and iFR are considered to estimate the effect of the stenosis on distal MBF, thereby taking into account the effect of collateral flow to the myocardium of interest. For FFR, coronary FFR can be calculated, which is the FFR in the coronary artery when excluding the effect of collateral flow. However, myocardial FFR has been studied in clinical outcome trials and is used in daily clinical practice. Nonetheless, coronary collateral flow can be assessed directly using invasive coronary pressure and flow measurements in several ways. Coronary wedge pressure, which is the distal coronary pressure obtained during occlusion of the epicardial coronary artery to prevent antegrade coronary flow, is a simple marker of collateral function. Furthermore, collateral blood flow can be quantified in more detail using either flow velocity or coronary pressure measurements. The Doppler velocity-derived collateral flow index (CFI) is calculated as the intracoronary flow velocity integral (CFVI) during balloon occlusion as a fraction of CFVI during coronary patency. The coronary pressure-derived CFI (CFIp; also called collateral FFR) is calculated as the coronary wedge pressure minus venous back pressure as a fraction of the aortic pressure minus venous

back pressure. When both Doppler velocity and coronary pressure measurements are performed, the total collateral resistance can also be calculated, as well as the individual resistances in the components of the collateral pathway. CFI and CFIp are the most applicable techniques, and values of >0.30 are generally considered to indicate adequate collateral artery function, substantiated by the absence of angina or electrocardiogram changes during balloon occlusion¹⁸¹.

Indications and clinical applications. Clinical indications for invasive approaches are listed in detail in FIG. 2. In patients with a high likelihood of invasive treatment being required, invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement is well suited to guide treatment decisionmaking, with FFR having achieved greatest acceptance but limited clinical uptake³⁸. This situation might be improved using less complex invasive measurements, such as iFR, which compared with an FFR-guided strategy leads to fewer revascularizations while providing equivalent 1-year clinical outcomes^{178,179}. Resting P_d/P_a has so far been investigated only in diagnostic studies and has shown similar accuracy to iFR182. Considering the limitations of coronary pressure-based techniques, the decision to revascularize should optimally be on the basis of the combination of imaging ischaemia tests and coronary pressure measurement, the results of which should be interpreted in relation to the symptoms of the individual patient to determine the risk-benefit ratio of a coronary intervention.

Future developments. The suboptimal discriminative value of FFR can be addressed by the (additional) direct measurement of coronary flow, instead of its estimation from coronary pressure measurement¹⁸⁰. This approach is currently being evaluated in a multicentre setting in the DEFINE-FLOW study¹⁸³. Meanwhile, it is important to realize that the current technology for direct flow measurement is fairly outdated and technically demanding, and substantial technical revisions are expected shortly. However, current ischaemia-guided or coronary pressure-guided coronary interventions are already superior to angiography-based decision-making alone^{176,178,179}. Artificial intelligence, mainly in the form of machine learning and intelligent algorithms, is increasingly being recognized as a tool to aid invasive diagnostics184 and to improve the safety and accuracy of invasive physiology techniques. Examples are the automated interpretation of aortic pressure traces and iFR pullback traces to identify inaccurate pressure readings that might influence FFR and/or iFR values or their interpretation and affect decision-making.

In summary, FFR measurements can substantially reduce the need for revascularization compared with visual assessment alone, without impairing long-term clinical outcomes. However, the limited uptake of invasive measurement of FFR in clinical practice is related to the complexity of the procedure, which can be overcome using measurement of iFR and P_d/P_a . The combined measurement of pressure and flow should have the highest discriminative capacity and prognostic value but requires further testing. On the basis of their

characteristics, invasive measurements have the greatest value in patients with a high likelihood of requiring invasive treatment.

Key points for invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement.

- Invasive catheter-based methods can be used to measure coronary flow and pressure.
- Challenges of invasive coronary flow and pressure measurements include the diagnostic and prognostic characteristics of FFR, the complexity of the procedure and its limited uptake in clinical practice (used in <6% of patients^{38,39}).
- iFR and resting P_d/P_a are simpler than FFR but have similar prognostic and diagnostic value and might improve the clinical uptake of coronary pressure measurements.
- The combined assessment of CFR and FFR promises the greatest discriminative value for individual pathophysiology but requires testing in trials.
- In patients with a high likelihood of requiring invasive treatment, invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement is well suited to guide treatment decision-making.
- Invasive coronary pressure and flow measurements allow coronary collateral function to be quantified.
- Artificial intelligence might further improve the accuracy and interpretation of coronary pressure readings and could improve coronary flow assessment.

Conclusions

Current cardiac imaging modalities offer widespread utility to assess myocardial ischaemia quantitatively, without the risks of invasive investigation. Among the myocardial perfusion imaging tests, PET is the reference standard for quantification, and SPECT is most commonly used. Although MRI is less commonly used than SPECT, MRI avoids ionizing radiation, as does echocardiography. Echocardiography has similar advantages to those of MRI and can be performed at the bedside. CT is not frequently used for perfusion imaging but offers coronary angiography including assessment of plaque burden and can provide a geometric framework for model-based estimation of CT-FFR. Intracoronary measurements of pressure and flow velocity provide comprehensive haemodynamic information to guide invasive treatment. All six ischaemia imaging techniques have distinct advantages and disadvantages (TABLE 1).

The diagnostic potential of these imaging techniques is substantially improved by technical advances that can yield quantitative measures of myocardial perfusion. Dedicated cardiac SPECT cameras have emerged for improved sensitivity and resolution at lower doses of radiation, and dynamic imaging enables compartmental modelling and thereby provides absolute measures of myocardial ischaemia. Small cyclotrons and the availability of ¹⁸F-labelled PET tracers could improve logistics, and hybrid PET-CT might provide comprehensive assessment, especially in patients with multivessel disease. Automated voxel-wise perfusion quantification of whole-heart MRI could improve the assessment of myocardial ischaemia to facilitate the evaluation of

patients with complex disease and the differentiation between obstructive and microvascular disease. High frame rate echocardiography allows the estimation of myocardial blood velocity with improved image quality, and machine learning can be used for automated and fast myocardial segmentation. CT with increased rotation speed, photon-counting detectors and machine learning could overcome temporal resolution challenges, beam and scatter artefacts, and low contrast-to-noise ratios of CT perfusion imaging for use in patients with borderline coronary stenosis on CT angiography. Invasive coronary measurements of iFR and resting P_{i}/P_{i} is simpler than measurement of FFR but have similar prognostic and diagnostic value and might improve the so-far limited uptake of coronary pressure measurements. The combined assessment of CFR and FFR provides the greatest promise in discriminating individual pathophysiologies.

No single quantitative perfusion imaging technique is best for all types of patient, for all disease stages or at all clinical centres, as usage also depends on the availability of local expertise. Using a Delphi consensus process, we have identified the most appropriate clinical scenario for each diagnostic test for myocardial ischaemia (FIG. 2). SPECT with the use of new detectors allows quantification of MBF and is also suited to quantification in patients with a high BMI. PET should be the primary test in patients with multivessel disease to confirm or exclude balanced ischaemia. MRI allows the evaluation of patients with complex disease who would benefit from imaging of cardiac function and myocardial fibrosis in addition to perfusion. Echocardiography is the preferred technique for assessing acute coronary syndrome in bedside situations. CT has greatest value for combined quantification of stenosis and characterization of atherosclerosis in relation to myocardial ischaemia. In patients with a high likelihood of requiring invasive treatment, invasive coronary flow and pressure measurement is well suited to guide treatment decision-making.

All imaging modalities share the requirement to take coronary pathophysiology into account in the interpretation of noninvasive perfusion imaging and invasive coronary pressure and flow data. Quantification of myocardial perfusion by imaging can provide accurate measures of the burden of ischaemia to determine individualized treatment strategies. This assessment is very important because the ORBITA study¹³⁴ showed that a much more comprehensive, pre-catheterization, quantitative, multimodality imaging strategy is required in patients with suspected ischaemia. This approach helps to identify the most appropriate treatment strategy with or without coronary revascularization and might translate into lower morbidity and mortality. Therefore, in the time of personalized medicine, perfusion imaging provides robust, quantitative assessment of myocardial ischaemia that can be used to guide individual risk stratification and direct treatment decision-making. Future studies will incorporate the emerging computational support of machine learning to benefit complex decision-making about the need for coronary revascularization.

Published online 24 February 2020

- Montalescot, G. et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: the Task Force on the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur. Heart. J.* 34, 2949–3003 (2013).
- Fihn, S. D. et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/ SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 60, e44–e164 (2012).
- Hoffmann, U. et al. ACR appropriateness criteria acute nonspecific chest pain-low probability of coronary artery disease. J. Am. Coll. Radiol. 12, 1266–1271 (2015).
- Knuuti, J. et al. 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. *Eur. Heart J.* 41, 407–477 (2020).
- van den Wijngaard, J. P. et al. 3D imaging of vascular networks for biophysical modeling of perfusion distribution within the heart. J. Biomech. 46, 229–239 (2013).
- van Horssen, P., Siebes, M., Spaan, J. A., Hoefer, I. E. & van den Wijngaard, J. P. Innate collateral segments are predominantly present in the subendocardium without preferential connectivity within the left ventricular wall. J. Physiol. 592, 1047–1060 (2014).
- van Lier, M. G. et al. Transmural distribution and connectivity of coronary collaterals within the human heart. *Cardiovasc. Pathol.* 25, 405–412 (2016).
- Seiler, C. & Meier, P. Historical aspects and relevance of the human coronary collateral circulation. *Curr. Cardiol. Rev.* 10, 2–16 (2014).
- Pries, A. R. et al. Coronary vascular regulation, remodelling, and collateralization: mechanisms and clinical implications on behalf of the working group on coronary pathophysiology and microcirculation. *Eur. Heart J.* 36, 3134–3146 (2015).
- Niccoli, G., Scalone, C. & Crea, F. Acute myocardial infarction with no obstructive coronary atherosclerosis: mechanisms and management. *Eur. Heart J.* 36, 475–481 (2015).
- Spaan, J. A., Piek, J. J., Hoffman, J. I. & Siebes, M. Physiological basis of clinically used coronary hemodynamic indices. *Circulation* **113**, 446–455 (2006).

A study showing the influence of haemodynamic conditions and collateral flow on coronary pressure-flow relationships and assumptions made in deriving coronary indices for assessment of stenosis.

 van de Hoef, T. P. et al. Coronary pressure-flow relations as basis for the understanding of coronary physiology. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* **52**, 786–793 (2012).
 This review discusses the influence of stenosis resistance and microvascular resistance on

coronary blood flow control and the distribution of myocardial perfusion with attention to clinically derived indices.

- Goodwill, A. G., Dick, G. M., Kiel, A. M. & Tune, J. D. Regulation of coronary blood flow. *Compr. Physiol.* 7, 321–382 (2017).
 Hanley, F. L., Messina, L. M., Grattan, M. T. &
- Hanley, F. L., Messina, L. M., Grattan, M. T. & Hoffman, I. E. The effect of coronary inflow pressure on coronary vascular resistance in the isolated dog heart. *Circ. Res.* 54, 760–772 (1984).
- Uren, N. G. et al. Relation between myocardial blood flow and the severity of coronary-artery stenosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 330, 1782–1788 (1994).
- Verhoeff, B. J. et al. Influence of percutaneous coronary intervention on coronary microvascular resistance index. *Circulation* 111, 76–82 (2005).
- resistance index. *Circulation* 111, 76–82 (2005).
 Chareonthaitawee, P., Kaufmann, P. A., Rimoldi, O. & Camici, P. G. Heterogeneity of resting and hyperemic myocardial blood flow in healthy humans. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 50, 151–161 (2001).
- Deussen, A. Blood flow heterogeneity in the heart. Basic Res. Cardiol. 93, 430–438 (1998).
 Bache, R. J. & Cobb, F. R. Effect of maximal coronary
- Bache, R. J. & Cobb, F. R. Effect of maximal coronary vasodilation on transmural myocardial perfusion during tachycardia in the awake dog. *Circ. Res.* 41, 648–653 (1977).
- Danad, I. et al. Impact of anatomical and functional severity of coronary atherosclerotic plaques on the transmural perfusion gradient: a [150]H20 PET study. *Eur. Heart. J.* 35, 2094–2105 (2014).

- Fokkema, D. S. et al. Diastolic time fraction as a determinant of subendocardial perfusion. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 288, H2450–H2456 (2005).
- Gould, K. L. et al. Anatomic versus physiologic assessment of coronary artery disease. Role of coronary flow reserve, fractional flow reserve, and positron emission tomography imaging in revascularization decision-making. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 62, 1639–1653 (2013).
- Jerosch-Herold, M. & Wilke, N. MR first pass imaging: quantitative assessment of transmural perfusion and collateral flow. *Int. J. Card. Imaging* 13, 205–218 (1997).
- Hu, L. H. et al. Machine learning predicts per-vessel early coronary revascularization after fast myocardial perfusion SPECT: results from multicentre REFINE SPECT registry. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jez177 (2019).
- Juarez-Orozco, L. E. et al. Machine learning in the integration of simple variables for identifying patients with myocardial ischemia. *J. Nucl. Cardiol.* https://doi. org/10.1007/s12350-018-1304-x (2018).
- Kofler, A., Wald, C. & Dewey, M. Radiation dose reduction in cardiac CT: removing sparse view CT artifacts with deep learning [abstract 99]. *J. Cardiovasc. Comput. Tomogr.* 12, S42 (2018).
- Leiner, T. et al. Machine learning in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: basic concepts and applications. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 21, 61 (2019).
- Agostini, D. et al. Performance of cardiac cadmiumzinc-telluride gamma camera imaging in coronary artery disease: a review from the Cardiovascular Committee of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging.* 43, 2423–2432 (2016).
- Harms, H. J. et al. Comparison of clinical noncommercial tools for automated quantification of myocardial blood flow using oxygen-15-labelled water PET/CT. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 15, 431–441 (2014).
- Driessen, R. S. et al. Measurement of LV volumes and function using oxygen-15 water-gated PET and comparison with CMR imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 9, 1472–1474 (2016).
- Lupo, P. et al. An eight-year prospective controlled study about the safety and diagnostic value of cardiac and non-cardiac 1.5-T MRI in patients with a conventional pacemaker or a conventional implantable cardioverter defibrillator. *Eur. Radiol.* 28, 2406–2416 (2018).
- Manka, R. et al. Multicenter evaluation of dynamic three-dimensional magnetic resonance myocardial perfusion imaging for the detection of coronary artery disease defined by fractional flow reserve. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 8, e003061 (2015).
- 33. Toulemonde, M. E. G. et al. High frame-rate contrast echocardiography: in-human demonstration. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 11, 923–924 (2018). This study was the first to demonstrate the feasibility of high-frame-rate contrast echocardiography in humans and its improvement over existing techniques.
- Stenner, P. et al. Partial scan artifact reduction (PSAR) for the assessment of cardiac perfusion in dynamic phase-correlated CT. *Med. Phys.* 36, 5683–5694 (2009).
- Kitagawa, K., George, R. T., Arbab-Zadeh, A., Lima, J. A. & Lardo, A. C. Characterization and correction of beam-hardening artifacts during dynamic volume CT assessment of myocardial perfusion. *Radiology* 256, 111–118 (2010).
- Hahn, J. et al. Motion compensation in the region of the coronary arteries based on partial angle reconstructions from short-scan CT data. *Med. Phys.* 44, 5795–5813 (2017).
- Gotberg, M. et al. The evolving future of instantaneous wave-free ratio and fractional flow reserve. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 70, 1379–1402 (2017).
- Xaplanteris, P. et al. Five-year outcomes with PCI guided by fractional flow reserve. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 379, 250–259 (2018).
- Danad, I. et al. Comparison of coronary CT angiography, SPECT, PET, and hybrid imaging for diagnosis of ischemic heart disease determined by fractional flow reserve. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2, 1100–1107 (2017).

- Kunze, K. P. et al. Myocardial perfusion quantification using simultaneously acquired (13) NH3-ammonia PET and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in patients at rest and stress. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 80, 2641–2654 (2018).
- Williams, M. C. et al. Computed tomography myocardial perfusion vs (15)O-water positron emission tomography and fractional flow reserve. *Eur. Radiol.* 27, 1114–1124 (2017).
- Hoffman, J. I. The history of the microsphere method for measuring blood flows with special reference to myocardial blood flow: a personal memoir. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **312**, H705–H710 (2017). An excellent overview of the development and limitations of the microsphere technique for measuring myocardial blood flow.
- Li, X., Springer, C. S. Jr. & Jerosch-Herold, M. First-pass dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI with extravasating contrast reagent: evidence for human myocardial capillary recruitment in adenosine-induced hyperemia. *NMR Biomed.* 22, 148–157 (2009).
- Kellman, P. et al. Myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance: optimized dual sequence and reconstruction for quantification. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 19, 43 (2017).
- Tran-Gia, J. et al. A model-based reconstruction technique for quantitative myocardial perfusion imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **76**, 880–887 (2016).
- Winant, C. D. et al. Investigation of dynamic SPECT measurements of the arterial input function in human subjects using simulation, phantom and human studies. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 57, 375–393 (2012).
- Vasquez, A. F., Johnson, N. P. & Gould, K. L. Variation in quantitative myocardial perfusion due to arterial input selection. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 6, 559–568 (2013).
- Fluckiger, J. U., Schabel, M. C. & DiBella, E. V. Toward local arterial input functions in dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 32, 924–934 (2010).
- Martens, J., Panzer, J., van den Wijngaard, J. P. H. M., Siebes, M. & Schreiber, L. M. in *Functional Imaging* and *Modelling of the Heart* (eds Pop, M., & Wright, G.) 369–380 (Springer, 2017).
 Bindschadler, M., Branch, K. R. & Alessio, A. M.
- Bindschadler, M., Branch, K. R. & Alessio, A. M. Quantitative myocardial perfusion from static cardiac and dynamic arterial CT. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 63, 105020 (2018).
- Hachamovitch, R. Does ischemia burden in stable coronary artery disease effectively identify revascularization candidates? Ischemia burden in stable coronary artery disease effectively identifies revascularization candidates. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 8, e000352 (2015).
- Nudi, F. et al. Diagnostic accuracy of myocardial perfusion imaging with CZT technology: systemic review and meta-analysis of comparison with invasive coronary angiography. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 10, 787–794 (2017).
 Meta-analysis of the sensitivity and specificity of

 CZT technology for myocardial perfusion SPECT.
 Wells, R. G. et al. Dynamic SPECT measurement of absolute myocardial blood flow in a porcine model. *J. Nucl. Med.* 55, 1685–1691 (2014).

This experimental study validated the quantification of myocardial blood flow from dynamic solid-state detector SPECT for all three currently available clinical tracers versus the microsphere gold standard.

- 55. Wells, R. G. et al. Optimization of SPECT measurement of myocardial blood flow with corrections for attenuation, motion, and blood binding compared
- with PET. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 2013–2019 (2017).
 Taqueti, V. R. et al. Global coronary flow reserve is associated with adverse cardiovascular events independently of luminal angiographic severity and modifies the effect of early revascularization. *Circulation* 131, 19–27 (2015).
- 57. Taqueti, V. R. et al. Excess cardiovascular risk in women relative to men referred for coronary angiography is associated with severely impaired coronary flow reserve, not obstructive disease. *Circulation* **135**, 566–577 (2017).
- Hu, L. H. et al. Upper reference limits of transient ischemic dilation ratio for different protocols on newgeneration cadmium zinc telluride cameras: a report from REFINE SPECT registry. J. Nucl. Cardiol. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12350-019-01730-y (2019).
- Bocher, M. et al. A fast cardiac gamma camera with dynamic SPECT capabilities: design, system validation and future potential. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 37, 1887–1902 (2010).

- Erlandsson, K., Kacperski, K., van Gramberg, D. & Hutton, B. F. Performance evaluation of D-SPECT: a novel SPECT system for nuclear cardiology. *Phys. Med. Biol* 54, 2635–2649 (2009).
- Herzog, B. A. et al. Nuclear myocardial perfusion imaging with a cadmium-zinc-telluride detector technique: optimized protocol for scan time reduction. J. Nucl. Med. 51, 46–51 (2010).
- Sharir, T. et al. Multicenter trial of high-speed versus conventional single-photon emission computed tomography imaging: quantitative results of myocardial perfusion and left ventricular function. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 55, 1965–1974 (2010). Report of a multicentre trial of high-speed versus conventional SPECT imaging: quantitative results of myocardial perfusion and left ventricular function.
- Einstein, A. J. et al. Comparison of image quality, myocardial perfusion, and left ventricular function between standard imaging and single-injection ultra-low-dose imaging using a high-efficiency SPECT camera: the MILLISIEVERT study. *J. Nucl. Med.* 55, 1430–1437 (2014).

This multicentre trial showed the feasibility and superior image quality of a low-dose SPECT acquisition protocol, with a radiation dose per patient of ≤ 1 mSv using novel, dedicated, solidstate detector SPECT.

- Pazhenkottil, A. P. et al. Hybrid SPECT perfusion imaging and coronary CT angiography: long-term prognostic value for cardiovascular outcomes. *Radiology* 288, 694–702 (2018).
- Spier, N. et al. Classification of polar maps from cardiac perfusion imaging with graph-convolutional neural networks. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 7569 (2019).
- Yu, M., Nekolla, S. G., Schwaiger, M. & Robinson, S. P. The next generation of cardiac positron emission tomography imaging agents: discovery of flurpiridaz F-18 for detection of coronary disease. *Semin. Nucl. Med.* 41, 305–313 (2011).
 A review article about the new PET tracer fluripiridaz for the detection of myocardial ischaemia
- Nordstrom, J. et al. Calculation of left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction from dynamic cardiacgated (15)O-water PET/CT: 5D-PET. *EJNMMI Phys.* 4, 26 (2017).
- Yamamoto, Y. et al. A new strategy for the assessment of viable myocardium and regional myocardial blood flow using 15O-water and dynamic positron emission tomography. *Circulation* 86, 167–178 (1992).
- de Haan, S. et al. Parametric imaging of myocardial viability using 15O-labelled water and PET/CT: comparison with late gadolinium-enhanced CMR. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **39**, 1240–1245 (2012).
- Jaarsma, C. et al. Diagnostic performance of noninvasive myocardial perfusion imaging using single-photon emission computed tomography, cardiac magnetic resonance, and positron emission tomography imaging for the detection of obstructive coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 59, 1719–1728 (2012).
- Cardiol. 59, 1719–1728 (2012).
 Danad, I., Raijmakers, P. G. & Knaapen, P. Diagnosing coronary artery disease with hybrid PET/CT: it takes two to tango. *J. Nucl. Cardiol.* 20, 874–890 (2013).
- Danad, I. et al. Diagnostic performance of cardiac imaging methods to diagnose ischaemia-causing coronary artery disease when directly compared with fractional flow reserve as a reference standard: a meta-analysis. *Eur. Heart. J.* **38**, 991–998 (2017).
- Takx, R. A. et al. Diagnostic accuracy of stress myocardial perfusion imaging compared to invasive coronary angiography with fractional flow reserve meta-analysis. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 8, e002666 (2015).

A meta-analysis of PET, MRI and CT perfusion imaging showing equal diagnostic accuracy of these three methods, with FFR as the reference standard.

- Kajander, S. A. et al. Clinical value of absolute quantification of myocardial perfusion with (15)Owater in coronary artery disease. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 4, 678–684 (2011).
- Hajjiri, M. M. et al. Comparison of positron emission tomography measurement of adenosine-stimulated absolute myocardial blood flow versus relative myocardial tracer content for physiological assessment of coronary artery stenosis severity and location. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 2, 751–758 (2009).
- Fiechter, M. et al. Diagnostic value of 13N-ammonia myocardial perfusion PET: added value of myocardial flow reserve. *J. Nucl. Med.* 53, 1230–1234 (2012).

- Danad, I. et al. Quantitative assessment of myocardial perfusion in the detection of significant coronary artery disease: cutoff values and diagnostic accuracy of quantitative [150]H2O PET imaging. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 64, 1464–1475 (2014).
- Joutsiniemi, E. et al. Absolute flow or myocardial flow reserve for the detection of significant coronary artery disease? *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 15, 659–665 (2014).
- Shaw, L. J. & Iskandrian, A. E. Prognostic value of gated myocardial perfusion SPECT. *J. Nucl. Cardiol.* 11, 171–185 (2004).
- Ziadi, M. C. et al. Impaired myocardial flow reserve on rubidium-82 positron emission tomography imaging predicts adverse outcomes in patients assessed for myocardial ischemia. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 58, 740–748 (2011).
- Herzog, B. A. et al. Long-term prognostic value of 13N-ammonia myocardial perfusion positron emission tomography added value of coronary flow reserve. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 54, 150–156 (2009).
- Farhad, H. et al. Added prognostic value of myocardial blood flow quantitation in rubidium-82 positron emission tomography imaging. *Eur. Heart. J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 14, 1203–1210 (2013).
- Berman, D. S. et al. Phase II safety and clinical comparison with single-photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging for detection of coronary artery disease: flurpiridaz F 18 positron emission tomography. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 61, 469–477 (2013).
- Petibon, Y., Rakvongthai, Y., El Fakhri, G. & Ouyang, J. Direct parametric reconstruction in dynamic PET myocardial perfusion imaging: in vivo studies. *Phys. Med. Biol.* 62, 3539–3565 (2017).
- Atkinson, D. J., Burstein, D. & Edelman, R. R. First-pass cardiac perfusion: evaluation with ultrafast MR imaging. *Radiology* **174**, 757–762 (1990).
 Schwitter, J. et al. MR-IMPACT II: Magnetic resonance
- 86. Schwitter, J. et al. MR-IMPACT II: Magnetic resonance imaging for myocardial perfusion assessment in coronary artery disease trial: perfusion-cardiac magnetic resonance vs. single-photon emission computed tomography for the detection of coronary artery disease: a comparative multicentre, multivendor trial. *Eur. Heart. J.* **34**, 775–781 (2013). **This multicentre, multivendor trial demonstrated equal performance of perfusion MRI and SPECT for the detection of coronary artery disease**.
- Bingham, S. E. & Hachamovitch, R. Incremental prognostic significance of combined cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, adenosine stress perfusion, delayed enhancement, and left ventricular function over preimaging information for the prediction of adverse events. *Circulation* **123**, 1509–1518 (2011).
- Sammut, E. C. et al. Prognostic value of quantitative stress perfusion cardiac magnetic resonance. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 11, 686–694 (2018).
- Greenwood, J. P. et al. Prognostic value of cardiovascular magnetic resonance and single-photon emission computed tomography in suspected coronary heart disease: long-term follow-up of a prospective, diagnostic accuracy cohort study. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 165, 1–9 (2016).
- Heitner, J. F. et al. Prognostic value of vasodilator stress cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: a multicenter study with 48 000 patient-years of follow-up. JAMA Cardiol. 4, 256–264 (2019).
- Nagel, E. et al. Magnetic resonance perfusion or fractional flow reserve in coronary disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 380, 2418–2428 (2019).
- Jerosch-Herold, M., Seethamraju, R. T., Swingen, C. M., Wilke, N. M. & Stillman, A. E. Analysis of myocardial perfusion MRI. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 19, 758–770 (2004).
- Wilke, N. et al. Myocardial perfusion reserve: assessment with multisection, quantitative, first-pass MR imaging. *Radiology* **204**, 373–384 (1997).
- Brown, L. A. E. et al. Fully automated, inline quantification of myocardial blood flow with cardiovascular magnetic resonance: repeatability of measurements in healthy subjects. *J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson.* 20, 48 (2018).
- Gatehouse, P. D. et al. Accurate assessment of the arterial input function during high-dose myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* **20**, 39–45 (2004).
- Wissmann, L., Niemann, M., Gotschy, A., Manka, R. & Kozerke, S. Quantitative three-dimensional myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance with accurate two-dimensional arterial input function assessment. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 17, 108 (2015).

- Sanchez-Gonzalez, J. et al. Optimization of dualsaturation single bolus acquisition for quantitative cardiac perfusion and myocardial blood flow maps. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 17, 21 (2015).
- Broadbent, D. A. et al. Myocardial blood flow at rest and stress measured with dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI: comparison of a distributed parameter model with a Fermi function model. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **70**, 1591–1597 (2013).
- Papanastasiou, G. et al. Quantitative assessment of myocardial blood flow in coronary artery disease by cardiovascular magnetic resonance: comparison of Fermi and distributed parameter modeling against invasive methods. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 18, 57 (2016).
- Hsu, L. Y. et al. Diagnostic performance of fully automated pixel-wise quantitative myocardial perfusion imaging by cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 11, 697–707 (2018).
- 101. Jacobs, M., Benovoy, M., Chang, L. C., Arai, A. E. & Hsu, L. Y. Evaluation of an automated method for arterial input function detection for first-pass myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J. Cardiovasc Magn. Reson.* **18**, 17 (2016).
- 102. Camici, P. G., d'Amati, G. & Rimoldi, O. Coronary microvascular dysfunction: mechanisms and functional assessment. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **12**, 48–62 (2015). An excellent review of coronary microvascular dysfunction.
- Hautvast, G. L. et al. Quantitative analysis of transmural gradients in myocardial perfusion magnetic resonance images. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 66, 1477–1487 (2011).
- Sammut, E. et al. Feasibility of high-resolution quantitative perfusion analysis in patients with heart failure. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 17, 13 (2015).
 Villa, A. D. et al. Microvascular ischemia in
- 105. Villa, A. D. et al. Microvascular ischemia in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: new insights from high-resolution combined quantification of perfusion and late gadolinium enhancement. *J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson.* **18**, 4 (2016).
- Liu, A. et al. Gadolinium-free cardiac MR stress T1-mapping to distinguish epicardial from microvascular coronary disease. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 71, 957–968 (2018).
- Greenwood, J. P. et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance and single-photon emission computed tomography for diagnosis of coronary heart disease (CE-MARC): a prospective trial. *Lancet* **379**, 453–460 (2012).

In this first, large-scale trial of a multiparametric cardiovascular magnetic resonance protocol in the diagnosis of stable coronary artery disease, MRI demonstrated better sensitivity and negative predictive values than SPECT and similar specificity.

- 108. Schwitter, J. et al. Superior diagnostic performance of perfusion-cardiovascular magnetic resonance versus SPECT to detect coronary artery disease: the secondary endpoints of the multicenter multivendor MR-IMPACT II (Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Myocardial Perfusion Assessment in Coronary Artery Disease Trial). J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Res. 14, 61 (2012).
- 109. Biglands, J. D. et al. Quantitative myocardial perfusion imaging versus visual analysis in diagnosing myocardial ischemia: a CE-MARC substudy. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 11, 711–718 (2018).
- Greenwood, J. P. et al. Effect of care guided by cardiovascular magnetic resonance, myocardial perfusion scintigraphy, or NICE guidelines on subsequent unnecessary angiography rates: the CE-MARC 2 randomized clinical trial. JAMA **316**, 1051–1060 (2016).
- Morton, G. et al. Quantification of absolute myocardial perfusion in patients with coronary artery disease: comparison between cardiovascular magnetic resonance and positron emission tomography. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 60, 1546–1555 (2012).

This study shows similar myocardial perfusion reserves estimated using MRI and PET, while revealing differences between MRI and PET in the measurement of absolute perfusion values.

112. Engblom, H. et al. Fully quantitative cardiovascular magnetic resonance myocardial perfusion ready for clinical use: a comparison between cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 19, 78 (2017).

- 113. Nazarian, S. et al. Safety of magnetic resonance imaging in patients with cardiac devices. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **377**, 2555–2564 (2017).
- Benovoy, M. et al. Robust universal nonrigid motion correction framework for first-pass cardiac MR perfusion imaging. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 46, 1060–1072 (2017).
- 115. Chiribiri, A. et al. Assessment of coronary artery stenosis severity and location: quantitative analysis of transmural perfusion gradients by high-resolution MRI versus FFR. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 6, 600–609 (2013).
- Michallek, F. & Dewey, M. Fractal analysis of the ischemic transition region in chronic ischemic heart disease using magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur. Radiol.* 27, 1537–1546 (2017).
- 117. Koffer, A., Dewey, M., Schaeffter, T., Wald, C. & Kolbitsch, C. Spatio-temporal deep learning-based undersampling artefact reduction for 2D radial cine MRI with limited training data. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2019.2930318 (2019).
- 118. Senior, R. et al. Clinical practice of contrast echocardiography: recommendation by the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) 2017. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 18, 1205–1205af (2017).
- 119. Porter, T. R. et al. Clinical applications of ultrasonic enhancing agents in echocardiography: 2018 American Society of Echocardiography Guidelines Update. J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr. **31**, 241–274 (2018).
- Klibanov, A. L. et al. Detection of individual microbubbles of ultrasound contrast agents: imaging of free-floating and targeted bubbles. *Invest. Radiol.* 39, 187–195 (2004).
- 121. Wei, K. et al. Quantification of myocardial blood flow with ultrasound-induced destruction of microbubbles administered as a constant venous infusion. *Circulation* **97**, 473–483 (1998).
- 122. Sabia, P. J., Powers, E. R., Jayaweera, A. R., Ragosta, M. & Kaul, S. Functional significance of collateral blood flow in patients with recent acute myocardial infarction. A study using myocardial contrast echocardiography. *Circulation* 85, 2080–2089 (1992).
- 123. Senior, R. et al. Myocardial perfusion assessment in patients with medium probability of coronary artery disease and no prior myocardial infarction: comparison of myocardial contrast echocardiography with 99mTc single-photon emission computed tomography. Am. Heart J. 147, 1100–1105 (2004).
- 124. Wei, K. et al. Noninvasive quantification of coronary blood flow reserve in humans using myocardial contrast echocardiography. *Circulation* **103**, 2560–2565 (2001).
- 125. Wu, J. et al. Comparison of fractional flow reserve assessment with demand stress myocardial contrast echocardiography in angiographically intermediate coronary stenoses. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 9, e004129 (2016).
- 126. Coggins, M. P. et al. Noninvasive prediction of ultimate infarct size at the time of acute coronary occlusion based on the extent and magnitude of collateralderived myocardial blood flow. *Circulation* **104**, 2471–2477 (2001).
- 127. Taqui, S. et al. Coronary microvascular dysfunction by myocardial contrast echocardiography in nonelderly patients referred for computed tomographic coronary angiography. J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr. **32**, 817–825 (2019).
- Senior, R. et al. Comparison of sulfur hexafluoride microbubble (SonoVue)-enhanced myocardial contrast echocardiography with gated single-photon emission computed tomography for detection of significant coronary artery disease: a large European multicenter study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 62, 1353–1361 (2013).
 This study is the largest multicentre trial

demonstrating the feasibility and diagnostic value of stress myocardial contrast echocardiography for the detection of myocardial ischaemia compared with SPECT imaging.

- Porter, T. R. et al. Patient outcome following 2 different stress imaging approaches: a prospective randomized comparison. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 61, 2446–2455 (2013).
- 130. Gaibazzi, N., Reverberi, C., Lorenzoni, V., Molinaro, S. & Porter, T. R. Prognostic value of high-dose dipyridamole stress myocardial contrast perfusion echocardiography. *Circulation* **126**, 1217–1224 (2012).
- 131. Tong, K. L. et al. Myocardial contrast echocardiography versus thrombolysis in myocardial infarction score in

patients presenting to the emergency department with chest pain and a nondiagnostic electrocardiogram. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. **46**, 920–927 (2005).

- 132. Swinburn, J. M., Lahiri, A. & Senior, R. Intravenous myocardial contrast echocardiography predicts recovery of dysynergic myocardium early after acute myocardial infarction. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 38, 19–25 (2001).
- 133. Vogel, R. et al. The quantification of absolute myocardial perfusion in humans by contrast echocardiography: algorithm and validation. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 45, 754–762 (2005).
- 134. Rana, O. et al. Acute hypoglycemia decreases myocardial blood flow reserve in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and in healthy humans. *Circulation* 124, 1548–1556 (2011).
- 135. Tang, M. X. et al. Quantitative contrast-enhanced ultrasound imaging: a review of sources of variability. *Interface Focus* 1, 520–539 (2011).
- Li, Y. et al. Fully automatic myocardial segmentation of contrast echocardiography sequence using random forests guided by shape model. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 37, 1081–1091 (2018).
 Maresca, D. et al. Noninvasive imaging of the
- 137. Maresca, D. et al. Noninvasive imaging of the coronary vasculature using ultrafast ultrasound. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 11, 798–808 (2018).
- 138. Rajpoot, K., Grau, V., Noble, J. A., Szmigielski, C. & Becher, H. Multiview fusion 3-D echocardiography: improving the information and quality of real-time 3-D echocardiography. *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **37**, 1056–1072 (2011).
- 139. Gaemperli, O. et al. Functionally relevant coronary artery disease: comparison of 64-section CT angiography with myocardial perfusion SPECT. *Radiology* 248, 414–423 (2008).
- 140. Feger, S. et al. Temporal averaging for analysis of four-dimensional whole-heart computed tomography perfusion of the myocardium: proof-of-concept study. *Int. J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* **33**, 371–382 (2017). A pilot study showing the potential of dynamic (4D) CT myocardial perfusion imaging.
- 141. Celeng, C. et al. Anatomical and functional computed tomography for diagnosing hemodynamically significant coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 12, 1316–1325 (2019).
 A meta-analysis showing higher sensitivity but lower specificity for dynamic compared with static CT perfusion imaging.
- 142. Rossi, A. et al. Dynamic computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging: comparison of clinical analysis methods for the detection of vessel-specific ischemia. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* **10**, e005505 (2017).
- 143. Schwarz, F. et al. Myocardial CT perfusion imaging in a large animal model: comparison of dynamic versus single-phase acquisitions. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 6, 1229–1238 (2013).
- 144. Ostovaneh, M. Ř. et al. Diagnostic accuracy of semiautomatic quantitative metrics as an alternative to expert reading of CT myocardial perfusion in the CORE320 study. J. Cardiovasc. Comput. Tomogr. 12, 212–219 (2018).
- 145. Nakauchi, Y. et al. Quantitative myocardial perfusion analysis using multi-row detector CT in acute myocardial infarction. *Heart* **98**, 566–572 (2012).
- 146. Kuhl, J. T. et al. Endocardial-epicardial distribution of myocardial perfusion reserve assessed by multidetector computed tomography in symptomatic patients without significant coronary artery disease: insights from the CORE320 multicentre study. *Eur. Heart J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* **17**, 779–787 (2016).
- 147. Rossi, A. et al. Stress myocardial perfusion: imaging with multidetector CT. *Radiology* 270, 25–46 (2014).
- 148. Kitagawa, K., Erglis, A. & Dewey, M. in *Cardiac CT* (ed Dewey M.) 303–326 (Springer, 2014).
- Rief, M. et al. Computed tomography angiography and myocardial computed tomography perfusion in patients with coronary stents: prospective intraindividual comparison with conventional coronary angiography. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 62, 1476–1485 (2013).
 Patel, M. R. et al. Prevalence and predictors of
- 150. Patel, M. R. et al. Prevalence and predictors of nonobstructive coronary artery disease identified with coronary angiography in contemporary clinical practice. Am. Heart J. 167, 846–852.e2. (2014).
- Patel, M. R. et al. Low diagnostic yield of elective coronary angiography. N. Engl. J. Med. 362, 886–895 (2010).
- 152. Taylor, C. A., Fonte, T. A. & Min, J. K. Computational fluid dynamics applied to cardiac computed

tomography for noninvasive quantification of fractional flow reserve: scientific basis. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **61**, 2233–2241 (2013).

- 153. Itu, L. et al. A machine-learning approach for computation of fractional flow reserve from coronary computed tomography. J. Appl. Physiol. **121**, 42–52 (2016).
- 154. Rochitte, C. E. et al. Computed tomography angiography and perfusion to assess coronary artery stenosis causing perfusion defects by single photon emission computed tomography: the CORE320 study. *Eur. Heart J.* 35, 1120–1130 (2014).
 The first multicentre trial to demonstrate that static (3D) CT myocardial perfusion imaging correctly identifies patients with coronary stenosis and myocardial perfusion abnormalities.
- 155. Sorgaard, M. H. et al. Diagnostic accuracy of static CT perfusion for the detection of myocardial ischemia. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Cardiovasc. Comput. Tomogr.* **10**, 450–457 (2016).
- 156. Coenen, A. et al. Integrating CT myocardial perfusion and CT-FFR in the work-up of coronary artery disease. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 10, 760–770 (2017).
- 157. Hecht, H. S., Narula, J. & Fearon, W. F. Fractional flow reserve and coronary computed tomographic angiography: a review and critical analysis. *Circ. Res.* **119**, 300–316 (2016).
- 158. Sorgaard, M. H. et al. Value of myocardial perfusion assessment with coronary computed tomography angiography in patients with recent acute-onset chest pain. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 11, 1611–1621 (2018).

A randomized study demonstrating that static CT myocardial perfusion and coronary CT angiography safely reduces the need for invasive examination and treatment compared with coronary CT angiography alone.

- 159. Lubbers, M. et al. Comprehensive cardiac CT with myocardial perfusion imaging versus functional testing in suspected coronary artery disease: the multicenter, randomized CRESCENT-II trial. JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging 11, 1625–1636 (2018).
- 160. Rief, M. et al. Coronary artery disease: analysis of diagnostic performance of CT perfusion and MR perfusion imaging in comparison with quantitative coronary angiography and SPECT-multicenter prospective trial. *Radiology* 286, 461–470 (2018).
- Chen, M. Y. et al. Prognostic value of combined CT angiography and myocardial perfusion imaging versus invasive coronary angiography and nuclear stress perfusion imaging in the prediction of major adverse cardiovascular events: the CORE320 multicenter study. *Radiology* 284, 55–65 (2017).
 Nakamura, S. et al. Incremental prognostic value of
- 162. Nakamura, S. et al. Incremental prognostic value of myocardial blood flow quantified with stress dynamic computed tomography perfusion imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* **12**, 1379–1387 (2019).
- 163. Ishida, M. et al. Underestimation of myocardial blood flow by dynamic perfusion CT: explanations by twocompartment model analysis and limited temporal sampling of dynamic CT. J. Cardiovasc. Comput. Tomogr. 10, 207–214 (2016).

A study that highlights the current limitations of CT perfusion for quantification owing to neglect of the nonlinear extraction of tracers and limited temporal sampling.

- 164. Alessio, A. M. et al. Accuracy of myocardial blood flow estimation from dynamic contrast-enhanced cardiac CT compared with PET. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 12, e008323 (2019).
- 165. Goto, Y. et al. Diagnostic accuracy of endocardial-toepicardial myocardial blood flow ratio for the detection of significant coronary artery disease with dynamic myocardial perfusion dual-source computed tomography. *Circ. J.* 81, 1477–1483 (2017).
- 166. Fujita, M. et al. Dose reduction in dynamic CT stress myocardial perfusion imaging: comparison of 80-kV/370-mAs and 100-kV/300-mAs protocols. *Eur. Radiol.* 24, 748–755 (2014).
- 167. Gutjahr, R. et al. Human imaging with photon counting-based computed tomography at clinical dose levels: contrast-to-noise ratio and cadaver studies. *Invest. Radiol.* 51, 421–429 (2016).
- 168. Kachelriess, M. Iterative reconstruction techniques: what do they mean for cardiac CT? *Curr. Cardiovasc. Imaging Rep.* 6, 268–281 (2013).
- 169. Lukas, S., Feger, S., Rief, M., Zimmermann, E. & Dewey, M. Noise reduction and motion elimination in low-dose 4D myocardial computed tomography perfusion (CTP): preliminary clinical evaluation of the ASTRA4D algorithm. *Eur. Radiol.* 29, 4572–4582 (2019).

- 170. Chen, H. et al. Low-dose CT via convolutional neural network. *Biomed. Opt. Express* **8**, 679–694 (2017).
- 171. Zhu, B., Liu, J. Z., Cauley, S. F., Rosen, B. R. & Rosen, M. S. Image reconstruction by domaintransform manifold learning. *Nature* 555, 487–492 (2018).
- Maier, J., Berker, Y., Sawall, S. & Kachelriess, M. in Proc. SPIE 10573, Medical Imaging 2018: Physics of Medical Imaging 105731L (2018).
- 173. Maurovich-Horvat, P., Ferencik, M., Voros, S., Merkely, B. & Hoffmann, U. Comprehensive plaque assessment by coronary CT angiography. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **11**, 390–402 (2014).
- 174. Fearon, W. F. et al. Clinical outcomes and costeffectiveness of fractional flow reserve-guided percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with stable coronary artery disease: three-year follow-up of the FAME 2 trial (Fractional Flow Reserve Versus Angiography for Multivessel Evaluation). *Circulation* 137, 480–487 (2018).
- 175. van de Hoef, T. P. et al. Fractional flow reserve as a surrogate for inducible myocardial ischaemia. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* **10**, 439–452 (2013).
- 176. van Nunen, L. X. et al. Fractional flow reserve versus angiography for guidance of PCI in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease (FAME): 5-year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 386, 1853–1860 (2015).
- 177. Sen, S. et al. Development and validation of a new adenosine-independent index of stenosis severity from coronary wave-intensity analysis: results of the ADVISE (ADenosine Vasodilator Independent Stenosis Evaluation) study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 59, 1392–1402 (2012).
- Davies, J. E. et al. Use of the instantaneous wave-free ratio or fractional flow reserve in PCI. N. Engl. J. Med. 376, 1824–1834 (2017).
- 179. Gotberg, M. et al. Instantaneous wave-free ratio versus fractional flow reserve to guide PCI. N. Engl. J. Med. 376, 1813–1823 (2017).
 This report summarizes the current status and limited uptake of FFR-guided coronary intervention and the current and future applications of the iFR.
- and the Hoef, T. P., Siebes, M., Spaan, J. A. & Piek, J. J. Fundamentals in clinical coronary physiology: why coronary flow is more important than coronary pressure. *Eur. Heart J.* **36**, 3312–3319 (2015). This article summarizes why coronary flow, and not coronary pressure, determines both the ischaemic consequences and the prognosis of coronary artery disease.
- 181. Seiler, C., Fleisch, M., Garachemani, A. & Meier, B. Coronary collateral quantitation in patients with coronary artery disease using intravascular flow velocity or pressure measurements. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **32**, 1272–1279 (1998).
- Echavarría-Pinto, M. et al. Diagnostic accuracy of baseline distal-to-aortic pressure ratio to assess coronary stenosis severity: a post-hoc analysis of the ADVISE II study. *JACC Cardiovasc. Interv.* 8, 834–836 (2015).
- US National Library of Medicine. *ClinicalTrials.gov* https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02328820 (2019).
- Cho, H. et al. Angiography-based machine learning for predicting fractional flow reserve in intermediate coronary artery lesions. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 8, e011685 (2019).
- 185. Sommer, K. et al. Resting myocardial blood flow quantification using contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in the presence of stenosis: a computational fluid dynamics study. *Med. Phys.* 42, 4375–4384 (2015).

- 186. Schonenberger, E. et al. Patient acceptance of noninvasive and invasive coronary angiography. *PLoS One* 2, e246 (2007).
- 187. Feger, S. et al. Patient satisfaction with coronary CT angiography, myocardial CT perfusion, myocardial perfusion MRI, SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging and conventional coronary angiography. *Eur. Radiol.* 25, 2115–2124 (2015).
- Minhas, A. et al. Patient preferences for coronary CT angiography with stress perfusion, SPECT, or invasive coronary angiography. *Radiology* 291, 340–348 (2019).
- 189. Muzik, O. et al. Validation of nitrogen-13-ammonia tracer kinetic model for quantification of myocardial blood flow using PET. J. Nucl. Med. 34, 83–91 (1993).
- 190. Wu, H. M. et al. Quantification of myocardial blood flow using dynamic nitrogen-13-ammonia PET studies and factor analysis of dynamic structures. J. Nucl. Med. 36, 2087–2093 (1995).
- 191. Glover, D. K. et al. Comparison between 201Tl and 99mTc sestamibi uptake during adenosine-induced vasodilation as a function of coronary stenosis severity. *Circulation* **91**, 813–820 (1995).
- 192. Kero, T. et al. Evaluation of quantitative CMR perfusion imaging by comparison with simultaneous ¹⁵O-water-PET. J. Nucl. Cardiol. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s12350-019-01810-z (2019).
- 193. van de Hoef, T. P. et al. Physiological basis and long-term clinical outcome of discordance between fractional flow reserve and coronary flow velocity reserve in coronary stenoses of intermediate severity. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Interv.* 7, 301–311 (2014).

Acknowledgements

We thank the German Research Foundation's Heisenberg Program for enabling the first Quantitative Cardiac Imaging meeting and Consensus Statement on the quantitative assessment of myocardial ischaemia with a grant to M.D. (DE 1361/14-1, project number 213705389). We thank B. Herwig (Department of Radiology, Charite, Berlin, Germany) for editorial assistance and E. Klotz (Siemens Healthineers) for his lecture during the meeting, which influenced the discussion at the first Quantitative Cardiac Imaging meeting, although he was not involved in the decisions made in this Consensus Statement.

Author contributions

M.D., M.S., J.M. and L.S. wrote the first draft of the Introduction, which was reviewed and edited by all authors. M.D. wrote the first draft of the Methods, which was reviewed and edited by all authors. K.F.K. and M.K. wrote the first draft of the CT section, which was edited by M.D., P.M.-H., K.N., W.B., A.K. and L.S. before all authors reviewed and revised this section. R.M. and S.K. wrote the first draft of the MRI section, which was edited by M.D., A.C., T.S., F.M. and L.S. before all authors reviewed and revised this section. F.B. and S.N. wrote the first draft of the SPECT section, which was edited by M.D., P.K. and M.L. before all authors reviewed and revised this section. P.K. and M.L. wrote the first draft of the PET section, which was edited by M.D., F.B. and S.N. before all authors reviewed and revised this section. R.S. and M.-X.T. wrote the first draft of the echocardiography section, which was edited by M.D. and T.v.d.H. before all authors reviewed and revised this section. J.J.P. and T.v.d.H. wrote the first draft of the FFR and iFR section, which was edited by M.D., R.S. and M.-X.T. before all authors reviewed and revised this section. All methodological and clinical authors participated in the Delphi development and evaluation process, which was coordinated by A.K. All authors contributed to researching data and discussion of content. All authors reviewed and edited the entire manuscript before submission.

Competing interests

M.D. reports grants from the German Research Foundation's (DEG) Heisenberg Program for the first Quantitative Cardiac Imaging meeting and Consensus Statement on quantitative assessment of myocardial ischaemia. M.D. reports further grant support from the Berlin Institute of Health's Digital Health Accelerator program; F.M. is partially employed through this program. Institutional master research agreement grants with Siemens Healthineers, Philips Medical Systems and Canon Medical Systems exist at Charité, which are handled by the legal department. M.D. reports grants from the FP7 Program of the European Commission for the DISCHARGE project, grants from DFG and the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) for individual patient data meta-analyses on cardiac imaging, honoraria for speaking from Bayer, Canon, Cardiac MR Academy Berlin and Guerbet, royalties as an editor of *Cardiac CT* published by Springer, and organization of Charité hands-on courses on cardiac CT imaging. M.D. and T.S. are also principal investigators of the BIOQIC graduate programme at Charité, which is funded by the DFG; A.K. is a PhD student in this graduate programme. M.S. received institutional support from the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston for the DEFINE-FLOW project (NCT02328820). W.B. is supported by the SmartHeart EPSRC Program Grant (EP/P001009/1). T.S and A.C. report grant support from the EMPIR project 15HLT05 PerfusImaging. F.B. reports grant support and speaker honoraria from GE Healthcare and Siemens AG. S.N. receives research funding from the DFG, Horizon2020 and Siemens Healthineers. M.L. is supported by grants and speaker honoraria from GE Healthcare and is a co-founder of MedTrace Pharma AS. M.-X.T. reports grant support from the UK EPSRC (EP/M011933/1) and the British Heart Foundation (PG/16/95/32350). J.J.P. and T.v.d.H. report serving as speakers at educational events organized by Boston Scientific, Philips-Volcano and St. Jude Medical (now Abbott Vascular), which are manufacturers of sensor-equipped guide wires. J.M. and L.S. report grants from the BMBF (01E01504, 01E01504) and Siemens Healthineers. Germany. The other authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-020-0341-8.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing,

adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

RELATED LINK

Delphi process: http://www.millennium-project.org/ rtd-general/

© The Author(s) 2020