



CONFERENCE  
PROCEEDINGS

**3<sup>RD</sup> INTERNATIONAL  
ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON  
PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES**

EDITORS  
EVA VANIŠTA LAZAREVIĆ  
MILENA VUKMIROVIĆ  
ALEKSANDRA KRSTIĆ-FURUNDŽIĆ  
AND ALEKSANDRA ĐUKIĆ

# CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

**3<sup>RD</sup> INTERNATIONAL  
ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON  
PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES**

EDITORS  
EVA VANIŠTA LAZAREVIĆ  
MILENA VUKMIROVIĆ  
ALEKSANDRA KRSTIĆ-FURUNDŽIĆ  
AND ALEKSANDRA ĐUKIĆ

**PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES 2016**

**CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3<sup>RD</sup> INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON  
PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES**

EDITORS:

Eva VaništaLazarević, Milena Vukmirović, Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić, Aleksandra Đukić

FOR PUBLISHER: Vladan Đokić

PUBLISHER: University of Belgrade – Faculty of Architecture

DESIGN: Stanislav Mirković

TECHNICAL SUPPORT: Jasna Marićević

PLACE AND YEAR: Belgrade 2016

ISBN: 978-86-7924-161-0

## ORGANIZERS



University of Belgrade |  
Faculty of Architecture

**URBANLAB**<sup>®</sup>  
PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION URBAN LABORATORY

## MAIN CONFERENCE SUPPORT



Република Србија  
МИНИСТАРСТВО ПРОСВЕТЕ,  
НАУКЕ И ТЕХНОЛОШКОГ РАЗВОЈА



ИНЖЕЊЕРСКА  
КОМОРА  
СРБИЈЕ



## CONFERENCE SUPPORT

Arhi.pro



**magna COOP** - Pančevo  
APSOLOTNO IZOLOVANO!  
[www.magnacoop.com](http://www.magnacoop.com) office@magnacoop.com



[www.insomnia.rs](http://www.insomnia.rs)



## **PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES 2016**

KEEPING UP WITH TECHNOLOGIES TO CREATE COGNITIVE CITY  
BY HIGHLIGHTING ITS SAFETY, SUSTAINABILITY, EFFICIENCY,  
IMAGEABILITY AND LIVEABILITY

## **CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 3<sup>RD</sup> INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC CONFERENCE ON PLACES AND TECHNOLOGIES**

### **CONFERENCE ORGANISERS**

University of Belgrade – Faculty of Architecture and  
Professional Association Urban Laboratory

### **ORGANIZING COMMITTEE**

#### **Founding members of the Organizing committee**

**Dr Eva Vaništa Lazarević**

Conference Director, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Milena Vukmirović**

Conference Executive Coordinator, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban  
Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Aleksandra Krstić Furundžić**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Aleksandra Đukić**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

#### **Associate members of the Organising committee**

**Jelena Samardžić**

Faculty of Information Technology Belgrade Metropolitan University, Belgrade, Serbia

### **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE**

**Dr Milena Vukmirović**

Conference Executive Coordinator, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban  
Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

**Branislav Antonić**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

## SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

In Alphabetical order

**Dr Laura Aelenei,**

National Energy and Geology Laboratory (LNEG), Lisbon, Portugal

**Dr Ivan Aleksić,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Evangelina Athanassiou,**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Architecture, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Dr Milica Bajić Brković,**

ISOCARP - The International Society of City and Regional Planners, The Hague, Netherlands

**Dr Ljiljana Blagojević,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Ružica Božović Stamenović,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia and National University of Singapore, Singapore

**Dr Olja Čokorilo,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Grygor Doytchinov,**

Institute for Urban Design, Technical University of Graz, Austria

**Dr Nataša Danilović Hristić,**

Urban Planning Institute of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Vladan Đokić,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Aleksandra Đukić,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Alenka Fikfak,**

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture, Ljubljana, Slovenia

**Dr Dejan Filipović,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Geography, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Darija Gajić,**

University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Dr Bob Giddings,**

Northumbria University, Faculty of Engineering and Environment, Newcastle, United Kingdom

**Dr Jelena Ivanović Šekularac,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Vlatko Korobar,**

St. Cyril and Methodius University, Faculty of Architecture, Skopje, FYR Macedonia

**Dr Saja Kosanović,**

University of Priština, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Department of Architecture,  
Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia

**Dr Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Višnja Kukoč,**

University of Split, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, Split, Croatia

**Dr Piotr Lorens,**

Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture, Gdansk, Poland

**Dr Lucia Martincigh,**

University of Roma Tre, Faculty of Architecture, Rome, Italy

**Prof. Ljubomir Mišević,**

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

**Acad. Branislav Mitrović,**

University of Belgrade - Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Juan Luis Rivas Navarro,**

University of Granada, Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Granada, Spain

**Dr Grzegorz Peczek,**

Sopot University of Applied Science, Sopot, Poland

**Dr Lea Petrović Krajnik,**

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

**Dr Miroslava Raspopović,**

Faculty of Information Technology, Belgrade Metropolitan University, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Ralf Risser,**

Research Institute FACTUM, Vienna, Austria

**Dr Lina Seduikyte,**

Kaunas University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Kaunas,  
Lithuania

**Manfred Schrenk,**

CORP - Competence Center for Urban and Regional Planning, Vienna, Austria

**Dr Jasmina Siljanoska,**

St. Cyril and Methodius University, Faculty of Architecture, Skopje, FYR Macedonia

**Dr Metka Sitar,**

University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Traffic Engineering and Architecture, Maribor,  
Slovenia

**Dr Predrag Šidanin,**

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia

**Dr Ljupko Šimunović,**

University of Zagreb Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

**Dr Stefan van der Spek,**

Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture and Built Environment, Delft, Netherlands

**Dr Svetlana Stanarević,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Security Studies, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Milena Stavrić,**

Graz University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture, Institute of Architecture and Media, Graz, Austria

**Dr Aleksandra Stupar,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Eva Vaništa Lazarević,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Milena Vukmirović,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Salih Yilmaz,**

Izmir KatibCelebi University, Department of Engineering and Architecture, Izmir, Turkey



## REGIONAL AND GUEST DEANS COMMITTEE

In Alphabetical order

**Dr Bálint Bachmann,**

University of Pécs, Pollack Mihály Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology, Pécs, Hungary

**Dr Dženana Bijedić,**

Vice-dean), University of Sarajevo, Faculty for Architecture, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

**MSc Peter Gabrijelčič,**

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture, Ljubljana, Slovenia

**MSc Boris Koružnjak,**

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

**Dr Florian Nepravishta,**

(Department department), Polytechnic University of Tirana, Department of Architecture, Tirana, Albania

**Dr Svetislav Popović,**

University of Montenegro, Faculty of Architecture, Podgorica, Montenegro

**Dr Milenko Stanković,**

University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

## REVIEWERS

**Dr Evangelina Athanassiou,**

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Architecture, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Dr Ljiljana Blagojević,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Ružica Božović Stamenović,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia and National University of Singapore, Singapore

**Dr Olja Čokorilo,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Grygor Doytchinov,**

Institute for Urban Design, Technical University of Graz, Austria

**Dr Nataša Danilović Hristić,**

Urban Planning Institute of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Aleksandra Đukić,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Alenka Fikfak,**

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Architecture, Ljubljana, Slovenia

**Dr Darija Gajić,**

University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Dr Bob Giddings,**

Northumbria University, Faculty of Engineering and Environment, Newcastle, United Kingdom

**Dr Jelena Ivanović Šekularac,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Vlatko Korobar,**

St. Cyril and Methodius University, Faculty of Architecture, Skopje, FYR Macedonia

**Dr Saja Kosanović,**

University of Priština, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Department of Architecture, Kosovska Mitrovica, Serbia

**Dr Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Višnja Kukoč,**

University of Split, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Geodesy, Split, Croatia

**Dr Lucia Martincigh,**

University of Roma Tre, Faculty of Architecture, Rome, Italy

**Dr Juan Luis Rivas Navarro,**

University of Granada, Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Granada, Spain

**Dr Grzegorz Peczek,**

Sopot University of Applied Science, Sopot, Poland

**Dr Lea Petrović Krajnik,**

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Architecture, Zagreb, Croatia

**Dr Miroslava Raspopović,**

Faculty of Information Technology, Belgrade Metropolitan University, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Ralf Risser,**

Research Institute FACTUM, Vienna, Austria

**Dr Metka Sitar,**

University of Maribor, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Traffic Engineering and Architecture, Maribor, Slovenia

**Dr Predrag Šiđanin,**

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia

**Dr Ljupko Šimunović,**

University of Zagreb Faculty of Transport and Traffic Sciences, Zagreb, Croatia

**Dr Stefan van der Spek,**

Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture and Built Environment, Delft, Netherlands

**Dr Svetlana Stanarević,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Security Studies, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Aleksandra Stupar,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Eva Vaništa Lazarević,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Belgrade, Serbia

**Dr Milena Vukmirović,**

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture and Urban Laboratory, Belgrade, Serbia

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### ARCHITECTURAL TECHNOLOGIES I – ENERGY ISSUES

DETERMINATION OF ENERGY CHARACTERISTICS OF TRANSPARENT ELEMENTS OF ENVELOPE OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA Darija Gajić	3
ECO-ENERGETIC RECONSTRUCTION OF ARCHITECTURAL STRUCTURES BY APPLYING MODERN FACADE TECHNOLOGIES Olja Joksimović, Katarina Vukosavljević	11
MODERNIZATION OF EXISTING GLASS FACADES IN ORDER TO IMPLEMENT ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND MEDIA CONTENT Jasna Čikić Tovarović, Jelena Ivanović Šekularac, Nenad Šekularac	19
EFFECTS OF WINDOW REPLACEMENT ON ENERGY RENOVATION OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS – CASE OF THE SERBIAN BUILDING PRACTICE Ana Radivojević, Aleksandar Rajčić, Ljiljana Đukanović	27
GREEN ROOF RETROFIT POTENTIAL IN A DENSELY POPULATED BELGRADE MUNICIPALITY Katarina Vukosavljević, Olja Joksimović, Stevan Vukadinović	35
ENERGY REFURBISHMENT OF PUBLIC BUILDINGS IN SERBIA Milica Jovanović Popović, Miloš Nedić, Ljiljana Djukanović	43
PROBLEM OF PROTECTION OF ORIGINAL APPEARANCE OF PREFABRICATED CONCRETE FACADES AND ENERGY IMPROVEMENT MEASURES – EXAMPLE OF NEW BELGRADE Nikola Macut, Ana Radivojević	51
SUNLIGHTING: A BRIGHT LIGHT SOURCE FOR MULTI-STORY BUILDING CORES Liliana Beltran	59

### ARCHITECTURAL TECHNOLOGIES II - INNOVATIVE METHODS, SOFTWARE AND TOOLS

BIM AND GREEN BUILDING DESIGN: EXPECTATIONS, REALITY AND PERSPECTIVES Igor Svetel, Marko Jarić, Nikola Budimir	69
UNDER THE SKIN - DETERMINING ELECTRICAL APPLIANCES FROM SURFACE 3D SCANS Ulrich Krispel, Torsten Ullrich, Martin Tamke	77
ARCHITECTURAL DIAGRAM OF A CITY Olivera Dulić, Viktorija Aladžić	85
DIGITAL TOOLS - BASED PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ADAPTIVE BUILDING ENVELOPE IN THE EARLY PHASE OF DESIGN Komnen Žižić, Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić	93

INCREASING QUALITY OF PLACE BY USERS VALUE ORIENTATION Alenka Temeljotov Salaj, Svein Bjorberg, Nikolaj Salaj	101
COMFORT QUALITY IN THE ARCHITECTURAL TRANSFORMATION OF EXISTING FACILITIES Saša B. Čvoro, Malina B. Čvoro, Una Umićević	109
<b>BUILDING STRUCTURES AND MATERIALS</b>	
CONCEPTUAL STRUCTURAL DESIGN STRATEGIES FOR REDUCING ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN BUILDINGS Aleksandra Nenadović, Žikica Tekić	119
COMPARISON OF THE SUSTAINABILITY OF DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES FOR THE STRENGTHENING OF REINFORCED CONCRETE COLUMNS Tanya Chardakova, Marina Traykova	125
THE ARCHITECTURAL ASPECT OF DESIGNING THE OFFICE ENVIRONMENT IN THE MULTIFUNCTIONAL BUILDING IN THE CITY CENTRE Anna Rynkowska-Sachse	133
MITIGATE THE HOUSING DEPRIVATION IN THE INFORMAL CITIES: MODULAR, FLEXIBLE AND PREFAB HOUSES Frabrizio Finucci, Adolfo Barrata, Laura Calcagnini, Antonio Magaro, Ottavio Minnella, Juan Martin Piaggio	141
AN EXAMPLE OF USING RECYCLED CRUSHED CLAY BRICK AGGREGATE: A PREFABRICATED COMPOSITE FAÇADE PANEL WITH THE FACE OF STONE Tijana Vojinović Čalić, Dragica Jevtić, Aleksandra Krstić-Furundžić	149
<b>CLIMATE CHANGE I – ENERGY ISSUES</b>	
ENERGY MAP OF KRAGUJEVAC AS AN INTRODUCTION TO THE ANALYSIS OF NECESSARY INTERVENTION MEASURES ON BUILDINGS IN ORDER TO ADAPT TO CLIMATE CHANGE Iva Poskurica Glišović	159
THE IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON THE ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF HISTORICAL BUILDINGS Alexandra Keller, Cristian Petrus, Marius Mosoarca	167
INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT PAVEMENT MATERIALS ON WARMING UP OF PEDESTRIAN AREAS IN SUMMER SEASON Jelena Đekić, Petar Đekić, Milena Dinić Branković, Mihailo Mitković	175
ANALYSIS OF ELECTRICITY GENERATION RESULTS OF FIRST MINI SOLAR POWER PLANTS IN THE SOUTH OF SERBIA WITH VARYING INCLINATION OF PHOTOVOLTAIC PANELS AND DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS Mihailo Mitković, Jelena Đekić, Petar Mitković, Milica Igić	183
EDUCATION NEEDS AND INFLUENTIAL FACTORS ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION IN FUNCTION OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS Marijola Božović, Milan Mišić, Zorica Bogićević, Danijela Zubac	191

**BUILDING CLIMATE CHANGE II –  
STRATEGIES, PROTECTION AND FLOODS**

EVALUATING THE CO-BENEFITS OF FLOOD MITIGATION MEASURE – A CASE STUDY OF SOUTHERN YUNLIN COUNTY IN TAIWAN Yi-Hsuan Lin	201
FLOODING RISK ASSESSMENT IN MOUNTAIN VILLAGES—A CASE STUDY OF KAOHSIUNG CITY Ting-Chi Hsu, Han-Liang Lin	209
SPATIAL PLANNING IN VIEW OF FLOOD PROTECTION-METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE BALCAN COUNTRIES Brankica Milojević	217
CLIMATE WARS AND REFUGEES: HUMAN SECURITY AS A PATHWAY TOWARDS THE POLITICAL? Thomas Schad	225
LOW-IMPACT DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES ASSESSMENT FOR URBAN DESIGN Yu-Shan Lin, Han-Liang Lin	235

**SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES AND PARTICIPATION I –  
PLANNING ISSUES**

THE POSSIBILITIES OF SURVEY AS A METHOD TO COLLECT AND THE DERIVE MICRO-URBAN DATA ABOUT NEW COLLECTIVE HOUSING IN SERBIA Branislav Antić	247
POSITION OF THE SOCIAL HOUSING ACCORDING TO THE URBAN PLANNING REGULATION OF THE CITY OF NIS – DO THEY PROMOTE THE INCLUSION? Nataša Petković Grozdanović, Branislava Stoiljkovic, Goran Jovanović	255
INFLUENCE OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES IN DEVELOPMENT OF LOCAL RESIDENTIAL BUILDING TYPOLOGIES FOR ESTIMATION OF BUILDING STOCK ENERGY PERFORMANCE Milica Jovanović Popović, Dušan Ignjatović, Bojana Stanković	263
TOWARDS A LOW-CARBON FUTURE? CONSTRUCTION OF DWELLINGS AND ITS IMMEDIATE INFRASTRUCTURE IN CITY OF SPLIT Višnja Kukoč	271
SCENARIOS IN URBAN PLANNING AND THE MULTI-CRITERIA METHOD. A MEANINGFUL EXPERIENCE IN ITALY: PIANO IDEA IMPLEMENTED IN JESI AN,2004 Giovanni Sergi, Paolo Rosasco	279
THE PUBLIC INSIGHT AND INCLUSIVITY IN THE PLANNING PROCESS Nataša Danilović Hristić, Nebojša Stefanović	287
TOWARD THE SUSTAINABLE CITY – COMMUNITY AND CITIZENS INCLUSION IN URBAN PLANNING AND DESIGN OF URBAN GREEN SPACES: A REVIEW OF SKOPJE Divna Penčić, Snezhana Domazetovska, Stefanka Hadji Pecova	295

## **SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES AND PARTICIPATION II – CONCEPTS, METHODS AND COMMUNITY**

HOW TO DEVELOP AND DESIGN HEALTHY URBAN ENVIRONMENT? Sanja Štimac, Anja Jutraž	305
SUSTAINABILITY AND BROWNFIELD REGENERATION Kristina Azarić	313
THE SOCIAL DIMENSION OF A SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY: UNDERSTANDING OF THE EXISTING SPACE Silvia Grion, Elisabeth Antonaglia, Barbara Chiarelli	319
HOW TO UNDERSTAND THE GLOBAL PHENOMENON OF URBAN SHRINKAGE AT LOCAL LEVEL? COMPARISON OF URBAN AREAS IN ROMANIA AND SERBIA Mihai-Ionut Danciu, Branislav Antonić, Smaranda Maria Bica	327
SPATIAL PATTERNS OF SERBIAN MIGRANTS IN VIENNA AND IN THE SETTLEMENTS OF THEIR ORIGIN IN EASTERN SERBIA Branislav Antonić, Tamara Brajović	335
KEEPING THE CITY LIVEABLE FOR INHABITANTS AND EFFICIENT FOR TOURISTS: THE PILGRIMAGE ROUTES Lucia Martincigh, Renata Bizzotto, Raffaella Seghetti, Marina Di Gauda, Giovanni Perrucci	347
ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS AND CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN MEDIUM-SIZED TOWNS OF SERBIA Anđelka Mirkov	355
URBAN PROBLEMS OF HILLY AND MOUNTAINOUS RURAL SETTLEMENTS IN NIŠ MUNICIPALITY Milica Igić, Petar Mitković, Jelena Đekić, Milena Dinić Branković	361

## **IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF PLACE I – PLANNING ISSUES**

THE STRATEGIES OF PLACE-MAKING. SOME ASPECTS OF MANIFESTATIONS OF POSTMODERN IDEAS IN LITHUANIAN ARCHITECTURE Martynas Mankus	373
DESIGNING CENTERS OF SUBURBAN SETTLEMENTS IN THE POST-SOCIALIST CITY – NIŠ CASE STUDY Milena Dinić Branković, Jelena Đekić, Petar Mitković, Milica Igić	381
TRANSITION AND THE CITY: TRANSFORMATION OF URBAN STRUCTURE DURING THE POST-SOCIALIST PERIOD Dejana Nedučin, Milena Krklješ	389
POST INDUSTRIAL CITIES: CREATIVE PLAY - FAST FORWARD BELGRADE 2016 Eva Vaništa Lazarević, Marija Cvetković, Uroš Stojadinović	395
THE FUTURE OF OLD INDUSTRIAL AREAS - SUSTAINABLE APPROACH Anica Tufegdžić, Maria Siladji	405

CREATING IDENTITY AND CHARACTER OF NEW SETTLEMENT FORMED DUE TO GROWTH OF THE CITY- ON THE EXAMPLE OF PODGORICA Ema Alihodžić Jašarović, Edin Jašarović	413
SPINUT-POLJUD RESIDENTIAL AREA IN SPLIT, CROATIA Vesna Perković Jović	421
IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF ZAPRUĐE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN NOVI ZAGREB Ivan Milnar, Lea Petrović Krajnik, Damir Krajnik	429
URBAN IDENTITY OF BORDER SPACES. CONSTRUCTING A PLACE IN THE BORDER CROSSING BETWEEN SPAIN AND MOROCCO IN CEUTA Belen Bravo Rodriguez, Juan Luis Rivas Navarro, Alicia Jiménez Jiménez	435
ZEITGEIST & GENIUS LOCI: TRADE VALUE AESTHETIC AND WEAKNESS OF AUTHOR'S IDENTITY IN RECENT SERBIAN ARCHITECTURE Aleksandar Kadijević	445
 <b>IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF PLACE II – PUBLIC SPACES</b>	
PRESERVING PLACE MEANING IN FUNCTION OF TRANSFORMATION OF OPEN PUBLIC SPACES Ana Špirić, Sanja Trivić	455
STREET LIFE DIVERSITY AND PLANNING THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT. COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SOFIA AND MELBOURNE Silvia Chakarova	463
TRANSFORMATIONS AND PERMANENCE OF REPUBLIC SQUARE Stefan Škorić, Milena Krklješ, Dijana Brkljač, Aleksandra Milinković	473
THE IMAGE OF THE CITY VS. SEMI-PUBLIC SPACES OF SHOPPING MALLS: CASE STUDY OF BELGRADE Marija Cvetković, Eva Vaništa Lazarević	481
THE MARKET HALL OF PÉCS Balazs Kokas, Hutter Ákos, Veres Gábor, Engert Andrea, Greg András, Sike Ildikó, Alexandra Pető	489
INNOVATIVE PUBLIC SPACE REHABILITATION MODELS TO CREATE CONDITIONS FOR COGNITIVE - CULTURAL URBAN ECONOMY IN THE AGE OF MASS INDIVIDUALISATION Katarzyna Bartoszewicz, Piotr Lorens	497
ILLUMINATION OF FACADES OF PUBLIC BUILDINGS IN NOVI SAD AND ITS IMPACT ON SPATIAL PERCEPTION Dijana Brkljač, Milena Krklješ, Aleksandra Milinković, Stefan Škorić	507
COGNITIVE PERFORMANCES OF PEDESTRIAN SPACES Milena Vukmirović, Branislav Folić	515



### **IMAGE, IDENTITY AND QUALITY OF PLACE III – CONCEPT, METHODS, EDUCATION**

THE CRIMINAL CITY: URBAN RESET AFTER "COLECTIV" Agelica Stan	527
TOWARD THE ULTIMATE SHAPE-SHIFTER: TESTING THE OMNIPOTENCE OF DIGITAL CITY Aleksandra Stupar, Tatjana Mrđenović	535
MANAGEMENT OF URBAN IMAGE AS A TOOL FOR PLANNING. THE CASE OF THESSALONIKI Kleoniki Gkioufi, Eleni Gavra	541
VISIBLE AND INVISIBLE PROCESSES AND FLOWS OF TIME-SPACE OF ARCHITECTURAL AND URBAN CONTINUITY OF THE CITY Velimir Stojanović	549
FORMS OF CONTINUITY IN ARCHITECTURAL SPACE Petar Cigić, Milena Kordić	555
URBAN DESIGN EDUCATION FOR PLACEMAKING: BETWEEN COGNITION AND EMOTION Jelena Živković, Zoran Đukanović, Uroš Radosavljević	565
SKETCHBOOK AS AN ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN INSTRUMENT OF THE COGNITIVE CREATION PROCESS FOR THE QUALITY OF PLACE Igor Rajković, Uroš Radosavljević, Ana Zorić	573
THE MUSICALITY OF UNDULATING GLASS PANES IN THE CONVENT OF LA TOURETTE Marko Slaviček, Anja Kostanjšak	581
THE ROUTES OF DIGITALIZATION – FROM REAL TO VIRTUAL CITY AND VICE VERSA Miodrag Ralević, Tatjana Mrđenović	587
<b>RESILIENCE OF PLACES</b>	
A SHRED OF PLACE IN A DIGITAL ERA HUMANITARIAN DISASTER Pavlos Lefas, Nora Lefa	599
URBAN SPACES MORPHOLOGY AND MICROCLIMATE CONDITIONS: A STUDY FOR A TYPICAL DISTRICT IN THESSALONIKI Stella Tsoka, Katerina Tsikaloudaki, Theodoros Theodosiou	605
SPONTANEOUS DEVELOPMENT AND RESILIENCE PLACES – A CASE STUDY OF ELECTRONIC INDUSTRY NIS (SERBIA) Liljana Jevremović, Branko Turnsek, Aleksandar Milojkovic, Milanka Vasic, Marina Jordanovic	613
SUSTAINABLE MODEL FOR REGIONAL HOSPITALS IN HUMID TROPICAL CLIMATE Nataša Čuković Ignjatović, Dušan Ignjatović, Dejan Vasović	621

MATERIAL AND COGNITIVE STRUCTURES OF BUILDINGS AND PLACES AS INTEGRATED PATTERNS OF PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE Dženana Bijedić, Rada Cahtarevic, Mevludin Zecević, Senaida Halilović	627
BOOSTING THE RESILIENCE OF THE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM IN BELGRADE: THE ROLE OF ICT NETWORKS Jelena Marić, Aleksandra Stupar	635
INTERCONNECTION OF ARCHITECTURE AND NEUROSCIENCE - RESHAPING OUR BRAINS THROUGH PHYSICAL STRUCTURES Morana Pap, Mislav Pap, Mia Pap	645
THE POTENTIAL OF URBAN AGRICULTURE IN REVITALIZATION OF A METROPOLIS Gabriela Rembarz	651
<b>ADAPTIVE REUSE</b>	
IMPROVING STRATEGIES FOR FUNCTIONAL UPGRADE FOR AN "INTEGRATED REHABILITATION" Francesca Guidolin	661
ADAPTIVE REUSE AND SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY IN THE REGENERATION PROCESSES OF INDUSTRIAL HERITAGE SITES Sonja Ifko, Ana Martinović	669
REVEALING THE MONTENEGRIN KATUN AS A PLACE OF REUSABLE COGNITIVE TECHNOLOGIES Edin Jašarović, Ema Alihodžić Jašarović	683
INTERSECTIONS OF NOW AND THEN; IMPLEMENTATION OF ADAPTIVE REUSE AS CATALYST OF SPACE TRANSFORMATION Anja Kostanjšak, Nikola Filipovic	691
MULTIFAMILY HOUSING IN BELGRADE – ENERGY PERFORMANCE IMPROVING POTENTIAL AND ARCHITECTURAL CHALLENGES Nataša Ćuković Ignjatović, Dusan Ignjatovic, Bojana Stankovic	699
SPATIAL STRUCTURE OF THE SUBURBAN ZONES IN SELECTED ENTREPRENEURSHIPS NESTS OF THE TRICITY METROPOLITAN AREA Grzegorz Pęczek, Justyna Martyniuk-Pęczek	707
<b>INNOVATIVE METHODS AND APPLICATIONS FOR SMART(ER) CITIES</b>	
TECHNOLOGY AS A MEDIATOR BETWEEN MAN AND CITY IN THE CONTEXT OF CONTEMPORARY CHALLENGES Katarina Stojanović	725
CITY INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION MODELING Alice Pasquinelli, Silvia Mastrolembro, Franco Guzzeti, Angelo Ciribini	731
AN INTRODUCTION TO THE PHYSICAL PLANNING INFORMATION SYSTEM OF CROATIA AND NEW GENERATION OF SPATIAL PLANS Sunčana Habrun, Lidija Škec, Danijel Meštrić	739

THE CONCEPT OF SMART ARCHITECTURE IN SERBIA – ONE BELGRADE EXPERIENCE Dragan Marčetić, Andrej Josifovski	747
THE IDEA OF COGNITIVE CITY - A CHALLENGE FOR NEW TECHNOLOGY TO PROMOTE HEALTH Aleksandra Krstić Furundžić, Nikola Z. Furundzić, Dijana P. Furundzić	755
MIXED REALITY ENVIRONMENT AND OPEN PUBLIC SPACE DESIGN Aleksandra Đukić, Dubravko Aleksić	761
VULNERABILITY OF PUBLIC SPACE AND THE ROLE OF SOCIAL NETWORKS IN THE CRISIS Milena Vukmirović, Miroslava Raspopović	769
NEUTRAL GROUNDING POINTS WITHIN THE GENERAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM AS AN ELEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Zorica Bogičević, Slobodan Bjelić, Bojan Jovanović, Milan Misic	779
THE ROLE OF COGNITIVE – CULTURAL ECONOMY IN CITY’S GLOBAL POSITIONING Sanja Simeunčević Radulović, Biserka Mitrović	789
<b>URBAN MOBILITY, TRANSPORT AND TRAFFIC SOLUTIONS</b>	
THE CONTRIBUTION OF ITS TO THE SAFETY IMPROVEMENT OF VULNERABLE ROAD USERS Bia Mandžuka, Ljupko Šimunović, Pero Škorput	799
BUILDING ENVIRONMENTAL PERSPECTIVE OF AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS AROUND BELGRADE NIKOLA TESLA AIRPORT Olja Čokorilo, Ivana Čavka	805
TRANSPORT PROJECTS AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION Davor Brčić, Stjepan Kelcec-Suhovec	813
DISLOCATION OF THE EXISTING RAILWAY AND BUS STATION IN THE CITY OF KUMANOVO AND THEIR INTEGRATION INTO A TRANSPORT HUB WITH ADJOINING CONTENTS Mihajlo Zinoski, Medarski Igor, Stefani Solarska	817
THE IMPACTS OF TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURES ON URBAN GEOGRAPHY Federico Andrea Innarone	825
LIQUID LIFE: A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VULNERABILITY AND MOBILITY – THE CONSEQUENCES FOR A SUSTAINABLE CITY, StevanTatalović	831

## THE ROLE OF COGNITIVE-CULTURAL ECONOMY IN CITY'S GLOBAL POSITIONING<sup>1</sup>

**Sanja Simeunčević Radulović<sup>2</sup>**

Teaching assistant, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73/II, 11070 Belgrade, simsanja@arh.bg.ac.rs

**Biserka Mitrović**

Assistant professor, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Architecture, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73/II, 11070 Belgrade, biserkamitrovic@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

Transition to post-Fordist economy and knowledge society has intensively influenced ways cities compete and position in global hierarchy. In Fordist cities competitive advantage was coming from its industry value. In globalization position of cities in urban hierarchy was a result of concentration of globally important cooperations, highly specialised services and international organizations. The 21st century brought new shift. The concentration of knowledge, innovation, talent and educated people in the city are primary determinants of its global competitiveness. Growth and strength of leading cities is deriving from highly developed cognitive-cultural economy.

Cognitive-cultural economy presents groups of industries and occupations that require deductive reasoning capacities, technical knowledge, cultural skills and visual imagination, such as high-technology industries, business and services (especially ITC) and cultural industries bond with technology (especially games, film and media). Cognitive-cultural economy is intensely concentrated in cities, especially in the top cities of global urban hierarchy, contributing to city's wealth and position.

Cognitive-cultural economy is not equally present in cities, not even in all cities at the top of the global urban hierarchy. It has boomed in some cities and enhanced their global position, and in others is present only as support for financial and service sectors. The paper will try to investigate what is it that contributes to the cognitive-culture economy development in cities. Does amenities and special atmosphere of the city that attract creative people contribute to cognitive economy, or does clustering of companies, or social networks and jobs opportunities? The paper aims to assume cognitive-cultural development stimulation methods, which can influence city's global position.

**Keywords:** cognitive-culture economy, global urban hierarchies, post-Fordism, position, competitiveness

---

<sup>1</sup> This paper is realized as a part of research projects "Research and systematization of housing development in Serbia, in the context of globalization and European integrations, with the aim of housing quality and standard improvement" (TR 036034), within the program Technological Development financed by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Serbia (2011-2016).

<sup>2</sup> Corresponding author

## **INTRODUCTION**

Changes in the last two decades of the twentieth century induced by digital technology revolution and expanding global economical and social networks have reshaped economies and organization of many cities (Kloosterman, 2010). Some cities raised their importance in the new economy; some have lost their competitiveness benefits. New conditions transformed global urban hierarchies and ways cities compete for their position. Traditional factors, such as infrastructure, geographical position, natural resources and industries, important in the Fordist period, became obsolete. Enhancement of information, knowledge, innovation and creativity took prime position in city's global repositioning and resurgence, making the concentration of international corporations and highly specialised services insufficient. Cognitive-cultural economy came at the forefront of the city positioning in global urban networks of 21<sup>st</sup> century and third wave of urbanisation (Scott, 2014).

The new economy started to emerge somewhere between 1980s and 1990s, with the transfer from Fordism to post-Fordism. Distinctive group of highly specific advanced services, knowledge intensive industries and cultural production sectors moved to the front of city economic development. In the recent years culture, creativity and economy fuse together more evidently in the commodity form. It could be said that world is entering in the next stage of capitalism that could be called cognitive capitalism (Moulier-Boutang, 2007) or cognitive-cultural economy (Scott, 2007). Cognitive-cultural economy presents groups of industries such as high-technology industries, business and services (especially ITC) and cultural industries bond with technology (especially games, film and media).

Cognitive-cultural economy is intensely concentrated in cities, especially in the top cities of global urban hierarchy, contributing to city's wealth and position through two aspects: directly as the growing economy with high-value creation and indirectly through dissemination of know-how, innovation and provision of cognitive tools to wide spectrum of other sectors. Some cities introduced methods to boost cognitive-cultural economy. Methods differ, starting from development of amenities and special atmosphere in the city that attract creative people (Florida, 2002, Landry, 2000, Jacobs, 1969), clustering of companies (Scott, 2007), generation of job opportunities, or investment in educational and research institutions.

## **THE COGNITIVE –CULTURAL ECONOMY**

Concept of cognitive-cultural economy developed as a reaction to inability of post-Fordist theory to comprehensively explain changes in present urban economy and life. Post-Fordism "has the disadvantage of expressing itself only by what it is not, whereas the later has the advantage of positively reflecting the foundations of much contemporary economic activity – above all in the more advanced centres of capitalism.." (Scott, 2014, p.570). Cognitive-cultural economy "shapes the peculiar logic of learning, creativity and innovation that are observed in cities today but also has many wider and deeper impacts on urban outcomes. It has important policy implications..." (Scott, 2014, p.565). It consists of sectors and occupations that require deductive reasoning capacities, technical knowledge, cultural skills and visual imagination. Leading sectors are "technology-intensive manufacturing, services of all varieties (business, financial, personal), fashion-oriented neo artisanal production, and cultural-products industries" (Scott, 2007, p. 1467). Cognitive-cultural economy consists of ICT, R&D-intensive industries, information-processing industries (such as financial services), knowledge-intensive service sectors, design, media, art, architecture, marketing and tourism (Davis and Mills, 2014).

Cognitive-cultural economy has specific labour and spatial characteristics. It is based on flexible employment organization, which often consists of temporary teams created from high-professionals for the purpose of the particular project. "In terms of working relation, this means an increase of self-employment and new forms of de-standardised work, flexible working times, contracts by projects" (d'Ovidio and Pradel, 2013, p.70), often change of employers and

discontinuous careers. As a result of specific labour organization the personal social networks make the crucial element of personal career, so presence in the area of intense cognitive-cultural economy, such as major cities, is important. This influences the intense spatial concentration of cognitive-cultural workers and companies and creation of sector specific clusters (Scott, 2012) in the region and in the city.

### **POSITIONING OF CITIES IN GLOBAL URBAN HIERARCHIES**

According to military power cities in the antique period were somewhat hierarchically organized. In Fordist economy national importance and commercial and economic connections with other cities were determining city position. Globalisation produced new urban hierarchy, notably different and redefined in the last 40 years (Alderson, 2010). Involvement in global flows of capital, information, knowledge and people, involvement in global networks became the element that determines the importance and power of city (Simeunovic and Mitrovic, 2011). Factors that contribute to the city position in global urban hierarchy<sup>3</sup> are complex starting from concentration of offices of leading international corporations, highly specialized services and international organization, to flow of people and information.

The first complex global urban hierarchy was created by John Friedmann in 1995, where cities were ranked according to concentration of head-offices of multinational corporations of financial and business services. Friedmann's global hierarchy was based on the attributes of the cities. Urban hierarchy created by Smith and Timberlake (1995) brought ranking methodology based on intercity relations within global networks. They used flow of people, products and information as the basic data for ranking. GaWC (Globalisation and World City) group, as a leading research organization for urban hierarchies is a producer of most comprehensive global urban hierarchies. GaWC group uses the data on cooperation of offices of 100 leading transnational corporations, from consulting, advertising, marketing and finance, between paired cities, for annual ranking of cities.

The 21<sup>st</sup> century brought shift in research of global urban hierarchies. A set of data was widened from analysis of headquarters of international corporations to analysis of concentration of knowledge, innovation and talented and educated people in the city is primary determining its global position. Change is associated with the different understanding of leading sectors of world economy. As one of the most important elements of city power in the global system are recognized knowledge, creativity and innovation capacities. For instance, Richard Florida (2002) uses the concentration of creative people as element for city ranking. For Florida concentration of creative class is most important element of city global competitiveness and wealth. Global Innovation Agency (2008) created Innovation City Index, which presents leading classification and ranking of cities according to their potential for innovation, creation, implementation and communication of ideas in urban economy. The data set includes cultural assets, human infrastructure and networked markets. New methodologies for ranking of cities in global hierarchies return the importance of city place qualities and human capital, not only their economy.

### **THE ROLE OF COGNITIVE-CULTURAL ECONOMY IN CITY'S GLOBAL POSITIONING**

Principal sites of cognitive-cultural economy are cities, especially top global cities (Scott, 2008). Cognitive-cultural economy is not present in all cities, not even at the top of the global urban hierarchy. Some cities have entered this phase of development and have directed their urban and economic policies towards cognitive-cultural economy, some have not. Cognitive-cultural

---

<sup>3</sup>Global urban hierarchy in this paper is regarded in coloration to theory of Friedmann (1986), Sassen (1991) and Beaverstock et al. (1999), as a ranking of cities in accordance to concentration of economic, political and social control function of global networks.

### **3<sup>rd</sup> INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC CONFERENCE**

economy has started to rise in many smaller cities and had impact on enhancement of their global position. On the other hand, in many cities it is present only as the support for financial and service sectors, not contributing to the overall competitiveness.

#### **Importance of cognitive-cultural economy for city's global positioning**

Importance of innovation and creative productivity of city for its development and wealth is well known. Jane Jacobs (1984) connects city strength and position with its capability to produce ideas, or as she defines it, to conduct import-replacement cycle. Through import-replacement cycle cities stop import, start producing for their needs and come to export their own products. Without this process cities are condemned on stagnation and decline. It is more important that cities have human potential for innovation, knowledge and creativity than having available natural resources and the newest infrastructure.

Charles Landry and Richard Florida have further developed the idea of creative people importance for city positioning. Landry (2000) stresses the importance of creative potential of all people in the city, especially the governance, for solving challenges that city faces. The ability of city governance to use knowledge and be innovative in problem solving is the precondition for the city to adequately response to globalization and new cognitive capitalism. Florida (2002) asserts that creative class (or highly-educated workforce) is the most important for city global position. Florida through development of his creative index proves that city position in global networks is in correlation with presence of 3Ts (technology, talent and tolerance) in the city. On the other side, Krätke (2012) claims that scientific/technological occupations have the strongest impact on city economic growth and global urban positioning, followed by artistic occupations, basing his claims on the research of employment in different sectors.

"(S)ignificant elements of the sphere of productive activity today thrive on scientific inputs, continuous innovation, product multiplicity and differentiation, the provision of customized services, symbolic elaboration, and so on" (Scott, 2008, p. 64). The biggest concentration of knowledge and creative intensive sectors is evident in the cities at the top of the global urban hierarchy (such as New York, London, Los Angeles, Paris and Tokyo), proving that in the cognitive-cultural economy has significant impact on city global positioning. Cognitive-cultural economy "is both firmly anchored in specific places and a very insistent element of the global economic order at large" (Lorenzen, Scott and Vang, 2008, p.590). Although it has crucial importance for city position it is not exclusively related to top global cities. Cognitive-cultural economic growth offers a route towards global city status that is not dependent on sheer size. Promoting the urban cognitive-cultural economy signals competitiveness and attractiveness because it represents the leading edge of production and consumption (Davis and Mills, 2014, p.19). Cognitive-cultural economy is contributing to city's wealth and position through two aspects: directly as the growing economy with high-value creation and indirectly through dissemination of know-how, innovation and provision of cognitive tools to wide spectrum of other sectors.

#### **Enhancement of cognitive-cultural economy**

Urban agendas for development of creativity and knowledge have been broadly accepted and introduced in a variety of cities in Europe, North America and Asia. Most of these strategies are based on theories and principles established by Landry (2000) and Florida (2002). Urban programs based on Landry's work are putting emphasis on local governance creativity, creative leaders of the city and engaging citizens in this process. They use local cultural potentials and identity, evolve it to create broadly recognizable image, a brand of the city. Most popular method for city creative enhancement was created by Florida (2002). Cities improve amenities (cultural, entertainment and leisure) with the aim to attract creative class, that preferentially will migrate to such cities. Cities try to create special urban atmosphere and bohemian quarters through urban

gentrification, use of heritage sites and derelict ex-industrial areas on top city locations and their conversion to desirable places for work and living.

Presented approaches which concentrate on the enhancement of creative human capital are often criticized as too simplified, not considering the complexity of city. For city development not only human capital is important, but also production and job-generation capacity (Storper and Scott, 2009). This type of developmental engine is the major motive force of city growth in cognitive-cultural capitalism today (Scott, 2007). Allen Scott proposes that if a city wants to create successful development strategy in cognitive-cultural economy, it needs to create specialised clusters, clusters of technology-intensive, service and cultural producers (Scott, 2014). Cities are integrating different strategies to boost development of clusters and jobs, from availability of working space and concentration of companies, financial support, to networking of different actors and organizational support for sectors.

The labour market around clusters and the wider urban system has important part in enhancement of cognitive-cultural economy. To support cognitive-cultural economy it is important to invest in educational institutions and education of citizens (Scott, 2010). Educational institutions, especially universities, have significant role in creation and retaining of high-educated and creative work force in the city, and creation and spread of knowledge-intensive companies and small creative industries (Musterd and Murrie, 2010), which are the carriers of cognitive-cultural economy.

#### **METHODS FOR STIMULATION OF COGNITIVE-CULTURAL ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT**

No matter what approach to development of cognitive-cultural economy city selects, its implementation and success is not simple and straight forward. There are numerous elements that need to be taken into consideration if the city wants to reach desired goal.

Creation of attractive urban areas in the city, close to the city centre, with specific bohemian atmosphere and buzz, that aims to respond to desires of creative and high-educated people, sometimes does not lead to predefined goals and even can have negative effects. Through gentrification of these areas the primary element that made them attractive, spontaneity and creative people concentration, may disappear. Very often the first sign that dilapidated areas will go through transition is settling of artists and creative workers and opening of their businesses (Zukin, 1982). Gentrification process brings the rise in rent, and much of the first residents are forced to move. New area loses its buzz and its capacity for cognitive-creativity stimulation (Scott, 2014). If the city governance wants to keep the capacity for knowledge and innovation it needs to take careful small interventions that will protect original groups. Too high institutionalization will drive away investors and suppress alternative character. Informal and smaller art organizations are more important than flagship cultural institutions for social networking (Currid, 2007). The possible ways are: ensure presence of parts of the area that have low rents of apartments and offices; offer different types of apartments for different groups, as well as different types of office spaces. The creation of area with mixed rental choices is the best, as it leads to mixed social, occupational and class neighbourhoods.

Urban policies directed towards gathering of particular companies in limited spaces in the city do not create clusters, not in the notion of Allen Scott. Social networks and embedded ties are critical for creativity and innovation production (Flew, 2010). In the most alternative model the spatial concentration in the city is not a precondition of cluster existence. It can be replaced with functional network between companies and workers. If a city wants to stimulate development of successful cognitive-cultural clusters it should not start from scratch, denoting some vacuous area of the city for cluster. It should recognize existing cognitive and cultural networks and extend them further. Through institutional mechanism it should stimulate companies to cooperate more. Mechanisms can range from services for interlinking of companies with similar work and needs,



### 3<sup>rd</sup> INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC CONFERENCE

offering coworking spaces, creating attractive urban area around existing concentration of companies, to in the final end relocation of companies to one new place.

Success of the cluster depends on its specialization, on the one hand, and on its diversity, on the other. Specialization more efficiently contributes to external economic gain and city global position (Davis and Mills, 2014). Cognitive-cultural economy on global scale have tendency to concentrate in specialised cluster of specific industry (Scott, 2014), such as Silicon Valley, Hollywood, Manchester Media City, Smart Oulu. City governance should choose those specializations that have rooted knowledge and experience in the city. The economic structure of the city should not reflect choices of preferred individual but better the "path-dependent logic of production" (Scott, 2008, p.82). Diversity is important for the transfer of knowledge. Diversity of personal contacts (networks) leads to a diversity of knowledge. Diversity in cognitive-cultural clusters can be achieved through import of high-knowledge workers and companies, who are attracted by recognizable brand of the cluster and alluring business conditions. The other possibility is connecting cluster with other clusters abroad. The mix of local diversity and input from abroad gives the biggest effect on knowledge and innovation production. Labour in cognitive-cultural clusters make numerous self-employed and small companies. In order to insure sustainability cities should give support to this vulnerable groups, helping them financially in periods of transition between contracts, giving access to organized networks, offering space, business and marketing services for start-ups.

### CONCLUSIONS

Not all of these methods had successful result in city's global positioning, nor the same strategies had uniform effects in every city. Local specificity of the city and path dependence have impact on the outcomes of methods for cognitive-cultural development on city global position. It is not always enough to rely on only one sector for city development and wealth. In the new economy it is necessary to link scientific and technological innovation production with local artistic and cultural creativity, since only by joint appearance they contribute to enhancement of city cognitive-cultural economy (Krätke, 2012). Depending from the start and by governance desired position in global urban hierarchy different strategies should be chosen by different cities. Wise decision and implementation can notably enhance city position in global urban hierarchies.

### REFERENCES

- Alderson, Arthur et. al. 2010. "Intercity Relations and Globalisation: The Evolution of the Global Urban Hierarchy, 1981-2007". *Urban Studies*, 47, no.9 (August):1899-1923.
- Beaverstock, Jonathan, Smith, Richard and Taylor, Peter. 1999. "A Roster of World Cities". *Cities*, 16, no.6 (December): 445-458.
- Currid, Elizabeth. 2007. *The Warhol Economy: How Fashion, Art and Music Drive New York City*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Davis, Charles and Mills, Nicholas. 2014. "Innovation and Toronto's Cognitive-Cultural Economy", in *Innovation and Knowledge Flows in Canadian*, edited by David Wolfe, 59-91. Toronto: University Toronto Press.
- d'Ovidio, Marianna and Pradel, Marc. 2013. "Social innovation and institutionalisation in the cognitive-cultural economy: Two contrasting experiences from Southern Europe". *Cities*, 33 (August) 69-76.
- Flew, Terry. 2010. "Toward a Cultural Economic Geography of Creative Industries and Urban Development: Introduction to the Special Issue on Creative Industries and Urban Development". *The Information Society*, 26, no.2: 85-91.
- Florida, Richard. 2002. *The Rise of Creative Class*. New York: Perseus Book Group.
- Friedmann, John. 1986. "The world city hypothesis". *Development and Change*, 17, no.1 (January): 69-83.
- Friedmann, John. 1995. "Where we stand: a decade of world city research", in *World Cities in a World-system*, edited by Paul Knox and Peter Taylor, 21-47. New York: Cambridge University Press.

- GaWC (Globalisation and World Cities) Research Group, <http://www.lboro.ac.uk/gawc>.
- Global Innovation Agency (2008). "Innovation Cities Program, Innovation City Index 2008". Accessed January 2016. <http://www.innovation-cities.com/innovation-cities-index-2008/4998>
- Jacobs, Jane. 1969. *The Economy of Cities*. New York: Random House.
- Jacobs, Jane. 1984. *Cities and the Wealth of Nations*. New York: Random House.
- Kloosterman, Robert. 2010. "This is not America: embedding the cognitive-cultural urban economy." *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography*, 92, no.2 (June): 131-143.
- Krätke, Stefan. 2012. *The Creative Capital of Cities. Interactive Knowledge Creation and the Urbanization Economies of Innovation*. Malden and Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Landry, Charles. 2000. *Creative City: A Toolkit for Urban Innovators*. London: Earthscan Publications.
- Lorenzen, Mark, Scoot, Allen and Vang, Janl. 2008. "Editorial: Geography and the Cultural Economy," *Journal of Economic Geography*, 8: 589–592.
- Moulier-Boutang, Yann. 2007. *Le capitalisme cognitif. Comprendre la nouvelle grande transformation et ses enjeux*. Paris: Éditions Amsterdam, Paris.
- Musterd, Sako and Murie, Alan. 2010. *Making Competitive Cities*. West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Scott, Allen. 2007. "Capitalism and urbanization in a new key? The cognitive-cultural dimension". *Social Forces*, 85, no.4 (June):1465–1482.
- Scott, Allen. 2008. *Social economy of the metropolis: Cognitive-cultural capitalism and the global resurgence of cities*. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Scott, Allen. 2010. "Cultural economy and the creative field of the city", *Geografiska Annaler: Series B, Human Geography* 92, no.2 (August): 115–130.
- Scott, Allen. 2012. *A World in Emergence: Cities and Regions in the 21st Century*. Dheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Scott, Allen. 2014. "Beyond the Creative City: Cognitive-Cultural Capitalism and the New Urbanism." *Regional Studies*, 48, no.4 (March): 565-578.
- Smith, Davide and Timberlake, Michel. 1995. "Cities in Global Matrices: Toward Mapping the World-System's", in *World Cities in a World-system*, edited by Paul Knox and Peter Taylor, 79-97. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Simeunovic, Sanja and Mitrovic, Biserka. 2011. "Enhancing Competitiveness of Serbian Medium-Sized Cities in Global Urban Networks", in *Real Corp 2011- Lifecycles of cities and regions*, edited by Manfred Schrenk et. al., 275-284.
- Storper, Michael and Scott, Allen. 2009. "Rethinking human capital, creativity and urban growth". *Journal of Economic Geography*, 9, no.2 (January): 147–167.
- Zukin, Sharon. 1982. *Loft Living: Culture and Capital in Urban Change*. John Hopkins University Press.