## **ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# THE PREVALENCE OF MALOCCLUSION AMONG YEMENI CHILDREN OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS

#### ABSTRACT

Malocclusion is any deviation in the arrangement of teeth exceeding the standards of normal occlusion characterized by anomalies within the dental arches. The objective of this study was to assess the prevalence of malocclusion among primary school children in Sana'a city Yemen. A cross sectional study was conducted on 1079 school children (546 girls and 533 boys), their age ranged from 7 to 12 years old from public and private schools selected randomly in Sana'a City, Yemen. The prevalence of malocclusion were investigated according to age, gender and school type based on Angle's classification. The WHO (1997) criteria were used to assess the prevalence of dental caries (dmft) for deciduous teeth and (DMFT) for permanent teeth. Study showed that the overall prevalence of malocclusion among school children was 81.1%, in which Class I normal molar relationship was found only in 18.9% of school children, while Class I malocclusion involved the highest percentage of the sample 70.4%, followed by Class II relation 9.5%, and Class III involved only 1.1%. The most prevalent malocclusion was spacing 35.7%, while dental crowding was 30.0%. In conclusion, there was a high rate of malocclusion in school children and significantly increased with age, class I malocclusion was the most common followed by Class II malocclusion, while Class III was the rear. The most prevalent occlusal problem was spacing, followed by crowding. Thus, 7-12 year-olds can benefit from preventive and interceptive oral health measures which may either totally prevent or lessen the development of severe forms of malocclusions later in their lives.

KEYWORDS: malocclusion, prevalence, primary schoolchildren, Yemen

#### INTRODUCTION

Research and study of dental health problems in Yemen are still modest and limited, although there have been studies that have addressed the problems of tooth decay, gum infections, causes for extraction of permanent teeth and prevalence and pattern of third molar impaction in adults and children <sup>1-5</sup> but no research has touched upon malocclusion. Malocclusion is a problem of the oral cavity scattered around the world, from which the children and adults suffer, not less important than other oral problems. Although dental malocclusion is not a life-threatening condition <sup>6</sup>, the poor periodontal conditions<sup>7</sup> and impaired mastication<sup>8</sup> associated with it provoke the need to explore the prevalence of malocclusion in different age groups. Furthermore, it is one of the most common dental problems, together with dental caries, gingival disease and dental fluorosis <sup>9</sup>. It is considered as dental public health problem, its impact psychologically and functionally is great, and its prevalence among children is high.<sup>10</sup> In addition to that, malocclusion is thought to be a risk factor information and progression of dental caries. Since pediatric patients with malocclusion have challenged the ability to practice effective oral hygiene, this may predispose them to malocclusion.<sup>11</sup>

Concerning premature loss of primary teeth, it has been previously stated that the premature loss of primary teeth can affect the normal eruption time of the permanent successors by either retarding or accelerating their emergence.<sup>12</sup> It is considered a predisposing factor for occlusal and space discrepancies in the mixed and permanent dentitions.<sup>13</sup>

According to the World Health Organization, the main dental problems should be subjected to periodic epidemiological surveys. Knowledge of a population's epidemiological situation is vital for planning and providing prevention and treatment services.<sup>14</sup> The knowledge of the epidemiological status of various traits of malocclusion among the particular population is important for planning the need and provision for orthodontic service to enhance the quality of life.<sup>15</sup>

Dental malocclusion, dental caries, and premature teeth lose prevalence among school children have been reported in most countries of the world. There were a few studies on the prevalence of malocclusion in Yemen, and only two studies were conducted in Sana'a City in 2014 by Al-Zubair and Ghandour, <sup>16</sup>, and Al-Zubair and Al- Almulla. <sup>17</sup> It is clear to see the need to study the prevalence of malocclusion in Yemeni school children during mixed dentition period to provide basic values for preventive measures and then to recognize and minimize the potential irregularities in the developing dental-facial complex in future. The importance of this study comes from the great effects of malocclusion defects on children's oral, systemic and psychological health.

#### SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted to measure the prevalence of malocclusion among Yemeni school children of primary schools (Government and private) in Sana'a City, Yemen. A total of 1079

boys and girls aged between 7-12 years old from schools of Sana'a were randomly selected and examined, the schools are located in different regions of the city to avoid having children from the same area.

The data collection was performed by one examiner, the researcher (Tharwa), by using the standard method.<sup>18</sup> The clinical examination for each child with dental and medical history was formed by simple inspection under adequate light, sometimes if the natural light was insufficient, artificial light from a torch was utilized. All children were examined in a room of the school selected by the principles of the school. Those children who were selected and refused to participate were excluded replaced with new one.

Before starting the study, ethical considerations were taken after the approval of the study by the Faculty of Dentistry in Sana'a university, Yemen. The examination was conducted with permission from the concerned education authorities. Permission was obtained from the office of the region education and the principals of the targeted schools and after obtaining informed consent from parents of the participating children

The examination assessed the period of dentition and analysis of occlusal data, by using a separate registration chart designed to record the personal data of the subjects (all information and clinical examination).

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data were analyzed by SPSS program (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Aromnk, NY: IBM Corp.) and presented by using tables. Percentage (%) was used to describe the qualitative variables. Mean and standard deviation was used to describe the quantitative variables for the normally distributed data. Chi-square with Yate correction and Fisher tests were used to show the significance of the association between the outcomes at the level of significance less than 0.05 (P).

## RESULTS

The results in Table 2 indicate that the prevalence of total malocclusion among school children was 81.1%, and there was no significant difference between malocclusion and 7-9 years old (84.2%) and 10-12 years (78.1%). Also a similar prevalence of malocclusion was found in boys and girls, government schools, and private schools (Table 2). The results in Table 3 indicate that class I malocclusion has the highest proportion of the sample 70.4%, with 73.2% in the 7-9 age group higher than the 10-12 age group (67.8%) (P <0.05). The II class malocclusion was at 9.5%, while the third class had the lowest incidence and was only present in 1.1% of the entire sample. According to gender and school type, there was significant difference with second class malocclusion (P> 0.05). On the other hand, a normal first class occlusion was in 18.9% of the sample. 21.9% were found for age group 10-12 years older more than that of age group 7-9 years (15.8%), also the gender difference was significant. The most prevalent malocclusion was spacing 35.7%. According to age groups, the highest rate of spacing was found in 7-9 years old 42.0%, comparing to age group 10-12 years old 29.7% (X<sup>2</sup>= 17.76, P < 0.001), while non-significant difference of spacing malocclusion was 30.0%, with a non-significant difference that in age groups, gender and school type (P > 0.05) (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

The prevalence of malocclusion varies from country to country and between different age and nationality groups. Globally, epidemiological studies indicate that the prevalence of malocclusion is between 39% and 93%.<sup>19</sup> In the present study, malocclusion was demonstrated in 81.1% of the school children. By comparing the result of this study to other studies, there was almost an agreement with the following reported studies <sup>14</sup> 80.84%, <sup>19</sup> 86.6%, and <sup>21</sup> 83.3%. Alternatively, this result was slightly higher when compared to Almeida *et al.*,<sup>22</sup> 73%, (Reddy *et al.*, <sup>23</sup> 52%, Morais *et al.*,<sup>24</sup> 78.50%, Disha *et al.*, <sup>25</sup> 40.9%, Sultan,<sup>26</sup> 78.31%, and Yu *et al.*, <sup>27</sup> 79.4%. The variation in the prevalence of malocclusion can be attributed to the differences in the age ranges of the populations studied, the number of subjects examined and differences in the registration methods which are probably the most important factors explaining these variations.<sup>10</sup>

Regarding gender, no statistical significant difference (P > 0.05) was observed between boys and girls with respect to the prevalence of malocclusion (Table 2), coinciding with Das *et al.*, <sup>28</sup>; Souza *et al.*, <sup>29</sup>; Narayanan *et al.*, <sup>21</sup>

According to age groups, the prevalence of malocclusion was more noticeable for the age group 7-9 years compared to the age group 10-12 years, with a statistical significant difference (P < 0.05). This finding is consistent with Morais *et al.*, <sup>24</sup>. Variation in malocclusion can appear between age groups due to age and individual differences, or perhaps a shift from Class II malocclusion to the normal occlusion that occurs from the mesial movement of the first molars in the lower jaw where the second primary molars exfoliated .<sup>26</sup>

The prevalence of normal occlusion was found low in the present study (18.9%) comparing with other studies by Alatrach *et al.*, <sup>30</sup> (38.5%), Reddy *et al.*, <sup>23</sup> (48.30%), Al-Zubair and Ghandour, <sup>16</sup> (69.4%), and Disha *et al.*, <sup>25</sup> (59.1%). However, this result almost corresponds with the data in some other studies by Souza et al., <sup>29</sup> (22.3%), Morais *et al.*, <sup>24</sup> ( 21.50%), and by Narayanan *et al.*, <sup>21</sup> (16.7%). These disparities between the high malocclusion

and low normal occlusion prevalence that was found in this study may explain the low preventive measure and treatment services.

The results of this study showed that Class I malocclusion prevailed over Class II and Class III (Table 3), in agreement with the majority of the previous studies.<sup>24-29</sup> On the other hand, few studies by Gonçalves *et al.*, <sup>34</sup>; Freitas *et al.*, <sup>35</sup>; and by Yu *et al.*, <sup>27</sup> emphasized the predominance of Class II, in comparison with relation Class I and Class III. This variation of results may be explained by the regional differences, age and sample size, or by the fact of considering normal occlusion as being Class I and maybe by the diversity of the used methodology.<sup>27</sup> Furthermore, Class I malocclusion included the highest proportion of the sample 70.4% (Table 3). This finding resembles <sup>33</sup> who found that the most common malocclusion was Class I 70.4%. This finding also coincides with results of other studies, where malocclusion of Class I was found to be the predominant one by Brito *et al.*, <sup>14</sup> (76.7%), Bourzgui *et al.* <sup>32</sup> (61.4%), Narayanan *et al.*, <sup>21</sup> (69.8%), and by Sultan, <sup>26</sup> (65.87%). In contrary Class I malocclusion in this study was higher than that found by Souza *et al.*, <sup>29</sup> 47.6%, Almeida *et al.*, <sup>22</sup> (55.25%), Romano *et al.*, <sup>20</sup> (55.7%), Reddy *et al.*, <sup>23</sup> 30.3%, Alatrach *et al.*, <sup>30</sup> 30%, and by Disha *et al.*, <sup>25</sup> (36.4%) studies.

In terms of Class II malocclusion, a prevalence of 9.5% was found in the present study. This result is almost similar to the findings of Narayanan *et al.*, <sup>21</sup> 9.3% in contrast higher rate of Class II in that reported by Brito *et al.*, <sup>14</sup> (19.2%), Almeida *et al.*, <sup>22</sup> (38%), Bourzgui *et al.*, <sup>32</sup> (24%), Reddy *et al.*, <sup>23</sup> 13.9%, Sultan, <sup>26</sup> (21.93%), and by Alajlan *et al.*, <sup>33</sup> (21.3%), while our result was higher than that reported by Disha *et al.*, <sup>25</sup> (3.9%).

On the other hand, Class III malocclusion was found only in 1.1% (Table 3). This result was higher when compared to finding of Disha *et al.*,<sup>25</sup> 0.6%, and lower as compared to that of Souza *et al.*, <sup>29</sup> (8.2%), Brito *et al.*, <sup>14</sup> (4.2%), Lux *et al.*, <sup>31</sup> (3%), Almeida *et al.*, <sup>22</sup> (6.75%), Bourzgui *et al.*,<sup>32</sup> (10%), Romano *et al.*, <sup>20</sup> (6.0%), Reddy *et al.*, <sup>23</sup> (7.8%), Alatrach *et al.*,<sup>30</sup> (12%), Narayanan *et al.*, <sup>21</sup> (4.1%), Sultan, <sup>26</sup> (12.18%), Alajlan *et al.*, <sup>33</sup> (8.3%), and by Yu *et al.*, <sup>27</sup> (5.9%).

In the present study, the most prevalent malocclusion trait was spacing 35.7% (Table 4). This result higher when compared to Al-Zubair and Al- Almulla,<sup>17</sup> (25.8%). This large number of school children with spacing is due to the fact that most of them had a premature loss of teeth mostly the primary teeth losing. There was statistic significant difference between the two age groups, which was found higher for age group 7-9 years old 42.0%, compared to the 10-12 years old 29.7%. By comparing with other studies, this result is much higher than that found by Disha *et al.*, <sup>25</sup> (6.5%) and by Yu *et al.*, <sup>27</sup> (9.5%).

The second most common type of malocclusion trait in this study was crowding seen in 30.0% of school children (Table 5). This finding is almost in agreement with that of Almeida *et al.*, <sup>22</sup> (31.59%), Al-Zubair and Ghandour, <sup>16</sup> (31.4%), Al-Zubair and Al- Almulla, <sup>17</sup> (30.4%), and by Yu *et al.*, <sup>27</sup> (28.4%). However, the number of crowding in this study is much lower than that reported by Souza *et al.*, <sup>29</sup> (49.6%), Brito *et al.*, <sup>14</sup> (45.5%), and by Romano *et al.*, <sup>20</sup> (52.6%).

According to gender, a non-significant difference in crowding was observed (P > 0.05) (Table 5). This finding is in agreement with Brito *et al.*<sup>14</sup>, and in disagreement with Souza *et al.*<sup>29</sup> who found a significant difference between genders (P < 0.05) in which the dental crowding in girls was higher than in boys.

On the other hand, the results has shown that there is a higher prevalence of dental crowding for age group 7-9 years old than for age group 10-12 years old (Table 5). This result is in agreement with that found by Morais *et al.*,<sup>24</sup>. This may be partially explained by the fact that some dental crowding may have, spontaneously, resolved. Mixed dentition crowding, known as temporary primary crowding, may resolve spontaneously during the stage of mixed dentition. <sup>36,37</sup>

### CONCLUSION

There was a high rate of malocclusion in school children and significantly increased with age, class I malocclusion was the most common followed by Class II malocclusion, while Class III was the rear. The most prevalent occlusal problem was spacing, followed by crowding. This study could open the field of specific studies to determine the means for the proper identification, control, and guidance of the environmental factors that could affect the craniofacial structures, which would be the main target of the preventive programs. Thus, 7-12 year-olds can benefit from preventive and interceptive oral health measures which may either totally prevent or lessen the development of severe forms of malocclusions later in their lives.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen which supported this work.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

"No conflict of interest associated with this work".

## REFERENCES

1-Al-Sharani AA, Al-Hajj W, Al-Shamahy HA, Jaadan BM. The effect of nanosilver and chlorhexidine mouthwash on anaerobic periodontal pathogens counts. Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2019; 4(5): 1-6.

**2-** Al-Shamahy HA, Abbas AMA, Mahdie Mohammed AM, Alsameai AM. Bacterial and Fungal Oral Infections Among Patients Attending Dental Clinics in Sana'a City-Yemen. On J Dent & Oral Health. 1(1): 2018. OJDOH.MS.ID.000504.

3-Alhadi Y, Al-Shamahy HA, Aldilami A, Al-Hamzy M, Al-Haddad KA, *et al.* Prevalence and Pattern of Third Molar Impaction in Sample of Yemeni Adults. On J Dent & Oral Health. 1(5): 2019.

4-Al-Shami IZ, Al-Shamahy HA,Abdul Majeed ALA, Al- Ghaffari KM and Obeyah AA. Association Between the Salivary *Streptococcus Mutans* Levels and Dental Caries Experience in Adult Females. On J Dent & Oral Health. 1(1): 2018.

5- Alhadi Y, Rassem AH, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-Ghaffari KM. Causes for extraction of permanent teeth in general dental practices in Yemen. Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2019; 4(2): 1-5.

6-Karaiskos N, Wiltshire W.A, Odlum O, Brothwell D, Hassard T.H. Preventive and interceptive orthodontic treatment needs of an inner-city group of 6 and 9 years old Canadian children. J Can Dent Assoc 2005; 71(9): 649.

7-Ngom P.I, Diagne F, Benoist H.M, Thiam F. Intra arch and inter arch relationships of the anterior teeth and periodontal conditions. Angle Orthod 2006; 76(2): 236-242.

8-Ngom P.I, Diagne F, Aïdara-Tamba A.W, Sene A. Relationship between orthodontic anomalies and masticatory function in adults. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2007;131(2): 216-22.

9-Dhar V, Jain A, Van Dyke T.E, Kohli A. Prevalence of gingival diseases, malocclusion and fluorosis in school-going children of rural areas in Udaipur district. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2007; 25(2): 103-5. 10-Mtaya M, Brudvik P, Astrom A.N. Prevalence of malocclusion and its relationship with sociodemographic

factors, dental caries, and oral hygiene in 12 to 14 years old Tanzanian school children. European Journal of Orthodontics 2009; 31(5): 467-476.

11-Helma S, Petersena P.E. Pressure habits, etiological factors in malocclusion 1989; 47(4): 223-229. 12-Leite-Cavalcanti A, Menezes S.A, Granville-Garcia A.F, Correia-Fontes L.B. Prevalence of early loss of primary molars: Study retrospective. Acta Sci Health Sci 2008; 30(2): 139-43.

13-Baskaradoss J.K, Geevarghese A, Roger C, Thaliath A. Prevalence of malocclusion and its relationship with caries among school children aged 11-15 years in Southern India. Korean J Orthod 2013; 43(1): 35-41.

14-Brito D.I, Dias P.F, Gleiser R. Prevalência de más oclusões em crianças de 9 a 12 anos de idade da cidade de Nova Friburgo (Rio de Janeiro). Dental Press Ortodon Ortoped Facial 2009; 14(6): 118-124.

15-Singh S.P, Kumar V, Narboo P. Prevalence of malocclusion among children and adolescents in various school of Leh region. Journal of Orthodontics and Endodontics 2015; 1(2): 15.

16-Al-Zubair N.M, Ghandour I.A. Occlusal status among Yemeni children. APOS Trends Orthod 2014; 4(4): 93-8.

17-Al-Zubair N.M, Almulla A. Malocclusion traits of Yemeni female school children. Orthodontic Journal of Nepal 2014; 4(1): 41-44.

18-WHO. Oral health surveys. Basic Methods. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. World Health Organization. Geneva. 1997.

19-Thilander B, Pena L, Infante C, Parada S.S, Mayorga C. Prevalence of malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need in children and adolescents in Bogota, Colombia. An epidemiological study related to different stages of dental development. Eur J Orthod 2001; 23(2): 153-67.

20-Romano F.L, Magnani M.B.B.A, Ferreira J.T.L, Matos D.S, Valério R.A, Silva R.A.B, Filho P.N. Prevalence of malocclusions in school children with mixed dentition in the city of Piracicaba, Brazil. Rev. Odontol. Univ. Cid. São Paul 2012; 24(2): 96-104.

21-Narayanan R.K, Jeseem M.T, Kumar T.V.A. Prevalence of malocclusion a mong 10-12 years old school children in Kozhikode District, Kerala: An epidemiological study. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent 2016; 9(1): 50-55.

22-Almeida M.R, Pereira A.L.P, Almeida R.R, Almeida-Pedrin R.R, Silva Filho O.G. Prevalence of malocclusion in children aged 7 to 12 years. Dental Press J Orthod 2011; 16(4): 123-31.

23-Reddy E.R, Manjula M, Sreelakshmi N, Rani S.T, Aduri R, Patil B.D. Prevalence of Malocclusion among 6 to 10 Years old Nalgonda School Children. J Int Oral Health 2013; 5(6): 49-54.

24-Morais C.H, Zanin L, Degani V.V, Valdrighi H.C, Venezian G.C, Vedovello S.A.S. Malocclusion in schoolchildren aged 7-12 years old in Minas Gerais, Brazil. RGO, Rev Gaúch Odontol, Porto Alegre 2016; 64(2): 164-170.

25-Disha P, Poornima P, Pai S.M, Nagaveni N.B, Roshan N.M, Manoharan M. Malocclusion and dental caries experience among 8-9 years old children in a city of South Indian region: A cross-sectional survey. J Edu Health Promot 2017; 6: 98.

26-Sultan S. Prevalence of malocclusion among 12 years old school children in Kashmir India. Sch. J. Dent. Sci 2018; 5(1): 35-39.

27-Yu X, Zhang H, Sun L, Pan J, Liu Y, Chen L. Prevalence of malocclusion and occlusal traits in the early mixed dentition in Shanghai, China. PeerJ 2019; 7: e6630.

<u>28-Das</u> U.M, Venkatsubramanian, <u>Reddy</u> D. Prevalence of malocclusion among school children in Bangalore,

India. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent 2008; 1(1): 10-12.

29-Souza R.A, Magnani M.B.B, Nouer D.F, Romano F.L, Passos M.R. Prevalence of malocclusion in a Brazilian school children population and its relationship with early tooth loss. Braz J Oral Sci 2008; 7(25): 1566-1570.

30-Alatrach A.B, Saleh F.K, Osman E. The prevalence of malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need in a sample of Syrian children. Eur J Orthod 2014;10(30): 153-67.

31-Lux C.J, Ducker B, Pritsch M, Komposch G, Niekusch U. Occlusal status and prevalence of occlusal malocclusion traits among 9 years old schoolchildren. European Journal of Orthodontics 2009; 31(3): 294-99.

32-Bourzgui F, Sebbar M, Hamza M, Lazrak L, Abidine Z, El Quars F. Prevalence of malocclusions and orthodontic treatment need in 8 to 12 years old schoolchildren in Casablanca, Morocco. Prog Orthod 2012; 13(2): 164-72.

33-Alajlan S.S, Alsaleh M.K, Alshammari A.F, Alharbi S.M, Alshammari A.K, Alshammari R.R. The prevalence of malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need of school children in Northern Saudi Arabia. J Orthodont Sci 2019; 8(10): 1-5.

34-Gonçalves L.P.V, Toledo A.O, Otero S.A.M. Relação entre bruxismo, fatores oclusais e hábitos bucais. Dental Press J Orthod 2010; 15(2): 97-104.

35-Freitas C.V, Souza J.G.S, Mendes D.S, Pordeus I.A, Jones K.M, Martins A.M.E.B.L. Necessidade de tratamento ortodôntico em adolescentes brasileiros: Avaliação com base na saúde pública. Rev Paul Pediatr 2015; 33(2): 204-10.

36-Moyers R. Ortodontia. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara- Koogan 1988. p. 669.

37-Silva Filho O.G, Garib D.G, Lara T.S. Ortodontia interceptiva: Protocolo de tratamento em duas fases. São Paulo: Artes Médicas 2013. p. 574.

Table 1: The distribution of school children participants in the study according

to age, gender, school type and district (n=1079)

Variable		freq.	%
Age	7-9yrs	527	48.8
	10-12yrs	552	51.2
Gender	Boys	546	50.6
	Girls	533	49.4
School type	Government	761	70.5
	Private	318	29.5
Districts	Al- Thawrah	669	62.0
1715011015	Old Sana'a	170	15.8
	Al- Safiah	240	22.2

Mean age  $\pm$ SD= 9.5 $\pm$ 1.7

	Maloccl	usion	Norma	1	p-value	
Variable	freq.	%	freq.	%		
Age (year)	7 - 9 yrs.	444	84.2	83	15.7	
	10 - 12 yrs.	431	78.1	121	21.9	0.010*
Gender	Boys	443	81.1	103	18.9	
	Girls	432	81.1	101	18.9	0.972
School type	Government	620	81.5	141	18.5	
						0.624
	Private	255	80.2	63	19.8	
Total	875	81.1	204	18.9		

Table 2: The prevalence of malocclusion according to age, gender and school type

\* P< 0.05 statistically significant

Table 3: Provalance of Angle classification according to again gondar and school type	
-100010 s $Dr(0.001000000000000000000000000000000000$	
-1 and $-1$ even even even and $-1$	
Tuble 5. The valence of Thigle classification according to age, genuer and senoor type	~

Age (year)						er			School type					
Angle classification	7-9yrs		10-12	lyrs	Boys Girls			Government Private					Total	
	freq.	%	freq.	%	freq.	%	freq.	%	freq.	%	freq.	%	freq.	%
Class I normal	83	15.8	121	21.9	103	18.9	101	18.9	141	18.5	63	19.8	204	18.9
Class I	386	73.2	374	67.8	384	70.3	376	70.5	540	71.0	220	69.2	760	70.4
Class II	52	9.9	51	9.2	53	9.7	50	9.4	70	9.2	33	10.4	103	9.5
Class III	6	1.1	6	1.1	6	1.1	6	1.1	10	1.3	2	0.6	12	1.1
P-value	0.085*	:			0.998				0.666					
X <sup>2</sup>	6.24				0.035				1.57					

\* P< 0.05 statistically significant

Table 4: Prevalence of spacing according to age, gender and school type and the association of spacing with premature loss of teeth

Variable		Present		Absent		202		
		freq.	%	freq.	%	X-	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (year)	7 - 9 yrs.	221	42.0	306	58.0	1776	< 0.001**	
	10 - 12 yrs.	164	29.7	388	70.3	17.70	< 0.001***	
Gender	Boys	203	37.2	343	62.8	1.00		
	Girls	182	34.1	351	65.9	1.08	0.298	
School type	Government	268	35.2	493	64.8			
	Private	117	36.8	201	63.2	0.24	0.622	
Premature teeth losing		104	33.5	206	66.5	0.862	0.353	
Total		385	35.7	694	64.3			

\*\* P< 0.001 statistically significant

Table 5: Prevalence of crowding according to age, gender and school type

Variable		Present		Absent				
		freq.	%	freq.	%	$X^2$	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (year)	7 - 9yrs.	163	31.0	364	69.0	0.43	0.514	
Age (year)	10 - 12yrs.	161	29.2	391	70.8	0.45	0.01 f	
Gender	Boys	155	28.4	391	71.6		0.234	
	Girls	169	31.7	364	68.3	1.41		
School type	Government	222	29.2	539	70.8	0.90	0.343	
	Private	102	32.1	216	67.9			
Total		324	30.0	755	70.0			

Reviewers