Accruals quality and the cost of debt: The European evidence

Yasser Eliwa, Andros Gregoriou, Audrey Paterson

Abstract

Purpose – This paper aims to investigate the empirical relationship between the cost of debt (CoD) and accruals quality (AQ) of European listed firms during the period of 2005 to 2014. Also, it aims to test the impact of the interrelationship between the financial crisis (2008-2009) and AQ on CoD. Finally, we decompose AQ into two components; the innate (*InnateAQ*) and discretionary components (*DiscAQ*) and test their relationships with *CoD*.

Design/methodology/approach – To empirically examine the relationship between AQ and CoD, a sample including 15-member states of the EU is constructed. AQ proxy is based on the McNichols (2002) modification of Dechow and Dichev (2002) model. A univariate analysis and a multivariate analysis are conducted to examine the relationship between AQ and CoD after controlling for firm characteristics and institutional variables.

Findings – We find a significant negative association between AQ and CoD in a vast proportion of the 15 countries under review. Also, the results indicate that during the crisis period, creditors pay relatively more attention to the quality of accounting information than during the pre-crisis period when they determine CoD of firms. Moreover, we report a link between the magnitude of this relationship and national characteristics and provide evidence of the significant effects of national characteristics and market forces on the CoD. Finally, we find that the *InnateAQ* drives the relationship with CoD.

Practical implications – This paper provides up-to-date evidence of the economic consequences of IFRS and AQ. The results should, therefore, be of interest to managers, creditors, regulators and standard-setters.

Originality/value – To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the effects of AQ on CoD for European listed firms. Also, it is the first to examine the impact of financial crisis on the association between AQ on CoD.

Keywords: earnings quality (EQ); accruals quality (AQ); the cost of debt (CoD); Europe

Article Type: Research paper

1. INTRODUCTION

The main objective of a financial accounting system is to help users to make valuable decisions. Consequently, it is expected in the capital market that high-quality accounting information supports investors and creditors to make better judgments and decisions (Ewert and Wagenhofer, 2012). This implies that accounting information plays a fundamental role in the capital market (Lim et al., 2015; Nahar et al., 2016). Accordingly, previous studies tried to find out how the accounting information in the capital market affects external suppliers of capital by examining accounting information quality influences on the cost of debt (*CoD*) (Francis et al., 2006). This paper attempts to provide an answer to this question by empirically investigating the relationship between accruals quality (AQ) as a measure of accounting quality and *CoD* in 15 European countries.

Further, we decompose AQ into two components, the innate component (*InnateAQ*), which reflects the economic fundamentals and business environment, and the discretionary component (*DiscAQ*), which reflects the management reporting choices, and test whether each component has the same effect on creditors' decisions in the EU market after the adoption of IFRS in 2005. Previous studies argue that both components are priced in the US market (Francis et al., 2005; Core et al., 2008).

In addition, all global capital markets have suffered from the financial crisis described in the media as the 'credit crunch' after the collapse of the US mortgage market in 2008. Of particular note is the effect the credit crunch had on the economic environment of European firms, which is characterised by a lack of liquidity (Duff and Einig, 2009; Iatridis and Dimitras, 2013; Bowen and Khan, 2014; Trombetta and Imperatore, 2014). In this context, we investigate the impact of macroeconomic factors – the 2008 financial crisis – on the relationship between AQ and CoD.

Therefore, this study contributes to the growing area of accounting quality research in a number of ways. Firstly, this study has been one of the first attempts to thoroughly examine the effects of AQ on CoD for European listed firms. Further, using a sample from the European market has two advantages: (1) to test the influence of AQ on CoD, it is essential to keep other elements constant. Accordingly, using the European setting is an appropriate choice as since 2005, European-listed firms have adopted the International Financial

Reporting Standards (IFRS). Regardless of their nationality, all firms in the sample have, mandatorily, adopted the IFRS. Consequently, any differences among European countries regarding the strength of the relationship between AQ and CoD in the financial crisis cannot be linked to the differences of using local accounting standards. (2) Previous literature has used single-country samples, which leads to an uncertainty of external validity of the findings. Using a sample of European countries with a larger geographical area counterbalances country-specific factors and, therefore, provides solid evidence. Also, adding regulatory and institutional factors to the main model will make it possible to investigate the impact of those factors on the association between AQ and CoD (Filip and Raffournier, 2014).

Second, this study examines the impact of the financial crisis on the association between AQ on CoD for European listed firms. The financial crisis, the effects of which have been omitted by prior studies that examine the relationship between AQ and CoD, has a significant effect on the firms' performance, which might motivate managers to manipulate earnings. In this regard, Filip and Raffournier (2014) assert that the consequences of the financial crisis on accounting quality are still not entirely explored and needs additional investigations.

Thirdly, this study investigates the separate impact of *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ* on *CoD* in the EU. Most of the previous studies that discussed this relationship focused on the US and found that creditors price both *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ* in the capital market (Francis et al., 2005; Core et al., 2008). In the same vein, IFRS, the principles-based standards that have been applied in Europe since 2005, could offer management the opportunity to manipulate accounting information. However, it could help management to reflect the economic positions of firms correctly and report high-quality accounting information (Segovia et al., 2009; Jamal and Tan, 2010; Agoglia et al., 2011; Cohen et al., 2013).

We report evidence of a significant negative association between AQ and CoD. This indicates that, in the European market, AQ is a substantial determinant of CoD. Also, the results show that during the financial crisis, the association between AQ and CoD is stronger than during the pre-crisis period. This finding demonstrates the vital role of macroeconomic factors in the association between AQ and CoD. In addition, it supports the notion that high AQ is more likely during the crisis period than during the growth period. Finally, we divide AQ into two components. (1) *InnateAQ*, motivated by economic fundamentals and the business environment, and (2) *DiscAQ*, motivated by the quality of accounting standards and managerial choices. We then test whether these components have significant effects on *CoD*. It shows that *InnateAQ*, in the pre-crisis period, has a stronger impact on *CoD* than *DiscAQ*. During the crisis period, the results suggest that *CoD* is significantly affected only by *InnateAQ*; however, *DiscAQ* has inverse effects on *CoD*. This is consistent with the opinion that IFRS decrease the information risk of *DiscAQ* in the EU market, and accordingly, leads to either positive or no relationship with *CoD*. We attribute this finding to IFRS, which have been adopted in the EU since 2005.

The rest of this paper is designed as follows: Section (2) critically discusses the literature review, and hypotheses development; (3) outlines the sample and how to measure variables; (4) reports the empirical findings; and, finally, section (5) concludes.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

A number of empirical accounting studies examine the relationship between earnings quality (EQ) and CoD (e.g., Ahmed et al., 2002; Francis et al., 2005; Ashbaugh-Skaife et al., 2006; Bharath et al., 2008; Zhang, 2008; Gray et al., 2009). The primary question is that poor EQ is linked with the high cost of capital (e.g., Mouselli et al., 2012; Artikis and Papanastasopoulos, 2016; Eliwa et al., 2016). This question is extracted from the theoretical studies and theories that support the view that high-quality accounting information is expected to reduce the cost of equity and debt capital, through decreasing information asymmetry and information risk (Easley and O'hara, 2004; Lambert et al., 2012).

Empirically, Ahmed et al. (2002) examine the association between earnings conservatism as a measure of EQ and credit rating as a measure of CoD. They find that there is a significant positive association between earnings conservatism and credit ratings. Ashbaugh-Skaife et al. (2006) add to this research topic and examine the relationship between EQ pre-issuing bonds and the credit rating at the issued time and find positive results. Also, Zhang (2008) tests the association between conservatism as a measure of EQ and interest rate as a measure of CoD and finds similar results.

Moving to AQ as a unique measure of EQ, Francis et al. (2005) and Bharath et al. (2008) examine the impact of AQ on CoD, and find a negative association between AQ and CoD in the US market. Nevertheless, as pointed out by Armstrong et al. (2010, p.218), a limitation of

this research is that "absent performance pricing or renegotiation, interest rates are determined at the time the contract is initiated. Correlating current accounting quality and interest rates at the time the contract is initiated assumes that either accounting quality or credit quality, or both, are relatively persistent."

Using the Australian market, Gray et al. (2009) re-investigate the same association as Francis et al. (2005) and Bharath et al. (2008). They find a negative association between AQ and CoD. Using the Belgian market, Vander Bauwhede et al. (2015) investigate the association between AQ and CoD of SMEs and find the same results. Moving to emerging markets, Song (2016) investigates the association between AQ and bank loan syndicate structure in 11 emerging countries. Song also tests the interaction effects between governance standards and accounting quality on bank loan syndicates. He finds that firms with poor AQ are expected to have a more concentrated loan syndicate. Furthermore, the results suggest that this relationship is more prominent for firms with superior governance.

Overall, these studies provide converging evidence of the association between AQ and CoD. However, none of these studies have taken into consideration the impact of institutional factors on the association between AQ and CoD. Therefore, we investigate whether there is a negative relationship between EQ and CoD of European listed firms after the adoption of IFRS. In particularly, the following hypothesis is tested:

H_1 : The cost of debt is lower for firms with higher AQ than firms with poorer AQ.

Most prior studies examine solely the impact of the financial crisis on EQ proxies, however, none of these studies examine the effects of the interrelationship between the financial crisis and EQ proxies on CoD. For example, using a sample of nine Asian countries, Choi et al. (2011) find a significant impact of the crisis on the value relevance of the discretionary component of EQ. Conversely, they find no significant effect of the crisis on the relationship between the innate component of EQ and value relevance.

Using a sample of five European countries, Iatridis and Dimitras (2013) investigate the association between EQ and the financial crisis in 2008-2009. They find that three of these countries – Greece, Italy and Portugal – have a significant increase in earnings management

during the crisis period compared to the pre-crisis period. Conversely, Kousenidis et al. (2013) examine the impact of financial crisis on seven EQ proxies by sampling the same five countries. They find, during the crisis, most of EQ proxies improved. Using a sample of European countries, both Filip and Raffournier (2014) and Arthur et al. (2015) find a significant increase in EQ during the crisis period compared to the pre-crisis period.

In conclusion, these studies provide mixed evidence of the impact the financial crisis on EQ proxies. Also, it is clear that none of these studies test the interrelations effects of the financial crisis and AQ on CoD. Therefore, we are motivated by a question of whether the financial crisis has a significant impact on the relationship between AQ and CoD. As such the following hypothesis is examined:

 H_2 : There is a significant positive impact of the financial crisis on the relationship between AQ and CoD.

AQ is affected by two primary factors; *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ*. *InnateAQ* reflects the operating environment and the business model of firms, while *DiscAQ*, reflects the quality of accounting information and managerial choices (Francis et al., 2006). Francis et al. (2005) investigate whether the two components of AQ have significant effects on *CoD* using a sample of US firms. They find that *InnateAQ* has a larger influence on *CoD* than *DiscAQ*. Using a sample of the Australian market, Gray et al. (2009) re-investigate the same association and find that the association between total AQ and *CoD* is driven by *InnateAQ*, with no apparent evidence that *DiscAQ* affects *CoD*.

Since 2005, all listed EU firms were obliged to adopt IFRS. As such, they are required to follow IFRS in the preparation of their financial statements from 2005 onwards (Iatridis, 2008; Eliwa et al., 2016). The primary objectives of adopting IFRS are to improve the quality of reported accounting information and to accomplish better comparability and transparency of this information (Ball, 2006; Iatridis, 2010; Iatridis and Dimitras, 2013; Dayanandan et al., 2016).

The proponents of IFRS contend that IFRS improves the quality of accounting information that is available to users, e.g., investors and creditors more than Domestic Accounting Standards (DAS). They argue that IFRS decrease earnings management in financial reporting and focus significantly on the capital market benefits such as improving liquidity and market efficiency along with lowering the cost of equity capital. Consequently, it is more relevant to users than most of DAS (Gassen and Sellhorn, 2006; Ding et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2010; Iatridis, 2010; Horton et al., 2013; Dayanandan et al., 2016). In relation to our sample, it is unclear whether the *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ* will influence *CoD* equally as previous studies (e.g., Francis et al. 2005). This prompts our re-examination of the relationship between *CoD* and both the *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ*. The significant influence of creditors on borrowing firms is expected to reduce the effect of information risk arising from *DiscAQ*. However, it is unclear how the adoption of IFRS will influence this relationship. Along the lines of previous research, our hypothesis tests the impact of *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ* on *COD* in Europe after the adoption of IFRS. Specifically, we test the following hypothesis:

H3: InnateAQ has a stronger negative effect on CoD compared to DiscAQ.

3. METHODOLOGY AND SAMPLE SELECTION

i. Accruals quality (AQ)

Our AQ proxy is based on the McNichols (2002) modification of Dechow and Dichev (2002) model. Then, we rank AQ proxy and form ten deciles. Firms in decile ten have the largest values of the proxy which represent poor AQ, while firms in decile one have the lowest values of the proxy and represent high AQ (Francis et al., 2005).

ii. The Cost of debt (CoD)

CoD is measured as the interest expense of a firm in year *t*, deflated by the average interestbearing debt outstanding during years *t* and t+1 (Francis et al., 2005; Gray et al., 2009).

iii. Control variables of the main model

In this section, we discuss the variables regularly used in the prior studies and consistently found to have a strong relationship with *CoD*; these are firm size, leverage, ROA, interest coverage rate (*IntCov*) and earnings volatility ($\sigma NIBE$). We measure firm size as a natural logarithm of total assets in year *t*. Leverage is the ratio of total debt to total assets in year *t*.

IntCov is the total operating income divided by total interest expense in year *t*. Earnings volatility is the ten-year standard deviation (SD) of net income, divided by average assets (Francis et al., 2005; Gray et al., 2009; Lai et al., 2015).

We expect a negative relationship between *CoD* and firm size. Larger firms have lower information asymmetry and more external financing resources than smaller firms. So, it is expected that large firms have a lower interest rate. Firms with lower leverage show better solvency than firms with higher leverage, so it is expected for those firms to borrow at lower costs. ROA is considered as an agreed measure of profitability. So, firms with higher ROA are in a better financial position and often acquire loans with lower borrowing costs. Interest coverage rate (*IntCov*) is a measure of firms' capabilities to pay its interest. So, it is likely that firms with a higher rate of interest coverage to have lower *CoD*. Finally, we expect a positive association between earnings variability and *CoD*. Firms with high level of earnings volatility are expected to borrow at higher costs.

iv. Sample selection

Our sample covers all non-financial firms in 15-member states of the EU. Due to the significant impact of IFRS on *EQ*, this study focuses on these "early adopters" EU members. Luxembourg is excluded due to inadequate observations. Although Norway is not a member of the EU, it has been added to the sample because it has forced all list companies to use IFRS since 2005.

The data consist of up to ten years of annual financial reports of publicly traded companies in the EU. The sample is constrained by one condition: each firm needs as a minimum seven successive years of data to calculate *AQ*. In particular, the model of *AQ* needs five consecutive annual residuals and both lag and lead CFO. In total, the final sample consists of 32,126 firm-year observations over the period of 2005 to 2014. We obtained the required data from the *Thomson Reuters DataStream* database. Panels A and B of table 1 report the number of firms per country and industry. To remove the effects of extreme values, we winsorised all variables to the 1st and 99th percentiles (Francis et al., 2005).

Panel C of table 1 provides descriptive statistics on AQ, CoD and firm characteristics for the pooled sample. For example, the mean of AQ is 0.11 and the median is 0.07. The mean of CoD is 0.09 and the median is 0.07. The mean of leverage is 0.22 and the median is 0.18. The

mean of firm size is 5.04 and the median is 4.89. The mean of interest coverage is 10.15 and the median is 4.49. The mean of earnings volatility is 0.13 and the median is 0.06. Moreover, Panel C reports information of other variables. For example, the average of total assets is 2,900 million dollars, and the median of total assets is 132 million dollars. The average of sales is 2,200 million dollars and the median of sales is 114.5 million dollars.

Panel D of table 1 reports the correlations between *CoD*, *AQ* and control variables. It shows a significant positive correlation between *CoD* and *AQ*, which indicates that firms with poor AQ (large values) have high *CoD*. Also, it shows significant negative correlations between *CoD* and firm size, ROA and interest coverage. There is a significant positive correlation between *CoD* and both leverage and $\sigma(NIBE)$. The correlation between *AQ* and any control variable is less than 49%; therefore, the multicollinearity problem is limited in the model.

[Insert Table 1 here]

4. MAIN TESTS AND RESULTS

Univariate analysis of *CoD* is conducted across quintiles sorted on *AQ* from the lowest to the highest. We rank AQ proxy and create ten deciles. Based on the definition of AQ measure, decile ten represents low AQ, and decile one represents high AQ. Table 2 represents information on the differences between the average of *CoD* on both low (decile 10) and high (decile 1) AQ quintiles through the period of 2005 to 2014. The results show that low AQquintile (Q10) has a significantly larger average of CoD than high AQ quintile (Q1). Also, the table reports that Q10 has a considerably larger average of CoD than Q1 in the crisis (2008-2009) and pre-crisis (2005-2007) periods. This table also reports that, in both periods, firms in Q10 have a significantly larger average of *CoD* than firms in Q1. However, in the crisis period, there is a larger significant difference between Q1 and Q10 than the pre-crisis period. In the crisis period, the difference between the average of CoD values of the two said quintiles (Q10 and Q1) is 0.039 with t-statistic 14.27. However, in the pre-crisis period, the difference is 0.024 with t-statistic 9.19. This finding can be interpreted as in the crisis period, creditors give more attention to the quality of accounting information than a pre-crisis period. To sum up, these results provide initial evidence that AQ is negatively associated with CoD in the European market.

[Insert Table 2 here]

i. The relationship between AQ and CoD for EU listed firms

In this section, the results of the primary model are reported. In particular, we examine the relationship between CoD and AQ in addition to control variables and country differences.

$$\begin{split} CoD &= \alpha + \beta_{1}Leverage + \beta_{2}Size + \beta_{3}ROA + \beta_{4}IntCov + \beta_{5}\sigma(NIBE) \\ &+ \beta_{6}AQ + \beta_{7}Crisis + \beta_{8}Crisis * AQ + \beta_{9}ShareProt \\ &+ \beta_{10}LawEnforc + \beta_{11}LegalTradition \\ &+ \beta_{12}LegalOrigin + \beta_{13}ImpoEquity + v \end{split}$$
 Equation (1)

Where:

CoD is the cost of debt;

Size is a natural logarithm of total assets;

Leverage is total debt of a firm deflated by total assets;

ROA is net income before extraordinary items deflated by total assets;

IntCov is total operating income deflated by total interest expense;

 σ is the standard deviation calculated using the previous ten years of data;

NIBE is the net income before extraordinary items (*NIBE*) divided by average assets; *AQ* is accruals quality proxy.

Crisis is a categorical variable equal to zero for years 2005, 2006 and 2007 (the pre-crisis period), one for the years 2008 and 2009 (the crisis period), and two for the years after 2009 (the post-crisis);

ShareProt is the Strength of Investor Protection index;

LawEnforc is the Rule of Law index;

LegalTradition: is a categorical variable equal to zero for code-law countries (CD), and one for common-law countries (CM);

LegalOrigin: is a categorical variable equal to zero for German origin, one for French origin, two for Scandinavian origin and three for English origin.

ImpoEquity is the Importance of Equity Market index, which is measured based on the model of La Porta et al. (1997).

a. The effect of financial crisis on the relationship between AQ and CoD for EU listed firms

We divide the sample period (2005-2014) into three periods: 'the pre-crisis' for years 2005, 2006 and 2007, 'the crisis period' for years 2008 and 2009, and 'the post-crisis period' for years after 2009. We test the interaction effects between financial crisis and AQ on CoD by adding the interaction variable.

b. The impact of country differences on the association between AQ and CoD

Prior studies suggest that country characteristics have a significant impact on EQ (e.g., Ball et al., 2003; Leuz et al., 2003; Li Eng and Lin, 2013; Filip and Raffournier, 2014; Song, 2015). Therefore, we examine the impact of these characteristics on the relationship between AQ and CoD. These characteristics are the legal environment and market forces.

The impact of the legal environment

A common argument in prior studies is that there is a significant positive relationship between *EQ* and the level of protection of investors in each country. It is based on the notion that high level of protection available in a country can limit the profit of insider trading, thus it decreases their incentive to engage in earnings management activities in this country (Kinnunen and Koskela, 2003; Leuz et al., 2003; Haw et al., 2004; Burgstahler et al., 2006; Filip and Raffournier, 2014). Based on prior literature, we add investor protection variable to Equation (1). This variable is measured using an index provided by the World Bank named the Strength of Investor Protection Index (*ShareProt*). This measure is based on the average of three indices measuring the extent of director liability, the scope of disclosure, and the ease of shareholder suits in each country (Filip and Raffournier, 2014).

Also, strong legal rules are essential to assure the protection of investors' rights (Daske et al., 2008; DeFond et al., 2007; Burgstahler et al., 2006). Therefore, our study adds the legal rules as a control variable, which is measured using the index provided by the World Bank named the Rule of Law Index (*LawEnforc*). It shows the level of confidence that citizens have in the legal rules of their country. In particular, this measure covers four issues: the court system, the police, the contract enforcement quality, and property rights (Filip and Raffournier, 2014). A negative relationship between *CoD* and law enforcement is expected.

Based on La Porta et al. (1998), we add two other proxies: the Legal Origin, and the Legal Tradition. The former is classified into four main types: French, Scandinavian, English, and German origins. The latter is classified into code-law and common-law countries.

The influence of market forces

There are two types of financial systems: market and bank-oriented. The two systems determine how companies raise funds. In the bank-oriented system, the bank is the main source of funds for companies, and in the market-oriented system, the stock market is the

main source of funds. Prior studies argue that in the market-oriented system there is a higher demand for quality of earnings by shareholders than in the bank-oriented system, possibly because in the latter, information asymmetry is resolved through insider communications with management (Ball et al., 2000; Ball et al., 2003; Burgstahler et al., 2006).

Following La Porta et al. (1997) and Leuz et al. (2003), we measure the importance of the stock market in an economy (*ImpoEquity*) using the average rank of three measures: (1) the total market capitalisation held by minorities divided by gross national product (GNP); (2) the number of listed domestic firms compared with population; (3) and number of IPOs compared with population. A high value on this measure shows that the financial system in the country depends more on market-based financing than on bank-based financing.

Newey and West (1987) standard errors pooled regression is used to test Equation (1). This regression type mitigates the problems of autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity effects. The results are reported in table 3. The results show a significantly negative association between AQ and CoD. We interpret these results as a sign that as firms' earnings quality decreases, the amount of interest that creditors are willing to receive for a dollar of debt increases for such firms. In addition, AQ is a major determinant for creditors decision models, which is consistent with previous studies and thus supports the hypothesis of (H₁).

Also, table 3 shows a significant effect of the financial crisis on the relationship between AQ and CoD. This result could be interpreted as creditors showing more interest in AQ during the crisis period compared to the pre-crisis period. In particular, the slope coefficient of AQ in the pre-crisis period is 0.0014. While, in the crisis period, there was an increase in the slope coefficient to 0.0029 (0.0014+0.0015). This indicates that the sensitivity of CoD to AQ was considerably higher (0.0029) during the crisis period than in the pre-crisis period. Thus, we accept the hypothesis of (H₂).

Moreover, table 3 shows a significant negative association between CoD and both shareholder protection and law enforcement. This indicates a higher CoD with lower shareholder protection, which is consistent with prior studies. The results also show a significant positive association between CoD and legal tradition, which indicates that COD is lower in the code-law system than the common-law system. The results also show a significant negative association between CoD and both legal origin and the importance of the stock market. This result indicates that countries with financial systems that rely more on market-oriented financing have higher *CoD* than those countries that rely on bank-based financing.

Moving to control variables, table 3 reports significant negative associations between *CoD* and *Size*, leverage and *ROA*, which is consistent with previous studies (e.g., Francis et al., 2005; Grey et al., 2008). Firms with high *ROA* have lower *CoD* and large firms have relatively lower *CoD* compared to small firms. Also, firms with high leverage have higher *CoD*. Lastly, the table reports a positive association between *CoD* and both *IntCov*, and earnings variability ($\sigma(NIBE)$), which is consistent with prior studies (e.g., Francis et al., 2005; Grey et al., 2008).

[Insert Table 3 here]

ii. The effects of AQ components on CoD

Total AQ can be divided into two components: *InnateAQ*, which reflects the operating environment and business model, and *DiscAQ*, which reflects the discretion in financial reporting (Francis et al., 2005; Charitou et al., 2007; Gray et al., 2009). Using the model of Francis et al. (2005), we divided total AQ into these two components.

Table 4 reports the results of regressing the CoD on both AQ components. It shows that the *InnateAQ* has a larger coefficient than the *DiscAQ* coefficient by a factor of six, and it shows stronger significance than the *DiscAQ* coefficient. Economically, the largest influence of *InnateAQ* increases CoD by 414 (46*9) basis points between low and high *InnateAQ* firms, whereas the influence of *DiscAQ* is 72 (8*9) basis points. This finding suggests that creditors allocate higher CoD to firms with poor AQ related to *InnateAQ*, compared to poor AQ related to *DiscAQ*. However, in the crisis period, the results show that the discretionary component has a positive impact on CoD, which indicates that creditors punish firms with high *DiscAQ* during the crisis period by increasing *CoD*. Finally, the results show that all country characteristics have significant associations with *CoD*.

[Insert Table 4 here]

iii. Robustness tests

In this section, we report the sensitivity tests that have been performed on our results. First, we use the raw values of AQ instead of decile ranks of AQ and run the test. We find a significant negative association between AQ and CoD, which is consistent with the findings of the main test. Results are reported in table 5. Second, we use panel regressions with fixed and random effects for CoD. Based on Hausman test, it is found that fixed-effects model is relatively proper than the random-effects model. Based on the fixed-effects model, there is a significant negative association between AQ and CoD, which is consistent with the findings of the main test. The results are reported in table 6.

[Insert Table 5 here] [Insert Table 6 here]

5. CONCLUSIONS

This study extends the analysis of prior studies and investigates the impact of quality of earnings on CoD for a sample of European listed firms through the period 2005-2014. We use AQ as a proxy of EQ. We calibrate this proxy against CoD, a summary indicator of creditors' decisions, to study whether AQ is viewed by creditors as conferring the maximum capital market advantage. We generally find a statistically significant negative relationship between CoD and AQ. We interpret these results as a sign that, as earnings quality of a firm decreases, the amount of interest that creditors are willing to receive for a dollar of debt increases for such firms.

We also examine the impact of macroeconomic conditions – the financial crisis – on the association between AQ and CoD of European listed firms. The results suggest that, during the crisis period, the creditors' allocation decisions were strongly affected by the level of EQ than the pre-crisis period. This finding demonstrates the influence of macroeconomic factors on the strength of the association between accounting information and capital market participants.

Although the European countries are comparatively homogeneous in economic and political factors, the magnitude of the relationship between AQ and CoD varies from one country to

another. We indicate that the national features have a significant impact on the relationship between AQ and CoD.

We also examine the separate effects of *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ* on *CoD*. The result suggests that the *InnateAQ* has a larger effect on the *CoD* than *DiscAQ*. This result is consistent with the view that information uncertainty related to *InnateAQ* is relatively fundamental, compared to the information uncertainty related to *DiscAQ*, which can easily transfer from one period to another. This finding suggests that creditors reward *InnateAQ* by reducing *CoD*.

To conclude, the results demonstrate the usefulness of accounting information to creditors, who reward high AQ by determining a lower interest rate (*CoD*). These results are relevant to managers, regulators and standard setting bodies. For managers, it is expected to work towards increasing the quality of earnings to reduce *CoD*. For regulators and standard setting bodies, the results show that the accounting information has a significant impact on market participants under IFRS in both code-law and common-law countries. This is important for them, mainly, when they prepare to change or review the accounting standards and regulations.

Based on prior studies, there is no consensus among researchers for a precise measure of EQ (Dechow et al., 2010; Walker, 2013). Thus, it is interesting if future research employs different measures of EQ such as earnings persistence and earnings predictability, and compares their effects on CoD.

REFERENCES

- Agoglia, C. P., Doupnik, T. S. & Tsakumis, G. T. (2011) Principles-based versus rules-based accounting standards: The influence of standard precision and audit committee strength on financial reporting decisions. *The Accounting Review*, 86(3), 747-767.
- Ahmed, A. S., Billings, B. K., Morton, R. M. & Stanford-Harris, M. (2002) The role of accounting conservatism in mitigating bondholder-shareholder conflicts over dividend policy and in reducing debt costs. *The Accounting Review*, 77(4), 867-890.
- Armstrong, C. S., Guay, W. R. & Weber, J. P. (2010) The role of information and financial reporting in corporate governance and debt contracting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(2), 179-234.
- Arthur, N., Tang, Q. & Lin, Z. S. (2015) Corporate accruals quality during the 2008–2010 Global Financial Crisis. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 25, 1-15.
- Artikis, P. G. & Papanastasopoulos, G. A. (2016) Implications of the cash component of earnings for earnings persistence and stock returns. *The British Accounting Review*, 48(2), 117-133.
- Ashbaugh-Skaife, H., Collins, D. W. & LaFond, R. (2006) The effects of corporate governance on firms' credit ratings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 42(1), 203-243.
- Ball, R. (2006) International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): Pros and cons for investors. *Accounting and Business Research*, 36(supplement), 5-27.
- Ball, R., Kothari, S. & Robin, A. (2000) The effect of international institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 29(1), 1-51.
- Ball, R., Robin, A. & Wu, J. S. (2003) Incentives versus standards: Properties of accounting income in four East Asian countries. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 36(1), 235-270.
- Bharath, S. T., Sunder, J. & Sunder, S. V. (2008) Accounting quality and debt contracting. *The Accounting Review*, 83(1), 1-28.
- Bowen, R. M. & Khan, U. (2014) Market reactions to policy deliberations on fair value accounting and impairment rules during the financial crisis of 2008–2009. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 33(3), 233-259.
- Burgstahler, D. C., Hail, L. & Leuz, C. (2006) The importance of reporting incentives: earnings management in European private and public firms. *The Accounting Review*, 81(5), 983-1016.
- Charitou, A., Lambertides, N. & Trigeorgis, L. (2007) Managerial discretion in distressed firms. *The British Accounting Review*, 39(4), 323-346.
- Chen, H., Tang, Q., Jiang, Y. & Lin, Z. (2010) The role of international financial reporting standards in accounting quality: Evidence from the European Union. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 21(3), 220-278.
- Choi, J.-H., Kim, J.-B. & Lee, J. J. (2011) Value relevance of discretionary accruals in the Asian financial crisis of 1997–1998. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 30(2), 166-187.
- Cohen, J. R., Krishnamoorthy, G., Peytcheva, M. & Wright, A. M. (2013) How does the strength of the financial regulatory regime influence auditors' judgments to constrain aggressive reporting in a principles-based versus rules-based accounting environment? *Accounting Horizons*, 27(3), 579-601.

- Core, J. E., Guay, W. R. & Verdi, R. (2008) Is accruals quality a priced risk factor? *Journal* of Accounting and Economics, 46(1), 2-22.
- Daske, H., Hail, L., Leuz, C. & Verdi, R. (2008) Mandatory IFRS reporting around the world: Early evidence on the economic consequences. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 46(5), 1085-1142.
- Dayanandan, A., Donker, H., Ivanof, M. & Karahan, G. (2016) IFRS and accounting quality: legal origin, regional, and disclosure impacts. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 24(3), 296-316.
- Dechow, P., Ge, W. & Schrand, C. (2010) Understanding earnings quality: A review of the proxies, their determinants and their consequences. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 50(2), 344-401.
- Dechow, P. M. & Dichev, I. D. (2002) The quality of accruals and earnings: The role of accrual estimation errors. *The Accounting Review*, 77(s-1), 35-59.
- DeFond, M., Hung, M. & Trezevant, R. (2007) Investor protection and the information content of annual earnings announcements: International evidence. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 43(1), 37-67.
- Ding, Y., Hope, O.-K., Jeanjean, T. & Stolowy, H. (2007) Differences between domestic accounting standards and IAS: Measurement, determinants and implications. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 26(1), 1-38.
- Duff, A. & Einig, S. (2009) Understanding credit ratings quality: Evidence from UK debt market participants. *The British Accounting Review*, 41(2), 107-119.
- Easley, D. & O'hara, M. (2004) Information and the cost of capital. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(4), 1553-1583.
- Eliwa, Y., Haslam, J. & Abraham, S. (2016) The association between earnings quality and the cost of equity capital: Evidence from the UK. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 48, 125-139.
- Ewert, R. & Wagenhofer, A. (2012) Earnings management, conservatism, and earnings quality. *Foundations and Trends (R) in Accounting*, 6(2), 65-186.
- Filip, A. & Raffournier, B. (2014) Financial crisis And earnings management: The European evidence. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 49(4), 455-478.
- Francis, J., LaFond, R., Olsson, P. & Schipper, K. (2005) The market pricing of accruals quality. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 39(2), 295-327.
- Francis, J., Olsson, P. & Schipper, K. (2006) Earnings quality. *Foundations and Trends*® *in Accounting*, 1(4), 259-340.
- Gassen, J. & Sellhorn, T. (2006) Applying IFRS in Germany-determinants and consequences. *Betriebswirtschaftliche Forschung und Praxis*, 58(4), 365-386.
- Gray, P., Koh, P. S. & Tong, Y. H. (2009) Accruals quality, information risk and cost of capital: Evidence from Australia. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 36(1-2), 51-72.
- Haw, I. M., Hu, B., Hwang, L. S. & Wu, W. (2004) Ultimate ownership, income management, and legal and extra-legal institutions. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 42(2), 423-462.
- Horton, J., Serafeim, G. & Serafeim, I. (2013) Does mandatory IFRS adoption improve the information environment? *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 30(1), 388-423.
- Iatridis, G. (2008) Accounting disclosure and firms' financial attributes: Evidence from the UK stock market. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 17(2), 219-241.
- Iatridis, G. (2010) International Financial Reporting Standards and the quality of financial statement information. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 19(3), 193-204.

- Iatridis, G. & Dimitras, A. I. (2013) Financial crisis and accounting quality: Evidence from five European countries. *Advances in Accounting*, 29(1), 154-160.
- Jamal, K. & Tan, H.-T. (2010) Joint effects of principles-based versus rules-based standards and auditor type in constraining financial managers' aggressive reporting. *The Accounting Review*, 85(4), 1325-1346.
- Kinnunen, J. & Koskela, M. (2003) Who is miss world in cosmetic earnings management? A cross-national comparison of small upward rounding of net income numbers among eighteen countries. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 2(1), 39-68.
- Kousenidis, D. V., Ladas, A. C. & Negakis, C. I. (2013) The effects of the European debt crisis on earnings quality. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 30, 351-362.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F. & Shleifer, A. (1998) Law and finance. *Journal of Political Economy*, 106, 1113–1155.
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A. & Vishny, R. W. (1997) Legal determinants of external finance. *The Journal of Finance*, 1131-1150.
- Lai, S.-C., Lin, Y.-S., Lin, Y.-H. & Huang, H.-W. (2015) XBRL adoption and cost of debt. International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, 23(2), 199-216.
- Lambert, R. A., Leuz, C. & Verrecchia, R. E. (2012) Information asymmetry, information precision, and the cost of capital. *Review of Finance*, 16(1), 1-29.
- Leuz, C., Nanda, D. & Wysocki, P. D. (2003) Earnings management and investor protection: an international comparison. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 69(3), 505-527.
- Li Eng, L. & Lin, J. (2013) Accounting quality of German and UK cross-listings. International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, 21(3), 192-208.
- Lim, J., Lee, J. & Chang, J. (2015) Financial reporting quality of target companies and acquirer returns: evidence from Korea. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 23(1), 16-41.
- McNichols, M. F. (2002) Discussion of the quality of accruals and earnings: The role of accrual estimation errors. *The Accounting Review*, 77(Supplement), 61-69.
- Mouselli, S., Jaafar, A. & Hussainey, K. (2012) Accruals quality vis-à-vis disclosure quality: Substitutes or complements? *The British Accounting Review*, 44(1), 36-46.
- Nahar, S., Azim, M. & Anne Jubb, C. (2016) Risk disclosure, cost of capital and bank performance. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 24(4), 476-494.
- Newey, W. K. & West, K. D. (1987) A simple, positive semi-definite, heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent covariance matrix. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 55(3), 703-708.
- Segovia, J., Arnold, V. & Sutton, S. G. (2009) Do principles-vs. rules-based standards have a differential impact on US auditors' decisions. *Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research*, 12, 61-84.
- Song, L. (2015) Accounting disclosure, stock price synchronicity and stock crash risk: An emerging-market perspective. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 23(4), 349-363.
- Song, L. (2016) Accounting quality and financing arrangements in emerging economies. International Journal of Accounting and Information Management, 24(1), 2-19.
- Trombetta, M. & Imperatore, C. (2014) The dynamic of financial crises and its nonmonotonic effects on earnings quality. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 33(3), 205-232.
- Vander Bauwhede, H., De Meyere, M. & Van Cauwenberge, P. (2015) Financial reporting quality and the cost of debt of SMEs. *Small Business Economics*, 45(1), 149-164.

Walker, M. (2013) How far can we trust earnings numbers? What research tells us about earnings management. *Accounting and Business Research*, 43(4), 445-481.

Zhang, J. (2008) The contracting benefits of accounting conservatism to lenders and borrowers. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 45(1), 27-54.

Panel A: Total number of firms per country and year ^a

Country	20	005	20	06	20	07	20	08	20	09	20	10	20	11	20	12	20	13	20	14	То	tal
Austria	38	1%	38	1%	44	1%	46	1%	50	1%	48	1%	47	1%	48	1%	46	1%	44	1%	449	1%
Belgium	55	2%	55	2%	67	2%	76	2%	81	2%	82	2%	82	2%	78	2%	75	2%	75	2%	726	2%
Denmark	111	4%	110	4%	106	3%	116	3%	119	3%	120	3%	121	4%	122	4%	122	4%	117	4%	1,164	4%
Finland	105	4%	106	4%	109	3%	111	3%	111	3%	109	3%	106	3%	107	3%	107	3%	106	3%	1,077	3%
France	464	16%	472	16%	480	15%	489	15%	503	14%	489	14%	472	14%	462	14%	457	14%	433	14%	4,721	15%
Germany	378	13%	381	13%	412	13%	462	14%	506	15%	508	15%	504	15%	480	15%	460	14%	430	14%	4,521	14%
Greece	60	2%	84	3%	112	4%	139	4%	151	4%	158	5%	158	5%	158	5%	154	5%	149	5%	1,323	4%
Ireland	33	1%	32	1%	34	1%	33	1%	36	1%	32	1%	31	1%	30	1%	30	1%	31	1%	322	1%
Italy	130	5%	151	5%	177	6%	174	5%	184	5%	185	5%	179	5%	177	5%	176	5%	167	5%	1,700	5%
Netherlands	99	4%	95	3%	95	3%	89	3%	90	3%	87	3%	82	2%	77	2%	73	2%	70	2%	857	3%
Norway	114	4%	111	4%	119	4%	120	4%	125	4%	122	4%	122	4%	120	4%	115	4%	109	4%	1,177	4%
Portugal	40	1%	40	1%	42	1%	42	1%	41	1%	40	1%	42	1%	43	1%	41	1%	41	1%	412	1%
Spain	6	0%	7	0%	83	3%	87	3%	92	3%	95	3%	95	3%	96	3%	94	3%	92	3%	747	2%
Sweden	247	9%	247	9%	260	8%	270	8%	292	8%	308	9%	307	9%	308	9%	303	9%	292	10%	2,834	9%
United Kingdom	933	33%	962	33%	1,016	32%	1,077	32%	1,106	32%	1,084	31%	1,042	31%	1,003	30%	983	30%	890	29%	10,096	31%
Total	2,813	100%	2,891	100%	3,156	100%	3,331	100%	3,487	100%	3,467	100%	3,390	100%	3,309	100%	3,236	100%	3,046	100%	32,126	100%

Industry	20	005	20	06	20	07	20	08	20	09	20	10	20	11	20	12	20	13	20	14	To	tal
Agriculture, Forestry, & Fishing	17	1%	17	1%	18	1%	21	1%	22	1%	23	1%	26	1%	29	1%	27	1%	23	1%	223	1%
Mining	103	4%	128	4%	154	5%	186	6%	222	6%	246	7%	263	8%	266	8%	255	8%	237	8%	2,060	6%
Construction	95	3%	100	3%	108	3%	111	3%	112	3%	112	3%	113	3%	111	3%	112	3%	110	4%	1,084	3%
Manufacturing	1,274	45%	1,298	45%	1,421	45%	1,493	45%	1,557	45%	1,541	44%	1,503	44%	1,468	44%	1,453	45%	1,382	45%	14,390	45%
Transportation	261	9%	277	10%	314	10%	330	10%	348	10%	350	10%	340	10%	343	10%	328	10%	320	11%	3,211	10%
Wholesale Trade	123	4%	128	4%	136	4%	138	4%	132	4%	129	4%	128	4%	125	4%	119	4%	113	4%	1,271	4%
Retail Trade	185	7%	178	6%	185	6%	186	6%	184	5%	184	5%	168	5%	156	5%	152	5%	143	5%	1,721	5%
Services	755	27%	765	26%	820	26%	866	26%	910	26%	882	25%	849	25%	811	25%	790	24%	718	24%	8,166	25%
Total	2,813	100%	2,891	100%	3,156	100%	3,331	100%	3,487	100%	3,467	100%	3,390	100%	3,309	100%	3,236	100%	3,046	100%	32,126	100%

Panel B: Number of firms per industry for each year ^a

Variable	Mean	0.250	Median	0.750
The cost of debt (CoD)	0.0936	0.0465	0.0725	0.167
Accruals quality (AQ)	0.107	0.0364	0.0652	0.123
Leverage	0.219	0.0330	0.179	0.331
Size (log of total assets)	5.036	3.424	4.886	6.569
ROA	-0.0447	-0.0438	0.0234	0.0623
IntCov	10.15	0.0443	4.485	20.02
Total Assets (\$ mils)	2,900	30.42	132	710.1
Sales (\$ mils)	2,200	19.91	114.5	643.7
$\sigma(NIBE)$	0.126	0.0282	0.0558	0.126
$\sigma(CFO)$	0.111	0.0393	0.0638	0.113
$\sigma(Sales)$	0.271	0.106	0.183	0.316
Operating Cycle	5.121	4.541	5.044	5.593
Negative Earnings	2.451	0	2	4

Panel C: Descriptive Statistics on CoD, AQ and Firm Characteristics, 2005-2014^b

Panel D: Pearson correlations between CoD, AQ and control variables

	CoD	AQ	Leverage	Size	ROA	IntCov	$\sigma(NIBE)$
CoD	1						
AQ	0.1525	1					
	<0.0001						
Leverage	0.3172	-0.056	1				
	<0.0001	<0.0001					
Size	-0.2652	-0.2981	0.2060	1			
	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001				
ROA	-0.1416	-0.2704	-0.0978	0.3742	1		
	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001			
IntCov	-0.1669	-0.0236	-0.3693	-0.0581	0.2723	1	
	<0.0001	0.0053	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001		
$\sigma(NIBE)$	0.2229	0.2612	-0.0514	-0.4755	-0.4903	-0.0607	1
	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	

	Legal	Legal				Ownership
Country	Origin	Tradition	ShareProt	LawEnforc	ImpoEquity	Concentration
Austria	German	CD	5.5	2	7	0.51
Belgium	French	CD	7	1	11.3	0.62
Denmark	Scandinavian	CD	6.5	2	20	0.40
Finland	Scandinavian	CD	6	2	13.7	0.34
France	French	CD	5.5	1	9.3	0.24
Germany	German	CD	5	2	5	0.50
Greece	French	CD	5.5	1	11.5	0.68
Ireland	English	CM	8.5	2	17.3	0.36
Italy	French	CD	6	0	6.5	0.60
Netherlands	French	CD	4.5	2	19.3	0.31
Norway	Scandinavian	CD	7	2	20.3	0.31
Portugal	French	CD	6	1	11.8	0.59
Spain	French	CD	5.5	1	7.2	0.50
Sweden	Scandinavian	CD	6.5	2	16.7	0.28
United Kingdom	English	СМ	8.5	2	25	0.15

Panel E: Institutional characteristics of the European countries

^{A,b} The sample consists of 32,126 firm-year observations over the period 2005 to 2014 (8 industries). Size = the natural logarithm of total assets in year t; Leverage = the ratio of total debt to total assets in year t; ROA = return on assets of a firm in year t, IntCov = the ratio of operating income to interest expense of a firm in year t; σ = the standard deviation calculated using the previous ten years of data; CFO = cash flow from operations of a firm; Sales = net sales revenue of a firm; OperCycle = the natural logarithm of operating cycle of a firm in year t, NegEarn = the number of negative earnings that a firm reported annually out of the previous ten years. ShareProt is the Strength of Investor Protection index, which is based on the average of three indices measuring the extent of disclosure, the extent of director liability and the ease of investor suits in each country. LawEnforc is the Rule of Law index, which is used as a measure of legal rules, and it is measured using the average of four variables: the court system, the police, the contract enforcement quality and property rights. ImpoEquity is the Importance of Equity Market which is measured by the average of three variables used in La Porta et al. (1997): (1) the total stock market capitalization owned by minorities divided by the GNP; (2) the number of listed domestic firms divided by the total population of firms in the country; and (3) the number of IPOs divided by total firms in the country. Each variable is ranked such that higher scores show a significant importance of the stock market. Legal Origin is based on La Porta et al. (1998). All countries are classified into 4 main groups: German, French, English and Scandinavian. Legal Tradition is based on La Porta et al., (1998), all countries are classified into two main groups: Code-law (CD) and Common-law (CM).

The average values of CoD by AQ quintiles

	High O1	Low 010	Diff 010-01	t-stat
2005-2014	X-	X ¹ °	<u><u> </u></u>	
CoD	0.079	0.114	0.034	26.29***
In the pre-crisis period				
CoD	0.081	0.104	0.024	9.19***
In the crisis period				
CoD	0.069	0.108	0.039	14.27***

This table shows the mean of CoD per AQ quintile. The column named (Q10-Q1) shows the differences in the average of CoD between the lower quantile (Q10) and higher quintile (Q1).

t-statistics test shows whether the difference between the two quantiles is zero.

Regression of *CoD* on *AQ* proxy (Decile rank)

	CoD
Leverage	-0.053***
	(-29.7)
Size	-0.0028***
	(-18.9)
ROA	-0.025***
	(-16.8)
IntCov	0.00036***
	(16.4)
$\sigma(NIBE)$	0.029***
	(11.5)
AQ Decile	0.0014^{***}
	(8.87)
Crisis	0.0026^{***}
	(3.89)
Crisis # AQ	0.0015^{***}
	(9.32)
PostCrisis # AQ	0.00044^{**}
	(1.99)
ShareProt	-0.0020**
	(-1.97)
LawEnforc	-0.015
	(-11.8)
LegalTradition	0.011
	(6.81)
LegalOrigin	-0.0025
	(-2.45)
ImpoEquity	-0.00060
~	(-6.51)
Constant	0.072
	(11.5)
N	32,126
adj. <i>R</i> ⁴	0.197

See Table 1 for variables measurement. The sample comprises of 32,126 firm-year observations over the period 2005 to 2014.

Regression of *CoD* on *InnateAQ* and *DiscAQ* (decile rank)

	CoD
Leverage	-0.054***
-	(-34.6)
Size	0.00091^{***}
	(4.09)
ROA	-0.025***
	(-17.3)
IntCov	0.00049^{***}
	(24.3)
$\sigma(NIBE)$	0.011***
	(4.25)
InnateAQ	0.0046^{***}
	(19.4)
DiscAQ	0.00078^{***}
	(4.29)
Crisis	0.00063
	(0.61)
Crisis# InnatAQ	0.00038*
	(1.79)
PostCrisis# InnatAQ	0.00075
	(3.22)
Crisis# DiscAQ	-0.0010
DestOrisist D' AO	(-4.92)
PostCrisis# DiscAQ	0.00027
ChanaDrat	(1.18)
ShareProt	-0.00042
LawEnforc	(-0.41)
LawLinoic	(11.7)
LegalTradition	0.017***
Degui Huandon	(10.9)
LegalOrigin	-0.0021**
888	(-2.01)
ImpoEquity	0.000024
	(0.23)
Constant	0.021***
	(3.16)
Ν	31,865
adj. R^2	0.217

See Table 1 for variables measurement. The sample comprises of 31,865 firm-year observations covers the period from 2005 to 2014.

Regression of *CoD* on *AQ* (raw values)

	CoD
Leverage	-0.056***
	(-35.7)
Size	-0.0031***
	(-20.7)
ROA	-0.024***
	(-16.5)
IntCov	0.00037^{***}
	(18.9)
$\sigma(NIBE)$	0.031****
	(12.4)
AQ	0.022***
	(6.11)
Crisis	0.0038***
	(8.82)
Crisis # AQ	-0.035***
	(-6.88)
PostCrisis # AQ	0.012**
	(2.28)
ShareProt	-0.00063
	(-0.60)
LawEnforc	0.015
	(11.0)
LegalTradition	0.017
	(10.6)
LegalOrigin	-0.0016
	(-1.50)
ImpoEquity	0.000068
~	(0.65)
Constant	0.067
	(10.5)
N N	32,126
adj. <i>R</i> ²	0.198

See Table 1 for variables measurement. The sample comprises of 32,126 firm-year observations covers the period from 2005 to 2014.

Fixed and rando	m panel regress	ions of <i>CoD</i> o	n AQ (decile rank)

	Fixed	Random
	CoD	CoD
Leverage	-0.030***	-0.046***
	(-12.2)	(-23.8)
Size	-0.0032***	-0.0041***
	(-4.92)	(-17.1)
ROA	-0.010****	-0.017***
	(-5.68)	(-11.1)
IntCov	0.00028^{***}	0.00033***
	(10.6)	(15.1)
$\sigma(NIBE)$	0.0011	0.029^{***}
	(0.20)	(8.94)
AQ Decile	0.00034^{**}	0.00081^{***}
	(2.20)	(6.39)
Constant	0.11^{***}	0.11^{***}
	(28.5)	(65.5)
Ν	32,126	32,126
R^2	0.1564	0.1669
Hausman		0.0000

See Table 1 for variables measurement. The sample comprises of 32,126 firm-year observations covers the period from 2005 to 2014. *** p-value <0.01, ** p-value <0.05, * p-value <0.1.