

1 **Short-term, high-fat overfeeding impairs glycaemic control but does**  
2 **not alter gut hormone responses to a mixed meal tolerance test in**  
3 **healthy, normal weight individuals**

4

5

6 Siôn A. Parry<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer R. Smith<sup>1</sup>, Talitha R.B. Corbett<sup>1</sup>, Rachel M. Woods<sup>1</sup> and Carl J. Hulston<sup>1</sup>

7

8 <sup>1</sup>School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough,

9 Leicestershire, LE11 3TU

10

11 **Running title:** High-fat overfeeding in humans

12

13 **Key terms:** Glucose, insulin, ghrelin, incretins, type II diabetes

14

15

16

17

18

19 **Address for correspondence:**

20 Carl J. Hulston, PhD

21 School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences

22 Loughborough University

23 Loughborough

24 Leicestershire, LE11 3TU

25 Phone: +44 1509 22 63 52

26 Email: [c.j.hulston@lboro.ac.uk](mailto:c.j.hulston@lboro.ac.uk)

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 **Abstract**

36 Obesity is undoubtedly caused by a chronic positive energy balance. However, the early metabolic  
37 and hormonal responses to overeating are poorly described. This study determined glycaemic  
38 control and selected gut hormone responses to nutrient intake before and after seven days of high-  
39 fat overfeeding. Nine healthy individuals (5 males, 4 females) performed a mixed meal tolerance  
40 test (MTT) before and after consuming a high-fat (65%) high-energy (+50%) diet for seven days.  
41 Measurements of plasma glucose, NEFA, acylated ghrelin, GLP-1, GIP and serum insulin were  
42 taken before (fasting) and at 30 minutes intervals throughout the 180 min MTT (postprandial).  
43 Body mass increased by  $0.79 \pm 0.14$  kg after high-fat overfeeding ( $p < 0.0001$ ), and BMI increased  
44 by  $0.27 \pm 0.05$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> ( $p = 0.002$ ). High-fat overfeeding also resulted in an 11.6% increase in  
45 postprandial glucose AUC ( $p = 0.007$ ) and a 25.9% increase in postprandial insulin AUC ( $p =$   
46  $0.005$ ). Acylated ghrelin, GLP-1 and GIP responses to the MTT were all unaffected by the high-fat,  
47 high-energy diet. These findings demonstrate that even brief periods of overeating are sufficient to  
48 disrupt glycaemic control. However, as the postprandial orexigenic (ghrelin) and  
49 anorexigenic/insulintropic (GLP-1 and GIP) hormone responses were unaffected by the diet  
50 intervention, it appears that these hormones are resistant to short-term changes in energy balance,  
51 and that they do not play a role in the rapid reduction in glycaemic control.

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68 **Introduction**

69 Changes in human behaviour, such as excessive food intake and/or insufficient physical activity,  
70 have made obesity a worldwide epidemic <sup>(1)</sup>. Furthermore, obesity is a significant risk factor for the  
71 development of insulin resistance and type II diabetes mellitus (T2DM). However, despite the  
72 well-known association between obesity and T2DM, obesity may not trigger early metabolic  
73 dysfunction as changes in glycaemic control are often reported before substantial gains in body  
74 mass are observed. For example, recent human studies report that even brief periods (5-14 days) of  
75 high-fat food intake can impair skeletal muscle insulin signalling <sup>(2)</sup>, and reduce both hepatic <sup>(3)</sup> and  
76 whole-body insulin sensitivity <sup>(4,5)</sup>. In each of these studies the experimental diets provided an  
77 excess of energy as well as a high proportion of fat, and it is not yet clear if the observed  
78 impairments in glycaemic control are a result of the additional energy, the high fat content of the  
79 diets provided, or a combination of the two. Likewise, the effect of overfeeding with mixed  
80 composition diets remains unknown. However, an overconsumption of carbohydrate-rich foods (5  
81 days; +40% energy intake; 60% of energy from carbohydrate) has been reported to enhance skeletal  
82 muscle insulin signalling, evidenced by increased tyrosine phosphorylation of insulin receptor-1  
83 substrate (IRS-1) as well as increased IRS-1-associated phosphatidylinositol 3 (PI 3)-kinase  
84 activity, whereas high-fat overfeeding (5 days; +40% energy intake; 50% of energy from fat) in the  
85 same subjects was found to increase serine phosphorylation of IRS-1 and total expression of p85 $\alpha$   
86 <sup>(2)</sup>. Hence it would seem that a lipid overload explains the reduction in insulin sensitivity, rather  
87 than a positive energy balance alone. This also fits with the hypothesis that it is an accumulation of  
88 reactive intra-myocellular lipid species, such as ceramide and diacylglycerol, that inhibits skeletal  
89 muscle insulin signalling and impairs GLUT4 translocation <sup>(6,7-8)</sup>.

90  
91 Of the previous literature, there has been considerable interest in identifying the molecular  
92 mechanisms for peripheral (skeletal muscle) insulin resistance. However, whole-body glycaemic  
93 control is coordinated by a variety of integrated physiological processes, involving multiple  
94 hormones and their target tissues, and the effects of high-fat food intake on these hormonal  
95 responses have received relatively little attention to date. Of particular interest are the two primary  
96 incretin hormones: glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP).  
97 These two hormones are secreted from the intestines in response to nutrient ingestion and it is  
98 suggested that they act to control blood glucose levels by enhancing insulin secretion, suppressing  
99 glucagon release and slowing gastric emptying <sup>(9)</sup>. Patients with T2DM are known to have a  
100 diminished meal-induced secretion of GLP-1 <sup>(10,11)</sup>. Not only this, but they can also become  
101 resistant to the insulinotropic actions of GIP <sup>(12,13-14)</sup>. This loss of an incretin effect may be an  
102 important contributor to postprandial hyperglycaemia in T2DM <sup>(15)</sup>. Evidence for this also comes

103 from the effective use of GLP-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)-IV inhibitors in  
104 the treatment of hyperglycaemia <sup>(16,17)</sup>.

105

106 Another gut hormone of interest is ghrelin, which is primarily secreted by the P/D1 cells lining the  
107 fundus of the stomach, and is thought to stimulate hunger via the orexigenic neuropeptide Y (NPY)  
108 and agouti-related peptide (AgRP) neurones of the hypothalamus <sup>(18)</sup>. Ghrelin levels are elevated  
109 during fasting and reduced following feeding <sup>(19)</sup>, and ghrelin infusion has been shown to stimulate  
110 food intake in both animals <sup>(20)</sup> and humans <sup>(21)</sup> alike. In healthy, normal weight individuals, ghrelin  
111 levels decrease in proportion to the energy content of the meal <sup>(22)</sup>, whereas obese individuals  
112 exhibit both lower fasting levels <sup>(23,24-25)</sup> and reduced suppression following food intake <sup>(25,26)</sup>.

113

114 While the derangements in ghrelin and GLP-1 secretion have been reported in situations of chronic  
115 positive energy balance (i.e., obesity) and metabolic disease (i.e., insulin resistance), it is not yet  
116 clear whether the reported changes contribute to the development of obesity and insulin resistance,  
117 or are consequent of the disease state itself. Therefore, the primary purpose of this study was to  
118 determine whether short-term, high-fat overfeeding, an experimental model which impairs whole-  
119 body insulin sensitivity, influences gut hormone responses to fasting and feeding. High-fat foods  
120 were chosen for the overfeeding intervention due to the frequent use of this model in both animal  
121 and human studies of metabolic disease.

122

## 123 **Materials and Methods**

### 124 **Subjects**

125 Nine healthy individuals (5 males and 4 females; their physical characteristics can be seen in Table  
126 1) volunteered to participate in this study. The sample size was based on pilot data from our  
127 laboratory in which the effect size (Cohen's *d*) of high-fat overfeeding on glycaemic control was  
128 calculated as 0.9 (i.e., a large effect). Assuming a similar effect size in this study,  $\alpha$  error  
129 probability of 0.05 and statistical power of 0.8, a sample size of at least 5 participants was required.  
130 The inclusion criteria required subjects to be physically active (exercising at least 3 times per week  
131 for more than 30 minutes at a time), non-smokers, free from cardiovascular and metabolic disease,  
132 not taking any medication, weight stable for at least 6 months, and with a normal body mass index  
133 (BMI: 18.5-24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the  
134 Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Loughborough University Ethical Subcommittee for  
135 human participants. The experimental procedures and possible risks were fully explained to the  
136 subjects before their written informed consent was given.

137

138 **Pre-testing**

139 Prior to the start of the study, subjects attended the laboratory for an initial assessment of their  
140 baseline anthropometric characteristics (height, weight and BMI). This information was then used  
141 to estimate their resting energy expenditure (REE) according to the calculations described by  
142 Mifflin *et al.*,<sup>(27)</sup>. A standard correction for physical activity level (1.6 and 1.7 times REE for  
143 females and males, respectively) was applied in order to estimate total daily energy requirements.  
144 This information was then used to determine individual energy intakes for the week-long  
145 overfeeding period (diet details described later).

146

147 **Experimental design**

148 After the initial pre-testing visit, subjects attended the laboratory for a mixed meal tolerance test  
149 (MTT) (details of which can be seen in the experimental protocol below). Subjects were then  
150 provided with all food to be consumed for the following 7 days. The experimental diet was  
151 designed to be high in fat (65% total energy) and provide a severe energy excess (+50% kJ). All  
152 foods were purchased and prepared by the research team. Mean energy and macronutrient intake  
153 during the intervention period can be seen in Table 2 and a detailed example of typical daily food  
154 intake can be seen in Table 3. Foods such as processed meats, dairy products, and pastries were  
155 used extensively throughout the diet intervention, and cooking instructions required subjects to fry  
156 foods where possible and to avoid wasting any fat left over from the cooking process. Saturated,  
157 monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fats made up  $46 \pm 0.9\%$ ,  $37 \pm 0.6\%$ , and  $9 \pm 0.4\%$  of the fat  
158 intake, respectively. Upon completion of the 7-day overfeeding period, subjects returned to the  
159 laboratory for a second MTT.

160

161 **Diet records, physical activity and compliance during high-fat overfeeding**

162 During the pre-testing visit, subjects were provided with standardised forms and digital kitchen  
163 scales for the purpose of recording weighed food intake for 3-5 day prior to the first main trial.  
164 Subjects also received detailed written and verbal instructions on how best to complete these  
165 records. However, due to the well-known issues with self-reporting of energy intake<sup>(28)</sup>, especially  
166 underreporting of food intake<sup>(29,30-31)</sup>, even amongst lean and very well-motivated subjects<sup>(32)</sup>, it  
167 was decided that estimated energy requirements would provide a better overall baseline from which  
168 to design and implement the overfeeding intervention.

169

170 Subjects were expected to eat all of the food provided, and the importance of this was made  
171 explicitly clear to them during initial consultation and recruitment, but were told to report and  
172 return any uneaten foods so that our calculations could be adjusted if need be. In order to improve

173 diet compliance, subjects were asked to complete a food preferences checklist to ensure that they  
174 only received foods that they were willing to eat; thereby increasing the palatability of the diet.  
175 Subjects were also given a copy of their diet plans and asked to tick off individual foods/meals as  
176 they were consumed. Adherence to the diet was assessed by daily interviews that were conducted  
177 when subjects collected their food bundles. Only one subject reported any issues with the diet, and  
178 they returned part of an uneaten steak and ale pie from one of the meals. Other than this we are  
179 confident that the diet was followed; as evidenced by a consistent weight gain in all subjects.

180

181 All subjects participated in physical activity on a regular basis and were required to continue this  
182 throughout the overfeeding period. The written information and verbal instructions stated that  
183 subjects should expect to gain a small amount of weight and that they should not attempt to offset  
184 the additional energy intake by exercising longer, harder or more frequently.

185

### 186 **Experimental protocol**

187 On the experimental days (before and after overfeeding), subjects reported to the laboratory  
188 between 07.00 and 09.00 h after an overnight fast of at least 10 h. After voiding and being weighed,  
189 a 20 gauge Teflon catheter (Venflon, Becton, Dickinson, Plymouth, UK) was inserted into an  
190 antecubital vein of one arm to allow for repeated blood sampling during the 3 h MTT. A baseline,  
191 fasting blood sample (12.5 mL) was obtained before consumption of a standardized breakfast test  
192 meal (MTT). The MTT consisted of 45 g Rice Krispies, 72 g white bread (toasted), 20 g butter, 30 g  
193 strawberry jam and 300 mL whole milk. The energy intake and macronutrient composition of the  
194 test meal was 3227 kJ; 30 g fat, 112 g carbohydrate, and 19 g protein. Upon finishing the meal,  
195 further blood samples of 12.5 mL were obtained at 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 min.

196

### 197 **Blood sampling**

198 For analysis of glucose, non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA), triglyceride, total cholesterol, HDL,  
199 LDL, GLP-1 and GIP, whole blood samples were collected in 4.9 mL ethylenediaminetetraacetic  
200 acid (EDTA; 1.75 mg/mL) treated tubes (Sarstedt, Leicester, UK) and spun at 1,750 g in a  
201 refrigerated centrifuge (4°C) for 10 min. The resulting plasma was aliquoted into 1.5 mL  
202 Eppendorfs before being stored at -20°C until analysis. For analysis of insulin, whole blood was  
203 collected in 4.5 mL tubes containing a clotting catalyst (Sarstedt, Leicester, UK). Samples were left  
204 at room temperature until complete clotting had occurred; after which they were centrifuged at  
205 1,750 g for 10 min. The resulting serum was then aliquoted into 1.5 mL Eppendorfs and stored at -  
206 20°C until analysis. Finally, to prevent the degradation of acylated ghrelin, a 25 µL solution  
207 containing potassium phosphate buffer (PBS), p-hydroxymercuribenzoic acid (PHMB) and sodium

208 hydroxide (NaOH) was mixed thoroughly with 2.5 mL of whole blood in 2.5 mL EDTA treated  
209 tubes. Samples were then centrifuged at 1,750 g for 10 min after which 500  $\mu$ L of the resulting  
210 supernatant was removed and added to 50  $\mu$ L of 1 M hydrochloric acid. Acidified samples were  
211 centrifuged for a further 5 min at 1,750 g before being stored at -20°C until analysis.

212

### 213 **Analytical procedures**

214 Plasma samples were analysed using commercially available spectrophotometric assays for glucose,  
215 triglyceride, HDL, LDL, total cholesterol (Horiba Medical, Northampton, UK) and NEFA (Randox,  
216 County Antrim, UK) concentrations using a semi-automatic analyzer (Pentra 400; Horiba Medical,  
217 Northampton, UK). The coefficient of variation (CV) for plasma glucose, triglyceride, HDL, LDL,  
218 total cholesterol and NEFA was 0.5, 3.0, 1.6, 0.5, 0.3 and 4.1%, respectively. Serum insulin  
219 concentrations were determined using an enzyme-linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA: EIA-2935,  
220 DRG instruments GmbH, Germany) and the CV was 2%. Acylated ghrelin concentrations were  
221 determined using an ELISA (EIA-A05106, SPI BIO, France) and the CV was 16%. Total plasma  
222 GLP-1 and GIP concentrations were also determined via ELISA (EZGLP1T-36K and EZHGIP-  
223 54K, respectively; Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). The CV was 7% for GLP-1 and 5% for  
224 GIP.

225

### 226 **Area under the curve (AUC)**

227 AUC for glucose and insulin was calculated using the trapezoidal rule with zero as the baseline.

228

### 229 **Statistics**

230 Data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis was performed  
231 using SPSS (V21.0) for windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Fasting metabolic responses to high-fat  
232 overfeeding were compared using a paired t-test, whereas the dynamic hormonal and metabolic  
233 responses to the MTT were compared using a two-way (pre vs. post-overfeeding) repeated  
234 measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Bonferroni *post hoc* analysis where appropriate.  
235 Statistical significance was accepted where  $p < 0.05$ .

236

## 237 **Results**

### 238 **Weight gain and BMI**

239 All nine subjects gained body mass following 7 days of high-fat overfeeding (mean,  $0.79 \pm 0.14$  kg;  
240 range, 0.30-1.3 kg;  $p < 0.0001$ , Table 1), and their BMI increased by  $0.27 \pm 0.05$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> ( $p = 0.002$ )  
241 (Table 1).

242

### 243 **Fasting plasma substrates**

244 Fasting substrate, hormone and lipoprotein concentrations before and after high-fat overfeeding are  
245 presented in table 4. Fasting plasma glucose, HDL cholesterol and GIP increased following  
246 overfeeding ( $p = 0.025$ ,  $p = 0.012$  and  $p = 0.017$ , respectively), while fasting plasma triglyceride  
247 and NEFA decreased ( $p = 0.039$  and  $p = 0.023$ , respectively). Fasting serum insulin, plasma  
248 acylated ghrelin, total and LDL cholesterol, and GLP-1 were all unaffected by high-fat overfeeding.

249

### 250 **Mixed meal tolerance test**

251 Substrate and hormone responses to the 3 hour MTT are presented in figure 1. Plasma glucose and  
252 serum insulin concentrations increased in response to the MTT, peaking 30 min after meal  
253 ingestion. Seven days of high-fat overfeeding increased plasma glucose AUC by 11.6% (from  $1020$   
254  $\pm 74$  mmol/L per 180 min to  $1138 \pm 56$  mmol/L per 180 min;  $p = 0.007$ ; figure 1a) and serum  
255 insulin AUC by 25.9% (from  $53267 \pm 6375$  pmol/L per 180 min to  $67046 \pm 6849$  pmol/L 180 min;  
256  $p = 0.005$ ; figure 1b) relative to baseline. Plasma NEFA concentrations decreased following food  
257 consumption. However, there was a more pronounced meal-induced suppression of plasma NEFA  
258 before high-fat overfeeding than afterwards ( $p < 0.0001$ ; figure 1c). Plasma acylated ghrelin  
259 concentrations decreased rapidly following food consumption ( $p < 0.0001$ ; figure 1d), reaching a  
260 nadir at the 60 min sample point and remaining suppressed throughout the entire postprandial  
261 measurement period. This response was not influenced by high-fat overfeeding. Plasma GLP-1  
262 concentrations peaked 30 min after food ingestion ( $p = 0.007$ ), returning to fasting levels thereafter,  
263 with no difference before and after high-fat overfeeding (figure 1e). Plasma GIP concentrations  
264 increased approximately 3-fold immediately following food consumption and remained elevated  
265 throughout the 3 h MTT ( $p < 0.0001$ ), but again this response was not influenced by adherence to  
266 the high-fat, high-energy diet (figure 1f).

267

## 268 **Discussion**

269 The main finding of the present study was that postprandial responses of selected gut hormones  
270 (acylated ghrelin, GLP-1 and GIP) were unaffected by short-term, high-fat overfeeding, and that



271 only fasting levels of GIP were altered (increased) as a result of the dietary intervention. A  
272 secondary finding was that excessive consumption of high-fat foods impaired glycaemic control, as  
273 evidenced by a significant increase in postprandial glucose and insulin AUC.

274

275 The incretin hormones, GLP-1 and GIP, are thought to be responsible for the augmentation of  
276 insulin secretion that occurs after food intake compared with intravenous nutrient administration.  
277 We chose to investigate the impact of short-term, high-fat overfeeding on meal-induced GLP-1 and  
278 GIP responses as patients with T2DM exhibit a reduced GLP-1 secretion following nutrient  
279 ingestion<sup>(10,11)</sup> and may become resistant to the insulinotropic actions of GIP<sup>(12,13-14)</sup>, suggesting  
280 that a diminished incretin effect might be partly responsible for the development of postprandial  
281 hyperglycaemia. In the present study, however, we report elevated postprandial glucose and insulin  
282 concentrations following 7 days of high-fat overfeeding without any changes in GLP-1 or GIP. In  
283 this regard, elevated insulin concentrations are most probably a simple compensatory mechanism  
284 for reduced insulin sensitivity (hepatic and/or peripheral tissues) and elevated glucose  
285 concentrations. Thus, an altered incretin effect does not appear to play a role in the early adaptive  
286 response to overnutrition or the observed impairment in glycaemic control. Whilst we did observe  
287 a small, but significant, increase in fasting GIP concentrations, the physiological relevance of this  
288 remains unclear as fasting insulin concentrations were seemingly unaffected.

289

290 As mentioned previously, ghrelin concentrations are known to increase during fasting and decrease  
291 following food intake<sup>(19)</sup>. This, combined with the observation that ghrelin administration  
292 stimulates appetite and food intake<sup>(20,21,33)</sup>, has led to the suggestion that ghrelin is an appetite-  
293 regulating hormone that is responsible (at least partially) for eating behaviour. Thus, reduced  
294 ghrelin levels reported in obese<sup>(23,24-25)</sup> and insulin resistant<sup>(34,35)</sup> individuals might represent a  
295 feedback loop by which the body attempts to reduce food intake within individuals that have been  
296 exposed to a chronic positive energy balance. Ghrelin is also known to inhibit insulin secretion<sup>(36)</sup>,  
297 and may, therefore, play a role in glucose homeostasis. Indeed, ghrelin knock-out mice exhibit  
298 elevated basal insulin concentrations, enhanced glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, and improved  
299 peripheral insulin sensitivity when compared to wild-type mice<sup>(37)</sup>. With this in mind, reduced  
300 ghrelin levels might also be an attempt to lower glucose concentrations within hyperglycaemic  
301 obese and insulin resistant populations. Given the discussion points above, we might have expected  
302 to see a high-fat diet-induced decrease in fasting and/or postprandial acylated ghrelin  
303 concentrations, especially as we observed significant gains in body mass (presumably body fat) and  
304 increases in both fasting and postprandial glucose concentrations, but this was clearly not the case  
305 (Figure 1D). However, our results are in accordance with other overfeeding studies ranging in

306 duration from 3-100 days<sup>(3,38-40)</sup>. Thus it would seem that changes in circulating ghrelin  
307 concentrations occur secondary to the development of obesity and/or insulin resistance rather than  
308 in responses to relatively short-term positive energy balance or modest increases in blood glucose  
309 concentrations.

310

311 Whilst the selected gut hormones demonstrated little response to the dietary intervention, high-fat  
312 overfeeding resulted in a significant increase in fasting glucose and postprandial glucose and insulin  
313 concentrations (Figures 1A and 1B), which is consistent with a number of previous human studies  
314<sup>(4,5,41-43)</sup>. Others have reported impairments in skeletal muscle insulin signalling without (possibly  
315 before) a corresponding decrease in whole-body insulin sensitivity<sup>(2)</sup>, or reduced hepatic insulin  
316 sensitivity without changes in peripheral glucose uptake<sup>(3)</sup>. The lack of mechanistic agreement  
317 between some of these studies is most likely explained by differences in the duration of  
318 overfeeding, the varying energy content and/or macronutrient composition of the diets  
319 administered, or the particular method used for assessing insulin action and glycaemic control (oral  
320 glucose tolerance test [OGTT] *vs.* hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp *vs.* mixed meal tolerance  
321 test [MTT]). Where impairments in postprandial glycaemic control have been observed, it would  
322 be useful to know the processes responsible for such an effect. Blood glucose concentrations are  
323 governed by the balance between the rate of appearance of glucose from the gut, endogenous  
324 glucose production (primarily from the liver), and peripheral glucose uptake (mainly skeletal  
325 muscle). Therefore, the high-fat diet-induced increase in postprandial glucose concentration could  
326 be due to a defect in one, or a number, of these processes, which obviously warrants further  
327 investigation.

328

329 In addition to changes in glucose and insulin concentrations, we also observed a significant  
330 decrease in fasting plasma triglyceride and NEFA concentrations after 7 days of high-fat  
331 overfeeding. This is consistent with previous work by us<sup>(5)</sup> and others<sup>(2,44,45)</sup> and most likely  
332 reflects a decrease in endogenous triglyceride production as a result of increased fat consumption  
333<sup>(46)</sup> and suppression of adipose tissue lipolysis as a result of consuming larger and/or more frequent  
334 meals. It has been suggested that elevated NEFA concentrations might be responsible for the  
335 development of insulin resistance and T2DM<sup>(47)</sup>. This notion has been fuelled by classical reports  
336 of elevated NEFA concentrations in obesity<sup>(48)</sup> as well as acute studies in which NEFA have been  
337 elevated by means of intravenous lipid-heparin infusion<sup>(49)</sup>. The later approach elevates NEFA by  
338 activating lipoprotein lipase (LPL) located in the vascular endothelium and supplying a lipid-based  
339 substrate for hydrolysis. More recently, however, the NEFA hypothesis of insulin resistance has  
340 been questioned as NEFA release per kilogram of adipose tissue is reduced as adipose tissue mass

341 increases, and lipid-heparin infusion trials often elicit NEFA concentration in excess of the disease  
342 state that they aim to mimic<sup>(50)</sup>. Whilst our data tend to support this change in consensus, in that  
343 we observed impaired glycaemic control at a time when fasting NEFA levels were reduced, we  
344 should also point out that frequent consumption of high-fat foods throughout the week-long diet  
345 intervention could have led to a considerable “spill-over” effect, whereby the hydrolysis of diet-  
346 derived circulating triglycerides could have driven regular postprandial increases in plasma NEFA.  
347

348 It is also interesting to note that the high-fat-diet did not affect total or LDL cholesterol  
349 concentrations as one might have expected, whereas HDL cholesterol actually increased following  
350 the dietary intervention. In general, saturated fats (that were highly prevalent in the present study)  
351 raise total and LDL cholesterol whereas polyunsaturated fats lower total and LDL cholesterol, and  
352 both types of fat increase HDL cholesterol<sup>(51,52)</sup>. It is likely that our study did not affect total or  
353 LDL cholesterol levels due to the short duration of the diet intervention. Large scale population  
354 studies have demonstrated a strong association between low levels of HDL and cardiovascular  
355 disease risk<sup>(53,54-56)</sup>; a risk that is progressively reduced with increasing levels of HDL<sup>(57)</sup>. This has  
356 been attributed to the potent anti-atherosclerotic properties of HDL<sup>(58)</sup>. However, it is important to  
357 note that the high-fat diet-induced increase in HDL may not represent an improvement in the  
358 plasma lipoprotein profile, as these diets have also been shown to reduce HDL particle uptake by  
359 the liver through a downregulation in the B1 scavenger receptors, which may explain the apparent  
360 rise in plasma concentrations<sup>(59)</sup>.

361  
362 As a last point for consideration, our subjects were all healthy, young, lean and physically active,  
363 and yet they still exhibited a rapid reduction in glycaemic control as a result of excessive  
364 consumption of high-fat foods. Whilst there is a paucity of information regarding the metabolic  
365 responses to overnutrition in humans, especially within at risk populations, one might expect even  
366 greater deleterious responses in those who are already overweight, sedentary or elderly.

367  
368 In conclusion, in this study we have provided further evidence that short-term, high-fat overfeeding  
369 leads to impairments in glycaemic control, as indicated by a significant increase in meal-induced  
370 glucose and insulin responses. Furthermore, the postprandial responses of GLP-1, GIP and acylated  
371 ghrelin were not affected by the dietary intervention, suggesting that these selected gut hormones  
372 are not responsive to brief periods of positive energy balance and/or severe lipid overload.  
373 Therefore, the incretin hormones, and the gut peptide ghrelin, are not major regulators of the early  
374 adaptive responses to overnutrition.

375

376 **Financial Support**

377 No specific funding was secured for this work. The cost of consumables and analysis was covered  
378 by the lead investigators own institutional research budget.

379

380 **Conflicts of Interest**

381 There are no conflicts of interest.

382

383 **Authorship**

384 SAP collected the data and wrote the manuscript. JRS collected the data and assisted with the  
385 preparation of the manuscript. TRBC collected the data and assisted with the preparation of the  
386 manuscript. RMW performed dietary analysis and assisted with the preparation of the manuscript.  
387 CJH designed the study, collected the data and co-wrote the manuscript.

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411 **References**

- 412 1) Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Shaw J (2001) Global and social implications of the diabetes  
413 epidemic. *Nature* **414**, 782-787.
- 414 2) Adochio RL, Leitner JW, Gray K, *et al.* (2009) Early responses of insulin signalling to high-  
415 carbohydrate and high-fat overfeeding. *Nutr Metab* **6**, doi: 10.1186/1743-7075-6-37
- 416 3) Brons C, Jensen CB, Storgaard H *et al.* (2009) Impact of short-term high-fat feeding on  
417 glucose and insulin metabolism in young healthy men. *J Physiol* **587**, 2287-2297.
- 418 4) Cornford AS, Hinko A, Nelson RK *et al.* (2013) Rapid development of systemic insulin  
419 resistance with overeating is not accompanied by robust changes in skeletal muscle glucose  
420 and lipid metabolism. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* **38**, 512-519
- 421 5) Hulston CJ, Churnside AA, Venables MC (2015) Probiotic supplementation prevents high-  
422 fat, overfeeding-induced, insulin resistance in humans. *Br J Nutr* **113**, 596-602.
- 423 6) Yu C, Chen Y, Zong H *et al.* (2002) Mechanism by which fatty acids inhibit insulin  
424 activation of insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1)-associated phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase  
425 activity in muscle. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 50230-50236.
- 426 7) Kleemann R, van Erk M, Verschuren L *et al.* (2010) Time-resolved and tissue-specific  
427 systems analysis of the pathogenesis of insulin resistance. *PloS One* **5**, e8817.
- 428 8) Samuel VT & Shulman GI (2012) Mechanisms for insulin resistance: common threads and  
429 missing links. *Cell* **148**, 852-871.
- 430 9) DeMarco VG & Sowers JR (2015) Ghrelin: A new incretin enhancer therapy? *Diabetes* **64**,  
431 1500-1502.
- 432 10) Toft-Nielsen M, Damholt MB, Madsbad S *et al.* (2001) Determinants of the impaired  
433 secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 in type 2 diabetic patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **86**,  
434 3717-3726.
- 435 11) Vilsbøll T, Karup T, Deacon CF *et al.* (2001) Reduced postprandial concentrations of intact  
436 biologically active glucagon-like peptide 1 in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes* **50**, 609-613.
- 437 12) Nauck M, Stöckmann F, Ebert R *et al.* (1986) Reduced incretin effect in type 2 (non-insulin  
438 dependent) diabetes. *Diabetologia* **29**, 46-52.
- 439 13) Nauck MA, Heimesaat MM, Orskov C *et al.* (1993) Preserved incretin activity of glucagon-  
440 like peptide 1 [7-36 amide] but not of synthetic human gastric inhibitory polypeptide in  
441 patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Invest* **91**, 301-307
- 442 14) Vilsboll T, Krarup T, Madsbad S *et al.* (2002) Defective amplification of the late phase  
443 insulin response to glucose by GIP in obese Type II diabetic patients. *Diabetologica* **45**,  
444 1111-1119

- 445 15) Holst JJ, Knop FK, Vilsboll *et al.* (2011) Loss of incretin effect is a specific, important, and  
446 early characteristic of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **34**, S251-S257
- 447 16) Drucker DJ (2003) Enhancing incretin action for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*  
448 *Care* **26**, 2929-2940
- 449 17) Kountz D (2013) The Dipeptidyl Peptidase (DPP)-4 Inhibitors for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus  
450 in Challenging Patient Groups. *Adv Ther* **30**, 1067-1085
- 451 18) Murphy KG & Bloom S (2006) Gut hormones and the regulation of energy homeostasis.  
452 *Nature* **444**, 854-859.
- 453 19) Cummings DE, Purnell JQ, Frayo RS *et al.* (2001) A preprandial rise in plasma ghrelin  
454 levels suggests a role in meal initiation in humans. *Diabetes* **50**, 1714-1719.
- 455 20) Wren AM, Small CJ, Abbott CR *et al.* (2001) Ghrelin causes hyperphagia and obesity in  
456 rats. *Diabetes* **50**, 2540-2547.
- 457 21) Wren AM, Seal LJ, Cohen MA *et al.* (2001) Ghrelin enhances appetite and increases food  
458 intake in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **86**, 5992-5995.
- 459 22) Callahan HS, Cummings DE, Pepe MS *et al.* (2004) Postprandial suppression of plasma  
460 ghrelin level is proportional to ingested caloric load but does not predict intermeal intervals  
461 in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **89**, 1319-1324.
- 462 23) Tschop M, Weyer C, Tataranni PA *et al.* (2001) Circulating ghrelin levels are decreased in  
463 human obesity. *Diabetes* **50**, 707-709.
- 464 24) Cummings, DE, Weigle, DS, Frayo, RS *et al.* (2002). Plasma ghrelin levels after diet-  
465 induced weight loss or gastric bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med* **346**, 1623-1630.
- 466 25) le Roux CW, Patterson M, Vincent RP *et al.* (2005) Postprandial plasma ghrelin is  
467 suppressed proportional to meal calorie content in normal-weight but not obese subjects. *J*  
468 *Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90**, 1068-1071.
- 469 26) English PJ, Ghatei MA, Malik *et al.* (2002) Food fails to suppress ghrelin levels in obese  
470 humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **87**, 2948.
- 471 27) Mifflin MD, St Jeor ST, Hill LA *et al.* (1990) A new predictive equation for resting energy  
472 expenditure in healthy individuals. *Am J Clin Nutr* **51**, 241-247.
- 473 28) Dhurandhar NV, Schoeller D, Brown AW *et al.* (2015) Energy Balance Measurement:  
474 When Something is Not Better than Nothing. *Int J Obes* **39**, 1109-1113
- 475 29) Macdiarmid J & Blundell J (1998) Assessing dietary intake: Who, what and why of under-  
476 reportin. *Nutr Res Rev* **11**, 231-253
- 477 30) Goris AHC, Westerterp-Plantenga MS, Westerterp KR (2000) Undereating and  
478 underrecording of habitual food intake in obese men: selective underreporting of fat intake.  
479 *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**, 130-134

- 480 31) Salle A, Ryan M, Ritz P (2006) Underreporting of food intake in obese diabetic and  
481 nondiabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* **29**, 2726-2727
- 482 32) Goris AH & Westerterp KR (1999) Underreporting of habitual food intake is explained by  
483 undereating in highly motivated lean women. *J Nutr* **129**, 878-882
- 484 33) Lawrence CB, Snape AC, Baudoin FM *et al.* (2002) Acute central ghrelin and GH  
485 secretagogues induce feeding and activate brain appetite centers. *Endocrinology*. **143**, 155-  
486 162.
- 487 34) McLaughlin T, Abbasi F, Lamendola C *et al.* (2004) Plasma ghrelin concentrations are  
488 decreased in insulin-resistant obese adults relative to equally obese insulin-sensitive  
489 controls. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **89**, 1630-1635.
- 490 35) Stepien M, Rosniak-Bak K, Paradowski M *et al.* (2011) Waist circumference, ghrelin, and  
491 selected adipose tissue-derived adipokines as predictors of insulin resistance in obese  
492 patients: Preliminary results. *Med Sci Monit* **17**, PR13-PR18.
- 493 36) Banks KA & Murphy KG (2013) Role of ghrelin in glucose homeostasis and diabetes.  
494 *Diabetes Management* **3**, 171-182.
- 495 37) Sun Y, Asnicar M, Saha PK (2006) Ablation of ghrelin improves the diabetic but not obese  
496 phenotype of ob/ob mice. *Cell Metab* **3**, 379-386.
- 497 38) Ravussin E, Tschop M, Morales S *et al.* (2001) Plasma ghrelin concentration and energy  
498 balance: Overfeeding and negative energy balance studies in twins. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*  
499 **86**, 4547-4551.
- 500 39) Hagobian TA, Sharoff GG, Braun B (2008) Effects of short-term exercise and energy  
501 surplus on hormones related to regulation of energy balance. *Metabolism* **57**, 393-398.
- 502 40) Vortruba SB, Kirchner H, Tschop M *et al.* (2009) Morning ghrelin concentrations are not  
503 affected by short-term overfeeding and do not predict ad libitum food intake in humans. *Am*  
504 *J Clin Nutr* **89**, 801-806.
- 505 41) Sparti A & Decombaz J (1992). Effect of diet on glucose tolerance 36 hours after glycogen-  
506 depleting exercise. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **46**, 377-385.
- 507 42) Pehleman TL, Peters SJ, Heigenhauser GJF *et al.* (2005) Enzymatic regulation of glucose  
508 disposal in human skeletal muscle after a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet. *J Appl Physiol* **98**,  
509 100-107.
- 510 43) Numao S, Kawano H, Endo N *et al.* (2012) Short-term low carbohydrate/high-fat diet intake  
511 increases postprandial plasma glucose and glucagon-like peptide-1 levels during an oral  
512 glucose tolerance test. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **66**, 926-931.

- 513 44) Lagerpusch M, Bosity-Westphal A, Kehden B *et al.* (2012) Effects of brief perturbations in  
514 energy balance on indices of glucose homeostasis in healthy lean men. *Int J Obesity* **36**,  
515 1094-1101
- 516 45) Wulan SN, Westerterp KR, Plasqui G (2014) Metabolic profile before and after short-term  
517 overfeeding with a high-fat diet: a comparison between South Asian and white men. *Br J*  
518 *Nutr* **111**, 1853-1861.
- 519 46) Hellerstein MK. (2002) Carbohydrate-induced hypertriglyceridemia: modifying factors and  
520 implications for cardiovascular risk. *Curr Opin Lipidol* **13**, 33-40.
- 521 47) Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ (2005) The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* **365**, 1415-  
522 1428
- 523 48) Opie LH & Walfish PG (1963) Plasma free fatty acid concentrations in obesity. *N Engl J*  
524 *Med* **268**, 757-760
- 525 49) Boden G, Chen X, Ruiz J *et al.* (1994) Mechanisms of fatty acid-induced inhibition of  
526 glucose uptake. *J Clin Invest* **93**, 2438-2446
- 527 50) Karpe F, Dickmann JR, Frayn KN (2011) Fatty acids, obesity, and insulin resistance: time  
528 for a reevaluation. *Diabetes* **60**, 2441-2449
- 529 51) Kris-Etherton P & Shaomei Y (1997) Individual fatty acid effects on plasma lipids and  
530 lipoproteins: human studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* **65**, 1628S–1644S.
- 531 52) Samaha FF (2005) Effects of very high-fat diets on body weight, lipoproteins, and glycemic  
532 status in the obese. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* **7**, 412-420.
- 533 53) Gordon DJ, Castelli WP, Hjortland MC *et al.* (1977) High density lipoprotein as a protective  
534 factor against coronary heart disease. The Framingham Heart Study. *Am J Med* **62**, 707-714.
- 535 54) Jenkins PJ, Harper RW, Nestel PJ (1978) Severity of coronary atherosclerosis related to  
536 lipoprotein concentration. *Br J Med* **2**, 388-391.
- 537 55) Wilson PW, Abbot RD, Castelli WP (1988) High density lipoprotein cholesterol and  
538 mortality. The Framingham Heart Study. *Arteriosclerosis* **8**, 737-741.
- 539 56) Di Angelantonio E, Perry P, Kaptoge S *et al.* (2009) Major lipids, apolipoproteins and risk  
540 of vascular disease. *JAMA* **302**, 1993-2000.
- 541 57) Gordon DJ, Probstfield JL, Garrison RJ *et al.* (1989) High-density lipoprotein cholesterol  
542 and cardiovascular disease. Four prospective American studies. *Circulation* **79**, 8-15.
- 543 58) Mahdy Ali K, Wonnerth A, Huber K *et al.* (2012) Cardiovascular disease risk reduction by  
544 raising HDL cholesterol – current therapies and future opportunities. *Br J Pharmacol* **167**,  
545 1177-1194.



546 59) Hatahet W, Cole L, Kudchodkar BJ *et al.* (2003) Dietary fats differentially modulate the  
547 expression of lecithin:cholesterol acyltransferase, apoprotein-a1 and scavenger receptor B1  
548 in rats. *J Nutr* **133**, 689–694.

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581 **Table legends**

582 Table 1. Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 9. \* Denotes significantly different to baseline,  $p < 0.05$

583

584 Table 2. Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 9. \* Denotes significantly different to estimated energy  
585 requirement,  $p < 0.05$ . † Denotes significantly different to reported intake,  $p < 0.05$

586

587 Table 3. Reported values are from a single subjects' food intake on 1 day of the HFD intervention.  
588 Water intake was allowed ad libitum.

589

590 Table 4. Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 9. \* Denotes significantly different to before HFD,  $p < 0.05$

591

592

593 **Figure legends**

594 Figure 1. Plasma glucose (A), serum insulin (B), plasma NEFA (C), acylated ghrelin (D), total  
595 GLP-1 (E), and total GIP (F) concentrations during a 3 hour meal tolerance test conducted before  
596 and after 7-days of high-fat overfeeding. Values presented are mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 9). # Denotes  
597 significant main effect of trial/HFD diet ( $p < 0.05$ ). \* Denotes significant difference between trials  
598 at the annotated time point ( $p < 0.05$ ).

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616 Table 1. Subject characteristics before and after 7 days of high-fat overfeeding

Characteristics	Baseline	7-days overfeeding
Age (years)	23 ± 1	-
Height (cm)	171.6 ± 2.0	-
Body mass (kg)	65.6 ± 2.1	66.3 ± 2.0 *
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.3 ± 0.6	22.5 ± 0.6 *

617

618

619 Table 2. Estimated daily energy requirement and actual energy and macronutrient intake during the  
620 high-fat overfeeding period

	Estimated energy requirement	Self-reported habitual intake	Experimental energy intake
Energy (kJ)	10717 ± 481	8593 ± 749	16075 ± 722 *†
Fat (g)	-	74 ± 10	277 ± 12 †
Carbohydrate (g)	-	263 ± 23	211 ± 9 †
Protein (g)	-	100 ± 12	125 ± 6 †

621

622

623 Table 3. Example food intake for 1 day of high-fat overfeeding

<b>Breakfast</b>	
<b>Foods</b>	3 large pork sausages (175 g), 4 rashers of streaky bacon (80 g), 2 large fried eggs (120 g), 1 medium slice of fried white bread (36 g), whole milk (300 mL)
<b>Protein (g)</b>	61
<b>Carbohydrate (g)</b>	47
<b>Fat (g)</b>	93
<b>Energy (kJ)</b>	5277

<b>% of the days intake</b>	31
-----------------------------	----

***Lunch***

<b>Foods</b>	2 slices of medium white bread (72 g), butter (15 g), cheddar cheese (70 g), mayonnaise (15 g)
--------------	--

<b>Protein (g)</b>	27
--------------------	----

<b>Carbohydrate (g)</b>	36
-------------------------	----

<b>Fat (g)</b>	47
----------------	----

<b>Energy (kJ)</b>	2810
--------------------	------

<b>% of the days intake</b>	16
-----------------------------	----

***Snack***

<b>Foods</b>	Potato crisps (50 g), milk chocolate bar (49 g)
--------------	---

<b>Protein (g)</b>	7
--------------------	---

<b>Carbohydrate (g)</b>	55
-------------------------	----

<b>Fat (g)</b>	32
----------------	----

<b>Energy (kJ)</b>	2238
--------------------	------

<b>% of the days intake</b>	13
-----------------------------	----

***Dinner***

<b>Foods</b>	2 beef burgers (200 g), 4 rashers of streaky bacon (80 g), cheddar cheese (60 g), coleslaw (100 g)
--------------	--

<b>Protein (g)</b>	63
--------------------	----

<b>Carbohydrate (g)</b>	5
-------------------------	---

<b>Fat (g)</b>	115
----------------	-----

<b>Energy (kJ)</b>	5411
--------------------	------

<b>% of the days intake</b>	31
-----------------------------	----

<i>Dessert</i>	
<b>Foods</b>	Chocolate sundae (140 g)
<b>Protein (g)</b>	4
<b>Carbohydrate (g)</b>	37
<b>Fat (g)</b>	21
<b>Energy (kJ)</b>	1474
<b>% of the days intake</b>	9
<i>Total intake</i>	
<b>Protein (g)</b>	162
<b>Carbohydrate (g)</b>	180
<b>Fat (g)</b>	308
<b>Energy (kJ)</b>	17210

624

625

626 Table 4. Fasting plasma substrate and hormone concentrations before and after 7-days of high-fat  
627 overfeeding

	<b>Before HFD</b>	<b>After HFD</b>
<b>Glucose (mmol/L)</b>	5.5 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.1 *
<b>Insulin (pmol/L)</b>	67 ± 8	79 ± 9
<b>NEFA (mmol/L)</b>	0.60 ± 0.05	0.40 ± 0.06 *
<b>Triglyceride (mmol/L)</b>	1.0 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1 *
<b>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</b>	4.0 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.2
<b>HDL (mmol/L)</b>	1.3 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.1 *
<b>LDL (mmol/L)</b>	1.8 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.1
<b>Acylated ghrelin (pmol/L)</b>	318 ± 57	268 ± 39
<b>GLP-1 (pmol/L)</b>	31 ± 4	31 ± 4
<b>GIP (pmol/L)</b>	22 ± 2	36 ± 6 *

628

