# Impact of enhanced Osmia bicornis (Hymenoptera; Megachilidae) 1 populations on pollination and fruit quality in commercial sweet 2 cherry (Prunus avium (L)) orchards

by Ryder, J.T., Cherrill, A., Prew, R., Shaw, J., Thorbek, P. and Walters, K.F.A.

**Copyright, publisher and additional Information:** This is the author's accepted manuscript. The final published version (version of record) is available online via Taylor & Francis

Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.2019.1654062



Ryder, J.T., Cherrill, A., Prew, R., Shaw, J., Thorbek, P. and Walters, K.F.A. 2019. Impact of enhanced Osmia bicornis (Hymenoptera; Megachilidae) 1 populations on pollination and fruit quality in commercial sweet 2 cherry (Prunus avium (L)) orchards. *Journal of Apicultural Research*.

22 August 2019

1 2 3	Impact of enhanced <i>Osmia bicornis</i> (Hymenoptera; Megachilidae) populations on pollination and fruit quality in commercial sweet cherry ( <i>Prunus avium</i> (L)) orchards
4	Jordan T. RYDER <sup>1</sup> , Andrew CHERRILL <sup>1</sup> , Richard PREW <sup>1</sup> , Jenna SHAW <sup>1</sup> , Pernille
5	THORBEK <sup>2*</sup> , Keith FA WALTERS <sup>1</sup> .
6	
7	
8	
9	1. Harper Adams University, Newport, Shropshire, TF10 8NB, UK
10	2. Syngenta, Jealott's Hill, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG42 6EY, UK
11	*Current Address: BASF SE, APD/EE, Speyerer Strasse 2, 67117 Limburgerhof,
12	Germany
13	Corresponding Author: kwalters@harper-adams.ac.uk
14	Short Title: Osmia bicornis pollination of Prunus avium.
15	
16	Abstract
17	The impact on pollination of supplementing wild pollinators with commercially reared
18	Osmia bicornis in commercial orchards growing the self-fertile sweet cherry variety
19	"Stella" was investigated in each of two years. The quality characteristics used by
20	retailers to determine market value of fruit were compared when insect pollination was
21	by wild pollinators only, or wild pollinators supplemented with O. bicornis released at

22 recommended commercial rates. No effect of treatment on the number of fruit set or 23 subsequent rate of growth was recorded. However, supplemented pollination resulted in 24 earlier fruit set when compared to pollination by wild pollinators alone and offered the potential benefit of a larger proportion of the crop reaching optimum quality within a 25 26 narrower time range, resulting in more consistent produce. Retailers use five key 27 quality criteria in assessment of market value of cherries (the weight of individual fruit, 28 width at the widest point, fruit colour, sugar content and firmness). Price paid to 29 growers depends both on meeting the criteria and consistency between fruit in these 30 characteristics. In both years, the commercial criteria were met in full in both 31 treatments, but harvested fruit following supplemented pollination were consistently 32 larger and heavier compared to those from the wild pollinator treatment. In the year 33 where supplemented pollination had the greatest impact on the timing of fruit set, fruit 34 size and sugar content were also less variable than when pollination was by wild species 35 only. The implications for the commercial use of O. bicornis in cherry orchards are 36 considered.

#### 37 Keywords

38 Solitary bee/ Sweet Cherry/ Fruit set/ Fruit quality/ Osmia bicornis/ Pollination

39

### 40 Introduction

Insect pollination is a key ecosystem service, with estimates valuing it at £430 million per
annum within UK agricultural systems alone (Vanbergen, Heard, Breeze, Potts, &
Hanley, 2014). Insect pollinators (including wild bees) account for 35% of global crop

44 pollination (Garibaldi et al., 2013) but a decline in bee populations has been observed 45 over the last 60 years (Potts et al., 2010) and linked to a range of drivers (Neumann & 46 Carreck, 2010; Potts et al., 2010). Although the lack of coordinated monitoring programmes results in their being only limited firm evidence for widespread losses in 47 48 most pollinator groups, the strongest conclusions can be drawn from data generated in 49 Europe and North America where well studied groups displaying a decline include honey 50 bees and bumblebees. Fragmentary evidence for other groups is available, however, and 51 quantitative synthesis of local scale studies has revealed a wide scale pattern of loss of 52 pollinator richness and abundance (Ricketts et al., 2008; Winfree et al., 2009) that 53 collectively suggests that a widespread decline is occurring in many regions of the world 54 (Potts et al., 2010). The decline is more severe amongst specialist feeding species with 55 many generalist feeders less affected due to their association with a wider range of plant 56 species (Neumann & Carreck, 2010; Potts et al., 2010). It has been suggested that wild 57 pollinator decline has had a greater impact on pollination of high value fruit crops such 58 as top fruit orchards than on other crops, and to address shortfalls in pollination services 59 in orchards wild pollinators are commonly supplemented with commercially managed 60 species such as Apis mellifera (Allsopp, de Lange, & Veldtman, 2008; Breeze, Bailey, 61 Balcombe, & Potts, 2011, Garibaldi et al., 2013). Against a background of increasing 62 costs associated with such managed bees and the decline in wild pollinators (Allsopp et 63 al., 2008; Breeze et al., 2011; Potts et al., 2010), interest in the potential commercial use 64 of alternative organisms to supplement pollination is rising.

Solitary bees of the genus *Osmia* have been shown to be an effective alternative to
existing commercial pollinators in several fruit crops. *Osmia cornifrons* is used for
commercial apple and cherry pollination in China (Batra, 1978) and Japan (Bosch &

68 Kemp, 2002; Sekita, 2000; Sekita & Yamada, 1993) and Osmia lignaria is used in orchards in the USA (Morales-Ramos, Rojas, & Shapiro-Ilan, 2013). In Europe use of 69 70 Osmia cornuta was developed successfully for orchard pollination, and this was followed 71 by its introduction to California in the 1980s to pollinate almond crops (Torchio & 72 Asensio, 1985). Use of O. cornuta as a pollinator for blackberry (Rubus fruticosus L.) has 73 also been investigated in confined environments in Italy, and heavier berries bearing more 74 drupelets were produced when O. cornuta were used than in systems relying on self or 75 wind pollination (Pinzauti et al., 1997). Osmia bicornis (Linnaeus, 1758) (previously 76 Osmia rufa) has been used in European orchards from the 1970s, since being developed as a pollinator for fruit trees (including sour cherries) and other crops such as 77 78 strawberries and oilseed rape (Hansted, Grout, Toldam-Andersen, & Eilenberg, 2014; Sedivy & Dorn, 2014). Although positive effects on both yield and quality (compared to 79 80 background pollination by wild pollinators alone) have been reported when this species 81 is released in later flowering fruit crops, more work is needed to determine its efficacy in 82 earlier flowering crops such as cherries.

*O. bicornis* is a widely distributed univoltine, polylectic species, ranging from
Scandinavia to the Mediterranean (Lhomme, 2014; O'Toole, 2000). It is active in Europe
from March onwards in most years (O'Toole, 2000; Raw 1972), and commercial rearing
techniques ensure the availability of adults for release sufficiently early in the year to
pollinate earlier flowering orchard crops, such as cherries, at a time when fewer
alternative wild pollinators are available (Gruber, Eckel, Everaars, & Dormann, 2011).

In the UK both self-fertile and self-sterile varieties of sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* (L.))
flower in late March or early April. Rapid ovule degeneration occurs during flowering

91 and the majority of self-fertile varieties that are grown in commercial orchards (e.g. 92 Stella) are thought to benefit from supplementary pollination by insects (Delaplane & 93 Mayer, 2000; Lane, 1979). Early pollination has been shown to influence fruit set, and it has been suggested that flowers pollinated later in the blossom period may show a 94 95 reduction in eventual fruit quality (Mayer, Rathbone, & Miliczky, 1987; Ughini & 96 Roversi, 1993). Thus pollinators that are actively foraging during the short flowering 97 period may play an important role in maintaining yield or quality of produce (Delaplane 98 & Mayer, 2000; Lane, 1979). The early flowering period of cherry trees coincides with 99 activity of a restricted range of wild pollinators, amongst which some Bombus spp, 100 Andrena spp and Osmia spp are thought to be of key importance (Guler & Dikmen, 2013 101 Kirk & Howes, 2012). These early flying wild species can increase fruit set and yield, 102 even when honey bee colonies are placed in the orchards (Holzschuh, Dudenhöffer, & 103 Tscharntke, 2012).

104 Successful use of *O. bicornis* in commercial orchards is due in part to their morphology 105 and, resulting from their nesting behaviour, the ease with which bees can be released and 106 retained in a local area (Hansted et al., 2014; Sekita, 2000). Mason bees, such as O. 107 bicornis, collect pollen on the scopa, which is located on the ventral surface of the 108 gastrum. The location of the scopa increases the potential for contact with plant 109 reproductive structures (Kuhn & Ambrose, 1984) and pollen is easily dislodged resulting 110 in effective transfer between flowers (Raw, 1972). Pollination can thus be achieved by 111 fewer floral visits than is required by social bee species (Klein et al., 2012). The utility of 112 O. bicornis is also enhanced because it requires a high number of foraging trips for 113 provisioning of larval nest cells, and these trips are commonly completed within a short 114 foraging range (Gathmann & Tscharntke, 2002). These factors, coupled with the ability to fly in adverse weather (Güler & Dikmen, 2013; Stone & Willmer, 1989), increases thepotential of the species to act as an effective early season commercial pollinator.

117 Building on previous research, the crop production industry is currently considering the 118 potential of O. bicornis as a pollinator in oilseed rape, cherry orchards and soft fruit crops 119 such as strawberry (Bilinski & Teper, 2004; Gruber et al., 2011; Teper & Bilinski, 2009; 120 Wilkaniec & Radajewska, 1996), and it has been shown that Osmia spp. can be effective 121 pollinators of crops in both confined and open environments (Pinzauti et al., 1997; Sedivy 122 & Dorn, 2014). Little information is available on the impact of O. bicornis in UK cherry 123 (Prunus avium) production systems, however, and there is active debate regarding its 124 potential efficacy. Research is therefore required to support optimisation of pollination 125 services in this crop. This study investigates the hypothesis that supplementing pollination 126 by O. bicornis release will increase the quality and yield of fruit in commercial cherry 127 orchards.

128

#### 129 Materials and Methods

130 Experiments were conducted in a mature commercial sweet cherry orchard (Prunus 131 avium) in North Herefordshire, UK (SO583502), established in 2000 using the self-fertile 132 cultivar "Stella" (RHS, 2016). The orchard is on south facing slopes with well-draining 133 red Herefordshire soil, slightly acid loamy and clayey (Soilscapes, 2016), at 200m above 134 sea level, and with a density of 1900 trees per hectare yielding a mean of 20 tonnes fruit 135 per hectare. Trees were covered with open ended 100m polythene tunnels (poly-tunnels), 136 each containing 2 rows separated by a narrow (2m) grass strip with occasional herbaceous 137 flora including Taraxacum and Ranunculus spp. Normal commercial husbandry practice included opening tunnels during spring and summer, allowing access for pollinatinginsects during the flowering period.

140

# 141 Experimental design and treatments

A randomised design of four blocks each containing two treatment plots was established during early spring of 2015. The experiment was replicated in 2016. Each treatment plot occupied the central portion of a poly-tunnel and contained 50 trees (two rows of 25 trees). Fourteen days prior to bud burst (growth stage 2: 23rd March 2015, 21st March 2016; Chapman & Catlin, 1976) the polythene sides of each plot were replaced with an insect-proof mesh covering. Mesh walls were also constructed to seal both open ends, thus facilitating release and containment of known numbers of *O. bicornis*.

149 Within each block, in one treatment plot (control) insect pollination relied on the wild 150 pollinators trapped when mesh walls were constructed. In the second, wild pollinating 151 insects were supplemented with commercially reared O. bicornis released at the standard 152 rate (2 adult bees/tree) and timing recommended for cherry orchards by the supplier 153 (Mason Bees Ltd., Shropshire, UK). Cocoons were removed from nest cells in early 154 November the previous year and maintained under conditions of continuous dark and at a temperature varying between 2-4 °C until being moved to experimental plots. Two 155 156 standard weatherproof release boxes (18 x16 x 8cm) were set at 1.5m above the soil 157 surface according to normal commercial practice. Boxes were positioned at distances 158 equivalent to approximately one-third and two-thirds along the length of each of the plots, 159 with an exit slit facing towards the South to allow escape of adult bees. Fourteen days before commencement of bud burst, fifty O. bicornis cocoons were placed in each release 160

box and the adults allowed to emerge (60:40 female to male ratio). To confirm the total
number of adult *O. bicornis* that were active during flowering in each plot, empty cocoons
were counted at 7-day intervals until all had emerged. Cocoons from which adult bees
failed to emerge within the expected time period were removed and replaced.

With the exception of the treatment-specific procedures, all crop husbandry activitieswere identical in all plots and followed normal commercial practice for the orchard.

167

#### 168 Assessments

*Temperature* – Temperatures within each treatment plot were recorded with a handheld
digital thermometer at each assessment visit (TPI Digital Pocket Thermometer, Crawley
UK). Three measurements were recorded in each treatment/replicate at each visit, at
10:00, 13:00 and 15:00.

173 *Abundance of wild pollinators* - The abundance of wild pollinating fauna was

174 established by taking sweep net samples in all plots on each of five days during the

175 flowering period of the orchard. Sampling was conducted while walking at a standard

176 speed (circa  $2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) along the full length of the central strip between the 2 rows of

177 cherry trees, before the catch was transferred to a sealed plastic bag and returned to the

178 laboratory where it was stored in a freezer at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until processing. To take account of

179 diurnal activity cycles of different pollinators, sampling was undertaken during three

- 180 periods (08:00-10:00, 11:30-13:30, 15:00-17:00), and was replicated on each of five
- 181 days during the blossom period. Counts were only taken on days when temperatures

182 were favourable for pollinator activity (>12°C), based on the temperature assessments
183 described above.

As available resources precluded identification of all individuals to species, the insects 184 185 caught were recorded under six categories, wild (non-O.bicornis) solitary bees, 186 bumblebees (Bombus spp.), honey bees (Apis mellifera), hoverflies (Syrphidae), "other" 187 diptera, and "other" insects (Hymenoptera (mainly parasitoids, Coleoptera and 188 Neuroptera). The assessment therefore recorded the groups found in each sample that 189 potentially contributed to wild pollination, but as counts included both pollinating and 190 some non-pollinating species it is likely that they overestimated the cumulative 191 contribution of wild pollinators to cherry pollination in the treatment tunnels.

192 Fruit set and fruit drop - Prior to the start of bud burst (growth stage 2, Chapman & Catlin, 193 1976), 10 trees were selected at random in each treatment/replicate (five from each row), 194 and a branch from mid-canopy level was selected for assessments and labelled. The 195 number of buds on the distal 50cm portion of each labelled branch was counted. After the end of all flowering (growth stage 7, Chapman & Catlin, 1976) the number of developing 196 197 fruit was counted, with further counts of fruit being taken on 5, 10, 16, 23 June and 1 July 198 in 2015, and 7, 12, 19, 24 June and 3 July 2016. The last count of fruit was taken at the 199 commencement of ripening.

*Fruit growth* - The terminal fruit cluster from labelled branches was identified and the
width at the widest point of each individual fruit was measured with digital callipers
(Sealey, Suffolk UK). Measurements were repeated at weekly intervals (2015: 5, 10, 16,
23 June, 1 July; 2016: 7, 12, 19, 24 June and 3 July).

204 Fruit quality – Fruit quality assessments were taken within 2 days of the harvest date for the orchard (10<sup>th</sup> July 2015; 9<sup>th</sup> July 2016) with a minimum of 40 fruit sampled from each 205 206 plot. Fruit were harvested by commercial pickers, placed carefully in labelled punnets 207 and returned immediately to the on-site cold storage facility. Five quality measurements 208 were made for each individual fruit (weight), width at the widest point, fruit colour, sugar 209 content and consistency (firmness), using the standard equipment and approaches used in 210 commercial quality assessment procedures for determining market value (Sainsbury's 211 Supermarkets Ltd, 2015). Weight was assessed using a 50g spring scale (Pesola Light-212 Line, Schindellegi, Switzerland), width using the callipers described above, fruit colour 213 on the industry standard scale of 1 (light fruit) to 7 (dark fruit) using the standard 214 commercial colour guide (Centre Technique Interprofessionel des Fruits et Legumes, 215 Paris France), and sugar content (percentage brix) by piercing the skin of the fruit and 216 squeezing the juice onto the receptor of an Atago digital refractometer (Atago, Tokyo, 217 Japan). Fruit consistency was assessed using a digital firmness penetrometer (Agro 218 Technologie, Serqueux, France) by averaging two measurements of fruit consistency 219 taken at the widest point of the cherry (separated by 180 Degrees). In each measurement 220 consistency was recorded as the pressure required to penetrate the flesh of the cherry and 221 expressed (according to normal commercial practice) as percentage of the maximum 222 pressure that could be exerted by the penetrometer, which corresponded to a pressure of 223 806g (Agrosta, 2015). Penetrometer assessments were only made in 2015 due to an 224 equipment failure in 2016.

225

## 226 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using R version i 386 3.2.3 (R core team, 2012). All data was checked for normality and Log transformations applied where necessary. Factor reduction was conducted allowing for the removal of non-significant terms and interactions in order to reach the minimum adequate model for all statistical tests conducted. During factor reduction, ANOVA between models was conducted to verify that the validity of the statistical model was not affected.

233 Temperature data consisted of a continuous response variable with categorical234 explanatory variables, thus a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was utilised.

Due to the low numbers of insects recorded in assessments of wild pollinators, paired *t*tests were used in comparisons of both numbers caught in different treatments, and to investigate differences between the overall numbers caught in 2015 and 2016.

The number of buds and number of fruit set per unit length of branch was count data and thus was analysed using GLM with Poisson error structure. Where residual deviance was found to be greater than the degrees of freedom a Quasi-Poisson error structure was applied. The proportion of fruit set was analysed with a GLM with a Binomial error structure.

Impact of treatment on cherry development (fruit size over time) consisted of both a
continuous and categorical response variable, due to this an ANCOVA was used for
analysis.

For fruit quality post-harvest assessments, data for width, weight, firmness and brix wereall subjected to ANOVA and Tukey post hoc test to assess the impact of treatment.

Fruit colour data was collected on an ordinal scale and differences between treatments
were investigated using a Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance and post-hoc
Dunn test.

For all post-harvest quality assessments a Fisher's *F*-test was conducted to investigatewhether the variability of fruit differed between treatments.

253

# 254 **Results**

For all assessments, block and plot were found to be non-significant in both years and therefore removed in both factor reduction and creation of the minimum adequate model.

257*Temperature* - During the creation of the minimum adequate model, treatment was found258to be non-significant and thus removed from analysis. Thus, there was no difference in259temperature between treatment blocks. Temperature varied significantly between dates in260both 2015 (F = 2.87, d.f. = 4, 91, p < 0.05) and 2016 (F = 700.90, d.f. = 1, 69, p < 0.001)</td>261reflecting the transition from spring to summer. Higher temperatures were recorded in2622016 than 2015 (F = 279.05, d.f. = 2,160, p < 0.001).</td>

263 Wild pollinators - Very few wild pollinators from any of the six groups (wild (non-O.

264 *bicornis*) solitary bees, bumblebees (*Bombus* spp.), honey bees (*Apis mellifera*),

hoverflies (Syrphidae), "other" diptera, and "other" insects), were recorded in sweep net

samples taken in either year (Table 1). The results of paired t-tests show no significant

- 267 differences between treatments in the numbers of insects caught in either year (2015: *t*
- 268 = -1.67, d.f. = 3, p > 0.05; 2016: t = -1.71, d.f. = 3, p > 0.05). Although very low in both

269 years, insect counts were significantly higher in 2016 compared to 2015 (t = 5.41, d.f. = 270 7, p <0.001). 271 272 Table 1 Here 273 274 Fruit set 275 Bud counts – There were more buds per branch in 2015 than in 2016 (t = 11.97, d.f = 233, 276 p <0.001), but there were no significant differences between treatments in the number of 277 buds in either year (2015: t = 0.24, d.f. =152, p >0.05; 2016: t = 1.056, d.f. = 79, p >0.05, 278 Figure 1A, B). 279 280 **Figure 1 Here** 281 282 Proportion fruit set - In 2015 the proportion of buds from which fruit was set was lower 283 than in 2016 (z = -29.61, d.f. = 233, p < 0.001). Differences between treatments were not 284 consistent between years. In 2015, the proportion of buds from which fruit was set was 285 not significantly different between wild pollinator and Osmia supplemented treatments (z 286 = 0.19, d.f. = 152, p >0.05) (Figure 1C), but in 2016 the proportion of fruit set was lower

in *Osmia* supplemented treatments (z = -8.76, d.f. = 79, p < 0.001) (Figure 1D).

*Fruit* counts – The results for fruit counts mirrored those for fruit set. In 2015 total fruit count was lower than in 2016 (t = -6.59, d.f. = 233, p <0.001) and total fruit counts were not significantly different between wild pollinator and *Osmia* supplemented treatments (t= 0.19, d.f. =152, p >0.5) (Figure 1E). In 2016 total fruit count was found to be lower in *Osmia* supplemented treatments compared to the treatment with wild pollinators only (t= -2.60, d.f. = 79, p <0.05) (Figure 1F).

294

#### 295 Fruit growth

Following log transformation to normalise the residuals of the data, fruit size increased as a function of time (t = 46.0, d.f. = 392, p <0.001), but no significant differences were found between treatments in the slopes of the lines describing the growth in width of cherries with time (Figure 2A). This interaction was therefore removed from the minimum adequate model for both 2015 and 2016.

301

# 302 Figure 2 Here

303

There was, however, another significant effect of treatment on cherry development in 2015 (F = 8.94, d.f. = 1, 392, p <0.01), with the intercepts of the regression line for *Osmia* supplemented treatments occurring earlier than that of the wild pollinator treatments (t = 225.8, d.f. = 392, p <0.001), indicating that the mean time of commencement of fruit growth (following fruit set) was earlier in the *Osmia* supplemented treatments (Figure 2A). As pollination could only commence when flowers opened, which occurred at the same time in each treatment, the earlier mean time for commencement of fruit growth in 311 the *Osmia* supplemented treatment suggests that pollination/fertilisation was completed312 within a shorter time period when the bees were present.

A similar outcome was recorded in 2016 (Figure 2B). A significant effect of treatment on cherry development was recorded (F = 100.56, d.f. = 1,637, p <0.001), with the intercept for the *Osmia* supplemented treatment occurring significantly earlier than in the wild pollinator treatment (t = -165.71, d.f. = 637, p <0.001). All fruit widths increased as a function of time (t = 37.56, d.f. = 637, p <0.001) (Figure 2).

318

324

# 319 Post-harvest assessments

For all postharvest assessments block and plot were found to be non-significant in both
years and were removed in factor reduction. Due to only two treatments being available,
two tailed t-tests were utilised for analysis.

323 *Weight and width* - Fruit weight (2015: *t* = 5.66, d.f. = 935, p <0.001; 2016: *t* = 3.46, d.f.

633, p <0.001) were both found to be higher in the *Osmia* supplemented treatment in both

= 633, p <0.001) and width (2015: t = 5.12, d.f. = 934, p <0.001; 2016: t = 5.81, d.f. =

326 2015 and 2016 (Figure 3). In 2015, however, there was no difference between treatments

327 in the variability of individual cherry weight (F = 0.96, d.f. = 501, 434, p > 0.05) or width

328 (F = 1.16, d.f. = 501, 433, p > 0.05). In 2016, the variability of fruit weight did not differ

between treatments (F = 0.92, d.f. = 306, 385, p > 0.05), however width was found to be

- 330 significantly more variable for fruit from the wild pollinators treatment compared to the
- fruit from the *Osmia* supplemented treatment (F = 1.35, d.f. = 306, 385, p = <0.01).

335	Sugar content and consistency - The cherries from all treatments met commercial
336	requirements for sugar content (Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd, 2015), but Brix did not
337	vary as a function of treatment in 2015 ( <i>t</i> = 1.39, d.f. = 934, p >0.05) or 2016 ( <i>t</i> = 1.16,
338	d.f. = 633, $p > 0.05$ ) (Figure 4). Likewise firmness did not vary as a function of treatment
339	in 2015 ( $t = -1.28$ , d.f. = 937, p >0.05). Cherries from the wild pollinators and Osmia
340	supplemented treatments were found to be equally variable for both sugar content and
341	consistency in 2015 (Sugar content: $F = 0.99$ , d.f. = 502, 432, p >0.05; consistency: $F = 0.99$
342	0.88, d.f. = 502, 435, p >0.05). In 2016 however, sugar content was found to be more
343	variable for fruit in the treatment with wild pollinators alone ( $F = 16.92$ , d.f. = 306, 385,
344	p <0.001).

345

#### 346 Figure 4 Here

347

348 *Colour* - In 2015 and 2016 the colour of cherries varied between treatments (2015: H =349 14.85, d.f. = 1, p <0.001; 2016: H = 13.22, d.f. = 1, p <0.001). Fruit from *Osmia* 350 supplemented treatments were darker in colour than those harvested from the wild 351 pollinator treatments in 2015, with this reversed in 2016 (Figure 5). However, fruit colour 352 scored lower (overall lighter) in 2015. The variability in colour of cherries did not differ 353 between treatments in either 2015 or 2016 (2015: F = 0.90, d.f. = 502,435, p >0.05; 2016: 354 F = 1.00, d.f. = 306, 385, p >0.05) and all required quality standards were met.

#### 356 Figure 5 Here

357

#### 358 Discussion

359 The value of a sweet cherry crop at harvest is determined by yield, and quality 360 characteristics of the produce (including weight, size, colour, sugar content, and firmness 361 of the fruit), but simply meeting the set quality criteria is not sufficient to command the 362 highest prices. The consistency between fruit in key quality factors is also an important 363 consideration in commercial quality grading procedures determining the price paid to 364 growers (Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd, 2015). In this study, all the quality 365 characteristics of cherries from trees subjected to wild pollinator only treatments, and 366 those exposed to both O. bicornis and wild pollinators, were within the ranges required 367 by retailers.

368 Very low numbers of naturally occurring insects from the main pollinator groups were 369 recorded during flowering in the experiments conducted in both years, possibly reflecting 370 the earlier flowering time of cherry trees which does not coincide with the main emergence period of most insects in the UK (Leather et al., 1995), and illustrating the 371 372 importance of the core self-fertilisation in this variety. Slightly higher numbers were 373 recorded in 2016 than in 2015, potentially linked to the higher ambient temperatures 374 during the flowering period in that year. The low numbers present reduced the risk of the 375 experiment being saturated by pollinators (i.e. achieving the maximum potential 376 pollination irrespective of treatments imposed). Importantly, no significant differences were found between the numbers of these alternative pollinator species between
treatments, which coupled with the low numbers present, gives confidence that they did
not significantly affect the conclusions relating to the impact of *O. bicornis*.

380 Significant differences between treatments in some key characteristics were found. In 381 both years fruit from the Osmia supplemented treatment were larger and heavier at 382 harvest than those produced in the treatment with wild pollinators alone (Figure 3A, B). 383 In 2015, no differences in fruit count were recorded between treatments (Figure 1E), 384 indicating that the Osmia supplemented treatment resulted in a higher overall weight of 385 cherries per unit branch length. This effect on total yield per unit branch length did not, 386 however, occur in 2016, because fruit count was higher in the treatment with wild 387 pollinators alone (Fig 1F) counteracting the impact of larger individual fruit weight in 388 the Osmia supplemented treatment (Fig 3B). We therefore found consistent effects on 389 quality of individual fruit but not on total yield.

The rate at which the fruit grew following fruit set did not differ between treatments in either year. In both years, however, differences between treatments in the mean timing of fruit set were recorded. Trees in *Osmia* supplemented plots completed fruit set earlier than those with wild pollinators alone. Flowering commenced at the same time in both treatments, but pollination occurred more rapidly after bud burst in supplemented pollinator plots, and fruit set from all flowers on a tree was completed during a shorter time window, particularly in 2016 (Figure 2).

397 The shortening of the pollination window established in this study will result in greater 398 synchronisation of cherry development within the crop, and it has been suggested that in 399 other crops this contributes to the production of more uniform fruit size and quality at

400 harvest (Freihat, Al-Ghzawi, Zaitoun, & Alqudah, 2008; Hasegawa, Matsushita, & 401 Kitajima, 2003; Stephenson, 1980). This study provides supporting evidence as improved 402 developmental synchrony of sweet cherries from pollinator supplemented plots can be linked to fruit uniformity through significantly lower variability in fruit size and sugar 403 404 content. However, significant effects on sugar content were only recorded in the year in 405 which the largest differences between treatments in the length of the pollination window 406 occurred (2016), and further work is required to establish both the factors influencing 407 reliability of this outcome and its economic importance. In addition to improved market 408 value, growers have commented that benefits are also accrued if synchronisation results 409 in a larger proportion of the crop being ready for harvest within a narrow time range, 410 reducing the number of passes pickers need to make and associated labour costs.

411 An increase in fruit quality has been reported from a variety of crops when O. bicornis 412 contributes to pollination, partly a result of the mechanical action by which pollination is 413 achieved increasing the amount transferred (Klatt et al., 2014; Kuhn & Ambrose, 1984; 414 Wilkaniec & Radajewska, 1996). Higher levels of pollen deposition have been shown to 415 increase fruit set and quality in some *Prunus* species, and the high pollination efficiency 416 established by other studies may have contributed to the shortening of the pollination 417 window when O. bicornis was released (Kuhn & Ambrose, 1984; Wilkaniec & 418 Radajewska, 1996; Zhang, Tateishi, & Tanabe, 2010). The importance of pollen 419 deposition may be amplified in "Stella" cherries, as other self-fertilising crop species, 420 such as blueberries, have been shown to require higher levels of pollen grain deposition 421 on the pistil when compared to cross pollinating varieties (Parrie & Lang, 1992). If a 422 similar higher level of pollen grain deposition on the pistil is beneficial to self-fertile 423 varieties of sweet cherries, then the very low numbers of wild pollinators present in this

study make it unlikely that this would be achieved without the supplementary *O. bicornis*,
explaining the differences in fruit quality recorded between treatments.

426 Although significant differences between treatments in fruit colour were recorded, they 427 were not consistent between years, suggesting other factors may have influenced the 428 findings. In 2015 fruit colour (an indicator of ripening) was darker in Osmia 429 supplemented plots compared to those with only wild pollinators (Figure 5). Treatments 430 were harvested simultaneously, suggesting that the earlier completion of fruit set in 431 Osmia supplemented treatments resulted in optimal harvest time being slightly earlier. 432 However, results from 2016 suggested over-ripening of cherries in the wild pollinator 433 treatment compared to those in the Osmia supplemented treatment. Fruit firmness (as 434 measured using a penetrometer) is also, in part, related to degree of ripening if harvest is 435 late, but did not vary as a function of treatment in 2015 (Figure 4). Further work is 436 required to improve understanding of factors influencing these important quality 437 characteristics to support decisions on time of harvesting.

438 In conclusion the release of O. bicornis in cherry orchard plots significantly increased the 439 quality of fruit produced by shortening the pollination window, resulting in greater size 440 and uniformity, important fruit quality characteristics, compared to pollination by wild 441 insects alone. Although the impact of O. bicornis pollination in other UK crops such as 442 strawberry (Klatt et al., 2014) and apples (Garratt & Truslove, 2013) is more clearly 443 established, the commercial potential of Osmia as a pollinator of cherries continues to be 444 debated (Hansted et al., 2014). Further research is required to investigate yield and quality 445 responses in both self-fertile and non-self-fertile P. avium varieties to support cost benefit 446 analyses for its commercial use, and to enable comparisons with alternative managed

pollinators. The effect of pollination treatment on shelf life of the crop, a keycharacteristic for both growers and retailers, also warrants investigation.

449

# 450 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) CASE Award under Grant BB/M503447/1. We thank Chris Whittles of the Mason Bee Company Ltd. for his generous support in providing the solitary bees used in this study, Andrew Hunt of Lower Hope Farm Ltd. for provision of the experimental site and use of quality assessment equipment, and Keith Ward of Syngenta Ltd. for advice on statistical analysis.

457

# 458 **References**

459 1. Agrosta 2015. Agrosta®100 Field Digital Firmness Tester: <u>https://www.agro-</u>
460 <u>technology.co.uk/arrow\_htm\_files/Agrosta\_100\_Field6.pdf</u>

461 2. Aizen, M. A., & Harder, L. D. (2009). The global stock of domesticated honey
462 bees is growing slower than agricultural demand for pollination. *Current Biology*,
463 19(11), 915-918.

Allsopp, M. H., de Lange, W. J., & Veldtman, R. (2008). Valuing insect
pollination services with cost of replacement. PLoS One. 2008; 3(9):
e3128. Published online 2008 Sep 10. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003128

467	4.	Batra, S. (1978). Osmia cornifrons and Pithitis smaragdula, two Asian bees
468		introduced into the United States for crop pollination. In D. M. Caron (Ed.), ISP
469		1978. Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Pollination (pp. 307-
470		312). Maryland Agricultural Experimental Station Miscellaneous Publication 1.
471	5.	Biliński, M., & Teper, D. (2004). Rearing and utilization of the red mason bee-
472		Osmia rufa L. (Hymenoptera, Megachilidae) for orchard pollination. Journal of
473		Apicultural Science, 48, 69-74.
474	6.	Bosch, J., & Kemp, W. (2002). Developing and establishing bee species as crop
475		pollinators: The example of Osmia spp. (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae) and fruit
476		trees. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 92(1), 3-16.
477	7.	Breeze, T., Bailey, A., Balcombe, K., & Potts, S. (2011). Pollination services in
478		the UK: How important are honeybees? Agriculture, Ecosystems and
479		Environment. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 142, 137–143.
480	8.	Chapman, P.J., & Catlin, G.A. (1976). Growth Stages in Fruit Trees- From
481		Dormant to Fruit Set. New York: New York State Agricultural Experiment
482		Station, New York's Food and Life Sciences Bulletin, 58, 1-11.
483	9.	Delaplane, K. S., Mayer, D. R., & Mayer, D. F. (2000). Crop pollination by bees.
484		New York, NY: CABI.
485	10.	Freihat, N.M., Al-Ghzawi, A.M., Zaitoun, S., & Alqudah, A. (2008). Fruit set and
486		quality of loquats (Eriobotrya japonica) as effected by pollinators under sub-
487		humid Mediterranean. Scientia Horticulture, 117(1), 58-62.

488	11. Fuchs, E.J., Quesada, M., & Lobo, J. A. (2001). Pollen load size, reproductive
489	success and progeny kinship of natural pollinated flowers of the tropical dry forest
490	tree, Pachira quinata (Bombacaceae). American Journal of Botany, 88(11),
491	2113–2118.
492	12. Garibaldi, L. A., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Winfree, R., Aizen, M. A., Bommarco, R.,
493	Cunningham, S. A., Klein, A. M. (2013). Wild pollinators enhance fruit set of
494	crops regardless of honey bee abundance. Science, 339(6127), 1608-1611.
495	13. Garratt, M., Truslove, L., Coston, D., Evans, R., Moss, E., Dodson, C., Potts,
496	S. (2013). Pollination deficits in UK apple orchards. Journal of Pollination
497	<i>Ecology</i> , 12(2), 9–14.
498	14. Gathmann, A., & Tscharntke, T. (2002). Foraging ranges of solitary bees. Journal
499	of Animal Ecology, 71(5), 757-764.
500	15. Gruber, B., Eckel, K., Everaars, J., & Dormann, C. F. (2011). On managing the
501	red mason bee (Osmia bicornis) in apple orchards. Apidologie, 42(5), 564-576.
502	16. Güler, Y., & Dikmen, F. (2013). Potential bee pollinators of sweet cherry in
503	inclement weather conditions. Journal of the Entomological Research Society,
504	15(3), 9-19.
505	17. Hansted, L., Grout, B. W., Toldam-Andersen, T. B., & Eilenberg, J. (2014). An
506	assessment of Osmia rufa (syn. bicornis) as a pollinator of the sour cherry (Prunus
507	cerasus) cv. stevnsbaer in Eastern Denmark. Journal of Apicultural Research,
508	53(1), 177-182.

509	18. Hasegawa. K., Matsushita, M., & Kitajima, A. (2003). Effect of trunk strapping
510	time on fruit set and quality in Persimmon cv. Matsumoto Wase fuyu, ISHA Acta
511	Horticulturae, 601(12), 64-73.
512	19. Holzschuh, A., Dudenhöffer, J., & Tscharntke, T. (2012). Landscapes with wild
513	bee habitats enhance pollination, fruit set and yield of sweet cherry. Biological
514	Conservation, 153, 101-107.
515	20. Kirk, W., & Howes, F. (2012). Plants for bees. A Guide to the Plants that Benefit
516	the Bees of the British Isles. Cardiff (UK): International Bee Research Association
517	(IBRA).
518	21. Klatt, B.K., Holzschuh, A., Westphal, C., Clough, Y., Smit, I., Pawelzik, E., &
519	Tscharntke, T. (2014). Bee pollination improves crop quality, shelf life and
520	commercial value. The Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences,
521	281, 1-10.
522	22. Klein, A.M., Brittain, C., Hendrix, S.D., Thorp, R., Williams, N., & Kremen, C.
523	(2012). Wild pollination services to California almond rely on semi-natural
524	habitat. Journal of Applied Ecology, 49, 723–732.
525	23. Kuhn, E. D., & Ambrose, J. T. (1984). Pollination of "Delicious" apple by
526	Megachilid bees of the genus Osmia (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). Journal of
527	the Kansas Entomological Society, 57(2), 169-180.
528	24. Lane, W. (1979). Pollination of self-fertile sweet cherry. Journal of Horticultural
529	Science, 54, 87-89.

530	25. Leather, S.R., Walters, K.F.A. & Bale, J.S. (1993). Ecology of insect
531	overwintering. Cambridge University Press, 255pp
532	26. Lhomme, P. (2014). Osmia bicornis. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species
533	2014.http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/full/19198431/1,e.T19198431A2115492
534	6
535	27. Mayer, D., Rathbone, L., & Miliczky, E. (1987). New ideas in cherry pollination.
536	Proceedings of the Washington State Horticultural Association, 83, 228-229.
537	28. Morales-Ramos, J. A., Rojas, M. G., & Shapiro-Ilan, D. I. (2013). Mass
538	production of beneficial organisms: Invertebrates and Entomopathogens.
539	London, UK: Academic Press.
540	29. Neumann, P., & Carreck, N. L. (2010). Honey bee colony losses. Journal of
541	Apicultural Research, 49(1), 1-6.
542	30. O'Toole, C. (2000). The red mason bee: Taking the sting out of bee-keeping. A
543	practical guide to managing Osmia rufa as a pollinator in gardens, allotments,
544	and orchards. Banbury, UK: Osmia Publications.
545	31. Parrie, E., & Lang, G. (1992). Self-and cross-pollination affect stigmatic pollen
546	saturation in blueberry. <i>HortScience</i> , 27(10), 1105–1107.
547	32. Pinzauti, M., Lazzarini, D. & Felicioli, A. (1997). Preliminary investigation of
548	Osmia cornuta Latr. (Hymenoptera, Megachilidae) as a potential pollinator for
549	blackberry (Rubus fruticosus L.) under confined environment. Acta Horticulturae
550	437, 329-333.

551	33. Potts, S. G., Biesmeijer, J. C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O., & Kunin,
552	W. E. (2010). Global pollinator declines: Trends, impacts and drivers. Trends in
553	Ecology & Evolution, 25(6), 345-353.
554	34. R Core Team. (2012). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
555	R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0,
556	URL http://www.R-project.org/
557	35. Raw, A. (1972). The biology of the solitary bee Osmia rufa (Megachilidae).
558	Transitions of the Royal Entomological society of London, 124(3), 213–229.
559	36. Ricketts, T. H.; Regetz, J.; Steffan-Dewenter, I.; Cunningham, S. A.; Kremen,
560	C.; Bogdanski, A.; Gemmill-Herren, B.; Greenleaf, S. S.; Klein, A. M.;
561	Mayfield, M. M.; Morandin, L. A.; Ochieng', A.; Potts, S. G.; Viana. B. F.
562	(2008). Landscape effects on crop pollination services: are there general
563	patterns? Ecology Letters 11, 499-515.
564	37. Royal Horticultural Society. (2016). Prunus avium "Stella" .: Retrieved from
565	https://www.rhs.org.uk/Plants/59056/Prunus-avium-Stella-(F)/Details
566	38. Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd (2015). Quality attribute sheet. V9.0. Cherry
567	punnet 225G. Supermarkets Ltd. London.
568	39. Sedivy, C., & Dorn, S. (2014). Towards a sustainable management of bees of the
569	subgenus Osmia (Megachilidae; Osmia) as fruit tree pollinators. Apidologie,
570	45(1), 88–105.

- 40. Sekita, N. (2000). Managing *Osmia cornifrons* to pollinate apples in Aomori
  Prefecture, Japan. In P. Benedek & K.W. Richards (Eds.), *ICNPS 2000*. *Proceedings of the VIII International Symposium on Pollination: Integrator of Crops and Native Plant Systems* (pp. 303-307). Mosonmagyaróvár, Hungary:
  International Society for Horticultural Science.
- 576 41. Sekita, N., & Yamada, M. (1993). Use of *Osmia cornifrons* for pollination of
  577 apples in Aomori Prefecture, Japan. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*, 26,
  578 264-264.
- 579 42. Soilscapes. (2016). Cranfield Soil and Agrifood Institute. Retreived from:
  580 http://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes/
- 581 43. Stephenson, A.G. (1980). Fruit Set, Herbivory, Fruit Reduction and the Fruiting
  582 Strategy of *Catalpa Speciosa* (Bignoniaceae). *Ecological Society of America*,
  583 61(6), 57-64.
- 584 44. Stone, G., & Willmer, P. (1989). Warm-up rates and body temperatures in bees:
  585 The importance of body size, thermal regime and phylogeny. *Journal of*586 *Experimental Biology*, 147(1), 303-328.
- 587 45. Teper, D., & Bilinski, M. (2009). Red mason bee (*Osmia rufa* L.) as a pollinator
  588 of rape plantations. *Journal of Apicultural Science*, 2(53), 115-120.
- 589 46. Torchio, P. F., & Asensio, E. (1985). The introduction of the European bee, *Osmia* 590 *cornuta* latr., into the US as a potential pollinator of orchard crops, and a
   591 comparison of its manageability with *Osmia lignaria propinqua cresson*

- 592 (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society,
  593 58(1), 42-52.
- 47. Ughini, V., & Roversi, A. (1993). Investigations on sweet cherry effective *pollination period*. In C.R. Hampson, R.L. Anderson, R.L. Perry, A.D. Webster
  (Eds.),ICS 1993. Proceedings of the 2nd International Cherry Symposium (pp.
- 597 423-426). Budapest, Hungary: International Society for Horticultural Science.
- 598 48. Vanbergen, A. J., Heard, M. S., Breeze, T., Potts, S. G., Hanley, N. (2014). Status 599 and value of pollinators and pollination services. A report to the Department for Food 600 Rural Affairs Retrieved Environment. and (Defra). from: 601 https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adam\_Vanbergen/publication/283288659\_ 602 Status\_and\_value\_of\_pollinators\_and\_pollination\_services/links/5630b2e708ae 336c42eb53aa/Status-and-value-of-pollinators-and-pollination-services.pdf 603
- 49. Wilkaniec, Z., & Radajewska, B. (1996). Solitary bee *Osmia rufa* L.(Apoidea,
  Megachilidae) as pollinator of strawberry cultivated in an unheated plastic
- 607
   10.17660/ActaHortic.1997.439.83 <a href="https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1997.439">https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1997.439</a>

   608
   .8

tunnel. Acta Horticulturae, 439; DOI:

606

50. Winfree, R.; Aguilar, R.; Zquez, D. P. Va; Lebuhn, G. & Aizen, M. A.(2009). A
meta-analysis of bees' responses to anthropogenic disturbance. *Ecology* 90,
2068–2076.

612	51. Zhang, C., Tateishi, N., & Tanabe, K. (2010). Pollen density on the stigma affects
613	endogenous gibberellin metabolism, seed and fruit set, and fruit quality in Pyrus
614	pyrifolia. Journal of Experimental Botany, 61(15), 4291–4302.
615	
616	
617	
618	
619	
620	
621	
622	
623	
624	
625	
626	
627	
628	
629	

### 630 Table captions

Table 1: The abundance of wild pollinators in the cherry orchard in 2015 and 2016.
Figures for each treatment/insect category are the mean of 60 assessments (three standard
sweep net samples taken in each of four treatment replicates, on each of five days) taken
during the flowering period of the orchard. Insects were recorded under six categories,
wild (non-*O.bicornis*) solitary bees, bumblebees (*Bombus* spp.), honey bees (*Apis mellifera*), hoverflies (Syrphidae), "other" diptera, and "other" insects (Hymenoptera
(mainly parasitoids, Coleoptera and Neuroptera).

638

# 639 Figure captions

Figure 1:- Mean ( $\pm$  standard error) number of buds in 2015 (A) and 2016 (B), proportion of fruit set in 2015 (C) and 2016 (D), and mean total number fruit set in 2015 (E) and 2016 (F) on 50cm lengths of branch in *Osmia* supplemented and wild pollinator treatments. Treatments sharing the same letter did not vary significantly from each other (p > 0.05)

Figure 2:- Increase in fruit width (mm) with time (sample week) in 2015 (A) and 2016

646 (B). +/--= Osmia supplemented treatment; x / --- = wild pollinator treatment.

Figure 3:- Mean (± standard error) fruit weight (g) in 2015 (A) and 2016 (B), and mean
fruit width (mm) in 2015 (C) and 2016 (D), on 50cm lengths of branch in *Osmia*supplemented and wild pollinator treatments. Treatments sharing the same letter did not

650 vary significantly from each other (p > 0.05)

Figure 4:- Mean ( $\pm$  standard error) post-harvest sugar content (% Brix) in 2015 (A) and 2016 (B), and consistency (pressure required to penetrate the fruit expressed as percentage of maximum pressure exerted by the penetrometer) in 2015 (C), on 50cm lengths of branch in *Osmia* supplemented and wild pollinator treatments. Treatments sharing the same letter did not vary significantly from each other (p >0.05)

Figure 5:- Mean ( $\pm$  standard error) post-harvest colour measurements (Industry standard scale) in 2015 (A) and 2016 (B), on 50cm lengths of branch in *Osmia* supplemented and wild pollinator treatments. Treatments sharing the same letter did not vary significantly from each other (p >0.05)

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

# **Table and Figures**

# 671 Table 1:

	Year Treatment		Wild solitary bees	<i>Bombus</i> spp.	Apis mellifera	Syrphidae spp.	Other Diptera	Other insects
	2015	<i>Osmia</i> supplemented	0	0	0.03	0.08	0	0
		Wild pollinator	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.23	0	0
	2016	<i>Osmia</i> supplemented	0.12	0.03	0.2	0.08	2.48	0.83
		Wild pollinator	0.18	0.02	0.35	0.13	1.88	1.0
673								
674								
675								
676								
677								
678								
679								
680								
681								



Figure 1:



Figure 2:





Figure 3:







