The effect of using solid-state fermented peeled and unpeeled cassava root tubers and limiting amino acid supplementation on metabolisable energy for meat-type cockerels

by Oso, A.O., Li, L., Zhang, B., Liu, H., Li, F., Osho, S.O., Olayemi, W.A., Pirgozliev, V. and Oluwatosin, O.O.

Copyright, Publisher and Additional Information: This is the author accepted manuscript. The final published version (version of record) is available online via its publisher, The University of the West Indies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

Perma link: https://journals.sta.uwi.edu/ta/index.asp?action=abstractPermaLink&articleId=6390



Oso, A.O., Li, L., Zhang, B., Liu,H., Li, F., Osho, S.O., Olayemi, W.A., Pirgozliev, V. and Oluwatosin, O.O. 2017. The effect of using solid-state fermented peeled and unpeeled cassava root tubers and limiting amino acid supplementation on metabolisable energy for meat-type cockerels. *Tropical Agriculture*, 94(3).

The effect of using solid-state fermented peeled and unpeeled cassava root tubers and
 limiting amino acid supplementation on metabolisable energy for meat-type cockerels

A.O. Oso ^{a, b*}, L. Li^a, B. Zhang^c, H. Liu^a, F. Li^a, S.O. Osho^d, W.A. Olayemi^e, V. Pirgozliev^f, O.O.
Oluwatosin ^b

6	^a Key Laboratory for Agro-Ecological Processes of Subtropical Region, Institute of Subtropical
7	Agriculture, The Chinese Academy of Sciences and Hunan Provincial Engineering Research
8	Center for Healthy Livestock and Poultry Production, Changsha, 410125, P.P.R. China.
9	^b Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Animal Science and Livestock Production, Federal
10	University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria, PMB 2240, Nigeria,
11	^c College of Animal Science and Technology, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha, 410128,
12	China
13	^d Purdue University, 915 W, State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2054, USA
14	^e Yaba College of Technology, School of Agriculture, Epe Campus, Lagos, Nigeria
15	^f Harper Adams University, Newport TF 10 8NB, United Kingdom
16	
17	* Corresponding author. Tel.: +234 806 039 5255; fax: +234 39 244299
18	E mail address: drosoann@yahoo.com (A.O. Oso).
19	
20	
21	

⁵

22 **ABSTRACT**

A preliminary *in-vitro* solid-state fermentation of peeled (PCRM) and unpeeled cassava root 23 meal (UCRM) using Aspergillus niger was conducted followed by a force-feeding experiment to 24 investigate the effect of processing, solid-state fermentation and limiting amino acid 25 supplementation on metabolisable energy (ME) of peeled (PCRM) and unpeeled (UCRM) 26 cassava root meal for meat-type cockerels. Forty eight, 84 d-old meat-type cockerels (Ross 308) 27 were assigned to 8 treatments consisting of 6 birds per treatment laid out in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ factorial 28 29 arrangement of treatment consisting of PCRM and UCRM subjected or not to solid-state fermentation and supplemented with and without limiting amino acids. Additional 6 cockerels 30 31 were also used for endogenous study. Peeling of cassava root increased (P < 0.05) gross energy content of the resultant cassava meal when compared with UCRM. Solid-state fermentation 32 using Aspergillus niger increased (P < 0.05) the crude ash, ether extract and arginine 33 concentration of PCRM and UCRM. Solid-state fermented PCRM recorded the highest (P <34 0.05) amylopectin, least (P < 0.05) resistant starch and hydrocyanide concentration. Highest (P35 < 0.05) apparent metabolisable energy (AME) and nitrogen corrected AME (AMEn) values were 36 obtained for cockerels fed with solid-state fermented PCRM supplemented with or without 37 amino acid. However, supplementation of solid-state fermented PCRM with amino acid resulted 38 in highest (P < 0.05) true metabolisable energy (TME) and nitrogen corrected TME (TMEn) for 39 meat-type cockerels. Reduced (P < 0.05) AME and AMEn values were recorded for UCRM, 40 regardless of solid-state fermentation and amino acid supplementation. In conclusion, solid-state 41 fermentation and amino acid supplementation of PCRM resulted in improved AME, AMEn, 42 TME and TMEn values for meat-type cockerels. Amino acid supplementation had no 43 improvement on AME, AMEn and TME values of UCRM for meat-type cockerels. 44

Keywords: Amino acid supplementation, Cassava root meal, Cockerels, Metabolisable energy,
Solid-state fermentation

47

48 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Cassava (Manihot esculenta) root is a cheap and sustainable energy feedstuff with potential 49 to replace most conventional cereal grains in the tropics (Oso et al., 2014). Cassava root is rich in 50 digestible starch, gross energy content (El-sharkawy, 2012) and has been used to a limited extent 51 in poultry nutrition (Eruvbetine et al., 2003; Oso et al., 2014). However, the presence of 52 hydrocyanide (HCN) residues, reduced protein levels, poor protein quality and reduced 53 concentration of sulphur containing amino acids in cassava root constituted the major constraints 54 to its maximal utilization as energy feedstuffs in poultry nutrition (Banea-Mayambu et al., 1997). 55 56 During cassava processing which convert cyanide to a less toxic thiocyanate, the enzyme 'rhodnase' contained in cassava root utilizes the constituent methionine and other sulphur 57 containing amino acids as sulfur donor (Cardoso et al., 2005). Thus, sulphur amino acids become 58 grossly deficient in cassava-based diets fed to poultry birds. Hence, to maximally harness the 59 rich energy potential of cassava root in poultry nutrition, it is essential to supplement cassava 60 root based diets with limiting amino acids. 61

62 Cassava peeling process is the removal of the topmost layer of cassava root prior utilization 63 as food or feed. This processing methods helps to reduce the resultant hydrocyanide (HCN) 64 content in cassava root product since the largest concentration of HCN in cassava root is located 65 on the uppermost layer (Bruijn, 1973). Preliminary study showed improved growth performance of broilers fed diet containing graded levels of peeled cassava root meal when compared withgroup fed diet containing unpeeled cassava root meal (Akapo et al., 2014).

68 Solid-state fermentation with fungal culture has been recognized as a means of nutritionally 69 enriching and detoxifying few cassava products (Oboh and Akindahinsi, 2003). Filamentous fungi such as Aspergillus niger been widely used in food industries for commercial solid-state 70 71 fermentation due to its ease of culturing and absence of pathogenic ability (Berka et al., 1992). Aspergillus niger has the capacity to produce extracellular enzymes (such as hemicellulases, 72 hydrolases, pectinases, protease, amylase and lipases), degrade fibre and enrich its substrate 73 (Mathivanan et al., 2006; Villena and Gutierrez-Cornea, 2007). The present study seeks to 74 evaluate the effect of processing, solid-state fermentation and limiting amino acid 75 supplementation on metabolisable energy of peeled and unpeeled cassava root meal for meat-76 type cockerels. 77

78

79 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

80 2.1. Processing of cassava root

Freshly harvested cassava root tubers (TMS 30572) were washed with water and divided into two equal batches. One batch was manually chipped without prior peeling to obtain the whole cassava chips (WCC) while the other batch was peeled (removal of 0.5 cm uppermost thick layer) before chipping to yield the peeled cassava chips (PCC). Both WCC and PCC were dried (10–11 % moisture content) and milled (2.5 mm sieve) separately to yield the unpeeled (UCRM) and peeled cassava root meal (PCRM), respectively.

87 2.2. Solid-state fermentation of cassava root meal

Pure laboratory strain of Aspergillus niger (Chinese International Centre for Type Culture 88 Collection; CICC, No. 41126) was used as inoculum. A total of 8 kg cassava meal (consisting of 89 90 4 kg UCRM and 4 kg PCRM) were measured and used for this study. Twenty (20) sub-samples of UCRM and PCRM, each weighing 200 g were measured and placed into separate conical 91 92 flasks. Thus, forty (40) conical flasks were used in all for the study (20 flasks for UCRM and 20 flasks for PCRM group). All UCRM and PCRM samples contained in flasks were randomly 93 assigned, each into 2 treatments consisting of solid-state fermented and unfermented group. Thus 94 there were four treatments in all laid out in a 2×2 factorial arrangement of peeled (PCRM) and 95 unpeeled (UCRM) cassava root meal, each subjected or not to solid-state fermentation. Samples 96 (contained in flasks) subjected to solid-state fermentation were moistened (250 g/kg Moisture 97 content) each with nutrient solution (containing analytical grade of 80 g urea, 7 g MgSO_{4.2}H₂O, 98 13 g KH₂PO₄ and 20 g citric acid) and inoculated with 2×10^7 fungal spore of A. niger per gram 99 of sample. Each conical flask was air-sealed and the substrate incubated (30° C) for 6 days in a 100 bed-packed incubator. At the end of incubation period, fermented samples (contained in each 101 102 flask) were sterilized (120° C for 20 min) and used for subsequent chemical analysis.

103 *2.3 Chemical analysis of samples*

Fermented samples of UCRM (n = 10) and PCRM (n = 10) and respective unfermented samples were analyzed for dry matter (DM) by drying at 80°C for 24 h (AOAC; 925.10). Ash was measured in a muffle furnace (510° C for 18 h), crude protein (6.25 × N) was determined by LECO FP-200 Analyser (St Joseph, MI, USA), oil was extracted with petroleum spirit using the soxhlet method (AOAC, 1990). Gross energy (Adiabatic bomb calorimeter, Model 1261; Parr

Instrument Co., Moline, IL, USA), fibre fraction (Van Soest et al., 1991), tannin (Makkar et al., 109 1993) and hydrocyanide content (De Bruijn, 1971) of samples were determined following 110 standard procedures. The amylopectin (Amylose/Amylopectin kit, Megazyme International Co. 111 Ireland) and resistant starch content (KRSTAR 08/11 Test kit, Megazyme International Co. 112 Ireland) of samples were determined using appropriate commercial kits. Mineral analysis (ICP-113 MS, Agilent 7500 cx, Agilent Technologies) and amino acid analysis (RP-HPLC; Agilent 1100, 114 115 Palo Alto, CA, USA) of the samples were also determined. All laboratory analysis was done at 116 the Key Laboratory of Agro-ecological Processes in Subtropical Region, Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hunan Province, China. 117

118 2.4 Metabolisable energy determination using gavage method

The experimental protocol used in this study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and 119 120 Welfare Committee of the Institute of Subtropical Agriculture (ISA), Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.P.R China (Approval No. ISA AEC 2013-014). A total of fifty four (54) meat-type 121 cockerels (Ross 308, 12-weeks-old) of average weight 2250g + 115 were used in all for this 122 experiment. Forty eight (48) cockerels were assigned to 8 treatments in a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ factorial 123 arrangement of treatment consisted of peeled (PCRM) and unpeeled (UCRM) cassava root 124 meals, fermented or not with A. niger and supplemented with and without limiting amino acids. 125 There were 6 replicates per treatment of 1 bird per replicate. The remaining 6 cockerels were 126 used for endogenous study. Birds were kept in individual iron-type battery cages (each of 127 dimension $35 \times 35 \times 50$; LBH) and fed commercial diets prior the commencement of the 128 experiment. The amino acids supplemented were as follows: L-lysine (0.75 g/100 g cassava 129 meal), DL-methionine (1.5 0 g/100 g cassava meal), L-arginine (0.75 g/100 g cassava meal) and 130 L-cysteine (0.75 g/100g cassava meal). Birds were orally gavaged 30 g of respective processed 131

cassava meal after 48 hr of starvation following the standard procedure outlined by Mc Nab and 132 Blair (1988). All birds had free access to drinking water while birds assigned to endogenous 133 group were dosed each with warm glucose solution (30 g of glucose/50 ml of warm water). 134 Excreta voided from each bird following the feeding procedure were collected quantitatively. All 135 the birds survived the experiment as no mortality was recorded throughout the study. Gross 136 energy of samples of excreta was measured while the following equations were used to calculate 137 apparent metabolisable energy (AME), nitrogen corrected apparent metabolisable energy 138 139 (AMEn), true metabolisable energy (TME), and nitrogen corrected true metabolisable energy (TMEn) of test ingredient (Sibbald, 1989): 140

141 AME /g of feed =
$$[(Fi \times GEf) - (E \times GEe)]/\underline{Fi}$$

Where Fi is the feed intake (g on dry matter basis), E is quantity of excreta output (g on dry matter basis), GEf is the gross energy (MJ/ kg) of feed, and is GEe the gross energy (MJ/ kg) of excreta.

145 AMEn /g of feed = {[(Fi × GEf) – (
$$E × GEe$$
)] – (NR × 36.5)}

146

Fi

147 where nitrogen retention (NR) = (Fi × Nf) – (E × Ne), Nf is the nitrogen content (g/kg) of

148 feed, Ne is the nitrogen content (g/kg) of excreta.

149 TME /g of feed = {[(Fi × GEf) – (
$$E × GEe$$
)] + (FEm + UEe)}

150

Fi

where FEm is metabolic faecal energy (kJ) (calculated from gross energy of excreta from endogenous loss), and UEe is endogenous urinary energy (kJ) (This is assumed zero since urine and faeces are passed together).

154 TMEn /g of feed = {[(Fi × GEf) - (
$$E × GEe$$
)] - (NR × K)} + {(FEm + UEe) +(NRo × 36.5)}

155

Fi

Where NR and NRo are estimates of nitrogen retention for fed (experimental) and starved(control) birds, respectively.

158 2.5 Statistical Analysis

As regards data obtained from compositional chemical analysis of unfermented and solid-state 159 fermented UCRM and PCRM, replicate units in conical flasks (n = 10 per treatment) served as 160 161 experimental units for statistical analysis. These data was analysed as a two factor model (cassava peeling \times solid-state fermentation) consisting of peeled and unpeeled cassava root, 162 subjected or not to solid-state fermentation. For the analysis of data obtained from estimation of 163 metabolisable energy using gavage method, individual bird was used as the experimental unit (n 164 = 6 per treatment). Data obtained from gavage studies were analysed as a three factor model 165 (cassava peeling \times solid-state fermentation \times amino acid supplementation) consisting of peeled 166 167 and unpeeled cassava root, subjected or not to solid-state fermentation and supplemented with or without amino acids. All data generated in this study were subjected to analysis of variance using 168 the general linear models procedure of the SAS (SAS Institute, 2002) to determine the main 169 effects and their respective interactions. Significant differences were considered at P < 0.05. 170

171

172 2.6 Statistical Model

173	For two factor model (cassava peeling × solid-state fermentation) analysis of chemical
174	composition of peeled and unpeeled cassava root, the model used is as follows:
175	$Y_{ij} = \mu + A_i + B_{j} + AB_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$
176	Where Y_{ij} = Observed value of the dependent variable
177	μ = Population mean
178	A_i = Main effect of cassava peeling (peeled, unpeeled)
179	B_j = Main effect of solid state fermentation (fermented, unfermented)
180	AB_{ij} = Interraction effect of cassava peeling and solid state fermentation
181	ε_{ijk} = Random residual error.
182	
183	For three factor model (cassava peeling \times solid-state fermentation \times amino acid supplementation)
184	analysis of metabolisable energy determination of peeled and unpeeled cassava root, the model
185	used is as follows:
186	$Y_{ij} = \mu + A_i + B_j + C_k + AB_{ij} + BC_{jk} + AC_{ik} + ABC_{ijk} + \epsilon_{ijkl}$
187	Where Y_{ij} = Observed value of the dependent variable
188	μ = Population mean
189	A_i = Main effect of cassava peeling
190	B_j = Main effect of solid state fermentation
191	C_k = Main effect of amino acid supplementation
192	AB _{ij} = Interraction effect of cassava peeling and solid state fermentation
193	BC_{jk} = Interraction effect of solid state fermentation and amino acid supplementation
194	AC_{ik} = Interraction effect of cassava peeling and amino acid supplementation
195	ABC _{ijk} = Interraction effect of cassava peeling, solid state fermentation and amino acid
196	supplementation
197	$\varepsilon_{ijkl} = Random residual error$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

199 *3.1. Solid-state fermentation of peeled (PCRM) and unpeeled (UCRM) cassava root meal*

Solid-state fermentation of PCRM and UCRM with A. niger resulted in increased (P <200 0.05) ether extract, crude ash and reduced (P < 0.05) dry matter content (Table 1). Increased 201 ether extract content of resultant meal (UCRM and PCRM) following solid-state fermentation 202 could be attributed to the ability of A. niger to synthesize long chain fatty acids from acetyl co-203 enzymes A and other complex unsaturated lipids during fermentation (Iyayi and Aderolu, 2004). 204 205 Increased ash content recorded for fermented UCRM and PCRM when compared with unfermented meal could be due to increased available mineral caused by metabolic activities of 206 207 the fermenting organism. The highest (P < 0.05) ash content obtained for fermented UCRM could be attributed to the rich mineral content of the outer cassava peel contained in UCRM 208 coupled with the fermentation. The outer layer of cassava root (peel) has been reported to contain 209 210 richer macro-minerals than the pulp (Akapo et al., 2014).

Peeling of cassava root subjected or not to solid-state fermentation using A. niger resulted 211 in improved (Cassava processing \times Solid-state fermentation, P < 0.05) gross energy content and 212 reduced (P < 0.05) hydrocyanide content (HCN) of the resultant meal when compared with the 213 unpeeled cassava meal. UCRM contain fibrous outer peels which could lead to a dilution effect 214 of the constituent energy hence reduced energy content. Cassava root peeling led to reduced 215 HCN because the highest concentration of HCN in cassava root is located on the outer peel when 216 compared with the inside pulp (Bruijn 1973). Hence, peeling of cassava root to yield UCRM will 217 yield a product with reduced HCN content. 218

219 Solid-state fermentation of PCRM using *A. niger* resulted in a fermented product with 220 reduced NDF (P < 0.01) and ADF (P < 0.05) content. Fermentation with *A. niger* thus resulted in efficient breakdown of the constituent fibre. *A. niger* has been earlier reported to produce ligno-cellulolytic enzymes during fermentation which break down constituent fibre in cassava root (Mathivanan et al., 2006; Villena and Gutierrez-Cornea, 2007). Solid-state fermentation of PCRM in the current study also showed reduced (P < 0.05) resistant starch content and improved (P < 0.05) amylopectin content suitable for products that required adhesion (Bergmann et al., 1988). *A. niger* has been reported to degrade starch granules for substrate enrichment (Soccol et al., 1994).

Solid-state fermentation of both PCRM and UCRM showed reduced (P < 0.05) Cu levels 228 of the resultant fermented products. In fact, solid-state fermentation of PCRM resulted in 229 reduced (Cassava processing \times Solid-state fermentation, P < 0.05) K and Zn content of the 230 fermented cassava products (Table 1). The effect of solid-state fermentation on mineral profile of 231 cassava products has not been extensively investigated in literatures. The reduced concentration 232 of Cu noticed for solid-state fermented PCRM and UCRM could be due to the adsorption ability 233 of the fungi. A. niger is known to produce large quantities of organic acids such as citrate and 234 gluconate, both of which are capable of leaching or precipitating metals out of a number of 235 substrate by either adsorption to fungal cell wall components, or complexation of the metals 236 237 (Bosshard et al., 1996).

Amino acid profile of PCRM and UCRM subjected or not to solid-state fermentation is as shown in Table 2. Solid-state fermentation of PCRM and UCRM increased (P < 0.05) the arginine concentration of the resultant fermented products. The improved arginine concentration obtained in fermented PCRM and UCRM when compared with the unfermented meals corroborated the earlier findings that fungal fermentation of cassava products improved the resultant amino acid profile (Oboh and Akindahinsi, 2003). Arginine is noted for its role in
protein synthesis and its consequence influence on growth of animals (Kidd et al., 2001).

245

246 3.2. Metabolisable energy determination of PCRM and UCRM using gavage method

Metabolisable energy values of PCRM and UCRM subjected or not to solid-state fermentation 247 and supplemented with and without amino acids is as shown in Table 3. Solid-state fermentation 248 249 of PCRM supplemented or not with amino acid recorded the highest (Cassava processing \times 250 Solid-state fermentation \times amino acid supplementation, P < 0.05) AME and AMEn for meattype cockerels. Highest AME and AMEn values of fermented PCRM recorded in this study 251 252 regardless of amino acid supplementation could be due to improved gross energy content and reduced HCN content of PCRM following cassava root peeling and solid state-fermentation. 253 This improved AME and AMEn of fermented PCRM could also be linked with the increased oil 254 content produced by A. niger during solid-state fermentation (Iyayi and Aderolu, 2004). 255 Mathivanan et al. (2006) reported that solid-state fermentation produce digestive enzymes which 256 pre-digest substrates and thus foster increased nutrient availability, digestibility and energy 257 metabolisability. 258

Reduced (Cassava processing × Solid-state fermentation × amino acid supplementation, P < 0.05) AME and AMEn values of UCRM (regardless of solid-state fermentation and amino acid supplementation) obtained in the present study for meat-type cockerels could be linked with high fibrous constituent of UCRM. Fibrous feedstuffs have been reported to reduce energy metabolisability of poultry birds (Janssen and Carré, 1985). Meanwhile, peeling of the outer layer of cassava root helps in reducing the constituent fibre and thus leads to increased available 265 energy of the resultant product (PCRM). Amino acid supplementation showed no positive266 contribution to AME and AMEn values of UCRM from this study.

Highest (Cassava processing \times Solid-state fermentation \times amino acid supplementation, P 267 < 0.05) TME and TMEn values obtained for fermented and amino acid-supplemented PCRM 268 obtained for meat-type cockerels in the present study underscores the importance of cassava 269 peeling process, solid-state fermentation and amino acid supplementation in improving the TME 270 271 and TMEn values of PCRM. However, amino acid supplementation showed no improvement on 272 TME and TMEn values of unfermented UCRM. Although, slight improvement on TMEn values of UCRM was noticed following solid-state fermentation, however these TMEn values were 273 274 lower than corresponding values obtained for cockerels fed with fermented and amino acidsupplemented PCRM. 275

276

277 4. CONCLUSION

The present study provides background information on the possible utilization of peeled and unpeeled cassava root as energy feedstuffs in the nutrition of meat-type cockerels. It was concluded that solid-state fermentation and amino acid supplementation of peeled cassava root meal had the best metabolisable energy values (AME, AMEn, TME and TMEn) for meat-type cockerels. Although solid-state fermentation of unpeeled cassava root meal had little prospect for improved TMEn, amino acid supplementation of unpeeled cassava root meal had no improvement on AME and AMEn values for meat-type cockerels.

285

286 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to the Chinese Academy of Sciences Visiting Fellowship for
Researchers from Developing Countries (Grant No. 2014FFZA0012) and key program of
Changsha Scientific and Technology Planning Project (k1403031-21) who funded the projects.

290

291 5. **REFERENCES**

- Akapo, O.A., Oso, A. O., Bamgbose, A.M., Sanwo, K.A., Jegede, A.V., Sobayo, R.A., Idowu,
 O.M.O., Juexin Fan; Lili Li; Olorunsola, R.A., 2014. Effect of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) root peeling and inclusion levels on growth performance, hydrocyanide
 intake, haematological indices and serum thiocyanate concentration of broiler chicks.
 Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 46, 1167-1172
- A.O.A.C., 1990. The official method of Analysis, Association of official analysis chemist, 13th
 Edition, AOAC International, Washington DC
- Banea-Mayambu, J. P., Tylleskar, T., Gitebo, N., Matadi, N., Gebre-Medhin, M., Rosling, H.,
 1997. Geographical and seasonal association between linamarin and cyanide exposure
 from cassava and the upper motor neuron disease konzo in former Zaire. Trop. Med. Intl.
 Health. 2, 1143-1151
- Bergmann, F.W., Abe, J. I., Hizukuri, S., 1988. Selection of microorganisms which produce raw
 starch-degrading enzymes. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 27, 443-446.
- Berka, R.M., Dunn-Coleman, N., Ward, M., 1992. Industrial enzymes from Aspergillus species.
 In. Bennett, J.W., Klich, M.A. (Eds.), Aspergillus. Biology and Industrial Applications.
 Butterworth- Heinemann, Boston, pp. 155-202.

309	incineration by Aspergillus niger. Environ. Sci. Technol. 30, 3066-3070.
310	Bruijn, G.H., 1973. The cyanogenic character of cassava. In. Nestel, B., MacIntyre R.
311	(Eds).Workshop on chronic cassava toxicity and useas livestock feeds, Ottawa,
312	International Development Research Centre (IDRC-10e), pp. 43-48.
313	De Bruijn, G. H., 1971. A study of the cyanogenic character of cassava. Meded.
314	Lanbouwhogesch. Wageningen 71, 1–140.
315	Cardoso, A.P., Mirione, E., Ernesto, M., Massaza, F., Cliff, J., Haque, M.R., 2005. Processing of
316	cassava roots to remove cyanogens. J. Food Comp. Anal. 18, 451-460.
317	El-Sharkawy, M., 2012. "Stress - Tolerant Cassava. The Role of Integrative Ecophysiology -
318	Breeding Research in Crop Improvement. Open J. Soil Sci. 2, 162-186.
319	Eruvbetine, D., Tajudeen, I.D., Adeosun, A.T., Olojede, A.A., 2003. Cassava leaf and tuber
320	concentrate in diet for broiler chickens. Bio-resource Technol. 86, 277 –281
321	Janssen, W.M., Carre, B., 1985. Influence of fibre on digestibility of poultry feeds, In. Haresign,
322	W. and D. J. A. COLE (Eds) Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition, London,
323	Butterworths. pp. 71-86.
324	Iyayi, E. A., Aderolu, Z. A., 2004. Enhancement of the feeding value of some agro-industrial by
325	products for laying hens after their solid state fermentation with Trichoderma viride. Afr.
326	J. Biotechnol. 3, 182–185
327	Makkar, H. P. S., Blummel, M., Bowwy, N. K., Becken, K., 1993. Determination of tannins and
328	their correlation with chemical and protein precipitation method, J. Sci. Food Agric. 61,
329	161–185.

Bosshard, P., Bachofen, R., Brandl, H., 1996. Metal leaching of fly ash from municipal waste

308

- Mathivanan, R., Selvaraj, P., Nanjappan, K., 2006. Feeding of fermented soybean meal on
 broiler performance. Intl. J. Poult. Sci. 5, 868 –872.
- Mc Nab, J.M., Blair, J.C., 1988. Modified assay for true and apparent metabolisable energy
 based on tube-feeding. Brit. Poult. Sci. 29, 697–707.
- Oboh, G., Akindahunsi, A.A., 2003. Biochemical Changes in Cassava Products (flour and gari) subjected to *Saccharomyces cerevisae* solid media fermentation. Food Chem.
 82, 599–602.
- Oso, A. O., Akapo, O., Sanwo, K.A., Bamgbose, A.M., 2014. Utilization of unpeeled cassava
 (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) root meal supplemented with or without charcoal by broiler
 chickens. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutri. 98, 431-438.
- 340 SAS INC., 2002. SAS® User's Guide. Statistics, Release 8.02. SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- 341 Sibbald, I.R., 1989. Metabolisable energy evaluation of poultry diets. In. Cole, D.J.A., Haresign,
- W. (Eds.), Recent Developments in Poultry Nutrition. Anchor Press Ltd., Tiptree, Essex,
 pp. 12–26.
- Soccol, C.R., Martin, B., Raimbault, M., Lebeault, J.M., 1994. Breeding and growth of
 Rhizopus in raw cassava by solid state fermentation. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 41,
 330-336
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B., Lewis, B.A., 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent
 fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition, J. Dairy Sci. 74,
 3583–3597.

350	Villena,	G.K.,	Gutierrez-Correa,	М.,	2007.	Production	of	lignocellulolytic	enzymes	by
351	A	Spergil	<i>lus niger</i> bio-films	at vari	able wa	ter activitie	es. J. E	Biotechnol. 10, 12	4–140.	
352										
353										
354										
355										
356										
357										
358										
359										
360										
361										
362										
363										
364										
365										
366										
367										

Cassava root processing	Unpeeled		Peeled		Pooled	Level of significance		
(CRP) Solid-state fermentation (SSF)	No	Yes	No	Yes	_ SEM	CRP	SSF	CRP × SSF
Measurements								
Dry matter (g/kg)	907.1ª	719.2 ^b	910.25 ^a	722.50 ^b	40.22	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05
Crude ash (g/kg)	11.4 ^c	15.1 ^a	10.9 ^c	13.2 ^b	4.00	< 0.05	< 0.01	< 0.05
Ether extract (g/kg)	12.5 ^b	17.5 ^a	12.2 ^b	18.3 ^a	3.99	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05
Crude protein (g/kg)	14.5	15.0	14.1	15.5	0.12	NS	NS	NS
Gross energy (MJ/kg)	14.10 ^b	14.21 ^b	16.98 ^a	17.25 ^a	4.02	< 0.05	NS	< 0.05
NDF (g/kg)	360.5 ^a	330.0 ^b	320.7 ^c	305.2 ^d	36.44	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
ADF (g/kg)	250.2 ^a	227.5 ^b	225.7 ^b	200.7 ^c	32.55	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Amylopectin (g/kg)	809 ^c	834.9 ^b	830.9 ^b	874.5 ^a	72.10	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Resistant starch (g/kg)	98.50 ^a	48.0 ^c	70.50 ^b	35.0 ^d	12.75	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
hydrocyanide (mg/kg)	30.4 ^a	30.20 ^a	23.6 ^b	22.50 ^b	4.74	< 0.05	NS	< 0.05
Tannin (%)	0.32	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.02	NS	NS	NS
Ca (mg/kg)	0.31	0.29	0.30	0.30	0.001	NS	NS	NS
P (mg/kg)	0.52	0.50	0.51	0.54	0.055	NS	NS	NS
Mg (mg/kg)	0.62	0.59	0.60	0.60	0.082	NS	NS	NS
Mn (mg/kg)	0.009	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.0001	NS	NS	NS
Cu (mg/kg)	0.009 ^a	0.003 ^b	0.009 ^a	0.002 ^b	0.0007	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fe (mg/kg)	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.004	NS	NS	NS
K (mg/kg)	6.2 ^a	5.70 ^a	6.4 ^a	5.0 ^b	0.92	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05
Zn (mg/kg)	0.03 ^a	0.02 ^a	0.03 ^a	0.01 ^b	0.007	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05

Table 1. Effect of solid-state fermentation on the chemical composition and energy content of

369 unpeeled and peeled cassava root meal

 $a^{a, b}$ Mean with different superscripts in each row are significantly different (P<0.05)

Table 2. Effect of solid-state fermentation on amino acid profile of unpeeled and peeled cassava

372	root	meal
372	1001	mear

Cassava root processing (CRP)	Unpeeled		Ре	Peeled		Level of significance		
Solid-state fermentation (SSF)	No	Yes	No	Yes	_ SEM	CRP	SSF	$CRP \times SSF$
Measurements (g/100g	protein)							
Asparagine	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.002	NS	NS	NS
Threonine	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.002	NS	NS	NS
Serine	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.003	NS	NS	NS
Glutamine	0.40	0.42	0.41	0.40	0.001	NS	NS	NS
Glycine	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.003	NS	NS	NS
Alanine	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.005	NS	NS	NS
Cysteine	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.010	NS	NS	NS
Valine	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.02	NS	NS	NS
Methionine	0.01	0.02	0.10	0.10	0.002	NS	NS	NS
Isoleucine	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.001	NS	NS	NS
Leucine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.001	NS	NS	NS
Tyrosine	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.002	NS	NS	NS
Phenylalanine	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.001	NS	NS	NS
Lysine	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.002	NS	NS	NS
Histidine	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.001	NS	NS	NS
Arginine	0.08 ^b	0.15 ^a	0.09 ^b	0.17 ^a	0.012	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05
Proline	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.004	NS	NS	NS

a, b Mean with different superscripts in each row are significantly different (P<0.05)

374 NS= Not significant

Attributes				AMEn	TME	TMEn	
Cassava root	Solid-state	Amino acid supplementation	-				
processing	fermentation						
Unpeeled	No	No	11.62 ^b	11.85 ^b	12.01 ^c	12.33°	
Unpeeled	No	Yes	11.70 ^b	11.90 ^b	12.15 ^c	12.44 ^c	
Unpeeled	Yes	No	11.92 ^b	12.20 ^b	12.42 ^{bc}	12.64 ^b	
Unpeeled	Yes	Yes	11.99 ^b	12.35 ^b	12.50 ^{bc}	12.80 ^b	
Peeled	No	No	12.22 ^b	12.32 ^b	12.51 ^{bc}	12.59 ^b	
Peeled	No	Yes	12.10 ^b	12.15 ^b	12.49 ^{bc}	12.60 ^b	
Peeled	Yes	No	12.85 ^a	12.90 ^a	13.29 ^b	13.34 ^b	
Peeled	Yes	Yes	12.75 ^a	12.84 ^a	13.67 ^a	13.76 ^a	
Pooled SEM			2.22	2.07	2.10	2.05	
Significance							
Cassava root p	beeling	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05	NS		
Solid state fer	mentation		NS	< 0.05	< 0.05	NS	
Amino acid su	pplementation		NS	NS	NS	NS	
Cassava root p	beeling × Solid	state fermentation	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.01	
Cassava root p	NS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05			
Solid state fermentation × Amino acid supplementation			NS	< 0.05	< 0.01	< 0.05	
Cassava root p	beeling × Solid	state fermentation × Amino	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	
acid suppleme	entation						

Table 3. Metabolisable energy values of peeled and unpeeled cassava root meal subjected to

376

solid-state fermentation and supplemented with or without amino acids for meat-type cockerels

- a,b,c,d Values in the same column not sharing a common superscript are significantly different at P
- **378** *<0.05*.
- 379 NS= Not significant