

Original Research Article

Prevalence and Predictors of Age Related Macular Degeneration in the Population of Punjab: North Indian Age Related Macular Degeneration Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic Study (NI-ARMEMS)

Ritu Sharma¹, Kanchan Mehta¹, Jaswinder Singh Bhatti², Sarabajit Mastana³, Monica Singh¹, Puneetpal Singh¹

¹Department of Human Genetics, Punjabi University, Patiala ²Department of Biotechnology, Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Chandigarh ³Human Genomics Lab, SSEHS, Loughborough University, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, UK

Corresponding Author: Puneetpal Singh

ABSTRACT

Background: Age related macular degeneration (AMD) is an ocular disease that is threatening elderly population of Punjab for vision impairment and blindness. Comprehensive understanding of the susceptible factors still remains to be explored in this region.

Objective: To examine the risk variables which are independently associated with the risk of AMD along with the investigation of its prevalence in the population of Punjab.

Methods: A case-control study by design involved 416 subjects (cases; 219, controls; 197) of age ranging from 45 to 75 years. Various risk factors were investigated for their role in consenting and confirmed AMD subjects along with controls.

Results: In the univariate full factorial regression analysis, advancing age (\geq 66years), being a woman, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (>80mmHg), cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking, body mass index (BMI) (23-29.9Kgm⁻² and \geq 30Kgm⁻²), sedentary life style, total cholesterol (>200mg/dl), low density lipoproteins (>100mg/dl), high density lipoproteins (\geq 40mg/dl), non-vegetarian diet and positive family history were found to be risky determinants. Multivariable stepwise regression analysis revealed age \geq 66 years, DBP > 80mmHg, alcohol drinking and smoking as independent predictors for the risk of AMD.

Conclusion: Considerable prevalence of dry AMD (20.5%) is evident in the population of Punjab which is mediated independently by age (≥ 66 years), DBP (>80 mmHg), alcohol drinking and smoking.

Key Words: Prevalence of Age related macular degeneration, Independent predictors, Punjab, North India

INTRODUCTION

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a spectrum of age related changes of macula which starts with the presence of few small drusen (subretinal lipid and protein containing deposits) to the most advanced stages with abnormal neovascularization accompanied by vision loss. ^[1] AMD is recognized by two forms. Dry or atrophic macular degeneration, in which atrophy of retinal pigment epithelium

(RPE) results in untreatable progressive vision loss. Wet or neovascular macular degeneration is characterized by intra retinal invasion of blood vessels from the choroid, which causes retinal bleeding and dense macular scars. The most prevalent form of AMD in India is dry or atrophic AMD.^[2]

WHO estimated that 20-25 million people are suffering from AMD, out of which 8 million people have severe visual impairment. ^[3] According to the projections

by WHO, 196 million people will have AMD in 2020, which will rise to 288 million by 2040, if remains untreated and unmanaged. ^[4] This dreadful disease alone is responsible for 8.7 percent of global blindness and its impact is severe in the developing countries, especially in India. According to three significant reports by Aravind Comprehensive Eye Study, ^[5] Andhra Pradesh Eye Study ^[6] and India Eye Study, ^[7] prevalence of AMD ranges between 1.4 to 1.8 percent within India.

India has the second highest population of the world accounting for 23.5 percent of global blindness ^[8] which effective suggests that risk factor surveillance and preventive policies are required. Moreover, these risk factors may vary according to diverse eating patterns, dissimilar ethnicities, different environments and heterogeneous work understanding cultures. In depth of significant risk factors and their modus operandii will usher us to develop efficient strategies for its better care and management. Albeit, scientists are mulling over the pathological causes and consequences of AMD, investigation of region specific risk correlates are still inadequate to draw some conclusions. The information on the prevalence of AMD and its affiliated predictors has not been examined hitherto in Northwest region of India which has been hypothesized to investigate in the present cross sectional study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present case control study comprised of 416 subjects who had come regular eve checkups for in the outpatient ophthalmology departments (OPDs) of various hospitals of Punjab including Rajindra Government Medical College and Hospital (GMCH), Patiala, G.S. Randhawa Eye Hospital and Lasik Centre, Patiala, Hans Eye Hospital, Patiala, J.P Eye Hospital, Zirakpur, Dhami Eye Hospital, Ludhiana and Kalia Eye Hospital, Ferozepur. These hospitals provide specialized state of the art facilities to referral cases and cater to almost entire region of Punjab. Total 1135 subjects were screened out of which, 119 subjects were excluded because they refused to participate in the study. Subjects (n=105) who were aged less than 45 years, non-residents of Punjab, were also excluded from the study. Also, subjects (n=495) suffering from other associated diseases such as cataract. glaucoma, wet AMD, central serous chorioretinopathy, retinal detachment, cardiovascular disease, renal disease and diabetes were found to be ineligible for the participation in study. The final representative data included 416 participants who underwent detailed fundus examination (Fundoscopy) by the vitreo-retinal specialist. 219 subjects were included as cases who were diagnosed positive for AMD on the basis of drusen size (≥ 63 micron) and 197 subjects were diagnosed free from AMD, thus taken as controls. A written consent was obtained from all the subjects prior to the participation in the study. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee and strictly followed the rules of Helsinki declaration.

Statistical Analysis

Data values are indicated as absolute numbers. mean±SD and percentages in the parenthesis. Statistical difference between groups was examined using chi-square for categorical variables and student's t-test for continuous variables. A linear regression analysis was applied procedure) to determine (JLM the association between risk variables and AMD (dependent variable). Variables which showed linear relationship (P<0.05) with the dependent variable further were incorporated in multivariate backward stepwise regression analysis to identify the independent predictors. Insignificant variables were excluded to avoid any noise in the data and linearity diagnostic was done to determine variance inflation factor (VIF). The significance was checked at 5 percent level however, for multiple comparisons, Bonferroni correction was applied.

RESULTS

Table 1. General characteristics of study participants								
VARIABLES	Subjects with AMD (n=219)	Subjects without AMD (n=197)	Total (n=416)	P value				
AGE (years)	• •							
45-55	31 (14.16)	47 (23.86)	78 (18.75)	0.04				
56-65	68 (31.05)	56 (28.43)	124 (29.81)					
66-75	120 (54.79)	94 (47.72)	214 (51.44)					
MEAN AGE(years)	58.74± 8.76	55.91±8.11	57.40±8.57	< 0.001				
GENDER	•	•	•					
Men	147 (67.12)	101 (51.27)	248 (59.62)	0.05				
Women	72 (32.88)	96 (48.73)	168 (40.38)					
BLOOD PRESSURE (mmHg)	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •	•					
Systolic	139.54±34.61	118.75±23.46	131.67±28.52	< 0.001				
Diastolic	75.21±20.61	72.36±26.45	73.58±23.52	0.22				
EDUCATION LEVEL			•					
Matriculation	86(39.27)	75 (38.07)	161 (38.70)	0.97				
Secondary	91 (41.55)	83 (42.13)	174 (41.83)					
Graduation or Above	42 (19.18)	39 (19.80)	81 (19.47)					
SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS			• • • •					
High income	51 (23.29)	46 (23.35)	97 (23.32)	0.90				
Middle income	97 (44.29)	91 (46.19)	188 (45.19)					
Low income	71 (32.42)	60 (30.46)	131 (31.49)					
SMOKING STATUS				1				
Non-smokers	65 (29.68)	100 (50.76)	195 (46.88)	< 0.001				
Smokers	116 (52.97)	51 (25.89)	144 (34.62)					
Ex-smokers	38 (17.35)	46 (23.35)	77 (18.51)					
ALCOHOL DRINKING								
Non-drinkers	69 (31.51)	106 (53.81)	205 (49.28)	< 0.001				
Drinkers	106 (48.40)	44 (22.34)	130 (31.25)					
Ex-drinkers	44 (20.09)	47 (23.86)	81 (19.47)					
BODY MASS INDEX(Kgm ⁻²)	28.56±3.64	26.75±4.35	27.41±3.42	< 0.001				
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY								
Active	87 (39.73)	119 (60.41)	206 (49.52)	< 0.001				
Sedentary	132 (60.27)	78 (39.59)	210 (50.48)					
LIPID LEVELS (mg/dl)								
Total cholesterol	188.34±31.13	180.11±30.22	184.26±30.65	0.01				
Low density lipoproteins	149.12±46.7	147.52±45.9	148.37±46.7	0.66				
Triglycerides	151.19±72.9	145.65±71.4	148.41±71.8	0.40				
High density lipoproteins	47.21±45.2	43.26±33.9	45.23±4.1	< 0.001				
IRIS COLOR								
Dark	211 (96.35)	187 (94.92)	398 (95.67)	0.48				
Light	8 (3.65)	10 (5.08)	18 (4.33)					
EATING HABITS								
Vegetarian	63 (28.77)	76 (38.58)	139 (33.41)	0.03				
Non-vegetarian	156 (71.23)	121 (61.42)	277 (66.59)					
FAMILY HISTORY								
Yes	76 (34.70)	19 (9.64)	95 (22.84)	< 0.001				
No	60 (27.40)	82 (41.62)	142 (34.13)					
Unknown	83 (37.90)	96 (48.73)	179 (43.03)					
SUNLIGHT EXPOSURE								
<4 hour/day	59 (26.94)	78 (39.59)	137 (32.93)	0.01				
4-8 hour/day	56 (25.57)	43 (21.83)	106 (25.48)					
>8 hour/day	104 (47.49)	76 (38.58)	173 (41.59)					

AMD: Age related macular degeneration, Significance level P<0.05

The present study involved 219 subjects with AMD and 197 subjects without AMD confirmed on the basis of dilated pupil fundoscopy (size of drusen). 248 subjects (59.62percent) were men and 168 (40.38percent) were women. Mean age of the AMD subjects was 58.74 ± 8.76 and 55.91 ± 8.11 for subjects without AMD. Prevalence of AMD in the subjects ranging in age from 45-75 years was observed to be 20.5percent. Number of AMD patients increased substantially from 45 years onwards and maximum number of subjects with AMD fall in the 66-75 years age group. Higher levels of systolic blood pressure (SBP) (139.54 ± 34.61) were observed in AMD subjects in comparison to controls (118.75 ± 23.46) and the differences were found to be statistically significant (P<0.001) however, no differences were observed for diastolic blood pressure (DBP) between cases and controls. There were no significant differences for education level and socio-economic status between both the groups. It was observed that higher number of smokers and alcohol drinkers suffered from AMD in comparison to controls Significant dissimilarities (P<0.001). (P<0.001) were evident for body mass index (BMI) between both the groups, whereby AMD subjects were obese (28.56±3.64 Kgm^{-2}) in comparison to controls (26.75±4.35 Kgm^{-2}). Considerable differences (P<0.001) were observed in relation to physical activity. More subjects having sedentary life style (60.27percent) were present in AMD group in comparison to lesser subjects having sedentary life style (39.59percent) in control group. Levels of total cholesterol (TC) were observed to be higher (188.34 ± 31.13) in cases in controls (180.11±30.22) comparison to whereas higher levels of high density lipoproteins (HDLs) (47.21±45.2) were observed in cases with respect to lower levels in controls (43.26±33.9). These differences were found to be significant statistically (P<0.001). Iris color did not show any differences between cases and controls however, eating habits showed considerable differences (P=0.03). It is noticeable that those subjects who suffered from AMD had positive family history in comparison to those where no family history was found (P<0.001). It is clearly discernable that those subjects had more chances of having AMD who were exposed for more than 8 hours of sunlight per day than those subjects who had experienced lesser sunlight exposure (P=0.01) (Table 1).

Univariate regression analysis with full factorial model showed that subjects >56 years of age were at higher risk of macular degeneration (OR 1.84, 95%CI 1.04-3.27, P=0.05) which increased in the subjects having age group 66-75 years (OR 1.94, 95%CI 1.14-3.28, P=0.01). Being a woman was observed to be doubly susceptible for the risk of AMD (OR 2.54, 95%CI 1.67-3.86, P<0.001). Some variables such as systolic blood pressure, education level and socio-economic status did not impact the AMD pathology. Diastolic blood pressure augmented 2 fold higher risk of 95%CI AMD (OR 2.04, 1.24-3.35, P=0.006), smoking increases the risk by 3.5 fold (P<0.001) and drinking alcohol increased the risk by 3.7 times (P<0.001). Univariate analysis suggested obesity to be a risky proposition whereby, BMI>23Kgm⁻² enhanced the risk of AMD substantially (OR 1.99, 95%CI 1.30-3.04, P=0.002) which increased further in the subjects having BMI \geq 30Kgm⁻² (OR 2.51, 95%CI P=0.004). Subjects 1.38-4.55, having sedentary lifestyle were at 2.31 times higher risk of AMD (OR 2.31, 95%CI 1.56-3.43, P<0.001). Higher levels of total cholesterol (>200mg/dl), low density lipoproteins (LDLs) (>100mg/dl) and high density lipoproteins (≥40mg/dl) enhanced the AMD risk substantially (P<0.05). Higher triglyceride levels and light iris color failed to show any significant effect for AMD however, higher sunlight exposure (>4 hours/day) and non-vegetarian diet conferred considerable risk for AMD (P<0.05) (Table 2).

Collinearity statistics revealed variance inflation factor (VIF) less than three (VIF=1.80) which showed that there was no significant interaction between independent variable and the analysis is free from multicollinearity. All the univariate risk variables were analyzed bv multivariable logistic regression analysis in the backward stepwise pattern to identify those variables which are independently predicting the risk of AMD (Table 3). In this analysis, age ≥ 66 years emerged as an independent risk predictor which increased the risk by 5 times (OR 5.34, 95%CI 1.87-7.05, P=0.009). It was observed that every unit increase of age increased the risk of dependent variable (AMD risk) by 1.76 ± 0.53 times ($\beta\pm$ SE). Diastolic blood pressure >80mmHg appeared to be risky variable which augmented approximately 6 fold higher risk of AMD (OR 6.42, 95%CI 2.17-8.12, P=0.002). Those subjects who drank alcohol were observed to be at approximately 8 times higher risk of AMD

(OR 7.88, 95%CI 2.15-7.86, P=0.005) than those individuals who were non-drinkers. Cigarette smoking appeared to be the strongest independent risk factor which increased the risk of AMD by 8 times (OR 8.11, 95%CI 1.03-8.11, P<0.001) than those who did not smoke. β value suggested that every unit increase of smoking enhanced the AMD risk by 2.57±0.23 times (β ±SE).

Table 2. Disease association analysis for the risk of age related macular degeneration						
VARIABLES	Subjects with AMD (n=219)	Subjects without AMD (n=197)	OR (95% CI), P value			
AGE (years)						
45-55	31 (14.16)	47 (23.86)	Referent			
56-65	68 (31.05)	56 (28.43)	1.84 (1.04-3.27), P=0.05			
66-75	120 (54.79)	94 (47.72)	1.94 (1.14-3.28), P=0.01			
GENDER						
Men	147 (67.12)	101 (51.27)	Referent			
Women	72 (32.88)	96 (48.73)	2.54 (1.67-3.86), P<0.001			
BLOOD PRESSURE: SB	P					
≤120mmHg	131 (59.82)	112 (56.85)	Referent			
>120mmHg	88 (40.18)	85 (43.15)	0.89 (0.60-1.31), P=0.61			
BLOOD PRESSURE: DI	<u>SP</u>					
≤80mmHg	162 (73.97)	168 (85.28)	Referent			
>80mmHg	57 (26.03)	29 (14.72)	2.04 (1.24-3.35), P=0.006			
EDUCATION LEVEL	1					
Matriculation	86 (39.27)	75 (38.07)	Referent			
Secondary	91 (41.55)	83 (42.13)	0.96 (0.62-1.47), P=0.92			
Graduation or above	42 (19.18)	39 (19.80)	0.94 (0.55-1.60), P=0.92			
SOCIECONOMIC STAT	rus					
High income	51 (23.29)	46 (23.35)	Referent			
Middle income	97 (44.29)	91(46.19)	0.96 (0.59-1.57), P=0.97			
Low income	71 (32.42)	60 (30.46)	1.07 (0.63-1.81), P=0.91			
SMOKING STATUS						
Non-smokers	65 (29.68)	100 (50.76)	Referent			
Smokers	116 (52.97)	51 (25.89)	3.50 (2.22-5.51),P<0.001			
Ex-smokers	38 (17.35)	46 (23.35)	1.27 (0.75-2.16), P=0.45			
ALCOHOL DRINKING						
Non-drinkers	69 (31.51)	106 (53.81)	Referent			
Drinkers	106 (48.40)	44 (22.34)	3.70 (2.33-5.89), P<0.001			
Ex-drinkers	44 (20.09)	47 (23.86)	1.44 (0.86-2.40), P=0.21			
BMI (Kg/m²)	60 (01 51)					
<23	69 (31.51)	97 (49.24)	Referent			
23-29.9	109 (49.77)	77 (39.09)	1.99 (1.30-3.04), P=0.002			
≥ 30	41 (18.72)	23 (11.67)	2.51 (1.38-4.55), P=0.004			
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY	87 (20 72)	110 (60 41)	Deferrent			
Active	87 (39.73)	119 (60.41)	Referent			
Sedentary	132 (60.27)	78 (39.59)	2.31 (1.56-3.43), P<0.001			
LIPID LEVELS (mg/dl)	1					
	147 (67.10)	1(1(0172)	Deferrent			
≤200mg/di	14/ (6/.12)	161 (81.73)	Referent			
>200mg/di	12 (32.88)	36 (18.27)	2.19 (1.39-3.46), P=0.001			
Low density inpoproteins	44 (20.00)	55 (27.02)	Deferent			
$\geq 100 \text{mg/d1}$	44 (20.09)	33(27.92)	1.54(0.08, 2.42) D=0.008			
>100llig/di	175 (79.91)	142 (72.08)	1.34 (0.98-2.45), F=0.008			
<150mg/dl	74 (33 70)	80 (40 61)	Pafarant			
>150mg/dl	14(55.75)	117 (59 39)	1.34(0.90-2.00) P=0.18			
High density linoproteins	1 10 (00.21)	(57.57)	1.3+ (0.70-2.00), 1-0.10			
<10mg/dl	91 (41 55)	1/13 (72 50)	Referent			
>40mg/dl	128 (58 45)	54 (27 41)	1 88 (1 25-2 84) P-0 004			
	120 (00.10)	51(27.11)	1.00 (1.25 2.01); 1 =0.001			
Dark	211 (96 35)	187 (94 92)	Referent			
Light	8 (3 65)	10 (5 08)	0.71 (0.27-1.83) P=0.64			
EATING HABITS	0 (0.00)	10 (2100)	0111 (0127 1100), 1 0101			
Vegetarian	63 (28.77)	76 (38.58)	Referent			
Non-vegetarian	156 (71.23)	121 (61.42)	1.56 (1.03-2.34). P=0.04			
FAMILY HISTORY						
No	76 (34.70)	19 (9.64)	Referent			
Yes	60 (27.40)	82 (41.62)	5.47 (2.99-9.99), P<0.001			
Unknown	83 (37.90)	96 (48.73)	1.18 (0.76-1.84), P=0.53			
SUNLIGHT EXPOSURE						
<4 hour/day	59 (26.94)	78 (39.59)	Referent			
4-8 hour/day	56 (25.57)	43 (21.83)	1.72 (1.02-2.90), P=0.05			
>8 hour/day	104 (47.49)	76 (38.58)	1.81 (1.15-2.84), P=0.01			

Table 3. Multivariable backward stepwise regression analysis to determine factors independently associated with AMD.						
Variables	$\beta \pm SE$	OR	95% CI	Р		
Age \geq 66 years	1.76±0.53	5.34	1.87-7.05	0.009		
DBP > 80mmHg	1.90±0.75	6.42	2.17-8.12	0.002		
Alcohol drinking	2.38±0.82	7.88	2.15-7.86	0.005		
Smoking	2.57±0.23	8.11	1.03-8.11	< 0.001		

DBP: diastolic blood pressure, AMD: Age related macular degeneration, Significance level P<0.05

DISCUSSION

The present study has revealed that the prevalence of AMD is 20.5 percent in the population of Punjab. Almost 12 studies have been conducted to deduce the prevalence of AMD in different regions of India whereby, the range of AMD prevalence is observed to be from 1.8 percent in Karnataka to 47.8 percent in Maharashtra. Out of these 12 studies, 10 studies have depicted the prevalence of AMD in South Indian population, [9-18] whereas 2 studies are conducted in the population of North India. ^[18,19] This wide range of heterogeneity in the prevalence of AMD prompts that different sampling methods, diverse diagnostic criteria and several associated risk factors are responsible.

With the advancing age, there is an attenuation of functional retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and melanin content in retina. Heavy degradation of the RPE cells septuagenarians is evident in and octogenarians.^[20] Degradation and death of these RPEs begin to accumulate as lipofuscin and drusen. Hence, it is clearly believed that vision impairment in AMD progressively worsens with advancing age. ^[21] According to National Eye Institute (NEI), Maryland, USA, the prevalence of AMD is 2.1 percent in age group 40-49 years, whereas, it increases significantly to 35 percent in the subjects over 80 years of age. ^[22] It has been confirmed that age prevalence specific of AMD in quinquagenarians is 0.4 percent, which increases to 0.7 percent in sexagenarians, 2.3 percent in septuagenarians and further to 12 percent in octogenarians. ^[23] The present study in conformity with the above mentioned reports has revealed that advancing age is a significant risk factor for AMD which increases after every decade.

There are conflicting reports regarding female gender to be more susceptible for the risk of AMD. According to National Institute of Health (NIH), USA, two third of the worst affected AMD patients are women. ^[24] The present study has also revealed that females are at 2.5 times higher risk of AMD than males which is endorsed by the results of Age Related Macular Degeneration Study (AREDS), which has demonstrated that females are doubly vulnerable than males. ^[24]

It is consensus among scientists that smoking is very risky for the propagation of all ocular diseases especially glaucoma and AMD. The Rotterdam study has observed that smokers are at 6.6 fold higher risk of AMD than non-smokers and this number increases further with a number of pack years smoked. ^[25] Some other studies have also confirmed that relative risk of developing AMD is 5 times higher in subjects consuming 20-39 pack years. ^[26,27] Present study has revealed that it increases the risk of AMD by 3.5 percent in smokers than non-smokers and ex-smokers.

Several studies have documented that $BMI > 30 Kgm^{-2}$ is associated with early and neovascular AMD. [28-30] Primarily, fat adversely excessive body affects transport and deposition of carotenoids from blood to macula, which eventually reduces the level of macular pigments in retina. This reduction of pigments cannot prevent retina from oxidation hence, causes disruption of macula. In the present study, BMI levels >23Kgm⁻² upto 29Kgm⁻² and even higher levels $(\geq 30 \text{Kgm}^{-2})$ are significantly associated with the risk of AMD which justifies the fat related worst outcome of retinal degeneration.

It is controversial that whether alcohol drinking is associated with AMD risk or not. Reykjavik Eye Study (RES)^[31]

has suggested protective role of alcohol but when consumed in moderate amount, whereas, another study has observed higher AMD risk in subjects who consume higher amount of both beer and alcohol. ^[32] Present study has also observed that alcohol drinkers are at higher risk of AMD (OR 3.7, P<0.001).

Although there are several factors found to be univariately associated with the risk of AMD but after adjusting the effects of confounders, present study has revealed that advancing age (≥ 66 years), diastolic blood pressure (>80mmHg), smoking and alcohol drinking are independent predictors for the risk of AMD in the population of Punjab.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cruess A, Zlateva G, Xu X, Rochon S. Burden of illness of neovascular age-related macular degeneration in Canada. Can J Ophthalmol. 2007;42(6):836-43.
- 2. Sharma NK, Prabhakar S, Anand A. Age related macular degeneration–advances and trends. AnnlNeurosci. 2009;16(1):62-71.
- Chopdar A, Chakravarthy U, Verma D. Age related macular degeneration. Brit Med J. 2003;326(5):485–88.
- 4. Wong WL, Su X, Li X, *et al.* Global prevalence of age-related macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Global Health. 2014;2(2):106-16.
- 5. Nirmalan PK, Katz J, Robin AL, et al. Prevalence of vitreoretinal disorders in a rural population of Southern India: the Aravind Comprehensive Eye Study. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004;122:581–86.
- Krishnaiah S, Das T, Nirmalan PK, et al. Risk factors for age-related macular degeneration: findings from the Andhra Pradesh Eye Disease Study in South India. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2005;46(5):4442–449.
- Gupta SK, Murthy GV, Morrison N, et al. Prevalence of early and late age-related macular degeneration in a rural population in northern India: the INDEYE Feasibility Study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007;48: 1007–1011.

- Thylefors B, Negrel AD, Pararajasegaram R, Dadzie KY. Global data on blindness. Bull World Health Organ. 1995;73:115–21.
- Raman R, Pal SS, Ganesan S,*et al.* The prevalence and risk factors for age-related macular degeneration in rural-urban India, SankaraNethralaya Rural-Urban Age-related Macular degeneration study, Report No.1. Eye (Lond). 2016;30(5):688-97.
- Nidhi B, Mamatha BS, Padmaprabhu CA, Pallavi P, Vallikannan B. Dietary and lifestyle risk factors associated with agerelated macular degeneration: a hospital based study. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2013;61 (12):722-27.
- Kulkarni SR, Aghashe SR, Khandekar RB, Deshpande MD. Prevalence and determinants of age-related macular degeneration in the 50 years and older population: a hospital based study in Maharashtra, India. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2013;61(5):196-201.
- Gemmy Cheung CM, Li X, Cheng CY, *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors for agerelated macular degeneration in Indians: a comparative study in Singapore and India. Am J Ophthalmol. 2013;155(4):764-73.
- Nangia V, Jonas JB, Kulkarni M, Matin A. Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in rural central India: the Central India Eye and Medical Study. Retina. 2011;31(6):1179-85.
- 14. Gupta SK, Murthy GV, Morrison N, *et al.* Prevalence of early and late age-related macular degeneration in a rural population in northern India: the INDEYE feasibility study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2007; 48(3):1007-11.
- Srinivasan S, Swaminathan G, Kulothungan V, Sharma T, Raman R. The association of smokeless tobacco use and pack-years of smokeless tobacco with age-related macular degeneration in Indian population. CutanOculToxicol. 2017;36(3):253-58.
- 16. Krishnaiah S, Das T, Nirmalan PK, et al. Risk factors for age-related macular degeneration: findings from the Andhra Pradesh eye disease study in South India. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2005;46(12): 4442-449.
- Singare RP, Deshmukh S, Ughade SN, Thakre SB. Age-related macular degeneration: Prevalence and risk factors in elderly population (aged >60 years) in India. Int J Sci Res Pub. 2015;5(2):1-6.

- Jain IS, Prasad P, Gupta A, Ram J, Dhir SP. Senile macular degeneration in northern India. Indian J Ophthalmol. 1984;32(5):343-46.
- 19. Krishnan T, Ravindran RD, Murthy GV, *et al.* Prevalence of early and late age-related macular degeneration in India: the INDEYE study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010;51(2):701-17.
- 20. Dontsov AE, Sakina NL, Ostrovsky MA. Loss of Melanin by Eye Retinal Pigment Epithelium Cells Is Associated with Its Oxidative Destruction in Melanolipofuscin Granules. Biochemistry (Mosc). 2017; 82(8):916-924.
- 21. Klein R, Klein BE, Jensen SC, Meuer SM. The five-year incidence and progression of age-related maculopathy: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. Ophthalmology. 1997;104(1):7-21.
- 22. Age-Related Macular Degeneration (AMD)National Eye Institute (NEI). Arch Ophthalmol. 2004; 122.
- 23. Mehta S. Age-Related Macular Degeneration. Prim Care. 2015;42(3):377-91.
- Njirić S, Misljenović T, Mikulicić M, Pavicević L. Incidence of age related macular degeneration in correlation with age, sex and occupation. CollAntropol. 2007;1:107-10.
- Velilla S, García-Medina JJ, García-Layana A, *et al.* Smoking and age-related macular degeneration: review and update. J Ophthalmol.2013;3:895-147.

- Klein ML, Francis PJ, Ferris FL, Hamon SC, Clemons TE. Risk assessment model for development of advanced age-related macular degeneration. Arch Ophthalmol. 2011;129(12):1543-50.
- 27. Thapa R, Paudyal G, Shrestha MK, Gurung R, Ruit S. Age-related macular degeneration in Nepal. Kathmandu Univ. Med J (KUMJ). 2011;9(35):165-9.
- 28. Ersoy L, Ristau T, Lechanteur YT, *et al.* Nutritional risk factors for age-related macular degeneration. Biomed Res Int. 2014;14:413150.
- 29. Park SJ, Lee JH, Woo SJ, *et al.* Epidemiologic Survey Committee of the Korean Ophthalmologic Society. Agerelated macular degeneration: prevalence and risk factors from Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2008 through 2011. Ophthalmology. 2014; 121(9):1756-65.
- 30. Clemons TE, Milton RC, Klein R, Seddon JM, Ferris FL. Age-Related Eye Disease Study Research Group. Risk factors for the incidence of Advanced Age-Related Macular Degeneration in the Age-Related Eye Disease Study (AREDS) AREDS report no. 19. Ophthalmology. 2005;112(4):533-9.
- Adams MK, Chong EW, Williamson E, *et al.* 20/20-Alcohol and age-related macular degeneration: the Melbourne Collaborative Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2012; 176(4):289-98
- 32. Evans JR. Risk factors for age-related macular degeneration. ProgRetin Eye Res. 2001;20(2):227-53.

How to cite this article: Sharma R, Mehta K, Bhatti JS et.al. Prevalence and predictors of age related macular degeneration in the population of Punjab: north Indian age related macular degeneration epidemiology and molecular Genetic study (NI-ARMEMS). Int J Health Sci Res. 2018; 8(10):1-8.
