Journal of Integrable Systems (2017) **2**, 1–14 doi: 10.1093/integr/xyx002

A Lagrangian View on Complete Integrability of the Two-Component Camassa–Holm System

JONATHAN ECKHARDT^{\dagger}

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Wien, Austria [†]Corresponding author. Email: jonathan.eckhardt@univie.ac.at

AND

KATRIN GRUNERT

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway

Communicated by: Michael Gekhtman

[Received on 17 September 2016; editorial decision on 13 February 2017; accepted on 15 February 2017]

We show how the change from Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates for the two-component Camassa–Holm system can be understood in terms of certain reparametrizations of the underlying isospectral problem. The respective coordinates correspond to different normalizations of an associated first order system. In particular, we will see that the two-component Camassa–Holm system in Lagrangian variables is completely integrable as well.

Keywords: two-component Camassa-Holm system, Lagrangian variables, isospectral problem

1. Introduction

The Camassa-Holm (CH) equation [8, 9]

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x - 2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx} = 0, (1.1)$$

which serves as a model for shallow water waves [16], has been studied intensively over the last 20 years, due to its rich mathematical structure. For example, it is bi-Hamiltonian [23], formally completely integrable [11], has infinitely many conserved quantities [38], and for a huge class of smooth initial data, the corresponding classical solution only exists locally in time due to wave breaking [12–14]. Especially the last property attracted a lot of attention and led to the construction of different types of global weak solutions via a generalized method of characteristics [6, 7, 26, 33, 34]. For conservative solutions, another possible approach is based on the solution of an inverse problem for an indefinite Sturm–Liouville problem [3, 18, 19, 21]; the inverse spectral method. The aim of this note is to point out some connections between these two ways of describing conservative weak solutions.

Over the last few years various generalizations of the CH equation have been introduced. A lot of them have been constructed in such a way that one or several properties of the CH equation are preserved.

[©] The authors 2017. Published by Oxford University Press. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contactjournals.permissions@oup.com

Among them is the two-component Camassa-Holm (2CH) system [15]

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + 3uu_x - 2u_x u_{xx} - uu_{xxx} + \rho \rho_x = 0, \qquad (1.2a)$$

$$\rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0, \tag{1.2b}$$

that may also be written in the alternative form

$$u_t + uu_x + p_x = 0, \tag{1.3a}$$

$$\rho_t + (u\rho)_x = 0, \tag{1.3b}$$

where the auxiliary function p solves the differential equation

$$p - p_{xx} = u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2.$$
 (1.3c)

From our point of view, this generalization is of special interest, not only because it has been derived in the context of shallow water waves [15], but also because weak solutions can be described via a generalized method of characteristics [25, 26] as well as via an underlying isospectral problem [10, 15, 35, 36]. Thus we are going to study the 2CH system here, which apparently reduces to the CH equation in the case when the function ρ vanishes identically.

As already hinted above and presented in [26], there is not only one class of weak solutions but several of them, dependent on how the energy is manipulated when wave breaking occurs. This means that the spatial derivative $u_x(\cdot,t)$ of the solution $(u(\cdot,t), \rho(\cdot,t))$ becomes unbounded within finite time, while both $||u(\cdot,t)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ and $||\rho(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ remain bounded; see e.g. [28–31, 45, 46] and the references therein. In addition, energy concentrates on sets of measure zero. If one continues solutions after wave breaking in such a way that the total amount of energy, which is described by a Radon measure μ , remains unchanged in time, one obtains the so-called conservative weak solutions [25]. Thus any conservative weak solution is described by a triplet (u, ρ, μ) , where the connection between u, ρ , and μ is given through the measure μ 's absolutely continuous part $\mu_{ac} = (u_x^2 + \rho^2)dx$, and the total energy $\mu(\mathbb{R}, t)$ is independent of time.

The construction of these solutions by a generalized method of characteristics relies on a transformation from Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates [25], based on [6, 33], which will be reviewed in Section 2 (we refer to [25] for more details). Under this transformation, the 2CH system can be rewritten in Lagrangian variables (for conservative solutions) as

$$y_t = U, \tag{1.4a}$$

$$U_t = -Q, \tag{1.4b}$$

$$h_t = 2(U^2 - P)U_{\xi}, \tag{1.4c}$$

$$r_t = 0, \tag{1.4d}$$

where the functions P and Q are given by

$$P(\xi,t) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y(\xi,t)-y(s,t)|} (2U^2 y_{\xi} + h)(s,t) ds,$$
(1.4e)

$$Q(\xi,t) = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{sign}(\xi - s) e^{-|y(\xi,t) - y(s,t)|} (2U^2 y_{\xi} + h)(s,t) ds.$$
(1.4f)

Note that the three Eulerian coordinates (u, ρ, μ) are mapped to four Lagrangian coordinates (y, U, h, r), which indicates that to each element (u, ρ, μ) there corresponds an equivalence class in Lagrangian coordinates. These equivalence classes can be identified with the help of so-called relabelling functions.

The purpose of this note is to study what the change from Eulerian to Lagrangian variables means in terms of the isospectral problem underlying the 2CH system. It is known [10, 15, 35, 36] that the 2CH system can be written as the condition of compatibility for the overdetermined system

$$-\psi_{xx} + \frac{1}{4}\psi = z(u - u_{xx})\psi + z^2\rho^2\psi,$$
(1.5a)

$$\psi_t = \frac{1}{2}u_x\psi - \left(\frac{1}{2z} + u\right)\psi_x.$$
(1.5b)

In particular, the spectrum associated with (1.5a) is invariant under the 2CH flow. We will see in Lemma 3.2 that the isospectral problem, i.e. the differential equation (1.5a) can be rewritten as a particular equivalent first order system. It then turns out that a normalizing standard transformation (which is well-known in the theory of canonical systems [44, Section 4]) takes this system to another equivalent first order system that only involves the Lagrangian variables (y, U, h, r) as coefficients; see Lemma 3.3. Moreover, relabelling of Lagrangian variables simply amounts to an elementary reparametrization of the first order system; see Lemma 3.4. From this point of view, the relation between Eulerian and Lagrangian variables can be understood as different kinds of normalizations of the same (i.e. equivalent up to reparametrizations) first order system. Lagrangian coordinates (in \mathcal{F}_0) correspond to trace normalization of an associated weight matrix and Eulerian coordinates correspond to normalization of its bottom-right entry.

That our newly obtained first order system (3.10) indeed serves as an isospectral problem for the Lagrangian version of the 2CH system is then shown in Section 4. More precisely, we will see that the system (1.4) turns out to be completely integrable in the sense that it can be reformulated as the compatibility condition for an overdetermined system.

Notation

For integrals of a continuous function f with respect to a Radon measure ν on \mathbb{R} , we will employ the convenient notation

$$\int_{x}^{y} f dv = \begin{cases} \int_{[x,y)} f dv, & y > x, \\ 0, & y = x, \\ -\int_{[y,x)} f dv, & y < x, \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

rendering the integral left-continuous as a function of y. If f is even locally absolutely continuous on \mathbb{R} and g denotes a left-continuous distribution function of v, then we have the integration by parts formula

$$\int_{x}^{y} f dv = g f|_{x}^{y} - \int_{x}^{y} g(s) f'(s) ds, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.7)

which can be found in [5, Exercise 5.8.112] for example.

2. From Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates

In this section, we will briefly outline the change from Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates for the twocomponent Camassa–Holm system. This has been done for the conservative case in [25], where the interested reader may find additional details. For the sake of simplicity and readability, we will only consider the case of vanishing spatial asymptotics, i.e. when the initial data (u_0, ρ_0) belongs to $H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$. It is well-known that even in the case of smooth initial data, wave breaking can occur within finite time, i.e. energy may concentrate on sets of Lebesgue measure zero. Dependent on how the concentrated energy is manipulated, one may obtain different kinds of global weak solutions, the most prominent ones being the conservative and dissipative ones; see [26]. For our purposes (i.e. viewing the two-component Camassa–Holm system as an integrable system), the conservative solutions are the appropriate choice. In this case, a detailed study of the interplay between Eulerian and Lagrangian coordinates from the wave breaking point of view can be found in [27].

In order to obtain a well-posed notion of global solutions, we need to take wave breaking into account by augmenting the Eulerian coordinates with a non-negative Radon measure μ describing the energy of a solution.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Eulerian coordinates) The set \mathcal{D} is composed of all triples (u, ρ, μ) such that u is a real-valued function in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, ρ is a non-negative function in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and μ is a non-negative and finite Radon measure on \mathbb{R} , whose absolutely continuous part μ_{ac} is given by

$$\mu_{\rm ac} = \left(u_x^2 + \rho^2\right) dx. \tag{2.1}$$

The main benefit of the change from Eulerian to Lagrangian coordinates lies in the fact that the measure μ turns into a function which allows to apply a generalized method of characteristics in a suitable Banach space to solve the two-component Camassa–Holm system in Lagrangian variables. Before introducing the set of Lagrangian coordinates \mathcal{F} , we have to define the set of relabelling functions, which will also enable us to identify equivalence classes in Lagrangian coordinates.

DEFINITION 2.2 (Relabeling functions) We denote by \mathcal{G} the subgroup of the group of homeomorphisms ϕ from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} such that

 ϕ - id and ϕ^{-1} - id both belong to $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, (2.2a)

and
$$\phi_{\xi} - 1$$
 belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. (2.2b)

The conditions required in this definition ensure that the relabelling functions ϕ are close to the identity in a certain sense.

DEFINITION 2.3 (Lagrangian coordinates) The set \mathcal{F} is composed of all quadruples of real-valued functions (y, U, h, r) such that

$$(\mathbf{y} - \mathrm{id}, U, h, r, \mathbf{y}_{\xi} - 1, U_{\xi}) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times [L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})]^{5},$$
(2.3a)

$$y_{\xi} \ge 0, h \ge 0, y_{\xi} + h > 0$$
 almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} , (2.3b)

$$y_{\xi}h = U_{\xi}^2 + r^2$$
 almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} , (2.3c)

$$y + H \in \mathcal{G},\tag{2.3d}$$

where we introduce *H* by setting $H(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} h(s) ds$.

With these definitions, we are now able to describe the transformation between the sets of Eulerian and Lagrangian coordinates.

DEFINITION 2.4 For any (u, ρ, μ) in \mathcal{D} we define (y, U, h, r) by

$$y(\xi) = \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x + \mu((-\infty, x)) < \xi\},$$
 (2.4a)

$$U(\xi) = u \circ y(\xi), \tag{2.4b}$$

$$h(\xi) = 1 - y_{\xi}(\xi),$$
 (2.4c)

$$r(\xi) = y_{\xi}(\xi) \rho \circ y(\xi). \tag{2.4d}$$

Then (y, U, h, r) belongs to \mathcal{F} and we denote by $L : \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ the mapping which to any $(u, \rho, \mu) \in \mathcal{D}$ associates $(y, U, h, r) \in \mathcal{F}$ as given by (2.4).

In order to get back from Lagrangian to Eulerian coordinates, we also introduce the following mapping, where the quantity $y_{\#}(v)$ denotes the push-forward by the function y of a Radon measure v on \mathbb{R} .

DEFINITION 2.5 For any (y, U, h, r) in \mathcal{F} we define (u, ρ, μ) by

$$u(x) = U(\xi)$$
 for any ξ such that $x = y(\xi)$, (2.5a)

$$\mu = y_{\#}(h(\xi)d\xi), \tag{2.5b}$$

$$\rho(x)dx = y_{\#}(r(\xi)d\xi). \tag{2.5c}$$

Then (u, ρ, μ) belongs to \mathcal{D} and we denote by $M : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{D}$ the mapping which to any $(y, U, h, r) \in \mathcal{F}$ associates $(u, \rho, \mu) \in \mathcal{D}$ as given by (2.5).

We say that X and $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{F}$ are equivalent, if there exists a relabelling function $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\hat{X} = X \circ \phi$, where $X \circ \phi$ denotes $(y \circ \phi, U \circ \phi, \phi_{\xi} \cdot h \circ \phi, \phi_{\xi} \cdot r \circ \phi)$. Upon taking equivalence classes in \mathcal{F} , it turns out that the mappings L and M are inverse to each other. In particular, if we introduce the class

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{ X \in \mathcal{F} \mid y + H = \mathrm{id} \}, \tag{2.6}$$

then \mathcal{F}_0 contains exactly one representative of each equivalence class in \mathcal{F} . Moreover, one readily sees that the range of the mapping L is precisely the set \mathcal{F}_0 and hence

$$M \circ L = \mathrm{id}_D$$
 and $L \circ M = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}_0}$

5

The reformulation of the two-component Camassa–Holm system in Lagrangian coordinates (for conservative solutions) is given by (1.4) and admits a continuous semigroup of solutions. Denoting by $S_t(X_0)$ the solution at time *t* with initial data $X(0) = X_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, one has

$$S_t(X_0 \circ \phi) = S_t(X_0) \circ \phi \tag{2.7}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ (i.e. the time evolution respects equivalence classes in \mathcal{F}). Upon going back to Eulerian coordinates, we obtain a continuous semigroup $M \circ S_t \circ L$ of solutions in \mathcal{D} that gives rise to global conservative weak solutions of the two-component Camassa–Holm system (1.3).

3. Transformations of the isospectral problem

Throughout this section, we fix some $(u, \rho, \mu) \in \mathcal{D}$ and define ω in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\omega(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x)h(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x(x)h_x(x)dx, \quad h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}),$$
(3.1)

so that $\omega = u - u_{xx}$ in a distributional sense, as well as a non-negative and finite Radon measure v on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\mu(B) = \int_{B} u_{x}(x)^{2} dx + \upsilon(B)$$
(3.2)

for every Borel set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let us point out that it is always possible to recover the triple (u, ρ, μ) from the distribution ω and the measure v. The isospectral problem for smooth solutions of the two-component Camassa–Holm system has the form

$$-f_{xx} + \frac{1}{4}f = z\,\omega f + z^2 \upsilon f, \tag{3.3}$$

where z is a complex spectral parameter. Moreover, there are good reasons (see [18, 19] as well as Section 4) to expect that it also serves as an isospectral problem for global conservative solutions of the two-component Camassa–Holm system [25].

Of course, due to the low regularity of the coefficients, the differential equation (3.3) has to be understood in a distributional sense; cf. [18, 20, 24, 42].

DEFINITION 3.1 A solution of (3.3) is a function $f \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_x(x)h_x(x)dx + \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)h(x)dx = z\,\omega(fh) + z^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} fh\,d\upsilon$$
(3.4)

for every function $h \in H^1_c(\mathbb{R})$.

We will first show that, as long as z is non-zero, the differential equation (3.3) can be transformed into an equivalent first order system of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} F_x = \begin{pmatrix} u - \frac{1}{4z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} F + z \begin{pmatrix} u_x^2 & u_x \\ u_x & 1 \end{pmatrix} F + z \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} F.$$
(3.5)

Since v may be a genuine measure, this system has to be understood as a measure differential equation [2, 4, 22, 40] in general: A solution of the system (3.5) is a function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^2$ which is locally of bounded variation with

$$-F\Big|_{x_1}^{x_2} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ u(s) - \frac{1}{4z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} F(s)ds + z \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \begin{pmatrix} -u_x(s) & -1\\ u_x(s)^2 & u_x(s) \end{pmatrix} F(s)ds + z \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Fd\upsilon \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, the first component of *F* is clearly locally absolutely continuous, whereas the second component is only left-continuous; cf. (1.6).

LEMMA 3.2 If the function f is a solution of the differential equation (3.3), then there is a unique left-continuous function $f^{[1]}$ such that

$$f^{[1]}(x) = f_x(x) - zu_x(x)f(x)$$
(3.7)

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and the function

$$\begin{pmatrix} zf\\ f^{[1]} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.8}$$

is a solution of the system (3.5). Conversely, if the function F is a solution of the system (3.5), then its first component is a solution of the differential equation (3.3).

Proof. Upon integrating by parts in (3.4), we first note that a function $f \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (3.3) if and only if there is a $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f_x(x) = d + \frac{1}{4} \int_c^x f(s)ds - z \int_c^x u(s)f(s) + u_x(s)f_x(s)\,ds + zu_x(x)f(x) - z^2 \int_c^x f\,d\upsilon$$
(3.9)

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So if f is a solution of (3.3), then this guarantees that there is a unique left-continuous function $f^{[1]}$ such that (3.7) holds for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It is straightforward to show that the function in (3.8) is a solution of the system (3.5).

Now suppose that *F* is a solution of the system (3.5) and denote the respective components with subscripts. The first component of the integral equation (3.6) shows that F_1 belongs to $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$zF_2(x) = F_{1,x}(x) - zu_x(x)F_1(x)$$

for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In combination with the second component of (3.6) this shows that (3.9) holds with f replaced by F_1 for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{C}$ and almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which shows that F_1 is a solution of the differential equation (3.3).

7

J. ECKHARDT AND K. GRUNERT

Except for the potential term (i.e. the first term on the right-hand side), the equivalent first order system (3.5) has the form of a canonical system; we only mention a small selection of references [1, 17, 32, 37, 39, 41, 43, 44]. If the measure v is absolutely continuous, then it is well known (see, e.g. [44, Section 4]) that the system (3.5) can be transformed (by a reparametrization) into an equivalent system with a trace normed weight matrix (i.e. the matrix multiplying the spectral parameter on the right-hand side). This is furthermore true in the general case upon slightly modifying the transformation; see [20, Proof of Theorem 6.1]. In fact, upon denoting with $X = (y, U, h, r) \in \mathcal{F}_0$ the Lagrangian quantities corresponding to (u, ρ, μ) as in Definition 2.4, it turns out that this transformation takes the system (3.5) into an equivalent system of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} G_{\xi} = y_{\xi} \begin{pmatrix} U - \frac{1}{4z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} G + z \begin{pmatrix} H_{\xi} & U_{\xi} \\ U_{\xi} & y_{\xi} \end{pmatrix} G.$$
 (3.10)

One notes that the weight matrix is now a trace normed (i.e. with trace equal to one almost everywhere) locally integrable function with determinant

$$H_{\xi}y_{\xi} - U_{\xi}^{2} = y_{\xi}^{2} \rho^{2} \circ y = r^{2}$$
(3.11)

in view of (2.3c). Thus, the system (3.10) can be understood in a standard sense.

LEMMA 3.3 Let σ be the distribution function on \mathbb{R} defined by

$$\sigma(x) = x + \mu((-\infty, x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$
(3.12)

so that y is a generalized inverse of σ . If the function F is a solution of the system (3.5), then the function G defined by

$$G(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ z(\sigma \circ y(\xi) - \xi) & 1 \end{pmatrix} F \circ y(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$
(3.13)

is a solution of the system (3.10). Conversely, if the function *G* is a solution of the system (3.10), then (3.13) defines a function *F* that is a solution of the system (3.5).

Proof. To begin with, let us note the simple identities

$$y \circ \sigma(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R};$$
 $\sigma \circ y(\xi) = \xi, \quad \xi \in \operatorname{ran}(\sigma).$

In particular, since *y* is locally constant on $\mathbb{R}\setminus \operatorname{ran}(\sigma)$, this gives the equality

$$y_{\xi}(\xi)G(\xi) = y_{\xi}(\xi)F \circ y(\xi)$$
 (3.14)

for almost all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, if *F* and *G* are related by (3.13). The remaining ingredients are two substitution formulas: Firstly, for every function $h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\int_{y(\xi_1)}^{y(\xi_2)} h(s)ds = \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} y_{\xi}(s)h \circ y(s)ds, \quad \xi_1, \, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$
(3.15)

A LAGRANGIAN VIEW ON COMPLETE INTEGRABILITY

according to, e.g. [5, Corollary 5.4.4]. Secondly, we will also use the identity

$$\int_{\sigma(x_1)}^{\sigma(x_2)} h \circ y(s) ds = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} h(s) ds + \int_{x_1}^{x_2} h(s) d\mu(s), \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$
(3.16)

which holds for all continuous functions h on \mathbb{R} (see, e.g. [5, Theorem 3.6.1])

Now suppose that *F* is a solution of the system (3.5) and let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the integral equation (3.6), identity (3.14) as well as (3.15) and (3.16) give

$$-F\Big|_{y(\xi_1)}^{y(\xi_2)} = \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} y_{\xi}(s) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ U(s) - \frac{1}{4z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} G(s)ds + z \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \begin{pmatrix} -U_{\xi}(s) & -y_{\xi}(s)\\ -y_{\xi}(s) & U_{\xi}(s) \end{pmatrix} G(s)ds + z \int_{\sigma \circ y(\xi_1)}^{\sigma \circ y(\xi_2)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} G(s)ds.$$

Moreover, since y is constant on $[\sigma \circ y(\xi_i), \xi_i]$ when $\xi_i \notin ran(\sigma)$ we have

$$z(\xi_i - \sigma \circ y(\xi_i))F_1 \circ y(\xi_i) = z \int_{\sigma \circ y(\xi_i)}^{\xi_i} F_1 \circ y(s) ds = z \int_{\sigma \circ y(\xi_i)}^{\xi_i} G_1(s) ds$$

for i = 1, 2. After a straightforward calculation, all this finally gives

$$-G\Big|_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} = \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} y_{\xi}(s) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ U(s) - \frac{1}{4z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} G(s)ds + z \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \begin{pmatrix} -U_{\xi}(s) & -y_{\xi}(s)\\ H_{\xi}(s) & U_{\xi}(s) \end{pmatrix} G(s)ds,$$
(3.17)

which shows that G is a solution of the system (3.10).

For the converse, suppose that G is a solution of the system (3.10). In order to show that a function F is well-defined by (3.13), let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $y(\xi_1) = y(\xi_2)$ and assume that $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2$ without loss of generality. Then the functions y and U are constant on the interval $[\xi_1, \xi_2]$ and we readily infer that

$$G_1(\xi_1) = G_1(\xi_2), \qquad -G_2\Big|_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} = z(\xi_2 - \xi_1)G_1(\xi_1)$$

which shows that *F* is well-defined (also note that the range of *y* is all of \mathbb{R}). Now for any given $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we may evaluate

$$-F\Big|_{x_1}^{x_2} = -G\Big|_{\sigma(x_1)}^{\sigma(x_2)}$$

using the integral equation (3.17), identity (3.14) as well as (3.15) and (3.16) to see that F is a solution of the system (3.5).

Note that the matrix in (3.13) disappears if the measure v is absolutely continuous and the transformation in Lemma 3.3 becomes a simple reparametrization.

In order to show what relabelling means in terms of the isospectral problem, let $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ be a relabelling function and consider the relabelled Lagrangian variables $\hat{X} = X \circ \phi$ as in Section 2. Then it is not hard

to see that the original system (3.10) is indeed equivalent to the corresponding system with relabelled variables

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{G}_{\xi} = \hat{y}_{\xi} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{U} - \frac{1}{4z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{G} + z \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_{\xi} & \hat{U}_{\xi} \\ \hat{U}_{\xi} & \hat{y}_{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \hat{G},$$
(3.18)

by means of the following simple transformation.

LEMMA 3.4 A function G is a solution of the system (3.10) if and only if the function $\hat{G} = G \circ \phi$ is a solution of the system (3.18).

Proof. The claim follows immediately upon applying the substitution rule [5, Corollary 5.4.4] to the integral equation (3.17).

Concluding, we have seen that (3.5) and (3.10) represent the same (i.e. equivalent up to reparametrizations) first order system with different kinds of normalizations. The Lagrangian coordinates in \mathcal{F}_0 correspond to trace normalization of the weight matrix, whereas the Eulerian coordinates in \mathcal{D} correspond to normalization of the bottom-right entry in the weight matrix.

4. Complete integrability

In this final section, we will show that the Lagrangian version (1.4) of the two-component Camassa–Holm system is completely integrable in the sense that it can be formulated as the condition of compatibility for an overdetermined linear system. To this end, let us first write down the corresponding reformulation of the two-component Camassa–Holm system (1.3) as a condition of compatibility: For sufficiently smooth functions u, ρ and p, we set

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ \frac{1}{4z} - u & 0 \end{pmatrix} - z \begin{pmatrix} -u_x & -1\\ u_x^2 + \rho^2 & u_x \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.1)

$$W = \frac{1}{4z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u - \frac{1}{2z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} + zu \begin{pmatrix} -u_x & -1 \\ u_x^2 + \rho^2 & u_x \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.2)

Then a straightforward calculation shows that

$$V_{t} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_{t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - z \begin{pmatrix} -u_{xt} & 0 \\ 2u_{x}u_{xt} + 2\rho\rho_{t} & u_{xt} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.3)

$$W_{x} = \frac{1}{4z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ p_{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + zu_{x} \begin{pmatrix} -u_{x} & -1 \\ u_{x}^{2} + \rho^{2} & u_{x} \end{pmatrix} + zu \begin{pmatrix} -u_{xx} & 0 \\ 2u_{x}u_{xx} + 2\rho\rho_{x} & u_{xx} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.4)

$$[V,W] = \frac{1}{4z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ uu_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} u^2 - p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2u_x & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{z}{2} \begin{pmatrix} u_x^2 + \rho^2 & 2u_x \\ 0 & - \begin{pmatrix} u_x^2 + \rho^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.5)

Upon collecting equal powers of z, we see that the condition of compatibility

$$V_t - W_x + [V, W] = 0 (4.6)$$

for the overdetermined system

$$\Psi_x = V\Psi, \qquad \qquad \Psi_t = W\Psi, \qquad (4.7)$$

is indeed equivalent to the two-component Camassa-Holm system (1.3).

Now suppose that the functions y, U, H and P are sufficiently smooth and define

$$N = y_{\xi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4z} - U & 0 \end{pmatrix} - z \begin{pmatrix} -U_{\xi} & -y_{\xi} \\ H_{\xi} & U_{\xi} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.8)

$$M = \frac{1}{2z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ U - \frac{1}{4z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ U^2 - P & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.9)

Then one readily computes that

$$N_{t} = \frac{1}{4z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y_{\xi t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ U_{t}y_{\xi} + Uy_{\xi t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - z \begin{pmatrix} -U_{\xi t} & -y_{\xi t} \\ H_{\xi t} & U_{\xi t} \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.10)

$$M_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ U_{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2UU_{\xi} - P_{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.11)

$$[N,M] = \frac{1}{4z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ U_{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ UU_{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} U^2 - P \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -y_{\xi} & 0 \\ 2U_{\xi} & y_{\xi} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{z}{2} \begin{pmatrix} H_{\xi} & 2U_{\xi} \\ 0 & -H_{\xi} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.12)

Again, upon collecting equal powers of z, the condition of compatibility

$$N_t - M_{\xi} + [N, M] = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

for the overdetermined system

$$\Psi_{\xi} = N\Psi, \qquad \qquad \Psi_t = M\Psi, \qquad (4.14)$$

is seen to be equivalent to the system

$$y_{\xi t} = U_{\xi}, \tag{4.15a}$$

$$U_{\xi t} = \frac{1}{2} H_{\xi} + (U^2 - P) y_{\xi}, \qquad (4.15b)$$

$$H_{\xi t} = 2(U^2 - P)U_{\xi}, \tag{4.15c}$$

$$U_t y_{\xi} = -P_{\xi}. \tag{4.15d}$$

Note that in this case, the function P is determined by y, U, H to the extent that

$$y_{\xi}P_{\xi\xi} - y_{\xi\xi}P_{\xi} - y_{\xi}^{3}P = -\frac{1}{2}y_{\xi}^{2}(2U^{2}y_{\xi} + H_{\xi}), \qquad (4.16)$$

which follows upon combining (4.15d) and (4.15b). We finally want to show that this system indeed reduces to the system (1.4) under the additional assumption that the functions y - id, U, H and P

11

(as well as their derivatives) decay spatially. In fact, it is immediate that the system (1.4) implies (4.15). Conversely, given a solution of the system (4.15), we first obtain (1.4a) from (4.15a) upon exploiting the decay assumption. Furthermore, one sees that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$P(\xi,t) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|y(\xi,t)-y(s,t)|} (2U^2 y_{\xi} + h)(s,t) ds, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$
(4.17)

since the function on the right-hand side in this equation is a solution of (4.16) as well (also take into account that both functions in this equation are constant whenever y is constant). In view of (4.15c), this shows that (1.4c) holds with P given by (1.4e). Because we clearly have

$$P_{\xi}(\xi,t) = y_{\xi}(\xi,t)Q(\xi,t), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(4.18)$$

with Q defined as in (1.4f), we see from (4.15d) that (1.4b) holds whenever y_{ξ} is non-zero. If y is constant on some interval, then (4.15b) and (1.4f) show that

$$U_{t\xi} = \frac{1}{2}H_{\xi} = -Q_{\xi}, \tag{4.19}$$

which implies that (1.4b) holds everywhere indeed. It remains to note that the time evolution of r can be derived by using $r^2 = y_{\xi}h - U_{\xi}^2$, which yields $2rr_t = 0$.

Funding

Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant No. J3455; and the Research Council of Norway under the grant Waves and Nonlinear Phenomena (WaNP).

REFERENCES

- 1. AROV, D. Z. & DYM, H. (1997) J-inner matrix functions, interpolation and inverse problems for canonical systems, I: foundations. *Integr. Equat. Operat. Theor.*, 29, 373–454.
- ATKINSON, F. V. (1964) Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems. Mathematics in science and engineering, vol. 8. New York-London: Academic Press.
- 3. BEALS, R., SATTINGER, D. H. & SZMIGIELSKI, J. (2000) Multipeakons and the classical moment problem. *Adv. Math.*, 154, 229–257.
- 4. BENNEWITZ, C. (1989) Spectral asymptotics for Sturm–Liouville equations. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (3) 59, 294–338.
- 5. BOGACHEV V. I. (2007) Measure Theory, vol. I, II. Berlin: Springer.
- 6. BRESSAN, A. & CONSTANTIN, A. (2007) Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 183, 215–239.
- BRESSAN, A. & CONSTANTIN, A. (2007) Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation. Anal. Appl. (Singap.), 5, 1–27.
- 8. CAMASSA, R. & HOLM, D. D. (1993) An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **71**, 1661–1664.
- CAMASSA, R., HOLM, D. D. & HYMAN, J. (1994) A new integrable shallow water equation. Adv. Appl. Mech., 31, 1–33.
- CHEN, M., LIU, S. & ZHANG, Y. (2006) A two-component generalization of the Camassa–Holm equation and its solutions. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 75, 1–15.

- 11. CONSTANTIN, A. (2001) On the scattering problem for the Camassa–Holm equation. R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., 457, 953–970.
- 12. CONSTANTIN, A. & ESCHER, J. (1998) Global existence and blow-up for a shallow water equation. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 26, 303–328.
- CONSTANTIN, A. & ESCHER, J. (1998) Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations. Acta Math., 181, 229–243.
- 14. CONSTANTIN, A. & ESCHER, J. (2000) On the blow-up rate and the blow-up set of breaking waves for a shallow water equation. *Math. Z.*, 233, 75–91.
- CONSTANTIN, A. & IVANOV, R. I. (2008) On an integrable two-component Camassa–Holm shallow water system. *Phys. Lett. A* 372, 7129–7132.
- CONSTANTIN, A. & LANNES, D. (2009) The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa–Holm and Degasperis– Processi equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 192, 165–186.
- 17. DE BRANGES L. (1968) Hilbert Spaces of Entire Functions. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- **18.** ECKHARDT, J. (2017) The inverse spectral transform for the conservative Camassa–Holm flow with decaying initial data. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **224**, 21–52.
- **19.** ECKHARDT, J. & KOSTENKO, A. (2014) An isospectral problem for global conservative multi-peakon solutions of the Camassa–Holm equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **329**, 893–918.
- **20.** ECKHARDT, J. & KOSTENKO, A. (2016) The inverse spectral problem for indefinite strings. *Invent. Math.*, **204**, 939–977.
- **21.** ECKHARDT, J. & TESCHL, G. (2013) On the isospectral problem of the dispersionless Camassa–Holm equation. *Adv. Math.*, **235**, 469–495.
- ECKHARDT, J. & TESCHL, G. (2013) Sturm–Liouville operators with measure-valued coefficients. J. Anal. Math., 120, 151–224.
- FOKAS, A. S. & FUCHSSTEINER, B. (1981/82) Symplectic structures, their Bäcklund transformations and hereditary symmetries. *Phys. D* 4, 47–66.
- 24. GESZTESY, F. & WEIKARD, R. (2014) Some remarks on the spectral problem underlying the Camassa–Holm hierarchy. *Operator Theory in Harmonic and Non-commutative Analysis* (J. A. Ball, M. A. Dritschel, A. F. M. ter Elst, P. Portal & D. Potapov eds). Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 240. Cham: Birkhäuser/Springer, pp. 137–188.
- 25. GRUNERT, K., HOLDEN, H. & RAYNAUD, X. (2012) Global solutions for the two-component Camassa–Holm system. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equat.*, 37, 2245–2271.
- **26.** GRUNERT, K., HOLDEN, H. & RAYNAUD, X. (2015) A continuous interpolation between conservative and dissipative solutions for the two-component Camassa–Holm system. *Forum Math. Sigma* 3, e1, 73 pages.
- 27. GRUNERT, K. (2015) Blow-up for the two-component Camassa-Holm system. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 35, 2041–2051.
- GUAN, C. & YIN, Z. (2010) Global existence and blow-up phenomena for an integrable two-component Camassa– Holm shallow water system. J. Differ. Equat., 248, 2003–2014.
- GUI, G. & LIU, Y. (2010) On the global existence and wave-breaking criteria for the two-component Camassa– Holm system. J. Funct. Anal., 258, 4251–4278.
- **30.** GUI, G. & LIU, Y. (2011) On the Cauchy problem for the two-component Camassa–Holm system. *Math. Z.*, **268**, 45–66.
- **31.** GUO, Z. & ZHOU, Y. (2010) On solutions to a two-component generalized Camassa–Holm equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **124**, 307–322.
- 32. HASSI, S., DE SNOO, H. & WINKLER, H. (2000) Boundary-value problems for two-dimensional canonical systems. *Integr. Equat. Operat. Theor.*, 36, 445–479.
- **33.** HOLDEN, H. & RAYNAUD, X. (2007) Global conservative solutions of the Camassa–Holm equation—a Lagrangian point of view. *Comm. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **32**, 1511–1549.
- 34. HOLDEN, H. & RAYNAUD, X. (2009) Dissipative solutions for the Camassa-Holm equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 24, 1047–1112.
- **35.** HOLM, D. D. & IVANOV, R. I. (2010) Multi-component generalizations of the CH equation: geometrical aspects, peakons and numerical examples. *J. Phys. A* **43**, 492001, 20 pp.

- 36. HOLM, D. D. & IVANOV, R. I. (2011) Two-component CH system: inverse scattering, peakons and geometry. *Inverse Probl.*, 27, 045013, 19 pp.
- 37. KATS, I.S. (1983) Linear relations generated by canonical differential equations. Funct. Anal. Appl., 17, 315–317.
- 38. LENELLS, J. (2005) Conservation laws for the Camassa–Holm equation. J. Phys. A 38, 869–880.
- **39.** LESCH, M. & MALAMUD, M. M. (2003) On the deficiency indices and self-adjointness of symmetric Hamiltonian systems. *J. Differ. Equ.*, **189**, 556–615.
- 40. PERSSON, J. (1988) Fundamental theorems for linear measure differential equations. Math. Scand. 62, 19–43.
- 41. ROMANOV, R. Canonical systems and de Branges spaces. arXiv: 1408.6022, 74 pp.
- 42. SAVCHUK, A. M. & SHKALIKOV, A. A. Sturm–Liouville operators with distribution potentials. *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, 2003, 143–190.
- 43. WINKLER, H. (2015) Two-Dimensional Hamiltonian Systems, in Operator Theory. Basel: Springer, pp. 525–547.
- 44. WINKLER, H. & WORACEK, H. (2012) Reparametrizations of non trace-normed Hamiltonians. Spectral Theory, Mathematical System Theory, Evolution Equations, Differential and Difference Equations (W. Arendt, J. A. Ball, J. Behrndt, K.-H. Förster, V. Mehrmann & C. Trunk eds). Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 221. Basel: Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, pp. 667–690.
- **45.** YUEN, M. (2012) Perturbational blowup solutions to the 2-component Camassa–Holm equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **390**, 596–602.
- **46.** ZHANG, P. & LIU, Y. (2010) Stability of solitary waves and wave-breaking phenomena for the two-component Camassa–Holm system. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, **11**, 1981–2021.