

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Institutional Repository (<u>https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/</u>) by the author and is made available under the following Creative Commons Licence conditions.

COMMONS DEED
Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5
You are free:
 to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work
Under the following conditions:
BY: Attribution. You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.
Noncommercial. You may not use this work for commercial purposes.
No Derivative Works. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.
 For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work.
 Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.
Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.
This is a human-readable summary of the Legal Code (the full license).
Disclaimer 🖵

For the full text of this licence, please go to: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/</u>

ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY NEGATIONS AND INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY EXTENDED MODAL OPERATORS. Part 2.

Chris Hinde and Krassimir T. Atanassov

Abstract—Some relations between intuitionistic fuzzy negations and intuitionistic fuzzy extended modal operations $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ are studied.

I. On some previous results

The concept of the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set (IFS, see [1]) was introduced in 1983 as an extension of Zadeh's fuzzy set. All operations, defined over fuzzy sets were transformed for the IFS case. One of them - operation "negation" now there is 27 different forms (see [2]). In [1] the relations between the "classical" negation and the two standard modal operators "necessity" and "possibility" are given. Here, we shall study the relations between the intuitionistic fuzzy negations and the intuitionistic fuzzy extended modal operations $F_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $G_{\alpha,\beta}$.

In some definitions we shall use functions sg and \overline{sg} :

$$\operatorname{sg}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases},$$
$$\overline{\operatorname{sg}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

For any two IFSs A and B the following relations are valid:

$$A \subset B \text{ iff } (\forall x \in E)(\mu_A(x) \le \mu_B(x)\nu_A(x) \ge \nu_B(x)),$$
$$A \supset B \text{ iff } B \subset A,$$

$$A = B \text{ iff } (\forall x \in E)(\mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x)\&\nu_A(x) = \nu_B(x)).$$

Let A be a fixed IFS. In [1] definitions of standard modal operators are given:

$$\Box A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \},$$

$$\Diamond A = \{ \langle x, 1 - \nu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \}.$$

The first extended modal operator is

$$D_{\alpha}(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha . \pi_A(x), \\ \nu_A(x) + (1 - \alpha) . \pi_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \},$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. It is extended to

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha . \pi_A(x), \nu_A(x) + \beta . \pi_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \},\$$

Chris Hinde is with the Loughborough University, Computer Science, Ashby Road, Loughborough LE11 3TU, UK; E-mail: c.j.hinde@lboro.ac.uk

Krassimir T. Atanassov is with the CLBME-Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 105, Sofia-1113, Bulgaria E-mail: krat@bas.bg

where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$. Another non-standard modal operator is

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = \{ \langle x, \alpha, \mu_A(x), \beta, \nu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \},\$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$. Obviously,

$$\Box A = D_0(A) = F_{0,1}(A),$$

$$\Diamond A = D_1(A) = F_{1,0}(A),$$

$$D_\alpha(A) = F_{\alpha,1-\alpha}(A).$$

In [2], [3], [4], [5], [6] the following 27 different negations are described.

$$\begin{split} & \neg_1 A = \{ \langle \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_2 A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(\mu_A(x)), \mathrm{sg}(\mu_A(x)) \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_3 A = \{ \langle \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x).\nu_A(x) + \mu_A(x)^2 \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_4 A = \{ \langle \nu_A(x), 1 - \nu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_5 A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \mathrm{sg}(1 - \nu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_6 A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \mathrm{sg}(\mu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_7 A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_9 A = \{ \langle 1 - \mu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_{10} A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), 1 - \nu_A(x) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_{11} A = \{ \langle \mathrm{sg}(\nu_A(x)), \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(\nu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_{12} A = \{ \langle \nu_A(x).(\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x)) \rangle, \\ & \mu_A(x).(\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x)^2) \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_{15} A = \{ \langle \mathrm{sg}(1 - \mu_A(x)), \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \mu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_{16} A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \mu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_{16} A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \mu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \neg_{17} A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \mu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \gamma_{16} A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \mu_A(x)) \rangle \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \gamma_{16} A = \{ \langle \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \nu_A(x)), \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(\nu_A(x)), 0 \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \gamma_{19} A = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x).\mathrm{sg}(\mu_A(x)), \mu_A(x).\mathrm{sg}(\nu_A(x)) \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \gamma_{20} A = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), 0 \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \gamma_{20} A = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), 0 \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ & \gamma_{21} A = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x).\nu_A(x) + \mu_A(x)^n \rangle | x \in E \}, \\ \end{array}$$

where real number $n \in [2, \infty)$,

$$\neg_{22}A = \{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \rangle \}$$

978-1-4244-1739-1/08/\$25.00 © 2008 IEEE

13-19

٦1

Authorized licensed use limited to: LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY. Downloaded on January 26, 2009 at 12:01 from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

$$\begin{split} \mu_A(x).\nu_A(x) + \overline{\mathrm{sg}}(1 - \mu_A(x))\rangle &|x \in E\}, \\ \neg_{23}A &= \{\langle x, (1 - \mu_A(x)).\mathrm{sg}(\mu_A(x)), \\ \mu_A(x).\mathrm{sg}(1 - \nu_A(x))\rangle &|x \in E\}, \\ \neg_{24}A &= \{\langle x, (1 - \mu_A(x)).\mathrm{sg}(\mu_A(x)), 0\rangle &|x \in E\}, \\ \neg_{25}A &= \{\langle x, 1 - \nu_A(x), 0\rangle &|x \in E\}, \end{split}$$

 $\neg^{\varepsilon} A = \{ \langle x, \min(1, \nu_A(x) + \varepsilon), \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \varepsilon) \rangle | x \in E \},\$ where $\varepsilon \in [0, 1],$

$$\neg^{\varepsilon,\eta} A = \{ \langle x, \min(1, \nu_A(x) + \varepsilon), \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \eta) \rangle | x \in E \},\$$

where $0 \le \varepsilon \le \eta \le 1$.

II. Main results

Now, following and extending the idea from [7], [8] we shall prove following assertions.

Theorem 1: For every IFS A and for every $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ so that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, the following properties are valid:

(1) $\neg_1 F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = F_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_1 A),$ (2) $\neg_2 F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset F_{\alpha,\beta}(\neg_2 A),$ (3) $\neg_4 F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset F_{\alpha,\beta}(\neg_4 A),$ (4) $\neg_5 F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset F_{\alpha,\beta}(\neg_5 A),$ (5) $\neg_8 F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset F_{\alpha,\beta}(\neg_8 A).$ (6) $\gamma_{11} F_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset F_{\alpha,\beta}(\gamma_{11} A).$ Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ be give

Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ be given so that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, and let A be an IFS. Then we obtain directly that:

$$\neg_1 F_{\alpha,\beta}(A)$$

= $\neg_1 \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x) + \alpha.\pi_A(x), \nu_A(x) + \beta.\pi_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \}$
= $\{ \langle x, \nu_A(x) + \beta.\pi_A(x), \mu_A(x) + \alpha.\pi_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \}$
= $F_{\beta,\alpha}(\{ \langle x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E \})$
= $F_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_1 A).$

Therefore equality (1) is valid.

The rest of the assertions can be proved by another manner. Let us prove, for example (5).

Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ be given so that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, and let A be an IFS. Then:

$$= \neg_{8}\{\langle x, \mu_{A}(x) + \alpha.\pi_{A}(x), \nu_{A}(x) + \beta.\pi_{A}(x)\rangle | x \in E\}$$
$$= \{\langle x, 1 - \mu_{A}(x) - \alpha.\pi_{A}(x), \mu_{A}(x) + \alpha.\pi_{A}(x)\rangle | x \in E\}$$

and

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(\neg_8 A) = F_{\alpha,\beta}(\{\langle x, 1 - \mu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E\})$$
$$= \{\langle x, 1 - \mu_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle | x \in E\}.$$

Now, we see easily that

$$1 - \mu_A(x) - (1 - \mu_A(x) - \alpha . \pi_A(x)) = \alpha . \pi_A(x)) \ge 0$$

and

$$\mu_A(x) + \alpha . \pi_A(x) - \mu_A(x) \ge 0.$$

Therefore inclusion (5) is valid.

Theorem 2: For every IFS A and for every $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ the following properties are valid:

$$(1) \neg_1 G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_1 A),$$

(2)
$$\neg_7 G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_7 A),$$

(3) $\neg_{15}G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_{15}A),$

(4) $\neg_{19}G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \subset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_{19}A),$ (5) $\neg_{20}G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) = G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_{20}A),$ (6) $\neg_{25}G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_{25}A).$ Theorem 3: For every IFS A and for every $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$ the following properties are valid:

(1) $\neg^{\varepsilon} G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg^{\varepsilon} A)$, where $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$,

(1) $\neg^{\varepsilon,\eta}G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg^{\varepsilon,\eta}A)$, where $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \eta \leq 1$. Proof: Let $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ be given so that $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, let A be an IFS and let ε, η be given so that $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \eta \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{split} \neg^{\varepsilon,\eta}G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) &= \neg^{\varepsilon,\eta}\{\langle x, \alpha.\mu_A(x), \beta.\nu_A(x)\rangle | x \in E\}\\ &= \{\langle x, \min(1, \beta.\nu_A(x) + \varepsilon), \max(0, \alpha.\mu_A(x) - \eta)\rangle | x \in E\}\\ \text{and} \end{split}$$

$$= G_{\beta,\alpha}(\{\langle x, \min(1, \nu_A(x) + \varepsilon), \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \eta)\rangle | x \in E\})$$

= $\{\langle x, \beta, \min(1, \nu_A(x) + \varepsilon), \alpha, \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \eta)\rangle | x \in E\}.$
Now, we obtain:

 $G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg^{\varepsilon,\eta}A)$

$$\min(1, \beta.\nu_A(x) + \varepsilon) - \beta.\min(1, \nu_A(x) + \varepsilon)$$
$$\min(1, \beta.\nu_A(x) + \varepsilon) - \min(\beta, \beta.\nu_A(x) + \beta.\varepsilon) \ge 0$$

and

=

$$\alpha \cdot \max(0, \mu_A(x) - \eta) - \max(0, \alpha \cdot \mu_A(x) - \eta)$$
$$= \max(0, \alpha \cdot \mu_A(x) - \alpha \cdot \eta) - \max(0, \alpha \cdot \mu_A(x) - \eta) \ge 0.$$

Therefore, inclusion (2) is vaild.

There are other, more complex relations, e.g., if $0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le 1$, then for the IFS A the inclusions:

$$\neg_8 G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_8 A),$$

$$\neg_9 G_{\alpha,\beta}(A) \supset G_{\beta,\alpha}(\neg_9 A),$$

are valid, but an open problem is to find all similar inclusions.

III. Conclusion

In a next research authors will study the above properties for the case of other extended intuitionistic fuzzy modal operators and for the intuitionistic fuzzy topological operators.

References

- Atanassov, K. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets. Springer Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [2] Atanassov, K., D. Dimitrov. On the negations over intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Proc. of the First Session of Section "Informatics" of Union of Bulgarian Scientists, Sofia, 15 Dec. 2007 (in press).
- [3] Atanassova, L., On an intuitionistic fuzzy implication from Kleene-Dienes type. Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics (in press).
- [4] Atanassova, L., Modifications of an intuitionistic fuzzy implication. Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 16, No. 2, 155-160.
- [5] Atanassova, L., New modifications of an intuitionistic fuzzy implication. Proc. of the First Session of Section "Informatics" of Union of Bulgarian Scientists, Sofia, 15 Dec. 2007 (in press).
- [6] Dimitrov, D., New intuitionistic fuzzy implications and their corresponding negations. Issues in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Generalized Nets. Vol. 6, Warsaw School of Information Technology, 2008, 36-42.
- [7] Hinde, C. and K. Atanassov. On intuitionistic fuzzy negations and intuitionistic fuzzy ordinary modal operators. Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Vol. 13, No. 4, 41-44.
- [8] Hinde, C. and K. Atanassov. On intuitionistic fuzzy negations and intuitionistic fuzzy extended modal operators. Part 1. Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Vol. 14, No. 1, 7-11.