POSITION DEPENDENT RANDOM MAPS IN ONE AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

WAEL BAHSOUN AND PAWEŁ GÓRA

ABSTRACT. A random map is a discrete-time dynamical system in which one of a number of transformations is randomly selected and applied on each iteration of the process. In this paper, we study random maps with position dependent probabilities on the interval and on a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n . Sufficient conditions for the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant measure for random map with position dependent probabilities on the interval and on a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n are the main results of this note.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_K$ be a collection of transformations from X to X. Usually, the random map T is defined by choosing τ_k with constant probability $p_k, p_k > 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k = 1$. The ergodic theory of such dynamical systems was studied in [9] and in [8] (See also [7]).

There is a rich literature on random maps with position dependent probabilities with $\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_K$ being continuous contracting transformations (see [10]).

In this paper, we deal with piecewise monotone transformations $\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_K$ and position dependent probabilities $p_k(x)$, k = 1, ..., K, $p_k(x) > 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k(x) = 1$, i.e., the p_k 's are functions of position. We point out that studying such dynamical systems was first introduced in [4] where sufficient conditions for the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant measure were given. The conditions in [4] are applicable only when $\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_K$ are C^2 expanding transformations (see [4] for details). In this paper, we prove the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant measure for a random map T on [a, b] under milder conditions (see section 4, Conditions (A) and (B)). Moreover, we prove the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant measure for a random map T on S, where S is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n (see section 6, Condition (C)).

The paper is organized in the following way: In section 2, following the ideas of [4], we formulate the definition of a random map T with position dependent probabilities and introduce its Perron-Frobenius operator. In section 3, we prove properties of the Perron-Frobenius operator of T. In section 4, we prove the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant measure for T on [a, b]. In section 5, we give an example of a random map T which does not satisfy the conditions of [4]; yet, it preserves an absolutely continuous invariant measure under conditions (A)

Date: January 21, 2009.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37A05, 37E05.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Random map, absolutely continuous invariant measure, Frobenius-Perron operator.

The research of P.G. was supported by NSERC grant. W.B. is a recipient of PIMS postdoctoral fellowship.

and (B). In section 6, we prove the existence of an absolutely continuous invariant measure for T on a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n . In section 7, we give an example of a random map in \mathbb{R}^n that preserves an absolutely continuous invariant measure.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \lambda)$ be a measure space, where λ is an underlying measure. Let $\tau_k : X \to X, \ k = 1, ..., K$ be piecewise one-to-one, non-singular transformations on a common partition \mathcal{P} of $X : \mathcal{P} = \{I_1, ..., I_q\}$ and $\tau_{k,i} = \tau_k \mid_{I_i}, i = 1, ..., q, \ k = 1, ..., K$ (\mathcal{P} can be found by considering finer partitions). We define the transition function for the random map $T = \{\tau_1, ..., \tau_K; p_1(x), ..., p_K(x)\}$ as follows:

(2.1)
$$\mathbb{P}(x,A) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k(x) \chi_A(\tau_k(x)),$$

where A is any measurable set and $\{p_k(x)\}_{k=1}^K$ is a set of position dependent measurable probabilities, i.e., $\sum_{k=1}^K p_k(x) = 1$, $p_k(x) \ge 0$, for any $x \in X$ and χ_A denotes the characteristic function of the set A. We define $T(x) = \tau_k(x)$ with probability $p_k(x)$ and $T^N(x) = \tau_{kN} \circ \tau_{kN-1} \circ \ldots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)$ with probability $p_{kN}(\tau_{kN-1} \circ \ldots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdot p_{kN-1}(\tau_{kN-2} \circ \ldots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdots p_{k_1}(x)$. The transition function \mathbb{P} induces an operator \mathbb{P}_* on measures on (X, \mathfrak{B}) defined by

(2.2)
$$\mathbb{P}_*\mu(A) = \int \mathbb{P}(x, A) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \int p_k(x) \chi_A(\tau_k(x)) d\mu(x)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \int_{\tau_k^{-1}(A)} p_k(x) d\mu(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{\tau_{k,i}^{-1}(A)} p_k(x) d\mu(x)$$

We say that measure μ is *T*-invariant iff $\mathbb{P}_*\mu = \mu$, i.e.,

(2.3)
$$\mu(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{\tau_k^{-1}(A)} p_k(x) d\mu(x), \quad , A \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

If μ has density f with respect to λ , the $\mathbb{P}_*\mu$ has also a density which we denote by $P_T f$. By change of variables, we obtain

(2.4)
$$\int_{A} P_{T}f(x)d\lambda(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \int_{\tau_{k,i}^{-1}(A)} p_{k}(x)f(x)d\lambda(x) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \int_{A} p_{k}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1}x)f(\tau_{k,i}^{-1}x)\frac{1}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}d\lambda(x)$$

where $J_{k,i}$ is the Jacobian of $\tau_{k,i}$ with respect to λ . Since this holds for any measurable set A we obtain an a.e. equality:

(2.5)
$$(P_T f)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} p_k(\tau_{k,i}^{-1} x) f(\tau_{k,i}^{-1} x) \frac{1}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})} \chi_{\tau_k(I_i)}(x)$$

or

(2.6)
$$(P_T f)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{\tau_k} \left(p_k f \right)(x)$$

where P_{τ_k} is the Perron-Frobenius operator corresponding to the transformation τ_k (see [1] for details). We call P_T the Perron-Frobenius of the random map T. The main tool in this paper is the Perron-Frobenius of T which has very useful properties.

3. Properties of the Perron-Frobenius operator of T

The properties of P_T resemble the properties of the classical Perron-Frobenius operator of a single transformation.

Lemma 3.1. P_T satisfies the following properties: (i) P_T is linear; (ii) P_T is non-negative; i.e., $f \ge 0 \implies P_T f \ge 0$; (iii) $P_T f = f \Leftrightarrow mu = f \cdot \lambda$ is *T*-invariant; (iv) $\|P_T f\|_1 \le \|f\|_1$, where $\|.\|_1$ denotes the L^1 norm; (v) $P_{T \circ R} = P_T \circ P_R$. In particular, $P_T^N f = P_T^N f$.

Proof. The proofs of (i)-(iv) are analogous to that for single transformation. For the proof of (v), let T and R be two random maps corresponding to $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, ..., \tau_K; p_1, p_2, ..., p_K\}$ and $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, ..., \zeta_L; r_1, r_2, ..., r_L\}$ respectively. We define $\{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^K$ and $\{\zeta_l\}_{l=1}^L$ on a common partition \mathcal{P} . We have

$$P_{R}(P_{T}f) = P_{R}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{\tau_{k}}(p_{k}f)\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{\zeta_{l}}\left(r_{l}P_{\tau_{k}}(p_{k}f)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} r_{l}(\zeta_{l,i}^{-1})[P_{\tau_{k}}(p_{k}f)](\zeta_{l,i}^{-1})\frac{1}{J_{\zeta,l,i}(\zeta_{l,i}^{-1})}\chi_{\zeta_{l,i}(I_{i})}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{i=1}^{q} r_{l}(\zeta_{l,i}^{-1})p_{k}(\tau_{k,j}^{-1} \circ \zeta_{l,i}^{-1})f(\tau_{k,j}^{-1} \circ \zeta_{l,i}^{-1})$$

$$\times \frac{1}{J_{\tau,k,j}(\tau_{k,j}^{-1} \circ \zeta_{l,i}^{-1})}\frac{1}{J_{\zeta,l,i}(\zeta_{l,i}^{-1})}\chi_{\tau_{k}(I_{j})}(\zeta_{l,i}^{-1})\chi_{\zeta_{l,i}(I_{i})}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{L} P_{\tau_{k}\circ\zeta_{l}}\left(p_{k}(\zeta_{l})r_{l}f\right) = P_{T\circ R}f.$$

4. The existence of absolutely continuous invariant measure on [a, b]

Let $(I, \mathfrak{B}, \lambda)$ be a measure space, where λ is normalized Lebesgue measure on I = [a, b]. Let $\tau_k : I \to I$, k = 1, ..., K be piecewise one-to-one and differentiable, non-singular transformations on a partition \mathcal{P} of $I : \mathcal{P} = \{I_1, ..., I_q\}$ and $\tau_{k,i} = \tau_k \mid_{I_i}, i = 1, ..., q, k = 1, ..., K$. Denote by $V(\cdot)$ the standard one dimensional variation of a function, and by BV(I) the space of functions of bounded variations on I equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{BV} = V(\cdot) + \|\cdot\|_1$.

Let $g_k(x) = \frac{p_k(x)}{|\tau'_k(x)|}$, k = 1, ..., K. We assume that **Condition (A):** $\sum_{k=1}^{K} g_k(x) < \alpha < 1$, $x \in I$, and **Condition (B):** $g_k \in BV(I)$, k = 1, ..., K.

Under the above conditions our goal is to prove:

$$(4.1) V_I P_T^n f \le A V_I f + B \|f\|_1$$

for some $n \ge 1$, where 0 < A < 1 and B > 0. The inequality (4.1) guarantees the existence of a *T*-invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and the quasi-compactness of operator P_T with all the consequences of this fact, see [1]. We will need a number of lemmas:

Lemma 4.1. Let $f \in BV(I)$. Suppose $\tau : I \to J$ is differentiable and $\tau'(x) \neq 0$, $x \in I$. Set $\phi = \tau^{-1}$ and let $g(x) = \frac{p(x)}{|\tau'(x)|} \in BV(I)$. Then

$$V_J(f(\phi)g(\phi)) \le (V_If + \sup_I f)(V_Ig + \sup_I g).$$

Proof. First, note that we have dropped all the k, i indices to simplify the notation. Then, the proof follows in the same way as in Lemma 3 of [9].

Lemma 4.2. Let T satisfy conditions (A) and (B). Then for any $f \in BV(I)$,

(4.2)
$$V_I P_T f \le A V_I f + B \| f \|_1,$$

where

$$A = 3\alpha + \max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} V_{I_i} g_k;$$

and

$$B = 2\beta\alpha + \beta \max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} V_{I_i} g_k,$$

where $\beta = \max_{1 \le i \le q} (\lambda(I_i))^{-1}$.

Proof. First, we will refine partition \mathcal{P} to satisfy additional condition. Let $\eta > 0$ be such that $\sum_{k=1}^{K} (g_k(x) + \varepsilon_k) < \alpha$ whenever $|\varepsilon_k| < \eta$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$. Since g_k , $k = 1, \ldots, K$ are of bounded variation we can find a finite partition \mathcal{K} such that for any $k = 1, \ldots, K$

$$|g_k(x) - g_k(y)| < \eta,$$

for x, y in the same element of \mathcal{K} . Instead of the partition \mathcal{P} we consider a join $\mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{K}$. Without restricting generality of our considerations, we can assume that this is our original partition \mathcal{P} . Then, we have

(4.3)
$$\max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sup_{x \in I_i} g_k(x) < \alpha.$$

We have $V_I(P_T f) = V_I(\sum_{k=1}^K P_{\tau_k}(p_k f))$. We will estimate this variation. Let $\phi_{k,i} = \tau_{k,i}^{-1}, k = 1, \ldots, K, i = 1, \ldots, q$. We have

(4.4)

$$V_{I}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} P_{\tau_{k}}(p_{k}f)\right) = V_{I}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} f(\phi_{k,i})g_{k}(\phi_{k,i})\chi_{\tau_{k}(I_{i})}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} [|f(a_{i-1})||g_{k}(a_{i-1})| + |f(a_{i})||g_{k}(a_{i})|]$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} V_{\tau_{k}(I_{i})}[f(\phi_{k,i})g_{k}(\phi_{k,i})].$$

4

First, we estimate the first sum on the right hand side of (4.4):

(4.5)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} [|f(a_{i-1})||g_{k}(a_{i-1})| + |f(a_{i})||g_{k}(a_{i})|]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left[|f(a_{i-1})| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} |g_{k}(a_{i-1})| \right) + |f(a_{i})| \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} |g_{k}(a_{i})| \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} (|f(a_{i-1})| + |f(a_{i})|) \right)$$

$$\leq \alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(V_{I_{i}}f + (\lambda(I_{i}))^{-1} \int_{I_{i}} f d\lambda \right) \right) = \alpha \left(V_{I}f + \beta ||f||_{1} \right).$$

We now estimate the second sum on the right hand side of (4.4). Using Lemma 4.1 we obtain:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} V_{\tau_{k}(I_{i})}[f(\phi_{k,i})g_{k}(\phi_{k,i})] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(V_{I_{i}}f + \sup_{I_{i}} f \right) \left(V_{I_{i}}g_{k} + \sup_{I_{i}} g_{k} \right)$$

$$(4.6) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \left(2V_{I_{i}}f + \beta \int_{I_{i}} f d\lambda \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(V_{I_{i}}g_{k} + \sup_{I_{i}} g_{k} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \left(2V_{I}f + \beta \|f\|_{1} \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} V_{I_{i}}g_{k} + \alpha \right).$$

Thus, using (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad V_I P_T f \le \left(3\alpha + \max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^K V_{I_i} g_k\right) V_I f + \left(2\beta\alpha + \beta \max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^K V_{I_i} g_k\right) \|f\|_1.$$

In the following two lemmas we show that constants α and $\max_{1 \leq i \leq q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} V_{I_i} g_k$ decrease when we consider higher iterations T^n instead of T. The constant β obviously increases, but this is not important.

Lemma 4.3. Let T be a random map which satisfies condition (A). Then, for $x \in I$,

(4.8)
$$\sum_{w \in \{1,2,\dots,K\}^N} \frac{p_w(x)}{|T'_w(x)|} < \alpha^N,$$

where $T_w(x) = \tau_{k_N} \circ \tau_{k_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)$ and $p_w(x) = p_{k_N}(\tau_{k_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdot p_{k_{N-1}}(\tau_{k_{N-2}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdots p_{k_1}(x)$, define random map T^N .

Proof. We have

$$T^N(x) = \tau_{k_N} \circ \tau_{k_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)$$

with probability

$$p_{k_N}(\tau_{k_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdot p_{k_{N-1}}(\tau_{k_{N-2}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdots p_{k_1}(x).$$

The maps defining T^N may be indexed by $w \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}^N$. Set

$$T_w(x) = \tau_{k_N} \circ \tau_{k_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)$$

where $w = (k_1, ..., k_N)$, and

$$p_w(x) = p_{k_N}(\tau_{k_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdot p_{k_{N-1}}(\tau_{k_{N-2}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_1}(x)) \cdots p_{k_1}(x).$$

Then,

$$T'_{w}(x) = \tau'_{k_{N}}(\tau_{k_{N-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_{1}}(x))\tau'_{k_{N-1}}(\tau_{k_{N-2}} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{k_{1}}(x))\cdots \tau'_{k_{1}}(x).$$

Suppose that T satisfies condition (A). We will prove (4.8) using induction on N. For N = 1, we have

(4.9)
$$\sum_{w \in \{1,2,\dots,K\}} \frac{p_w(x)}{|T'_w(x)|} < \alpha$$

by condition (A). Assume (4.8) is true for N - 1. Then,

$$\sum_{w \in \{1,2,\dots,K\}^N} \frac{p_w(x)}{|T'_w(x)|} = \sum_{\overline{w} \in \{1,2,\dots,K\}^{N-1}} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{p_k(x)p_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x))}{|\tau'_k(x)||T'_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x))|} \\ \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \frac{p_k(x)}{|\tau'_k(x)|}\right) \left(\sum_{\overline{w} \in \{1,2,\dots,K\}^{N-1}} \frac{p_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x))}{|T'_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x))|}\right) < \alpha \cdot \alpha^{N-1} = \alpha^N.$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $g_w = \frac{p_w}{|T'_w|}$, where T_w and p_w are defined in Lemma 4.3, $w \in \{1, ..., K\}^n$. Define

$$W_1 \equiv \max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^K V_{I_i} g_k,$$

and

$$W_n \equiv \max_{J \in \mathcal{P}^{(n)}} \sum_{w \in \{1, \dots, K\}^n} V_J g_w,$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ is the common monotonicity partition for all T_w . Then, for all $n \geq 1$

$$(4.11) W_n \le n\alpha^{n-1}W_1,$$

where α is defined in condition (A).

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on n. For n = 1 the lemma is true by definition of W_n . Assume that the lemma is true for n, i.e.,

$$(4.12) W_n \le n\alpha^{n-1}W_1.$$

Let $J \in \mathcal{P}^{(n+1)}$ and $x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_l$ be a sequence of points in J. Then (4.13)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{w} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} |g_w(x_{j+1}) - g_w(x_j)| &= \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{w \in \{1,...,K\}^{n+1}} |g_w(x_{j+1}) - g_w(x_j)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\overline{w} \in \{1,...,K\}^n} \sum_{k=1}^K |g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_{j+1}))g_k(x_{j+1}) - g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_j))g_k(x_j)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\overline{w} \in \{1,...,K\}^n} \sum_{k=1}^K |g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_{j+1}))g_k(x_{j+1}) - g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_{j+1}))g_k(x_j)| \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\overline{w} \in \{1,...,K\}^n} \sum_{k=1}^K |g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_{j+1}))g_k(x_j) - g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_j))g_k(x_j)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{k=1}^K |g_k(x_{j+1}) - g_k(x_j)| \sum_{\overline{w} \in \{1,...,K\}^n} g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_{j+1})) - g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_j))| \\ &\leq \alpha^n \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{k=1}^K |g_k(x_{j+1}) - g_k(x_j)| \\ &+ \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sum_{\overline{w} \in \{1,...,K\}^n} |g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_{j+1})) - g_{\overline{w}}(\tau_k(x_j))| \\ &\leq \alpha^n W_1 + \alpha W_n \leq \alpha^n W_1 + n\alpha^n W_1 = (n+1)\alpha^n W_1. \end{split}$$

We used condition (A) and lemma 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. Let T be a random map which satisfies conditions (A) and (B). Then T preserves a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. The operator P_T is quasi-compact on BV(I), see [1].

Proof. Let N be such that $A_N = 3\alpha^N + W_N < 1$. Then, by Lemma 4.3,

$$\sum_{w \in \{1,\dots,K\}^N} g_w(x) < \alpha^N, \quad x \in I$$

We refine the partition $\mathcal{P}^{(N)}$ like in the proof of Lemma 4.2, to have

$$\max_{J \in \mathcal{P}^N} \sum_{w \in \{1, \dots, K\}^N} \sup_J g_w < \alpha^N.$$

Then, by lemma 4.2, we get

(4.14) $\|P_T^N f\|_{BV} \le A_N \|f\|_{BV} + B_N \|f\|_1,$

where $B_N = \beta_N (2\alpha^N + W_N)$, $\beta_N = \max_{J \in \mathcal{P}^N} (\lambda(J))^{-1}$. The theorem follows by the standard technique (see [1]).

Remark 4.6. It is enough to assume that condition (A) is satisfied for some iterate $T^m, m \ge 1$.

Remark 4.7. The number of absolutely continuous invariant measures for random maps has been studied in [6]. The proof of [6], which uses graph theoretic methods, goes through analogously in our case; i.e., when T is a random map with position dependent probabilities.

5. Example

We present an example of a random map T which does not satisfy the conditions of [4]; yet, it preserves an absolutely continuous invariant measure under conditions (A) and (B).

Example 5.1. Let T be a random map which is given by $\{\tau_1, \tau_2; p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$ where

(5.1)
$$\tau_1(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ x & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

(5.2)
$$\tau_2(x) = \begin{cases} x + \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ 2x - 1 & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

and

(5.3)
$$p_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

(5.4)
$$p_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{for } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Then, $\sum_{k=1}^{2} g_k(x) = \frac{2}{3} < 1$. Therefore, *T* satisfies conditions (A) and (B). Consequently, by theorem 4.5, *T* preserves an invariant measure absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Notice that τ_1, τ_2 are piecewise linear Markov maps defined on the same Markov partition $\mathcal{P} : \{[0, \frac{1}{2}], [\frac{1}{2}, 1]\}$. For such maps the Perron-Frobenius operator reduces to a matrix (see [1]). The corresponding matrices are:

(5.5)
$$P_{\tau_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_{\tau_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Their invariant densities are $f_{\tau_1} = [0, 2]$ and $f_{\tau_2} = [2, 0]$. The Perron-Frobenius operator of the random map T is given by:

(5.6)
$$P_T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}\\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

If the invariant density of T is $f = [f_1, f_2]$, normalized by $f_1 + f_2 = 2$ and satisfying equation $fP_T = f$, then $f_1 = \frac{2}{3}$ and $f_2 = \frac{4}{3}$.

6. The existence of absolutely continuous invariant measure in \mathbb{R}^n

Let S be a bounded region in \mathbb{R}^n and λ_n be Lebesgue measure on S. Let $\tau_k : S \to S, k = 1, ..., K$ be piecewise one-to-one and C^2 , non-singular transformations on a partition \mathcal{P} of $S : \mathcal{P} = \{S_1, ..., S_q\}$ and $\tau_{k,i} = \tau_k \mid_{S_i}, i = 1, ..., q, k = 1, ..., K$. Let each S_i be a bounded closed domain having a piecewise C^2 boundary of finite (n-1)-dimensional measure. We assume that the faces of ∂S_i meet at angles bounded uniformly away from 0. We will also assume that the probabilities $p_k(x)$ are piecewise C^1 functions on the partition \mathcal{P} . Let $D\tau_{k,i}^{-1}(x)$ be the derivative matrix of $\tau_{k,i}^{-1}$ at x. We assume: Condition (C):

$$\max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k(x) \| D\tau_{k,i}^{-1}(\tau_{k,i}(x)) \| < \sigma < 1.$$

Let $\sup_{x \in \tau_{k,i}(S_i)} \|D\tau_{k,i}^{-1}(x)\| := \sigma_{k,i}$ and $\sup_{x \in S_i} p_k(x) := \pi_{k,i}$. Using smoothness of $D\tau_{k,i}^{-1}$'s and p_k 's we can refine partition \mathcal{P} to satisfy **Condition (C'):**

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \max_{1 \le i \le q} \sigma_{k,i} \pi_{k,i} < \sigma < 1$$

Under this condition, our goal is to prove the existence of an a.c.i.m. for the random map $T = \{\tau_1, ..., \tau_K; p_1, ..., p_K\}$. The main tool of this section is the multidimensional notion of variation defined using derivatives in the distributional sense (see [3]):

$$V(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|Df\| = \sup\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}(g) d\lambda_n : g = (g_1, ..., g_n) \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)\},\$$

where $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has bounded support, Df denotes the gradient of f in the distributional sense, and $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of continuously differentiable functions from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^n having a compact support. We will use the following property of variation which is derived from [3], Remark 2.14: If f = 0 outside a closed domain A whose boundary is Lipschitz continuous, $f_{|A|}$ is continuous, $f_{|int(A)|}$ is C^1 , then

$$V(f) = \int_{\text{int}(A)} \|Df\| d\lambda_n + \int_{\partial A} |f| d\lambda_{n-1},$$

where λ_{n-1} is the n-1-dimensional measure on the boundary of A. In this section we shall consider the Banach space (see [3], Remark 1.12),

$$BV(S) = \{ f \in L_1(S) : V(f) < +\infty \},\$$

with the norm $||f||_{BV} = V(f) + ||f||_1$. We adapt the following two lemmas from [5]. The proofs of Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2 are exactly the same as in [5].

Lemma 6.1. Consider $S_i \in \mathcal{P}$. Let x be a point in ∂S_i and $y = \tau_k(x)$ a point in $\partial(\tau_k(S_i))$. Let $J_{k,i}$ be the Jacobian of $\tau_{k|S_i}$ at x and $J_{k,i}^0$ be the Jacobian of $\tau_{k|\partial S_i}$ at x. Then

$$\frac{J_{k,i}^0}{J_{k,i}} \le \sigma_{k,i}.$$

Let us fix $1 \leq i \leq q$. Let Z denote the set of singular points of ∂S_i . Let us construct at any $x \in Z$ the largest cone having a vertex at x and which lies completely in S_i . Let $\theta(x)$ denote the angle subtended at the vertex of this cone. Then define

$$\beta(S_i) = \min_{x \in Z} \theta(x).$$

Since the faces of ∂S_i meet at angles bounded away from 0, $\beta(S_i) > 0$. Let $\alpha(S_i) = \pi/2 + \beta(S_i)$ and

$$a(S_i) = |\cos(\alpha(S_i))|.$$

Now we will construct a C^1 field of segments L_y , $y \in \partial S_i$, every L_y being a central ray of a regular cone contained in S_i , with angle subtended at the vertex y greater than or equal to $\beta(S_i)$.

We start at points $y \in Z$, where the minimal angle $\beta(S_i)$ is attained, defining L_y to be central rays of the largest regular cones contained in S_i . Then we extend this field of segments to C^1 field we want, making L_y short enough to avoid overlapping. Let $\delta(y)$ be the length of L_y , $y \in \partial S_i$. By the compactness of ∂S_i we have

$$\delta(S_i) = \inf_{y \in \partial S_i} \delta(y) > 0.$$

Now, we shorten L_y of our field, making them all of the length $\delta(S_i)$.

Lemma 6.2. For any S_i , i = 1, ..., q, if f is a C^1 function on S_i , then

$$\int_{\partial S_i} f(y) d\lambda_{n-1}(y) \le \frac{1}{a(S_i)} \left(\frac{1}{\delta(S_i)} \int_{S_i} f d\lambda_n + V_{\text{Int}(S_i)}(f) \right).$$

Our main technical result is the following :

Theorem 6.3. If T is a random map which satisfies Condition (C), then

$$V(P_T f) \le \sigma (1 + 1/a) V(f) + (M + \frac{\sigma}{a\delta}) \|f\|_{1}$$

where $a = \min\{a(S_i) : i = 1, ..., q\} > 0, \ \delta = \min\{\delta S_i, : i = 1, ..., q\} > 0, \ M_{k,i} = \sup_{x \in S_i} (Dp_k(x) - \frac{DJ_{k,i}}{J_{k,i}} p_k(x)) \ and \ M = \sum_{k=1}^K \max_{1 \le i \le q} M_{k,i}.$

Proof. We have $V(P_T f) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} V(P_{\tau_k}(p_k f))$. We first estimate $V(P_{\tau_k}(p_k f))$. Let $F_{k,i} = \frac{f(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})p_k(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}$, and $R_{k,i} = \tau_{k,i}(S_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, q$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$. Then,

$$(6.1)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|DP_{\tau_k}(p_k f)\| d\lambda_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|D(F_{k,i}\chi_{R_i})\| d\lambda_n$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|D(F_{k,i})\chi_{R_i}\| d\lambda_n + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|F_{k,i}(D\chi_{R_i})\| d\lambda_n \right).$$

Now, for the first integral we have,

$$(6.2) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \|D(F_{k,i})\chi_{R_{i}}\|d\lambda_{n} = \int_{R_{i}} \|D(F_{k,i}p_{k})\|d\lambda_{n} \\ \leq \int_{R_{i}} \|D(f(\tau_{k,i}^{-1}))\frac{p_{k}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}\|d\lambda_{n} + \int_{R_{i}} \|f(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})D\left(\frac{p_{k}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}\right)\|d\lambda_{n} \\ \leq \int_{R_{i}} \|Df(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})\|\|D\tau_{k,i}^{-1}\|\frac{p_{k}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}d\lambda_{n} + \int_{R_{i}} \|f(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})\|\frac{M_{k}}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}d\lambda_{n} \\ \leq \sigma_{k,i}\pi_{k,i}\int_{S_{i}} \|Df\|d\lambda_{n} + M_{k}\int_{S_{i}} \|f\|d\lambda_{n}.$$

10

For the second integral we have,

(6.3)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|F_{k,i}(D\chi_{R_i})\| d\lambda_n = \int_{\partial R_i} |f(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})| \frac{p_k(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})}{J_{k,i}(\tau_{k,i}^{-1})} d\lambda_{n-1} = \int_{\partial S_i} |f| p_k \frac{J_{k,i}^0}{J_{k,i}} d\lambda_{n-1}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, $\frac{J_{k,i}^0}{J_{k,i}} \leq \sigma_{k,i}$. Using Lemma 4.2, we get:

(6.4)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|F_{k,i}(D\chi_{R_i})\| d\lambda_n \leq \sigma_{k,i} \pi_{k,i} \int_{\partial S_i} |f| d\lambda_{n-1} \leq \frac{\sigma_{k,i} \pi_{k,i}}{a} V_{S_i}(f) + \frac{\sigma_{k,i} \pi_{k,i}}{a\delta} \int_{S_i} |f| d\lambda n.$$

Using Condition (C'), summing first over i, we obtain

$$V(P_{\tau_k}(p_k f)) \le (\max_{1 \le i \le q} \sigma_{k,i} \pi_{k,i})(1+1/a)V(f) + (\max_{1 \le i \le q} M_{k,i} + \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le q} \sigma_{k,i} \pi_{k,i}}{a\delta}) \|f\|_{1}$$

and then, summing over k we obtain

$$V(P_T f) \le \sigma (1 + 1/a) V(f) + (M + \frac{\sigma}{a\delta}) \|f\|_1.$$

,

Theorem 6.4. Let T be a random map which satisfies condition (C). If $\sigma(1 + 1/a) < 1$, then T preserves a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. The operator P_T is quasi-compact on BV(S), see [1].

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows by the standard technique (see [1]). \Box

7. Example in \mathbb{R}^2

In this section, We present an example of a random map which satisfies condition (C) of theorem 6.3 and thus it preserves an absolutely continuous invariant measure.

Example 7.1. Let T be a random map which is given by $\{\tau_1, \tau_2; p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$ where $\tau_1, \tau_2: I^2 \to I^2$ defined by: (7.1)

$$\tau_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \begin{cases} (3x_{1}, 2x_{2}) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{1} = \{0 \leq x_{1}, x_{2} \leq \frac{1}{3}\} \\ (3x_{1} - 1, 2x_{2}) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{2} = \{\frac{1}{3} < x_{1} \leq \frac{2}{3}; 0 \leq x_{2} \leq \frac{1}{3}\} \\ (3x_{1} - 2, 2x_{2}) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{3} = \{\frac{2}{3} < x_{1} \leq 1; 0 \leq x_{2} \leq \frac{1}{3}\} \\ (3x_{1}, 3x_{2} - 1) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{4} = \{0 < x_{1} \leq \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3} < x_{2} \leq \frac{2}{3}\} \\ (3x_{1} - 1, 3x_{2} - 1) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{5} = \{\frac{1}{3} < x_{1}, x_{2} \leq \frac{2}{3}\} \\ (3x_{1} - 2, 3x_{2} - 1) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{5} = \{\frac{1}{3} < x_{1} \leq \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{3} < x_{2} \leq \frac{2}{3}\} \\ (3x_{1} - 2, 3x_{2} - 1) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{6} = \{\frac{2}{3} < x_{1} \leq 1; \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3} < x_{2} \leq \frac{2}{3}\} \\ (3x_{1} - 1, 3x_{2} - 2) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{7} = \{0 \leq x_{1} \leq \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3} < x_{2} \leq 1\} \\ (3x_{1} - 1, 3x_{2} - 2) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{8} = \{\frac{1}{3} < x_{1} \leq \frac{2}{3}; \frac{2}{3} < x_{2} \leq 1\} \\ (3x_{1} - 2, 3x_{2} - 2) & \text{for } (x_{1}, x_{2}) \in S_{9} = \{\frac{2}{3} < x_{1} \leq 1; \frac{2}{3} < x_{2} \leq 1\} \end{cases}$$

and (7.3)

The derivative matrix of $(\tau_{1,i})^{-1}$, is

(7.4)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the derivative matrix of $(\tau_{2,i})^{-1}$, is

(7.5)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the Euclidean matrix norm, $||D(\tau_{1,i})^{-1}||$ is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$, or $\frac{\sqrt{13}}{6}$ and the Euclidean matrix norm, $||D(\tau_{2,i})^{-1}||$ is $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$. Then

$$\max_{1 \le i \le q} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k(x) \| D\tau_{k,i}^{-1}(\tau_{k,i}(x)) \| \le 0.216 \frac{\sqrt{13}}{6} + 0.785 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}.$$

For this partition \mathcal{P} , we have a = 1, which implies

$$\sigma(1+1/a) = 2(0.216\frac{\sqrt{13}}{6} + 0.785\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}) \approx 0.9998 < 1.$$

Therefore, by theorem 6.4, the random map T admits an absolutely continuous invariant measure. Notice that τ_1, τ_2 are piecewise linear Markov maps defined on the same Markov partition $\mathcal{P} = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_9\}$. For such maps the Perron-Frobenius operator reduces to a matrix and the invariant density is constant on the elements of the partition (see [1]). The Perron-Frobenius operator of the random map T is represented by the following matrix

(7.6)
$$M = \Pi_1 M_1 + \Pi_2 M_2,$$

where M_1 , M_2 are the matrices of P_{τ_1} and P_{τ_2} respectively, and Π_1 , Π_2 are the diagonal matrices of $p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x)$ respectively. Then, M is given by (7.7)

$$M = p_{1}\mathbf{Id}_{9} \times \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6}$$

where $p_1 = (0.215, 0.216, 0.216, 0.216, 0.215, 0.216, 0.216, 0.216, 0.216)$, $p_2 = (0.785, 0.784, 0.784, 0.784, 0.785, 0.784, 0.784, 0.784, 0.785)$, **Id**₉ is 9×9 identity matrix and

> a = 0.12306 b = 0.087222 c = 0.12311 d = 0.087111e = 0.11111.

The invariant density of T is

(7.8)
$$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7, f_8, f_9), \quad f_i = f_{|S_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 9,$$
 normalized by

(7.9)
$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5 + f_6 + f_7 + f_8 + f_9 = 9$$

and satisfying equation fM = f. Then, $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = f_5 = f_6 = \frac{9}{6.29739}$ and $f_7 = f_8 = f_9 = \frac{0.29739}{3} f_1$.

References

- 1. Boyarsky, A. and Góra, P., Laws of Chaos, Brikhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- Dunford, N., and Schwartz, J.T, *Linear Operators, Part I: General Theory*, Interscience Publ. Inc., N.Y., 1964.
- Giusti, E., Minamal Surfaces and Functions of Bounded Variations, Brikhaüser, Boston, 1984.
- Góra, P. and Boyarsky, A., Absolutely continuous invariant measures for random maps with position dependent probabilities, Math. Anal. Appl., 278, (2003), 225-242.
- Góra, P. and Boyarsky, A., Absolutely continuous invariant measures for piecewise expanding C² transformations in R^N, Israel Jour. Math., Vol. 67, No. 3, 1989, 272-286.
- Góra, P., Graph theoretic bound on number of a.c.i.m for random transformations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118, 401-410.

- 7. Kifer, Y., Ergodic Theory of Random Transformations, Brikhauser, Boston, 1986.
- Morita, T., Random iteration of one-dimensional transformations, Osaka J. Math. (1985), 22, pp. 489-518.
- 9. Pelikan, S., Invariant densities for random maps of the interval, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 281, , (1984), pp. 813-825.
- Stenflo, Ö., Uniqueness of invariant measures for place-dependent random iterations of functions, IMA Math. Appl. 2002, 132, 13-32.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, PO BOX 3045 STN CSC, Victoria, B.C., V8W 3P4, Canada

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{wab@math.uvic.ca}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY, 7141 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST, MONTREAL, QUEBEC H4B 1R6, CANADA

E-mail address: pgoravax2.concordia.ca