1	Broad-scale patterns of invertebrate richness and community composition
2	in temporary rivers: effects of flow intermittence
3	T.Datry ¹ , S.T. Larned ² , K.M. Fritz ³ , M.T. Bogan ⁴ , P.J. Wood ⁵ , E.I. Meyer ⁶ , and A.N. Santos ⁷
4	
5	¹ Institut national de Recherche en Sciences et Technologies pour l'Environnement et
6	l'Agriculture, Lyon, France, thibault.datry@irstea.fr
7	² National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Christchurch, New Zealand,
8	scott.larned@niwa.co.nz
9	³ US-Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA, <u>fritz.ken@epa.gov</u>
10	⁴ Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA, <u>boganmi@science.oregonstate.edu</u>
11	⁵ Loughborough University, Leicestershire, UK, <u>p.j.wood@lboro.ac.uk</u>
12	⁶ University of Münster, Münster, Germany, <u>meyere@uni-muenster.de</u>
13	⁷ Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA, <u>annasantos@neo.tamu.edu</u>
14	
15	Corresponding author: T. Datry, IRSTEA, UR MALY, 5 rue de la Doua, CS70077, 69926
16	Villeurbanne Cedex, France. Tel (33) 4.72.20.87.55 Fax (33) 4.78.47.78.75,
17	thibault.datry@irstea.fr
18	
19	Running title: Invertebrate community patterns in temporary rivers
20	4 figures and 3 tables
21	2 Supplementary materials (S1, S2)
22	Number of references: 49
23	No. words: i. main text: 4006; ii. abstract: 251; iii. total including S1 and S2: 6943
24	
25	

26 Abstract (251 words)

27 Temporary rivers are increasingly common freshwater ecosystems, but there have been no 28 global syntheses of their community patterns. In this study, we examined the responses of 29 aquatic invertebrate communities to flow intermittence in 14 rivers from multiple 30 biogeographic regions and covering a wide range of flow intermittence and spatial 31 arrangements of perennial and temporary reaches. Hydrological data were used to describe 32 flow intermittence (FI, the proportion of the year with zero-flow) gradients. Linear mixed-33 effects models were used to examine the relationships between FI and community structure 34 and composition. We also tested if communities at the most temporary sites were nested 35 subsets of communities at the least temporary and perennial sites. Taxon richness decreased 36 as FI increased and invertebrate communities became dominated by ubiquitous taxa. The 37 number of *resilient* taxa (with high dispersal capacities) decreased with increased FI, whereas 38 the number of *resistant* taxa (with adaptations to desiccation) was not related to FI. River-39 specific and river-averaged model comparisons indicated that most FI-community 40 relationships did not differ statistically among rivers. Community nestedness along FI 41 gradients was detected in most rivers and there was little or no influence of the spatial 42 arrangement of perennial and temporary reaches. These results indicate that FI is a primary 43 driver of aquatic communities in temporary rivers, regardless of the biogeographic species 44 pool. Community responses are largely due to resilience rather than resistance mechanisms. 45 However, contrary to our expectations, resilience was not strongly influenced by spatial 46 fragmentation patterns, suggesting that colonist sources other than adjacent perennial reaches 47 were important.

48 Keywords: climate change, temporary rivers, habitat fragmentation, resistance, resilience,

49 biodiversity, life-history traits

51 Introduction

52 Identifying general relationships between environmental drivers and community responses is 53 a perennial goal in ecology. Common environment-community relationships are represented 54 by linear or curvilinear relationships that are congruent in direction (increasing or decreasing) and magnitude (slope or inflection) for geographically separated and/or phylogenetically 55 56 distinct communities. For example, the shapes of relationships between water availability and 57 primary productivity (drivers) and the diversity of bat, amphibian, and bird communities 58 (responses) are remarkably similar over wide biogeographic ranges (Mittelbach et al. 2001, 59 McCain 2007). These observations suggest that some environmental factors are "master 60 variables" with strong direct or indirect effects on most communities in a given taxonomic 61 group or habitat type (Menéndez et al. 2007). Other environmental factors are subsidiary; 62 their effects on community structure are weak or localized. General relationships between 63 environmental drivers and community structure can provide mechanistic explanations for 64 widely observed spatial patterns, including latitudinal and altitudinal gradients in community 65 diversity (McCain 2007), regional beta-diversity patterns (Melo et al. 2009), species-area 66 relationships (Öckinger et al. 2010) and intercontinental community convergence (Lamouroux 67 et al. 2002).

68

Although temporary rivers drain all terrestrial biomes and represent the dominant freshwater ecosystems in many areas, they have only recently been considered by ecologists (Larned et al. 2010, Datry et al. 2011, Steward et al. 2012). As a result, the organisation of aquatic communities in these systems has never been analysed across different biogeographic regions. The periodic loss of surface water (hereafter "flow intermittence") is a fundamental challenge for aquatic organisms and may be a major driver of aquatic community diversity and composition. Alteration of aquatic communities caused by flow intermittence may also have 76 cascading effects on biotic communities in adjacent ecosystems, such as riparian and 77 floodplain areas (McCluney and Sabo 2012) or hyporheic zones (Datry et al. 2007). There are some indications that aquatic invertebrate communities in geographically distant river systems 78 79 display similar responses to variation in flow intermittence. For example, invertebrate taxon 80 richness in temporary rivers of France, New Zealand and the USA decreased linearly as the 81 severity of flow intermittence increased (Fritz and Dodds 2004, Arscott et al. 2010, Datry 82 2012). Partial and complete overlap in taxonomic composition has also been reported for 83 invertebrate communities from adjacent temporary and perennial reaches (e.g., del Rosario 84 and Resh 2000, Chester and Robson 2011). These observations suggest that intermittence-85 specialist taxa are rare. However, the previous studies of aquatic invertebrates in temporary 86 rivers have been site- or river-specific and differed in aims and methods, preventing the 87 identification of general community patterns and mechanisms.

88

89 Aquatic communities of temporary rivers persist through disturbances using both resistance 90 and resilience mechanisms (Fritz and Dodds 2004, Bêche et al. 2009). Resistance reflects the 91 capacity of communities to persist unchanged through periods without surface water and 92 involves a range of physiological adaptations allowing organisms to survive within dry 93 riverbed sediments or remnant pools (Lytle and Poff 2004, Williams 2006). For example, 94 species from several invertebrate groups (e.g., oligochetes, copepods, dipterans) can persist 95 for years in dry river sediments as cysts and cocoons, or in a state of diapause as larvae or 96 adults (Williams, 2006). In this way, resistance can be viewed as a form of temporal dispersal 97 (Bohonak and Jenkins 2003). Resilience reflects the ability of communities to return to their 98 pre-drying state soon after flow resumes. Resilience to flow intermittence may be related to 99 aerial or instream dispersal capacity, which governs the rate at which taxa return to a rewetted 100 river channel from aquatic refuges (Stanley et al. 1994, Chester and Robson 2011).

101

102 While the respective roles of resistance and resilience mechanisms in structuring diversity 103 patterns in temporary rivers are currently unclear, a global analysis of diversity patterns across 104 temporary rivers might help disentangle the roles of these mechanisms. If resistance is the 105 principal persistence mechanism for invertebrates in temporary rivers, relationships between 106 flow intermittence and invertebrate taxon richness should be weak or nonexistent (Fig. 1A). 107 Additionally, the composition of temporary-reach communities should differ from those in 108 perennial reaches because of a progressive replacement of taxa along flow intermittence 109 gradients, particularly if there is a cost associated with desiccation-resistance adaptations 110 (Fig. 1B). In contrast, if resilience is the principal persistence mechanism, the progressive 111 colonization of previously-dry reaches by taxa from nearby aquatic refuges should result in 112 negative relationships between flow intermittence and taxon richness, and these relationships 113 should be congruent across rivers (Fig. 1A). In addition, taxa -poor communities at the most 114 temporary reaches should be nested-subsets of taxa -rich communities found at the least 115 temporary and/or perennial reaches (Fig. 1B).

116

117 Flow intermittence in river networks can lead to different spatial arrangements of perennial 118 and temporary reaches and thus different patterns of habitat fragmentation (Lake 2003). In 119 many river networks, temporary reaches are concentrated in the upper, middle or lower 120 reaches of rivers (Fig. 1C). These large-scale drying patterns can influence the effects of 121 fragmentation on dispersal and create mismatches in the geometries of dispersal and 122 disturbance (drying phase) (Fagan 2002). Downstream transport of materials (via stream 123 flow) is a dominant feature in lotic ecosystems and not all aquic invertebrate taxa frequently 124 disperse overland (Bohonak and Jenkins 2003). Therefore, the accessibility of refuges for 125 aquatic invertebrates during dry periods, and dispersal pathways from these refuges following rewetting may differ due to the variable spatial arrangements of temporary and perennial reaches (**Fig. 1C**). The overall responses of aquatic organisms to flow intermittence should thus vary with the pattern of habitat fragmentation, particularly if (instream?) dispersal is an overriding mechanism for explaining community persistence in temporary rivers.

130

131 In this study, we investigated the responses of aquatic invertebrate communities to flow intermittence in multiple biogeographic regions. We hypothesized that the persistence of 132 133 communities in temporary rivers is primarily associated with resilience mechanisms, not 134 resistance mechanisms. Based on the attributes of resilience-structured invertebrate 135 communities described above and in Figure 1, we predicted that invertebrate communities in 136 temporary rivers would be characterized by negative taxon richness-flow intermittence 137 relationships, and by community nestedness along flow intermittence gradients. Given 138 dispersal limitations of many invertebrate taxa, we expected these patterns to vary with the 139 spatial arrangement of temporary reaches within river systems. To test our predictions, we 140 used data from 128 sites in 14 temporary rivers across Europe, North America, and New 141 Zealand. The datasets encompassed a wide range of flow intermittence and spatial arrangements of perennial and temporary reaches. 142

143

144 Method

145 Data sets

We compiled datasets from aquatic invertebrate studies of 14 temporary rivers in Europe (seven rivers), North America (five rivers), and New Zealand (two rivers). The datasets consisted of matrices of invertebrate taxa, abundances and sampling dates at multiple sites within temporary and perennial river reaches. The average number of days between two consecutive sampling events on a given site was 146.5 \pm 74.5 d (SD?), and the average distance between sites was 3.1 ± 2.6 km. At each site, invertebrates were collected from riffle habitats using standardized and comparable sampling methods (Surber, Hess, and kick-net samplers with mesh sizes ranging from 250 to 500 µm) from at least three sites per river (**Table 1**). The datasets were classified by the spatial arrangement of perennial and temporary reaches (upper, middle and lower reach drying, **Table 1**). For further information on the individual studies, see the references in Table 1, and details about methods and sites in **Appendix S1**.

158

159 **Quantification of flow intermittence**

For each data set, annual flow intermittence (FI), the proportion of the year with zero-flow, was calculated for each sampling site. One of three procedures outlined below was used to calculate FI values for the sampling sites in each river, reflecting the type of flow measurement and quantity of discharge data available in each study. River discharge was standardized to L s⁻¹.

165

166 Hydrological modelling. For five datasets (Albarine, Asse, Little Stour, Orari, Selwyn), mean 167 daily flow (including zero-flow) at sampling sites were estimated using the statistical model 168 ELFMOD (Larned et al. 2011). For each river, the input data consisted of manual 169 measurements of discharge at sampling sites on \geq nine dates, and continuous discharge from 170 at least two permanent recorders that bounded the study reaches. The discharge time-series 171 from each recorder was ≥ 10 years long, and included the study period during which 172 invertebrate samples were collected. Modelled mean daily discharge was used to calculate FI 173 for each site each year.

175 *Direct measurement*. For four datasets (Garden, Huachuca, Little Lusk, Sycamore), water-176 state loggers were used to record the presence or absence of water during the invertebrate 177 sampling period (Fritz et al. 2006, Jaeger and Olden 2012). FI was calculated for each 178 sampling site from the logged time-series. Estimates of FI based on ELMOD and water-state 179 loggers at sampling sites along the Albarine River were highly correlated (r = 0.93, P < 0.001, n = 9).

181

Direct observations. For five datasets (Alme, Ellerbach, Fish Brook, Menne, Sauer) FI was estimated at sampling sites using weekly to bi-monthly observations of flow state (flowing or completely dry) for three to 12 months. Point gauging data and discharge data from adjacent gauging stations were then used to assess flow-state patterns between consecutive observations (Meyer et al. 2003).

187

188 Invertebrate variables

For each sample in the invertebrate datasets, taxon richness (TR) was calculated as the number of taxa per sample. To account for differences in taxonomic resolution among datasets, TR was calculated at three levels of resolution: fine (TR1), medium (TR2), and coarse (TR3). The degree of taxonomic consistency among datasets increases and taxonomic precision decreases from TR1 to TR3. The taxonomic groups used at each level of resolution are shown in **Table 2**.

195

To describe the communities in each dataset in terms of taxonomic composition and lifehistory traits, the relative abundances of Coleoptera, Diptera, Oligochaeta, and the sum of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera (hereafter 'EPT') were calculated in each sample. In addition, each taxon in each dataset was classified into one of four classes using life-history 200 and biological traits: resistant, resilient, both resistant and resilient, or neither resistant nor 201 resilient. Trait classification was undertaken at the coarsest taxonomic level (TR3) to 202 maximize consistency across datasets although this reduced our ability to detect fine-scale 203 patterns. We assigned the following traits to the *resilient* class: long adult lifespan, high 204 female dispersal, strong adult flying ability, common occurrence in drift, and strong 205 swimming ability. We assigned the following traits to the *resistant* class: presence of 206 desiccation-resistance forms (e.g., cysts, cocoons, diapause stages), body armouring limiting 207 water loss (including the use of external cases), plastron/spiracle respiration, and low 208 rheophily. We used published (Tachet et al., 2002, Poff et al. 2006, Bonada and Doledec 2011) and unpublished (V. Archaimbault, personal communication) trait information to 209 210 classify each taxon (Appendix S2). Given the coarse taxonomic resolution used (TR 3), we 211 assigned to each taxon the traits which were dominant across the constituent families, genera 212 or species. When the traits assigned to a taxon were from the *resistant* class exclusively, it 213 was classified as *resistant* (n = 37 taxa). Conversely, when the traits assigned to a taxon were 214 from the *resilient* class exclusively, it was classified as *resilient* (n = 37 taxa). When the traits 215 assigned to a taxon were from both the resistant and resilient classes, it was classified as 216 resistant and resilient (n = 26 taxa). Last, when no traits from the resistant or resilient classes 217 were assigned to a taxon, it was classified as neither *resistant* nor *resilient* (n = 25 taxa). For 218 12 out of 125 taxa, there was no information available regarding resistence and resilience 219 traits, and classification was based on closely related taxa for which there was some 220 information and authors' knowledge.

221

222 Statistical analyses

The invertebrate community variables described above were used as dependant variables inlinear mixed-effects models with Gaussian error distributions. Analyses were undertaken

225 using the lme4 package for R (R Development Core Team 2008). For each dependent 226 variable, we tested for effects of FI and then tested if these effects differed between rivers and 227 spatial drying patterns. We compared three nested mixed-effects models that progressively 228 increased in complexity. The first model (null) was a null model with a random intercept. The 229 second model (average) was an average model with a fixed effect of FI across all rivers. The 230 third model (river-specific) was a model with a random effect of FI, which was allowed to 231 vary among rivers (Bolker et al. 2009). River was entered as a random effect in each model. 232 When slopes differed among rivers, we fitted additional models adding longitudinal drying 233 pattern as a fixed effect to test for possible systematic effects of the spatial arrangement of 234 perennial and temporary reaches. The statistical significance levels for the fixed and random 235 effects in the best-fitting models were determined using likelihood-ratio tests on models with 236 and without each effect (Bolker et al. 2009). To select the most parsimonious models, we used 237 the minimum Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC). We checked for normality and 238 homogeneity by visual inspections of plots of residuals against fitted values. When average 239 models were selected, we used individual linear regressions to analyse how much individual 240 rivers contributed to the average model.

241

242 Nestedness analyses were used to determine whether invertebrate communities at the most 243 temporary sites were nested subsets of communities found at the least temporary and 244 perennial sites. Such patterns would indicate a selective loss of taxa susceptible to drying 245 rather than a replacement of perennial-flow specialists with intermittent-flow specialists along 246 flow intermittence gradients. We tested for community nestedness of both taxa incidence and 247 composition along the flow intermittence gradient at each river and at each taxonomic level 248 (TR1, 2 and 3) using the Brualdi and Sanderson discrepancy index, which provides a conservative test for nestedness (Ulrich and Gotelli 2007). The significance of nestedness was 249

then tested against constrained null models (showing the same marginal totals as the originaldata) using the "quasiswap" method (Miklós and Podani 2004).

252

253 Results

254 Taxon richness and flow intermittence

255 Taxon richness decreased for all taxonomic resolutions with increasing FI (Likelihood ratio tests between null and average models, $\chi^2 = 232.59$, 248.33, and 179.78 for TR1, TR2 and 256 TR3, respectively; P < 0.001; Fig. 2). The slopes of the taxon richness-FI relationships did 257 258 not differ statistically among rivers as indicated by the comparisons between average and river-specific models ($\chi^2 = 1.08$, 1.07, and 1.76; P = 0.582, 0.586 and 0.414, for TR1, TR2 259 260 and TR3, respectively, Fig. 2). On average, a 10% increase in FI resulted in the loss of two taxa at the fine taxonomic level (TR1: slope = -0.20, 95% CI: -[0.22 : 0.18]), one and a half 261 taxa at the medium taxonomic level (TR2: *slope* = -0.16, 95% CI: -[0.18 : 0.15]), and one 262 taxon at the coarse taxonomic level (TR3: slope = -0.12, 95% CI: -[0.13: 0.10]) (Fig. 2). 263

264

265 **Resistance and resilience traits, community composition and flow intermittence**

The significant negative relationships between FI and taxon richness were maintained when 266 resilient taxa and those without resistant nor resilient traits were considered separately 267 (Likelihood ratio tests between null and average models, $\chi^2 = 125.93$ and 136.03, 268 respectively; P < 0.001), but were not significant for *resistant* taxa or those with both 269 resistant and resilient traits ($\chi^2 = 13.61$ and 4.77, P = 0.1611 and 0.8622, respectively). For 270 271 resilient taxa and those without resistant nor resilient traits, the slopes of the taxon richness-272 FI relationships differed statistically among rivers as indicated by the comparisons between average and river-specific models ($\chi^2 = 15.09$, and 41.61, respectively; P < 0.001). However, 273 274 these relationships did not differ with respect to the spatial arrangement of perennial and 275 temporary reaches (Likelihood ratio test, $\chi^2 = 1.87$ and 3.11 ; P = 0.7596 and 0.5384, 276 respectively).

277

278 The relative abundances of EPT and Coleoptera decreased, and the relative abundance of 279 Diptera increased among rivers as FI increased (Likelihood ratio tests between null and average models, $\chi^2 = 72.89$, 19.26, and 73.44, respectively; P < 0.001, Fig. 3 and 4). The 280 slopes of these relationships did not differ statistically among rivers (Likelihood ratio tests 281 between average and river-specific models, $\chi^2 = 0.27$, 5.19, and 6.46; P = 0.871, 0.078, and 282 0.065, respectively, Fig. 3 and 4). On average, for every 10% increase of FI, there was a 4% 283 284 reduction in the relative abundance of EPT taxa (slope = -0.37, 95% CI: -[0.45 : 0.28]), a 0.5% reduction in the relative abundance of Coleoptera (slope = -0.06, 95% CI: -[0.09 : 0.04]) 285 286 and a 4% increase in the relative abundance of Diptera (*slope* = 0.38, 95% CI: [0.30 : 0.47]). 287 There were no detectable relationships between the relative abundance of Oligochaeta and FI (Likelihood ratio tests between null and average models, $\chi^2 = 4.79$; P = 0.092). 288

289

290 Community nestedness along flow intermittence gradients

At the fine taxonomic level, invertebrate communities at the most temporary sites were nested
subsets of communities at the least temporary and perennial sites in 10 of the 14 rivers (**Table 3**). At medium and coarse levels of taxonomic resolution, nestedness tended to occur
primarily in rivers with downstream drying patterns (**Table 3**).

295

296 **Discussion**

We demonstrated general and significant effects of FI on invertebrate taxon richness across 14 rivers in Europe, North America and New Zealand. As FI increased, invertebrate communities in these rivers became increasingly taxa-poor. More importantly, the rate of decline in the 300 number of taxa along gradients of FI was not statistically different among the 14 rivers tested 301 for each of the three different levels of taxonomic resolution, despite these rivers representing 302 a wide range of climatic and biogeographic conditions and differing markedly in size and 303 spatial drying patterns. Therefore, our results demonstrate a wide-spread congruence in the 304 responses of invertebrates to FI and suggest that FI is a "master variable" driving river 305 community structure and composition. Given the increasing intensity and spatial extent of FI 306 in rivers due to anthropogenic water abstraction and climate change (Meybeck 2003, Larned 307 et al. 2010), our findings indicate the potential for widespread declines in river biodiversity 308 resulting from increased flow intermittence.

309

310 Our analysis of diversity patterns helps to disentangle the respective roles of resistance and 311 resilience mechanisms in structuring invertebrate communities in temporary rivers. There are 312 three ways in which our results suggest that, as we hypothesized, invertebrate community 313 patterns along FI gradients are primarily a function of resilience rather than resistance. First, 314 the relationships between taxon richness and FI held across rivers for resilient taxa and for 315 taxa without resistant nor resilient traits, when coded at the family level. In contrast, no 316 relationships were detected between FI and resistant taxa or taxa with both resistant and 317 resilient traits. Second, the relative abundances of groups such as EPT and Coleoptera 318 decreased with FI; many species in these groups are susceptible to desiccation (Williams 319 2006, Datry et al. 2012) and have *resilient* traits that facilitate recolonization, such as long 320 life-span or strong flying abilities (Petersen et al. 1999, Bohonak and Jenkins 2003). In 321 contrast, the relative abundance of dipteran taxa increased with FI, and desiccation-resistant 322 traits such as diapause and anhydrobiosis are prevalent among many dipteran families (Frouz 323 et al. 2003). Desiccation-resistant traits are not likely to be uniform across the Diptera as a 324 group, however, and do not constrain their persistence in perennial habitats. Many dipterans

325 thrive in perennial sites, and their relative abundances were on average > 25% in our 14 study 326 rivers. Third, a significant level of community nestedness occurred along most of the FI 327 gradients in this study, which indicates that taxa-poor communities in the most temporary 328 sites were nested-subsets of taxa-rich communities in the least temporary and perennial sites. 329 Community nestedness was probably the result of both limited dispersal from source 330 communities in perennial reaches and local extinction along FI gradients (McAbendroth et al. 331 2005). This suggests that the distribution of aquatic refuges across river landscapes, combined 332 with taxa-specific differences in dispersal abilities may explain much of the colonization and 333 succession dynamics in temporary rivers.

334

335 Although dispersal appears to be an overriding mechanism for explaining community 336 persistence in temporary rivers, there was very little evidence that the pattern of habitat 337 fragmentation (i.e., different spatial arrangements of perennial and temporary reaches) had a 338 strong influence on the responses of invertebrate communities to FI. The FI-taxonomic 339 richness relationships did not vary among spatial arrangements of perennial and temporary 340 reaches. Community nestedness at the coarsest taxonomic level was restricted to rivers with 341 downstream drying patterns. While this partly supports our initial prediction, it also indicates 342 that confounding factors may obscure the effect of spatial fragmentation patterns on aquatic 343 invertebrate communities. It is likely that the magnitude and dynamics of drying and 344 rewetting alter the effects of spatial drying patterns on the responses of invertebrate 345 communities to FI. Complete riverbed drying can occur within a few hours, or disconnected 346 pools can persist for several weeks in otherwise dry riverbeds. Rewetting may be gradual 347 (e.g., expanding pools driven by groundwater upwelling) or rapid (e.g., flash-flood bores 348 driven by runoff). These variable transitions between wet and dry periods are likely to 349 influence the ability of invertebrates to disperse to and from refuges (Bogan et al. 2013). Last,

refuges adjacent to (parafluvial ponds, lakes, springs) and beneath (hyporheic zone) river channels were not included in this study, and could play significant roles in maintaining communities in temporary rivers (Boulton et al. 1998, Williams 2006).

353

354 Invertebrate communities in reaches with the highest FI were consistently dominated by 355 generalist and ubiquitous taxa, rather than by temporary-habitat specialists. Even in the three 356 rivers where chironomids (Huachuca and Garden) or coleopterans (Little Stour) were 357 identified to the species level, there was still little evidence of temporary-specialist taxa. 358 Because rivers with natural flow regimes are characterized by large variations in discharge, 359 including drought periods (Lake 2003, Lytle and Poff 2004), drying may not be an 360 evolutionary force restricted to temporary rivers as previously thought (c.f., Williams 2006, 361 Steward et al. 2012). The absence of apparent temporary-specialist taxa in these rivers 362 contrasts with community patterns in temporary lentic habitats, such as ponds or wetlands, 363 where taxa replacements along decreasing hydroperiod gradients from perennial to 364 temporary-specialists are common (e.g., Wellborn et al. 1996). Such patterns are thought to be 365 driven by trade-offs between traits that facilitate survival in temporary environments (e.g., 366 dormancy stages, desiccation-resistant eggs) and traits that reduce vulnerability to predators 367 (e.g., low activity rates, antipredator morphology) (Skelly 1995, Wellborn et al. 1996). Top 368 aquatic predators (invertebrates, fish) often occur in temporary river reaches (Labbe and 369 Faush 2000), and perhaps such trade-offs do not occur along FI gradients in rivers. However, 370 biotic interactions, including competition and predation, have not been as thoroughly 371 examined in temporary rivers compared to temporary lentic habitats (e.g., Skelly 1995, 372 Wellborn et al. 1996, Spencer et al. 1999), and empirical data to support this hypothesis are 373 currently lacking. If FI increases in extent and severity in the future, we anticipate an 374 increased biotic homogenization of riverine communities, which will in turn modify the functioning and resilience of river ecosystems (McKinney and Lockwood 1999, Spencer et al.1999).

377

378 We demonstrated that invertebrate communities have congruent responses to FI gradients 379 across a range of river sizes in multiple biogeographic regions, and that the spatial 380 arrangement of perennial and temporary reaches had very little influence on these responses. 381 This suggests that FI is a primary driver of aquatic communities in temporary rivers around 382 the world. Resilience explained invertebrate diversity patterns along FI gradients to a greater 383 degree than resistance. However, invertebrate colonization following the resumption of flow 384 remains a poorly-known process and many questions remain (Chester and Robson 2011). For 385 instance, we do not know to what degree successional patterns are predictable, and what 386 abiotic (e.g., distances to refuges, distribution of refuges across landscapes) or biotic drivers 387 (e.g., predation, competition) control succession. Metacommunity and metapopulation 388 dynamics deserve more attention in temporary rivers and more manipulative experiments are 389 needed to improve our understanding of their effects on diversity patterns. In the context of a 390 worldwide biodiversity crisis, whose severity in freshwater ecosystems has been carefully 391 documented (Dudgeon et al. 2006), the general relationships generated in this study may help 392 water managers mitigate the effects of dams, flow diversion, and water abstraction, and will 393 help scientists predict future changes in river biodiversity.

394

395 Acknowledgements.

TD thanks R. Corti, G. Le Goff, R. Mons, M. Philippe and P. Roger for help in sample
collection, J. Rigaut for invertebrate processing and J. Mouthon for mollusk determination.
Funding was provided by the Water Agency RM&C, Temporary River Ecology Programme.
STL thanks D. Arscott, L. Drummond, P. McCaskill and A. Savery for help with sample

400 collection and flow gauging, and P. Lambert for invertebrate processing and identification. Funding was provided by the New Zealand Ministry for Science and Innovation, 401 402 Environmental Flows Programme (C01X0308). KMF thanks B. Johnson, L. Winters, E. 403 Hammer for help in sample collection and B. Thoeny, C. Watson, and P. Weaver for 404 invertebrate processing and identification. The views expressed in this article are those of the 405 authors and do not necessarily represent the views of policies of the U.S. Environmental 406 Protection Agency. MTB thanks D.A. Lytle and K.S. Boersma for help in sample collection 407 and K.L. Jaeger for providing flow intermittence data. Funding was provided by a United 408 States Department of Defense grant (RC-1724) to J.D. Olden and D.A. Lytle. PJW thanks 409 M.D. Agnew and N. Wood for help with sample collection. Funding was provided during the 410 1996-1997 drought by the Environment Agency of England and Wales. EIM thanks S. 411 Baltzer, M. Drees, K. Gravelaar, S. Knoche, J. Meinhardt, S. Riederer and M. Sehmisch-412 Bartel for sample collection and A. Meyer, C. Göcke, N. Kaschek, H.W. Riss and C. 413 Tödtmannul for help in invertebrate processing and identification. We thank J. Diettrich for 414 hydrological analyses, D.B. Arscott and N. Lamouroux for stimulating discussions, and N. 415 Lamouroux, V. Resh and B. Robson for comments and meticulous editing, which improved 416 the final version of this paper.

417

418 Literature Cited

- 419 Arscott, D.B. et al. 2010. Aquatic invertebrate community structure along an intermittence
 420 gradient: Selwyn River, New Zealand. Journal of the North American Benthological
 421 Society 29: 530-545.
- 422 Bêche, L. A., et al. 2009. Resilience of fishes and invertebrates to prolonged drought in two
 423 California streams. Ecography 32: 778–788.
- Bogan, M.T., et al. 2013. Flow intermittency alters longitudinal patterns of invertebrate
 diversity and assemblage composition in an arid-land stream network. —Freshwater
 Biology. DOI: 10.1111/fwb.12105.
- Bohonak, A.J. and Jenkins, D.G. 2003. Ecological and evolutionary significance of dispersal
 by freshwater invertebrates. Ecology Letters 6: 783-796.
- Bolker, B.M., et al. 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and
 evolution. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24: 127-135.

- Bonada, N. and Doledec, S. 2011. Do mediterranean genera not included in Tachet et al. 2002
 have mediterranean trait characteristics? Limnetica 30: 129-142.
- Boulton, A.J. et al. 1998. The functional significance of the hyporheic zone in streams and
 rivers. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 29: 59-81.

Chester, E.T. and Robson, B.J. 2011. Drought refuges, spatial scale and recolonisation by
 invertebrates in non-perennial streams. — Freshwater Biology 56: 2094-2104.

- 437 Datry, T. 2012. Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate assemblages along a flow intermittence
 438 gradient: effects of drying events. Freshwater Biology 57: 563-574.
- 439 Datry, T. et al. 2007. Responses of hyporheic invertebrate assemblages to large-sclae
 440 variation in flow permanence and surface-subsurface exchange. Freshwater Biology 52:
 441 1452-1462.
- 442 Datry, T. et al. 2011. Recent perspectives on temporary river ecology. Aquatic Sciences
 443 73: 453-457.
- 444 Datry, T. et al. 2012. Spatial and temporal aquatic-terrestrial transitions in the temporary
 445 Albarine River, France: responses of invertebrates to experimental rewetting. —
 446 Freshwater Biology 57: 716-727.
- del Rosario, R.B. and Resh, V.H. 2000. Invertebrates in intermittent and perennial streams: is
 the hyporheic zone a refuge from drying? Journal of the North American Benthological
 Society 19: 680-696.
- 450 Dudgeon, D. et al. 2006. Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and
 451 conservation. Biological Reviews 81: 163-182.
- 452 Fagan, W.F. 2002. Connectivity, fragmentation and extinction risk in dendritic
 453 metapopulations. Ecology 83: 3243–3249.
- 454 Fritz, K.M. and Dodds, W.K. 2004. Resistance and resilience of macroinvertebrate
 455 assemblages to drying and flooding in a tallgrass prairie stream. Hydrobiologia 527: 99456 112.
- 457 Fritz, K.M. et al. 2006. Field Operations Manual for Assessing the Hydrologic Permanence
 458 and Ecological Condition of Headwater Streams. EPA 600/R-06/126. USEPA Office of
 459 Research and Development, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH,

460 USA. http://www.epa.gov/nerleerd/methods/headwater.html

- 461 Frouz, J. et al. 2003. Survival strategies of chironomids (Diptera: Chironomidae) living in
 462 temporary habitats: a review. European Journal of Entomology 100: 459-465.
- Jaeger, K.L. and Olden, J.D. 2012. Electrical resistance sensor arrays as a means to quantify
 longitudinal connectivity of rivers. River Research and Application, 28: 1843-1852.
- Labbe, T.R and Fausch K.D. 2000. Dynamics of intermittent stream habitat regulate
 persistence of a threatened fish at multiple scales. Ecological Applications 10: 1774-1791.
- 467 Lake, P.S. 2003. Ecological effects of perturbation by drought in flowing waters. —
 468 Freshwater Biology 48: 1161-1172.
- Lamouroux, N. et al. 2002. Intercontinental convergence of stream fish community traits
 along geomorphic and hydraulic gradients. Ecology 83: 1792-1807.
- 471 Larned, S.T. et al. 2010. Emerging concepts in temporary-river ecology. Freshwater
 472 Biology 55: 717-738.
- 473 Larned, S.T. et al. 2011. Longitudinal river ecohydrology: flow variation down the lengths of
 474 alluvial rivers. Ecohydrology 4: 532-548.
- 475 Lytle, D.A. and Poff, N.L. 2004. Adaptation to natural flow regimes. Trends in Ecology
 476 and Evolution 19: 94-100.
- 477 McAbendroth, L. et al. 2005. Unravelling nestedness and spatial pattern in pond assemblages.
 478 Journal of Animal Ecology 74: 41-49.

- 479 McCain, C.M. 2007. Could temperature and water availability drive elevational species
 480 richness patterns? A global case study for bats. Global Ecology and Biogeography 16:
 481 1–13.
- 482 McCluney, K.E. and Sabo, J.L. 2012. River drying lowers the diversity and alters the
 483 composition of an assemblage of desert riparian arthropods. Freshwater Biology 57: 91484 103.
- 485 McKinney, M.L. and Lockwood, J.L. 1999. Biotic homogenization: a few winners replace
- 486 many losers in the next mass extinction. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 14: 450-453.
- 487 Menéndez, R. et al. 2007. Direct and indirect effects of climate and habitat factors on butterfly
 488 diversity. Ecology 88: 605–611.
- 489 Melo, A.S. et al. 2009. Environmental drivers of beta-diversity patterns in New-World birds
 490 and mammals. Ecography 32: 226-236.
- Meybeck, M. 2003. Global analysis of river systems: from Earth system controls to
 Anthropocene syndromes. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:
 Biological Sciences 358: 1935-1955.
- Meyer, A. et al. 2003. Lotic communities of two small temporary karstic systems (East
 Westphalia, Germany) along a longitudinal of hydrological intermittency. Limnologica
 33: 271-279.
- Miklós, I. and Podani, J. 2004. Randomization of presence-absence matrices: Comments and
 new algorithms. Ecology 85: 86-92.
- 499 Mittelbach, G.G. et al. 2001. What is the observed relationship between species richness and
 500 productivity? Ecology 82: 2381–2396.
- Öckinger, E. et al. 2010. Life-history traits predict species responses to habitat area and
 isolation: a cross-continental synthesis. Ecology Letters 13: 969-979.
- Petersen, I. et al. 1999. Emergence and lateral dispersal of adult Plecoptera and Trichoptera
 from Broadstone Stream, U.K. Freshwater Biology 42: 401–416.
- Poff, N.L. et al. 2006. Functional trait richness of North American lotic insects: traits-based
 ecological applications in light of phylogentic relationships. Journal of the North
 American Benthological Society 25: 730-755.
- R Development Core Team, 2008. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R
 foundation for statistical computing. Vienna. <u>http://www.R-project.org</u>.
- Santos, A.N., and Stevenson R.D. 2011. Comparison of macroinvertebrate diversity and
 community structure among perennial and non-perennial headwater streams. —
 Northeastern Naturalist 18: 7-26.
- 513 Skelly, D.K.1995. A behaviour trade-off and its consequences for the distribution of
 514 pseudacris treefrog larvae. Ecology 76: 150-164.
- 515 Spencer, M. et al. 1999. Species richness and the proportion of predatory animal species in
 516 temporary freshwater pools: relationships with habitat size and permanence. Ecology
 517 Letters 2: 157-166.
- 518 Stanley, E.H. et al. 1994. Invertebrate resistance and resilience to intermittency in a desert
 519 stream. American Midland Naturalist 131: 288-300.
- 520 Steward, A.L. et al. 2012. When the river runs dry: human and ecological values of dry
 521 riverbeds. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 10: 202–209.
- Tachet, H. et al. 2002. Invertébrés d'eau douce (2nd corrected impression). CNRS Editions,
 Paris, France. 588 p.
- 524 Ulrich, W. and Gotelli, N.J. 2007. Null model analysis of species nestedness patterns. —
 525 Ecology 88: 1824-1831.
- Wellborn, G.A. et al. 1996. Mechanisms creating community structure along a freshwater
 habitat gradient. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 27: 337–363.

- Williams, D.D. 2006. The Biology of Temporary Waters. Oxford University Press, Oxford,
 U.K., 337 p.
- 530 Wood, P.J. and Armitage, P.D. 2004. The response of the macroinvertebrate community to
- low flow variability and supra-seasonal drought within a groundwater dominated river. —
 Archiv für Hydrobiologie 161: 1–20.

533 Supplementary Material

- 534
- 535 Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:
- 536 Appendix S1 {Characteristics of individual studies}
- 537 Appendix S2 {List of life-history traits coded to assign each taxon to the following class: 0;
- 538 neither resistant nor resilient, 1:resilient, 2:resistant and 3: resilient and resistant}

Country	State/Region	River	Climate	Cacthment	Spatial drying	FI range	Sampling	Duration	No.	No.	Sample size	References
		names	type	area (km ²)	pattern	(%)	method	(yr)	dates	sites		
France	Provence	Asse	Mediterranean	657	Middle	0-20	Hess	3	5	13	49	unpub.
France	Rhône-Alpes	Albarine	Temperate	313	Lower	0-90	Hess	3	5	18	76	Datry 2012
Germany	East Westphalia	Alme	Temperate	763	Middle	0-35	Kick-net	3	3	7	21	unpub
Germany	East Westphalia	Ellerbach	Temperate	91	Lower	0-77	Surber	1	4	3	12	unpub.
Germany	East Westphalia	Menne	Temperate	8	Lower	0-40	Surber	1	2	3	6	Meyer et al. 2003
Germany	East Westphalia	Sauer	Temperate	109	Lower	0-60	Kick-net	5	2 to 4	14	36	unpub
New Zealand	Canterbury	Orari	Temperate	850	Middle	0-80	Hess	2	2	11	22	unpub.
New Zealand	Canterbury	Selwyn	Temperate	975	Middle	0-92	Hess	5	2 to 10	16	73	Arscott et al. 2010
UK	Kent	Little Stour	Temperate	213	Middle	0-20	Kick-net	9	8	9	72	Wood and Armitage 2004
US	Massachusetts	Fish	Temperate	47	Upper	0-30	Surber	2	3	8	24	Santos and Stevenson 2011
US	Arizona	Garden	Arid	34	Lower	0-95	Kick-net	1	1	9	9	Bogan et al. 2013.
US	Arizona	Huachuca	Arid	25	Lower	0-90	Kick-net	1	1	9	9	Bogan et al. 2013.
US	Illinois	Little Lusk	Temperate	43	Upper	0-82	Hess	2	2	4	7	unpub.
US	Indiana	Sycamore Branch	Temperate	3	Upper	0-65	Hess	2	2	4	7	unpub.

Table 1. Description of the 14 temporary rivers. More details are available on Appendix 1. Unpub.: unpublished data.

Table 2. Number of taxa (No.) and corresponding proportion (%) across species, genera,
families and other taxonomic units for the different levels of taxonomic resolution used
in the study (TR1, 2 and 3). The taxonomic resolution for each group of taxa is also
detailed. Ph: phylum, c: class, sc: sub-class, f: family, sf: sub-family, g: genus, s: species.
EPT: Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera.

546

	TR	1	TR	2	TR3			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Species	249	43	0	0	0	0		
Genera	234	40	256	72	0	0		
Families	85	15	85	24	118	94		
Others	17	3	17	5	7	6		
Total	585	100	358	100	125	100		
Taxonomic								
resolution								
Plecoptera	f, g,	S	f, ç]	f			
Trichoptera	f, g,	S	f, ç	3	f			
Ephemeroptera	f, g,	S	f, ç	3	f			
Coleoptera	f, g,	S	f, ç	3	f			
Diptera	f, sf,	g, s	f, sf	, g	f			
Odonata	f, g,	S	f, ç	9	f			
Megaloptera	f, g,	S	f, ç	3	f			
Hemiptera	f, g,	S	f, ç	9	f			
Heteroptera	f, g,	S	f, ç	9	f			
Amphipoda	f, g,	S	f, ç	9	f			
Decapoda	f, g,	S	f, g	9	f			
Isopoda	f, g,	S	f, ç	9	f			
Copepoda	sc	;	SC	;	SC			
Ostracoda	С		С		С			
Cladocera	0		0		0			
Mollusca	f, g,	S	f, ç	3	f			
Oligochaeta	sc, f	, s	SC,	f	SC			
Hirudinae	SC,	f	SC,	f	SC			
Plathyhelminth	ph,	f	ph,	f	ph, f			
Nemathelminthes	, ,	า	, , ph	ı	ph			
Nematomopha	, ph,	f	, ph,	f	ph, f			
Acari	, sc	;	sc	;	, sc	;		
Hydrozoa	с		с		с			

^{*}Chironomidae subfamilies (Diamesinae, Orthocladinae, Podonominae, and Tanypodinae)

548 and tribes (Chironomini and Tanytarsini) were used across TR1, TR2, and TR3

Table 3. Community nestedness for different taxonomic levels (TR1, 2 and 3, see table 2). Values shown are the discrepancy indexes and the

550 associated p-values from testing indexes against constrained null models. Italics indicate significant p-values.

		U	pper reach drying]	Middle reach drying					Lower reach drying					
Taxono	mic		Sycamore												
le	evel Fish	Brook	Branch	Little Lusk	Asse	Orari	Selwyn	Little Stour	Alme	Albarine	Huachuca	Garden	Ellerbach	Menne	Sauer
	R1 53;	; 0.01	30 ; 0.21	24 ; 0.03	29 ; 0.55	81 ; <i>0.01</i>	123 ; 0.01	75 ; 0.15	93 ; 0.01	73 ; 0.01	7 ; 0.01	8;0.01	75 ; 0.01	18 ; 0.49	257 ; 0.01
	R2 39;	, 0.07	28;0.13	28 ; 0.05	29 ; 0.51	54 ; 0.01	69 ; 0.09	51;0.45	75;0.01	60 ; <i>0.01</i>	3;0.01	3;0.01	57;0.01	16 ; 0.59	217 ; 0.01
7	R3 49;	; 0.98	17 ; 0.33	22 ; 0.49	24 ; 0.35	38;0.23	45 ; 0.39	42 ; 0.31	57;0.01	87 ; 0.01	1;0.01	1;0.01	33 ; 0.01	10;0.37	147 ; 0.01

553 **Figure caption**

554 Figure 1. Conceptual diagrams for temporary rivers. A: predicted invertebrate taxon richness 555 patterns along flow intermittence gradients. B: predicted changes in invertebrate community 556 composition along flow intermittence gradients. C: spatial drying patterns in river networks 557 (after Lake, 2003). In B, greyscale boxes represent taxa present at different points along a 558 flow intermittence gradient. Communities structured by resilience are completely nested, 559 because taxa-poor communities at the most temporary reaches are subsets of taxa-rich 560 communities from the least temporary and perennial reaches. Communities structured by 561 resistance are only partially nested and have high rates of taxa replacement by temporary-flow 562 specialists along the flow intermittence gradient. In C, solid and dashed lines represent 563 perennial and temporary reaches, respectively.

564

Figure 2. Average mixed-effects models for the 14 data sets of TR1 (white circles, dotted lines), TR2 (grey circles, plain gray line) and TR3 (black circles, plain black line) and FI as a fixed slope, showing a congruent decrease in the number of taxa with increasing FI, regardless of the taxonomic resolution. Linear regressions performed on each dataset individually indicated significant relationships between taxon richness and FI in 11, 10 and 9 rivers out of 14, when considering TR1, 2 and 3, respectively.

571

Figure 3. Average (black) and river-specific (grey) mixed-effects models for the 14 data sets of the relative abundance of EPT (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera) taxa in communities and FI. Linear regressions performed on each dataset individually indicated significant relationships in 6 rivers out of 14.

577	Figure 4. Average (black) and river-specific (grey) mixed-effects models for the 14 data sets
578	of the relative abundance of Diptera in communities and FI Linear regressions performed on
579	each dataset individually indicated significant relationships in 8 rivers out of 14.
580	
581	
582	
583	
584	
585	
586	
587	
588	
589	
590	
591	
592	
593	
594	
595	
596	
597	
598	
599	
600	
601	



Figure 1.







Appendix S1: characteristics of individual studies.

Little Stour, United Kingdom

Physical setting

The Little Stour River is located in south-east England and drains a 213-km² catchment in permeable chalk terrain. The river flows 11.5 km from a perennial spring head to its confluence with the Great Stour River; sampling sites were located in the upper 2.5 km of the Little Stour. The chalk bedrock is overlain by alluvium in the mid-reaches of the river and there are high seepage losses into the porous alluvium during baseflow periods. The Little Stour flows along its entire length during most years; flow cessation has occurred on three occasions in the last century, in 1949, 1991-1992 and 1996-1997. Severe droughts in those years, in combination with groundwater abstraction for public water supplies, caused a 750 m reach to dry, 2 km downstream of the spring head. Flow and invertebrate data from the 1991-1992 and 1996-1997 droughts are included in this study. During both droughts, the 750 m long temporary reach was dry for up to 15 months. Flow resumption occurred rapidly at the end of the droughts in the early winters of 1992 and 1997, although recovery of groundwater levels and surface flows to normal conditions took two years (Wood & Armitage 2004).

Invertebrate sampling

Invertebrates were collected from nine riffle-run habitats at nine sites (three temporary, six perennial) on the upper 2.5 km of the river during baseflow periods between 27 August and 3 September in each of nine years (1992-1999). Samples could not be collected from two dry sites in 1992 and 1997. Samples were collected using two-minute kick-samples with a hand-net (250-µm mesh net). Samples were preserved in the field with 4% formaldehyde. Most aquatic insects were identified to species except dipterans, which were identified to family, and baetid mayflies, which were identified to genus. Molluscs were identified to species

except sphaeriid bivalves, which were identified to family. Oligochaetes and mites were identified to order. For more details on invertebrate sampling and processing, see Wood & Armitage (2004).

Albarine, France

Physical setting

The Albarine River is located in temperate eastern France and drains a 313-km² catchment. The river flows for 45 km through the Jura Mountains, then 15 km across an alluvial plain to its confluence with the Ain River. On the alluvial plain the river is perched 1-14 m above the regional water table, and the river loses flow to the underlying vadose zone and aquifer at an average rate of 0.4 m³ s⁻¹ km⁻¹. The entire alluvial plain reach is temporary due to the rapid seepage loss. Descriptions of the climate, geology and geomorphology of the Albarine River catchment are given in Datry (2012). Flow cessation begins in spring of most years at the confluence with the Ain River, and the drying front moves upstream over the summer. Flow resumption along the entire temporary reach generally occurs in late autumn/early winter. Flow intermittence and average annual dry event duration and frequency all increase with distance downstream. At the downstream end of the temporary reach, annual flow intermittence ranges from 50 to 90%.

Invertebrate sampling

Invertebrates were collected from riffles at 18 sites (seven perennial, 11 temporary) prior to flow cessation in spring (30 March 2009 and 27 May 2010) and autumn, \geq 3 weeks after flow resumption (15 October 2008, 1 December 2010 and 12 October 2010). In October 2008, the five sites furthest downstream were not sampled because they dried two weeks after flow resumption. At each site and each sampling date, two invertebrate samples were collected at

each of two riffles and composited. Invertebrates were collected with a Hess sampler (0.125- m^2 area, 200-µm mesh), and preserved with 96% ethanol. Most aquatic insects and all molluscs were identified to genus or species, and crustaceans, annelids and mites to genus, family or order. For more details on invertebrate sampling and processing, see Datry (2012).

Asse, France

Physical setting

The Asse River is located in the Provence region of southeastern France, and drains a 657-km² catchment in the southwestern French Alps. The main tributaries of the Asse River rise in the Préalpes de Digne, then converge 45 km downstream to form the Asse River mainstem, which flows for 30 km across an alluvial plain to its confluence with the Durance River. On the alluvial plain, the upper 15 km-long reach is perennial and the lower 15 km-long reach is temporary. Flow intermittence is caused by the combined effects of seepage into the underlying aquifer, high groundwater abstraction in the floodplain for agriculture, and bed aggradation. Along the temporary section, drying events occurred at two 4 km-long reaches spaced 7 km apart. Descriptions of the climate, geology and geomorphology of the Asse River catchment are given in Mano *et al.* (2009).

Invertebrate sampling

Invertebrates were collected from riffles at 13 sites (eight temporary, five perennial). Samples were collected in spring, just before the beginning of summer dry events (15 April March 2009 and 8 June 2010), and in autumn, at least 3 weeks after flow resumption (2 October 2008, 10 November 2010 and 19 October 2010). On each sampling date, two invertebrate samples were collected at each of two riffles per site, and the duplicate samples were composited. Invertebrates were collected using a Hess sampler (0.125-m² area, 200-µm

mesh), and preserved with 96% ethanol. Most aquatic insects and all molluscs were identified to genus or species, and crustaceans, annelids and mites to family, order or genus.

Sycamore Branch, USA

Physical setting

Sycamore Branch drains a forested 3.1-km² catchment in the Charles C. Deam Wilderness Area of the Hoosier National Forest, south-central Indiana, USA. The stream flows for 3.4 km from its headwaters to the South Fork Arm of the Monroe Lake reservoir. The upper 2 km of Sycamore Branch have alternating perennial and temporary reaches, and the lower 1.4 km is perennial. Flow is primarily derived from overland flow, and secondarily from soil water and hillslope groundwater seepage. Drying occurs when soil water is depleted and the groundwater table falls below the streambed elevation. Flow intermittence generally decreases in the downstream direction. The uppermost study reach had the greatest flow intermittence (65%). Descriptions of the climate, geology, and vegetation of the area given in Homoya *et al.* (1984) and Thompson (2004).

Invertebrate sampling

Four 30-m long sampling reaches (two perennial, two temporary) were established along the upper 1.9 km of Sycamore Branch. Invertebrates were collected from riffles and pools in each reach. Samples were collected during wet (25 June 2003 and 7 April 2004) and dry periods (22 September 2003 and 11 August 2004) when surface water was present in each sampling reach. At each reach, four replicate samples from each habitat type were collected with a modified Hess sampler (0.053-m² area, 250-µm mesh) and preserved in 70% ethanol. Invertebrates were identified to genus or species. For more details on invertebrate sampling and processing, see Fritz *et al.* (2006).

Little Lusk, USA

Physical setting

Little Lusk Creek drains a 43.2-km² catchment in Shawnee National Forest, southern Illinois, USA. The creek flows 15 km from its headwaters to its confluence with Lusk Creek. The upper 3 km are temporary and the lower 12 km are perennial. Flow is primarily derived from overland flow, and channel drying occurs in the late summer when saturated soil water is depleted and the groundwater table falls below the streambed elevation Flow intermittence generally decreases in the downstream direction. The uppermost study reach had the greatest flow intermittence (82%). Descriptions of the climate, geology, and vegetation of the area are given in Schwegman (1973) and Thompson (2004).

Invertebrate sampling

Four 30-m long sampling reaches (one perennial, three temporary) were established along the upper 2.8 km of Little Lusk Creek. Invertebrates were collected from riffles and pools in each reach. Samples were collected during consecutive dry (30 August 2004) and wet (4 April 2005) seasons when surface was present in each sampling reach. Samples were collected and processed as described above for Sycamore Branch.

Orari, New Zealand

Physical setting

The Orari River drains an 850-km² catchment in the eastern foothills of New Zealand's Southern Alps and a portion of the alluvial Canterbury Plains to the east of the foothills. The river flows 45 km through the foothills to a gorge at the foothills-plains boundary, then 76 km across the Canterbury Plains to the Pacific Ocean. The section of the river used in the present study extended across the plains from the gorge to a point 3.5 km upstream from the river mouth. The Canterbury Plains are composed of two hydrogeological regions, the inland and coastal plains. The inland plains are underlain by glacial and periglacial gravels. Aquifers in this area are separated from land surface by a deep vadose zone. The coastal plains are underlain by alternating layers of post-glacial gravels and marine clays deposited during high sea stands. Aquifers in this area form a vertical series with the uppermost aquifer at or near ground surface. Flow patterns in the Orari River reflect the contrasting hydrogeological structures. The inland-plains section of the Orari is perched, and the river progressively loses flow to the vadose zone; all flow is lost within 20 km of the gorge for part of most years. On the coastal plain, upwelling groundwater discharges into the Orari River, starting at a point \sim 49 km from the gorge and continuing to river mouth. The river gains groundwater with distance downstream in this section, and becomes perennial ~ 69 km downstream from the gorge. At the most temporary point of the river, annual average flow permanence is $\sim 60\%$. When a dry section is present, in expands and contracts in length in response to groundwater level fluctuations and changes in run-off from upstream; the dry section varies from 0 - 50 km in length. Flow cessation occurs in late spring or early summer of most years. Descriptions of the climate, geology and geomorphology of the Orari River catchment are given in Larned et al. (2011).

Invertebrate sampling

Invertebrates were collected from riffles at 11 sites (five perennial, six temporary) on 24 October 2007 and 19 February 2008. The river was flowing over its entire length on both sampling dates. At each riffle, four replicate samples were collected with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250-µm mesh), then two samples were combined into each of two composite samples and preserved in 70% isopropyl alcohol. Most aquatic insects and all molluscs were

identified to genus or species (some midges were identified to tribe). Crustaceans, annelids, and mites were identified to family or order.

Selwyn, New Zealand

Physical setting

The Selwyn River drains a 975-km² catchment located 90 km north of the Orari River. Like the Orari River, the Selwyn River rises in the foothills of the eastern Southern Alps and flows across the Canterbury Plains. The river mainstem flows 35 km through the foothills, then 54 km across inland and coastal plains to coastal Lake Ellesmere. The Selwyn River is perched over a deep vadose zone beneath the inland plains, and loses water with distance downstream. The first 3 km of the losing reach are perennial, and the next 43 km are temporary. In the coastal plains, upwelling groundwater causes progressive flow gains, and the river becomes perennial approximately 8 km from its terminus. The severity of intermittence is greater in the Selwyn River than the Orari River, as indicated by higher flow intermittence for most of its length. At the most temporary point of the river, annual average flow intermittence is approximately 70%. During extended droughts, the river dries for most of its length on the Canterbury Plains, and portions of the central reach may remain dry for more than 1 year. Descriptions of the climate, geology and geomorphology of the Selwyn River catchment are given in Larned *et al.* (2008).

Invertebrate sampling

Invertebrates were collected from riffles at 16 sites (three perennial, 13 temporary) on 11 dates between November 2003 and October 2004. At least one site was dry on each sampling date, so the total number of sampling dates at each cross-section varied from 2-11. At each site, four replicate samples were collected with a Surber sampler (0.09-m² area, 250-µm

mesh), then two samples were combined into each of two composite samples and preserved in 70% isopropyl alcohol. Most insects and all molluscs were identified to genus or species (some midges were identified to tribe). Crustaceans, annelids, and mites were identified to family or order.

Garden and Huachuca, USA

Physical settings

Huachuca and Garden Canyons are arid-land streams that drain catchments in the Huachuca Mountains in southeast Arizona, USA. Huachuca Canyon drains a 25-km² catchment before joining the Babocomari River, a tributary of the San Pedro River. Garden Canyon drains a 34-km² catchment before joining the San Pedro River. In the he uppermost 7 km of both streams, there is interrupted perennial flow through rugged canyons. Downstream of the canyons, the streams flow across alluvial fans where seepage losses are high; both streams become temporary at the canyon-alluvial fan boundaries. The temporary section of Huachuca Canyon extends 12.2 km to the confluence with the Babocomari River. The temporary section of Garden Canyon extends 22.1 km to the confluence with the San Pedro River. Flow intermittence in the temporary reaches of both streams increases with distance downstream. Flow intermittence ranges from 40% at the top of alluvial fans to 99% several kilometers down the fans. Descriptions of the climate, geology and geomorphology of Garden and Huachuca Canyons are given in Bogan *et al.* (2013).Descriptions of the climate, geology and geomorphology of Garden and Huachuca Canyons are given in Bogan *et al.* (2013).

Invertebrate Sampling

Invertebrates were collected from riffles at nine sites (three perennial, six temporary) from both Huachuca and Garden Canyons on 27-31 March 2010. Sampling sites were spaced 0.25 to 4 km apart. Perennial reaches were also sampled in November 2009 and 2010 and March 2011, but the temporary reaches were dry during these periods. During the March 2010 sampling period, the perennial and temporary reaches were connected by flow at both streams. At each sampling site, three replicate samples were collected with a D-net $(0.09-m^2 area, 500-\mu m mesh)$ by disturbing substrate to a depth of 5 cm to dislodge invertebrates. The three replicates samples at each site were composited and preserved in 95% ethanol. All aquatic insects, mites and amphipods were identified to genus or species. All other invertebrates were identified to family or order.

Fish Creek, USA.

Physical settings

Fish Brook drains a 47-km² catchment on the Atlantic Coastal Plain of north-eastern Massachusetts, USA. The Fish Brook mainstem flows 31.25 km from headwater wetlands to its confluence with the Ipswich River. Descriptions of the climate and geomorphology of the Ipswich River region are given in Zarriello & Ries (2000). The sampling sites used for this study were located on perennial Fish Brook and two of its temporary headwater tributaries. All sites were within a 1-km² area of deciduous forested swamp, 5 km upstream of the Ipswich River confluence.

Invertebrate Sampling

Invertebrates were collected from riffles and pools at eight sites (three perennial, five temporary) on eight days in July 2004, six days in September 2004, and six days in April 2005. At each sampling site, three replicate samples were collected with a D-net (0.1 m^2 area, 500-µm mesh) and preserved in 80% ethanol. All invertebrates were identified to genus.

Alme and tributaries, Germany.

Physical setting

The Alme River drains a 763-km² catchment in East Westphalia, Germany. The river flows north for 60 km from its headwaters in the northeastern Sauerland region to its confluence with the Lippe River. The Alme River mainstem and three of its tributaries were sampled, the 28-km long Ellerbach River (catchment area 91 km²), the 8-km long Menne River (catchment area 8 km²), and the 30- km long Sauer River (catchment area 109 km²).

Seepage losses into limestone fissures and sinkholes cause flow intermittence in Alme and its tributaries. The Alme, Ellerbach and Sauer Rivers have perennial reaches extending from the headwaters downstream for 18–31 km, and temporary middle and lower reaches. The Menne River is in a completely karstified catchment and has alternating temporary and perennial reaches for its entire length. The 5-250 m-long perennial reaches in the Menne River are each downstream of a spring. The temporary reaches of the Ellerbach, Menne, and Sauer Rivers extend to their confluences with the Altenau River, which flows into the Alme. The temporary reach of the Alme River ends at the confluence with the Altenau, and the Alme is perennial from this point to its confluence with the Lippe River. Descriptions of the hydrology and geology of the Alme River and its catchment are given in Meyer & Meyer (2000), and Meyer *et al.* (2004).

Invertebrate sampling

In the Alme River, invertebrates were collected at seven sites (four perennial, three temporary) on three dates between 2005 and 2008. At each site, two or three replicate samples were taken with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250-µm mesh). In the Ellerbach River, invertebrates were collected at three sites (one perennial, two temporary) on four dates in

2001. At each site, three replicate samples were taken with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250- μ m mesh). In the Menne River, invertebrates were collected at four sites (one perennial, three temporary) on three dates in 2000. At each site, two replicate samples were taken with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250- μ m mesh). In the Sauer River, invertebrates were collected at 14 sites (four perennial, 10 temporary) on three dates between 2000 and 2007. At each site, two replicate samples were taken with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250- μ m mesh). Invertebrate samples were taken with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250- μ m mesh). Invertebrate samples were taken with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250- μ m mesh). Invertebrate samples were taken with a Surber sampler (0.09-m², 250- μ m mesh). Invertebrate samples were preserved in 90% ethanol. All aquatic insects, mites, annelids, molluscs and amphipods were identified to genus or species. All other invertebrates were identified to family or order.

References

- Bogan, M.T., et al. 2013. Flow intermittency alters longitudinal patterns of invertebrate diversity and assemblage composition in an arid-land stream network. —Freshwater Biology. DOI: 10.1111/fwb.12105
- Datry, T. 2012. Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate assemblages along a flow intermittence gradient: effects of duration of dry events. —Freshwater Biology 57: 563-574.
- Fritz, K.M. et al. 2006. Field Operations Manual for Assessing the Hydrologic Permanence and Ecological Condition of Headwater Streams. EPA 600/R-06/126. USEPA Office of Research and Development, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, OH, USA. <u>http://www.epa.gov/nerleerd/methods/headwater.html</u>
- Homoya, M.A. et al. 1984. The natural regions of Indiana. —Proceedings of the Indiana Academy of Sciences 94: 245-268.
- Larned, S.T. et al. 2008. The Selwyn River of New Zealand: a benchmark system for alluvial river plain rivers. —River Research & Application 24: 1-21.
- Larned, S.T. et al. 2011. Longitudinal river ecohydrology: flow variation down the lengths of alluvial rivers. —Ecohydrology 4: 532-548.
- Mano, V. et al. 2009. Assessment of suspended sediment transport in four alpine watersheds (France): influence of the climatic regime. —Hydrological Processes 23: 777-792.
- Meyer, A. and Meyer, E.I. 2000. Discharge regime and the effect of drying on macroinvertebrate communities in a temporary karst stream in East Westphalia (Germany). —Aquatic Sciences 62: 216-231.
- Meyer, A., et al. 2004. The effect of low flow and stream drying on the distribution and relative abundance of the alien amphipod, Echinogammarus berilloni (Catta, 1878) in a karstic stream system (Westphalia, Germany). —Crustaceana 77: 909-922.
- Santos, A.N. and Stevenson, R.D. 2011. Comparison of Macroinvertebrate Diversity and Community Structure among Perennial and Non-Perennial Headwater Streams. Northeastern Naturalist 18: 7-26.
- Schwegman, J. 1973. Natural divisions of Illinois. Illinois Department of Conservation, Natural Preservation Commission. Rockford. 32 p.

- Thompson, F.R., III. 2004. The Hoosier-Shawnee Ecological Assessment. General Technical Report NC-244. St. Paul, MN: US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, North Central Research Station. 267 p. http://nrs.fs.fed.us/pubs/gtr/gtr_nc244.pdf
- Wood, P.J. and Armitage, P.D. 2004. The response of the macroinvertebrate community to low flow variability and supra-seasonal drought within a groundwater dominated system. —*Archiv für Hydrobiologie* 161: 1–20.
- Zarriello, P.J. and Ries, K.G. 2000. A precipitation-runoff model for the analysis of the effects of water withdrawals on streamflow, Ipswich River Basin, Massachusetts. Water-Resources Investigation Report 00-4029. US Geological Survey, Northborough, Massachusetts. http://pubs.usgs.gov/wri/wri004029/index.html

Appendix S2. List of life-history traits coded to assign each taxon to the following class: 0: neither resistant nor resilient; 1: resilient; 2: resistant; and 3: resilient and resistant. Given the coarse taxonomic resolution used (TR 3), we assigned to each taxon the traits which were dominant across the constituent families, genera or species. When a taxa showed exclusively traits from the resistant class, it was classified as resistant (n = 37 taxa). Conversely, when a taxa showed exclusively traits from the resilient class, it was classified as resilient (n = 37 taxa). When a taxa showed traits from both the resistant and resilient class, it was classified as resistant and resilient (n = 26 taxa). Last, when no traits was assigned to a taxa, it was classified as without resistant nor resilient (n = 25 taxa).

	undated trait code	Long adult Hespa	High lemale dispers	Strong adult thing capacit	Common occurrence in dri	Strong swimming abili	Desiccation-resistance form	Body armourin	plastion Ispiracle respiratio	LOW the optim
Plecoptera	υ	2	<u>a</u> _	<u> </u>	*	2	 υ υ	ø	3	
Capniidae	3				+		+			
Eustheniidae	1				+					
Gripopterygidae	0									
Leuctridae	1				+		+			
Perlidae	1		+	+						
Periodidae	0									
Trichoptera	2						+			
Brachycentridae	2							+		
Calamoceratidae	2						+	+		
Glossosomatidae	2							+		
Goeridae	2		+				+	+		
Hydrobiosidae	0									
Hydropsychidae	1		+	+	+					
Lepidostomatidae	0		T							
Leptoceridae	1		+							
Molannidae	0						+	+		
Odontoceridae	2							+		
Philopotamidae Phryganeidae	2						+			
Polycentropodidae	1		+							
Psychomyiidae	1		+							
Sericostomatidae	0									
Uenoidae Ephemeroptera	2							+		
Baetidae	1				+	+	 			
Ameletidae	1					+				
Caenidae Ephemerellidae	2				+					+
Ephemeridae	2									+
Heptageniidae	1				+					+
Leptophlebiidae	1				+					
Oligoneuriidae	3				-	+				+
Coleoptera										
Brachypteridae	2						+		+	
Dryopidae	2	+					+	+	+	+
Dytiscidae	3	+	+	+		+		+	+	+
Emidae	1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Haliplidae	1	+		+				+		
Hydraenidae	1	+		+					+	4
Psephenidae	0	+		+					+	+
Ptilodactylidae	1	+	+	+						
Scarabaeidae Scirtidae	3	+	+	+			+	+	+	+
Staphylinidae	3	+	+	+					+	+
Athericidae	0									
Ceratopogonidae	2						+			
Chironomidae	3	+	+		+		+		+	+
Cylindrotomidae	2						+			+
Diamesinae	1		+		+					
Dolichopodidae	3	+	+	+					+	+
Empididae	0									
Limonidae	2	+	+	+			+		+	+
Muscidae	3	+	+	+			+		+	
Orthocladinae Pediciidae	1		+		+		+			
Podonominae	1		+		+					
Psychodidae	2						+		+	+
Rhagionidae	0									
Sciaridae Simuliidae	2				-		+			
Tabanidae	3		+	+			+		+	
Tanypodinae	1		+		+					
Tipulidae	2		+		+		 +			
Odonata							 			
Calopterygidae	1	+	+	+		+				
Coenagrionidae	0									
Cordulegastridae Gomphidae	1	+		+						+
Lestidae	2									+
Libellulidae	1	+	+	+						+
Megaloptera							 			
Corydalidae	0									
Sialidae Hemiptera	0									
Belostomatidae	3	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+
Corixidae	3	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+
Notonectidae	3	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+
Heteroptera	0									
Veliidae	3	+				+	 +		+	+
Gerridae	3	+				+	+		+	+
Amphipoda										
Crangonyctidae	1	+	+		+		+			
Eusiridae	0									
Niphargidae	0	+			+	T	 			
Paraleptamphopidae	0									