On growth and force: mechanical forces in development

Adrien Hallou^{1,2,3} & Thibaut Brunet⁴

1. Cavendish Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK.

2. Wellcome Trust/Cancer Research UK Gurdon Institute, University of Cambridge, CB2 1QN, UK.

3. Wellcome Trust/Medical Research Council Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 1QR.

4. Howard Hughes Medical Institute & the Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

Abstract

The EMBO/EMBL Symposium "Mechanical Forces in Development" was held in Heidelberg, Germany, on 3-6 July 2019. This interdisciplinary symposium brought together an impressive and diverse line-up of speakers seeking to address the origin and role of mechanical forces in development. Emphasising the importance of integrative approaches and theoretical simulations to obtain comprehensive mechanistic insights on complex morphogenetic processes, the meeting provided an ideal platform to discuss the concepts and methods of developmental mechanobiology in an era of fast technical and conceptual progress. Here, we summarise the concepts and findings discussed during the meeting, as well as the agenda it sets for the future of developmental mechanobiology.

Introduction

How an embryo, initially consisting of a single cell, can grow and shape itself into a complex organism is a question that has been tantalizing scientists for more than a century. In his 1917 opus magnum, *On Growth and Form,* the British polymath Sir D'Arcy Thompson, trying to provide an answer to this question, wrote: *'The form, then, of any portion of matter, whether it be living or dead, and the changes of form that are apparent in its growth, may in all cases alike be described as due to the action of force. In short, the form of an object is a "diagram of forces." (Thompson, 1917). A little more than a century later, the idea that mechanical forces act in concert at all scales to generate biological shapes remains more relevant than ever. This was vividly illustrated by the interdisciplinary community of scientists who gathered this summer in Heidelberg (Germany) to discuss the role of "Mechanical Forces in Development" at the EMBO|EMBL Symposium organised by Naama Barkai (Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel), Enrico Coen (John Innes Centre, UK), Carl-Philipp Heisenberg (IST, Austria) and Frank Schnorrer (IBDM, France).*

Thanks to the development of quantitative approaches and new techniques such as light sheet or superresolution microscopy, single cell "omics", genome editing techniques, optogenetics, mathematical modelling and deep learning, the field has advanced considerably over the last two decades (Heisenberg & Bellaïche, 2013). These advances have revolutionized how we watch and perturb morphogenetic events in an ever-expanding list of model organisms and *in vitro* systems. Maybe more importantly, and in spite of previously existing disciplinary divides, they have contributed to the field forging itself as a new discipline - "developmental mechanobiology" - wherein theory and experiment are intimately intertwined. Despite this progress, a number of questions remain open. How are mechanical forces generated and transmitted across scales? How are they sensed and converted into molecular signals? How are mechanosensors precisely tuned? as we review below, these issues were the focus of talks, poster sessions and intense discussions that took place during the meeting

Mechanics of cells and tissues

Mechanics in individual cells

Several speakers reported on progress in understanding the mechanobiology of individual cells. The keynote lecture by Ewa Paluch (University College London, UK) summarized her lab's long-standing efforts to determine the molecular composition and fine structure of the actomyosin cortex using proteomics (Biro et al., 2013) and super-resolution microscopy (Clark et al., 2013). She then discussed the effect of cortical tension on cell differentiation, showing that a decrease in tension correlates with, and is required for, the differentiation of embryonic stem cells via ERK signalling (De Belly et al., 2019). Verena Ruprecht (CRG Barcelona, Spain), building on her earlier study of a confinement-induced amoeboid mode of migration in embryonic zebrafish cells (Ruprecht et al., 2015), reported important advances on the identification of the signaling pathway that controls the switch between different modes of cell motility through nucleus deformation, calcium release and a mechanosensitive nuclear phospholipase (Venturini et al., 2019). Ulrich Schwarz (Heidelberg University, Germany) discussed an intriguing aspect of malaria infection, whereby Plasmodium falciparum orchestrates a "re-engineering" of the red blood cell cytoskeleton, making the cells switch from their canonical biconcave shape to a spherical one, and covering them in thousands of protrusions rich in parasite-generated adhesion molecules. By becoming adhesive to the endothelium, the malaria-infected red blood cells stay for longer in the vasculature and thus avoid clearance by the spleen.

Other talks discussed the mechanics of cells within tissues. <u>Caren Norden</u> (Instituto Gulbenkian De Ciência, Portugal) addressed interkinetic nuclear migration in neuroepithelia. Surprisingly, the underlying cytoskeletal processes differ between straight (hindbrain) and curved (retina) neuroepithelia, involving Rho-ROCK-Myosin in the first case and formin-mediated pushing in the latter (Yanakieva et al., 2019). Thus, even the apparent simplicity of a one-dimensional migration event can hide surprisingly variable mechanisms. <u>Frank Schnorrer</u> discussed *Drosophila* myofibril formation, illustrating how the recent development of new molecular sensors for mechanical forces in tissues helped unravel the function of talin as a molecular force transmitter (Lemke et al., 2019). Finally, <u>Ozge Ozguc</u> (Institut Curie, Paris, France) discussed emerging insights into an intriguing phenomenon observed in the preimplantation mouse embryo: the existence of periodic, traveling contraction waves across the cortex of blastomeres (Maître et al., 2015).

Mechanics of epithelia: geometry, robustness and deformations

One of the basic building blocks of organisms are epithelial tissues, whose properties have raised multiple alluring questions for biophysicists (Lecuit et al., 2011). For example, epithelial cells are arranged in characteristic spatial patterns, reminiscent of physical structures such as foams, yet they are able to undergo homeostatic turnover whilst ensuring robustness of the

overall tissue architecture. Moreover, their ability to undergo long-term, controlled deformations is fundamental to morphogenesis. Several elegant talks addressed the emerging molecular and biophysical understanding of these phenomena.

It has long been known that epithelia exhibit a viscoelastic behaviour (Petridou et al., 2019): while they return to their resting shape in response to a transient force of deformation, they slowly and irreversibly deform by dissipating mechanical stress in response to a more sustained mechanical stimulus. Using a combination of live imaging and optogenetics, <u>Margaret Gardel</u> (University of Chicago, USA) showed how irreversible deformation of epithelia can be generated by pulsatile RhoA activity via pulsatile ratchet-like shortening of intercellular contacts that relies on mechanosensitive E-cadherin endocytosis (Cavanaugh et al., 2019, Staddon et al., 2019). In a complementary talk, <u>Venkatesan Iyer</u> (MPI Dresden, Germany) showed that mechanosensitive E-Cadherin endocytosis is controlled by p120-catenin acting as a stress sensor and tunes the viscous properties of the *Drosophila* wing epithelium (Iyer et al., 2019).

<u>Michel Labouesse</u>'s team (IBPS, Paris) showed that embryonic antero-posterior elongation in *C. elegans* proceeds in an unusual fashion: forces generated by muscle contraction trigger irreversible deformations of epidermal cells. Combining modelling and molecular experiments, they showed that muscle contractions induce shortening of the actin microfilaments in epidermal cells due to the action of actin-severing proteins. Severed actin filaments are then stabilised by the action of SPC-1 and PAK-1, which act as a molecular lock ensuring the irreversible deformation of the cells, resulting in the embryo deforming as a viscoplastic solid (Lardennois et al., 2019).

Though deformable, epithelia are also robust. For example, <u>Naoto Ueno</u> (NIBB, Okazaki, Japan) presented a proteomic investigation of the effect of compressive stress on early *Xenopus* embryos, revealing that compression triggers phosphorylation of focal adhesion and tight junction components, resulting in tissue strengthening (Hashimoto et al., 2019). Similarly, <u>Beth Pruitt</u> (UCSB, USA) explained how applying exogenous shear along a line in the middle of an epithelial monolayer results in oscillations propagating across the tissue, which are dampened by actin filament turnover (Sadeghipour et al., 2018).

A long-standing problem is the spatial organization of cells in epithelia. <u>Marco Kokic</u> (ETH Zürich, Switzerland) discussed "Lewis' law", an empirical rule proposed almost a century ago that states that the apical surface area of a cell is proportional to the number of its nearest neighbours. Kokic suggested that Lewis' law emerges through cell surface energy minimization, resulting at the tissue scale in cells taking the most regular polygonal shapes within a contiguous lattice, thus minimising the average perimeter per cell and, thereby, the contact surface energy between nearest neighbours (Kokic et al., 2019).

Finally, <u>Adam Ouzeri</u> (LaCaN, Barcelona, Spain) presented a new theoretical framework attempting to bridge scales between molecular-level active-gel models of cell cortex and tissue-level phenomenological vertex models. Such a model provides a unified representation of tissues during homeostasis and development, allowing the description of some seemingly disconnected mechanical behaviours, such as stress relaxation or creep behaviour upon tissue stretching, tissue buckling upon compression, tissue-scale pulsatile contractions, and active superelasticity.

Tissues under threat: when forces challenge homeostasis

One of the defining features of a homeostatic system is its ability to return to a resting state in the face of external perturbations. Several talks explored how epithelia defend themselves against mechanical challenges - either externally imposed (e.g. injuries) or internally generated (e.g. by over-proliferative cells).

<u>Alpha Yap</u> (University of Queensland, Australia) summarized how epithelial homeostasis is maintained by mechanotransduction: cells constantly monitor the tension of their neighbours, and tension imbalance results in extrusion of abnormal cells (Wu et al., 2014). Notably, he discussed new results showing that caveolae in epithelial cells are necessary to maintain a normal level of tension that is required for the ability of these cells to extrude aberrant oncogene-transformed cells (Teo et al., 2019). Eduardo Moreno (IZB, Bern, Switzerland) also discussed mechanical cell competition, focussing on the molecular events in the "loser" clones. He showed that mechanically-induced apoptosis relies on compression-driven inhibition of the EGFR/ERK pathway, leading to caspase activation (Moreno et al., 2019).

Combining quantitative 3D imaging and vertex models, <u>Guillaume Salbreux</u> (Francis Crick Institute, London, UK) showed how the morphology of epithelial pancreatic tumors can be predicted by the interplay of cytoskeletal changes in cancerous cells and local tubular geometry. This model is able to explain why exophytic lesions that expand outwards from the duct are only found in ducts below a critical diameter, while endophytic lesions are always found in larger ducts. <u>Salbreux</u> further confirmed and generalized these findings by documenting similar patterns of lesion growth in other tubular epithelia such as liver and lung (Mesal et al., 2019).

While we may not always think of adult neurons as mechanically dynamic cell types, <u>Myriam</u> <u>Goodman</u> (Stanford University, USA) revealed that *C. elegans* sensory neurons undergo constant deformations - twisting, pushing and stretching - caused by body motions. Their axons and dendrites are protected from damage by their specialized and highly organized cytoskeleton, with a crucial role for specialized interaction partners of actin (spectrin) and microtubules (tau) (Krieg et al., eLife 2017).

Mechanotransduction

The response of cells to mechanical forces often goes beyond passive deformation and frequently involves the activation of mechanosensitive biochemical pathways (Fernandez-Sanchez et al., 2015). Several talks reported on recent progress in understanding such mechanotransduction pathways, reinforcing known functions and uncovering novel functions for molecular mechanosensors such as YAP/TAZ, lamins, transmembrane ion channels and Notch.

<u>Mariaceleste Aragona</u> (ULB, Belgium) discussed the ability of skin to expand in response to mechanical tension, a process which has long been known and exploited in reconstructive surgery, but the mechanisms of which remain unknown. Using lineage tracing in a mouse model of skin expansion based on self-inflating hydrogel patches inserted under the skin, the authors followed stem cell dynamics in stretched epidermis. Using an elegant mathematical model, they showed that tissue expansion is driven by an imbalance in cell fate decisions away from differentiation and toward self-renewal. Single-cell RNA-seq and ATAC-seq further dissected

the molecular mechanisms involved, showing that YAP/TAZ undergoes nuclear translocation upon stretching, downstream of Diap3 and actomyosin activity, and signals to the MAL/SRF pathway. <u>Also focusing on YAP/TAZ</u>, <u>Hanna Engelke</u> (LMU, Munich, Germany) presented recent progress toward gaining optogenetic control over the YAP/TAZ pathway. In her approach, she used a YAP transcription factor fused to a photoactivatable nuclear localization sequence, which translocates to the cell nucleus under illumination, stimulating the expression of YAP target genes and cell proliferation, thus allowing growth to be controlled by light.

<u>Dennis Discher</u>'s team (University of Pennsylvania, USA) investigated how nuclear integrity and DNA damage are affected by cross-talk between the mechanical properties of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and the nucleus itself. They showed that acute perturbation of actomyosin contractility or ECM stiffness cause nuclear membrane rupture and DNA damage. This effect is exacerbated by deficiencies in lamin-A, which is normally stabilized and stiffens the nucleus in response to mechanical stress. The authors concluded that lamin-A acts as a mechanosensitive "mechano-protector" of genome integrity, particularly in highly active tissues such as heart or muscle (Cho et al., 2019).

The formation of cardiac valves in the heart is known to require mechanical signals from the incipient blood flow, but the exact mechanotransduction pathway involved remains unclear. <u>Hajime Fukui</u> (IGBMC, Strasbourg, France) used high resolution live imaging of the zebrafish heart to show that endocardial cells transduce flow into calcium signaling which, in turn, triggers valvulogenesis. <u>Rashmi Priya</u> (MPI, Bad Nauheim, Germany) also presented a study on zebrafish heart morphogenesis, using quantitative *in vivo* microscopy and genetic tools. She addressed how symmetry is broken in the initial myocardium monolayer to generate two distinct cell fates and a 3D patterned myocardial wall. She showed that heterogeneity in mechanical tension drives stochastic delamination of some cardiomyocytes from the outer compact layer to seed the inner trabecular layer. She further demonstrated that this heterogeneity arises in response to proliferation-induced tissue crowding, and that mechanics-induced delamination is sufficient to induce the Notch signalling pathway that determines cell fate.

Finally, <u>Prachi Richa</u> (University of Göttingen, Germany) presented a surprising result: she showed that putative Transmembrane channel-like (TMC) proteins, known for being the mechanoreceptors of hair cells in the inner ear, are also involved in *Drosophila* embryonic epithelial morphogenesis, contributing to mechanotransduction between contractile amnioserosa cells during dorsal closure.

Force generation during morphogenesis

Control of morphogenesis by spatial patterns of gene expression

<u>Thomas Lecuit</u> (IBDML, Marseille, France), in his keynote lecture, summarized how patterned gene expression determines local force generation during morphogenesis, and notably how contractility in junctional and apical domains during early *Drosophila* development is controlled by distinct G protein-RhoGEF pathways (Garcia de las Bayonas et al., 2019). He then went to show that, reciprocally, mechanical forces trigger an active response in neighboring cells during hindgut invagination: contractility is initiated transcriptionally in a small domain and then spreads posteriorly as a mechanically propagated contraction wave (Bailles et al., 2019).

<u>Enrico Coen</u> (John Innes Center, Norwich UK) showed how spatial patterns of gene expression guide plant morphogenesis. Unlike animal cells, plant cells are incapable of directed migration, active contraction or neighbour exchanges, and morphogenesis thus proceeds strictly by differential growth. Using snapdragon flowers, Coen's team showed that orthogonal patterns of cell proliferation can generate out-of-plane deformation and formation of a tissue-scale "dome". Orthogonal cell growth is oriented by polarized distribution of the auxin membrane transporter PIN1 at the tissue scale, which is determined by dorsoventral gene expression. Coen then showed that other conflicting patterns of differential growth that create "tissue conflicts" can generate a large variety of three-dimensional shapes and, as such, provide a flexible morphogenetic mechanism (Rebocho et al., 2017).

Finally, <u>Daniela Panáková</u> (MDC Berlin, Germany) showed how the Planar Cell Polarity (PCP) pathway guides actomyosin contractility to convert the zebrafish heart from a tube into a two-chambered structure. She demonstrated that cardiac chambers are formed by topological rearrangements guided by PCP and upstream specialized Wnt ligands. The PCP pathway both guides cell neighbour exchanges, by patterning contractility, and triggers cardiac looping by restricting contractility to the apical side (Merks et al., 2018).

Emergent properties and self-organization: from molecules to tissues

An emerging concept in the field is that of "mechanochemical feedback" (Hannezo & Heisenberg 2019) - the idea that the integration of biochemical and mechanical events at the tissue scale results in spontaneous emergent patterns that can only be understood by understanding the combination and interaction of chemical and mechanical factors (Gilmour et al., 2017). Importantly, mechanochemical feedback can exist in the absence of any active mechanotransduction. Several talks illustrated this rising theme.

Two talks addressed one of the foundational problems of biological morphogenesis: the spatial distribution of diffusive factors that determine patterns - or morphogens - in tissues (Turing, 1952). First, <u>Naama Barkai</u> reviewed theoretical work on how a sharp peak of morphogen activity can be produced by a broad plateau of gene expression. The hypothesized mechanism involves "morphogen shuttling", whereby other secreted proteins (such as inhibitors or proteases) interact with the morphogen to form complexes with variable levels of degradation, diffusion and biological activity. The resulting equilibrium determines the final distribution and activity of the morphogen itself (Eldar et al., 2002; Rahimi et al., 2018). Then, <u>Adrien Hallou</u> (University of Cambridge, UK) discussed a new mechanochemical model for self-organized pattern formation in multicellular tissues. Based on a biologically realistic description of conventional reaction-diffusion models to show that mechanochemical coupling between morphogen concentrations, tissue mechanics and extracellular fluid flows provide alternative, Turing and non-Turing, mechanisms by which tissues can form robust spatial patterns of morphogens (Recho, Hallou & Hannezo, 2019).

Five talks, all focusing on *Drosophila*, discussed how cell-cell interactions can yield cell or tissue shapes that cannot be explained by considering the individual cell level alone. <u>First, Maria Leptin</u> (EMBL Heidelberg, Germany) presented a detailed study dissecting how genetic and mechanical factors interact to define the shape of cells bordering the ventral furrow. <u>M</u>.

<u>Narasimha</u> (TIFR, Mumbai, India) discussed work on epithelial tissue fusion (dorsal closure), showing that misalignments between cells and segments are corrected by controlled expansion-shrinkage of interfaces (Das Gupta et al., 2019). <u>Yu-Chiun Wang</u> (Riken CDB, Kobe, Japan) showed that robust formation of a linear cephalic furrow is guaranteed by mechanical coupling between neighboring contracting cells, which buffers imprecisions and fluctuations in individual cell contractility (Eritano et al., 2019). <u>Frank Jülicher</u> (MPI Dresden, Germany) presented work on wing disk morphogenesis (Aigouy et al., 2010, Eritano et al., 2019). Using quantitative image analysis, he and his colleagues followed individual cell division, death, extrusion, shape changes and topological rearrangements during all pupal stages of development. They subsequently developed an elegant and minimal mechanical model for tissue dynamics that captures the essential physics of this morphogenetic process. Finally, <u>Enrique Martin-Blanco</u> (CSIC, Barcelona, Spain) discussed tissue replacement during metamorphosis, detailing the mechanical cell behaviours and molecular pathways that allow newly formed adult epithelial cells, generated by histoblasts, to invade and replace the larval epithelium (Ninov et al., 2007; Ninov et al., 2010; Mangione et al., 2018).

Finally, mechanochemical signals sometimes feedback onto morphogenetic processes themselves - to amplify or propagate them. Two elegant examples were provided, respectively concerning zebrafish gastrulation and ascidian neurulation. Carl-Philipp Heisenberg presented a live imaging study of the dynamics of tight junctions (TJs) between the enveloping cell layer (EVL) and the yolk syncytial layer (YSL) in the gastrulating zebrafish embryo. The accumulation of Zonula Occludens-1 (ZO-1) in TJs scales with actomyosin network tension, demonstrating that TJs are mechanosensitive. This mechanosensitivity results both from a phase separation mechanism and "active gel" hydrodynamic instability. Specifically, non-junctional ZO-1 proteins form clusters through a liquid-liquid phase separation mechanism. As these clusters bind to actin they are advected to TJs due to the higher actomyosin activity. Progressive accumulation of ZO-1 at TJs drives further retrograde actomyosin flow within the YSL in a typical positive feedback loop mechanism (Schwayer et al., 2019). Edwin Munro (University of Chicago, USA) presented work that combined experiments with modelling to understand the unidirectional zippering of the neural tube in the chordate *Ciona intestinalis*. He showed that myosin II activity is triggered sequentially from posterior to anterior along the neural/epidermal (Ne/Epi) boundary by asymmetric localization of cadherins and a RhoGAP, promoting local shortening of Ne/Epi junctions and thus driving the "zipper" forward. Perhaps counter-intuitively, although directional progression of the contraction wave is mechanically driven, it does not require active mechanotransduction. Instead, local cell rearrangements behind the zipper allow transiently stretched cells to relax and permit zipper progression, while myosin II activation might be induced by signaling between cells brought in close proximity ahead of the zipper (Hashimoto et al., 2015, Hashimoto and Munro 2019).

The cellular and molecular engines of morphogenesis: old and new players

Cells accomplish morphogenesis by generating forces in spatially controlled patterns. Classical examples of these "morphogenetic engines" include patterned growth (often accompanied by cell division) and localized contractility; these "old players" remained central to much of the research presented at the meeting. However, some unexpected factors also made recurrent appearances, reminding us that we should not naïvely assume our current repertoire of known morphogenetic mechanisms to be complete.

A fundamental mechanism that emerged from several of the studies presented was localized secretion or remodelling of the ECM. <u>Maria-Carmen Diaz-de-la-Loza</u> (Francis Crick Institute, London, UK) presented fresh insights into the function of one of the *hox* gene *ultrabithorax* (*ubx*). While *hox* genes have long been known to control segment morphology, we often still don't understand how. Her team's work showed that *ubx* determines the difference between wings and halteres in *Drosophila* by triggering differential extracellular matrix remodelling through a secreted metalloproteinase (De las Heras, 2018). <u>Pavel Tomancak</u> (MPI Dresden, Germany) presented results on the newly discovered importance of locally expressed integrins, which mediate cell-ECM adhesion, during insect gastrulation (Münster et al., 2019). This research started from the observation that theoretical models of gastrulation in the beetle *Tribolium* were only able to account for known movements if one added one - then unobserved - factor: local adhesion to the vitelline membrane. Molecular studies confirmed that this hypothesized mechanism was real and implemented by a locally expressed, specialized integrin. This converged with <u>Thomas Lecuit</u>'s lecture, supporting a pivotal role for localized integrin expression during *Drosophila* hindgut invagination.

Other studies revealed unexpected roles for what we thought were well-known molecules. An example is dynamin, famous for its function in endocytosis and more precisely in vesicle abscission. <u>Elizabeth Chen</u> (UT, Dallas, USA), whose lab has contributed to demonstrating the role of actin in cell-cell fusion (Shilagardi et al., 2013), showed data supporting a role for dynamin in bundling actin inside the podosome-like structures that implement cell fusion (Zhang et al., 2019).

Finally, several talks highlighted how progress in imaging has catalysed the discovery of crucial functions for previously known - but somewhat neglected - cell structures. One example is provided by filopodia and microvilli - dynamic, finger-like, actin-filled protrusions that contribute to mesenchymal cell migration and axonal guidance, for example, but are rarely considered in the context of epithelial morphogenesis. For example, Timothy Saunders and his team (IMCB, Singapore) found an unexpected role for filopodia in the closure of the *Drosophila* heart tube, which involves specific adhesion and "zippering" between cells of distinct subtypes. Here, cell-to-cell matching and closure appears to be mediated by specific adhesion between filopodia expressing complementary adhesion molecules (Zhang et al., 2018). In a similar discovery of unexpected active cell migration, <u>Yohanns Bellaïche</u> (Institut Curie, Paris) presented a study of the formation of the fold that delineates neck from thorax during *Drosophila* metamorphosis. Although neck invagination is an active process mediated by Myosin II contractility, laser ablation experiments show that it alone cannot explain cell flow in the thorax. Instead, thorax cells use cuticular ECM as a substrate for active migration.

On the origin of growth and form: the evolution of morphogenesis

<u>Pavel Tomancak</u> reminded the audience of the importance of an evolutionary framework for connecting, contextualizing, and comparing results obtained in diverse models in order to understand their history and deepen our understanding of biological phenomena. Following on from this, two talks tackled the ancient evolutionary ancestry of morphogenesis by comparing the mechanisms of animal and plant development to cellular processes in their single-celled or colonial relatives. First, <u>Stephanie S.M.H. Höhn</u> (Cambridge University, UK) discussed an unusual process: a whole-embryo inside-out inversion that occurs during the development of the multicellular alga *Volvox*. With its round shape, *Volvox* resembles the abstract "spherical cow"

often postulated by physicists, and is thus exceptionally amenable to quantitative investigation. Höhn and co-authors leveraged this potential and, starting from spectacular light sheet movies, established a mathematical model translating cell shape change into local curvature modification of an elastic shell (Höhn et al., 2018). Second, <u>Thibaut Brunet</u> (UC Berkeley, USA) discussed the evolutionary origin of animal morphogenesis using a study of their closest living relatives, the choanoflagellates. He presented recent work on a newly discovered species, *Choanoeca flexa*, which forms cup-shaped multicellular sheets that use controlled, collective actomyosin contractility to invert their curvature quickly in response to light-to-dark transitions. This suggests that apical constriction evolved before multicellularity in the lineage that gave rise to animals, and supports a surprisingly deep evolutionary origin for this "morphogenetic engine" (Brunet et al., 2019).

New techniques, models and systems

Much of the recent progress in our understanding of morphogenesis can be attributed to imaging that is highly resolved in space and/or time. <u>Kate McDole</u> (Janelia Farm, USA) presented an absolute technical tour-de-force study in which light-sheet microscopy and adaptive optics allowed in *toto* live imaging of early development of mouse embryo, paving the way for deep learning to perform 3D image reconstruction, segmentation, cell tracking and fate mapping (McDole et al., 2018). <u>Anne Herrmann</u> (University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK) presented work on the oscillatory movement of nuclei between the apical and basal surfaces of neuroepithelia, a process termed interkinetic nuclear migration (IKNM) (Azizi & Herrmann et al., 2019). Using a combination of light sheet imaging of zebrafish embryonic retinas and mathematical modelling, she demonstrated that IKNM can be modelled as a diffusive process across a nuclear concentration gradient generated by the addition of new nuclei at the apical surface. She also discussed the potential relevance of this mechanism for understanding the stochastic cell fate decisions observed in neuroepithelia.

Beyond imaging, creative platforms to observe and manipulate morphogenesis *in vitro* were presented. Building up on his lab's earlier finding that antero-posterior elongation of the presomitic mesoderm (PSM) is driven by a gradient of random cell motility (Bénazéraf et al., 2010), <u>Olivier Pourquié</u> (Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA) and his team developed a microfabricated platform in which PSM explants are confined in PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) channels of various sizes, allowing imaging of elongation and measurement of the stress generated by the elongating tissue. This showed that the PSM can elongate autonomously in an FGF-dependent fashion implemented by modulation of cell packing and extracellular space size. The relevance of these results was confirmed by cantilever-based measurements showing that the elongation stress generated *in vivo* (~ 115 Pa) is similar to that *in vitro* (~75 Pa).

Finally, organoids made an appearance in <u>Qiutan Yang</u>'s talk (Liberali lab, FMI, Basel). She presented work combining organoid studies, single-cell RNA-seq and vertex models to understand how the interplay between apical constriction and patterned gene expression drives intestinal crypt formation in mice.

Conclusions

Given the breadth of discussions, new results, methods, theory and tools that were presented, one would certainly be convinced that this meeting was a success. In his seminal 1952 article

"The chemical basis of morphogenesis", Alan Turing wrote: "The interdependence of the chemical and mechanical data adds enormously to the difficulty, and attention will therefore be confined, so far as is possible, to cases where these can be separated." (Turing, 1952). There was no doubt that the studies presented at this meeting have risen to Turing's challenge and overcome the dichotomy between biology and mechanics. By doing so, they have uncovered widespread and extensive cross-talk between mechanical and biochemical processes at all scales of biological organization, thus justifying and reinforcing the need for integrative and interdisciplinary approaches.

Nevertheless, many challenges still lie in the way of fully understanding the mechanical determinants of morphogenesis. A true understanding of mechanobiology still awaits a precise and systematic understanding of the molecular building blocks that create, sense and effect mechanical forces inside cells, and how tissular and organismal properties such as biological forms emerge from cellular interactions. This will require designing new experimental approaches, combining in toto imaging at high resolution with spatio-temporally resolved molecular profiling of cells, as well as quantitative inference of tissue-level mechanical forces. In parallel, bottom-up approaches aiming at re-creating morphogenesis *in vitro*, such as organoid studies and synthetic biology experiments, will allow us to test our understanding of "mechano-morphogenetic" processes in controlled settings. Analysing the data from these experiments will constitute a challenge both in terms of computational power and conceptual frameworks. In that respect, machine learning, information and network (graph) theories are certainly appealing tools, and the day appears foreseeable when the power of these and other conceptual avenues could be harnessed to cut through complexity and eventually unravel the "diagram of forces" that makes living beings.

References

Aigouy, B., Farhadifar, R., Staple, D.B., Sagner, A., Röper, J.C., Jülicher, F., Eaton, S. (2010) Cell flow reorients the axis of planar polarity in the wing epithelium of Drosophila. Cell 142(5), 773-86.

Azizi, A., Herrmann, A., Buse, S.J.R.P., Wan, Y., Keller, P.J., Goldstein, R.E. and Harris, W.A. (2019). Interkinetic nuclear migration in the zebrafish retina as a diffusive process. bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/570606.

Bailles, A., Collinet, C., Philippe, J-M., Lenne, P-F., Munro, E. and Lecuit, T. (2019). Genetic induction and mechanochemical propagation of a morphogenetic wave. Nature 572, 467-473.

Biro, M., Romeo, Y., Kroschwald, S., Bovellan, M., Boden, A., Tcherkezian, J., Roux, P.P., Charras, G. and Paluch, E.K. (2013). Cell cortex composition and homeostasis resolved by integrating proteomics and quantitative imaging. Cytoskeleton 70(11), 741-754.

Bénazéraf, B., Francois, P., Baker, R.E., Denans, N., Little, C.D. and Pourquié, O. (2010). A random cell motility gradient downstream of FGF controls elongation of an amniote embryo. Nature 466, 248–252.

Brunet, T., Larson, B.T., Linden, T.A., Vermeij, M.J.A., McDonald, K. and King, N. (2019). Light-regulated collective contractility in a multicellular choanoflagellate. Science 366(6463),326-334.

Cavanaugh, K.E., Staddon, M.F., Munro, E., Banerjee, S. and Gardel M.L. (2020). RhoA mediates epithelial cell shape changes via mechanosensitive endocytosis. Developmental Cell 52, 1-15.

Clark, A.G., Dierkes, K. and Paluch, E.K. (2013) Monitoring actin cortex thickness in live cells. Biophysical Journal 105(3), 570-580.

Cho, S., Vashisth, M., Abbas, A., Majkut, S., Vogel, K., Xia, Y., Ivanovska I.L., Irianto, J., Tewari, M., Zhu, K., Tichy, E.D., Mourkioti, F., Tang, H.Y., Greenberg, R.A., Prosser, B.L. and Discher, D.E. (2019). Mechanosensing by the lamina protects against nuclear rupture, DNA damage, and cell-cycle arrest. Developmental Cell 49(6), 920-935.

Das Gupta, P.T. and Narasimha, M. (2019) Cytoskeletal tension and Bazooka tune interface geometry to ensure fusion fidelity and sheet integrity during dorsal closure. eLife 8, e41091.

De Belly, H., Jones, P.H., Paluch, E.K. and Chalut, K.J. (2019). Membrane tension mediated mechanotransduction drives fate choice in embryonic stem cells. bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/798959.

De las Heras, J.M., García-Cortés, C., Foronda, D., Pastor-Pareja, J.C., Shashidhara, L.S. and Sánchez-Herrero, E. (2018). The *Drosophila* Hox gene *Ultrabithorax* controls appendage shape by regulating extracellular matrix dynamics. Development 45, 1-10.

Eldar, A., Dorfman, R., Weiss, D., Ashe, H., Shilo, B-Z. and Barkai, N. (2002). Robustness of the BMP morphogen gradient in Drosophila embryonic patterning. Nature 419, 304-308.

Eritano, A.S., Bromley, C.L., Schütz, L., Wen, F-L., Shibata, T., Takeda, M., Albero, A.B., Sami, M.M., Lemke, S. and Wang, Y-C. (2019). Tissue-Scale Mechanical Coupling Reduces Morphogenetic Noise to Ensure Precision During Epithelial Folding. SSRN: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3378007

Etournay, R., Popović, M., Merkel, M., Nandi, A., Blasse, C., Aigouy, B., Brandl, H., Myers, G., Salbreux, G., Jülicher, F. and Eaton, S. (2019) Interplay of cell dynamics and epithelial tension during morphogenesis of the Drosophila pupal wing. eLife 23 (4): e07090.

Fernandez-Sanchez, M-E., Brunet, T., Röper, J-C. and Farge, E. (2015). Mechanotransduction's Impact on Animal Development, Evolution, and Tumorigenesis. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 31, 373–397.

Garcia De Las Bayonas, A., Philippe, J-M., Lellouch, A.C. and Lecuit, T. (2019). Distinct RhoGEFs activate apical and junctional contractility under control of G proteins during epithelial morphogenesis. Current Biology 29(20):3370-3385.

Gilmour, D., Rembold, M. and Leptin, M. (2017). From morphogen to morphogenesis and back. Nature 541, 311–320.

Hannezo, E. and Heisenberg, C-P. (2019). Mechanochemical Feedback Loops in Development and Disease. Cell. 178 (1), 12-25.

Heisenberg, C. P. and Bellaïche, Y. (2013). Forces in tissue morphogenesis and patterning. Cell 153, 948–962.

Höhn, S.S.M.H., Honerkamp-Smith, A.R., Kirkegaard, J.B. and Goldstein R.E. (2018). The noisy basis of morphogenesis: Mechanisms and mechanics of cell sheet folding inferred from developmental variability. PLoS Biology 16(7), e2005536.

Hashimoto, H., Robin, F.B., Sherrard, K.M. and Munro, E.M. (2015). Sequential contraction and exchange of apical junctions drives zippering and neural tube closure in a simple chordate. Developmental Cell 32, 241-255.

Hashimoto, **H.**, **and Munro**, **E. M.** (2019). Differential Expression of a Classic Cadherin Directs Tissue-Level Contractile Asymmetry during Neural Tube Closure. Developmental Cell 51 (2): 158-172.e4.

Hashimoto, Y., Kinoshita, N., Greco, T.M., Federspiel, J.D., Beltran, P.M.J., Ueno, N. and Cristea, I.M. (2019). Mechanical force induces phosphorylation-mediated signaling that underlies tissue response and robustness in Xenopus embryos. Cell Systems 8(3), 226-241.

Iyer, K.V., Piscitello-Gomez, R., Paijmans, J., Julicher F. and Eaton, S. (2019). Epithelial viscoelasticity is regulated by mechanosensitive E-cadherin turnover. Current Biology 29(4), 578-591.

Kokic, M., Iannini, A., Villa-Fombuena, Fernando Casares, G., and Iber, D. (2019). Minimization of surface energy drives apical epithelial organisation and gives rise to Lewis' law. bioRxiv doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/590729.

Lardennois, A., Pasti, G., Ferraro, T., Llense, F., Mahou, P., Pontabry, J., Rodriguez, D., Kim, S., Ono, S., Beaurepaire, E., Gally, C. and Labouesse, M. (2019). An actin-based viscoplastic lock ensures progressive body axis elongation. Nature 573, 266–270.

Lecuit, T., Lenne, P.-F. and Munro, E. (2011). Force Generation, Transmission, and Integration during Cell and Tissue Morphogenesis. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 27, 157–184.

Lemke, S.B., Weidemann, T., Cost, A-L., Grashoff, C. and Schnorrer F. (2019). A small proportion of Talin molecules transmit forces at developing muscle attachments in vivo. PLoS Biology 17(3), e3000057.

McDole, K., Guignard, L., Amat, F., Berger, A., Malandain, G., Royer, L.A., Turaga, S.C., Branson, K. and Keller, P.J. (2018) In toto imaging and reconstruction of post-implantation mouse development at the single-cell level. Cell 175, 859-876.

Maître, J.L, Niwayama, R., Turlier, H., Nédélec, F. and Hiiragi, T. (2015) Pulsatile cell-autonomous contractility drives compaction in the mouse embryo. Nature Cell Biology 17(7):849-55.

Mangione, F. and Martín-Blanco, E. (2018). The Dachsous/Fat/Four-Jointed pathway directs the uniform axial orientation of epithelial cells in the drosophila abdomen. Cell Reports 25, 2836-2850.

Merks, A.M., Swinarski, M., Meyer, A.M., Müller, N.V., Özcan, I., Donat, S., Burger, A., Gilbert, S., Mosimann, C., Abdelilah-Seyfried, S. and Panáková, D. (2018). Planar cell polarity signalling coordinates heart tube remodelling through tissue-scale polarisation of actomyosin activity. Nature Communications 9, 2161.

Messal, H.A., Alt, S, Ferreira, R.M.M., Gribben, C., Wang, V.M-Y., Cotoi, C.G., Salbreux, G. and Behrens, A. (2019). Tissue curvature and apicobasal mechanical tension imbalance instruct cancer morphogenesis. Nature 566, 126-130.

Moreno, E., Valon, L., Levillayer, F. and Levayer, R. (2019). Competition for Space Induces Cell Elimination through Compaction-Driven ERK Downregulation. Current Biology 19(1), 23-34.

Münster, S., Jain, A., Mietke, A., Pavlopoulos, A., Grill, S.W. and Tomancak, P. (2019). Attachment of the blastoderm to the vitelline envelope affects gastrulation of insects. Nature 568,395-399.

Ninov, N., Chiarelli, D.A. and Martín-Blanco, E. (2007). Extrinsic and intrinsic mechanisms directing epithelial cell sheet replacement during *Drosophila* metamorphosis. Development 134, 367-379.

Ninov, N., Menezes-Cabral, S., Prat-Rojo, C., Manjón, C., Weiss, A., Pyrowolakis, G., Affolter, M. and Martín-Blanco, E. (2010). Dpp signaling directs cell motility and invasiveness during epithelial morphogenesis. Current Biology 20(6), 513-520.

Petridou, N.I, and Heisenberg, C-P. (2019). Tissue rheology in embryonic organization. EMBO Journal 38: e102497.

Rahimi, N., Averbukh, I., Carmon, S., Schejter, E.D., Barkai, N. and Shilo B-Z. (2018). Dynamics of Spaetzle morphogen shuttling in the Drosophila embryo shapes pattern. bioRxiv doi: https:// doi.org/ 10.1101/505925.

Rebocho, A.B., Southam, P., Kennaway, J.R., Bangham, J.A. and Coen, E. (2017) Generation of shape complexity through tissue conflict resolution. eLife 6, e20156.

Recho, P., Hallou, A. and Hannezo, E. (2019) Theory of mechanochemical patterning in biphasic biological tissues. PNAS 116(12), 5344-5349.

Ruprecht, V., Wieser, S., Callan-Jones, A., Smutny, M., Morita, H., Sako, K., Barone, V., Ritsch-Marte, M., Sixt, M., Voituriez, R. and Heisenberg, C-P. (2015). Cortical contractility triggers a stochastic switch to fast amoeboid cell motility. Cell 160(4), 673-685.

Sadeghipour, E., Garcia, M.A., Nelson, W.J, Pruitt, B.L. (2018). Shear-induced damped oscillations in an epithelium depend on actomyosin contraction and E-cadherin cell adhesion. elife 14(7), e39640.

Schwayer, C., Shamipour, S., Pranjic-Ferscha, K., Schauer, A., Balda, M., Tada, M., Matter, K. and Heisenberg, C-P. (2019). Mechanosensation of tight junctions depends on ZO-1 phase separation and flow. Cell 179 (4), 937-952.

Shilagardi, K., Li, S., Luo, F., Marikar, F., Duan, R., Jin, P., Kim, J.H., Murnen, K. and Chen E.H. (2013). Actin-propelled invasive membrane protrusions promote fusogenic protein engagement during cell-cell fusion. Science 340 (6130), 359-63.

Staddon, M. F., Cavanaugh, K. E., Munro, E. M., Gardel, M. L., and Banerjee, S. (2019) Mechanosensitive Junction Remodeling Promotes Robust Epithelial Morphogenesis. Biophysical Journal 117 (9): 1739-1750.

Teo, J.L, Gomez, G.A., Noordstra, I., Verma, S., Tomatis, V., Acharya, B.R., Balasubramaniam, L., Katsuno-Kambe, H., Templin, R., McMahon,K-A., Ju, R.J., Stebhens, S.J., Ladoux, B., Parton, R.G. and Yap, A.S. (2019). Caveolae set levels of epithelial monolayer tension to eliminate tumor cells. bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/632802.

Thompson, D.W. (1917). On Growth and Form, 1st edn. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Turing A.M. (1952). The chemical basis of morphogenesis. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 237, 37–72.

Venturini V., Pezzano F., Català Castro F., Häkkinen H-M., Jiménez-Delgado S., Colomer-Rosell M., Marro Sánchez M., Tolosa-Ramon Q., Paz-López S., Valverde M. A., Loza-Alvarez P., Krieg M., Wieser S. and Ruprecht V. (2019). The nucleus measures shape deformation for cellular proprioception and regulates adaptive morphodynamics. biorXiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/865949.

Yanakieva, I., Erzberger, A., Matejčić, M., Modes, C.D and Norden, C. (2019). Cell and tissue morphology determine actin-dependent nuclear migration mechanisms in neuroepithelia. Journal of Cell Biology 218(10), 3272-3289.

Wu, S.K., Gomez, G.A., Michael, M., Verma, S., Cox, H.L., Lefevre, J.G., Parton, R., Hamilton, N.A., Neufeld, Z. and Yap, A.S. (2014). Cortical F-actin stabilization generates apical–lateral patterns of junctional contractility that integrate cells into epithelia. Nature Cell Biology 16, 167–178.

Zhang, R., Gerassimov, N., Lee, D. M., Jimah, J.R., Kim, S., Luvsanjav, D., Winkelman et al. and Chen, E.H. (2019) The mechanisms of dynamin-actin interaction. biorXiv doi: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/586461v1

Zhang, S., Amourda, C., Garfield, D. and Saunders, T.E. (2018). Selective filopodia adhesion ensures robust cell matching in the drosophila heart. Developmental Cell 46(2), 189-203.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the meeting organizers and the editorial team of *Development* for their interest in our work and assistance. We also kindly thank Anaïs Bailles and Rashmi Priya for their careful reading of our manuscript and feedback, and all the speakers who have generously granted us permission for referring to their unpublished work.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Funding

A.H gratefully acknowledges the support of the Wellcome Trust through a Junior Interdisciplinary Research Fellowship and grant 098357/Z/12/Z. He also acknowledges the support of the core funding to the Wellcome Trust/CRUK Gurdon Institute and the Wellcome Trust/MRC Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, and of Ben Simons and his lab. T.B. has been supported by the EMBO long-term fellowship ALTF 1474-2016 and by the long-term Human Science Frontier Program fellowship LT000053/2017, and acknowledges the support of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, of the University of California Berkeley, and of Nicole King and her lab.