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#### Abstract

This PhD Thesis proposes the discovery and development of new drugs inspired by bioactive natural products, which represent valid platforms for the generation of new chemical entities of pharmaceutical interest. Thus, the combination of structural and functional diversity allows for the identification of new compounds with better biological profiles than the natural counterparts. In order to select suitable synthetic targets, we focused on natural products that are able to offer promising expectations in the biomedicinal field, with new mechanisms of biological action and intriguing molecular structures that could represent the basis of new scaffolds of pharmaceutical interest. In particular, the selected natural products are a microbial polyketide termed (-)-depudecin, and cyclopeptide and cyclodepsipeptide-type compounds from marine origin, the solomonamides A-B and the celebesides A-C. In this PhD Thesis we have established synthetic strategies oriented to the target, but with the possibility of the extension of such strategies to the construction of a molecular diversity through the generation of analogues. For the synthesis of (-)-depudecin, a unique and unexplored histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor with antitumoral detransforming activity, we have established a new total synthesis utilizing an olefin crossmetathesis (CM) reaction as the key step, which was successfully achieved in a convergent and brief manner to provide (-)-depudecin in only 12 steps and $26 \%$ overall yield. In addition, the synthetic route was amenable to stereochemical and functional modifications, allowing the preparation of two stereoisomers of (-)-depudecin, the 10 -epi-depudecin and its enantiomer, as well as homodepudecin and several truncated analogues which were used for a structure-activity relationship (SAR) study in order to identify new leads based on depudecin. In the case of the solomonamides, we have developed a synthetic strategy that comprises two phases: a) a cyclisation phase for the construction of the [15]-membered ring contained in these marine cyclopeptides utilizing an olefin ring-closing metathesis (RCM) as the key reaction; and b) an oxidation phase to give access to the natural products, representing a flexible and diversity-oriented route that would allow for the generation of a variety of analogues via oxidative transformations. In addition, we identified several structurally related solomonamide precursors possessing significant cytotoxicities against various tumor cell lines in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range. In particular, one of these precursors showed potent antiangiogenic effect in vitro and in vivo, suggesting the potential therapeutic application of solomonamide derivatives as inhibitors of the persistent and deregulated angiogenesis that characterizes cancer and other pathologies. For the last target, the celebesides, [26]-membered cyclodepsipeptides with anti-HIV activity, we envisioned a ring closure process based on a RCM reaction to access their macrocyclic core. We have established a synthetic strategy for the preparation of the peptidic chain contained in these natural products by use of the solidphase peptide technology, while the synthesis of the polyketide fragment contained in the celebeside A was achieved in a stereoselective manner.
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### 1.1. Total Synthesis of Natural Products via Metathesis Reactions

The outstanding and impressive level that organic synthesis has reached in the last few decades, especially in the field of the total synthesis of natural products, can be largely explained by the emergence, design and development of powerful catalysts, which are capable of promoting striking transformations in highly efficient and selective fashions. In fact, the ability of many of them to forge C-C bonds between or within highly functionalized and sensitive compounds has allowed for the preparation of complex frameworks, whose access were previously hampered by the limitations of conventional synthetic methods. Among the myriad of recent catalysts, those developed and designed to promote metathesis reactions have had a profound impact and created a real revolution in the field of total synthesis, by virtue of their ability to promote a stunning number of synthetic transformations, depending on the nature of the starting materials and reaction conditions. Metathesis reactions ${ }^{1}$ include the alkene, enyne and alkyne metathesis reactions, together with multiple variants of polyalkenic or polyalkenynic systems as starting precursors involved in cascade processes. ${ }^{2}$ Among these, the alkene metathesis reaction (section A in Scheme 1), in which cyclic olefins or linear alkenes are formed through ring closing metathesis (RCM), cross-metathesis (CM) process, or their different guises (stereoselective, rearrangement, tethered or relay-ring closing metatheses), is the most extensively employed.



Scheme 1. Metathesis Reactions in Organic Synthesis

[^7]Indeed, these transformations occupy a privileged position in modern organic synthesis. Less frequently used but no less important are the enyne and alkyne metatheses (sections B and C in Scheme 1), which have also become valuable synthetic reactions with additional advantages over alkene metatheses. Such is the case of enyne metathesis involved in cascade processes or the case of alkyne metathesis owing to the versatility of the alkyne group by allowing for further transformations, thus considerably expanding the structural diversity of the products that can be formed.

Certainly, behind the success of all these processes are the unique catalysts themselves that enable these transformations. Since the discovery of the first well-defined tungsten carbene catalyst by $\mathrm{Katz}^{3}$ and the elucidation of the mechanism of alkene metathesis by Chauvin ${ }^{4}$ in the 70s, key innovations were the Schrock catalyst 1 in $1990^{5}$ and the discovery of the ruthenium-based catalyst 2 by Grubbs in 1993. ${ }^{6}$ These discoveries marked the beginning of an extraordinary and burgeoning race towards more efficient catalysts in terms of activity, stability and functional-group tolerance, represented by the ruthenium species $\mathbf{3 - 1 4} \mathbf{4}^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18}$ (Figure 1). These highly efficient catalysts are representative members of three generations of ruthenium complexes, in which the introduction of an N -heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligand (cases of $\mathbf{4}, 6-14)$ resulted in an increase in the catalytic activity and thermal stability of the catalysts. The catalysts, developed between 1996-2007, gave rise to the rational design of new catalysts to enable both stereoselective and stereoretentive metathesis processes, leading to the molybdenum, tungsten and ruthenium carbene complexes 15-27, among others. ${ }^{19,20,21,22}$

[^8]

Figure 1. Common Catalysts Used for Alkene and Enyne Metathesis Reactions: Evolution of their Design and Development

Additions to this armory of valuable catalysts, are the water-soluble catalysts in form of PEGylated complexes ${ }^{23}$ or ruthenium-based catalysts supported on activated surfaces, ${ }^{24}$ which expand even further the scope and applications of the chemistry, particularly in regards to addressing environmental concerns. ${ }^{25}$ All these catalysts are appropriate for alkene and enyne-metatheses. ${ }^{26}$ Interestingly, for the latter case, the process can be also effected by other transition metal-based catalysts such as those based on Pt , Pd or Ir via a completely different mechanism. A separate case is the alkyne metathesis reaction, which despite the mechanistic similarity with alkene metathesis, requires a different type of catalysts. Indeed, in this case, the variety of catalysts available is not as great as those developed for the alkene metathesis reactions, as will be discussed in more detail later in the alkyne metathesis section. Together with the prominent activity and stability of the aforementioned catalysts, other features that have been improved in the evolution of catalyst design, ${ }^{27}$ is the exceptional functional group tolerance that all these catalysts display, which in turn has positioned them as one of the most powerful synthetic tools in the modern organic synthesis. Consequently, total synthesis has

[^9]enormously benefitted from the metathesis reactions, as demonstrated by the extensive use of these reactions in their intramolecular and intermolecular versions. In fact, a flurry of contributions in which the metathesis reaction represents either the key step for the successful completion of a synthesis or as a useful and efficient synthetic tool employed along the synthetic course is a growing component of the organic chemistry literature.

Given the relevance and impact of this reaction in the field of organic chemistry, a large number of reviews, books and book chapters have been devoted to all aspects of these reactions. Among all the excellent reviews focused on the applications of metathesis reactions in natural products synthesis, ${ }^{28}$ some key contributions by Nicolaou, ${ }^{29}$ PérezCastells ${ }^{30}$ and Prunet ${ }^{31}$ in 2005, 2006 and 2011, respectively, are notable. Recently, a review published in 2017 by Vanderwal ${ }^{32}$ is noteworthy, wherein the most relevant contributions in the field of the last years were highlighted, but in a very generic form. On the other hand, an excellent book was published dealing with metathesis reactions in the synthesis of natural products, covering all the aspects of these reactions in the field of total synthesis up to $2010 .{ }^{33}$ In addition, numerous reviews have been published on very specific items, dealing with a particular type of metathesis reaction or a particular kind of natural products. ${ }^{34}$ In light of this publication landscape, the current chapter intends to act as an introduction to this PhD Thesis, in which a metathesis reaction has been used as the key step towards the total syntheses of bioactive natural products. In this context, the current chapter covers the recent advances reported in the field of total synthesis, in which a metathesis reaction has been essential for the completion of the synthesis, for the period 2012-2018, with particular emphasis on recent pioneering contributions, as well as exciting applications in the context of total synthesis, showing the power that this chemistry has to offer and the prospects that it provides for the future.

### 1.2. Alkene Metathesis in Total Synthesis

### 1.2.1. Total Syntheses Based on a Ring-Closing Metathesis Reaction

The ring-closing metathesis ( RCM ) reaction is one of the most employed methodologies to construct cyclic systems, becoming a common synthetic tool in the

[^10]modern organic synthetic laboratory. Indeed, a practically limitless array of carbocyclic or heterocyclic ring systems, including small, medium and large-sized rings, can be constructed utilizing this transformation. In the context of total synthesis, the preparation of small- and medium-sized rings usually plays a complementary role implemented along the synthetic path. However, in the case of macrocyclic systems, the RCM reaction commonly constitutes a crucial step for the completion of the synthesis. For this reason, in this section, we would like to highlight representative examples reported in the last few years in which the RCM reaction was the key step.

As a first series of examples in this category, it is worth mentioning the polyketidetype compounds ripostatins $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{2 8})^{35}$ and $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{2 9 )}){ }^{36} \mathrm{FD}-895(\mathbf{3 0}),{ }^{37}$ cruentaren A (31), ${ }^{38}$ pikromycin (32), ${ }^{39}$ zampanolide (33), ${ }^{40}$ amphidinolide $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{3 4}),{ }^{41}$ palmerolide $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{3 5}),{ }^{42}$ ecklonialactone $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{3 6}),{ }^{43}$ gambieric acid A (37), ${ }^{44}$ nominal gobienine A (38), ${ }^{45}$ trienomycins A (39) and F (40), ${ }^{46}$ 13-demethyllyngbyasolide B (41), ${ }^{47}$ incednam (42), ${ }^{48}$ sekothrixide (43), ${ }^{49}$ aspicillin (44), ${ }^{50}$ macrolide fragment of FD-891 (45), ${ }^{51}$ carolacton (46), ${ }^{52}$ cytospolide P (47), ${ }^{53}$ pectenotoxin (48), ${ }^{54}$ Sch725674 (49), ${ }^{55}$ aspergillide B (revised structure, 50), ${ }^{56}$ iriometolide 3a(51), ${ }^{57}$ paecilomycin B (52), ${ }^{58}$ fidaxomicin (53), ${ }^{59}$ exiguolide (54), ${ }^{60}$ neopeltolide (55), ${ }^{61}$ methynolide (56) ${ }^{62}$ and iriomoteolide-2a (57) ${ }^{63}$ (Figure 2).

[^11]
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Figure 2. Selected Macrocyclic Polyketides Synthesized via a RCM Reaction (Yields refer only to the RCM reaction)


Figure 3. Selected Alkaloids and Cyclodepsipeptides Synthesized via a RCM Reaction (Yields refer only to the RCM reaction)

In addition, the group of alkaloids and cyclodepsipeptides are illustrated with the examples of manzamine $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{5 8}),{ }^{64}$ isoschizogamine (59), ${ }^{65}$ vertine ( $\mathbf{6 0}$ ), ${ }^{66}$ haliclamide (61), ${ }^{67}$ 5-epi-torrubiellutin (62), ${ }^{68}$ petrosin (63), ${ }^{69}$ the YM-254890 analogue 64, ${ }^{70}$ nannocystins $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{6 5})$ and $\mathrm{A} 0(66),{ }^{71}$ nakadomarin $\mathrm{A}(67),{ }^{72}$ marineosin $\mathrm{A}(68),{ }^{73}$ kanamienamide (69) ${ }^{74}$ and 15-epi-aetheramide A (70) ${ }^{75}$ (Figure 3).

Finally, among the total syntheses of terpenes, which employ the RCM reaction, particularly noteworthy are the syntheses of 17 -deoxyprovidencin (71), ${ }^{76}$ uprolide G acetate (72), ${ }^{77}$ terreumol C (73), ${ }^{78}$ pavidolide $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{7 4})^{79}$ and boscartin $\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{7 5})^{80}$ (Figure 4). As an indication of the effectiveness of the RCM processes, the yields achieved in many cases were reasonable to excellent ( $44-99 \%$ yields), with some exceptions with lower

[^12]yields (17-34\%), and in general excellent stereoselectivities, with some exceptions (ecklonialactone, fidaxomycin, iriomoteolide-2a, nakadomarin A ), for which the isomeric ratios are indicated.


Figure 4. Selected Terpenes Synthesized via a RCM Reaction (Yields refer only to the RCM reaction)

In addition to the previous contributions, we can find in the literature other cases that deserve a more detailed description. A first example is the synthesis by Brimble et al. of palmyrolide A (76), ${ }^{81}$ a macrolide isolated from Leptotyngbya $c f$. $s p$. and Oscillatoria sp. that displays interesting neuroprotective properties, combined with low cytotoxicity. The synthesis was initially based on a RCM reaction of a starting enamide precursor; however, this RCM attempt failed despite screening of a wide variety of reaction conditions. As a consequence of these disappointing results, the authors considered a sequential RCM/olefin isomerization from the diolefin 77, with the possibility that the desired isomerization may occur in the presence of the corresponding Grubbs catalyst. However, despite the RCM reaction of diolefin 77 proceeding efficiently when treated with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) to give macrocycle 78, the isomerization was not observed. This result led the authors to force the isomerization by subsequent treatment of the RCM product with carbonylchlorohydridotris(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium (II), which then afforded the natural product 76 in a good overall yield. This synthetic study constituted the first reported isomerization of an N -allylated tertiary amide in a macrocyclic setting and, furthermore, allowed the revision of the structure of the natural product, which was erroneously assigned to the initial proposed structures 79 and 80 (Scheme 2).

[^13]

Scheme 2. Total Synthesis of Palmyrolide A (76) (Brimble et al. 2012) ${ }^{81}$
A second relevant example is from Carreira et al., who in a stereodivergentoriented approach described the synthesis of the four diastereoisomers of $\Delta^{9}$ tetrahydrocannabinol (81) via a RCM reaction from the acyclic precursors 82. ${ }^{82}$ Interestingly, the four stereoisomers of $\mathbf{8 2}$ were efficiently prepared by an elegant and novel dual catalytic process based on the use of a set of two chiral catalysts ( $\mathrm{Ir} /(\mathrm{P}$, olefin) and a secondary amine) for the enantioselective allylation of 5-methyl-5-hexenal. The RCM reaction of each stereoisomer of $\mathbf{8 2}$ was achieved under very mild conditions ( 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with the Grubbs II catalyst (4) to provide the corresponding THC precursors 83 in excellent $85-92 \%$ yields, which were transformed into the final products in three additional steps (Scheme 3). Previous syntheses of $\Delta^{9}$-THC (81) and related cannabinoidtype compounds have also employed the RCM reaction to construct the cyclohexene ring containing in these intriguing natural products. ${ }^{83}$

The construction of medium-sized rings containing cyclobutanes represents a challenging ring-closing metathesis due to the highly strained character of the resulting bicyclic system. Despite this potential difficulty, the RCM reaction has proven to be highly efficient in many cases. An instructive example of this is demonstrated in the synthesis of the polycyclic xanthone-type antibiotic IB-00208 (84), reported by Martin et al. ${ }^{84}$ Thus, the synthesis of the key cyclobutenone $\mathbf{8 6}$ was successfully achieved via a RCM reaction of precursor 85 when subjected to the Grubbs-II catalyst (4). The resulting cyclobutenone was rearranged to the desired xanthone 87 under thermal conditions and represents a general route to construct polycyclic benzoquinones. A few more steps then converted the xanthone 87 into the targeted natural product 84 (Scheme 4).

[^14]
b) Pinnick oxidation
c) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCHN}_{2}$
d) $\mathrm{MeMgl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 1 atm to 150 mmHg then $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$


Scheme 3. Total Synthesis of $\Delta^{9}$-THC $((R, R)-\mathbf{8 1})$ and its Stereoisomers (Carreira et al. 2014) ${ }^{82}$


Scheme 4. Total Synthesis of IB-00208 (84) (Martin et al. 2015) ${ }^{84}$

As another example of the use of the RCM reaction to fashion cyclobutanecontaining polycyclic systems, we highlight the total synthesis of the cytotoxic meroterpenoid psiguadial $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{8 8})$ by Reisman et al. ${ }^{85}$ in which the RCM reaction of diolefinic cyclobutane 89, carried out with the HG II catalyst (6) in the presence of 1,4benzoquinone, afforded in an excellent $93 \%$ yield the corresponding tricyclic derivative 90. After a formidable chemoselective hydrogenation of $\mathbf{9 0}$, which was accomplished in an excellent $90 \%$ yield by the action of Crabtree's catalyst, the resulting product 91 was carried towards the natural product in four additional steps (Scheme 5).

[^15]

Scheme 5. Total Synthesis of Psiguadial B (88) (Reisman et al. 2016) ${ }^{85}$
A stunning example of the power of the RCM reaction can be found in the construction of strained ring systems via a transannular process as applied in the recent synthesis of the cembranolides sarcophytonolide H (92) and isosarcophytonolide D (93) by Takamura et al. ${ }^{86}$ These natural products, isolated from the soft coral of the genus Sarcophyton, exhibit potent antifouling activities against the larval settlement of barnacle Balanus amphirite with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the low $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ range. In these syntheses, the construction of the butenolide contained in the macrocyclic framework, which is a structural feature of this class of diterpenes, was achieved via a RCM reaction of the corresponding precursors $\mathbf{9 4}$ and $\mathbf{9 6}$ by treatment with the HG II catalyst (6) in toluene at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The efficiency of these processes is quite remarkable, given both the steric encumbrance around the ring closure site and the complexity of the system. The completion of the synthesis of both natural products was carried out in three additional steps from the RCM products (Scheme 6).

Further evidence for the utility of the RCM reaction in the construction of sterically crowded and highly functionalized ring systems is provided by the recent total synthesis of the highly oxidized diterpenoids ryanodol (97) and related diterpenes, natural products that display powerful pharmacological and insecticidal activities via their interactions with the ryanodine receptors. ${ }^{87}$ The synthesis of the C-ring of ryanodol was undertaken by Inoue et al. via the RCM reaction of the precursor $99,{ }^{88}$ prepared from the allylic diketone 98 in three steps, with a $58 \%$ yield over four steps, to obtain advanced precursor 100. Remarkably, once again, the presence of unprotected functionalities was well tolerated under these ruthenium-catalyzed reaction conditions, thus underscoring the

[^16]mildness and efficiency of these reactions in a complex setting. From compound 100, the completion of the synthesis of ryanodol (97) was accomplished in ten additional steps with minimal difficulty (Scheme 7).



Scheme 6. Total Synthesis of Sarcophytonolide H (92) and Isosarcophytonolide D (93) (Takamura et al. 2016) ${ }^{86}$


Scheme 7. Total Synthesis of Ryanodol (97) (Inoue et al. 2016) ${ }^{88}$

Within the stereoselective RCM processes, the use of chiral catalysts, such as the catalysts 15-22 designed by Hoveyda et al. (see Figure 1), allows for the preparation of enantiomerically enriched products. ${ }^{89}$ Their applications and level of enantioselective control is nicely illustrated in the synthesis of the alkaloid deoxoapodine (101), a member of a family of alkaloids that share the pentacyclic aspidosperma core. This asymmetric synthesis was devised by Movassaghi et al. based on a desymmetrization process of the

[^17]achiral triolefin $\mathbf{1 0 2} .{ }^{90}$ Thus, achiral compound $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ was subjected to the catalytic activity of the chiral molybdenum pyrrolide complex 17, which proved to be efficient in terms of chemical yield and stereoselectivity in the synthesis of quebrachamine, ${ }^{91}$ however, in this case, the catalyst offered only moderate enantioselectivity (e.r. $=82: 18$ ). This stereochemical outcome was likely influenced by the presence of the amide group in the starting precursor, which could coordinate with the transition metal resulting in a consequent reduction of the catalytic activity. In light of these results, an exploration of other chiral catalysts led to the observation that as the size of the halide substituent on the complex increased, the level of enantioselectivity was improved, with a 93:7 ratio of enantiomers being achieved when the diodo complex 19 was employed. As the natural product possessed the opposite configuration, this result was extended to the enantiomer catalyst ent-19 to obtain the product $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ with the configuration of the natural product in $92 \%$ yield and with an enantiomeric ratio of 94:6. With the key product $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ in hand, the synthetic route to the natural product was delineated through the pentacyclic derivative 104, which was prepared in an efficient manner from 103 according to the steps indicated in Scheme 8. From pentacycle 104, seven additional steps were required for completion of the synthesis of deoxoapodine (101) (Scheme 8).



Scheme 8. Total Synthesis of Deoxoapodine (101) (Movassaghi et al. 2017) ${ }^{90}$
Despite the excellent functional group tolerance and the low sensitivity to steric hindrances displayed by these catalysts, we can find in the literature numerous examples in which steric factors appear to play a crucial role in unsuccessful ring closure reactions. This is the case for compound 105, which was devised as a potential precursor for the synthesis of the natural product pseudotabersonine (106) by Martin et al. ${ }^{92}$ Thus, when 105 was treated with different ruthenium catalysts, including Grubbs-I (3), Grubbs-II (4), HG II (6) or even, the Grubbs-Stewart catalyst (12), which is especially reactive towards

[^18]sterically hindered olefins, the desired product $\mathbf{1 0 7}$, resulting from a double RCM process was not observed, instead obtaining the mono-cyclized product $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ in moderate yields, together with degradation products. The authors explored structural modifications of the precursor, by increasing its reactivity by removing the ester group, together with a complete optimization study of the RCM reaction. This extensive study led the authors to define a set of suitable structural requisites and reaction conditions to enable the targeted product. Accordingly, having established 109 as a suitable precursor, when this compound was treated with the HG II (6) catalyst in toluene at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the desired metathesis product $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ was obtained in a $90 \%$ yield albeit as an inseparable mixture of cis/trans isomers in a 7:10 ratio. After transformation of the diastereomeric mixture $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ into the reduced product 111, the isomers could be separated, and then, the required cis isomer taken forward to completion of the natural product in three more steps (Scheme 9).


Pseudotabersonine (106)

Scheme 9. Total Synthesis of Pseudotabersonine (106) (Martin et al. 2015) ${ }^{92}$

A noteworthy case is the natural product soraphen $\mathrm{A}_{1 \alpha}(\mathbf{1 1 2})$, whose antimicrobial, antifungus, antidiabetes and anticancer properties has prompted great interest. Preliminary synthetic efforts conducted by Ciufolini et al., ${ }^{93}$ based on a RCM reaction were met with failure for various acyclic precursors (113-116). Reasoning that steric factors were responsible for the failed reactions, Kalesse et al. ${ }^{94}$ attempted the RCM reactions at less sterically encumbered sites, but the results were similarly unfruitful for precursor 121. However, the RCM reaction of the desmethoxy precursor 123, explored

[^19]by Micalizio et al., ${ }^{95}$ provided in high yield the macrocycle $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ with Grubbs-II catalyst (4), proof that steric factors were responsible for the previous failures. From compound 124, the authors completed the synthesis of the soraphen analogue 125. (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10. Synthetic Attempts of Soraphen A1 $\alpha$ (112) via RCM and Synthesis of the C11-Desmethoxy Analogue 125 (Micalizio et al. 2013) ${ }^{95}$

A paradigmatic example in which the RCM reaction proved to be completely useless was in the synthesis of the bryostatins. The well-known fascinating synthesis of the bryostatins by Trost et al. was preceded by an initial attempt of its total synthesis via a RCM reaction of the diolefins $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 7} .{ }^{96}$ However, after extensive screening of different catalysts and conditions, Trost et al. were unable to obtain the corresponding macrocycles 128 and 129. Furthermore, the implementation of the relay RCM (RRCM) strategy, as we will describe later, did not provide the expected macrocyclic olefin, when the RRCM precursor $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ was subjected to different ruthenium-based catalysts, providing instead the macrocyclic product $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ as a result of the incorporation of the extended olefin onto the final cyclization product. Having ascribed the importance of steric hinderance, imposed by the presence of the gem-dimethyl system at the allylic position, as the reason

[^20]for these disappointing results, Thomas et al. recently have studied the RCM reaction in model systems of the bryostatins in which the gem-dimethyl group was removed. ${ }^{97}$ In their study, the RCM reaction of model compound $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ by exposure to the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) furnished the macrocyclic compound 133, albeit in a poor $17 \%$ yield (Scheme 11). These results are an indication of the serious hurdles that this class of systems presents for the metathesis reaction.



127: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
129: $R_{1}=R_{2}=H$



Scheme 11. Synthetic Studies on Bryostatins via RCM reactions (Trost et al. 2011; and Thomas et al. 2017) ${ }^{96,97}$

A recent example in which a RCM reaction was combined with a final CM reaction to append the side chain in a stereoselective manner is the synthesis of the halogenated marine metabolites chlorofucins ( $\mathbf{1 3 4}-\mathbf{1 3 5}$ ) and bromofucins ( $\mathbf{1 3 6}-\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ) by Paton et al. ${ }^{98}$ These natural products belong to the family of the bioactive acetogenins isolated from the Laurencia red algae that have generated great synthetic interest, particularly in the development of efficient methodologies for the regio- and stereocontrolled construction of the medium-ring oxacycle that characterize these appealing natural products. ${ }^{99}$ Furthermore, for the chlorofucins (134-135) and bromofucins (136-

[^21]137), their absolute configurations were not established so their asymmetric syntheses could unambiguously confirm their proposed configurations. Thus, the formation of the common oxocene derivative $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ was efficiently undertaken by exposure of the acyclic precursor $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ to the Grubbs II catalyst (4). For the incorporation of the halogen with concomitant intramolecular etherification, oxocene $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ was previously transformed into the alcohol 140 in an excellent overall yield and stereoselectivity. With this compound in hand, treatment with $t$ - BuOCl or NBS efficiently furnished the corresponding chloro and bromo bicyclic ethers, which were prepared for the key CM reaction by transformation into the terminal olefins $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 2}$, respectively. For the $E$-selective installation of the enyne moiety, the authors carried out a CM reaction of compounds $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ with crotonoaldehyde in the presence of the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) to yield the corresponding ( $E$ )- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes, which after a final reaction with lithium TMSdiazomethane delivered the final products $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ in 74 and $61 \%$ overall yields, respectively. For the synthesis of the ( $Z$ )-isomers, the authors applied the Lee methodology, ${ }^{100}$ based on a cross metathesis with enyne $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ in the presence of the HG (II) catalyst (6) for the direct delivery of the ( $Z$ )-isomers of both natural products $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ and 136 in good yields, albeit in modest stereoselectivities in favor of the $Z$-isomer (3.8:1 mixture for 134; $4: 1$ for 136) (Scheme 12). Finally, the described stereodivergent strategy to the chlorofucins and bromofucins allowed confirmation of the absolute configurations of these natural products by comparison of the spectroscopic properties and optical rotations of the synthetic compounds with those reported for the natural products.

Metathesis-based methodologies have been used in combination with other innovative technologies in organic synthesis laboratories. Indeed such a case is continuous flow technology, which has been recently implemented in combination with metathesis reactions to enhance their synthetic value. ${ }^{101}$ An interesting application of this can be found in the total synthesis of neomarchantin A (146), a natural product belonging to the macrocyclic bisbibenzyl family, which has been paid much attention from chemical and biological standpoints due to its intriguing structural and biological features. For example, these compounds exhibit a wide range of biological activities, including antibacterial, antimycotic, antitumoral and antiviral activities. The total synthesis of neomarchantin A (146), described by Collins et al. ${ }^{102}$ represents the first synthesis of this natural product, which is based on a RCM reaction of the diolefin 147, to obtain the corresponding macrocyclic derivative in a modest $43 \%$ yield when HG II catalyst (6) was employed in toluene at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Interestingly, when the cyclization reaction was done in continuous flow, the yield of the macrocyclic product could be increased to a $49 \%$ yield. Final hydrogenation and methyl ether cleavage afforded the targeted natural product in $88 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 13).

[^22]

Scheme 12. Total Synthesis of Chlorofucins (134, 135) and Bromofucins (136, 137) (Paton, Kim et al. 2018) ${ }^{98}$

(88\% over 2 steps)

Scheme 13. Total Synthesis of Neomarchantin A (146) (Collins et al. 2017) ${ }^{102}$

As a final example for this section, an interesting strategy was delineated for the synthesis of the cyclopropane fattic acid (+)-majusculoic acid (148), an interesting secondary metabolite with significant antifungal activity, by Zhang et al. ${ }^{103}$ Accordingly, the authors devised a stereoselective cyclopropanation from the C2-symmetric 14membered dilactone according to previously performed DFT calculations that revealed a preference of the $\beta$-faces of both olefins for the attack of the corresponding cyclopropanating reagents. For the synthesis of the required dilactone 150, the authors envisioned an unusual RCM dimerization from diolefinic monomer 149. To this aim, after an extensive study in which an array of catalysts, solvents and temperatures were screened, the authors found the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) in refluxing dichloromethane as the

[^23]best condition reactions to obtain the dilactone 150 (53\%), accompanied by the monomeric RCM product $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ in a $26 \%$ yield. With the dilactone $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ in hand, it was possible to demonstrate the reliability of the theoretical predictions regarding the stereochemical outcome of the cyclopropanation. Indeed, the formation of the biscyclopropane $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ was realized in $80 \%$ yield as a single stereoisomer when $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ was treated with diazomethane in the presence of palladium diacetate. The dimerization process was then continued by a dedimerization process by opening of the dilactone $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine and reduction of the resulting Weinreb amide to the aldehyde 153. In a final sequence of eight steps, in which the awkward $(E, Z)$-bromodiene system was installed via the new Kocienski-Julia-type reagent $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ developed by the same authors, the synthesis was complete, obtaining a product which matched all the spectroscopic and physical properties with those reported for the natural product, except for the optical rotation, which was the opposite. Therefore, this asymmetric synthesis allowed the confirmation of the absolute configuration for the natural product that corresponded to ent-148, as initially proposed (Scheme 14).





(+)-Majusculoic acid (148)

(-)-Majusculoic acid (ent-148)
(Absolute configuration for the natural product)

Scheme 14. Total Synthesis of (+)-Majusculoic Acid (148) (Zhang et al. 2018) ${ }^{103}$

### 1.2.2. Total Syntheses Based on a Cross-Metathesis Reaction

In contrast to the RCM reactions, the cross-metathesis (CM) reaction has received less attention in the field of total synthesis, probably due to the inherent difficulties of the intermolecular assembly of two alkenes, in which dimerization processes compete substantially. Despite these difficulties, the appealing features of the metathesis reactions in terms of functional-group tolerance and mild reaction conditions have prompted intense research activity with the goal of minimizing the chemo- and stereoselective issues associated with this modality.


Figure 5. Selected Natural Products Synthesized via a CM Reaction (Yields refer only to the CM reaction)
As a consequence, CM reactions have emerged as a common chain-elongation method to append small side chains or to assemble linear complex molecular frameworks. Concerning the stereoselectivity of the reaction, the thermodynamic control that governs these reactions leads to the preferred formation of the $E$-alkene. Within the field of total synthesis, several interesting syntheses of natural products have been reported in which the CM represented the key step for the coupling of the important fragments of the molecule, thus allowing the completion of the synthesis. Among the most outstanding contributions of the last few years are the total syntheses of the fluorinated cryptophycin $\mathbf{1 5 5},{ }^{104} \mathrm{FD}$-891 (156), ${ }^{105}$ murisolin (157), ${ }^{106}$ ledoglucomides A (158) and B (159), ${ }^{107}$ epicoccamide $D(\mathbf{1 6 0}),{ }^{108}$ synargentolide $B(\mathbf{1 6 1}),{ }^{109}$ homaline (162), ${ }^{110}$ bitungolide $F$ (163), ${ }^{111}$ penarolide (164), ${ }^{12}$ cytospolide $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathbf{1 6 5 ) , ~}{ }^{113}\right.$ goniocin (166), ${ }^{114}$ cryptomoscatone F1 (167), ${ }^{115}$ curvicollide C (168), ${ }^{166}$ proposed structures for cryptorigidifoliol $\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{1 6 9 a}-$ d), ${ }^{117}$ and alotaketal $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 7 0}){ }^{118}$ (Figure 5).

[^24]However, there are some specific cases that deserve a more detailed description. As a first example within this category is the formal total synthesis of spirangien A (171), a highly potent cytotoxic spiroketal isolated from the prolific myxobacterium Sorangium cellulosum. Among the various total syntheses described for this natural product, the one reported by Rizzacasa et al. ${ }^{119}$ utilized a CM reaction in the union of the olefins $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ under the assistance of the Grela-Grubbs-Hoveyda catalyst (10). This CM reaction afforded the E-enone 174 in an excellent $80 \%$ yield, providing a straightforward alternative to the use of a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction, which requires additional steps for the preparation of the required phosphonate. In this way, the backbone of the spiroketal core found in the natural product was constructed, requiring only six additional steps for the rapid and efficient preparation of the spiroketal precursor 176. From the advanced spiroketal precursor 176, the completion of the natural product was reported earlier by Paterson et al. (Scheme 15). ${ }^{120}$


Scheme 15. Formal Total Synthesis of Spirangien A (171) (Rizzacasa et al. 2013) ${ }^{119}$
Another case of note is represented by the total synthesis of mueggelone (177), an inhibitor of fish embryo larval development. After several total syntheses reported for this interesting natural product, some of which required around 20 steps, Meshram et al. ${ }^{121}$ described a convergent total synthesis utilizing only 8 steps in the longest linear sequence, featuring a final CM step in which olefins $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ were assembled by treatment with the Grubbs II catalyst (4) to directly deliver the natural product in a $40 \%$ yield (Scheme 16).

[^25]

Scheme 16. Total Synthesis of Mueggelone (177) (Meshram et al. 2013) ${ }^{121}$

The prominent antitumor activities of spliceostatin E (180) and related compounds, such as spliceostatin A (181) and FR901464 (182), have elicited great interest in their syntheses. Among them, the total syntheses developed by Ghosh et al. is based on a CM reaction, ${ }^{122}$ representing a brilliant example of the power and robustness of the methodology. The ability of these natural products to inhibit the cellular splicing process, an essential step for the gene expression, renders them a novel class of anticancer products. In fact, spliceostatin E (180) and FR901464 (182) exhibit potent anticancer activities in the ranges from 1.5 to 4.1 and from 0.6 to 3.4 nM , respectively, against various human cancer cell lines. The syntheses of both compounds were achieved via a final key CM that efficiently joined fragments $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ for spliceostatin $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{1 8 0})^{122}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ for spliceostatin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 8 1}),{ }^{123}$ in 71 and $57 \%$ yields, respectively, with both reactions displaying exquisite chemo- and stereo-selectivities. A final acidic treatment of compound 181 afforded the natural product 182 (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17. Total Synthesis of Spliceostatins E (180) and A (181) and FR901464 (182) (Ghosh et al. 2013 and 2014) ${ }^{122,123}$

[^26]




Scheme 18. Total Synthesis of Mycalolides A (187) and B (188) (Kigoshi, Kita et al. 2015) ${ }^{124}$
An excellent example that illustrates the advantage that the CM reaction can offer, especially for macrocyclic compounds, over a RCM reaction, are the synthesis of the
natural products mycalolides $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 8 7})$ and $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{1 8 8})$ by Kigoshi et al. ${ }^{124}$ Both compounds, isolated from the marine sponge Mycale sp., are members of a family of natural products characterized by the presence of a trisoxazole macrocycle with potent cytotoxic activities as a consequence of actin-depolymerizing activity. A first attempt at the total synthesis was carried out by the authors via a RCM reaction of the diolefins 189 a and $\mathbf{1 8 9 b}$. However, despite this reaction affording the macrocyclic derivatives 190a and 190b in reasonably good yields ( $40-76 \%$ depending on the employed catalysts and solvents), the reaction was not stereoselective in both cases, providing a mixture of the $E: Z$ isomers in the 1:1 to 1.9:1.0 range of ratios. This proportion could be increased to a 2.7:1.0 ratio in favor of the required $E$-olefin when the desilylated derivative $\mathbf{1 8 9 b}$ was employed as the starting precursor. As an alternative, the authors attempted a CM/macrolactonization approach which proved to be more efficient. Thus, when a mixture of olefins 191 and 192 was subjected to the catalytic action of the HG-II catalyst (6) in refluxing dichloromethane, the olefin 193 was obtained in an impressive $77 \%$ yield and in an improved 5:1 mixture of the $E: Z$ isomers. After the CM step, a Yamaguchi macrolactonization was conducted to prepare the corresponding macrolactone in a remarkable $77 \%$ yield, which was finally directed to the natural products mycalolides A (187) and B (188) in ten additional steps (Scheme 18).

The scope of the CM reaction has been expanded to conjugated dienes by Altmann et al. ${ }^{125}$ during their synthetic studies directed towards the aglycone of tiacumicin $B(194)$, a macrocylic antibiotic with potential application against Mycobacterium tuberculosis and whose total synthesis was not reported when the studies were published. Thus, combination of olefin 195 and the conjugated diene 196 in the presence of the HG-II catalyst (6) provided a $56 \%$ yield of compound 197 as an inseparable mixture of $E / Z$ isomers in a $6.7: 1$ ratio. The preparation of ester 199 was followed by a Suzuki macrocyclization, to afford the macrocyclic aglycone derivative of tiacumicin B 200 in a $73 \%$ yield. Global desilylation of the macrocyclic derivative $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ was performed with $\mathrm{NEt}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{HF}$ to obtain tiacumicin B aglycone 194. Soon after this publication, Gademann et al. reported the total synthesis of tiacumicin B, also named fidaxomicin or lipiarmycin A3 (53), based on a RCM strategy, as depicted in Figure 2. ${ }^{59}$ In addition to the Altmann synthetic studies, closely related strategies have been reported by Zhu ${ }^{126}$ and, very recently, by Roulland et al., ${ }^{127}$ also using CM reactions. The former achieved the assembly of olefin 201 and diene 202, while the second carried out the assembly of olefin $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ with diene $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ to yield the corresponding CM products $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ and $\mathbf{2 0 6}$ in 38 and 39\% yields, respectively and with stereoselectivities comparable to those obtained by Altmann. However, unlike the studies of Altmann, in these later cases the authors found serious difficulties, mainly with the subsequent removal of the employed protecting groups within the products 203 and 206, thus forcing them to explore different synthetic

[^27]strategies in both cases, to overcome these synthetic hurdles and to reach the targeted tiacumicin B aglycone 194 (Scheme 19).


Scheme 19. Total Synthesis of Tiacumicin B aglycone (194) by Altmann (2015) ${ }^{125}$ and Synthetic Studies by Zhu (2015) ${ }^{126}$ and Roulland (2017) ${ }^{127}$

Another relevant contribution of the use of the CM reaction in total synthesis was recently published by Takahashi et al. for the total synthesis of aromin (207), ${ }^{128}$ a member belonging to the wide family of the Annonaceous acetogenins, isolated from the Annonaceae plants and which exhibits a broad spectrum of biological activities including anticancer, antibiotic, immunosuppressive, antifeedant and pesticidal activities. Aromin (207), isolated together with aromicin in 1996 by McLaughlin, has been recognized as an antitumoral compound with a significant cytotoxicity profile against various tumor cell lines, albeit lower compared to other acetogenins. The synthetic work by Takahashi, based on his previous synthetic work, is interesting for two reasons. On one hand, they

[^28]demonstrated the utility of the CM reaction by using the Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst (4) to connect a terminal olefin and an enone to construct the corresponding linear $E$ enone. On the other hand, this work also led to the structural revision of aromin (207) (Scheme 20).

Thus, the assembly of olefin 208 and enone 209 proceeded smoothly when they were exposed to the Grubbs II catalyst (4) to provide the $E$-enone 210 in $68 \%$ yield and excellent stereoselectivity. With this compound $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ in hand, the authors accomplished the completion of the proposed structure for aromin in four additional steps in a $73 \%$ overall yield. Surprisingly, the spectroscopic and physical properties of the synthetic material 207 was notably different from those reported for the natural aromin. According to the detected discrepancies found in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, the authors suggested a structural difference around the central THF ring and, for this reason, they prepared the stereoisomer 211, according to a similar synthetic sequence as for 207, but once again, the NMR data were inconsistent with those of the natural product. After an exhaustive comparative analysis of the NMR data of a wide set of acetogenins possessing a 4hydroxyl group adjacent to the $\gamma$-lactone ring, together with a detailed analysis of the MS fragmentations, the authors were led to propose the structure of the natural product montanacin D (212), whose synthesis was similarly accomplished by the same authors according to the same CM strategy, for the revised structure for aromin (Scheme 20). ${ }^{129}$


Scheme 20. Total Synthesis of Proposed Structure for Aromin (207) and its Structural Revision (Takahashi et al. 2016) ${ }^{128,129}$

[^29]A final example within this section is represented by the interesting synthetic strategy reported by Pietruszka et al. for the synthesis of putaminoxins B/D (213), identified as a C-9 epimeric mixture and whose absolute configurations were not yet established. ${ }^{130}$ In order to unambiguously establish their absolute configurations, the four possible stereoisomers 213a-d were prepared by a CM reaction of dimers ( $S, S$ )- and $(R, R)-\mathbf{2 1 4}$, previously prepared by a dimerization CM reaction of the corresponding monomers, with olefins $(S)$ - and $(R)-215$. The choice to use the dimers 214 instead of the corresponding monomeric olefins was justified because better yields were obtained for the desired cross-metathesis products $\mathbf{2 1 6}$ versus CM reactions with the monomers, in which the dimeric products 214 were the main compounds detected. Indeed, when each isomer of $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ was treated with the corresponding $(S)$ - and $(R)-\mathbf{2 1 5}$, in the presence of the Grubbs-II catalyst (4), the corresponding products 216a-d were obtained in 36, 72, 24 and $83 \%$ yields, respectively. Then, each isomer was subjected to a sequence, that included basic treatment, a Yamaguchi macrolactonization and final THP protecting group removal to provide all the possible stereoisomers of the macrolactone 213 in high yields. With all the isomers in hand, an extensive spectroscopic study, in which the authors compared the NMR data collected from all these compounds with those reported for the natural products, resulted in disappointment. This was due to the inability to correlate the resulting NMR data of the synthetic compounds with those reported for the natural products, thus leading the authors to reconsider the initially proposed structures for putaminoxins B/D. Intriguingly, after a thorough inspection of the NMR data of related nonenolides, they found that the data reported for the natural products hypocreolide A (217) and aspinolide $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{2 1 8})$ matched with those reported for the natural products putaminoxins B/D (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21. Total Synthesis and Structural Studies of Putaminoxins B/D (213) (Pietruszka et al. 2017) ${ }^{130}$

[^30]
### 1.2.3. Strategies for Selective and Efficient Metathesis Reactions of Alkenes

### 1.2.3.1. Temporary Tethered Ring-Closing Metathesis

A highly inventive and clever strategy to transform the intermolecular character of the cross-metathesis of alkenes into an intramolecular variant has been explored by means of the development of temporary tethers, through which, the corresponding olefinic partners can be transiently coupled. This strategy not only provides the advantage of the improved efficiency, that is a feature of the RCM versus the CM reaction, but also the possibility of controlling the geometry of the resulting double bond, to favor the Zolefin when a strained ring is temporarily formed. However, this strategy is limited to molecular structures in which a possible linkage of the tether system is present, usually in form of hydroxyl groups at allylic or homoallylic positions. Due to the versatility, stability and ease of cleavage, silicon-based tethers have been the most employed for this strategy. In fact, the temporary silicon-tethered RCM (TST-RCM) was described initially by Grubbs and Fu in 1992. ${ }^{131}$ Thus, in order to favor the formation of the Z-isomer, a temporary [7]-membered ring is required, for which the silicon tethered is represented by precursor A. In case of precursor $\mathbf{B}$, whose RCM would deliver a [8]-membered ring, the preference would be the formation of the $E$-isomer (Scheme 22, part A).


Scheme 22. General Temporary Silicon-tethered RCM (TST-RCM) Reactions and Selected Natural Products Synthesized via this Strategy

[^31]In 2012, the applications of silicon-tethered RCM in synthesis of natural products was reviewed ${ }^{132}$ and, as a consequence, in the present section, we will focus on recent examples published after 2012, together with other cases of tethered RCM reactions based on other tethers and their applications in the total synthesis of natural products. Some relevant contributions to this field are those by Kobayashi, ${ }^{133}$ Hoye ${ }^{134}$ and Evans, ${ }^{135}$ as well as numerous syntheses of natural products belonging to the family of 6 -substituted 5,6 -dihydro- $\alpha$-pyrone, such as hyptolide (219), ${ }^{136}$ pectinolide C (220) ${ }^{137}$ and umuravumbolide (221), ${ }^{138}$ all of which have been efficiently achieved according to this strategy from precursors 222, 223 and 224, respectively (Scheme 22, part B).

In addition to silicon-tethered RCM reactions containing a O-Si-O linkage, this strategy has also been utilized with substrates containing an O-Si-C linkage, in which an oxidative or base-induced ring cleavage step is required after the RCM reaction. Prominent amongst these cases is the recent synthesis of the potent cytotoxic natural product amphidinolide V (225) by Lee et al., ${ }^{139}$ in which the stereo-controlled construction of the existing double bonds was devised utilizing a tethered RCM of silyl ether $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ that provided the RCM product $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ in $96 \%$ yield, which was then subjected to an allylic 1,3-transposition mediated by $\mathrm{Re}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ to afford siloxane $\mathbf{2 3 1}$ as an 85:15 E/Z inseparable mixture in a $85 \%$ yield. The presence of the trimethylsilyl group in the 1,3diene subunit was proven to be critical to prevent unwanted side reactions during the metathesis reaction. The RCM precursor $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ was prepared beforehand via an enyne RCM of silyl ether $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ to furnish a cyclic siloxene type $\mathbf{A}$, according to the general reaction depicted in Scheme 23, which was opened by treatment with methyllithium, to deliver compound type B. The resulting alcohol 227 was then coupled with silane 228 via a dehydrogenative reaction, mediated by a catalytic amount of (Xantphos) CuCl and in the presence of lithium tert-butoxide, to afford the targeted precursor $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ in an excellent yield and exquisite control of the double bonds geometry. With compound 231 in hand, the authors proceeded with the connection of the other fragment of the molecule via a crossaldol condensation to provide aldehyde 232 in seven steps, followed by a Yamaguchi macrolactonization that provided macrocyclic 233. The completion of the synthesis from this advanced precursor was then executed in five steps, consisting of a Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation from the corresponding allylic alcohol, reductive opening of the resulting diepoxy alcohol and final removal of the trimethylsilyl group (Scheme 23).

[^32]

Scheme 23. Total Synthesis of Amphidinolide V (225) (Lee et al. 2013) ${ }^{139}$

The use of this strategy for the selective construction of a trisubstituted ( $Z$ )-olefin is nicely illustrated in the synthesis of the important antimitotic agent epothilone B (234), in which the required $Z$-olefin at the C12-C13 bond has been a critical issue, and which different solutions across the vast number of reported synthesis of this natural product have been found. ${ }^{140}$ Thus, whereas a conventional RCM of an advanced diolefin precursor was devoid of stereoselectivity, a silicon-tethered RCM reaction initially explored by Mülzer et al. provided the required $Z$-isomer with a remarkable $5: 1$ selectivity albeit through a long synthetic sequence. ${ }^{141}$ In a shorter and rapid approach, Lin et al. explored the RCM reaction through the formation of a bissiloxane-tethered precursor, to secure the double bond geometry. ${ }^{142}$ To this aim, the precursor 237, efficiently prepared by joining segments 235 and 236, was subjected to the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) to yield the corresponding RCM product 238 in nearly quantitative yield ( $95 \%$ ) as a 1.7:1 mixture of

[^33]$Z: E$ isomers, which could be separated after selective cleavage of the silicon-tethered by reaction with $\mathrm{HF} \bullet$ pyr. Notably, despite the moderate selectivity of the RCM reaction, the required aldehyde 239 in form of the pure $Z$-isomer was obtained in $40 \%$ over three steps, representing the most step-economic synthesis reported thus far. From aldehyde 239, the completion of the synthesis of epothilone B was accomplished in an efficient manner in 6 steps, with a $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$-mediated aldol reaction and a Yamaguchi macrolactonization as the key steps (Scheme 24).


Scheme 24. Total Synthesis of Epothilone B (234) (Lin et al. 2017) ${ }^{142}$
The extension of the concept of a temporary tethered RCM to other groups such as esters or acetals would expand the synthetic opportunities that this strategy might offer. One such application is elegantly illustrated with the total syntheses of amphidinolides T1, T3 and T4 by Clark and coworkes. ${ }^{143}$ As amphidinolide V, discussed above, the amphidinolides T1, T3 and T4 are also macrolides isolated from marine dinoflagellates with potent cytotoxic activities. Structurally, the so named amphidinolides of the T series are characterized by the presence of a trisubstituted tetrahydrofuran. In the case of amphidinolide $\mathrm{T} 1(\mathbf{2 4 0})$, the synthesis of the C1-C11 fragment was initially attempted by utilization of a CM reaction to connect the corresponding olefins, but all attempts were thwarted to obtain the desired CM product. The implementation of a tethered RCM strategy to prepare this fragment was then attempted via a temporary ester, for which diolefin ester 241 was prepared, but again, the reaction was found to be unsuccessful, instead obtaining the RCM product 242. In light of these discouraging results, the use of

[^34]a salicylate spacer as an alternative ester-tether RCM was explored; indeed the treatment of $\mathbf{2 4 3}$ with the Hoveyda-Grubbs I catalyst (5) afforded an isomeric mixture ( $E / Z=1.2: 1$ ) of the lactone 244 in an impressive $96 \%$ yield. The removal of the spacer group was carried out by base treatment, followed by a chemoselective hydrogenation to complete the western fragment of the natural product in form of the product $\mathbf{2 4 5}$. The coupling of this fragment with the eastern fragment provided access to the corresponding seco acid, which was subjected to a Yamaguchi macrolactonization to provide 246, representing the macrocyclic core of the amphidinolides T. The completion of the synthesis of this natural product was achieved with the hydrosilylation of the alkyne present in 246 using the ruthenium catalyst $\left[\mathrm{CpRu}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{3}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ that afforded a $1: 1$ mixture of isomeric $Z$ vinylsilanes 247 and 248 , which could be separated by chromatography. Whereas, 252 was transformed into amphidinolide T1 (240) via epoxidation of the vinylsilane, followed by a Fleming-Tamao oxidation, the product $\mathbf{2 4 8}$ provided amphidinolides T3 and T4 under the same synthetic sequence (Scheme 25).




Scheme 25. Total Synthesis of Amphidinolides T (Clark et al. 2013) ${ }^{143}$

The ester-tethered RCM was similarly used in the synthesis of polyacetylene-type metabolites, such as the atractylodemaynes C (249) and F (250) by Schmidt et al. ${ }^{144}$ as a way of controlling the geometry of the depicted double bonds. According to a tandem RCM/base-induced eliminative ring opening, carried out in one pot, the authors were able to construct a $E, Z$-diene derivative, found in these natural products. Thus, when compound 251 was treated with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4), followed by NaHMDS and Meerwein's salt, compound 252 was obtained in a $73 \%$ overall yield through intermediates A, B and C. Starting from the resulting ester 252, the installation of the enediyne moiety of the atractylodemaynes C and F was achieved without issues via alkynyl homologation and a Sonogashira coupling (Scheme 26).


Scheme 26. Total Synthesis of S-Atractylodemaynes C (249) and F (250) (Schmidt et al. 2017) ${ }^{144}$

As an alternative to the silicon tethered RCM reaction, the use of acetals to connect both olefinic partners has also been developed and recently applied in the synthesis of a stereoisomer of squamocin K (253), the 14,21-di-epi isomer $\mathbf{2 5 4}$ by Hou et al. ${ }^{145}$ Squamocin K, as other Annonaceous acetogenins, displays a wide range of biological activities, such as antitumor, antiparasitic, pesticidal, antimicrobial and immunosuppressive activities, by virtue of its inhibition of mitochondrial complex I. In this synthetic proposal, precursor $\mathbf{2 5 5}$, readily prepared from a C 2 symmetric diene diol, was treated with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) to obtain the resulting RCM product, as a result of a multiple RCM process. In a second step, the assembly of the resulting polyene and 10-chloro-1-decene was induced by treatment again with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) to deliver the complete framework of this class of natural products in form of compound 256. Finally, the completion of the synthesis of this stereoisomer of squamocin $K$ was

[^35]achieved in 12 additional steps, including the formation of the required tetrahydrofurane rings, via previous activation of the resulting hydroxyl groups as mesyl derivatives and subsequent intramolecular displacements to afford 257, and the final introduction of the unsaturated lactone (Scheme 27).


Scheme 27. Total Synthesis of 14,21-di-epi-squamocin K (254) (Hou et al. 2013) ${ }^{145}$

A unique tether for a RCM reaction was employed by Hanson in the synthesis of strictifolione (258), ${ }^{146}$ a natural product isolated from the stem bark of Cryptocaria stritifolia with antifungal activities. In their synthesis, the authors used a phosphate-tether that allowed in one pot, a sequential RCM, CM and a chemoselective hydrogenation process, followed by a one-pot, sequential reductive allylic transposition/tether removal and final CM. To this end, olefinic phosphate $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ was treated with the HG II catalyst (6) and then, after solvent evaporation, addition of cis-stilbene in DCE and heating at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The subsequent chemoselective diimide reduction provided phosphate $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ in 52\% overall yield. The following one-pot protocol was initiated with the reaction of $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in the presence of formic acid, cesium carbonate and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to produce a reductive allylic transposition, followed by addition of methyl sulfate and treatment of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ to furnish diol 261 in $65 \%$ overall yield. A final CM reaction with vinyl lactone 262 in the presence of the HG II catalyst (6) provided the natural product strictifolione 258 in a $77 \%$ yield (Scheme 28, part A).

In a similar strategy, the same authors described the synthesis of the antifungal macrolide Sch-725674 (49), ${ }^{147}$ wherein the phosphate 263 was treated in a similar onepot $\mathrm{RCM} / \mathrm{CM} /$ chemoselective hydrogenation sequence as described for $\mathbf{2 5 9}$, with the use of alkene 264 to obtain compound 265 in a $59 \%$ yield. The removal of the phosphate tether was accomplished by treatment with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ and, then, after a sequence of transformations, including selective protection of the 1,3 diol system as an acetal, a Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of the resulting allylic alcohol, a selective tosylation

[^36]of the primary alcohol and introduction of the acryloyl unit, provided compound 266. This compound was set up for a reductive opening process, which was carried out in one pot by sequential treatment with $\mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{Zn}$ and acidic work-up, to deliver diolefin 267. In contrast to a direct RCM reaction of diolefinic triol 267, carried out by Prasad et al. (See Figure 2), that afforded the natural product in a modest $36 \%$, Hanson et al. found that prior protection of compound 267 as the MOM derivative resulted in a more efficient RCM process to provide the final product 49 in $84 \%$ yield after removal of the protecting groups with TFA (Scheme 28, part B).


Scheme 28. Total Synthesis of Strictifolione (258) and Sch-725674 (49) (Hanson et al. 2014, 2016) ${ }^{146,147}$

### 1.2.3.2. Relay Ring-Closing Metathesis

Since the pioneering work of the Hoye group on the relay ring-closing metathesis (RRCM) concept in 2004, ${ }^{148}$ and the first application in total synthesis by the Porco group in the same year, ${ }^{149}$ many syntheses have benefited enormously from this strategy as a method to surmount the instances in which the RCM reactions proved ineffective or

[^37]sluggish, mainly due to steric factors, as well as electronic factors. ${ }^{150}$ A representative example to illustrate the implementation of this strategy and its dramatic effect can be found in the recent synthesis of the cytotoxic natural product penostatin B (268) by the Shishido group. ${ }^{151}$ Having devised a RCM strategy for the preparation of the dihydropyran system contained in the natural product, the examination of this reaction with the acyclic precursor $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ by using various catalysts and different reaction conditions provided the desired unsaturated $\delta$-lactone 270 in a modest $46 \%$ yield, as the best case, when the HG-I catalyst (5) was employed. In an effort to improve upon this yield, the authors made use of the relay RCM strategy, for which precursors $\mathbf{2 7 1}$ and 272 were prepared. The treatment of these compounds with the same catalyst provided the RCM product $\mathbf{2 7 3}$ in improved 78 and $83 \%$ yields from 271 and 272, respectively, demonstrating the synthetic value of this strategy. With compound 273 in hand, the introduction of the alkenyl appendage was successfully achieved from acetyl pyranoside 274, via vinyl stannane 275 in a high stereoselective manner. Finally, the manipulation towards the final product was undertaken in six additional steps (Scheme 29).


Scheme 29. Total Synthesis of Penostatin B (268) (Shishido et al. 2012) ${ }^{151}$

In the development of an enabled and flexible strategy for the synthesis of the cyclodepsipeptidic-like natural products related to the jasplakinolide/geodiamolide family, Waldmann et al. designed a divergent solid phase synthesis for this class of compounds based on a unique RRCM strategy on solid phase to construct the macrocyclic core, directing the catalyst's action to the required break point. ${ }^{152}$ With the preparation of a PS resin for the linkage of the peptidic chain, which carried a diene unit, the acyclic precursor loaded onto the resin (resin 276) was synthesized in an efficient manner. The

[^38]subsequent reaction with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) delivered the protected cyclodepsipeptide 277 in $34 \%$ yield as a 1:1.2 mixture of a separable $E / Z$ isomers, through the formation of the carbene intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ with the concomitant release of resin 278. The removal of the protecting groups of each pure isomer afforded natural product seragamide A (279) and its Z-isomer. In a similar fashion, a collection of jaspamide analogues was generated for biological studies as antitumor agents (Scheme 30).


Scheme 30. Total Synthesis of Seragamide A (E-279) and Related Cyclodepsipeptides (Waldmann et al. 2015) ${ }^{152}$

### 1.2.3.3. Stereoselective Alkene Metathesis

The reversible nature of olefin metathesis represents a practically insurmountable barrier to access to the often energetically less favored $Z$-olefin. As a consequence, the design and development of catalysts capable of providing high $Z$ selectivity, either in RCM as in CM reactions, represents an important challenge. To this aim, initial efforts by Schrock, Hoveyda et al. have been focused largely on the modification of the ancillary ligands bound to the metal center and have led to the identification of the first $Z$-selective catalysts based on molybdenum and tungsten (catalysts $\mathbf{1 5}-\mathbf{2 2}$ in Figure 1). ${ }^{153}$ In this family of catalysts, the introduction of a bulky aryloxy moiety forces the substituents on the generated metallacyclobutane intermediate (A) (Scheme 31) all syn to yield the Zolefin in a kinetically controlled process.

[^39]Model Catalysts for Z-selectivity
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Scheme 31. Applications of Z-Selective RCM Reactions in Total Synthesis

On the other hand, the advent of ruthenium-based catalysts (for example catalyst 23 in Figure 1), in which bulky aryl groups are introduced on the $N$-heterocyclic carbene, by Grubbs et al. allowed similar access to a Z-selective process through intermediates type B. ${ }^{154}$ The $E$-isomers, despite their formation being generically favored in these reactions for thermodynamic reasons, there are numerous cases in which the small energy difference between the $E$ and the $Z$ isomers, results in a mixture of both geometric isomers. In view of this situation, the development of catalysts that promote kinetically $E$-selective processes represents a new challenge. In response to this requirement, Grubbs et al. have described the first catalysts capable of generating $E$-olefins starting from $E$ olefins as the reactants. ${ }^{155}$ This new generation of catalysts is termed stereoretentive olefin metathesis catalysts, which feature the presence of a cyclic catecholthiolate unit (catalysts 24-27 in Figure 1) ${ }^{22,156}$ and proceed through intermediates type C. To this arsenal of valuable and useful catalysts developed in the last few years one must also add

[^40]chiral catalysts, with which it is possible to perform asymmetric olefin metathesis, ${ }^{157}$ as described in Scheme 8. A detailed description of the design and development of these new selective catalysts, as well as their applications in synthesis of natural products, has been widely covered in various reviews. ${ }^{158}$ Nevertheless, we would like to summarize in this section relevant examples of selective RCM and CM reactions as representative applications that prove the synthetic validity and potential of these new generation of catalysts. Thus, in Scheme $\mathbf{3 1}$ are summarized examples of $Z$-selective RCM reactions ${ }^{156,159}$ and in Scheme 33 cases of $Z$-selective CM reactions ${ }^{160}$ in the field of the total synthesis.





Scheme 32. Applications of Z-Selective CM Reactions in Total Synthesis

[^41]Particularly relevant is the synthesis of prostaglandin F2 $\alpha$ (298) according to the recent strategy developed by Hoveyda et al. ${ }^{163 f}$ based on the original and brilliant concept of methylene capping. This strategy was designed to broaden the scope of the $Z$-selective cross-metathesis protocols, which is inefficient with olefins containing allylic or homoallylic alcohols, aryl groups, aldehydes or carboxylic acid susbtituents. Having identified $Z$-butene (297) as a suitable capping agent, respective treatments of trihydroxy olefin 295 and unsaturated carboxylic acid 296 with alkene 297 and the Ru dithiolate catalyst $\mathbf{2 5}$, followed by mixing and treatment with additional catalyst $\mathbf{2 5}$ under reduced pressure, afforded prostaglandin F2 $\alpha$ (298) in $59 \%$ yield and $>98: 2$ selectivity in favor of the desired $Z$-olefin (Scheme 32). The use of this capping agent avoids the formation of the unstable methylidene species in favor of a more stable substituted carbene, allowing the use of substrates without resorting to protecting groups. This elegant strategy was similarly used for the synthesis of Z-macrocyclic alkenes.


Scheme 33. Total Synthesis of Disorazole C1 (300) (Hoveyda et al. 2014) ${ }^{161}$

An outstanding application of these selective catalysts in the synthesis of complex natural products is the synthesis of disorazole $\mathrm{C} 1(\mathbf{3 0 0}),{ }^{161}$ a secondary metabolite that displays excellent anticancer and antifungal profiles, described by Hoveyda et al. The presence of the ( $Z, Z, E$ )-1,3,5-triene unit demands an exquisite level of geometric control for a stereoselective synthesis of this fragment. In an initial approach, the authors prepared the $Z$-vinyl iodide 302 and the $E, Z$-diene 304 in excellent yields and complete stereoselectivity by using the catalyst 16 from the terminal olefins $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ and 303, respectively. However, after coupling of both fragments via a Suzuki reaction, the subsequent dimerization process failed to form the resulting coupling product. Therefore, the authors decided to assemble the acid derived from ester $\mathbf{3 0 3}$ and vinyl iodide $\mathbf{3 0 5}$ and the resulting ester $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ transformed into the $Z$-boronic ester 307, mediated by the catalyst 18. With this compound in hand, the authors conducted the dimerization process in a carefully optimized reaction, where the choice of palladium catalyst, base and solvent was critical to obtaining a good yield ( $60 \%$ ) of the resulting [30]-membered ring protected disorazole C1. Final desilylation provided the coveted natural product 300 (Scheme 33).

### 1.2.3.4. Alkene Metathesis in Tandem Reactions

The design of suitable multifunctional molecules that enable the triggering of a cascade of events, including a sequential alkene metathesis process or a combination of an alkene metathesis with other types of reactions in a well-defined order would provide a formidable increase in structural complexity in a single operation. As a consequence, we can find in the literature a large number of total syntheses and synthetic approaches in which alkene metathesis processes in cascade sequences, by combination of ROM/RCM or RCM/CM reactions, have been applied. ${ }^{162}$ An initial interesting synthetic application of these processes in total synthesis is found in the formal total syntheses of dysiherbaine (308) and neodysiherbaine A (309) by Lee et al. ${ }^{163}$ through an elegant ROM/RCM tandem process from bicyclic compound 310, which was prepared in enantiomerically pure form through a stereoselective Diels-Alder reaction, followed by resolution of the racemic mixture. This compound $\mathbf{3 1 0}$ underwent an initial ROM process, that delivered a ruthenium intermediate $\mathbf{A}$, followed by a RCM reaction when subjected to the Grubbs-II catalyst (4), to yield bicyclic derivative 311, which represents the core skeleton of dysiherbaine and related compounds with the correct relative stereochemistry. The need to selectively oxidize the cyclic olefin in the presence of the terminal alkene found in compound $\mathbf{3 1 1}$ forced the authors to increase the difference of reactivities between the olefins by the preparation of the enol acetate 312, prepared in the same way as 311, but in the presence of vinyl acetate and using Hoveyda-Grubbs II catalyst (6) for a final CM with vinyl acetate. In this way, compound $\mathbf{3 1 2}$ was obtained in an excellent $95 \%$ yield, generating a very well differentiated electronic environment between both

[^42]alkenes for further selective functionalization. In fact, the authors succeeded in the preparation of advanced precursor $\mathbf{3 1 3}$ via transformation of the enol acetate into a temporary alcohol, followed by dihydroxylation of the cyclic olefin, protection of the resulting diol as an acetal, oxidation of the primary alcohol to the acid and esterification. The resulting product 313 was utilized by Sasaki et al. ${ }^{164}$ in their total syntheses of the natural products dysiherbaine (308) and neodysiherbaine A (309), thus representing the formal synthesis of both natural products (Scheme 34).


Scheme 34. Formal Total Synthesis of Dysiherbaine (308) and Neodysiherbaine A (309) (Lee et al. 2012) ${ }^{163}$

Particularly interesting are the syntheses of clusianone (314) and clavilactone A (318) which utilize combinations of cascade processes of metathesis reactions. In the case of clusianone (314), a natural product isolated from C. congestiflora with antiviral activity against HIV and Epstein-Barr virus, its synthesis was envisaged by Plietker et al. ${ }^{165}$ from tricyclic derivative 317, prepared from bicyclic compound 316 via an allylation/intramolecular Claisen-condensation-benzoylation sequence. Thus, when 317 was treated with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) in the presence of isoamylene, the natural product clusianone (314) was obtained in a $65 \%$ yield as the result of a tandem ROM/CM process. The preparation of the bicycle[4.3.1]undecenone derivative $\mathbf{3 1 6}$ via a RCM reaction from 315, was conceived by the authors as a way of controlling the stereochemical outcome in favor of the desired cis-relative configuration of the final product due to the conformationally restricted environment imposed by the bicyclic system. The subsequent ROM reaction, followed by a CM reaction, in the presence of 2-methyl-2-butene, allowed the unmasking of the prenylated side chains present in the final product (Scheme 35).

[^43]

Scheme 35. Total Synthesis of Clusianone (314) (Plietker et al. 2015) ${ }^{165}$

The same strategy was employed by these authors in the synthesis of guttiferone A. ${ }^{166}$ For the clavilactones, a family of natural products structurally characterized by a rigid 10 -membered macrocycle fused to a hydroquinone and to an $\alpha, \beta$-epoxy- $\gamma$-lactone, the cyclobutenecarboxylate $\mathbf{3 1 9}$ was devised by Takao et al. as an appropiate precursor to promote a tandem ROM/RCM reaction mediated by a ruthenium-based catalyst. ${ }^{167}$ In fact, when 319 was treated with the Grubbs-I catalyst (3), the desired ROM/RCM product 320 was obtained, but in only $28 \%$ yield, due to the formation of the dimer 321 in $15 \%$ yield, together with recovered starting material in $47 \%$ yield. In an attempt to improve upon this modest result, the authors found that exposure of dimer 321 to the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) in ethylene atmosphere produced the desired product 320 in good yields. In practice, this tandem process was accomplished in one pot by sequential treatment of $\mathbf{3 1 9}$ with the Grubbs-I catalyst ( $\mathbf{3}$ ) in the presence of 2,6-dichloro-1,4-benzoquinone, followed by the treatment with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) in atmospheric ethylene at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide the final product $\mathbf{3 2 0}$ in $81 \%$ overall yield. The formation of the oxirane ring was carried out in a highly chemo- and stereoselective fashion by reaction of $m$-CPBA with the cyclic olefinic bis-silylether derivative, obtained from $\mathbf{3 2 0}$ by reduction and bissilylation of the resulting diol. The resulting epoxide $\mathbf{3 2 2}$ was then reacted with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) to obtain the macrocyclic derivative $\mathbf{3 2 3}$ in a notable $83 \%$ yield. The completion of the synthesis of clavilactone A (318) was efficiently accomplished in four additional steps, mainly functional groups interconversions, through quinone 324 that corresponds to clavilactone B. In a similar synthetic sequence, the same authors prepared the originally proposed structure of clavilactone $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{3 2 5}),{ }^{168}$ which led to its structural revision, identifying 326 as the correct structure (Scheme 36).

[^44]

Scheme 36. Total Synthesis of Clavilactones A (318), B (324) and D (326) (Takao et al. 2013 and 2018) ${ }^{167,168}$

A cascade process that involves an alkene metathesis with other types of reactions has also been explored. As such, an application is the tandem CM/oxa-Michael cyclization employed by Krische et al. in the synthesis of the C2-symmetric natural product cyanolide A (327), ${ }^{169}$ a potent molluscicidal agent against the water snail Biomphalaria glabrata, which actually is a vector of the human parasitic disease schistosomiasis. Thus, when diol 328 was treated with the Hoveyda-Grubbs II catalyst (6), in the presence of vinylethyl ketone, the compound $\mathbf{3 2 9}$ was obtained in $76 \%$ yield as a 10:1 mixture of diastereoisomers. This pyrane derivative is the result of an initial double CM reaction, followed by an oxa-Michael cyclization. In a second CM round, compound 329 was treated with the Blechert catalyst (7) in an ethylene atmosphere to yield olefin 330 in $70 \%$ yield. A few more steps from $\mathbf{3 3 0}$ completed a concise total synthesis of cyanolide A (327) (Scheme 37).

Another such application is the synthesis of the tetrahydropyrane containing natural products decytospolides A (331) and B (332) by Kommu et al. ${ }^{170}$ which was rapidly achieved when the hydroxyl olefin 333 was subjected to treatment of the Hoveyda-Grubbs II catalyst (6) in the presence of ethylvinyl ketone to provide the pyranes 334 and $\mathbf{3 3 5}$ in a $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ combined yield and as a 9:1 mixture of stereoisomers, in favor of

[^45]the desired 2,6-cis-pyrane 335. Removal of the benzyl group of $\mathbf{3 3 5}$ afforded decytospolide A (331), whose acetylation provided decytospolide B (332) (Scheme 38).


Scheme 37. Total Synthesis of Cyanolide A (327) (Krische et al. 2013) ${ }^{169}$


Scheme 38. Total Synthesis of Decytospolides A (331) and B (332) (Kommu et al. 2015) ${ }^{170}$
An interesting approach towards the synthesis of isoquinoline-type alkaloids, such as oxychelerythrine (336a), oxysanguinarine (336b), oxynitidine (336c) and oxyvicine (336d) has been described by Sutherland et al. ${ }^{171}$ based on a tandem Overman rearrangement/RCM for rapid access to amino-substituted 1,4-dihydronaphtalene scaffolds, which represent key precursors for the synthesis of the benzo [c]phenanthridine system found in these natural products. The one-pot Overman rearrangement/RCM was efficiently achieved after extensive optimization, finding that when 337 was converted into the corresponding trichloroacetimidate, heated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of potassium carbonate and then submitted to the action of the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) at room

[^46]temperature, the corresponding 1,4-dihydronaphtahlene $\mathbf{3 3 8}$ was obtained in $81 \%$ yield. From this privileged compound, the authors completed the syntheses of the alkaloids 336a-d after aromatization of 338, followed by coupling with the corresponding 2bromobenzoic acid derivatives and an intramolecular biaryl Heck coupling reaction in a very high efficient manner. In the final Heck coupling (step h of the sequence), Sutherland et al. employed the more stable Hermann-Beller palladacycle catalyst, given the very high temperature $\left(160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ required for the intramolecular coupling of their precursors 339a-d (Scheme 39). A related tandem Overman rearrangement and ring-closing enyne metathesis, followed by a Diels-Alder reaction was utilized by the same authors in the synthesis of amino-substituted indanes and tetralins. ${ }^{172}$


Scheme 39. Total Synthesis of Oxybenzo[c]phenanthridine Alkaloids 336a-d (Sutherland et al. 2014) ${ }^{171}$

### 1.3. Enyne Metathesis in Total Synthesis

As in previous sections, in this section we will focus on recent contributions recorded in the last few years (2012-present), taking into account that the ring-closing enyne metathesis (RCEYM) reaction has been similarly covered in numerous reviews. ${ }^{173}$

### 1.3.1. Total Syntheses Based on a Ring-Closing Enyne Metathesis Reaction

The synthetic value of an intramolecular metathesis reaction of an enyne (RCEYM) is due to the versatility that is offered by the resulting cyclic dienes, which can be utilized in subsequent transformations, such as cycloaddition reactions. On the other hand, in contrast to other metathesis processes, the enyne metathesis can be promoted by other metal catalysts, such as $\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pt}^{4+}$ or Ir, or even Lewis acids, by a different mechanism but with the same result. Interestingly, in contrast to the alkene RCM, for the

[^47]enyne RCM, the mode of the ring closure can be different depending on the size of the cyclic system. ${ }^{174}$ Thus, whereas in small and medium-sized rings, the cyclization pathway goes through an exo-mode that delivers the product type $\mathbf{A}$, in a macrocylization process, the ring closure can go through an endo-pathway, owing to the increased flexibility of the large ring system, that should afford the product type $\mathbf{B}$.
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Scheme 40. Different Pathways of the Ring-Closing Enyne Metathesis and Total Synthesis of 6Deoxyerythronolide B (340) (Krische et al. 2014) ${ }^{175}$

A clear example of the formation of this class of type $\mathbf{B}$ compounds is the synthesis of 6-deoxyerythronolide B(340) by Krische et al., ${ }^{175}$ who prepared macrocyclic 342 in $89 \%$ yield when the enyne 341 was treated with the HG-II catalyst (6) in an atmosphere of ethylene at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with no detection of regioisomers. With the formation of the 14 -membered macrocycle, the completion of the synthesis of the deoxyerythronolide $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{3 4 0})$ was delineated through a synthetic sequence that included selective oxidation of the exocyclic double bond, reduction of the resulting enone through a Ni-catalyzed conjugate reduction, stereoselective methylation of the resulting ketone 343 and final removal of the protective groups via catalytic hydrogenation (Scheme 40). Given the usual involvement of the enyne RCM products in subsequent transformations

[^48]of the resulting diene in a tandem process, the majority of cases found in the literature correspond to this category and will be discussed later in section 1.3.3.

### 1.3.2. Total Syntheses Based on an Enyne Cross Metathesis Reaction

Several problems are associated with the selectivity of an intermolecular enyne metathesis, for example alkene-alkene and alkyne-alkyne metathesis processes can compete with the alkene-alkyne coupling, as well as the possible formation of geometric $E / Z$ mixtures. Indeed, these problems are the likely reasons for the limited use of this modality of metathesis versus the intramolecular variant. Due to the scarcity of examples in which an enyne cross-metathesis is employed in the realm of total synthesis, it is worth emphasizing those where the reaction has been successfully applied. Such a case is the synthesis of amphidinolide P (344) by Diver, ${ }^{176}$ in which an enyne cross-metathesis allowed access to the main backbone of the natural product.


Scheme 41. Total Synthesis of Amphidinolide P (344) (Diver et al. 2015) ${ }^{176}$

In practice, alkyne $\mathbf{3 4 5}$ and alkene $\mathbf{3 4 6}$ were coupled by treatment with the HG-II catalyst (6) at low temperature $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to give diene 347 in $72 \%$ yield and as a 4.5:1 $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ mixture of geometric isomers. The completion of the synthesis was achieved according to the Williams protocol ${ }^{177}$ to obtain pure amphidinolide P (344) in $38 \%$ overall yield. Surprisingly, when the authors attempted the synthesis of this natural product via an

[^49]enyne RCM, they failed to obtain any ring closure product, either from $\mathbf{3 4 8}$ or from the more stable TMS derivative 349. However, when $\mathbf{3 4 8}$ was subjected to the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) in an ethylene atmosphere, they could obtain, in almost quantitative yield, the 1,3-diene 350, which was envisioned as a suitable precursor for a final RCM step. In this case, the authors observed that the RCM reaction of its corresponding silyl enol ether, compound 351, with the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) proceeded in a better yield, compared with the direct RCM reaction of the diene 350, likely due to the introduction of additional rigidity in the system that would favor the connection of the involved alkenes. The RCM product, obtained as a mixture of the corresponding silyl enol ether and ketone, was transformed into amphidinolide $\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{3 4 4})$ by reaction with $\mathrm{HF} \bullet$ pyr in $67 \%$ yield over two steps (Scheme 41). This contribution joins the synthesis by Lee based on a RCM approach. ${ }^{178}$

### 1.3.3. Enyne Metathesis in Tandem Reactions

Among the different variants of the metathesis reactions that employ enynes as starting precursors, those that involve the enyne metathesis as part of a cascade process have been the most exploited, due to their great potential for the generation of complex polycyclic systems in a single step. Given the synthetic consequences of such processes, enyne metathesis in cascade processes has occupied a strategic position in the synthesis of natural products containing complex polycyclic systems and the topic of several comprehensive reviews. ${ }^{179}$ One finds two well-differentiated types of tandem processes involving enyne metathesis: a) Multiple intramolecular metathesis reactions in a programmed polyenyne system. In this case, the polyenyne precursor is properly designed to trigger a highly orchestrated cascade that ensures the right regiochemical outcome, once the initial metal carbene is formed in the right position; and $b$ ) a tandem process consisting of an initial enyne ring-closing metathesis, followed by a second reaction, usually a cycloaddition reaction, of the resulting diene. In the first case, we find a relevant example in the formal synthesis of englerin A (352) by Parker et al. ${ }^{180}$ (Scheme 42).

[^50]

Scheme 42. Total Synthesis of Englerin A (352) (Parker et al. 2014) ${ }^{180}$
The intriguing structure of this natural product, in conjunction with its striking pharmaceutical properties, has prompted intense research activity directed towards its total synthesis, as well as the generation of analogues for medicinal chemistry studies. Among the seminal and elegant contributions developed for the synthesis of this natural product, the one by Parker was based on a ene-yne-ene metathesis cyclization in a tandem process. In order to secure the initiation process in the right direction, the authors developed a relay metathesis cascade from precursor 353, in form of a diastereoisomeric mixture, which was readily available from geraniol in seven steps. Thus, when compound 353 was treated with the Stewart-Grubbs catalyst (12), the expected tricyclic derivative 354 was obtained in a $77 \%$ combined yield of both stereoisomers, which could be separated by chromatographic methods. The power of this tandem metathesis reaction is reflected by the fact that only the guaiadiene system was generated in a strict order of events through ruthenium carbenes species $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ due to the triggering effect exerted by the terminal allyl ether. The formation of the oxygen bridge present in the natural product was then accomplished by means of an oxymercuration and subsequent demercuration process that afforded the advanced intermediate 355. The transformation of this compound into englerin A (352) has already been described by Echavarren in seven steps (Scheme 42). ${ }^{181}$

[^51]

Scheme 43. Total Synthesis of Kempenone 356 (Metz et al. 2017) ${ }^{183}$

Another representative and unique example is the synthesis of the complex tetracyclic diterpenes belonging to the kempene family, such as the kempenone 356, which was isolated from the defense secretion of higher termites Nasutitermes octopolis. In contrast to the long multistep approaches reported by Paquette and Deslongchamps for the construction of the tetracyclic core of these terpenes, ${ }^{182}$ Metz et al. reported the preparation of this polycyclic system in one step and in excellent yield from the dienyne 357, prepared from the Wieland-Miescher ketone in 20 steps. ${ }^{183}$ Thus, exposure of $\mathbf{3 5 7}$ to the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) afforded the tetracyclic derivative $\mathbf{3 5 8}$ in an astonishing $97 \%$ yield. Whereas the reduction of the resulting tetracyclic ketone $\mathbf{3 5 8}$ with L-selectride provided the alcohol $\mathbf{3 5 9}$ with the opposite stereochemistry of the natural product, reduction of the bicyclic ketone precursor 357 provided the correct diastereoisomer $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ under the same reduction conditions. In a similar way as $\mathbf{3 5 7}$, dienyne $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ was subjected to the treatment of the Grubbs-II catalyst (4) to obtain the tetracyclic alcohol $\mathbf{3 6 1}$ in almost quantitative yield ( $99 \%$ ). From this compound, the synthesis of the kempenone $\mathbf{3 5 6}$ was achieved in 9 additional steps (Scheme 43).

Yet another instructive example for this section is the elegant synthesis of ( $\pm$ )morphine (372) by Smith et al., ${ }^{184}$ in which the intricate pentacyclic system contained in this fascinating natural product was generated utilizing a cascade ene-yne-ene ring closing metathesis of the highly functionalized benzofuran 363, prepared in just six steps from commercially available starting materials. In the crucial metathesis event, the catalytic action of the HG-II catalyst (6) smoothly promoted a sequential cascade of events, initiated with an enyne-RCM reaction, that generated the ruthenium alkylidene intermediate $\mathbf{A}$, and continued with a final RCM reaction to produce tetracyclic compound 364. Despite this product being isolated in an impressive $94 \%$ yield, the authors decided to continue with the synthetic sequence without isolation of the resulting

[^52]tetracycle 364. The compound was then subjected to a 1,6 -addition reaction by treatment with TFA and then sodium carbonate. As a result, a $10: 1$ mixture of compounds corresponding to neopinone ( $\mathbf{3 6 5}$ ) and codeinone (366) was obtained. The treatment of this mixture with HCl , followed by a NaOH work-up, allowed for the isomerization of neopinone (365) to codeinone (366). The reduction of 366 with sodium borohydride afforded codeine ( $\mathbf{3 6 7}$ ) as a single diastereoisomer and in $65 \%$ overall yield from 363. Finally, demethylation of codeine with boron tribromide yielded racemic morphine (362) in $86 \%$ yield (Scheme 44).


Scheme 44. Total Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-morphine (362) (Smith et al. 2016) ${ }^{185}$

An interesting example of the synthetic application of domino metathesis in the field of the alkaloid-type natural products is the recent syntheses of virgidivarine (368) and virgiboidine (369), which are piperidine and piperidino-quinolizidine alkaloids isolated from the leaves of African leguminosae Virgilia divaricata and Virgilia oroboides and whose biological activities have not been studied. Blechert et al. ${ }^{185}$ planned the construction of the common dipiperidine core of these natural products via a ene-eneyne ring-rearrangement metathesis (RRM) from the dienic system represented by compound 370, foreseeing an initial ROM process of the cyclopentene unit, followed by a double alkene RCM-enyne RCM process of the resulting ROM intermediate. Thus, when precursor 370, prepared from cis-cyclopent-2-en-1,4-diol via enzymatic desymmetrization, was exposed to the HG-II catalyst (6) under ethylene atmosphere, compound 371 was obtained in a remarkable $83 \%$ yield, according to the expected mechanistic course delineated by the authors. Combined with the tandem metathesis reactions, the resulting metathesis product was transformed into the aldehyde $\mathbf{3 7 2}$ by an oxidative treatment, in a $33 \%$ overall yield in one pot. From this aldehyde, both alkaloids were prepared, requiring 10 steps to synthesize virgidivarine (368), followed by the synthesis of virgiboidine (369), after a final lactamization reaction of 368 with EDC (Scheme 45).

[^53]

Scheme 45. Total Synthesis of Virgidivarine (368) and Virgiboidine (369) (Blechert et al. 2013) ${ }^{185}$
With regards to recent examples, special attention is deserved for the synthesis of the anticancer alkaloids flueggine $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{3 7 3})$ and virosine $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{3 7 4})$ by the Li group. ${ }^{186}$ These compounds, belonging to the securinega family, possess unique structural features and interesting biological properties that cover a wide range of activities. The synthesis of these compounds was based on an elegant RRCM of a dienyne system for the controlled construction of the core structure represented by norsecurinine (380). Thus, their syntheses were envisioned to proceed via a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition between norsecurinine ( $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ ) and nitrone 381, which would be prepared from norsecurinine $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ by oxidative treatment with $m$-CPBA (Scheme 46).
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Scheme 46. Total Synthesis of Flueggine A (373) and Virosaine B (374) (Li, Yang et al. 2013) ${ }^{186}$
In a similar strategy towards the related alkaloid securinine, Honda et al. ${ }^{187}$ attempted its synthesis through a tandem RCM of the dienyne 375. However, when this precursor was reacted with different ruthenium catalysts, such as the Grela-GrubbsHoveyda (10), the desired $\gamma$-lactone $\mathbf{3 7 6}$ was not detected, obtaining instead the $\delta$-lactone 377 in $69 \%$ yield. The preference of the catalyst to react with the butenyl group rather than the acrylate moiety, through intermediate $\mathbf{A}$, could explain the outcome of this reaction. In an attempt to favor the formation of the required ruthenium complex at the less reactive alkene, Li et al. proposed the trienyne $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ as a suitable precursor to promote the preferential formation of intermediate $\mathbf{C}$ through the initiation of the reaction at the less sterically encumbered terminal olefin. In fact, when compound $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ was subjected to the action of the Zhan-1 b catalyst (11), among others, compound $\mathbf{3 7 9}$ was obtained in a reproducible and acceptable $64 \%$ yield. The completion of the synthesis of norsecurinine was undertaken in 3 additional steps, allylic bromation, Boc deprotection and a

[^55]nucleophilic cyclization under basic conditions. After some additional experimentation, the authors found out that this transformation could be achieved in only one step by exposure of the resulting bromide to the acid $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ in an improved $85 \%$ yield. Finally, the preparation of the nitrone $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ from norsecurinine ( $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ ), according to the Magnus protocol, ${ }^{188}$ was followed by a cycloaddition reaction with $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ to obtain natural product flueggine A (373) in a $77 \%$ yield. In a related approach, they also prepared virosaine B (374) by an intramolecular cycloaddition of nitrone 383, prepared from the isomer allonorsecurinine 382 in three steps (Scheme 46).

In the second group of the cascade reactions involving enyne-RCM processes, we wish to highlight those that combine the metathesis reaction with a Diels-Alder cycloaddition of the resulting diene as a way for the construction of a bicyclic system in a rapid and efficient fashion. Within this category of tandem reactions, the synthesis of the norsesquiterpene-type alkaloids, such as the anticancer alkaloid 384, which were isolated from the culture of mushroom-forming fungus Flammulina velutipes, was accomplished using a tandem enyne-RCM/Diels-Alder/aromatization sequence in onepot by Reddy et al. ${ }^{189}$ Thus, the treatment of the acyclic precursor $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ with the Grubbs-I catalyst (3) in toluene at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was followed by a Diels-Alder reaction with dimethyl acetylene-dicarboxylate (DMAD) and then, treatment with DDQ of the resulting DielsAlder adduct 386, which was not isolated, to provide the final compound 387 in a moderate $42 \%$ overall yield. The elaboration of this product towards the final alkaloid 384 was accomplished without issues in three additional steps with a $49 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 47).


Scheme 47. Total Synthesis of the Norsesquiterpene Alkaloid 384 (Reddy et al. 2014) ${ }^{189}$

### 1.4. Alkyne Metathesis in Total Synthesis

### 1.4.1. Total Syntheses Based on a Ring-Closing Alkyne Metathesis Reaction

The wide range of reactivities that alkynes possess, compared with alkenes, imparts the alkyne metathesis as a very powerful tool with a broader field of synthetic

[^56]opportunities. Thus, some advantages of alkynes over alkenes include the stereocontrolled partial reduction of the triple bond, which allows stereoselective access to the $E$ or the Z -alkene, and its excellent reactivity against electrophilic metals such as $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pt}$ or Hg , which allows for further functionalization. On the other hand, the catalysts required for the alkyne metathesis reactions are completely different. From the first Mortreux system developed in 1974, ${ }^{190}$ the evolution towards more efficient, stable and functional group tolerant catalysts, developed firstly by Schrock (catalyst 388) ${ }^{191}$ and later by Fürstner (catalysts 389) ${ }^{192}$ (Figure 6), has propelled the alkyne metathesis reaction to become an excellent synthetic tool for the generation of macrocyclic structures as applied to the synthesis of natural products and bioactive compounds.

[Fürstner, 1999] ${ }^{194}$
Figure 6. Common Catalysts Used for Alkyne Metathesis Reactions
Since the first example of an alkyne ring-closing metathesis in 1999 by Fürstner, ${ }^{193}$ we can find in the literature many applications of this type of reaction in the preparation of complex macrocyclic natural products, some of the which has been linked to the development of even more efficient and stable catalysts in the last years (catalysts 390-394). ${ }^{194}$ As for the catalysts used in alkene metathesis, the catalysts for alkyne metathesis are precatalysts, which are activated to form the active species responsible for catalytic action. In fact, precatalysts $\mathbf{3 8 9}$ or $\mathbf{3 9 4}$ are activated by reaction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to form in situ the corresponding monochloro derivatives, which are the catalytically active species. ${ }^{195}$ One of the main architects of the responsible for the spectacular irruption of this reaction in modern organic synthesis has been Fürstner, who not only by his seminal contributions in total synthesis but also in his work on the development of new catalysts

[^57]has reached spectacular progress and promoted the field. During his prolific and impressive research career, many reviews have been reported about this reaction covering the main contributions of the Fürstner group as well as of other groups. ${ }^{196}$ The last contributions reported in the period 2015-2017 however have not been covered and thus will be reviewed in this section.

Thus, selected examples are illustrated in Scheme 48, in which the natural products lactimidomycin (395), ${ }^{197}$ mandelalide A (396), ${ }^{198}$ amphidinolide F (397), ${ }^{199}$ brefeldin A (398), ${ }^{200}$ leidodermatolide (399), ${ }^{201}$ tulearin A (400) ${ }^{202}$ or brominated 4-pyrone-type natural products ${ }^{203}$ (for example 401) were efficiently synthesized from their corresponding acyclic dialkynes and that provided the corresponding alkyne macrocycles (402-407) in good to excellent yields.


Scheme 48. Selected Natural Products synthesized via a RCAM reaction by the Fürstner Group (period 2013-present)

[^58]In the depicted representative cases, the alkynes were reduced to the corresponding $E$-alkenes (cases of natural products $\mathbf{3 9 5}, 398$ and 400), $Z$-alkenes (cases of 396 and 399) or even, not transformed such as the cases of the 4-pyrone 401. For the particular case of amphidinolide F (397), the alkyne was subjected to a hydration reaction to obtain a ketone under platinum catalysis. Similarly relevant are the syntheses of WF1360F (408), ${ }^{204}$ cruentaren $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{3 1})^{205}$ and haliclonin A (409), ${ }^{206}$ reported by the Altmann, Barrett and the Huang research groups, respectively, in which after the alkyne macrocycle formation via a RCAM reaction, the resulting macrocyclic alkynes (410-412) were reduced to the $E$-olefin for the case of $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ or to the Z-olefins by means of a Lindlar catalyst-mediated hydrogenation for 411 and 412 (Scheme 49).

More intriguing and challenging are the cases in which introduction of a methyl group into the alkyne is required to be transformed into a methyl-branched alkene in a regio- and stereo-controlled manner. Such cases can be found in the synthesis of the natural products 5,6-dihydrocineromycin B (413), disciformycins B (414) and nannocystin $A x$ (415) by the Fürstner group.


Scheme 49. Selected Natural Products synthesized via a RCAM reaction by other Research Groups (period 2013-present)

[^59]To this aim, the recent methodology developed by the same group, based on the ruthenium-catalyzed trans-hydrostannation of alkynes, was envisioned as a solution to this synthetic problem given the excellent levels of regioselectivity exhibited by this reaction when applied to unprotected propargyl alcohol derivatives. Thus, for the case of 5,6-dihydrocineromycin $B(\mathbf{4 1 3}),{ }^{207}$ when alkyne 416, obtained from the corresponding acyclic dialkyne by reaction with the molybdenum alkylidyne complex 392, was desilylated by reaction with HF , the resulting propargyl alcohol was treated with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in the presence of the ruthenium catalyst $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$. As a result, the corresponding $a$ alkenylstannane was obtained as a single isomer. Having introduced the stannyl group, a Stille reaction was carried out by exposure to copper thiophene-2-carboxylate (CuTC), $\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right] \text { in the presence of methyl iodide to afford the final }}\right.$ natural product (413) in excellent yield. It is important to highlight the importance of the order of addition and stoichiometry of the reactants in this reaction to avoid undesired protodestannation processes. In a similar situation, the macrocyclic alkyne 417, ${ }^{208}$ obtained in excellent yield from its corresponding dialkyne precursor by reaction with precatalyst 394, required the installation of the methyl group for the synthesis of disciformycins A and B , interesting macrolides-type antibiotics with considerable activity against resistant Gram-positive bacteria (Scheme 50).

As the previous case, the transformation of compound 417 into its hydroxyl derivative was followed by a rapid trans-hydrostannation reaction. In contrast to the previous case, as to other numerous examples carried out by the Fürstner group, on this occasion a 3:1 mixture of stannyl regioisomers, in favor of 418, was obtained, likely due to the competing interactions of the hydroxyl group, via hydrogen bonding, with the ruthenium catalyst and with the neighboring ester carbonyl group. In addition to this lack of regioselectivity, the observation that the stannyl derivative was quite sensitive forced the authors to continue with the crude product mixture to the following step, which consisted of a methylation reaction. Once again, this reaction proved to be sluggish, as a mixture of products was obtained under conventional conditions. In light of these unsatisfactory results, the authors decided to use stoichiometric amounts of the methyl donor $[(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Me}]$ to obtain the desired product 419 in a $20 \%$ overall yield after four steps from 417. Final glycosidation and global deprotection provided the elusive disciformycin $B(415)$ in the first instance, and then disciformycin A after an isomerization reaction. Similarly challenging proved to be the synthesis of nannocystin Ax (415), ${ }^{209}$ a cytotoxic cyclodepsipeptide isolated from myxobacteria. After a successful alkyne RCM reaction that provided macrocyclic alkyne $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ in a $66 \%$ yield by the action of catalyst 390, the OH -directed hydrostannation was achieved by sequential desilylaton and treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, in the presence of catalytic amounts of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{n}}$, to obtain the corresponding stannane $\mathbf{4 2 1}$ as a single regio- and stereo-isomer. The methylation was then achieved according to previous conditions to provide the desired methyl derivative in a reasonable good yield ( $70 \%$ ). The methylation of the hydroxyl

[^60]group proved to be similarly challenging, finding, after extensive experimentation, that the methyl ester $\mathbf{4 2 2}$ provided a proper source of electrophilic methyl by its in situ generation in a gold-catalyzed cyclization. Final reductive cleavage of the phenacyl group furnished the targeted natural product 415 (Scheme 50).





Scheme 50. Selected Natural Products synthesized via a RCAM/Hydrostannation reactions by the Fürstner Group


Scheme 51. Selected Natural Products synthesized via a RCAM/Gold Catalysis by the Fürstner Group (I)
Beyond the transformation of the alkyne into an alkene or even a methylsubstituted alkene, as discussed before, the reactivity of the alkyne functional group allows the construction of a heterocyclic ring, providing extraordinary opportunities to access more complex structural systems compared to the alkene chemistry. These postmetathetic transformations have been similarly explored by Fürstner et al. in the synthesis of a plethora of natural products. In this set of new synthetic opportunities, the recent syntheses of enigmazole $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{4 2 3}),{ }^{210}$ polycavernoside $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{4 2 4}),{ }^{211}$ kendomycin $(\mathbf{4 2 5})^{212}$

[^61]and lytharanidine $(\mathbf{4 2 6})^{213}$ represent excellent examples of this synthetic potential. In all these cases, the macrocyclic alkynes (427-430) were synthesized from their corresponding acyclic dialkynes. From these alkynes, in the cases of enigmazole A, polycavernoside A and kendomycin, a trasannular pyrane (for enigmazole A) and a furan ring (for polycavernoside A and kendomycin) were constructed by activation of the alkyne with gold-based catalysts (431-433) ${ }^{214}$ to provide compounds 434-436. In the cases of polycavernoside A and kendomycin, the syntheses were formal, having been described by Lee ${ }^{215}$ and Mulzer ${ }^{216}$ from advanced precursors 435 and 437, respectively. On the other hand, for the synthesis of lytharanidine, formation of a piperidine ring was required, which was achieved by a sequence of redox isomerization, followed by transannular aza-Michael addition from macrocyclic alkyne 430 (Schemes 51 and 52).

c) $h v(85 \%)$ e) HCl





Lythranidine (426)

Scheme 52. Selected Natural Products synthesized via a RCAM/Gold Catalysis by the Fürstner Group (II)

An intriguing last case is the synthesis of ivorenolide $B$ (438) by the Fürstner group based upon a macrocyclization of a diterminal diyne to prepare the 1,3-diyne system found in this unprecedented natural product. ${ }^{217}$ Ivorenolide B belongs to a family

[^62]of macrolides isolated from the stem bark of Khaya ivorensis, which has been reported to inhibit concanavalin A-induced T-cell and lipopolysaccharide-induced B cell proliferations. This noteworthy biological activity confers it a clinical application as an immunosuppressive agent comparable to cyclosporine A. The synthesis of Fürstner et al. was delineated according to a RCAM reaction of the diterminal acyclic dyine 439 , which by treatment with catalyst 390 furnished in a remarkable $82 \%$ yield the desired [17]membered ring 440 with the embedded 1,3-diyne unit and no detection of side products derived from ring contraction or oligomerization processes. The subsequent epoxidation, performed in a regio- and stereoselective manners with $m$-CPBA, followed by silyl ether deprotection provided the targeted ivorenolide B (438) (Scheme 53). This synthesis improved notably with the contribution by Yue et al., ${ }^{218}$ which, based on a RCM reaction, provided the macrocyclic lactone 441 as a 1.5:1 mixture with the $E$-olefin as the major isomer. Despite this stereochemical problem, Yue's synthesis allowed confirmation of the absolute configuration of the natural product (Scheme 53).
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Scheme 53. Total Synthesis of Ivorenolide B (438) (Fürstner et al. 2015) ${ }^{217}$

### 1.4.2. Other Types of Alkyne Metathesis Reactions

To conclude this chapter, it is necessary to mention that, as in alkene metathesis reactions, we can find also examples of the use of the alkyne cross-metathesis ${ }^{219}$ or tethered RCAM reactions. ${ }^{220}$ Despite the power and scope that the alkyne metathesis demonstrates, utilization of these alkyne metathesis variants in the context of total synthesis is not well represented, with most examples being found mainly in polymerization reactions. ${ }^{221}$ Nevertheless, given the potential and efficiency of the methodology, there is no doubt that the trend will be an increase in the use of this chemistry in the construction of natural products.

[^63]
#### Abstract

1.5. Summary

As amply demonstrated in the literature, metathesis reactions are recognized as one of the most important and powerful reactions in the last decades rendering their main inventors the Nobel Prize in 2005. The synthetic value and great potential of these reactions have been driven by the tremendous progress witnessed in the last years regarding the design and development of efficient and stable catalysts based on metallocarbenes. As a consequence, these reactions enjoy broad scope, generality and a great deal of flexibility for structural diversity. Furthermore, as proof of these features is their extensive utilization in the field of organic synthesis, with particular impact in the total synthesis of natural products. These reactions in their different modalities (alkene, enyne and alkyne-metathesis reactions), versions (intra- and inter-molecular reactions), and strategies (tethered-, relay-, stereoselective metathesis, among others) have become a standard tool in the modern organic synthesis laboratory, being determinant in the completion of a wide array of natural products. In the current chapter we have demonstrated the increasing value and flurry of synthetic opportunities that these reactions provide.


## Chapter 2

Aims

[^64]The current PhD Thesis proposes the discovery and development of new drugs inspired by bioactive natural products which represent valid platforms for the generation of new chemical entities of pharmaceutical interest. Thus, the combination of structural and functional diversity allows for the identification of new compounds with better biological profiles than the natural counterparts. In order to select suitable synthetic targets, we focused on natural products that are able to offer promising expectations in the biomedicinal field, with new mechanisms of biological action and intriguing molecular structures that could represent the basis of new scaffolds of pharmaceutical interest. In particular, the selected natural products are a microbial polyketide termed (-)depudecin, and cyclopeptide and cyclodepsipeptide-type compounds from marine origin, the solomonamides A-B and the celebesides A-C. The scarcity of these natural products and the difficult accessibility from their natural sources have hampered further studies towards their biological and chemical profile. Despite the scarcity of the material, the preliminary biological evaluations of these natural products revealed very interesting biological properties. The intriguing preliminary biological profiles of the selected compounds, the requirement to have more material for further biological studies and to gain insight into their mechanisms of action and to confirm their novel and unprecedented molecular structures justify a research plan that could generate new knowledges from the chemical and biological standpoints. From the synthetic perspective, the selected natural products share an olefin metathesis reaction as the key step of their syntheses, which will be showed in detail in their respective chapters.

Thus, the following specific objectives were established for this PhD Thesis:

Objective 1. The establishment of flexible and convergent synthetic strategies towards the total synthesis of the selected natural products.
Objective 2. The implementation of the previously established synthetic routes to the generation of analogues of the selected natural products.
Objective 3. The biological evaluation of the synthetic natural products and analogues.

[^65]
## Chapter $3^{\dagger}$ <br> Depudecin Campaign

${ }^{\dagger}$ Part of this chapter has been published as the following journal papers: (a) García-Ruiz, C.; Cheng-Sánchez, I.; Sarabia, F. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 5558-5561. (b) Cheng-Sánchez, I.; García-Ruiz, C.; Guerrero-Vásquez, G. A.; Sarabia, F. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 47444757.

[^66]
### 3.1. Isolation, Structure and Biology of Depudecin

The disclosure and recognition of the crucial role of histone deacetylases (HDAC) and histone acetyl transferases (HAT) in the regulation of gene expression, which occurs through a tight control of the acetylation state of histones, has generated significant interest in their utility as new promising targets for cancer therapies. ${ }^{222}$ Thus, whereas the acetylated histones lead to a local expansion of chromatin, increasing the accessibility of regulatory proteins to DNA, the action of HDACs produces positively-charged histones that increase their affinities with DNA and, thereby, block the transcription of anti-tumor genes. ${ }^{223}$ HDACs are the enzymes responsible for the removal of the acetyl group from lysine residues of histones and other proteins; and are comprised of 18 isoforms grouped into four different classes (I-IV). ${ }^{224}$ The inhibition of these enzymes in the context of a neoplastic epigenome, in which they are overexpressed and play important roles in promoting cancer progression, ${ }^{225}$ leads to the restoration of a normal epigenetic state and induces the expression of tumor-suppresive genes. ${ }^{226}$ Consequently, inhibitors of HDAC (HDACi) have attracted considerable attention from the scientific community as novel potential anticancer agents. ${ }^{227}$ An indication of this interest is the flurry of activity directed towards the design and synthesis of inhibitors, which have been classified into five categories according to their modes of action. ${ }^{228}$ As a consequence of this intense activity, three of them (vorinostat, belinostat and romidespin) have been recently approved by the FDA for clinical anticancer therapies, whereas a remarkable number of other HDACi have undergone clinical trials for the treatment of a variety of hematological malignancies and solid tumors. ${ }^{229}$ The fact that other proteins, including transcription factors, DNA repair enzymes, signal transduction and inflammation mediators, among others, are also substrates of HDACs, further demonstrates their involvement in a wide variety of biological processes, including cell cycle and mitosis, DNA damage repair, cellular stress responses, protein degradation, cytokine signalling, immunity, inflammation, angiogenesis, apoptosis and cell invasion. ${ }^{230}$ In fact, HDACs are involved not only in cancer, but also in other pathologies such as neurological diseases, immune disorders ${ }^{231}$ and infections. ${ }^{232}$ On the other hand, the general lack of isoform selectivity

[^67]exhibited by current inhibitors towards the HDAC family, ${ }^{233,234}$ which are involved in a plethora of biological functions, explains the diverse biological effects that they may produce, ${ }^{235}$ thus reducing their therapeutic window by promoting undesirable side effects and toxicity.

In this context, (-)-depudecin (442), isolated from the culture broths of the fungus Alternaria brassicicola in $1992{ }^{236}$ and later, from the weed pathogen Nimbya scirpicola, ${ }^{237}$ has been identified as a selective inhibitor of histone deacetylases I and II with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range, according to biological studies carried out by Schreiber et al. ${ }^{238}$ In contrast to representative HDACi, such as 443-447 (Figure 7, A), depudecin represents a unique inhibitor of these enzymes by virtue of its molecular structure, featuring the presence of two oxirane rings separated by a trans double bond.
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Figure 7. Molecular Structures of (-)-Depudecin (442) and Other HDAC Inhibitors (A) and Synthetic Intermediates Prepared by Schreiber et al. (B).

[^68]Originally discovered as part of a biological screen directed towards the identification of antitumour agents with detransforming activity, ${ }^{239}$ depudecin was identified as a bioactive metabolite capable of reverting the transformed morphology of tumor cells NIH3T3, doubly transfected with v-ras and v-src oncogenes. ${ }^{240}$ The ability of depudecin to regulate the cytoskeletal architecture of tumoral cells is due to the restoration of the actin stress fiber.

This biological activity elicited a great biomedical and biological interest ${ }^{241}$ by virtue of its potential as an antitumor agent and as a molecular probe ${ }^{242}$ for the investigation of signalling pathways that regulate the actin stress fiber, as well as for further understanding the biological roles of HDACs. Depudecin induced not only morphological changes but also cell cycle arrest and cellular differentiation, which could be attributed to its inhibition against $\mathrm{HDAC}^{243}$ as mentioned above. It is likely that its inhibitory action against HDAC is a result of the presence of biologically reactive oxirane rings that could block the active site of the enzymes through the irreversible formation of a covalent bond between the nucleophlic residues within the active site and the oxirane rings. ${ }^{244}$ In fact, Schreiber demonstrated that the epoxy and hydroxyl groups of depudecin were essential for the biological activity, as the synthetic analogue $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ showed very weak activity, and $\mathbf{4 4 8}$ and 449 were completely inactive against transformed NIH3T3 cells (Figure 7, B). ${ }^{245}$ Furthermore, depudecin also exhibited remarkable anti-angiogenesis activity that endowed it with potent anti-proliferative activity against HUVEC. ${ }^{246}$ Finally, in addition to its antitumor properties, depudecin was also identified as a potent antiprotozoal agent against Neospora caninum, without any side effects for the host cell. ${ }^{247}$

### 3.2. Chemistry of Depudecin

Despite the intriguing biological activities of depudecin and its unique molecular structure, it is rather surprising that only one total synthesis has been reported so far, by the group of Schreiber in 1995 (Scheme 54). ${ }^{24}$ Although this synthesis was a long linear synthesis, it was able to provide enough amounts of synthetic (-)-depudecin for further biological studies. As depicted in Scheme 54, the synthesis was based on an asymmetric methodology that used a one-pot procedure for the stereoselective conversion of synvicinal diols 448 into trans-epoxides 449, proceeding in 23 steps with an overall yield of $0.7 \%$ from (-)-diethyl D-tartrate (451). This synthesis also required the previously

[^69]preparation of the phosphonate $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ in order to obtain the linear chain with the trans double bond contained in the natural depudecin, through a Wittig-Horner reaction with aldehyde 452 (Scheme 54).


Scheme 54. Total Synthesis of (-)-Depudecin (Schreiber et al. 1995) ${ }^{245}$
Prompted by its striking biological properties and enticing structure, we decided to initiate a research program directed towards the synthesis of natural depudecin and analogues. Our initial synthetic plan was based on a linear synthetic strategy, whose retrosynthetic analysis is depicted in Scheme 55, and was recently culminated with the total synthesis of (-)-depudecin. ${ }^{248}$ Accordingly, we envisioned triepoxy alcohol 455 as a direct precursor of depudecin via an epoxide reductive elimination process. For the preparation of $\mathbf{4 5 5}$, we devised the use of a new class of chiral sulfonium salts ( 457 and ent-457), developed in our laboratories, ${ }^{249,250}$ for the stereoselective construction of the oxirane rings contained in the natural product. Thus, we prepared triepoxy amide 458 in a remarkable $51 \%$ overall yield over 6 steps and with complete stereoselectivity from $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde 456, involving a sequential formation of a diepoxide system mediated by sulfonium salt 457 . From this highly valuable tri-epoxide amide, the completion of the synthesis of depudecin was achieved without problems through triepoxy alcohol 455 proceeding in 17 steps and $19 \%$ overall yield from (+)-methyl Dlactate (462) (Scheme 55).

[^70]

Scheme 55. Total Synthesis of (-)-Depudecin: The Linear Approach (Sarabia et al. 2015) ${ }^{248}$
In order to develop an improved and expeditious access to natural depudecin, as well as to access additional analogues for further biological screenings, we decided to explore a synthetic alternative based on a olefin cross-metathesis strategy as a shorter and more convergent approach.

### 3.3. Total Synthesis of (-)-Depudecin based on an Olefin Cross-Metathesis Approach

For the new synthesis of depudecin, we conceived of a convergent approach wherein an olefin cross-metathesis reaction would serve as the key step to join the terminal olefins $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ and 461. Thus, according to the retrosynthetic analysis depicted in Scheme 56, (-)-depudecin (442) could be obtained again from a triepoxy alcohol (459 in this case) via an epoxide reductive elimination process ${ }^{251}$ in the synthetic direction. This triepoxy alcohol 459, in turn, could be delivered from a key olefin cross-metathesis of compounds 460 and 461 . The preparation of these metathesis precursors was envisioned to be readily feasible from the commercially available (+)-methyl-D-lactate (462) and cis-2-buten-1,4-diol (463), respectively. The synthesis of the required precursors proceeded as shown in Scheme 56.

[^71]

Scheme 56. The Convergent Approach to (-)-Depudecin via Olefin Cross-Metathesis: Synthesis of the Precursors

Thus, we begun with the synthesis of 460 starting from 462, which was transformed into epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 6 4}$ via a Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (SAE), ${ }^{252}$ from the corresponding allylic alcohol. ${ }^{253}$ From epoxy alcohol 464, the synthesis continued by a two step sequence, involving oxidation and Wittig reaction, to obtain 460 in a $50 \%$ overall yield. For the other key fragment 461, we planned to start from the known epoxy alcohol 465, ${ }^{254}$ obtained from cis-2-buten-1,4-diol (463) in 4 steps. From 465, the construction of the second oxirane ring was achieved via the sulfonium salt 457 by reacting the aldehyde derived from a Parikh-Doering oxidation of $\mathbf{4 6 5},{ }^{255}$ to obtain diepoxy amide $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ in a remarkable $73 \%$ over two steps and complete stereoselectivity. ${ }^{250 f}$ Subsequent reduction of $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ with Red-Al, followed by a Wittig reaction, afforded alkene 461 in $50 \%$ yield over 2 steps. Alternatively, diepoxy olefin 461 was also obtained via a Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (SAE) of allylic alcohol 468, resulting from the reduction of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde 467, to provide diepoxy alcohol 469, which

[^72]was transformed into the olefin 461 in $60 \%$ yield over two steps (Scheme 56). Comparatively, the overall yields for both synthetic routes are similar ( 36.5 and $31 \%$, respectively), with the advantage of the sulfonium salt route being shorter than the SAE path.

With both key fragments in hand, we investigated the viability of the crossmetathesis reaction. For the assembly of both epoxy olefin units, a cross-metathesis of alkenes under the influence of the Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst (6) (see Figure 1 in Chapter 1) was initially attempted. ${ }^{256}$ The result was the cross-metathesis product 470, however in a very low yield ( $15 \%$ ), and with the homodimerization compound 471, as the major product ( $60 \%$ ). Various reaction conditions and stochiometries of the involved alkenes were evaluated, including second cycles of metathesis, but these failed to improve the yield of the desired product in all cases. Despite the yield of this reaction being variable and generally modest, we were able to access 459 by a selective deprotection step of the primary alcohol, which was accomplished in the presence of TsOH, resulting in the key triepoxy alcohol 459, in a 50\% yield (Scheme 57).


Scheme 57. The Convergent Approach to (-)-Depudecin via Olefin Cross-Metathesis: Completion

Despite these discouraging results, we decided to press forward with the synthetic strategy by exploring the cross-metathesis from different precursors. To this aim, we reasoned that the placement of a more bulky protected group on the hydroxyl group of the lactate fragment could reduce the dimerization process thus favoring the cross-

[^73]metathesis reaction. Therefore, we considered a second attempt with the TBDPS derivative $\mathbf{4 7 3}$, prepared in the same way as for $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ from the known epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 7 2} .{ }^{248}$ On the other hand, we decided to use the unprotected diepoxy olefin 474, as the other metathesis precursor, with the purpose of obtaining a product more closer to depudecin, and without the requirement of additional selective deprotection steps.

To our delight, the crucial cross-metathesis reaction between precursors 473 and 474, in the presence of HG-II (6) catalyst in refluxing dichloromethane, proceeded smoothly to afford the coveted cross-metathesis product 455 in a reasonable and reproducible $50 \%$ yield, exclusively as the $E$-isomer, with no detection of the corresponding dimer of $\mathbf{4 7 3}$ (Scheme 57). With compound 455 in hand, we proceeded to completion of the synthesis of (-)-depudecin. To this end, we initially attempted a straightforward synthesis of depudecin derivative 477 from triepoxy alcohol 455 through a titanocene-assisted epoxide reductive opening. ${ }^{257}$ In this event, triepoxy alcohol 455 was subjected to the combined action of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2} / \mathrm{Zn}$. Unfortunately, the result of this reaction was a complex mixture of decomposition products, with none of the desired protected depudecin 477 detected. Similarly discouraging was the attempt of preparing iodide $\mathbf{4 7 6}$ directly from $\mathbf{4 5 5}$ by treatment with iodine $/ \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in the presence of imidazole, which also resulted in a complex mixture of degradation products. Given these unsuccessful results in our attempt to shorten the number of steps for the completion of the synthesis of depudecin, we returned to our synthetic sequence employed in our first total synthesis. Fortunately, we were able to improve the yields of the subsequent transformations after optimization of the reaction conditions. Thus, the alcohol $\mathbf{4 5 5}$ was converted into the corresponding alcohol 477, through tosylation and subsequent displacement of the intermediate tosylate $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ with NaI, to obtain iodide 476, which was then subjected to treatment with BuLi and no further purification. As a result, the resulting alcohol 477 was obtained in a $90 \%$ overall yield over three steps from $\mathbf{4 5 5}$. The final deprotection step provided natural depudecin (442), similarly in an improved yield ( $90 \%$ versus $86 \%$ in our first total synthesis) (Scheme 57). The physical and spectroscopic properties of synthetic 442 were in accordance to those reported for the natural compound. ${ }^{15,23}$

In light of these encouraging results, we devised the possibility of a direct crossmetathesis reaction between olefin $\mathbf{4 7 3}$ and diolefin $\mathbf{4 7 8}$ that would furnish the direct precursor 477 in a single step (Scheme 58). It is important to consider that this metathesis reaction is prone to give a mixture of different products, due to the presence of two terminal olefins in 478. However, a possible coordination involving the allylic alcohol and the catalyst could arrest the catalytic cycle at this terminal olefin to favor the metathesis in the desired direction. ${ }^{258}$ To this end, we proceeded with the synthesis of compound 478, whose synthesis was more difficult than initially expected, despite its

[^74]small size. Initially, we attempted the direct reductive opening of diepoxy alcohol 474, again by the action of a titanocene reducing agent. As in the case for compound 455, the reaction was completely unsuccessful.


Scheme 58. A Direct Cross-Metathesis Approach to (-)-Depudecin: Synthesis of Precursor 478

Thus, we proceeded with the preparation of iodide $\mathbf{4 7 9}$ by direct iodination of $\mathbf{4 7 4}$ to obtain 479 albeit in a poor yield ( $25 \%$ ), due to the formation of the diiodide 480 in a $47 \%$ yield, as the main product. Despite this disappointing yield, iodide $\mathbf{4 7 9}$ was subjected to the action of BuLi to provide the targeted diolefin 478, in almost quantitative yield. Given the poor yield of the iodination step, we attempted the synthesis of this compound via a tosylate derivative. However, the result was similarly disappointing due to competing ring opening reactions of the oxirane with the halides present in the reaction mixture, during both the tosylation, as well as during the nucleophilic iodination step. The
low yielding conversion of diepoxy alcohol 474 to the target 478, through iodide 479, prompted us to investigate various other synthetic alternatives. Thus, in a second attempt, we prepared epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 8 2}^{259}$ from $\mathbf{4 6 5}$, in order to construct the hydroxy allylic system via a vinyl magnesium bromide addition to the resulting aldehyde. However, after oxidation of 482, the reaction with the Grignad reagent failed to deliver the desired product (Scheme 58, part A).

In a third alternative route, we chose to test the approach involving early stage installation of the allylic alcohol system, in order to construct at a later time, the terminal olefin adjacent to the epoxide. Thus, starting from $\mathbf{4 6 9}$, which was readily obtained from diepoxy amide $\mathbf{4 6 6}$, compound 484 was efficiently synthesized and then elaborated for the introduction of the other terminal olefin. In particular, after protection of 484 as a TBDPS ether, the selective deprotection of the primary alcohol of the resulting bis-silyl ether $\mathbf{4 8 5}$ afforded, unfortunately, compound $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ as a result of the opening of the resulting epoxy alcohol by another epoxy alcohol molecule. Finally, in a fourth attempt, from iodide $\mathbf{4 8 3}$, we decided to prepare olefin $\mathbf{4 7 9}$ prior to the reductive opening process. Thus, desilylation of iodide 483 was followed by an oxidation step of the resulting alcohol 487, followed by a Wittig reaction, to afford 479 in a modest $47 \%$ overall yield. From iodide 479, the synthesis of $\mathbf{4 7 8}$ proceeded in a similar manner as described above (Scheme 58, part B). With the diolefin 478 in hand, the cross-metathesis reaction was attempted under the same conditions as previously described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{4 5 5}$. To our dismay, the reaction was completely unsuccessful, with the formation of a complex mixture of compounds and no detection of any cross-metathesis product, such as the desired 477. In a modified attempt to obtain additional direct precursor of depudecin, we tried the crossmetathesis of olefins 473 and 479. Unfortunately, the result was similarly disappointing with no detection of the desired product 476 (Scheme 59).


Scheme 59. Attempts of the Direct Cross-Metathesis Approach

[^75]
### 3.4. Synthesis of Stereoisomeric Analogues of (-)-Depudecin and Homodepudecin

Due to the previous unsatisfactory results, the direct approach to depudecin was abandoned in favor of the route described in Scheme 57. Having secured a crossmetathesis strategy for this natural product, we decided to pursue depudecin analogues utilizing this methodology. Since the oxirane rings appears to be essential and required for the biological activity of depudecin, we decided to retain these functional groups within the designed analogues, considering therefore depudecin stereoisomers as the most interesting and promising compounds from a biological standpoint. In this sense, we considered as prime candidates the 10-epi analogue of depudecin, compound 498, and the enantiomer, (+)-depudecin (ent-442), which would be obtained from the readily available $(-)$-ethyl L-lactate (488) as a common starting material


Scheme 60. Total Synthesis of 10-epi-depudecin (498)

In the case of the 10-epi analogue of depudecin, the synthesis of the precursor 493 was carried out from (-)-ethyl L-lactate (488) in an efficient and stereoselective manners ( $54 \%$ yield over 7 steps) via a tandem Wittig-Martin ${ }^{260} / \mathrm{SAE}$, according to the sequence depicted in Scheme 60. ${ }^{261}$ Then, following on our previously developed strategy to

[^76]depudecin, we subjected 493 to a cross-metathesis reaction with 474 to afford product 494 in a reasonable $55 \%$ yield. From 494, the completion of depudecin analogue 498 followed the same synthetic sequence as for (-)-depudecin (442) through compounds 495497 and in similar yields (Scheme 60).

Finally, for the synthesis of the enantiomer of depudecin, the compounds ent-473 and ent-474 were prepared without any difficulty via Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation for ent-473, from the corresponding allylic alcohol 491, through epoxy alcohol ent-472, ${ }^{262}$ and via chiral sulfonium salt ent-457 for ent-474. Both olefinic precursors were then joined via a cross-metathesis reaction to afford ent-455 in a $52 \%$ yield, which was taken on to (+)-depudecin (ent-442) as described above for the natural product (Scheme 61).


h)
TBAF ent-477: R = TBDPS

e) $\mathrm{TsCl} \square$ ent-455: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH}$ f) $\mathrm{KI} \rightarrow$ ent-476: $\mathrm{X}=1$

Scheme 61. Synthesis of (+)-depudecin (ent-442)
In addition, we extended this methodology to the synthesis of homodepudecin 506, a new structural analogue of (-)-depudecin (Scheme 62). Its synthesis started from epoxy alcohol 500, previously obtained from (S)-Roche ester (499) in 5 steps, ${ }^{249 \mathrm{c}}$ which was transformed into epoxy alkene $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ in $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ over 2 steps. The olefinic precursor $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ was subjected to a cross-metathesis reaction with diepoxy alkene $\mathbf{4 7 4}$ to afford $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ in $50 \%$ yield. The completion of the synthesis of homodepudecin $\mathbf{5 0 6}$ from $\mathbf{5 0 2}$ was achieved through the same synthetic sequence described above for (-)-depudecin (442).

[^77]

Scheme 62. Synthesis of Homodepudecin (506)

### 3.5. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl and Truncated Analogues of Depudecin

Once we achieved the total syntheses of (-)-depudecin and its stereoisomeric analogues, (+)-depudecin and the epimer at C10 position, as well as homodepudecin, we focused on the extension of the previous synthetic strategy, based on the olefin crossmetathesis reaction, for the generation of cyclopropyl depudecin analogues. The substitution of the oxirane rings by cyclopropyl rings could allow us to prove if the epoxides are essential upon the biological activity in an analogue that keeps the same spatial conformation that the natural product. In addition, we will be able to complete a structure-activity relationship (SAR) study in order to identify new leads based on depudecin. ${ }^{263}$ With this objective in mind, we envisioned the synthesis of the cyclopropyl depudecin analogues $\mathbf{5 0 7 - 5 0 9}$ as shown in Scheme 63, part A, via an olefin crossmetathesis reaction of precursors $473 / 510$ and $474 / 511$. The installation of the cyclopropyl rings was planned through a Charette enantioselective cyclopropanation ${ }^{264}$ mediated by the chiral dioxaborolane 512. Thus, we started with the preparation of the required cross-metathesis precursors. For the consecution of compound 511, allylic alcohol 513 was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and borolane 512 (Charette's reaction conditions) to obtain 514 in high yield ( $89 \%$ ). Subsequent Parikh-Doering oxidation of the alcohol 514, followed by a Wittig reaction and TBS deprotection provided alkene $\mathbf{5 1 6}$ in moderate yields. Thus, alcohol $\mathbf{5 1 6}$ was transformed into the corresponding aldehyde, which was subjected to a subsequent Wittig reaction to yield $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde 517 in a disappointing $1 \%$ yield over two steps. This unforeseen difficulty found working

[^78]with these substrates was probably due to their highly volatile character that could explain the low yield obtained in the synthetic sequence (Scheme 63, part B).


Scheme 63. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Cyclopropyl Depudecin Analogues (A) and Attempts towards the Synthesis of Precursor 511 (B)

With the aim to obtain the cross-metathesis precursor $\mathbf{5 1 1}$ in an efficient manner, we decided to avoid the preparation of the problematic aldehyde 517. With this purpose, we started the synthesis from the known $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated alcohol $\mathbf{5 1 8},{ }^{265}$ which was subjected to a Charette cyclopropanation to yield cyclopropyl derivative 519 in an excellent $98 \%$ yield. Then, a two-steps sequence, involving an oxidation and a Wittig reaction, provided 520, which was treated with TBAF to obtain allylic alcohol 521. To our delight, a final Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of $\mathbf{5 2 1}$ provided metathesis precursor 511 in a $71 \%$ yield. In parallel to these synthetic works, we carried out the preparation of the required second metathesis precursor, compound 510, from allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ via a Charette cyclopropanation under the same reactions conditions showed above, followed by the introduction of the alkene moiety through an oxidation/Wittig reaction sequence without difficulty (Scheme 64, part A). For the assembly of cyclopropyl olefin units $\mathbf{5 1 1}$ and 510, a cross-metathesis of alkenes under the influence of the HG-II (6) catalyst in refluxing dichloromethane was attempted. However, despite the use of the same reactions conditions employed in the synthesis of (-)-depudecin and related analogues showed above, in this case the reaction met with failure, without detection of the expected metathesis product $\mathbf{5 2 5}$, instead starting material together with degradation products were obtained. Additional attempts that included more forcing conditions (toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and other catalysts (Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ generations, HoveydaGrubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation) were thwarted, with similar results than before. These disappointing results pointed out that the cyclopropyl rings may play a key role in the

[^79]outcome of this reaction. In a last attempt, we carried out the cross-metathesis reaction of compounds 511 and $\mathbf{4 7 3}$ under the same reaction conditions for related cases. Unfortunately, the result of this reaction was similar as the previous case, with no detection of the desired compound 524 (Scheme 64, part B).


B)


Scheme 64. Towards the Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Depudecin Analogues via Cross-Metathesis Reaction: Synthesis of Precursors 510 and 511 (A) and Attempts of the Cross-Metathesis Reaction (B)

Due to the failure of the cross-metathesis reaction, we decided to use a linear strategy in order to access these cyclopropyl analogues. This new synthetic plan was based on the retrosynthetic analysis depicted in Scheme 65, Part A. Thus, the key precursors 524-526 could be achieved via Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (SAE) from the allylic alcohols 527-529. In turn, 527-529 could be obtained through a SAE or Charette cyclopropanation from $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated alcohol $\mathbf{5 3 0}$ or $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ followed by a subsequent homologation reaction. With this synthetic plan in mind, we started with the synthesis of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{5 3 3}$ from epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 7 3}$ through a two-steps sequence involving a Parikh-Doering oxidation followed by a Wittig reaction yielding 533 in $47 \%$ over two steps. The next seemingly simple reduction of this ester however proved more problematic than expected. For example, treatment of $\mathbf{5 3 3}$ with DIBAL-H provided a complex mixture of degradation products, with no detection of the expected alcohol 530. Others attempts of reduction, for example the use of 1.0 equiv of DIBAL-H to obtain the corresponding aldehyde $\mathbf{5 3 4}$ met in failure with similar results as the previous case. We surmised that the reason of the failure of this reduction was due to the
epoxide opening as a side reaction. ${ }^{266}$ This hypothesis was confirmed when we carried out the reduction of the $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{5 3 5}$, under the same reaction conditions than for 533, yielding in this case the desired alcohol $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ in $77 \%$ yield. Unfortunately, on this occasion, the subsequent Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (SAE) of $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ did not yield the expected epoxy alcohol 536, instead a complex mixture of degradation products were obtained. Several attempts of this reaction confirmed the difficulties of such SAE (Scheme 65, Part B).
A)

B)




Scheme 65. Towards the Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Depudecin Analogues via Linear Strategy: Retrosynthetic Analysis (A) and Attemps of Synthesis of $\mathbf{5 3 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 3 1}$ (B)

[^80]The failures of the cross-metathesis reaction in the convergent strategy as well as the reduction and SAE reactions in the linear strategy towards the cyclopropyl depudecin analogues forced us to abandon the preparation of these analogues in favour of truncated depudecin analogues. The design of these new analogues was based on structural modifications which would allow us to complete a preliminary structure-activity relationship (SAR) study for depudecin. With this aim, we decided to prepare truncated analogues which could retain or modify the hydroxyl groups, the oxirane rings and/or the olefins contained in the natural depudecin in order to test the effect of these functional groups upon the biological activity. Futhermore, the stereochemistry of the designed analogues could be modified or retained. The proposed truncated depudecin analogues 537-544 are shown in Figure 8.








Figure 8. Proposed Truncated Depudecin Analogues
With this purpose, for the synthesis of analogue 537 we started from $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ obtained from allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ in two steps, which was transformed into $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated alcohol 546 by use of DIBAL-H in a $90 \%$ yield. However, the next Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation (SAE) of $\mathbf{5 4 6}$ did not provided the corresponding epoxy alcohol 547. The result of this SAE was similarly than for previously shown allylic alcohol 531, instead a complex mixture of degradation products were obtained. In order to avoid this problematic SAE, we decided to carry out the epoxidation reaction by use of $m$-CPBA, obtaining epoxy alcohol 548 in a $88 \%$ yield as a $1: 1$ inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers. Then, $\mathbf{5 4 8}$ was transformed into $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated aldehyde $\mathbf{5 4 9}$ in a $63 \%$ yield over two steps and was followed by DIBAL-H reduction of $\mathbf{5 4 9}$ to provide allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 5 0}$ in a $68 \%$ yield. In this case, the SAE provided diepoxy alcohol 551 ( $\sim 72 \%$, impurified with (+)-DET after column chromatography) which was undertaken under a similar three-steps sequence as in
previous cases (tosyl protection/iodation/reductive elimination) to obtain allylic alcohol 554 in a $85 \%$ overall yield. To complete the synthesis, a final TBDPS deprotection step by treatment of $\mathbf{5 5 4}$ with TBAF afforded depudecin analogue $\mathbf{5 5 5}$ as a inseparable mixture of isomers (ratio 1:1). Despite this stereochemical outcome, this mixture of isomers could be of interest for the planned SAR study (Scheme 66).


Scheme 66. Towards the Synthesis of Truncated Depudecin Analogues: Synthesis of Analogue $5 \mathbf{5 5}$

The synthetic efforts towards analogue $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ started from epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 7 2}$ which was transformed into diepoxy alcohol $\mathbf{5 5 8}$ in four steps and good yields, as shown in steps a)-d) in Scheme 67. Then, diepoxy alcohol 558 was oxidized to the corresponding aldehyde and was followed by treatment with excess of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCHO}$ to afford the $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated aldehyde 559 in a modest $31 \%$ yield over two steps. Once again, as in the previous case for the reduction of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{5 3 3}$, the next seemingly simple reduction of aldehyde 559 was found to be very problematic. Thus, a first attempt of reduction of $\mathbf{5 5 9}$ with DIBAL-H afforded a complex mixture of degradation products, with no detection of alcohol $\mathbf{5 6 0}$. We surmised that the reason of the failure of this reduction was due to the competition of the 1,2- and 1,4-hydride additions. Others attempts of reduction, for example the selective 1,2-reduction under Luche's conditions ${ }^{267}$ or even the selective reduction by the action of $9-\mathrm{BBN}^{268}$ met in failure with similar results as the previous case. Indeed, the same problem was found in the the preparation of depudecin analogue $\mathbf{5 4 3}$ during the reduction of the $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated aldehyde 561

[^81]in order to obtain alcohol 562 (Scheme 67). Similarly disappointing were the synthetic attempts towards analogues 538, 3-epi-538, 542, $\mathbf{5 4 4}$ and 3-epi-544, for which the last TBDPS deprotection step did not afford the expected products, instead a complex mixture of unidentified degradation products were obtained in all cases (Scheme 68).


Scheme 67. Towards the Synthesis of Truncated Depudecin Analogues: Attempts towards the Synthesis of Analogues 539 and 543

In light of these discouraging results, we focused our efforts in the synthesis of the last two remaining proposed truncated depudecin analogues, compounds 540 and 541 (Scheme 69). For this purpose, we decided to take advantage of the chemistry of sulfonium salts in order to avoid the problems that appeared in the reduction reactions of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated esters or aldehydes as well as in the SAE of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated alcohols, that proved more problematic than expected. Thus, we started from epoxy amide $564{ }^{269}$ which was subjected to a two-steps sequence, involving a Red-Al reduction followed by a Wittig-Martin reaction, to yield $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 570 in $28 \%$ yield over two steps. Subsequent reduction of $\mathbf{5 7 0}$ by treatment with DIBAL-H afforded allylic alcohol 571, albeit in a poor yield (22\%). To complete the synthesis, TBDPS group in

[^82]$\mathbf{5 7 1}$ was removed by use of TBAF to obtain depudecin analogue $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ in a $40 \%$ yield (Scheme 69).



Scheme 68. Towards the Synthesis of Truncated Depudecin Analogues: Attempts towards the Synthesis of Analogues 538, 3-epi-538, 542, 544 and 3-epi-544

For the consecution of depudecin analogue 541, we started from allylic alcohol ent-522, which was subjected to a Swern oxidation to obtain the corresponding aldehyde. The crude obtained aldehyde was then reacted with the sulfonium salt ent-457 to obtain epoxy amide $\mathbf{5 7 2}$ in $57 \%$ over two steps. Epoxy amide $\mathbf{5 7 2}$ was treated with Red-Al and the resulting epoxy aldehyde reacted with sulfonium salt ent-457 to obtain diepoxy amide 573 in a $38 \%$ over two steps. Then, treatment of diepoxy amide 573 with Red-Al followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ afforded the corresponding diepoxy alcohol which was then tosylated to obtain 574 in $27 \%$ over three steps from 573. Subsequent iodide displacement of the tosyl group in $\mathbf{5 7 4}$ was followed by a reductive epoxide opening process by the action of BuLi to provide $\mathbf{5 7 6}$ in $90 \%$ yield. Finally, a deprotection step of the TBDPS group in $\mathbf{5 7 6}$ afforded depudecin analogue 541 in 20\% yield (Scheme 69).


Scheme 69. Towards the Synthesis of Truncated Depudecin Analogues: Synthesis of Analogues 540 and 541

### 3.6. Biological Evaluation of (-)-Depudecin and Analogues

At this stage of the work, where we were able to complete the synthesis of natural depudecin as well as various analogues, we decided to stop the synthetic work and explore the biological activities of the synthesized compounds. In collaboration with the group of Prof. Ana R. Quesada from the Molecular Biology and Biochemistry Department of Málaga University, we carried out preliminary biological evaluations based on the measure of the antitumoral properties of the selected compounds against a panel of various tumor cell lines. The selected compounds for this study were the $(-)$-depudecin (442), its enantiomer, (+)-depudecin (ent-442), the 10 -epi-depudecin (498) and the truncated analogues 540, 541 and 555 (Figure 9). In a preliminary evaluation, we examined the cytotoxicity activity of such compounds using four different cancer cell lines (HL-60, MDA-MB-231, HT-1080 and U87MG), as well as a primary culture of non transformed bovine aorta endothelial (BAEC) cells to test antiangiogenic effect ${ }^{270}$ (Table 1).

[^83]
(-)-Depudecin (442)

(+)-Depudecin (ent-442)


10-epi-Depudecin (498)
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Figure 9. Synthesized (-)-Depudecin and Analogues Submitted to Biological Evaluation

Table 1. In vitro Antitumor Activities of Depudecin and Analogues against Various Tumor Cell Lines and BAEC ( $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$

| Compound | BAEC $^{\text {b }}$ | HL60 $^{\text {c }}$ | MDA-MB-231 $^{\text {d }}$ | HT-1080 $^{\text {e }}$ | U87MG $^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (-)-depudecin (442) | $24,1 \pm 3,5$ | $28,7 \pm 6,4$ | $45 \pm 11,8$ | $44,9 \pm 3,9$ | $85,6 \pm 0.6$ |
| (+)-depudecin (ent-442) | $>100$ | $78,6 \pm 6,7$ | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |
| 10-epi-depudecin (498) | $37,5 \pm 7,5$ | $31,1 \pm 1,7$ | $53,8 \pm 6,3$ | $43,3 \pm 3,1$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ | $52,1 \pm 5,0$ | $46,8 \pm 6,2$ | $61,1 \pm 3,2$ | $50,5 \pm 0,7$ | $84,6 \pm 6,9$ |
| $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ | $38,0 \pm 7,5$ | $34,3 \pm 9,6$ | $55,6 \pm 1,4$ | $42,63 \pm 2,9$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5 5}$ | $>100$ | $39,8 \pm 6,7$ | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>100$ |

[a] In vitro cytotoxicities were determined according to the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide dye reduction assay. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained from semilogarithmic dose-response plots as the concentration of compound yielding a $50 \%$ of cell survival. [b] BAEC: Non transformed bovine aortic endothelial cells. [c] HL60: Human promyelocytic leukemia. [d] MDA-MB-231: Human breast adenocarcinoma. [e] HT1080: Human fibrosarcoma. [f] U87MG: Gioblastoma.

The results of these biological evaluations clearly revealed that (-)-depudecin (442) displayed the best biological values for the series in the moderate $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range against all the tumoral cell lines. In addition, (-)-depudecin (442) was also cytotoxic against the endothelial cells line (BAEC), which may indicate a putative antiangiogenic effect of this compound. ${ }^{270}$ The analogues 10 -epi-depudecin (498), $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ showed a close $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value compared with (-)-depudecin. In contrast, the enantiomer of depudecin (ent-442) and analogue $\mathbf{5 5 5}$ were practically devoid of antitumor activity, except for the HL-60 cell line in the case of $\mathbf{5 4 0}$, but moderately.

From these results it is important to highlight the importance of the stereochemistry upon the biological activity, as concluded when biological activities of $(+)$-depudecin (ent-442) and ( - )-depudecin (442) are compared. However, by considering the activities of other analogues, especially compounds $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 4 1}$, it is difficult to conclude that the stereochemistry is the only determinant for the observed biological activities due to the antitumor activities are not significantly different except for (+)depudecin and compound $\mathbf{5 5 5}$. We could conclude safely that the C-10 stereochemistry of (-)-depudecin is not important by comparing the activity with that of compound 498. On the other hand, the similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of analogue $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ compared with (-)-depudecin (442) in almost all the cancer cell lines tested, including BAEC, clearly shows that the simultaneous presence of the two epoxide groups is not essential upon the biological activity, due to the removal of a epoxide group in analogue $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ did not cause the loss of
the antitumoral activity. Finally, when (+)-depudecin (ent-442) is compared with analogue 540, we can concluded that the terminal allylic system is not key upon the biological activity since the isomer $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ showed best values of inhibition for all the cancer cell lines tested.

### 3.7. Summary

In conclusion, we have established a new synthesis of (-)-depudecin (442), which greatly improves upon our previous linear synthesis. Comparatively, whereas the first total synthesis, reported by Schreiber, required 23 linear steps from (-)-diethyl D-tartrate (451), with an overall yield of $0.7 \%$, our first linear synthesis from (+)-methyl D-lactate (462) was achieved in 17 steps in a 19\% overall yield (Scheme 70). As continuation of our previous work, we have developed a second generation synthetic route to depudecin utilizing an olefin cross-metathesis reaction as the key step, which was successfully achieved in a convergent manner to provide (-)-depudecin (442) in only 12 steps and $26 \%$ overall yield from 462. In addition, the synthetic route was amenable to stereochemical and functional modifications, allowing the preparation of two stereoisomers of (-)depudecin, the 10 -epi-depudecin (498) and its enantiomer (ent-442), as well as homodepudecin (506), which represent unique analogues that will further assist us to evaluate the influence of the stereochemistry and functional modifications upon biological activity.
A) Schreiber's synthesis

B) Our work

C) Synthesized Analogues of Depudecin



Scheme 70. Summary of the Syntheses of Depudecin and Analogues

Futhermore, we have complemented the set of analogues with the preparation of truncated analogues $\mathbf{5 4 0}, 541$ and $\mathbf{5 5 5}$. The synthesized products (-)-depudecin, (+)depudecin, 10 -epi-depudecin and the truncated analogues were biologically evaluated against several tumor cell lines, including endothelial cells and the results led us to complete a preliminary structure-activity relationship (SAR) study for this intriguing natural product concluding that: a) the $\mathrm{C}-10$ stereochemistry of the product is not essential upon the biological activity; b) the presence of at least one of the epoxide groups is key upon the biological activity, but it is not necessary the simultaneous presence of both epoxides; and c) the terminal allylic alcohol system is not essential, and it can be substitued by its isomer (Figure 10).


Figure 10. Preliminary Structure-Activity Relationship (SAR) study of Depudecin

## Chapter $4^{\dagger}$

## Solomonamide Campaign

[^84][^85]
### 4.1. Isolation, Structure and Biology of the Solomonamides

The discovery of new natural products offers significant opportunities for the identification and development of new leads and scaffolds in medicinal chemistry, as well as a great deal of fascination for organic chemists by virtue of their structural diversity and challenging molecular frameworks that many of them exhibit. ${ }^{271}$ In particular, the marine world represents a uniquely rich source of new bioactive metabolites with unprecedented structures, fascinating biological profiles and valuable therapeutic potential ${ }^{272}$. The increasing interest for compounds of marine origin in biomedical and pharmaceutical research derives from the potential clinical applications exhibited by them, according to their antitumoral, antiinflammatory, antiangiogenic and/or antimicrobial properties reported. ${ }^{273}$

Marine organisms and more specific sponges, which are considered the largest remaining source of undiscovered natural products, produce a variety of unique chemical classes with wide biological profiles due to the conditions that differ significantly from terrestrial environments, which include aggressive, exigent and competitive surroundings that lead to the production of potent active molecules. ${ }^{274}$ Among the myriad of sources for marine natural products, particularly prolific is the marine sponge Theonella swinhoei, which has proven to be an impressive source of secondary metabolites, ${ }^{275}$ providing at least nine different classes of natural products. In addition to the very well-known and important polyketide swinholide, discovered 30 years ago, ${ }^{276}$ the Theonella genus is notable for providing a wide range of bioactive peptidic-type natural products, including acyclic peptides (polytheonamides and koshikamides), cyclic peptides (kombamide, orbiculamide, barangamide, cupolamide, perthamides, etc..), large-ring bicyclic peptides such as the theonellamides, depsipeptides (koshikamides, papuamides, nagahamide or theopapuamide) and glycopeptides. ${ }^{277}$ As further proof of the value of the genus Theonella as an outstanding and bountiful source of new peptides, Zampella et al. recently isolated two new cyclopeptides termed the solomonamides A (577) and B (578), from a Solomon islands collection. These cyclopeptides possessed unique molecular structures and potent in vivo anti-inflammatory activities. ${ }^{278}$ An exhaustive spectroscopic analysis of both compounds facilitated the elucidation of their intricate cyclic structures, revealing

[^86]the presence of three conventional amino acids (D-Ala, Gly and L-Ser) and an unprecedented $\quad 4$-amino( $2^{\prime}$ '-amino-4'-hydrox yphenyl)-3,5-dihydroxy-2-methyl-6oxohexanoic acid (ADMOA) and the corresponding 5-deoxy derivative (AHMOA) for solomonamides A and B, respectively. The absolute configurations were tentatively established by a combination of spectroscopic and theoretical methods, which resulted in the proposal of the depicted absolute configurations as the most likely (Figure 11). Not surprisingly, these interesting and novel cyclopeptides were found to possess interesting biological properties. Solomonamide A (577) displayed potent anti-inflammatory activity in vivo, causing a significant $60 \%$ reduction of inflammation at a very low dose (100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{Kg})$. Unfortunately, the extreme scarcity of the solomonamides has precluded a thorough biological evaluation. In fact, the anti-inflammatory activity of solomonamide B (578) was not evaluated due to limited amounts. In this regard, the chemical synthesis of these intriguing natural products could represent the solution for this 'problem of supply, ${ }^{279}$


Figure 11. Initially Proposed Molecular Structures of Solomonamides A and B

### 4.2. Chemistry of the Solomonamides

The extreme scarcity of the isolated solomonamides from the natural sources is a drawback for further pharmacological assays. This difficulty to access large amounts of these compounds from their natural sources makes quite difficult to gain insight into their biological profiles and mechanism of action and justifies the chemical synthesis of these natural products. Thus, the chemical synthesis of these unexplored cyclopeptides could represent a solution to provide enough amounts of material to carry out extensive biological studies and confirm their initially proposed structures. As a consequence, by the time we initiated this research project, the solomonamides had been elicited interest with the publication of some synthetic approaches, ${ }^{280}$ including the synthesis of a deoxy analogue of solomonamide B. ${ }^{281}$ In parallel with the development of our research work, two new synthetic approaches were published, ${ }^{282}$ culminating with the total synthesis of

[^87]solomonamide $B(\mathbf{5 7 8}),{ }^{283}$ and later solomonamide $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{5 7 7}),{ }^{284}$ by the Reddy group. Very recently, the same group has reported the preparation of some stereoisomers of solomonamide macrocycles, by changing the stereochemical pattern of the non-peptide fragment AHMOA. ${ }^{285}$ More importantly, the total syntheses of solomonamides A and B led to the revision of the initially proposed structures for 577 and $\mathbf{5 7 8}$, with the correction of the configurations at C-3 and at C-4 positions to the ( $3 S, 4 S$ )-isomer (compound 580) instead of the proposed $(3 R, 4 R)$ for solomonamide $\mathrm{B}(\mathbf{5 7 8})$ and the correction of the configuration at $\mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4$ and $\mathrm{C}-5$ to the ( $3 S, 4 S, 5 S$ )-isomer (compound 579) instead of the proposed $(3 R, 4 R, 5 R)$ for solomonamide A (577) (Figure 12).


Initially Proposed Structures
Solomonamide A (577): $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH}$ Solomonamide B (578): X = H


Revised Structures
Solomonamide A (579): $X=\mathrm{OH}$
Solomonamide B(580): X = H

Figure 12. Revised Structures of Solomonamides A and B (Reddy et al. 2016 and 2018) ${ }^{283}, 284$
From the retrosynthetic point of view, the total syntheses of solomonamides accomplished by Reddy et al. were based on a key intramolecular Heck reaction to obtain the macrocyclic core of the solomonamides, represented by compound 581 in Scheme 71. Thus, the synthesis started from D-methionine aldehyde 583, previously prepared from D-methionine 582, which was transformed into acid 584 in 5 steps, involving a Brown's crotylation as the key reaction to generate the required syn-1,2-amino alcohol system. Then, acid $\mathbf{5 8 4}$ was coupled with the amine derived from peptide $\mathbf{5 8 5}$ to obtain iodo derivative $\mathbf{5 8 6}$ in a $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ yield over two steps, followed by the acetal deprotection to afford 587 in a modest $40 \%$ yield. The key intramolecular Heck reaction was then carried out by the action of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in presence of triethylamine in a high diluted acetonitrile solution at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain compound $\mathbf{5 8 1}$ in a $53 \%$ yield. From macrocyclic 581, the synthesis of $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ was achieved in five additional steps in a $29 \%$ overall yield, involving a Boc deprotection, a peptide coupling with serine derivative 588, a key regioselective Wacker oxidation to install the ketone group and a final removal of protecting groups by hydrogenolysis and TFA (Scheme 71).

[^88]

a) $\mathbf{5 8 5}, \mathrm{TFA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \quad \mathbf{5 8 4}$


Scheme 71. Total Synthesis of the Initially Proposed Structure of Solomonamide B (578) via Intramolecular Heck Reaction (Reddy et al. 2016) ${ }^{283}$

From the retrosynthetic point of view, the total syntheses of solomonamides accomplished by Reddy et al. were based on a key intramolecular Heck reaction to obtain the macrocyclic core of the solomonamides, represented by compound 581 in Scheme 71. Thus, the synthesis started from D-methionine aldehyde 583, previously prepared from D-methionine 582, which was transformed into acid $\mathbf{5 8 4}$ in 5 steps, involving a Brown's crotylation as the key reaction to generate the required syn-1,2-amino alcohol system. Then, acid 584 was coupled with the amine derived from peptide 585 to obtain iodo derivative $\mathbf{5 8 6}$ in a $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ yield over two steps, followed by the acetal deprotection to afford 587 in a modest $40 \%$ yield. The key intramolecular Heck reaction was then carried out by the action of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in presence of triethylamine in a high diluted acetonitrile solution at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain compound $\mathbf{5 8 1}$ in a $53 \%$ yield. From macrocyclic 581, the synthesis of $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ was achieved in five additional steps in a $29 \%$ overall yield, involving a Boc deprotection, a peptide coupling with serine derivative 588, a key regioselective Wacker oxidation to install the ketone group and a final removal of protecting groups by hydrogenolysis and TFA (Scheme 71). However, the spectroscopic data for synthetic solomonamide B did not match with those reported for the natural compound by Zampella
et al. ${ }^{278}$ Considering that the relative and absolute configurations of the three stereocenters contained in the polyketide fragment were established through computational methods, Reddy et al. planned to achieve the synthesis of the ( $3 S, 4 S$ )-isomer instead of the initially proposed ( $3 R, 4 R$ )-isomer. With this purpose, the authors started from the enantiomer of aldehyde ent-583 which was subjected to a Evans aldol reaction to construct the syn-1,2amino alcohol system which contains the ( $3 S, 4 S$ )-stereochemistry upon the ADMOA fragment. Then, the same synthetic sequence described above was implemented to complete the synthesis of targeted compound $\mathbf{5 8 0}$ in a $0.4 \%$ over 15 steps from aldehyde ent-583. In this case, the spectroscopic data of synthetic $\mathbf{5 8 0}$ matched with those reported for the natural solomonamide B , therefore confirming the revised structure of solomonamide B (Scheme 72).


Scheme 72. Total Synthesis of Revised Structure of Solomonamide B (580) via Intramolecular Heck Reaction (Reddy et al. 2016) ${ }^{283}$

As the structure of solomonamide B was derived from solomonamide A , the structure of solomonamide A also needed revision. Due to solomonamide A was biogenetically derived from solomonamide B, the three stereocenters ( $2 S, 3 S$ and $4 S$ ) present in solomonamide B should be contained in solomonamide A, thus leaving one stereocenter at the C5 position of the ADMOA fragment whose absolute stereochemistry needed to be fixed, initially established as $5 R$. With this aim, the authors carried out the synthesis of the $(3 S, 4 S, 5 S)$-isomer instead of the initially proposed $(3 R, 4 R, 5 R)$ configuration. Thus, macrocyclic compound 589, previously obtained through the synthesis of the revised solomonamide B was subjected to an Upjohn dihydroxylation to obtain triol $\mathbf{5 9 0}$ in a $74 \%$ yield as a single diastereoisomer. The reason for which this last reaction proceeded in a stereoselective manner could be explained by the rigid conformation of the macrocycle through intramolecular H-bonding. Subsequent selective bencylic oxidation by the use of Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) afforded the $\alpha$-hydroxy ketone 591 in a $67 \%$ yield. From this ketone 591, compound 579 was obtained in four additional steps, and its spectroscopic data were matching with those reported for the natural solomonamide A. With the aim to confirm the absolute C5-configuration generated during the Upjohn dihydroxylation, the authors employed a QM/NMR approach based on comparison of the experimental ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift data and the predicted values calculated at the density functional theory (DFT) level for all the possible theoretical diastereoisomers, concluding the assignment of the C5-configuration as 5 S (Scheme 73).


single diastereoisomer


579: Revided Structure of Solomonamide A


Scheme 73. Total Synthesis of the Revised Structure of the Solomonamide A (579) (Reddy et al. 2018) ${ }^{284}$

### 4.3. An Olefin Metathesis Approach towards the Solomonamides

Our ongoing interest in the discovery and development of new potential leads based on cyclopeptidic- and cyclodepsipeptidaic-type compounds, ${ }^{286,250 \mathrm{~b}}$ prompted us to initiate a research program directed toward the total synthesis of this novel and unexplored class of cyclopeptides. With the aim of establishing a flexible and divergent synthetic strategy capable of providing not only the natural products, but also provide an entry into a plethora of analogues for biological studies, we sought to explore the ringclosing metathesis (RCM) reaction as the key step for construction of the macrocycle. This cyclisation step would be followed by an oxidation phase, which would incorporate the functional groups needed to reach the final oxidation stage found in the natural products. From a strategic perspective, we considered that the construction of the macrocyclic core at the 4,5 -bond would be capable of providing rapid access not only to the final products, but also to analogues from late stage intermediates, allowing for the facile entry into numerous scaffolds. Furthermore, it is worthy to note that this synthetic strategy utilizes simple starting materials, avoiding the construction of the complex ADMOA residue, which can be constructed in the later stages of the synthesis through an epoxidation of the olefins 596-599, followed by an oxirane-ring opening process to introduce the amine group. Accordingly, as detailed in Scheme 74, our delineated strategy in retrosynthetic terms begins with the straightforward amide disconnection of the L-

[^89]serine residue, followed by the removal of the functional groups, which would be introduced by means of oxidative manipulations (oxidation phase) of the resulting metathesis products. In this way, the synthetic strategy would render the corresponding macrocyclic alkenes represented by the cis- $\Delta^{4,5}$ derivatives 596-599, which would possess or not various functionalities at the benzylic position. All these macrocyclic compounds, in turn, could be obtained from the corresponding acyclic precursors 600-603 via a ringclosing metathesis process (Scheme 74). In addition, during the execution of this synthetic work, Reddy et al. published the total synthesis of solomonamide B, ${ }^{283}$ based on an intramolecular Heck reaction as described above in Scheme 71, and led to the revision of the initially proposed structure for $\mathbf{5 7 8}$. As the present synthetic studies were initiated prior to the Reddy publication, we targeted the initially proposed structures for the solomonamides.


Scheme 74. Retrosynthetic Analysis for the Solomonamides

### 4.3.1. Ring-Closing Metathesis at the C4-C5 Bond

Encouraged by the appealing features of this synthetic strategy, as mentioned above, we initiated the synthetic route. Our initial forays toward the solomonamide structures were conducted to demonstrate the viability of the olefin metathesis approach, based on the disconnection of the 4,5-positions bond, using the model compounds $\mathbf{6 1 4}$ and 615 (Scheme 75). To this end, the readily accessible dipeptides $\mathbf{6 1 2}$ and $\mathbf{6 1 3}$ were
prepared from 2-bromo aniline $\mathbf{6 0 4}$ and the iodonitrobenzene derivative $\mathbf{6 0 5},{ }^{287}$ respectively, according to the synthetic sequence depicted in Scheme 75, entailing a Stille reaction for compound 606, and a sequential Stille reaction/reduction, for compound $\mathbf{6 0 7}$. Couplings of the resulting anilines $\mathbf{6 0 6}$ and $\mathbf{6 0 7}$ with dipeptide $\mathbf{6 0 8}^{283}$ furnished the corresponding dipeptides $\mathbf{6 0 9}$ and 610, which, after Boc deprotection, were coupled with commercial acid 611 to yield the targeted ring-closing metathesis precursors 612 and 613. Thus, $\mathbf{6 1 2}$ and $\mathbf{6 1 3}$ were treated with $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation (HGII) catalyst in refluxing dichloromethane in the presence of $p$-benzoquinone ${ }^{288}$ to obtain the expected macrocycles $\mathbf{6 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ in excellent 75 and $79 \%$ yields, respectively, as the sole products of the reaction. The newly formed double bonds ( $\Delta^{4,5}$ ) of $\mathbf{6 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ were determined in both cases to be exclusively in the $E$-configuration, as evidenced by a coupling constant $J$ of 15.9 Hz (Scheme 75).


Scheme 75. Towards the Total Synthesis of Solomonamides: RCM of Model Compounds $\mathbf{6 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{6 1 5}$

Despite this stereochemical outcome, and with the possibility in mind that the structural pattern of the acyclic precursor could influence the double bond geometry and switch in favour to the desired $Z$-isomer, ${ }^{289}$ we proceeded to extend this reaction to the desired system. To this aim, olefinic acid $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ was previously prepared from the described epoxy alcohol ent-500, ${ }^{249 \mathrm{c}}$ according to the methodology reported in the literature for

[^90]related compounds. ${ }^{290}$ Thus, after the transformation of ent-500 into the iodide 616, a reductive opening process was accomplished by treatment with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NaI}$ to obtain allylic alcohol 617. After treatment of $\mathbf{6 1 7}$ with TBAF, all attempts to directly oxidize the primary alcohol to the corresponding acid of the resulting diol $\mathbf{6 1 8}$ met with no success. These disappointing results forced us to manipulate the primary and secondary hydroxyl groups of 618, via selective protection and deprotection steps, to obtain alcohol 621 without difficulty. Olefinic alcohol $\mathbf{6 2 1}$ was then oxidized in two steps, Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) oxidation followed by final treatment of the aldehyde with sodium chlorite under Pinnick conditions to furnish acid $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ in good overall yield (Scheme 76).


Scheme 76. Synthesis of the Olefinic Acid 622

The assembly of compounds $\mathbf{6 1 0}$ and $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ was achieved in a similar manner as described before for $\mathbf{6 1 2}$, providing $\mathbf{6 0 1}$ in a $74 \%$ overall yield. Upon exposure of $\mathbf{6 0 1}$ to HG-II catalyst, the expected macrocycle 597 was not obtained, instead recovering starting material, together with a significant degree of decomposition. Attributing steric factors to this failed cyclisation, the silyl protecting group was removed by treatment of $\mathbf{6 0 1}$ with $\mathrm{HF} \bullet$ pyr to give allylic alcohol $\mathbf{6 0 0}$. Various reports in the literature, describing metathesis reactions involving allylic alcohols, indicate that these structural systems may favour the closing process, ${ }^{291}$ although other studies point out that these systems result in detrimental effects for the metathesis reaction. ${ }^{292}$ Nonetheless, when allylic alcohol $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ was treated with the HG-II catalyst in dichloromethane at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $p$-benzoquinone, the $E$-olefin 623 was obtained exclusively in a gratifying $71 \%$ yield, with no formation of the required $Z$-isomer 596, as revealed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture (Scheme 77).

[^91]

Scheme 77. Towards the Total Synthesis of Solomonamides: Synthesis of Macrocycle 623

In parallel to these preliminary works, we accomplished related synthetic studies extended to more functionalised precursors that would provide for a shortened path towards the completion of the synthesis of these natural substances. In this direction, we pursued the preparation of the macrocycles 598 and 599 (See Scheme 74) as potential advanced precursors. In order to rapidly inspect the validity of this approach, we initially worked with a model system represented by the deoxy aromatic derivatives. Thus, the readily accessible allylic alcohol $624{ }^{293}$ was transformed into the aniline $\mathbf{6 2 5}$ by treatment with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. Following the delineated synthesis for previous compounds, $\mathbf{6 2 5}$ was coupled with dipeptide $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ to yield the coupled product $\mathbf{6 2 6}$ as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers. The assembly of $\mathbf{6 2 6}$ with acid $\mathbf{6 1 1}$ was preceded by Boc deprotection under conventional acidic conditions, followed by amide coupling assisted by HATU. Disappointingly, the expected coupling product 627 was not detected, instead the derivative $\mathbf{6 2 8}$ was obtained as a result of a cationic rearrangement of the labile allylic alcohol 626, which probably occurred during the Boc deprotection step under the acidic conditions used (Scheme 78). Different attempts for removal the Boc group under mild acidic (TMSCl, TMSOTf, $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}, \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ ), neutral ( $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{TBAF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at reflux) or basic conditions $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)^{294}$ resulted similarly unfruitful with the formation of rearranged byproducts, recovery of starting material or degradation, respectively. As a consequence of these results, we considered a direct coupling between aniline $\mathbf{6 2 5}$ and the peptidic fragment 631, which was efficiently prepared by utilising solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) as described in Scheme 78. Thus, the coupling of aniline $\mathbf{6 2 5}$ and acid $\mathbf{6 3 1}$ provided the desired diolefinic precursor $\mathbf{6 2 7}$ in a $77 \%$ yield. Finally, the ring-closing metathesis of $\mathbf{6 2 7}$ under the same conditions as in previous cases,

[^92]afforded the macrocyclic derivative 633 in a $73 \%$ yield as the $E$-isomer, supported by the $J_{4,5}$ coupling constant ( 14.6 Hz ), and as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers (Scheme 78). Interestingly, the protected precursor 632, prepared by silylation of $\mathbf{6 2 7}$ with TBSOTf, did not provide the corresponding macrocylic derivative when it was treated with the HGII catalyst under the same conditions used for 627, indicating that steric factors may be responsible for the failed ring closure.



Scheme 78. Towards the Total Synthesis of the Solomonamides via Diolefin 627

The extension of this synthetic scheme to the aromatic system contained in the natural products was initiated with the aldehyde $\mathbf{6 3 5}$ prepared from commercially available 634. ${ }^{295}$ Subsequent treatment of aldehyde $\mathbf{6 3 5}$ with magnesium vinylbromide provided allylic alcohol 636, which required the reduction of the nitro group to the corresponding amine to give 637. This seemingly simple operation however proved more problematic than expected. For example, treatment of $\mathbf{6 3 6}$ with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ did not provide

[^93]the expected aniline 637, instead a complex mixture of unidentifiable degradation products were obtained. We surmised that the benzyloxy group installed in the aromatic ring was playing a crucial role in the reactivity of the benzylic alcohol, making it especially sensitive even under the weak acidic conditions of the reduction reaction. To circumvent this rather disappointing outcome, we decided to protect the hydroxyl group as the silyl ether 638. However, the Zn -mediated reduction of the nitro group did not provide the expected aniline $\mathbf{6 3 9}$, instead the result again was the formation of a complex mixture of degradation products.

Other reduction methods, including $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ or Ni-Raney, were attempted but they also were unsucessful. Finally, the more robust $\mathrm{BOM}\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right)$ protecting group proved to be the solution for this problematic reduction, as the BOM derivative $\mathbf{6 4 0}$ provided the expected aniline $\mathbf{6 4 1}$ when treated with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ in a reasonable and reproducible $79 \%$ yield. The linkage of aniline $\mathbf{6 4 1}$ and dipeptide $\mathbf{6 3 1}$ was then performed as described above for 627 to obtain compound $\mathbf{6 4 2}$, which was subjected to the ringclosing metathesis to provide macrocycle $\mathbf{6 4 3}$ in an excellent $84 \%$ yield as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers and the $E$-olefin as the only detectable double bond isomer. It is intriguing that this ring-closing metathesis process proceeded in such good yield, despite the presence of a protecting group at the hydroxyl group of the diolefinic precursor (Scheme 79).


Scheme 79. Towards the Total Synthesis of the Solomonamides via Diolefin 642

The implementation of this synthetic scheme onto the more functionalised system found in the natural products was then confronted by the assembly of acid 644, prepared by SPPS (See Experimental Part in Chapter 7) and $\mathbf{6 4 1}$ under conventional conditions employed in this work (HATU/DIPEA). However, no desired product 645 was obtained despite attempts with an array of coupling reagents such as BOP, PyBOP, DIC/HOBt or DIC/HOAt. Reasoning that steric hindrances around the acid $\mathbf{6 4 4}$ could explain this failure, we attempted the synthesis of the advanced precursor $\mathbf{6 4 5}$ by sequential couplings
of aniline 641 with the amino acid derivatives 629 and 630 and the less sterically encumbered acid 622. Unfortunately, the last coupling with acid $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ did not provide the expected product $\mathbf{6 4 5}$, instead a complex mixture of HATU derivatives was obtained from the starting acid. Intrigued by these failed reactions, we turned our attention to the simple aniline 625, in which the hydroxyl group at C6 position was free, in order to determine the reasons of the serious hurdles found during this synthetic course. To this end, aniline 625 and acid 644 were coupled by treatment with HATU/DIPEA and the corresponding coupling product $\mathbf{6 4 6}$ was obtained, albeit in a low $36 \%$ yield, with the unexpected cleavage of the silyl protecting group. Several attempts of this reaction revealed its lack of reproducibility, confirming the difficulties of such a coupling. Although obtained in low yield, compound 646 was in hand and we were in position to investigate the ringclosing metathesis reaction for this more complex system. The reaction, undertaken under similar conditions as in previous cases, did not give the expected macrocycle 647, thus demonstrating the unsuitability of these substrates in the construction of the macrocylic core of the solomonamides via RCM. The reason for failure is most likely due to the sensitivity of the reaction to steric factors arising from the presence of substituents at both allylic and benzylic positions (Scheme 80).


Scheme 80. Exploration of the RCM in More Complex Systems

In yet another attempt to access the macrocyclic solomonamide precursors functionalised at the benzylic position, we considered the incorporation of a ketone at this position, since this is the functional group present in the natural products. In addition, the generation of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone system, after the macrocyclisation process, would allow for the rapid and facile access to an epoxide via oxidation of the double bond. Notably, we were skeptical about the success of the metathesis reaction in such a system, represented by compound 648, given that the olefin is unactivated as it is conjugated ( $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated phenylketone). Despite this concern, we opted to experimentally verify the chemical reactivity of $\mathbf{6 4 8}$ under the action of the Hoveyda-Grubbs and related catalysts. To this aim, we prepared ketone 648 by oxidation of the alcohol 627 with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ and then, subjected to the catalytic action of the Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation, keeping in mind the difficulty of this reaction due to the inactivated nature of the double bond of the $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketone system. Indeed, this reaction did not provide any desired product, even when the reaction was forced using drastic conditions (ex. toluene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), instead providing recovered starting material in all cases. In another attempt to obtain cyclic ketone 649 , cyclic alcohol 633 was treated with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ but the result was similarly unsuccessful, with only starting material recovered and no detection of the coveted ketone 649 (Scheme 81).


Scheme 81. Exploration of the RCM of the Diolefinic Ketone 648

Although a $Z$-geometry for the $\Delta^{4,5}$ double bond was proposed to provide access to the syn-1,2-difunctionalized system present at these positions in the natural products, the fact that we obtained instead the $E$-olefinic macrocycles in all cases represented an additional difficulty in this study to obtain the final targets. Nonetheless, this synthetic strategy was not discarded at this point, as synthetic methods are available to provide the required syn isomer from a trans double bond. ${ }^{296}$ Consequently, we decided to continue

[^94]with the present synthetic approach and the next step was to evaluate the feasibility of such compounds to provide more oxidized derivatives through an oxidation phase that would give access to the final products. In this sense, we studied the oxidation of compounds 615, 623 and 643 as representative macrocyclic precursors of the solomonamides. Thus, when $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ or $\mathbf{6 2 3}$ were subjected to the oxidative action of $m$ CPBA, we found, to our dismay, that these reactions did not yield the expected epoxides 650 or 651. Instead, starting materials were recovered in both cases. In light of these discouraging results, we attempted the epoxidation utilizing the dioxirane derived from trifluoromethylketone, ${ }^{297}$ however the result was similarly frustrating, with no formation of any desired oxidation products. Other oxidative reactions were screened, such as a dihydroxylation reaction mediated by $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, and electrophilic additions, mediated by the actions of bromine or NBS, but these did not provide favorable results, not detecting formation of any of the possible oxidation products. The poor solubility observed for these cyclic compounds in common organic solvents could explain the lack of reactivity found for them towards the oxidative reagents. However, the more soluble derivative $\mathbf{6 4 3}$ also proved to be unreactive when it was subjected to the epoxidation reagents ( $m$-CPBA and dioxirane species), resulting in the recovery of starting material and no detection of epoxide 652 (Scheme 82).


Scheme 82. Attempts of Oxidation of the RCM Products

Theoretical studies were carried out in order to justify the lack of reactivity exerted by these macrocyclic compounds. Thus, initial results from DFT calculations carried out in solution showed that the HOMO orbital of the molecules is centered around the intracyclic phenyl ring with a low electronic density around the alkene moiety. ${ }^{298}$ This result suggests that the main reactive point for the oxidant should not be the alkene, as it could be expected, but the aromatic ring. At this stage, no further work was required to recognize that oxidation of the previous solomonamide precursors was extremely hard to accomplish. Therefore, a new approach was considered.

[^95]
### 4.3.2. Ring-Closing Metathesis at the C5-C6 Bond

Given the results obtained during the synthetic studies towards the solomonamides utilizing the macrocyclic construction at the C4-C5 bond, we turned our attention to the construction of the macrocyclic core of the solomonamides at the C5-C6 bond. In relation to the synthetic strategy explored in the previous section, the removal of the functional groups, which should be accessible during an oxidation phase, would lead to the relatively simple precursor $\mathbf{6 5 3}$. Whereas the styryl double bond could be transformed into a ketone or an $\alpha$-hydroxy ketone via Wacker or dihydroxylation oxidations for solomonamides A and $B$, respectively, the introduction of the required amine group at the C 4 position was initially planned via a C-H activation.


Scheme 83. Second Approach to the Solomonamides via RCM: The C5-C6 Disconnection
The syntheses of the key precursor $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ would be performed by a RCM of the acyclic derivative 654, whose synthesis would be achieved by simple peptidic-like assemblies between the corresponding amine and olefinic acid. As in the previous synthetic exploration, we preferred to initiate this study with the model compound 659 to test the viability of the new synthetic proposal. The preparation of this RCM precursor was successfully achieved from the simple aniline $\mathbf{6 5 5}{ }^{299}$ by sequential couplings with dipeptide 608 and hydroxy acid 658, obtained from the known diol $657^{300}$ by selective

[^96]oxidation with TEMPO/BAIB. With compound 659 in hand, we proceeded with the olefin metathesis reaction by use of the HG-II catalyst in refluxing dichloromethane. However, it was with much disappointment, that this reaction failed to afford any macrocyclic product, leading instead to decomposition and/or polymerization, together with the recovery of some starting material ( $\sim 12 \%$ ). Additional attempts that included more forcing conditions (toluene at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and other catalysts (Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ generations, Hoveyda-Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation) were thwarted, with no detection of the desired macrocyclic product 660 (Scheme 83).

Despite these discouraging results, we decided to press forward with the synthetic strategy by exploring the ring closing metathesis in the real system represented by the product 654 . We reasoned that in the case of the metathesis precursor $\mathbf{6 5 4}$, the electronic effect of the benzyloxy group at the para position with respect to the styryl double bond could exert a favourable effect in terms of reactivity for the unreactive olefin. In order to confirm this hypothesis, we prepared the styryl derivative $\mathbf{6 6 3}$ from compound 661 in a very good overall yield. We then proceeded to test the construction of the macrocyclic ring of the solomonamide model system 666. To this end, compound 663 was coupled with commercial acid $\mathbf{6 6 4}$ to obtain the acyclic precursor 665 in a $74 \%$ yield. Having prepared compound 665, we were primed to test our hypothesis regarding the favourable electronic donating effect of the benzyloxy group on the ring-closing metathesis reaction. Indeed, we were gratified to discover that cyclic compound 666 was obtained in a $43 \%$ yield and, exclusively, as the $E$-isomer, when the acyclic precursor 665 was exposed to the HG-II catalyst in refluxing dichloromethane in the presence of 1,4 -benzoquinone (Scheme 84).

In light of this encouraging result, the ring-closing metathesis was extended to the advanced intermediate 654, which was efficiently prepared from the Boc derivative $\mathbf{6 6 3}$ and acid $\mathbf{6 5 8}$ in $86 \%$ overall yield, according to the previously described fragment coupling protocol. To our dismay, treatment of $\mathbf{6 5 4}$ with the HG-II catalyst, under the same conditions previously employed in earlier cases, did not provide the coveted solomonamide precursor 653, providing instead degradation products and recovered starting material. A possible explanation for the failure of the metathesis reaction is the formation of either the five-membered ring intermediate $\mathbf{A},{ }^{301}$ by chelation of the ruthenium carbenoid with the hydroxyl group, or the seven-membered ring chelate intermediate $\mathbf{B},{ }^{302}$ in which sequestration of the ruthenium carbenoid species occurred through a hydrogen bond of the hydroxyl group with the chlorine atom, which could explain the inactivation of the catalyst for the RCM (Scheme 84).

[^97]b) HATU, DIPEA


a) $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \\ (85 \%)\end{gathered} \quad \square 661: \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$


e) HG-II (6)

h) HG-II (6), 1,4-quinone, | 1,4 -quinone, |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $(43 \%)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$




Scheme 84. RCM of the Acyclic Precursors 654 and 665

As support for this mechanistic hypothesis, ${ }^{303}$ we decided to protect the hydroxyl group to avoid the formation of the proposed unproductive intermediates. Therefore, the TBS derivative $\mathbf{6 6 7}$ was prepared in a good yield by treatment of $\mathbf{6 5 4}$ with TBSOTf in the presence of 2,6 -lutidine. The resulting silyl ether 667 was then subjected to the exposure of the HG-II catalyst and, to our delight, the macrocyclic product $\mathbf{6 6 8}$ was obtained in a reasonable $47 \%$ yield. The completion of the synthesis of the natural products should require an eventual removal of the TBS protecting group. With this future objective in mind, we proceeded with the desilylation reaction by treatment of $\mathbf{6 6 8}$ with HF $\bullet$ pyr. Surprisingly, the expected hydroxyl derivative $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was not obtained, instead recovered starting material. Other fluoride-based reagents (TBAF, TBAF-AcOH) afforded the same frustrating result. Therefore, we decided to replace the TBS group with

[^98]a more labile protecting group, choosing TES as a suitable alternative. Then, compound 669 was prepared by reaction of 654 with TESOTf/2,6-lutidine and its suitability as a viable substrate for the preparation of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was evaluated. Gratifyingly, the RCM reaction of $\mathbf{6 6 9}$ provided 670 in a similar yield as for $\mathbf{6 6 8}(53 \%)$ and its desilylation, by treatment with TBAF, yielded the desired macrocyclic 653 in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 85).


Scheme 85. RCM of the Acyclic Precursors 667 and 669: Synthesis of 653

### 4.3.3. Ring-Closing Metathesis at the C3-C4 Bond

Prior to the completion of the synthetic study towards the natural solomonamides, for which meaningful quantities of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ or precursor $\mathbf{6 7 0}$ were required, we opted to complete the exploration of the RCM reaction by exploring the $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 7$ bond as another disconnection point to construct the macrocyclic system of the solomonamides. This new disconnection strategy would be based on the retrosynthetic analysis depicted in Scheme 86. Having established the viability of the RCM strategy for the construction of the macrocylic core of the solomonamides at two different sites, the C5-C6 and the C4-C5 bonds, we decided to extend the RCM-based strategy to the C3-C4 position, scanning all the options along the six-carbons chain contained in the ADMOA fragment. In this new scenario, the removal of the functional groups at the C 3 and C 4 positions revealed compound 671 as a potential precursor for solomonamide B, which could be obtained from the acyclic derivative $\mathbf{6 7 2}$ via RCM. Thus, aldehyde $\mathbf{6 3 5}$ was transformed into the amine 675 without problems according to the synthetic sequence described in Scheme 86. Our experience gathered during the synthetic campaign led us to choose BOM as the most suitable protecting group for the benzylic alcohol and to introduce sequentially the two amino acids (D-Ala and Gly) through the Fmoc derivatives 629 and 630 to obtain compound 677. Prior to the preparation of the subtarget RCM precursor 672, we
considered the use of the commercially available acid $\mathbf{6 7 8}$ to test the possibilities of this new strategy. Having prepared model compound 679, treatment with HG-II catalyst under similar reaction conditions as previously used, did not provide the desired result with the no formation of the macrocyclic compound $\mathbf{6 8 0}$. In fact, a rational explanation for this result could be the formation of a stable 5-membered ring chelate between the ruthenium carbene and the carbonyl group of the amide (intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ ), which renders the metallacyclobutane intermediate unreactive toward the retro [2+2] cycloaddition ${ }^{304}$ (Scheme 86).




Scheme 86. Third Approach to the Solomonamides via RCM: The C3-C4 Disconnection towards Macrocycle 680

In parallel with these dissapointing results directed towards the solomonamide $B$, we explored a synthetic pathway towards solomonamide A. In this sense, we decided firstly to target a model compound, represented by compound 687 in Scheme 87, as a suitable precursor that would allow to a rapid validation of the $\Delta^{3,4}$-strategy. With this

[^99]aim, we started the synthetic plan from known alcohol $\mathbf{6 8 2},{ }^{305}$ which was subjected to a Parikh-Doering oxidation followed by a Wittig reaction to obtain nitro derivative $\mathbf{6 8 3}$ in $53 \%$ over 2 steps, which was then reducted to aniline $\mathbf{6 8 4}$ by the action of $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ in $60 \%$ yield. From aniline 684, we were able to obtain model precursor 687 in a similar way than previously described above for 679 . To our dismay, the desired macrocycle 688 was not obtained after treatment of $\mathbf{6 8 7}$ with HG-II catalyst under the same reaction conditions as before, thus confirming the formation of the unreactive metallacyclobutane intermediate as in the previous case (Scheme 87).


Scheme 87. Third Approach to the Solomonamides via RCM: The C3-C4 Disconnection towards Macrocycle 688

In ligth of these results, we decided to explore the RCM by use of Lewis acids, ${ }^{306}$ which are useful additives to promote the ring closure as demonstrated in cross-metathesis reactions bearing similar structural motifs. Then, we repeated the RCM reaction of dialkenes 679 and 687 under the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$. However, in both cases the result of the RCM reaction met with no success as in previous cases, detecting a mixture of starting material together degradation products. Attempts of these RCM reactions under the action of other Lewis acids as $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ were similar unfruitful, not detecting the desired macrocyclic compounds 680 or $\mathbf{6 8 9}$ (Scheme 88). With these

[^100]dissapointing results, we decided to stop exploration of the $\Delta^{3,4}-\mathrm{RCM}$ in favor to the $\Delta^{5,6}-$ RCM route described before.



Scheme 88. Attempts of RCM Reaction Assisted by Lewis Acids:Ti(OiPr) $)_{4}, \mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$

### 4.4. Biological Activity and Molecular Modelling of Selected Solomonamide Precursors.

At this stage of the synthetic work, with an efficient route defined to obtain the final natural products by the $\Delta^{5,6}-\mathrm{RCM}$ strategy, we were intrigued with the biological properties of the synthesized products, recognizing that the macrocyclic peptides represented unprecedented and novel molecular architectures of biological interest. For this reason, we decided to explore and investigate their biological activities in collaboration with the group of Prof. Ana R. Quesada from the Molecular Biology and Biochemistry Department of Málaga University. To this aim, we performed preliminary biological evaluations, which consisted of the measurement of the antitumor properties of selected compounds against a panel of various tumor cell lines. The chosen solomonamide derivatives for this study were $614,615,623,633,666,670$ and 653 as representative compounds of the different scaffolds generated during the synthetic work. In addition, compound 690, obtained from 615 by treatment with $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (See Figure 2), was included for this study to evaluate the effect of the protecting group upon biological activity.

As a first attempt to characterize the biological activities of the synthetic solomonamide analogues, the cytotoxicity profile of the aforementioned compounds was examined using nine different cancer cell lines (see Table 2), as well as a primary culture of non transformed bovine aorta endothelial (BAEC) cells. The results of these biological evaluations, summarized in Table 2, clearly revealed a relevant cytotoxic activity for only one compound, $\mathbf{6 5 3}$, which displayed the best values of inhibition of the series in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range, against all the tumor cell lines. In addition, $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was also cytotoxic against the endothelial cells line (BAEC), which may indicate a putative antiangiogenic effect of this compound. ${ }^{270}$ In contrast, the other compounds were practically devoid of antitumor
activity except compounds 623 and $\mathbf{6 6 6}$, which displayed cytotoxicity, particularly against the HL60 tumor cell line albeit moderately. From these results, a highlight is the notable enhancement of activity observed upon introduction of functional groups in the polyketide fragment contained in these compounds, as concluded when biological activities of $\mathbf{6 6 6}$ and 653 are compared, or $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ and 623 for the case of the HL60 cell line. In addition, the isomeric derivative of 653, compound 623, displayed similar antiproliferative activity as $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ against HL60, but, in contrast, was inactive against the other cell lines, including BAEC, revealing the importance of the position of the double bond of the molecule upon biological activities. This structural effect is also reflected when the biological activities of the isomeric compounds 615 and 666 are compared.


Figure 13. Synthetic Solomonamide Precursors Submitted to Biological Evaluations

Table 2. In vitro Antitumor Activities of Solomonamide Precursors against Various Tumor Cell Lines and BAEC $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{M}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$

| Compd. | HL60 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | KU812F ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | U937 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | HT-1080 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MDA- } \\ & \text { MB-231 } \end{aligned}$ | U87MGg | HepG $2^{\text {h }}$ | HT-29 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathbf{U 2 O S}{ }^{\mathbf{j}}$ | BAEC ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 614 | $>50$ | $>50$ | > 50 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 615 | $>50$ | n.d. | n.d. | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | $>100$ | > 100 | > 100 | $43,8 \pm 1,2$ |
| 690 | $>50$ | > 50 | $>50$ | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | $>100$ | > 100 | $>100$ | > 100 |
| 623 | $17,2 \pm 6,6$ | n.d. | n.d. | > 50 | > 50 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | > 100 |
| 633 | $>50$ | > 50 | > 50 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 666 | $20,5 \pm 5.2$ | $>50$ | > 50 | $31,1 \pm 2,8$ | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | $69,6 \pm 12,5$ |
| 670 | $>50$ | > 50 | > 50 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | $>100$ | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| 653 | $14,7 \pm 4,4$ | $14,0 \pm 3.05$ | $7,02 \pm 2.32$ | $16,3 \pm 2.9$ | $16,5 \pm 1,01$ | $34,8 \pm 5,1$ | $18,9 \pm 1,5$ | $13,3 \pm 0,6$ | $12,9 \pm 3,1$ | $18,1 \pm 2,2$ |

[a] In vitro cytotoxicities were determined according to the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide dye reduction assay as detailed in experimental part. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained from semilogarithmic dose-response plots as the concentration of compound yielding a $50 \%$ of cell survival. [b] HL60: Human promyelocytic leukemia. [c] KU812F: Chronic Myelogenous leukemia. [d] U937: Histiocytic lymphoma. [e] HT1080: Human fibrosarcoma. [f] MDA-MB-231: Human breast adenocarcinoma. [g] U87MG: Gioblastoma. [h] HepG2: Hepatocellular carcinoma. [i] HT-29: Colorectal adenocarcinoma. [j] U2OS: Osteosarcoma. [k] BAEC: Non transformed bovine aortic endothelial cells.

In an attempt to make sense of this result, molecular modelling studies were conducted for compounds $\mathbf{6 1 5}, \mathbf{6 2 3}, 666$ and $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ to obtain the corresponding minimized structures (Figure 14). ${ }^{307}$ The resulting models revealed a certain structural distorsion when 615 and 666 , on one side, and 623 and 653 , on the other, are compared, respectively. Thus, whereas 666 and 653 are almost flat, 615 and 623 displayed considerable twisting. These differences in the resulting minimized molecular structures may explain the differences observed for the biological activities found for these compounds. Additionally, the overall shape of $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ is very similar to $\mathbf{6 2 3}$, and the same is evident also for $\mathbf{6 6 6}$ and 653. In this case, despite these conformational similarities, it is clear that the functional groups incorporated in 623 and 653, in particular the hydroxy group, may exert a key biological interaction through a hydrogen bonding interaction with an acceptor-type residue located at the active site of the biological targets. These interactions may justify the greater activities displayed by 623 and 653 compared with 615 and 666 , respectively. In addition, the importance of the hydroxy group can be further deduced from the loss of the biological activities of compound $\mathbf{6 7 0}$ with the TES-protected hydroxy group.


Figure 14. Computer-generated Minimum-Energy Conformations for Compounds 615, 623, 653 and 666

### 4.5. In vitro and in vivo Antiangiogenic Potential of Solomonamide Precursors

The promising in vitro antitumor activity reported above for compound $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ prompted us to evaluate the antiangiogenic potential of the complete series of solomonamide precursors, with the collaboration of the group of Prof. Ana R. Quesada. Angiogenesis involves the generation of new capillaries by sprouting of pre-existing vessels. Although in a healthy situation this process is tightly regulated by a balance of stimulators and inhibitors, being restricted to specific situations such as embryonic development, endometrial regulation, reproductive cycle, and wound repair, a persistent and deregulated angiogenesis is related to the course of many pathologies ${ }^{308,309}$ and is

[^101]considered as one of the hallmarks of cancer. ${ }^{310}$ In consequence, the pharmacological regulation of angiogenesis emerges as an attractive strategy for the treatment of cancer and other angiogenesis-dependent diseases. ${ }^{311}$ Attending to this evidence, the active search for new compounds able to modulate angiogenesis is a crucial research strategy in order to discover potential antiangiogenic drugs with possible clinical applications.

With the aim to study the antiangiogenic potential of the previously synthesized solomonamide precursors $614,615,690,623,633,666,670$ and 653 , we performed a primary screening in vitro based on the analysis of their inhibitory activity in the formation of endothelial tubular-like structures, measuring their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). In this primary screening, we identified compound $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ as the solomonamide precursor that showed the best MIC value $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ compared to the rest of analogues tested ( $>50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) (Figure 15, part A). This markedly low MIC value confirmed the unique bioactivity of this compound. Current approaches to target tumor vessels include two strategies: (a) the inhibition of the formation of new blood vessels following the activation of the endothelial cells (antiangiogenic drugs), and (b) the disruption and destruction of the pre-existing vasculature (tumor vascular disrupting drugs). ${ }^{312}$ As shown in Figure 15 part B, 653 is not able to disrupt the tubule-like structures already formed, discarding a possible vascular disrupting activity of this compound. These results prompted us to select compound $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ for further analysis of its antiangiogenic potential.


Figure 15. Compound 653 Exhibits a Strong Inhibitory Effect on Tubulogenesis in vitro, without Affecting Already Formed Structures. A) Effect of solomonamide precursors on endothelial tubular-like structures formation on Matrigel. BAEC were seeded on Matrigel in presence of the compounds and structures formation was evaluated after 5 hours. Vehicle (DMSO) was added to the negative control; staurosporine $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was used as positive inhibition control. B) Vascular disruption assay in vitro. Compounds were added to already formed BAEC tubular-like structures on Matrigel. Effects were evaluated after 90 minutes. Combrestatin (CA4P) $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was used as a positive control (Scale bar $=1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Each experimental condition was conducted in duplicates, and three independent assays were performed in each case.

[^102]During angiogenesis, migration is an indispensable step by which endothelial cells activate their migratory and invasive potential, moving towards the pro-angiogenic signal, making the formation of new vessels possible in the tissue. A wound-healing assay was performed in order to evaluate the possible effect of the solomonamide precursor on endothelial cell migration in vitro. As shown in Figure 16, 653 significantly reduced the migratory capabilities of BAEC at not toxic doses (MIC for migration $=10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).


Figure 16. Compound 653 Decreases Endothelial Cell Migration Capability. A) Representative photographs of wound healing assay after 7 h of treatment with $\mathbf{6 5 3}$. Vehicle (DMSO) was added to control condition. Discontinued lines point the free-cell area at time 0 h in each experimental condition. (Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). B) Quantification of the non-recovered area in the wound-healing assay after 7 hours of treatment with 653. Data are showed as percentages of the free-cell area at time 0 h and are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments $(* \mathrm{p}<0.05, * * \mathrm{p}<0.01)$.

In addition to the migratory potential, during angiogenesis activated endothelial cells must be able to degrade extracellular matrix components in order to allow the invasion through the tissue. The possible effect of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ on the invasive capability of endothelial cells was studied. $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was able to inhibit the invasive potential of BAEC in a dose-response manner, reaching a $50 \%$ of inhibition at a concentration close to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 17, part A). We studied also the effect of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ on the capability of endothelial cells to degrade the proteins of extracellular matrix (ECM). Our results showed that the presence of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2), the main MMP expressed in endothelial cells, was reduced both in cell extracts and in conditioned media of BAEC treated with 653 at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 17, part C). These results supported the observed inhibitory effect of this compound on endothelial cell invasion. In order to study the cell specificity of the protease modulation by this compound, the effect of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ on the proteolytic potential of HT1080 tumor cells was examined. While endothelial cells only express one gelatin degrading MMP, HT1080 cells express both gelatinases: MMP-2 and MMP-9. As shown in Figure 17 part D, both MMP activities were decreased when these cells were treated with 653, suggesting that the inhibitory effect of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ on the ECM degrading potential is not specific for endothelial cells. In order to better understand the inhibitory effect of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ on ECM degradation capability, MMP-2 gelatinase activity was measured in the gel in absence or presence of the compound. The gelatin degradation bands corresponding to MMP-2 in control endothelial conditioned media were unaffected when gels were incubated in presence of the compound (Figure 17, part E), indicating that $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was not able to directly inhibit MMP-2.


Figure 17. Compound 653 Inhibits Endothelial Cell Invasion and ECM Degradation Capability. A) Representative photographs of invading endothelial cells through Matrigel-coated transwells after 16 hours of treatment (Scale bar $=500 \mu \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). B) Quantification of the cell invasion assay. The number of invading cells stained in the control stimulated with FBS was considered as $100 \%$ of invasion. C) Representative gelatin zymography and quantification of MMP-2 presence in conditioned media and cell extracts of BAEC treated with 653. D) Representative gelatin zymographies and quantifications of MMP-9 and MMP-2 presence in conditioned media and cell extracts of HT-1080 treated with 653. E) Representative in situ gelatin zymography of untreated BAEC conditioned media, and quantification of MMP-2 activity in absence (control) or presence of $\mathbf{6 5 3} 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ added to the incubation buffer. For all quantifications, data are the mean $\pm$ SD of at least three independent experiments ( $* \mathrm{p}<0.05, * * \mathrm{p}<0.01, * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001, * * * * \mathrm{p}<0,0001$ ).

The in vitro observed evidences of the inhibitory activity of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ on key steps of angiogenesis observed in vitro prompted us to study the in vivo effect of this compound in two different animal models: the chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) and the zebrafish yolk membrane (ZFYM) assays. In the chick CAM assay, that allowed us to evaluate the in vivo effect in a context of physiological angiogenesis which occurs during the development of the chick embryo, $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was able to inhibit angiogenesis in a dosedependent manner between $0.1-10 \mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{CAM}$ (Figure 18 part A and Table 3), which is a very low concentration range in comparison with those reported for other known antiangiogenic compounds ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{CAM}){ }^{313}$

Then, the in vivo antiangiogenic activity of compound $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was confirmed by using the zebrafish yolk membrane (ZFYM) assay, that allow us to evaluate the in vivo effect in a background of induced angiogenesis by the injection of the fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2) in the zebrafish embryo, mimicking a pathological situation. As shown in Figure 18 part B and Table 4, the treatment of embryos with different doses of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ diminished the angiogenic response of subintestinal vessels to exogenous FGF-2 in

[^103]zebrafish, manifested by a decreased number of embryos which presented a strong or mild angiogenic response and increased number of embryos unresponsive to FGF-2 stimulus.


Figure 18. Compound 653 Shows a Potent Antiangiogenic Effect in vivo. A) Representative photographs of CAM assay testing 653. Negative control condition containing vehicle (DMSO) and positive control condition containing Apl-1 $3 \mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{CAM}$, were used in the assay. Circles show the locations of the methyl cellulose discs. B) Representative photographs of ZFYM assay. The response of subintestinal vessels of zebrafish embryos to FGF-2-induced angiogenesis in presence of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was evaluated. Zebrafish embryos were stained for alkaline phosphatase (AP) activity. Dashes lines delimit subintestinal vessels basket; arrow heads points to the FGF-2 injection site, and asterisks marks the angiogenic response to FGF-2.

Table 3. Inhibition of in vivo angiogenesis in the CAM assay by 653. Table summarizes the evaluation of the effect of different doses of the compound in the CAM of chicken embryos. When angiogenesis inhibition was observed the CAM was scored positive.

CAM assay

| $653(\mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{CAM})$ | Positive/ total | \% Inhibition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $0 / 11$ | 0 |
| 0.1 | $1 / 7$ | 14 |
| 0.5 | $2 / 7$ | 29 |
| 1 | $6 / 9$ | 67 |
| 5 | $9 / 12$ | 75 |
| 10 | $10 / 10$ | 100 |

Table 4. Inhibition of in vivo angiogenesis in the ZFYM assay by 653. Table summarizes the observed effect of different doses of the compound in FGF-2-induced angiogenesis on the SIVs of zebrafish embryos. Embryos were scored as - (no response to FGF-2), + (mild response) or ++ (strong response).

ZFYM assay

| FGF-2 |  | SCORE (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Induction | $\mathbf{6 5 3}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | $-/$ total(\%) | $+/$ total(\%) | $++/$ total $\%)$ |
| None | 0 | $20 / 20(100)$ | $0 / 20(0)$ | $0 / 20(0)$ |
| 2 ng | 0 | $5 / 21(23.8)$ | $10 / 21(47.6)$ | $6 / 21(28.6)$ |
| 2 ng | 5 | $9 / 19(47.4)$ | $7 / 19(36.8)$ | $3 / 19(15.8)$ |
| 2 ng | 10 | $13 / 23(56.5)$ | $9 / 23(39.1)$ | $1 / 23(4.3)$ |

Cellular processes related to angiogenesis are controlled by a complex network of signaling pathways in endothelial cells. The pharmacological interference of this signaling system constitutes a very interesting strategy in order to design new therapeutic approaches. ${ }^{314}$ Since PI3K/Akt and ERK-MAPK are two of the main signaling cascades implicated in the transduction of pro-angiogenic signals and play an essential role in the regulation of many processes such proliferation, migration, differentiation and morphogenesis, ${ }^{315}$ we studied the effect of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ in the activation of Akt and ERK1/2. Our results revealed that $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ prevents Akt and ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to serum induction, therefore inhibiting the activation of both pathway at a concentration of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. These results throw some light about the mechanism of action of 653, pointing to PI3K/Akt and ERK-MAPK pathway as major targets of the compound (Figure 19).

A



Figure 19. Compound 653 Inhibits Akt and ERK1/2 Phosphorylation in Endothelial Cells. A) Representative Western-blots of phosphorylated Akt, total Akt, phosphorylated ERK1/2, total ERK1/2 and tubulin in protein extracts from BAEC induced with serum in absence or presence of 653. B) Western-blots were quantified by densitometry, and the pAkt/total Akt and P-ERK $1 / 2$ / ERK-1/2 ratios were expressed as the percentage of ratio in serum-stimulated BAECs (in presence of serum, in absence of 653). Data are means of two independent experiments.

### 4.6. Towards the Total Synthesis of the Revised Structure of the Solomonamides

In order to complete our synthetic campaign towards the natural solomonamides, we planned to carry out the total synthesis of the corrected structure of the solomonamides A and B, as Reddy et al. revised. ${ }^{283,284}$ In this sense, we envisioned the introduction of the amino group via an Overman rearrangement, ${ }^{316}$ and the cyclisation of the macrocycle through a RCM reaction from the key trichloroacetimidate 691, which could be obtained by a peptidic coupling of carboxylic acid 693 and amine 692. In turn, acid 693 could be achieved by a $\mathrm{Bu}_{2}$ BOTf mediated stereoselective Evans aldol reaction, involving Evans chiral auxiliary 694 and aldehyde 695 (Scheme 89).

[^104]

Scheme 89. Retrosynthetic Analysis of the Revised Structures of the Solomonamides

Thus, we started our designed strategy with the $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$ mediated Evans aldol reaction of 694 and 695 to obtain oxazolidinone 696 in $84 \%$ yield. Protection of the free alcohol as a BOM derivative was achieved using BOMCl and DIPEA in a moderate $47 \%$ yield. Next, reduction of the oxazolidinone 697 by the use of $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ afforded alcohol derivative 698 in quantitative yield. Alcohol 698 was then oxidized to the acid in two steps, Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) oxidation in quantitative yield followed by a final treatment of the resulting aldehyde with sodium chlorite under Pinnick conditions to furnish acid 693. The obtained acid did not required further purification and was subsequently coupled with amine 692 to yield peptide 701 in $45 \%$ over two steps. Deprotection of the TBS protecting group in 701 by the use of TBAF afforded alcohol 702 in $74 \%$ yield, and was then reacted with $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in presence of catalytic amount of DBU to obtain trichloroacetimidate 691 (Scheme 90).

At this point of the synthesis, we were able to attempt the key Overman rearrangement of trichloroacetimidate 691. In a first attempt, we tried the rearrangement reaction by the action of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ as catalyst in the presence of 1,4 -quinone as additive ${ }^{317}$ and refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 15 h . However, under these reaction conditions we did not observed the desired rearrangement product, instead starting material was recovered (Table 5, entry 1). Other reactions conditions were attempted, changing the catalyst, by the use of COPCl , temperature, solvent and/or additive, but the reactions met with failure, recovering starting material and/or obtaining unidentified degradations products in all the cases tested (Table 5, entries 2-5). These discouraging results could be explained by a coordination of the palladium catalyst with the styryl double bond during the Overman rearrangement. Having in mind this hypothesis, we decided to change the styryl group by a iodide to avoid these possible coordination effects.

[^105]

Scheme 90. Synthesis of Trichloroacetimidate 691 and Attempts to the Synthesis of Trichloroacetamide 703 via Overman Rearrangement

With this purpose, we started the synthesis of the required iodo trichloroacetimidate $\mathbf{7 0 7}$ from nitro derivative $\mathbf{6 0 5}$ (Scheme 91). Reduction of the nitro group by the action of $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was followed by a peptide coupling of the resulting amine $\mathbf{7 0 4}$ with acid $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ to obtain peptide 585 in $79 \%$ yield. Then, a two-steps sequence, involving a Boc deprotection and a subsequent peptide coupling with acid 693, yielded 705 in $33 \%$ yield over two steps with the unexpected cleavage of the silyl protecting group. The final conversion of $\mathbf{7 0 5}$ into iodo trichloroacetimidate 707 was achieved in $84 \%$ yield by the reaction with $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in presence of DBU (Scheme 91). Once we had in our hands compound 707, we attempted the Overman rearrangement under the same reaction conditions employed above (Table 5, entry 6). However, the result of the reaction was the same than the previous cases, not detecting the desired trichloroacetamide 708, instead recovering starting material. Different attempts to optimize this rearrangement reaction by the change of catalyst, temperature, solvent and/or additive were unsuccessful, recovering trichloroacetimidate $\mathbf{7 0 7}$ in all cases (Table 5, entries 6-10).


Scheme 91. Synthesis of Trichloroacetimidate 707 and Attempts to the Synthesis of Trichloroacetamide 708 via Overman Rearrangement

Table 5. Attempts for Overman Rearrangement of 691 and $707{ }^{[a]}$

| Entry | Compd. | Catalyst | Temperature | Solvent | Additive | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{[b]}$ | 691 | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ | $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1,4-quinone | Recoverd 691 |
| $2{ }^{[b]}$ | 691 | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ | $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | none | Recoverd 691 |
| $3^{[b]}$ | 691 | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Toluene | 1,4-quinone | Degradation |
| $4^{[b]}$ | 691 | (R)-COPCl | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Toluene | 1,4-quinone | Recoverd 691 |
| $5^{[b]}$ | 691 | none | $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Xylene | anh. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Degradation |
| $6^{[b]}$ | 707 | $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ | $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1,4-quinone | Recovered 707 |
| $7^{[b]}$ | 707 | (R)-COPCl | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | none | Recovered 707 |
| $8{ }^{[c]}$ | 707 | (R)-COPCl | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1,4-quinone | Recovered 707 |
| $9^{\text {c] }}$ | 707 | (R)-COPCl | $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1,4-quinone | Recovered 707 |
| $10^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 707 | (R)-COPCl | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Toluene | 1,4-quinone | Recovered 707 |

[a] All reactions were carried out with 1.0 equiv of $\mathbf{6 9 1}$ or $\mathbf{7 0 7}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst and $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of 1,4 -quinone or 2 mg of anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{mL}$ solvent. Dry solvents were used in all cases, with a final molar concentration of $\sim 0.03 \mathrm{M}$. All the reactions were stirred overnight. [b] The reaction was carried out with crude trichloroacetimidate in presence of DBU. [c] The reaction was carried out with pure trichloroacetimidate previously purified by flash column chromatography, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes. COP $=\mathrm{Di}-\mu$-chlorobis $\left[\eta^{5}-(R)-\left({ }_{p} R\right)-2-\left(2^{\prime}-\left(4^{\prime}-\right.\right.\right.$ methylethyl $)$ oxazolinyl $)$ cyclopentadienyl,1-C, $\left.3^{\prime}-N\right)\left(\eta^{4}\right.$ tetraphenylcyclobutadiene)cobalt]dipalladium

At this point, no further work was required to recognize that the Overman rearrangement in our system was extremely hard to accomplish. Having demonstrated that the styryl double bond was not the problem for the failure of the Overman rearrangement, a plausible explanation could be the chelation of the palladium with the nitrogen groups along the peptide structure found in 691 or 707. Therefore, a new approach was considered. Our new approach was based on the introduction of the amino group at the early stages of the synthesis in order to avoid the problematic Overman rearrangement by the time the peptidic structure is already installed. With this aim, we started from the previously synthesized acid 693, which was transformed into hydroxy acid 709. Treatment of 709 with $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in presence of DBU afforded bis-
trichloroacetimidate 710, which was subjected to the Overman rearrangement by the use of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}$ as catalyst in refluxing dichloromethane and in the presence of $1,4-$ quinone as additive. However, the expected trichloroacetamide 711 was not detected, obtaining instead a separable 1:1 mixture of lactames 712a and 712b in a $40 \%$ combined yield (Scheme 92).

a) TBAF - 693: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{TBS}$
(quant.) $\longrightarrow$ 709: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$





Scheme 92. Attempts to the Synthesis of Trichloroacetamide 711

Considering that the presence of the second trichloroacetimidate group in $\mathbf{7 1 0}$ could favour the cyclisation to form the lactame, we decided to start the synthesis from allylic alcohol 698 to avoid this undesired cyclisation reaction. As shown in Scheme 93, TBS deprotection in 698 yielded diol 713 in $79 \%$, which was then treated with $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{DBU}$ to obtain trichloroacetimidate $\mathbf{7 1 4}$ in $81 \%$ yield. Unfortunately, the Overman rearrangement of $\mathbf{7 1 4}$ as well as the subsequent steps depicted in Scheme 93 still remain incomplete due to the lack of time. Thus, the results from this new approach towards the solomonamides are not included in the current PhD Thesis, and it will be reported in due time.


Scheme 93. Planned Strategy Towards the Total Synthesis of the Revised Structures of the Solomonamides

### 4.7. Summary

In conclusion, an extensive synthetic exploration directed towards the solomonamides was conducted based on a ring-closing metathesis as the key reaction for the rapid and efficient access to their macrocyclic cores. The result of this synthetic study was the establishment of an efficient ring closure process which proceeded in high yields and complete stereoselectivity. During the course of these efforts, unexpected hurdles arose along the way, mainly with: 1) the reactivity of the hydroxyl group at the benzylic position (compounds 626 and 636 ); 2) the reactivity of diolefins containing allylic and homoallylic alcohols (compounds 600, 601, 627, 642, 654, 659, 667 and 669) or containing a $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated carbonyl system (case of compounds 679 and 687) toward the ruthenium catalysts, and 3 ) the reactivity of macrocyclic olefins (compounds 615, $\mathbf{6 2 3}$ and 643) toward oxidative reagents. Although many of these synthetic problems were overcome, others remained elusive and represent synthetic challenges for future works. In relation to the ring-closing metathesis reactions, many of the described findings support the observations and results reported by other authors for this reaction on this class of structural systems.

More importantly, not only did we explore the scope and limitations of the ringclosing metathesis in the synthesis of the macrocyclic core of the solomonamides, but we also identified several structurally related solomonamide precursors possessing significant cytotoxicities against various tumor cell lines, including endothelial cells, in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range. In addition, the putative antiangiogenic potential of the solomonamide synthetic precursors has been evaluated. Through a preliminary in vitro screening, based in the inhibitory activity of endothelial tube formation, the compound $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was selected for a deeper characterization of its antiangiogenic potential, and we found that 653 is able to inhibit some key steps of the angiogenic process, including the proliferation, migration and invasion of endothelial cells, and to diminish their capability to degrade the extracellular matrix proteins. Furthermore, the antiangiogenic potential of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was confirmed by two different in vivo models, the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) and the zebrafish yolk membrane (ZFYM) assays, respectively. The reduction in ERK1/2 and Akt phosphorylation in endothelial cells treated with 653 indicated that the mechanism of action of this compound could target the upstream components that are common to both pathways. Thus, our results show a new and interesting biological activity of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ as an inhibitor of the persistent and deregulated angiogenesis that characterizes cancer and other pathologies. In addition, these results suggest the potential therapeutic application of solomonamide derivatives and reinforce the value of marine products as drug candidates for the treatment of angiogenesis-related malignances.

In summary, the described chemistry highlights the benefits of the olefin metathesis reaction in the field of the total synthesis of natural products, featuring convergency and flexibility for structural diversity and has allowed the identification of bioactive compounds with interesting antitumor properties. In addition, these biological
evaluations of relatively simple compounds, devoid of the functional groups present in the natural counterparts, portend promising antitumor properties for the natural products and qualify them as new scaffolds of biological and medicinal interest. Finally, several synthetic efforts towards the total synthesis of the revised structures of the solomonamides have been initiated based on an Overman rearrangement strategy. The completion of the synthesis of the natural products, the design of new analogues based upon compound 653, and further biological studies to elucidate the mechanism of its antiangiogenic activities are currently in progress and they will be reported in due course.
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## Chapter 5

## Celebeside Campaign

[^107]
### 5.1. Isolation, Structure and Biology of the Celebesides

As mentioned before, Nature represents a striking and a huge source of new natural products which are key in drug discovery. ${ }^{318}$ In particular, cyclodepsipeptides ${ }^{286}$ are secondary metabolites that comprise a wide variety of cyclic peptides of natural origin characterized by the occurrence of at least one ester linkage. ${ }^{319}$ The wide spectrum of biological activities that this class of natural product display, including immunosuppressant, antibiotic, antifungi, antiinflammatory or antitumoral activities, together with their atractive molecular structures, have elicited great interest to the biological, medical and chemical community. ${ }^{320}$ As a new demonstration of the impressive source of secondary metabolites type-cyclodepsipeptide from marine enviroment, three unprecedented cyclic depsipeptides termed celebesides A-C (718-720) were isolated by Bewley et al. ${ }^{321}$ from the marine sponge Siliquariaspongia mirabilis collected from the Sulawesi Island, in Indonesia (Figure 20).


Figure 20. Molecular Structures of Celebesides A-C

The structure of these compounds were elucidated by extensive spectroscopic methods. The absolute configurations of the amino acids were determined by Marfey's method ${ }^{322}$ and chiral HPLC, while the relative configuration of the polyketide moiety was resolved by combination of $J$-based analysis and quantic mechanic (QM) calculations. These natural products are unusual [26]-membered cyclic depsipeptides that contain a polyketide chain namely 7,9-dihydroxy-8,10-dimethyltrideca-2,4-dienoic acid (Ddtd), and a peptide chain comprises by five amino acids residues, including a $N$-methyl valine, a $\beta$-methyl L-asparagine, a D-isoserine, a 3-carbamoyl D-threonine and a L-serine in celebeside C (720), and a phosphoserine residue in celebesides A (718) and B (719). Interestingly, the 3-carbamoyl threonine and phosphoserine are uncommon aminoacids contained in marine natural products. From the biological standpoint, celebeside A (718)

[^108]showed anti-HIV activity, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. In addition, 718 was cytotoxic against a human colon cancer cell line (HTC-116) in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range. In contrast, celebeside C (720) was inactive, while celebeside B (719) was not biologically evaluated. Once again, the scarcity of the isolated cyclodepsipeptides from the natural sources is a drawback for further pharmacological assays. This difficulty to access large amounts of these compounds makes quite difficult to gain insight into their biological profiles and mechanism of biological action and justifies the chemical synthesis of these unexplored marine cyclodepsipeptides.

### 5.2. Chemistry of the Celebesides

The synthesis of the lipidic chain of celebeside A (718) carried out by our group in 2014 represents the only synthetic approach towards the polyketide fragment contained in celebesides reported to date. ${ }^{250 e}$ Our synthesis was based on the use of a new class of sulfonium salts to obtain glycidic amides in a stereoselective fashion (Scheme 94).








Scheme 94. Synthesis of the Polyketide Chain of the Celebeside A (Sarabia et al. 2014) ${ }^{250 e}$
The oxirane ring-opening reactions of the obtained epoxy amides let to obtain the syn,anti,syn dipropionate-acetate stereopentad unit contained in the celebesides, as well
as in many other natural products as YM-47522, ${ }^{323}$ the anti-fungal basiliskamide ${ }^{324}$ and the cyclodepsipeptide langunamide A. ${ }^{325}$ As depicted in Scheme 94, the oxirane ring opening of $\mathbf{7 2 1}$ with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuLi}$ was followed by TBS protection of the resulting alcohol, and subsequent amide reduction with $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{BH}_{3} / \mathrm{LDA}$ to obtain alcohol $\mathbf{7 2 2}$ in $60 \%$ overall yield from 721. Then, Swern oxidation afforded aldehyde $\mathbf{7 2 3}$ which was treated with chiral sulfonium salt $\mathbf{4 5 7}$ under basic conditions to afford epoxy amide $\mathbf{7 2 4}$ in $42 \%$ yield over 2 steps. This reaction was performed with the known non-chiral sulfur ylide $\mathbf{7 2 5}$ to gave epoxy amide $\mathbf{7 2 6}$ as the major isomer (ratio 9:1) in $68 \%$ yield over 2 steps. The efficient stereocontrol during the nucleophilic addition was due to the starting chiral aldehyde 723. Reduction of epoxy amide $\mathbf{7 2 4}$ or $\mathbf{7 2 6}$ with Super- $\mathrm{H}^{\circledR}$ gave the corresponding epoxy alchols wich were treated with Red-Al to obtain diol 727 which represent the complete polyketide fragment of celebeside A (718).

### 5.3. An Olefin Metathesis Approach Towards the Celebesides

### 5.3.1. Synthesis of the Peptide Fragment of the Celebesides

We decided to initiate a research programme towards the total synthesis of the celebesides, which is justified by the following reasons: (1) Intriguing biological properties displayed by these natural compounds; (2) The need to have and supply enough material for further biological evaluations; (3) To confirm their novel structures; (4) To pave the synthetic scheme for generation of related compounds as novel scaffolds with potential therapeutic applications. In particular, among the three celebesides, we firstly focused our efforts on the synthesis of celebeside A (718) due to the attractive biological profile displayed.

To this aim, we designed a retrosynthetic analysis of the celebeside A (718) based on an olefin ring-closing metathesis reaction as the key step for the construction of the macrocycle. Thus, as depicted in Scheme 95, part A, our analysis began with the amide and ester disconnections of the L-serine residue and the $N$-Me-valine residue, respectively, to render two key fragments: the peptidic fragment $\mathbf{7 2 8}$ and the polyketide fragment 729. For the consecution of the peptide fragment of the celebesides (728), we decided to use the solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) methodology as a suitable technology for rapid and advantageous access to the linear peptide chain. We selected the 2-chlorotrityl chloride (CTC) resin due to its resistence in the basic media employed in peptide coupling conditions. The general strategy is depicted in Scheme 95, part B.

In our first approach towards celebeside A, we initially targeted a more simple derivative for a rapid validation of our RCM strategy. In this sense, we planned to produce a model peptidic fragment that possessed a $\beta$-alanine aminoacid intead of the $D$-isoserine residue which is contained in the natural products. In addition, the proposed model did

[^109]not contain the methyl group upon the asparagine residue, avoiding the preparation of this complex amino acid.
A)



Peptide Fragment of the Celebesides (728)
Scheme 95. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Celebeside A (A) and Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis (SPPS) Strategy (B)

With this purpose, we started the solid phase synthesis from the CTC resin which was properly derivatized with the Fmoc-L-Ser(Bn)-OH (730) using HOBt and DIPEA in dimethylformamide (DMF) for 30 h to produce the resin-bound peptide 735. The Fmoc group of $\mathbf{7 3 5}$ was removed by treatment with $20 \%$ solution of piperidine in DMF and the Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-OH (736) was loaded onto the resulting resin using HOBt and DIC in DMF. The same sequence to remove the Fmoc groups and to couple amino acids was repeated to introduce the Fmoc-D-Thr( $\left.{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}(732)$. To check the loading of the amino acid and to ensure the effectiveness of the chosen coupling procedure, we decided to cleave the tripeptide by treatment of 737 with $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{TFE}) / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7: 2: 1)$, which gave pure tripeptide 738. The synthesis was continued from 737, repeating the procedure of coupling and Fmoc deprotection steps for the sequentially introduction of Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-OH (739), and the commercial 2,4-pentadienoic acid 734, to obtain the resin-bound peptide 741. At the end of the solid phase peptide synthesis, the resin-bound peptide $\mathbf{7 4 1}$ was cleaved from the resin by treatment with a $\mathrm{AcOH}: T F E: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7: 2: 1)$ mixture to obtain the model peptide chain of the celebesides $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ in $65 \%$ overall yield from CTC resin in a high degree of purity as determined by its NMR spectra and did not required further purification (Scheme 96).




Scheme 96. Solid Phase Synthesis of 742

In order to ensure that during the solid phase synthesis of $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ any process of epimerization took place, ${ }^{326}$ we decided to repeat the synthesis via solution phase, and then compare the spectroscopic data of both products, which should match. Thus, the synthesis of $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ via solution phase began with the protection of the Fmoc-L-Ser(Bn)-OH (730) as allyl ester derivative. From protected compound 743, deprotection of the Fmoc group by treament with a solution of piperidine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded the corresponding free amine, which was subjected to a peptide coupling with the Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-OH (736) in presence of HOBt and EDC to obtain peptide 744 in $58 \%$ over 2 steps. Then, an iterative process to deprotect the Fmoc groups and to couple the Fmoc aminoacids derivatives was repeated three times under the same reaction conditions than previously employed to introduce sequentially the amino acids Fmoc-D-Thr( $\left.{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ (732) and Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-OH (739) and the 2,4-pentadienoic acid 734 in $60 \%, 57 \%$ and $53 \%$ over 2 steps, respectively (Scheme 97). Final deprotection of the allyl group in presence of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and morpholine gave the model peptidic chain of the celebesides (747) in quantitative yield. Spectroscopic properties of 747 obtained from solid phase and from solution phase were identical, thus confirming that epimerization process did not occur during the solid phase synthesis. Thus, with these results, we have established a solid phase synthesis strategy for the construction of the peptide fragment of the celebesides.
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Scheme 97. Synthesis of $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ by Solution Phase

With the aim to extend the previous established solid phase strategy to a more advanced celebeside peptide chain, we decided to include in the peptidie fragment the amino acid D -isoserine that is contained in the structure of the natural celebesides. With this purpose, we first carried out the synthesis of the required Fmoc-D-isoserine 733. Thus, the synthesis of $\mathbf{7 3 3}$ started from D-(+)-malic acid (748), which was transformed in three steps into acylc acide 749, as shown in Scheme 98, part A. Then, the Curtius rearrangement was used to introduce the isocyanate group through the termal decomposition of the carboxylic azide intermediate. The resulting isocyanate $\mathbf{7 5 0}$ was reacted with FmocOH to afford carbamate 751, which was subsequent treated with HCl at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain Fmoc-D-isoserine (733) ${ }^{327}$ in $26 \%$ over 6 steps (Scheme 98, part A). With 733 in hand, we proceeded with the solid phase synthesis of the more advanced peptidic chain of celebesides, represented by compound 753 in Scheme 98, part B. Starting again from the 2-chlorotrityl chloride (CTC) resin, we repeated the same steps for the solid phase synthesis of 742, but in this case we included the Fmoc D-isoserine 733 instead the Fmoc- $\beta$-alanine (739), thus obtaining the corresponding peptide fragment 753 in $63 \%$ overall yield from CTC resin (Scheme 98, part B).
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Scheme 98. Synthesis of Fmoc-D-Isoserine 733 (A) and Solid Phase Synthesis of 753 (B)

### 5.3.2. Synthesis of the Polyketide Fragment of Celebeside A

At this point of the synthesis, where we were able to establish a synthetic strategy for the consecution of the peptidic fragment of the celebesides by solid and solution phase, we focused on the synthesis of the polyketide fragment of celebeside A. As previously showed in Scheme 94, our goup achieved the synthesis of the polyketide fragment of celebeside A by oxirane ring-opening reactions of epoxy amides obtained by sulfonium ylides. Now, with the aim to explore new strategies, we planned a different approach without the use of sulfoniums ylides. In this sense, the new approach was based on the combined asymmetric methylation, anti-aldol reaction, and Brown's asymmetric allylation to obtain the required syn,anti,syn dipropionate-acetate stereopentad unit (Scheme 99, part A).

B)





Scheme 99. Retrosynthetic Analysis (A) and Synthesis of the Polyketide Fragment of the Celebeside A (729) (B)

Thus, this new synthetic plan started with the conversion of aldehyde 756 in $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester $\mathbf{7 5 8}$ via a Wittig reaction by the use of [(Ethoxycarbonyl)methylene]triphenylphosphorane. Reduction of the ester 758 with DIBAL-H afforded allylic alcohol $\mathbf{7 5 9}$ in $81 \%$ yield. Subsequent Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation by the use of (+)-L-DET gave epoxy alcohol 760 in $84 \%$ yield, which was subjected to the oxirane opening employing $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuLi}$ to obtain a $3: 1$ mixture of opening products in 2- and 3- positions, respectively. Therefore, the oxirane opening step was followed by a periodic cleavage employing $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to obtain diol 761 in $62 \%$ over two steps (Scheme 99). From 761, a sequence of protection of the diol as silyl ethers and
selective deprotection of the primary alcohol was achieved in $60 \%$ overall yield to obtain alcohol 763, which was subjected to a oxidation reaction with Dess-Martin periodinane to obtain aldehyde $\mathbf{7 6 4}$ in $95 \%$ yield. Final Brown's asymmetric allylation of aldehyde 764 by the use of $(-)-\mathrm{Ipc}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ (allyl) gave 729 in excellent $92 \%$ yield and complete stereoselectivity, which represents the complete polyketide fragment of the celebeside A.



Scheme 100. Synthesis of Celebeside A Polyketide Fragment Model 766

At this point where we were able to establish a solid strategy to access to the polyketide fragment of the celebeside A , we decided to focus on the preparation of a more simple derivative for a rapid validation of our RCM strategy, represented by compound 766 in Scheme 100, avoiding the use of the complex chain $\mathbf{7 2 9}$ contained in the natural product which required more steps for its synthesis.

The synthesis of the polyketide chain model 766 started with the known hydrolytic kinetic resolution of the corresponding racemic 1,2-epoxyhexane by the action of cobalt catalyst 767, to afford enationmeric pure $\mathbf{7 6 8}$ in $33 \%$ yield. ${ }^{328}$ Then, epoxide opening of 768 by use of homoallylmagnesium bromide gave alohol 770 in $67 \%$ yield, which was subjected to a Yamaguchi esterification with Fmoc- N -Me-Valine-OH (771) to obtain ester 772 in $74 \%$ yield. Finally, Fmoc deprotection by treatment of 772 with piperdine yielded 766 in quantitative yield (Scheme 100).
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### 5.3.3. Towards the Macrocyclic Core of Celebeside A

With model amine 766 in our hands, we would be able to complete the last steps of towards the celebeside A in order to try our RCM strategy (Scheme 101). However, this task still remains incomplete due to the lack of time. Thus, the last steps towards the celebesides are not included in the current PhD Thesis, and it will be reported in due time.


Scheme 101. Planned Strategy towards the Macrocyclic Core of the Celebeside A via RCM

### 5.4. Summary

In conclusion, we have envisioned a synthetic strategy directed towards the celebesides utilizing an olefin metathesis reaction to form the [26]-membered ring contained in these natural products. In our strategy, we have used the solid phase synthesis as a suitale technology for a rapid and efficient access to the peptide fragment contained in the celebesides (compounds 742 and 753 ), while the polyketide chain of the celebeside A, represented by compound 729, has been achieved in a stereoselective fashion, featuring an asymmetric methylation, epoxide opening and Brown's asymmetric allylation as the key steps. The described synthetic strategy for the celebesides would allow for access to the natural products, as well as would offer the opportunity for the generation of a wide array of celebeside analogues by modifing the amino acids contained in the peptide chain during the solid phase-based synthesis in an easy and rapid manner. The completion of the synthesis of the celebeside A is currently in progress and will be reported in due course.

# Chapter 6 <br> Conclusions 
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### 6.1. Conclusions

The conclusions of the current PhD Thesis are summarized as the following:

1) We have established a new total synthesis of (-)-depudecin (442) utilizing an olefin cross-metathesis reaction as the key step, which was successfully achieved in a convergent manner to provide (-)-depudecin (442) in only 12 steps and $26 \%$ overall yield from (+)-methyl-D-lactate. This new convergent approach greatly improves upon our previous linear synthesis ( 17 steps, $19 \%$ overall yield) as well as the Schreiber synthesis (23 steps, $0.7 \%$ overall yield).
2) The developed synthetic route was amenable to stereochemical and functional modifications, allowing the preparation of two stereoisomers of (-)-depudecin, the 10-epi-depudecin (498) and its enantiomer (ent-442), as well as homodepudecin (506). In addition, we have complemented the set of analogues with the preparation of truncated analogues 540, 541 and 555.
3) The synthesized depudecin analogues were biologically evaluated against several tumor cell lines, including endothelial cells and the results led us to complete a preliminary structure-activity relationship (SAR) study for this natural product concluding that: a) the $\mathrm{C}-10$ stereochemistry of the product is not essential upon the biological activity; b) the presence of at least one of the epoxide groups is key upon the biological activity, but it is not necessary the simultaneous presence of both epoxides; and c) the terminal allylic alcohol system is not essential, and it can be substitued by its isomer.
4) An extensive synthetic exploration directed towards the solomonamides was conducted based on a ring-closing metathesis as the key reaction for the rapid and efficient access to their macrocyclic cores, which proceeded in high yields and complete stereoselectivity.
5) We identified several structurally related solomonamide precursors possessing significant cytotoxicities against various tumor cell lines, including endothelial cells, in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range. In particular, we found that compound $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ exhibits a potent antiangiogenic effect in vitro and in vivo, and the results suggest the potential therapeutic application of solomonamide derivatives as inhibitors of the persistent and deregulated angiogenesis that characterizes cancer and other pathologies.
6) We envisioned a synthetic strategy directed towards the celebesides utilizing an olefin metathesis reaction to form the [26]-membered ring contained in these natural products. In our strategy, we used the solid phase synthesis as a suitable technology for a rapid and efficient access to the peptide fragment contained in
the celebesides, while the polyketide chain of the celebeside A was achieved in a stereoselective fashion.
7) The described chemistry highlights the benefits of the olefin metathesis reaction in the field of the total synthesis of natural products, featuring convergency and flexibility for structural diversity and has allowed the identification of bioactive compounds with interesting antitumor properties.

## Chapter 7

## Experimental Part
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### 7.1. General Techniques

All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere with dry, freshly distilled solvents under anhydrous conditions, unless using aqueous reagents or otherwise noted. All solvents used in reactions were dried and distilled using standard procedures. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium benzophenone, methylene chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ from calcium hydride, diethyl ether from sodium. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) homogeneous materials, unless otherwise stated. All solutions used in workup procedures were saturated unless otherwise noted. All reagents were purchased at highest commercial quality and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using 0.25 mm silica gel plates (60F-254) using UV light (254 nm ) as visualizing agent and acidic ceric ammonium molybdate/phosphomolybdic acid or potassium permanganate solutions and heat as developing agents. Flash column chromatography (FCC) was performed using silica gel ( $60 \AA$, particle size $230-400$ mesh) under air pressure. All solvents used for chromatographic purifications were distilled prior to use. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-400 MHz instrument and calibrated using residual undeuterated solvent as an internal reference. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm with the resonance resulting from incomplete deuteration of the solvent as the internal standard $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{s}\right.$ and $77.0 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{t}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: 4.87 \mathrm{ppm}$, s, 3.31 ppm , quin and 49.1 ppm , sep; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{OS}: 2.49 \mathrm{ppm}$, quin and 39.52 ppm , sep). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift $\delta / \mathrm{ppm}$ (multiplicity, coupling constants $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ and integration ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ only)). The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet; $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet; $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet; $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet; quin $=$ quintet; $\mathrm{b}=$ broad; $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet or combination thereof. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals are singles, unless otherwise stated. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was performed on a H-ESI and APCI mass spectrometer in positive mode and using an ion trap (Orbitrap) as the mass analyzer type. HRMS signals are reported to 4 decimal places and are within $\pm 5$ ppm of theoretical values. Specific optical rotations were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter with a sodium halogen lamp ( $\chi=589 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and a cell path length of 100 mm ( $c$ given in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Melting points were collected using a Gallenkamp melting point system using a gradient of $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per min.

### 7.2. Experimental Procedures and Compound Characterization Related to Depudecin

### 7.2.1. Synthesis of Epoxy Alkene 460



Epoxy Alkene 460. A solution of epoxy alcohol $464{ }^{253}$ ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in a $1: 1 \mathrm{DMSO}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture ( 20 mL ) was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}(375 \mathrm{mg}, 2.37$ mmol, 2.5 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred until complete conversion of the alcohol ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ). After this time, the reaction mixture was quenched by
addition of a buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde ( $\sim 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was used in the next step without further purification. To a stirred suspension of methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide ( 693 mg , 1.90 mmol , 2.0 equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise NaHMDS $(0.95 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2.0 M in THF, 1.87 mmol , 2.0 equiv). The resulting suspension was stirred for 30 min at this temperature, and after this time, a solution of the crude aldehyde ( $\sim 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture stirred for 1 h . After this time, the crude mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain epoxy alkene $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.74$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+35.2$ (c 0.40 , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.63-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 5.26 (ddd, $J=10.2,1.5,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.5,118.9,67.5,63.5,56.5,25.8$, 20.8, 18.1, -4.7, -4.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / e$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$229.1624, found 229.1618 .

### 7.2.2. Synthesis of Diepoxy Amide 466



Diepoxy Amide 466. Epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 6 5}^{254}$ ( $640 \mathrm{mg}, 2.96$ mmol 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /DMSO (1:1) mixture ( 12 mL ) and cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At this temperature, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added followed by $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}(840 \mathrm{mg}, 5.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ equiv). The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and, after 5 h , was quenched by addition of a buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine, then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The resulting crude aldehyde was used in the next step without further purification. To a suspension of sulfonium salt $\mathbf{4 5 7}^{249}, 250(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a 5.0 M aqueous NaOH solution ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at this temperature, a solution of crude aldehyde in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The crude mixture was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and, after decantation, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy amide $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ ( $920 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ over 2 steps) as a pale yellow oil and whose spectroscopic and physical properties matched with those described in the literature: ${ }^{250 \mathrm{f}} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (Silica
gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-17.1\left(c \quad 0.34, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 4.27$ (ddd, $\left.J=8.8,4.7,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.97$ (ddd, $J=9.1,5.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.89-3.86 (m, 1 H ), 3.85 (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.69 (dd, $J=12.3,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.48-2.39 (m, 1 H), 2.08 (s, 3 H), 2.05-2.00 (m, 1 H), 1.83-1.73 (m, 1 H), 1.59 (s, 3 H), 1.48 (s, 3 H ), 0.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 162.9,95.9,67.0,61.6,56.3,55.9,55.5,51.7,51.2,34.4,30.6,26.2,25.8,22.9$, 18.3, 15.7, -5.4, -5.4; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / e$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$432.2240, found 432.2239.

### 7.2.3. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alkene 461



Diepoxy Alkene 461. To a solution of diepoxy amide 466 (95 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 1.5 mL ) was added dropwise Red-Al ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ in toluene, $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min at this temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with EtOAc. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both oganic and aqueous phases. After separation of both layers, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc and the combined organic extracts washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude aldehyde was used in the next step without further purification. To a stirred suspension of methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.75$ equiv) in THF ( 1.0 mL ) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise NaHMDS ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.75$ equiv). The resulting suspension was stirred for 15 min at this temperature, and after this time, a solution of the crude aldehyde $(\sim 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min . After this time, the crude mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain the epoxy alkene 461 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ over two steps) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.89$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-20.2\left(c 0.39, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.59-5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ (dd, $J=12.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.36 (dd, $J=7.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.11 (ddd, $J=4.0,2.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.00 (dd, $J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92 (dd, $J=4.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.07$ (s, 3 H ), 0.07 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.5,128.5,62.1,57.7,56.0,55.9$, 53.3, 29.7, 25.8, 18.3, -5.4 ; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$257.1573, found 257.1567 .

### 7.2.4. Synthesis of Aldehyde 467



Aldehyde 467. To a solution of epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 6 5}(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 18.32$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in a $1: 1 \mathrm{DMSO}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture ( 36 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $7.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 54.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) followed by $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \operatorname{pyr}\left(7.3 \mathrm{~g}, 45.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5\right.$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature until depletion of the starting alcohol as judged by TLC ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~h}$ ). After this time, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde ( $\sim 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of the crude aldehyde in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(95 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (formylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane ( 7.0 g , $23.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h . After this time the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aldehyde 467 ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.51$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=-24.8\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57$ (dd, $J=15.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.40 (ddd, $J=15.8,7.7,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.94-3.89 (m, 1 H ), $3.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{ddd}, J=3.8,3.0$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.4, 152.3, 133.9, 61.9, 61.5, 53.4, 25.8, 18.3, -5.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$243.1416, found 243.1398.

### 7.2.5. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 468



Allylic Alcohol 468. A solution of aldehyde 467 ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 13.61$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H ( $13.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 13.61 $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol 468 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-31.8$ (c $0.64, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.7,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51$ (ddt, $J=15.6,7.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ (td, $J=5.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (dd, $J=12.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.73 (dd, $J=12.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.32 (dd, $J=7.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.02 (ddd, $J=4.4$, $3.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.5,128.2,62.9,62.8,60.4,55.3,25.9,18.4,-5.3$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 245.1573$, found 245.1595.

### 7.2.6. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alcohol 469



Diepoxy Alcohol 469. To a suspension of titanium tetraisopropoxide ( $1.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.35$ equiv) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 8.0 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(85 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ( + )-LDET ( $0.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.35$ equiv) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min at this temperature, a solution of allylic alcohol 468 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, followed by the addition, after additional 30 min , of TBHP ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5$ M solution in decane, $24.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at the same temperature. After 12 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(4.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 56.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.6$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy alcohol 469 ( $2.49 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-22.4\left(c 0.51, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.98$ (ddd, $J=12.8,4.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 (dd, $J=12.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (dd, $J=12.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ (ddd, $J=4.0,2.9$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.08 (s, 3 H ), $0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 62.5,62.0,56.1,55.8,53.5$, 53.4, 25.8, 18.3, -5.4; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$261.1522, found 261.1523 .

### 7.2.7. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alkene 461 from Diepoxy Alcohol 469



Diepoxy Alkene 461 from Diepoxy Alcohol 469. Diepoxy alcohol 469 ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was converted to diepoxy alkene 461 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ over two steps) according to the procedure described above for $\mathbf{4 6 0}$ by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.9 \mathrm{~mL}) / \mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyr $(2.8 \mathrm{~g})$ and methyl triphenylphosphonium bromide ( 5.0 g )/NaHMDS ( 7.0 mL ). The spectroscopic properties of the resulting diepoxy alkene were indetical with those exhibited by $\mathbf{4 6 1}$ obtained above from 466.

### 7.2.8. Synthesis of Bis(silyl ether) 470 and Dimer 471. Cross-Metathesis of Olefins 460 and 461



Bis(silyl ether) 470 and Dimer 471. CrossMetathesis of Olefins 460 and 461. HoveydaGrubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv), diepoxy alkene $461(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and epoxy alkene $\mathbf{4 6 0}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dimer 471 ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) and bis(silyl ether) $\mathbf{4 7 0}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%)$ as a colourless and a pale yellow oils, respectively. [470]: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.57$ (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes);
$[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-31.4\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2$, $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67-3.60 (m, 1 H), 3.37 (dd, $J=7.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.23 (dd, $J=6.9,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.86 (dd, $J=5.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07$ (s, 3 H ), 0.07 ( s, 3 H ), 0.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $132.7,130.8,69.2,64.7,61.9,58.1,56.1,54.8,54.8,53.0,25.8,20.3,18.3,18.2,-4.8$, 5.4; HRMS (APCI) m/e calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 479.2625$, found 479.2621; [471]: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.77$ (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.68$ (dd, $J=4.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 (dd, $J=6.2,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.78 (dd, $J=4.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 131.7,67.2,63.9,55.1,25.8,20.8,18.1$, 4.7, -4.8 ; HRMS (APCI) $m / e$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 429.2856$, found 429.2862.

### 7.2.9. Synthesis of Epoxy Alcohol 459



Epoxy Alcohol 459. Silyl derivative 470 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in a mixture THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (20:1) $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and over this solution was added $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 40 min at the same temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude mixture purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy alcohol 459 ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ) as a pale colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.38$ (Silica gel, $60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-35.2\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 5.73 (dd, $J=15.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.64 (dd, $J=15.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.72 (dd, $J=13.2,3.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.19-3.15 (m, 1 H), 3.10 (dd, $J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.05-3.01 (m, 1 H ), 2.94 (dd, $J=$ $4.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.8,130.5$, 69.1, 64.7, 61.7, 60.4, 57.9, 55.7, 54.8, 53.0, 25.8, 20.3, 18.2, -4.7, -4.8; HRMS (APCI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 343.1941$, found 343.1936.

### 7.2.10. Synthesis of Epoxy Alkene 473



Epoxy Alkene 473. Epoxy olefin 473 was prepared from epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 7 2}^{248}$ ( $2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 7.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 460, to obtain epoxy alkene 473 ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ over two steps) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.66$ (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $=+12.7\left(c 0.59, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36$ (m, 6 H), 5.56 (ddd, $J=17.3,10.2,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44-5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25$ (ddd, $J=10.3$, $1.5,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.76-3.68 (m, 1 H), 3.19 (dd, $J=7.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ (dd, $J=5.7$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $135.9,135.8,135.3,134.3,133.7,129.7,129.6,127.6,127.5,119.3,69.9,64.3,56.1$,
26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 353.1937$, found 353.1933.

### 7.2.11. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alkene 474



Diepoxy Alkene 474. To a solution of silyl ether 461 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.73$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 70 mL ) was added TBAF ( $5.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ M in THF, $5.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and, after this time, diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice and the organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy alkene 474 ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-15.8\left(c 0.27, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.47-5.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.4,9.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9$, $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.29-3.25 (m, 1 H), 3.05 (dd, $J=4.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.90$ (m, 1 H ), 2.81 (dd, $J=4.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.2,120.4,60.7,57.9,56.0$, 55.9, 53.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$143.0708, found 143.0696.

### 7.2.12. Synthesis of Triepoxy Alcohol 455. Cross-Metathesis of Olefins 473 and 474



Triepoxy Alcohol 455. Cross-Metathesis of Olefins 473 and 474. Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv), diepoxy alkene 474 ( 80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and epoxy alkene 473 ( 595 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain triepoxy alcohol 455 ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.51$ (silica gel, $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-30.3\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71-7.66$ (m, 4 H), 7.44-7.35 (m, 6 H), 5.69 (dd, $J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57$ (dd, $J=15.6,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.21-3.16$ (m, 2 H ), 3.11 (dd, $J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94$ (ddd, $J=6.4,4.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.34 (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.10 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.07 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.8,134.2,133.6,132.8,130.5,129.7,127.6,127.6,69.7,64.6,62.5,60.4$, $57.9,55.7,54.7,52.9,26.9,19.9,19.3$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 489.2073$, found 489.2073.

### 7.2.13. Synthesis of Tosyl Derivative 475



Tosyl Derivative 475. Triepoxy alcohol 455 ( 57 mg , 0.12 mmol , 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Over this solution was then added $p-\mathrm{TsCl}(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), TEA ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and 4-DMAP ( $0.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02$ equiv). After 3 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of water and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to obtain tosyl derivative $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to provide pure tosyl derivative 475 ( $3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-18.6\left(c 0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.81-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $7.71-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.67$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54$ (dd, $J$ $=15.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.17$ (m, 2 H ), 2.98 (dd, $J=4.0$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.3,135.9$, 135.8, 134.2, 134.1, 133.6, 133.1, 130.2, 130.0, 129.7, 129.6, 127.9, 127.6, 127.5, 69.7, 68.5, 64.6, 57.1, 54.8, 54.6, 53.8, 52.3, 26.9, 21.7, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 643.2162$, found 643.2161 .

### 7.2.14. Synthesis of lodide Derivative 476



Iodide Derivative 476. To a solution of crude tosyl derivative 475 ( $\sim 85 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry acetone ( 7 mL ) was added dry KI ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at room temperature, and the resulting crude mixture was refluxed for 5.5 hours. The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool to room temperature and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After separation of both phases, the organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to obtain iodide derivative 476 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the iodide derivative 476 ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=$ -19.4 (c $0.45 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 5.69$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.56 (dd, $J=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.69$ (m, 1 H), 3.35 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.28-3.21$ (m, 2 H), 3.20 (dd, $J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.10 (dd, $J=12.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.96-2.93 (m, 3 H ), 1.10 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07$ (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.9,135.8,134.2,133.6,132.9,130.3,129.7,129.6$,
$127.6,127.5,69.7,64.6,59.4,57.3,55.7,54.8,54.6,26.9,19.9,19.3,3.2$; HRMS (HESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 599.1091$, found 599.1088.

### 7.2.15. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 477



Allylic Alcohol 477. To a solution of the crude iodide derivative 476 ( $\sim 80 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 0.20 mmol, 2.0 equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min . After this time, the reaction mixture was then quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with MeOH followed by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude mixture purified by flash column chromatogaphy (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol 477 ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ over 3 steps from 455) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.57$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-20.9$ ( $c$ $0.28, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 5.94 (ddd, $J=17.3,10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-$ 4.09 (m, 1 H), 3.76-3.70 (m, 1 H), 3.40 (dd, $J=7.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.2,135.9,135.8,134.2,133.6$, 132.7, 130.9, 129.7, 129.6, 127.6, 127.5, 117.0, 71.5, 69.7, 64.5, 62.4, 55.0, 54.8, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 451.2305$, found 451.2301.

### 7.2.16. Synthesis of (-)-Depudecin (442)


(-)-Depudecin (442). Silyl derivative 477 ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 3 mL ) and over this solution was added TBAF ( $29 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.03 mmol , 1.2 equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 90 min , the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was then extracted with EtOAc and the organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford (-)-depudecin (442) ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.52$ (silica gel, EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-31.1\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{236}[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-35.8(c 0.52, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{245}[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-31.0\left(c 0.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{248}[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-29.6(c 0.12$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.94$ (ddd, $J=17.3,10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.72-$ 5.69 (m, 2 H), 5.40 (dt, $J=17.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.28 (dt, $J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ (d, $J$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.1$,
132.0, 131.5, 117.1, 71.5, 66.9, 64.1, 62.4, 55.3, 54.9, 20.0; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$213.1127, found 213.1115.

### 7.2.17. Synthesis of Diepoxy Iodide 479 and Diiodide 480. Iodination of Diepoxy Alcohol 474.



Diepoxy Iodide 479 and Diiodide 480. Iodination of Diepoxy Alcohol 474. To a solution of diepoxy alcohol 474 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added imidazole ( 72 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and iodine ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was vigorously stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy iodide $\mathbf{4 7 9}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%)$ and diiodide $\mathbf{4 8 0}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%)$ as yellow oils. [479]: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.90$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-35.8$ (c 0.87, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.58-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-$ $3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 134.1,120.5,60.1,59.6,57.1,55.6,3.2$; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{IO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 252.9726$, found 252.9698. [480]: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.81$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-29.4\left(c 0.31, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.09(\mathrm{dtd}, J$ $=15.4,7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{ddt}, J=15.2,5.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.90-3.86 (m, 2 H), 3.29-3.24 (m, 2 H), 3.10-3.06 (m, 1 H ), 2.94 (dd, $J=4.6,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 131.0,130.8,70.3$, 64.0, 55.9, 3.7, 3.4; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 380.8848$, found 380.8856 .

### 7.2.18. Synthesis of Dialkene 478



Dialkene 478. To a solution of iodide derivative $479(13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(50.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction was stirred for 5 min . The reaction mixture was then quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with MeOH followed by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc and the organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude mixture purified by flash column chromatogaphy (silica gel, 25\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dialkene $\mathbf{4 7 8}(6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.51$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-12.35\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.3,10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.40 (dt, $J=17.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27$ (dt, $J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.01 (bs, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.3,134.5,120.2,116.9,71.6$, 62.2, 56.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 127.0759$, found 127.0780.

### 7.2.19. Synthesis of Epoxy Alkene 481



Epoxy Alkene 481. Epoxy olefin 481 was prepared from epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{4 6 5}$ ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{4 6 0}$, to obtain epoxy alkene 481 ( $424 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ over two steps) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.67$ (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=$ -29.2 (c 0.56, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.64-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.27 (ddd, $J=10.2,1.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.71 (dd, $J=12.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.27 (dd, $J=7.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (ddd, $J=4.5,3.2$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 135.2, 119.4, 62.96, 60.3, 56.1, 25.9, 18.3, -5.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 215.1467$, found 215.1465.

### 7.2.20. Synthesis of Epoxy Alcohol 482



Epoxy Alcohol 482. Silyl ether 481 ( $424 \mathrm{mg}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 40 mL ) and to this solution was added TBAF (4.0 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $3.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and, after this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain epoxy alcohol 482 ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.27$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}=-19.8\left(c 0.31, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.60(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $17.3,10.0,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08$ (dt, $J=4.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.01 (bs, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.7,120.0$, 61.2, 59.9, 55.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$101.0603, found 101.0597.

### 7.2.21. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alcohol 469. Reduction of Diepoxy Amide 466



Diepoxy Alcohol 469. Reduction of Diepoxy Amide 466. Diepoxy amide 466 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BH}(3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 2.0 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was separated, extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice and the combined organic layers washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) provided diepoxy alcohol 469 ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) whose physical and spectroscopic properties were identical to those obtained from 468.

### 7.2.22. Synthesis of lodide Derivative 483



Iodide Derivative 483. To a solution of diepoxy alcohol 469 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.768 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added imidazole ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(302 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and iodine ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was vigorously stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain iodide derivative 483 (196 $\mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.68$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ 24.2 (c $0.62, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77-3.71$ (m, 1 H), 3.29-3.21 (m, 2 H), 3.15-3.06 (m, 2 H), 3.00 (dd, $J=4.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 61.9,59.9,56.1,55.7,52.8,25.9,18.4,3.5,-5.3$; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{IO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 371.0539$, found 371.0540.

### 7.2.23. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 484



Allylic Alcohol 484. A solution of diepoxy iodide 483 ( 196 mg , $0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 40 mL ) was reacted with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $1.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the same procedure as described above for the preparation of 477, to afford, after similar processing, allylic alcohol $484(116 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.47$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-33.1\left(c 0.87, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.95-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.3,1.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.6$, $1.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.2,3.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67$ (tdd, $J$ $=4.6,3.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.6,2.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41$ (bs, 1 H ), $0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.4$, 116.7, 71.9, 62.7, 58.2, 56.4, 25.8, 18.3, -5.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 245.1573$, found 245.1568 .

### 7.2.24. Synthesis of Bis(silyl ether) 485



Bis(silyl ether) 485. A solution of allylic alcohol 484 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with imidazole ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and TBDPSCl ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h and then poured into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain bis(silyl ether) derivative $\mathbf{4 8 5}$ ( 229 mg , quant.) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.89$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-9.45$ (c 0.12, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.80$ (ddd, $J=17.2,10.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07$ (dt, $J=10.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.09(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3$
H), 0.90 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,135.2,134.8,132.6,130.3,129.7$, $127.9,127.7,127.5,127.5,74.9,62.9,58.8,55.9,27.0,25.9,20.7,19.0,-5.3$; HRMS (HESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 483.2751$, found 483.2752.

### 7.2.25. Synthesis of Epoxy Ether 486



Epoxy Ether 486. A solution of bis(silyl ether) 485 ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ mixture ( $4: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was treated with CSA ( 11 mg , $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until depletion of starting material as judged by TLC ( 4.5 h ). Then, the reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(30 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and the resultant mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to obtain epoxy ether 486 ( $262 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.65$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}$ $\mathrm{D}=+28.6\left(c 0.52, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.97-5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.57-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 137.0,137.0,136.1$, 136.1, 136.0, 135.9, 135.9, 135.8, 133.8, 133.7, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 127.8, 127.8, $127.6,127.5,117.8,116.7,75.5,74.8,74.5,73.5,64.8,61.2,58.6,55.8,27.2,26.9,19.6$, 19.4; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 759.3513$, found 759.3506 .

### 7.2.26. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alcohol 487



Diepoxy Alcohol 487. Silyl derivative 483 ( $212 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ) and to this solution was added TBAF ( $1.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.15 h and, after this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was then extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ three times and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy alcohol 487 ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33$ (silica gel, 60\% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-21.4\left(c 0.24, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $1.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.17 (ddd, $J=7.3,4.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.13 (ddd, $J=8.5,3.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.12-3.06 (m, 1 H), $2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 60.4,59.8,55.9,55.8,52.8,3.5$; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{IO}_{3}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 256.9675$, found 256.9675 .

### 7.2.27. Synthesis of Diepoxy lodide 479. Olefination of Diepoxy Alcohol 487



Diepoxy Iodide 479. Olefination of Diepoxy Alcohol 487. Diepoxy olefin 479 was prepared from diepoxy alcohol $487(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 460, to obtain diepoxy alkene 479 ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ over two steps) whose physical and spectroscopic properties were identical to those obtained from 474.

### 7.2.28. Synthesis of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Ester 490


$\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$-Unsaturated Ester 490. To a solution of (-)-ethyl-L-lactate 488 ( $3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TEA ( $8.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 61.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv), $\operatorname{TBDPSCl}(7.89 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.73 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv) and 4-DMAP ( $683 \mathrm{mg}, 5.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 12 h and then diluted with brine and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain silyl derivative 489 ( 10 g , quant.) as a colourless oil which was used in the next step without further purification. A solution of silyl derivative $489(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 28.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then treated with DIBAL-H ( 28 mL , 1.0 M in toluene, $28.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The aqueous phase was then separated, the organic extract washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude aldehyde ( $\sim 28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used in the next step without purification. A solution of tributyl(ethoxycarbonylmethylene)phosphonium bromide ( $11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 35.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was washed twice with a 1.0 M aqueous NaOH solution ( 60 mL ), then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and diluted with toluene ( 40 mL ). After removing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under reduced pressure, the resulting solution was added to a stirred solution of the crude aldehyde and benzoic acid ( $682 \mathrm{mg}, 5.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min at this temperature, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting residue purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to provide the corresponding $\alpha, \beta-$ unsaturated ester 490 ( $9.2 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ over two steps) as a colourless oil and whose spectroscopic and physical properties matched with those described in the literature: ${ }^{261} \mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.69$ (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+11.2\left(c 0.89, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (ddd, $J=15.5,4.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 6.07 (ddd, $J=15.5,2.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.56-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24$ (qdd, $J=7.0,3.1$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.33 (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.18 (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15$ (s, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13}{ }^{13}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.8,151.5,135.9,135.8,134.1,133.5,129.1,128.3$,
127.7, 127.6, 119.2, 68.7, 60.3, 27.0, 23.4, 19.3, 14.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$383.2043, found 383.2040.

### 7.2.29. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 491



Allylic Alcohol 491. A solution of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 490 ( 9.0 g , 23.53 mmol , 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H ( $70 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $70.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol 491 $(7.2 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a colourless oil and whose spectroscopic and physical properties matched with those described in the literature: ${ }^{261} \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-15.6\left(c 0.43, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-$ $7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{p}, J$ $=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07$ (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.0,135.9,135.8,134.5,134.4,129.6,129.5$, 127.8, 127.5, 127.4, 69.7, 63.1, 27.0, 24.2, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 341.1937$, found 341.1938.

### 7.2.30. Synthesis of Epoxy Alcohol 492



Epoxy Alcohol 492. To a suspension of titanium tetraisopropoxide ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.35$ equiv) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 15.0 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (+)-L-DET ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.35$ equiv) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min at this temperature, a solution of allylic alcohol $491\left(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, followed by the addition, after additional 30 min , of TBHP $(8.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in decane, $46.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at the same temperature. After 12 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left(6.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 108 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.6\right.$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain epoxy alcohol 492 ( $7.4 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.7\left(c 0.9, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73-7.67(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H), 7.45-7.37 (m, 6 H ), 3.71 (dd, $J=12.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68-3.63 (m, 1 H ), 3.41 (dd, $J=12.7,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,135.8,133.9,133.8$, 129.8, 129.7, 127.7, 127.6, 68.7, 61.3, 58.8, 57.4, 26.9, 20.9, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 357.1886$, found 357.1876.

### 7.2.31. Synthesis of Epoxy Alkene 493



Epoxy Alkene 493. Epoxy olefin 493 was prepared from epoxy alcohol 492 ( $4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 12.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{4 6 0}$, to obtain epoxy alkene 493 ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.69$ (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $=-11.7\left(c 0.67, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36$ (m, 6 H), 5.56-5.44 (m, 1 H), 5.32 (dd, $J=17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{p}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.97$, 135.88, 135.2, 134.8, 134.0, 133.7, 129.8, 129.7, 127.7, 119.3, 69.1, 63.5, 57.7, 26.9, 20.9, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 353.1937$, found 353.1935.

### 7.2.32. Synthesis of Triepoxy Alcohol 494



Triepoxy Alcohol 494. A solution of diepoxy olefin 474 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and epoxy olefin 493 ( $744 \mathrm{mg}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7$ mL ) was reacted with Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) according to the same procedure as described above for the synthesis of $\mathbf{4 5 5}$, to afford triepoxy alcohol 494 ( $179 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, $60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+26.5$ (c 0.39, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.60$ (dd, $J=15.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-$ 3.58 (m, 1 H ), 3.34 (dd, $J=7.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.19 (dt, $J=3.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.11 (dd, $J$ $=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9$, 135.8, 133.8, 133.7, 132.7, 130.2, 129.8, 129.7, 127.67, 127.6, 68.9, 63.9, 60.5, 57.9, 56.0, 55.8, 54.8, 53.1, 26.8, 20.8, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 489.2074$, found 489.2073.

### 7.2.33. Synthesis of Tosyl Derivative 495



Tosyl Derivative 495. The tosylation of triepoxy alcohol 494 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was achieved in exactly the same way as described above fot $\mathbf{4 7 5}$, to obtain tosyl derivative 495 ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.14$ mmol ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to provide pure tosyl derivative 495 ( $5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.83$ (silica gel, $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+20.6\left(c 0.34, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.82-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.64(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H), 7.44-7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.40-7.34 (m, 6 H), 5.61-5.52 (m, 1 H), 5.41 (dd, $J=15.7,7.5$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.57(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 3.32-3.27 (m, 1 H), 3.23-3.20 (m, 1 H), 3.00 (dd, $J=3.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.86$ (m, 2 H), $2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.3,135.9,135.8,133.9,133.8,133.7,133.0$, $132.6,130.0,129.8,129.7,127.9,127.7,127.6,68.9,68.5,63.8,57.1,55.9,54.9,53.8$, 52.3, 26.9, 21.7, 20.8, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 643.2162 , found 643.2160 .

### 7.2.34. Synthesis of lodide Derivative 496



Iodide Derivative 496. The iodination of crude tosyl derivative $495(\sim 98 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was carried out according to the procedure described above for 476, to afford iodide derivative 496 ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $6.5 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain pure iodide $496(4.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $91 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.66$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+12.1$ (c $0.34 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $5.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (dd, $J=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.05 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,135.8,133.8,133.7,132.9,130.0$, $129.9,129.8,127.7,127.6,68.9,63.9,59.4,57.3,55.9,55.7,54.8,26.9,20.8,19.2,3.1$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 599.1091$, found 599.1090.

### 7.2.35. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 497



Allylic Alcohol 497. A solution of diepoxy iodide 496 (~91 $\mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was reacted with $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the same procedure as described above for the preparation of 477, to afford, after similar processing, allylic alcohol 497 ( 50 mg , $91 \%$ over 3 steps): $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+18.9\left(c 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-$ 7.34 (m, 6 H ), 5.96 (ddd, $J=17.3,10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.45 (dd, $J=12.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60$ ( $\mathrm{p}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90$ (dd, $J=7.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.2$, 135.9, 135.8, 133.8, 133.7, 132.6, 130.6, 129.8, 129.7, 127.7, 127.6, 117.0, 71.6, 69.0, 63.8, 62.4, 56.2, 55.1, 26.9, 20.8, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 451.2305$, found 451.2315 .

### 7.2.36. Synthesis of 10-epi-Depudecin (498)



10-epi-Depudecin (498). Silyl derivative 497 ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was desilylated by the action of TBAF ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in the same way as desrcribed above for (-)-depudecin (442) to afford 10 -epi-depudecin ( $\mathbf{4 9 8}$ ) ( $6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.52$ (silica gel, EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+33.3\left(c 0.9, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.94$ (ddd, $J=17.3,10.6$, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.6,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.1,132.2,131.4,117.1,71.5,64.6,63.5,62.4,54.9,53.5,18.7$; HRMS (HESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$213.1127, found 213.1129.

### 7.2.37. Synthesis of Diepoxy Amide ent-466



Diepoxy Amide ent-466. Epoxy alcohol ent-465 ${ }^{329}$ (133 $\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.62 \mathrm{mmol} 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{DMSO}(1: 1)$ mixture ( 2.5 mL ) and cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At this temperature, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added followed by $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \operatorname{pyr}(175 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.8$ equiv). The reaction mixture was allowed to reach $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and, after 5 h , was quenched by addition of a buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine, then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The resulting crude aldehyde was used in the next step without further purification. The crude aldehyde (1.0 equiv) was reacted with sulfonium salt ent$457^{249,250}$ ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(5.0 \mathrm{M}$ aqueous solution, 0.13 mL , $0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the procedure described above for epoxy amide 466 to yield diepoxy amide ent-466 ( $179 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ over 2 steps) as a pale yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.21$ (Silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+26.7\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.27$ (ddd, $J=8.4,4.7,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (ddd, $J=9.2,5.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.88-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29$ (dd, $J=3.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.07 (dq, $J=3.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.58-2.49 (m, 1 H ), 2.47-2.39 (m, 1 H ), 2.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.80-1.73 (m, 1 H ), 1.58 (s, 3 H ), 1.47 ( s, 3 H ), 0.83 ( s, 9 H ), 0.01 (s, 3 H ), $0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.9,95.9,67.0,61.6,56.3,55.9$, 55.5, 51.7, 51.2, 34.4, 30.6, 26.2, 25.6, 22.9, 18.3, 15.7, -3.6, -5.4; HRMS (H-ESI) m/e calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 432.2240$, found 432.2242.

[^115]
### 7.2.38. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alkene ent-461



Diepoxy Alkene ent-461. Diepoxy olefin ent-461 ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ over two steps) was prepared from epoxy amide ent-466 (190 mg, $0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with Red-Al and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 461. [ent-461]: colorless oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.87$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+29.9$ (c $0.45, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.63-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.10 (ddd, $J=4.0,2.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (dd, $J=4.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.91 (dd, $J$ $=4.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 134.4,120.2,62.1,57.9,56.0,55.9,53.3,25.8,18.3,-5.4$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$257.1573, found 257.1576.

### 7.2.39. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alcohol ent-474



Diepoxy alcohol ent-474. Diepoxy alcohol ent-474 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) was prepared from silyl ether ent-461 $(67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by treatment with TBAF according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 474. [ent-474]: colourless oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.32$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+23.3\left(c 0.33, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.47-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (ddd, $J=11.4,9.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.53 (dd, $J=12.9,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.2,120.4,60.7$, 57.9, 56.0, 55.9, 53.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 143.0708$, found 143.0712 .

### 7.2.40. Synthesis of Epoxy Alkene ent-473



Epoxy Alkene ent-473. Epoxy olefin ent-473 was prepared from epoxy alcohol ent-472 ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ - pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 460, to obtain epoxy alkene ent-473 (3.3 g, $87 \%$ over two steps) whose spectroscopic and physical properties were identical to epoxy alkene 473, except for its specific rotation: $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-11.5\left(c \quad 0.45, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (HESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 353.1937$, found 353.1936.

### 7.2.41. Synthesis of Triepoxy Alcohol ent-455



Triepoxy Alcohol ent-455. A solution of diepoxy olefin ent-474 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and epoxy olefin ent-473 ( $245 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was reacted with Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) according to the same procedure as described above for the synthesis of $\mathbf{4 5 5}$, to afford triepoxy alcohol ent-455 ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ )
as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, $60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+28.7$ (c 0.41, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.70$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.58 (dd, $J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.76-3.68 (m, 2 H), 3.37 (dd, $J=7.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.19-3.17 (m, 1 H), 3.10 (dd, $J=4.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.95 (dd, $J=5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (dd, $J=4.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.54-1.49 (bs), 1.11 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.08 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,135.8,134.2,133.6,132.8,130.5,129.7,129.6,127.6$, 127.5, 69.7, 64.6, 60.5, 57.9, 55.8, 54.8, 54.6, 53.0, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 489.2073$, found 489.2066.

### 7.2.42. Synthesis of Tosyl Derivative ent-475



Tosyl Derivative ent-475. The tosylation of triepoxy alcohol ent-455 ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was achieved in exactly the same way as described above for $\mathbf{4 7 5}$, to obtain tosyl derivative ent-475 ( 35 mg , $\sim 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.0029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain tosyl derivative ent-475 ( $1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.74$ (silica gel, $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=$ $+11.3\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.82-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.66(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), $7.45-7.34$ (m, 8 H ), 5.68 (dd, $J=15.6,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.54 (dd, $J=15.7,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.32 (dd, $J=7.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.23-3.20 (m, 1 H), 3.19 (dd, $J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ (dd, $J=4.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $145.3,135.9,135.8,134.2,133.6,133.1,132.6,130.2,130.0,129.7,129.6,127.9,127.6$, 127.5, 69.7, 68.5, 64.6, 57.1, 54.8, 54.6, 53.8, 52.3, 26.9, 26.8, 21.7, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 643.2162$, found 643.2153 .

### 7.2.43. Synthesis of lodide Derivative ent-476



Iodide Derivative ent-476. The iodination of crude tosyl derivative ent-475 ( $\sim 32 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was carried out according to the procedure described above for 476, to afford iodide derivative ent-476 $(30 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.037$ mmol ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.0037 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain pure iodide ent-476 (2.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.83$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+11.1$ (c $0.30 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 5.69 (dd, $J=15.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.56 (dd, $J=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.28-3.23 (m, 2 H), $3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.97-2.94 (m, 3 H ), 1.11 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.88,135.84,134.19,133.64,132.96,130.31,129.69,129.67,127.60,127.6$, 69.7, 64.6, 59.4, 57.3, 55.7, 54.7, 54.6, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3, 3.1; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{IO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 577.1271$, found 577.1259.

### 7.2.44. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol ent-477



Allylic Alcohol ent-477. A solution of crude iodide ent-476 ( $\sim 24 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 4 mL ) was reacted with $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(41.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 0.066 mmol , 2.0 equiv) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the same procedure as described above for the preparation of 477, to afford, after similar processing, allylic alcohol ent-477 ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ over 3 steps) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.48$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+16.9\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71-$ 7.66 (m, 4 H), 7.46-7.34 (m, 6 H), 5.94 (ddd, $J=17.3,10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67$ (dd, $J=$ $15.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28$ (dt, $J=10.6$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.20 (dd, $J=7.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (dd, $J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.2,135.9,135.8,133.1,133.0,132.6,130.9,129.7,129.6,127.6$, 127.5, 117.0, 71.5, 69.7, 64.5, 62.4, 54.9, 54.7, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 451.2305$, found 451.2315 .

### 7.2.45. Synthesis of (+)-Depudecin (ent-442)


(+)-Depudecin (ent-442). Silyl derivative ent-477 ( 8 mg , $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was desilylated by the action of TBAF ( $0.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in the same way as desrcribed above for (-)-depudecin (1) to afford (+)depudecin (ent-442) ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, EtOAc ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+35.6\left(c 0.38, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.2,10.6$, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.6,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.1,132.0,131.5,117.1,71.5,66.9,64.1,62.4,55.3,54.9,20.0 ;$ HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$213.1127, found 213.1118.

### 7.2.46. Synthesis of Epoxy Alkene 501



Epoxy Alkene 501. Epoxy alkene $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ was prepared from epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{5 0 0}^{249 \mathrm{c}}$ ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 460, to obtain epoxy alkene $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ ( $312 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.73$ (silica gel,

20\% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-35.5\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.03$ (dtd, $J=15.6,5.3,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50$ (dt, $J=8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58$ (dd, $J=10.2,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.29 (dd, $J=7.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.79 (dd, $J=7.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.71-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.1,135.8,133.7,129.7,127.7,118.9,65.9,62.1,57.2,38.1,26.9,19.4,12.7$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 367.2093$, found 367.2090.

### 7.2.47. Synthesis of Triepoxy Alcohol 502



Triepoxy Alcohol 502. A solution of epoxy olefin 501 ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and diepoxy olefin 474 ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was reacted with Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.20$ equiv) according to the same procedure as described above for the synthesis of $\mathbf{4 5 5}$, to afford triepoxy alcohol 502 (103 mg, 50\%) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-12.9\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ - 7.33 (m, 6 H ), 5.74 (dd, $J=15.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97$ (d, $J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.10 (dd, $J=4.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ (ddd, $J=8.8,5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ $1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $135.6,133.8,133.6,130.2,129.7,127.7,65.8,62.5,60.5,57.9,55.8,54.9,53.1,38.0$, 29.7, 26.9, 19.3, 12.7; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 481.2410$, found 481.2408.

### 7.2.48. Synthesis of Tosyl Derivative 503



Tosyl Derivative 503. The tosylation of triepoxy alcohol 502 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was achieved in exactly the same way as described above for 475, to obtain tosyl derivative 503 (44 $\mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain tosyl derivative 503 ( $9.6 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.76$ (silica gel, $60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-30.5\left(c 0.30, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.84-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ $-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6$, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.62$ (m, 3 H), 3.35 (dd, $J=7.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.19$ (m, 2 H), $3.16-3.07$ (m, 2 H), 2.92 - 2.85 (m, 1 H ), 2.46 (s, 3 H ), $1.75-1.67$ (m, 1 H ), 1.06 (s, 9 H ), 0.99 (d, J = $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.6,133.6,130.0,129.7,128.0,127.7,68.5,65.7$, 62.6, 55.7, 52.3, 45.9, 38.0, 29.7, 26.9, 21.7, 19.3, 12.7, 8.6; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 635.2499$, found 635.2496 .

### 7.2.49. Synthesis of lodide Derivative 504



Iodide Derivative 504. The iodination of crude tosyl derivative 503 ( $\sim 34 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was carried out according to the procedure described above for 476, to afford iodide derivative $\mathbf{5 0 4}$ ( 30 mg , $\sim 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain pure iodide $\mathbf{5 0 4}(9.4 \mathrm{mg}$, $94 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.86$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-10.2$ ( $c$ $0.20 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 5.74 (dd, $J=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.6,133.9,133.6,130.0,129.7,127.7,65.8,62.5,59.5,57.3,55.7$, 54.9, 38.0, 29.7, 26.9, 19.3, 12.7, 3.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{IO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 591.1428$, found 591.1425 .

### 7.2.50. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 505



Allylic Alcohol 505. A solution of crude iodide 504 ( $\sim 22 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was reacted with $n$-BuLi ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 0.074 mmol, 2.0 equiv) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the same procedure as described above for the preparation of 477, to afford, after similar processing, allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 0 5}$ ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ over 3 steps) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-12.8\left(c 0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.3,10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.27 (dt, $J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.68 (ddd, $J=22.0,10.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.43 (dd, $J=7.2$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.2,135.6,133.6,130.6,130.0,129.7,127.7,117.0,71.6,65.8,62.4$, 55.1, 38.0, 29.7, 26.9, 19.3, 14.1, 12.6; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 465.2461$, found 465.2460 .

### 7.2.51. Synthesis of Homodepudecin (506)



Homodepudecin (506). Silyl derivative 505 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was desilylated by the action of TBAF ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in the same way as desrcribed above for (-)-depudecin (442) to afford homodepudecin (506) (7 mg, 97\%) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.52 (silica gel, EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}=-21.1\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$
5.94 (ddd, $J=17.3,10.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72-5.68$ (m, 2 H), $5.43-5.37$ (m, 1 H$), 5.28$ (dt, $J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0$, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.26-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.2,132.9,131.0,117.1,71.5,65.9,63.4$, 62.4, 55.9, 54.9, 38.0, 13.0; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$227.1283, found 227.1280.

### 7.2.52. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Alcohol 514



Cyclopropyl Alcohol 514. Et 2 Zn ( $10.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 10.9 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added to a solution of dimethoxyethane (1.1 $\mathrm{mL})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(36 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and over the resulting solution was added $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( $1.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4$ equiv) dropwise over 20 min . After stirring the reaction mixture for 10 min at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of borolane $\mathbf{5 1 2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.93 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 5 min followed by the inmediate addition of a solution of allylic alcohol $513\left(1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.5$ $\mathrm{mL})$. Then, the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the reaction was quenched by sequential addition of a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and an aqueous 1.0 N HCl solution, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Then, a mixture of a 2 N NaOH solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL}) / 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the ether phase and the mixture was stirred for 5 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the ether layer was separate and was washed sequentially with saturated NH 4 Cl solution, saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford cyclopropyl 514 ( $952 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.76$ (silica gel, $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-9.9\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.68-3.58$ (m, 2 H), $3.50-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.50(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3,5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.44(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 66.6, 65.8, 25.9, 19.4, 19.3, 7.8, -5.2, -5.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$217.1624, found 217.1622.

### 7.2.53. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Alkene 515



Cyclopropyl Alkene 515. Cyclopropyl alkene 515 was prepared from cyclopropyl alcohol $\mathbf{5 1 4}$ ( $950 \mathrm{mg}, 4.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$. pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{4 6 0}$, to obtain cyclopropyl alkene $\mathbf{5 1 5}$ ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.85$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-15.9$ (c $0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.41$ (ddd, $J=17.1,10.2,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.04 (ddd, $J=17.1,1.7,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8$, $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33$ (ddd, $J=13.2,8.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ (s, 9 H ), $0.71-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.60(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100
$\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.2,111.8,65.6,25.9,22.9,20.2,18.4,11.5,-5.1$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{OSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 213.1675$, found 213.1672.

### 7.2.54. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Alcohol 516



Cyclopropyl Alcohol 516. Silyl derivative 515 ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 15 mL ) and to this solution was added TBAF ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $3.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was then extracted with EtOAc and the organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford cyclopropyl alcohol $\mathbf{5 1 6}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-30.2\left(c 0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.41$ (ddd, $J=17.1,10.2,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.07 (ddd, $J=17.1$, $1.6,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35$ (ddd, $J=13.4,8.4,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.71-0.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.6,112.4,66.3,22.9,20.6,11.6$; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 99.0809$, found 99.0808 .

### 7.2.55. Synthesis of Aldehyde 517



Aldehyde 517. To a solution of cyclopropyl alcohol 516 ( 105 mg , $1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $1: 1 \mathrm{DMSO}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture ( 2.2 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) followed by $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}$ ( $426 \mathrm{mg}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature until depletion of the starting alcohol as judged by TLC ( $\sim 4 \mathrm{~h})$. After this time, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde ( $\sim 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of the crude aldehyde in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (formylmethylene) triphenylphosphorane ( $671 \mathrm{mg}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h . After this time the solvent was removed under reduced pressure at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aldehyde $\mathbf{5 1 7}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \%$ over two steps) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-10.8$ (c 0.09, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.18 (dd, $J=15.4,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.0,10.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $17.0,1.3,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.21-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 193.1, 161.1, 138.2, 130.4, 114.5, 27.5, 24.7, 17.4; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$123.0809, found 123.0807 .

### 7.2.56. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Alcohol 519



Cyclopropyl Alcohol 519. Cyclopropyl alcohol 519 was prepared from alcohol $518(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 9.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{5 1 4}$ to obtain cyclopropyl alcohol $\mathbf{5 1 9}$ ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.70$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-39.5\left(c 0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.65$ -5.57 (m, 1 H ), 5.24 (ddt, $J=15.2,8.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ - 3.46 (m, 1 H), $3.47-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.25$ (m, 2 H ), $0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.69-0.61$ (m, 2 H ), 0.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 133.1,127.6,66.4,63.8,26.0,22.9$, 19.2, 18.5, 11.6, -5.1 ; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 243.1780$, found 243.1781.

### 7.2.57. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Alkene 520



Cyclopropyl Alkene 520. Cyclopropyl alkene 520 was prepared from cyclopropyl alcohol $\mathbf{5 1 9}(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 9.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{4 6 0}$, to obtain cyclopropyl alkene $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ over two steps) as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $100 \%$ hexanes) to obtain cyclopropyl alkene $\mathbf{5 2 0}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ over two steps) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.95$ (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-25.1$ (c 0.30, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.61(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.46-5.34$ (m, 1 H ), 5.25 (ddt, $J=15.2,8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.05 (dd, $J=17.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87$ (dd, $J=$ $10.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11$ (dd, $J=5.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.48-1.37$ (m, 2 H ), 0.91 (s, 9 H ), 0.49 (ddd, $J=8.4,5.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.36-0.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.5,132.9,127.6,112.2,63.8,26.0,24.4,23.3,18.5,14.9,-5.1 ;$ HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{OSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$239.1831, found 239.1830.

### 7.2.58. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 521



Allylic Alcohol 521. Silyl derivative 520 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was desilylated by the action of TBAF ( $8.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $8.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in the same way as desrcribed above for $\mathbf{5 1 6}$ to afford $\mathbf{5 2 1}$ ( $513 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $2.5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-26.3\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.75$ $-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89$ (dd, $J=10.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.11-4.04$ (m, 2 H), $1.49-1.41$ (m, 2 H ), $0.91-0.83$ (m, 2 H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.2,135.1,127.2,112.5,63.6,24.6,23.3,15.0 ;$ HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$125.0966, found 125.0964.

### 7.2.59. Synthesis of Epoxy Cyclopropyl Alkene 511



Epoxy Cyclopropyl Alkene 511. To a suspension of titanium tetraisopropoxide ( $0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.23$ equiv) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 5.0 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(+)$-L-DET $\left(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25\right.$ equiv) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min at this temperature, a solution of allylic alcohol 521 ( $513 \mathrm{mg}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, followed by the addition, after additional 30 min , of TBHP ( $1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in decane, $6.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at the same temperature. After 15 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.6\right.$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain epoxy alcohol 511 ( $603 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-18.6\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.36$ (ddd, $J=17.1,10.2,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.01 (ddd, $J=17.0,1.7,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.83 (ddd, $J=$ $10.2,1.7,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.43 (dd, $J=7.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.23-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-0.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.41-0.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 141.6, 111.54, 66.9, 21.9, 21.2, 18.2, 12.3, 8.7; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$141.0916, found 141.0913.

### 7.2.60. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Alcohol 523



Cyclopropyl Alcohol 523. Cyclopropyl alcohol 523 was prepared from allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 2 2}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{5 1 4}$ to obtain cyclopropyl alcohol $\mathbf{5 2 3}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-12.1\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72-7.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.34-0.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,135.9,134.5,134.4,129.6$, 129.6, 127.6, 127.5, 72.4, 66.6, 26.9, 25.3, 19.8, 7.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$355.2093, found 355.2091.

### 7.2.61. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Alkene 510



Cyclopropyl Alkene 510. Cyclopropyl alkene 510 was prepared from cyclopropyl alcohol $\mathbf{5 2 3}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{4 6 0}$, to obtain cyclopropyl alkene 510 ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ over two steps) as a yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $100 \%$ hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.92$ (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-36.2$ ( $c 0.20$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.40$ $-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94$ (ddd, $J=17.1,1.8,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84-4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dq}, J$ $=12.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.52-$
0.45 (m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.3,136.0,135.9,129.5,129.5,127.5$, 127.5, 111.6, 72.5, 28.8, 27.0, 23.5, 21.0, 19.2, 10.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{OSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 351.2144$, found 351.2142.

### 7.2.62. Synthesis of Epoxy Ester 533



Epoxy Ester 533. To a solution of epoxy alcohol 473 (1.2 $\mathrm{g}, 3.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $1: 1 \mathrm{DMSO}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture ( 7 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) followed by $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \operatorname{pyr}\left(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 8.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5\right.$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature until depletion of the starting alcohol as judged by TLC ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~h}$ ). After this time, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde ( $\sim 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was used in the next step without further purification. The crude aldehyde ( $\sim 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), triethyl 4 -phosphonocrotonate (532) ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.76$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv), LiOH ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 4.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 5 g ) were dissolved in THF ( 15 mL ) and refluxed for 15 h . After this time, the mixture was filtered through a pad of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain ester $\mathbf{5 3 3}$ ( $707 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.54$ (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-10.8$ (c 0.71, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ - 7.19 (m, 1 H ), 6.41 (dd, $J=15.3,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ (d, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78$ (dd, $J$ $=15.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12$ (d, $J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.8,142.7,138.4,135.9$, $135.9,134.1,133.6,131.3,129.7,129.7,127.6,127.6,122.3,69.6,65.0,60.5,55.0,26.9$, 19.9, 19.3, 14.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 451.2305$, found 451.2303.

### 7.2.63. Synthesis of Cyclopropyl Ester 535



Cyclopropyl Ester 535. Cyclopropyl ester 535 was prepared from cyclopropyl alcohol 523 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 533, to obtain cyclopropyl ester 535 (320 $\mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.85$ (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-18.66$ (c $0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.0,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56$ (dd, $J=15.0,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.28$ (m, 2 H ), $1.21-1.16$ (m, 4 H ), 1.08 (d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04$ (s, 9 H$), 0.71-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2$
H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.5,147.6,145.0,135.9,135.9,135.9,134.3,134.2$, 129.6, 129.6, 127.6, 117.9, 72.3, 69.6, 60.1, 30.4, 27.0, 21.2, 19.3, 14.4, 12.6; HRMS (HESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 449.2512$, found 449.2511 .

### 7.2.64. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 531



Allylic Alcohol 531. A solution of ester $535(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 0.56 $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol $531(70 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.75$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-15.7\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72$ -7.64 (m, 4 H ), $7.44-7.34$ (m, 6 H ), 6.17 (dd, $J=15.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96$ (dd, $J=$ $15.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.1,10.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 4.17 (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.59-0.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.36-0.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.2,136.0,135.9,135.9,134.5,134.3,132.1,129.6,129.5,128.5,127.5$, 127.1, 72.4, 66.6, 63.7, 29.4, 27.0, 23.6, 19.3, 11.6; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$407.2406, found 407.2404.

### 7.2.65. Synthesis of Ester 545



Ester 545. Ester 545 was prepared from allylic alcohol 522 (500 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{5 3 3}$, to obtain ester $\mathbf{5 4 5}$ ( 525 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ over two steps) as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-10.1\left(c 0.93, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69-7.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19$ (dd, $J=15.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 167.1, 146.5, 144.3, 135.8, 134.2, 133.7, 129.7, 129.7, 127.6, 126.2, 120.9, 69.4, 60.3, 26.9, 23.8, 19.3, 14.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 409.2199$, found 409.2197.

### 7.2.66. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 546



Allylic Alcohol 546. A solution of ester 545 ( $525 \mathrm{mg}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H ( $5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $5.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol 546 ( $423 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a pale colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $=+21.5\left(c 0.66, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-$ 7.33 (m, 6 H ), 6.19 (ddt, $J=15.1,10.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03$ (dd, $J=15.4,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.72 (ddd, $J=13.6,10.0,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (t, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.3,135.9$, $135.9,134.5,134.1,131.3,131.2,129.6,129.5,127.7,127.5,127.5,69.7,63.5,27.0$, 24.2, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 367.2093$, found 367.2096.

### 7.2.67. Synthesis of Epoxy Alcohol 548



Epoxy Alcohol 548. To a solution of allylic alcohol 546 ( 1.1 g , $3.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 7.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.3$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $m$-CPBA ( $914 \mathrm{mg}, 4.08$ mmol, 1.3 equiv) at $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting mixture was stirred at this temperature for 4 h . After this time the reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 5 minutes at the same temperature. Then, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to obtain epoxy alcohol $548(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.68$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.34$ (m, 12 H ), 5.95 (dd, $J=11.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.91 (dd, $J=11.1,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.32 (ddd, $J=$ $15.5,8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.20 (ddd, $J=15.5,8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.39-4.31$ (m, 2 H ), 3.95 (dd, $J=5.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.92 (dd, $J=4.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.70-3.61$ (m, 1 H ), 3.34 (ddd, $J=13.2,8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.18-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.3,140.2$, $135.9,135.9,135.9,134.3,134.3,133.9,133.9,129.7,129.6,127.6,127.5,125.3,125.0$, 69.4, 69.2, 61.2, 60.1, 60.1, 55.3, 55.2, 27.0, 23.9, 23.9, 19.3, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$383.2043, found 383.2046.

### 7.2.68. Synthesis of Aldehyde 549



Aldehyde 549. Aldehyde 549 was prepared from epoxy alcohol 548 ( $574 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot$ pyr and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCHO}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 467, to obtain aldehyde 549 ( 387 mg , $63 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.75$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $7.69-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53$ (dd, $J=6.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.7,3.8,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38-6.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97$ (dd, $J=8.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.5,7.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 5.24 (ddd, $J=15.5,7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ $-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.5,152.1$, $141.1,140.9,135.9,135.9,134.2,133.9,133.6,133.6,129.7,129.7,127.6,127.5,124.3$, 124.1, 69.2, 69.2, 61.1, 60.9, 58.1, 57.9, 26.9, 23.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 407.2044$, found 407.2041.

### 7.2.69. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 550



Allylic Alcohol 550. A solution of aldehyde 549 ( 387 mg , $0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol 550 ( $265 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.32$ (m, 12 H ), 6.09 (ddd, $J=5.6,5.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.05 (ddd, $J=5.7,5.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.91 (dd, $J=10.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87$ (dd, $J=10.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52$ (dt, $J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 5.31 (ddd, $J=15.5,7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.20 (ddd, $J=15.5,7.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-$ 4.29 (m, 2 H ), 4.23 - 4.15 (m, 4 H), 3.16 (dtd, $J=18.0,8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.18 - 1.12 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.8,139.6,135.9,135.9$, $135.9,134.3,134.3,133.9,133.9,129.6,129.6,128.2,128.1,127.5,127.5,125.5,125.2$, 69.4, 69.3, 62.7, 62.7, 59.9, 59.8, 59.6, 59.6, 27.0, 23.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 409.2199$, found 409.2195 .

### 7.2.70. Synthesis of Tosyl Derivative 552



Tosyl Derivative 552. To a suspension of titanium tetraisopropoxide ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.23$ equiv) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 1.0 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (+)-L-DET ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25$ equiv) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min at this temperature, a solution of allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 5 0}(265 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, followed by the addition, after additional 30 min , of TBHP ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in decane, $0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at the same temperature. After 15 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{Me} 2 \mathrm{~S}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2.96 mmol , 4.6 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy alcohol 551 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 72 \%$, impurified with $\sim 100 \mathrm{mg}$ of $(+)$-DET) as a colourless oil which was used in the next steps without further purification. Diepoxy alcohol 551 obtained above ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Over this solution was then added $p$ $\mathrm{TsCl}(162 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), TEA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and $4-$ DMAP ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02$ equiv). After 3 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of water and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to obtain tosyl derivative $\mathbf{5 5 2}$ ( $245 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide pure tosyl derivative 552 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.80$ (silica gel, $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.87-$ 7.77 (m, 4 H), $7.68-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{td}, J=15.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 5.22 (ddd, $J=15.6,7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.12 (ddd, $J=15.4,7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32$ (dd, $J=11.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=11.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 4.1, 2.0 Hz, 1 H ), 2.89 (dd, $J=4.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.72 (ddd, $J=9.8,4.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.2,140.9,140.7,135.9,135.9,134.2,134.2,133.9,132.6,130.0,129.7,128.6,128.0$, $128.0,127.5,127.5,124.3,124.2,69.2,69.2,68.8,68.7,62.8,61.6,57.2,57.0,56.4,55.4$, 54.6, 54.1, 52.8, 52.3, 29.7, 26.9, 23.9, 21.8, 19.2, 1.0; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$579.2237, found 579.2235.

### 7.2.71. Synthesis of lodide Derivative 553



Iodide Derivative 553. The iodination of crude tosyl derivative 552 ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was carried out according to the procedure described above for 476, to afford iodide derivative 553 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which did not require further purification for the next step. For analytical purposes, a sample of this crude ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel,
$10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to afford pure iodide derivative 553 ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.68-$ 7.62 (m, 8 H), $7.44-7.35$ (m, 12 H ), 5.92 (dddd, $J=15.5,11.6,5.4,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24$ (ddd, $J=15.5,7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.14 (ddd, $J=15.5,8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.36-4.29$ (m, 2 H), 4.16 (dq, $J=15.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.29-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-$ 2.90 (m, 2 H ), 2.80 (ddd, $J=8.9,4.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.17 - 1.13 (m, 6 H), 1.08 - 1.04 (m, 18 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8,140.6,135.9,135.9,134.2,134.2,133.9$, 129.7, 127.6, 127.5, 124.5, 124.4, 69.3, 69.2, 60.1, 59.7, 57.4, 57.2, 56.5, 56.1, 55.6, 55.4, 26.9, 23.9, 19.2, 1.0; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 535.1165$, found 535.1163.

### 7.2.72. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 554



Allylic Alcohol 554. A solution of iodide 553 ( $\sim 65 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.12$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 9 mL ) was reacted with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(0.15$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the same procedure as described above for the preparation of 477, to afford, after similar processing, allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 5 4}$ ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ over 3 steps from 10) as a colourless oil: Flash column chromatogaphy (silica gel, 15\% EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.69-7.62$ (m, 8 H ), $7.44-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5.98-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.44-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.25$ (m, 3 H ), 5.17 (ddd, $J=15.4,8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.06$ (m, 1 H), $3.38-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 18$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.5,140.3,136.4,135.9,135.9,135.4,134.3,133.9$, $129.6,129.6,127.5,127.5,125.2,124.8,117.8,116.8,71.6,70.1,69.4,69.2,62.3,61.6$, 55.6, 54.5, 26.9, 23.9, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 409.2199$, found 409.2196.

### 7.2.73. Synthesis of Depudecin Analogue 555



Depudecin Analogue 555. Silyl derivative 554 ( 25 mg , 0.06 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was desilylated by the action of TBAF ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.0 M in THF, $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in the same way as desrcribed above for (-)-depudecin (442) to afford depudecin analogue 555 ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 100 \%$ EtOAc); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.05-5.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.2,10.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=17.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.0,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.2,140.2,136.2,135.3,126.1,125.9$, $117.9,116.9,71.6,70.0,67.9,62.3,61.7,55.5,54.3,54.2,23.2,23.1$; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$171.1021, found 171.1023.

### 7.2.74. Synthesis of Aldehyde 556



Aldehyde 556. Aldehyde 556 was prepared from epoxy alcohol 472 ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCHO}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of 467, to obtain aldehyde 556 ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.80$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-18.1$ (c $0.82, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.71-7.65$ (m, 4 H), $7.46-7.35$ (m, 6 H), 6.47 (dd, $J=15.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.31 (dd, $J=15.8,7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.87-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.5,152.3$, 135.8, 133.9, 133.8, 133.4, 129.9, 129.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.7, 69.2, 65.3, 53.7, 26.8, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 381.1886$, found 381.18861 .

### 7.2.75. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 557



Allylic Alcohol 557. A solution of aldehyde 556 ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 4.47$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 100 mL ) was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H ( $4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $4.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol $557(1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-31.5\left(c 0.65, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.01$ (ddd, $J=15.6,5.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46$ (ddt, $J=15.6,8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.17(\mathrm{td}, J=5.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.9,135.9,134.4,133.6,129.7,129.7,128.3,127.6,127.6,125.3,69.9$, 64.4, 62.7, 55.3, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 383.2043 , found 383.2047.

### 7.2.76. Synthesis of Diepoxy Alcohol 558



Diepoxy Alcohol 558. To a suspension of titanium tetraisopropoxide ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.23$ equiv) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 3.0 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ( + )-L-DET $\left(0.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25\right.$ equiv) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min at this temperature, a solution of allylic alcohol $557\left(1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 4.42 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, followed by the addition, after additional 30 min , of TBHP
( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in decane, $6.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at the same temperature. After 15 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.6$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diepoxy alcohol 558 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ impurified with ( + )-DET) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-11.3$ (c 0.45, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (ddd, $J=12.8,4.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.84-3.77$ (m, 1 H ), 3.67 (ddd, $J=12.8,7.9,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.07 (dt, $J=3.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.03 (dd, $J=5.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (dd, $J=4.6,2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.9,135.8,133.6,133.6,129.8,129.7,127.7,127.6,71.9,62.5$, 59.9, 55.7, 53.4, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3, 14.2; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 399.1992$, found 399.1994.

### 7.2.77. Synthesis of Aldehyde 559



Aldehyde 559. To a solution of epoxy alcohol 558 obtained above ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $1: 1$ DMSO: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixture ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL}$, $7.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) followed by $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.27$ mmol, 2.5 equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature until depletion of the starting alcohol as judged by TLC ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~h}$ ). After this time, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a buffer solution $(\mathrm{pH}=7)$ and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude aldehyde ( $\sim 2.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of the crude aldehyde in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (formylmethylene)triphenylphosphorane ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 6.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 15 h . After this time the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aldehyde 559 ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.65$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-15.6\left(c 0.49, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (dd, $J=15.3,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 5.94 (dd, $J=15.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.83 (dd, $J=6.4,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.38 (dd, $J=7.5$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.04 (dd, $J=5.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.95-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), 1.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 193.5,149.4,139.5,135.8,134.8,134.1$, 133.5, 132.6, 131.9, 129.8, 129.8, 127.7, 127.6, 68.8, 60.1, 58.5, 54.7, 53.1, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 449.2148$, found 449.2145 .

### 7.2.78. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 565



Allylic Alcohol 565. To a solution of diepoxy amide 564 ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 7 mL ) was added dropwise Red-A1 ( $0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ in toluene, 1.17 mmol , 2.0 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. After separation of both layers, the aqueous phase was extracted twice with EtOAc and the combined organic extracts washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude diepoxy aldehyde $(\sim 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ was used in the next step without further purification. The crude aldehyde was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and reacted with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(44 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was then dissolved in EtOAc and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure followed by purification of the resiude by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) provided diepoxy alcohol $\mathbf{5 6 5}(70 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{2 8 \%}$ over 2 steps $)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.30 (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+13.8\left(c 0.34, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.73-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{qd}, J=15.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 3.95 (d, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.77-3.67$ (m, 2 H ), 3.42 (dd, $J=7.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.21 (dd, $J=6.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,135.9$, 134.2, 133.6, 132.5, 131.2, 129.7, 129.7, 127.6, 127.6, 69.8, 67.4, 64.5, 60.9, 60.1, 54.8, 54.5, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 451.2305$, found 451.2303.

### 7.2.79. Synthesis of Tosyl Derivative 566



Tosyl Derivative 566. The tosylation of diepoxy alcohol 565 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was achieved in exactly the same way as described above for $\mathbf{4 7 5}$, to obtain tosyl derivative 566 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.77$ (silica gel, $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+15.6\left(c 0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82-7.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.66$ (m, 4 H$), 7.44-7.34$ (m, 8H), 5.65 (dd, $J=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24$ (dd, $J=7.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.18 (dd, $J=7.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.10 (ddd, $J=5.7,3.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.93 (dd, $J=5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46$ (s, 3 H ), 1.10 (d, $J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.07 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.2,135.9,135.8,134.2$, 133.3, 129.9, 129.9, 129.7, 129.7, 128.0, 127.6, 127.6, 69.7, 69.2, 64.5, 60.4, 56.5, 55.4, 54.6, 29.7, 26.9, 21.7, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 579.2237, found 579.2236.

### 7.2.80. Synthesis of lodide Derivative 567



Iodide Derivative 567. The iodination of tosyl derivative 566 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was carried out according to the procedure described above for 476, to afford iodide derivative
567 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.58$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+12.7\left(c 0.35 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72-7.66(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), $7.44-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.77-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.08$ (m, 2 H), $2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9,135.9,135.8,132.8,130.5,129.8,129.7,129.7,127.6,127.6$, 69.7, 64.5, 61.1, 60.1, 54.7, 29.7, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3, 3.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 535.1165$, found 535.1167.

### 7.2.81. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 568



Allylic Alcohol 568. A solution of iodide $567(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was reacted with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6$ M in hexane, $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the same procedure as described above for the preparation of 477, to afford, after similar processing, allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 6 8}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatogaphy (silica gel, $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+19.1\left(c 0.39, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73$ -7.67 (m, 4 H), $7.44-7.34$ (m, 6 H ), 5.89 (dddd, $J=17.2,16.3,8.1,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.46 (ddd, $J=15.6,7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.65 (t, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.27-4.17$ (m, 1 H ), 3.76 - 3.69 (m, 1 H ), 3.21 (dd, J $=8.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}{ }^{13}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.7,136.1,135.9,135.9,132.5,129.7,129.6,128.8$, 128.3, 127.6, 127.6, 115.8, 72.9, 69.9, 68.2, 64.4, 55.2, 38.8, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3; HRMS (HESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 409.2199$, found 409.2197.

### 7.2.82. Synthesis of Ester 570




Ester 570. To a solution of diepoxy amide 564 ( 175 mg , $0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise with Red-Al ( $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ in toluene, $0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. After separation of both layers, the aqueous phase was extracted twice with EtOAc and the combined organic extracts washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude diepoxy aldehyde ( $\sim 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used in the next step without further purification. A solution of tributyl(ethoxycarbonylmethylene)phosphonium bromide (134 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was washed twice with a 1.0 M aqueous

NaOH solution ( 1 mL ), dried and diluted with toluene ( 3 mL ). After removing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the resulting solution was then added to a stirred solution of crude aldehyde and benzoic acid ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ equiv) in toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min the solvent was evaporated and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to provide the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 570 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ over 2 steps) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.44$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+10.5\left(c 0.62, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73-7.66(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H), 7.44 - 7.35 (m, 6 H), 6.70 (dd, $J=15.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.15 (d, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.66 (qd, $J=15.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36$ (dd, $J=6.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ (dd, $J=5.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 165.5,143.3,135.9,135.9,135.7,132.9,130.4,129.7$, 129.7, 127.8, 127.6, 127.6, 124.2, 69.7, 64.6, 60.7, 59.9, 58.3, 54.6, 26.9, 19.9, 19.3, 14.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 493.2410$, found 493.2413.

### 7.2.83. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 571



Allylic Alcohol 571. A solution of ester 570 ( 40 mg , $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv). After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathbf{5 \%}$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol $571(8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%)$ as a pale colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+20.3\left(c 0.51, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72-7.65$ (m, 4 H ), $7.44-7.35$ (m, 6 H ), 6.09 (ddd, $J=15.3,7.7$, $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.65-5.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51$ (ddt, $J=15.6,7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ (dd, $J=$ $5.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.78-3.67$ (m, 1 H ), $3.30-3.23$ (m, 2 H ), $3.23-3.18$ (m, 1 H$), 2.95$ (dd, $J=5.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.10 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.9,135.9,134.7,134.2,133.7,133.6,131.9,131.4,129.7,129.7$, 127.6, 127.6, 69.8, 64.6, 62.6, 59.8, 59.2, 54.8, 30.9, 26.9, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 451.2305$, found 451.2302.

### 7.2.84. Synthesis of Depudecin Analogue 540



Depudecin Analogue 540. Silyl derivative 571 ( 8 mg , $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was desilylated by the action of TBAF ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in the same way as desrcribed above for (-)-depudecin
(442) to afford depudecin analogue $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ ( $1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.51$ (silica gel, $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+15.9\left(c 0.47, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.10(\mathrm{dtd}, J=$ $15.6,5.1,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.78-5.65$ (m, 2 H), 5.52 (ddt, $J=15.6,7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.20 (d, $J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ - 3.26 (m, 2 H ), 2.90 (dd, $J=4.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31$ (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 134.8,131.9,131.3,127.5,66.9,64.1,62.6,59.9,59.1,55.4,20.0$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$213.1127, found 213.1125.

### 7.2.85. Synthesis of Epoxy Amide 572



Epoxy Amide 572. $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DMSO ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) was then added dropwise and the mixture stirred for 10 min at the same temperature. Then, a solution of epoxy alcohol ent-522 ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 40 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv). The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred and diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous and organic phases were then separated, the organic extract washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude aldehyde ( $\sim 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) that was used in the next step without further purification. The crude aldehyde ( $\sim 1.30$ mmol 1.0 equiv) was reacted with sulfonium salt ent-457 ${ }^{249,250}(460 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and NaOH ( 3.0 M aqueous solution, $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the procedure described above for epoxy amide $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ to yield epoxy amide 572 ( 420 mg , $57 \%$ over two steps) as a colourless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+9.9$ (c 0.30, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.04$ (dd, $J=15.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.31 (ddd, $J=15.5,8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.29-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.56-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $1.75-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.4,141.9,135.8,135.8,134.2,133.8,129.7,127.6$, $127.6,127.6,123.8,95.9,69.2,67.1,57.8,55.9,55.5,34.4,30.8,27.0,26.2,23.0,19.3$, 15.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 554.2760$, found 554.2762 .

### 7.2.86. Synthesis of Diepoxy Amide 573



Diepoxy Amide 573. To a solution of diepoxy amide 572 ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise with Red-Al ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ in toluene, $0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. After separation of both layers, the
aqueous phase was extracted twice with EtOAc and the combined organic extracts washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude diepoxy aldehyde ( $\sim 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used in the next step without further purification. The crude aldehyde ( $\sim 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was reacted with sulfonium salt ent- $457^{249,250}$ ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and NaOH (3.0 M aqueous solution, $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the procedure described above for epoxy amide $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ to yield diepoxy amide $\mathbf{5 7 3}$ ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ over 2 steps) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+23.1\left(c \quad 0.54, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.68-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.96$ (ddd, $J=$ $15.5,5.3,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.26 (ddd, $J=15.5,7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02$ (ddd, $J=9.1,5.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.37-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.0,7.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.48 (ddd, $J=13.2,8.3,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$ H), $1.06(\mathrm{~s}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.9,140.9,135.9,135.8$, $134.2,133.9,129.7,129.6,127.5,127.5,124.3,95.9,69.2,67.1,56.5,56.0,55.6,55.4$, $51.3,34.5,30.7,26.9,26.2,23.9,19.2,15.9$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SSi}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 596.2866$, found 596.2869.

### 7.2.87. Synthesis of Tosyl Derivative 574



Tosyl Derivative 574. To a solution of diepoxy amide 573 ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added dropwise Red-Al ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ in toluene, 0.31 mmol , 2.2 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. After separation of both layers, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, the organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude aldehyde was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(3 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and reacted with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (11 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude was then dissolved in EtOAc and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure provided crude diepoxy alcohol ( $\sim 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was used in the next step without purification. Crude diepoxy alcohol obtained above ( $\sim 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Over this solution was then added $p-\mathrm{TsCl}(59 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), TEA ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and 4-DMAP ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02$ equiv). After 3 h , the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of water and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The resiude was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to provide pure tosyl derivative $\mathbf{5 7 4}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ over 3 steps) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.83$ (silica gel, $60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+26.6$ ( $c$ $0.38, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.69-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23$ (ddd, $J$
$=15.5,7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (dd, $J=11.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{ddd}, J=5.6,3.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.9$, 135.8, 134.8, 129.9, 129.7, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 124.3, 69.2, 68.7, 57.0, 55.3, 54.1, 52.3, 26.9, 23.9, 21.7, 19.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$579.2237, found 579.2234.

### 7.2.88. Synthesis of lodide Derivative 575



Iodide Derivative 575. The iodination of tosyl derivative 574 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was carried out according to the procedure described above for 476, to afford iodide derivative 575 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+19.8\left(c 0.43 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74-7.62(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H), $7.46-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.94$ (dd, $J=15.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.25 (ddd, $J=15.5,7.8,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.34 (ddd, $J=11.4,6.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.26$ (m, 1 H ), $3.26-3.22$ (m, 2 H), $3.15-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.6,135.9$, $135.9,134.8,133.9,129.7,127.8,127.7,127.5,124.4,69.2,59.7,57.2,55.6,55.3,26.9$, 23.9, 19.2, 3.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{IO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 535.1165$, found 535.1167.

### 7.2.89. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 576



Allylic Alcohol 576. A solution of iodide $575(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was reacted with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 0.05 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, according to the same procedure as described above for the preparation of 477, to afford, after similar processing, allylic alcohol 576 ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatogaphy (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+26.9\left(c 0.36 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.75-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (dddd, $J=8.5,6.2,5.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.42-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.06 (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.2,136.4,135.9,135.9,135.9,134.8$, $129.6,129.6,127.7,127.5,124.8,116.7,71.6,69.2,62.2,60.4,29.7,26.9,14.2$; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 409.2199$, found 409.2196.

### 7.2.90. Synthesis of Depudecin Analogue 541



Depudecin Analogue 541. Silyl derivative 576 ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was desilylated by the action of TBAF ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.0 M in THF, $0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in the same way as desrcribed above for (-)-depudecin (442) to afford depudecin analogue $\mathbf{5 4 1}(1 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%)$ as a colorless oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes $\rightarrow 100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+27.5$ ( $c$ $0.42 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.99$ (dddd, $J=17.3,16.1,8.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $5.48-5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-$ $4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.1,136.3,125.9,116.9,71.6,67.9,62.3,55.5,23.1$; HRMS (HESI) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$171.1021, found 171.1023.

### 7.3. Experimental Procedures and Compound Characterization Related to the Solomonamides

### 7.3.1. Synthesis of Aniline 606



Aniline 606. To a solution of bromoaniline $\mathbf{6 0 4}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]_{4}$ ( $336 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv) in DMF ( 15 mL ) was added dropwise allyltri- $n$-butyltin ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). The solution was then heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and washed with water. The organic layer was separated and washed with water four times, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aniline 606 ( $596 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.13-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04-$ $5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 144.8,136.0,130.2,127.6,124.0,118.9,116.1,115.9,36.5$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}$ 134.0970; found 134.0967.

### 7.3.2. Synthesis of Aniline 607



Aniline 607. To a solution of iodonitrobenzene $\mathbf{6 0 5}^{287}(6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 16.90$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and $\operatorname{Pd}\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]_{4}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15$ equiv) in DMF ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise allyltri- $n$-butyltin ( $6.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.30$ mmol, 1.2 equiv). The solution was then heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and water. The organic layer was separated and washed with water four times, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $100 \%$ Hexanes) to obtain the
corresponding allyl derivative ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ $-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (dd, $J=8.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96$ (ddt, $J=16.6$, $10.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $6.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 157.4,135.8,135.4,132.8,128.7$, 128.3, 127.5, 127.1, 120.4, 116.7, 110.3, 70.6, 36.3; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{3} 270.1130$; found 270.1129. To a solution of the allyl derivative obtained above ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in acetic acid ( 15 mL ) was added Zn dust ( 1.0 g , $16.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) in ten portions of 100 mg each over 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature until completion monitoring by TLC ( 1 h ) and then, quenched by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic phase washed with water, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 607 ( $773 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) as an orange oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.38$ (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.46-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{ddt}, J=16.6$, $10.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.14-5.11$ (m, 1 H), $5.11-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03$ (s, 2 H ), 3.67 (bs, 2 H), $3.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.6,145.8$, 137.3, 136.4, 130.9, 128.5, 127.8, 127.4, 116.9, 115.8, 104.9, 102.6, 69.9, 35.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO} 240.1388$; found 240.1392.

### 7.3.3. Synthesis of Dipeptide 609



Dipeptide 609. To a solution of aniline $606(700 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and Boc-Gly-D-Ala-OH ( 608$)^{283}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF ( 15 mL ) was added HATU ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dipeptide $\mathbf{6 0 9}$ $(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.23(c 0.45$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.96(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23 (dd, $J=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.18 (dd, $J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (s, 1 H ), 5.97 (ddt, $J=16.2,10.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ (dd, $J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.4$, $170.0,156.2,135.9,135.4,131.1,130.2,127.1,125.8,123.9,116.5,49.5,38.7,36.1$, 31.3, 28.3, 17.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 362.2080$; found 362.2079 .

### 7.3.4. Synthesis of Dipeptide 610



Dipeptide 610. To a solution of aniline $607(730 \mathrm{mg}, 3.10$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Boc-Gly-D-Ala-OH ( $\mathbf{2 1 ) ~ ( 7 5 2 ~ m g , ~} 3.10$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added HATU (1.7 $\mathrm{g}, 4.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.10 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed sequentially with 1 N HCl and a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dipeptide $\mathbf{6 1 0}(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a pale brown solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.69$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.41\left(c 0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=83-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) $8.07(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94$ (ddt, $J=16.2,10.2$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.77$ (m, 2 H), $3.37-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.1,169.9,157.9,156.0,136.9,136.3,130.8,128.5,127.9$, 127.7, 127.5, 122.3, 116.2, 112.2, 109.4, 80.6, 70.0, 49.6, 39.2, 36.5, 28.2, 17.6; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} 468.2498$; found 468.2487.

### 7.3.5. Synthesis of Diolefin 612



Diolefin 612. TFA ( 5.0 mL ) was added to a solution of dipeptide 609 ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until depletion of starting material as judged by TLC ( 3 h ). Then, the TFA excess was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding ammonium trifluoroacetate salt as a brown solid which was dissolved in DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this solution, Kosher acid 611 (0.1 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), HATU ( $379 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( 0.52 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) were added and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diolefin 612 ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ over two steps) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.23$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-8.50(c$ $\left.0.51, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ - 7.27 (m, 1 H), 7.25-7.23 (m, 1 H), $7.21-7.17$ (m, 1 H), 7.16-7.11 (m, 1 H), 6.64 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.9,5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.51$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.1,169.9,169.1,136.7,136.0$, $135.3,130.4,130.3,127.4,125.7,123.6,116.6,115.9,49.7,43.1,36.4,35.4,29.4,17.9$; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 344.1974; found 344.1965.

### 7.3.6. Synthesis of Diolefin 613



Diolefin 613. Dipeptide 610 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA $(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ in exactly the same manner as previously described for synthesis of 612. A solution of the ammonium salt and Kosher acid 611 ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was treated with HATU ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diolefin $\mathbf{6 1 3}$ ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ over two steps) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.24$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-6.37\left(c 0.06, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=87-88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.02(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94$ (ddd, $J=16.5,11.1$, $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.81 (ddd, $J=17.0,11.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.60-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.5,170.8,169.5$, 157.7, 136.9, 136.7, 136.3, 136.0, 130.7, 128.5, 127.9, 127.5, 123.6, 116.1, 115.6, 112.2, $110.4,70.0,49.8,43.1,38.6,36.2,36.1,29.3,17.7$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 450.2393$; found 450.2390 .

### 7.3.7. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 614



Cyclopeptide 614. Diolefin 612 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) and $p$-benzoquinone ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 1 4}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.56 (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.11(c 0.08, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=188-189$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.50(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37$ (t, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $15.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.08 (dt, $J=15.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ (p, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.85 (dd, $J$ $=14.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.1,171.3,170.8,136.5,134.4,130.9,130.3,128.5$, 127.1, 126.0, 125.9, 49.7, 43.7, 34.8, 31.1, 27.9, 17.1; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H ${ }^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} 316.1661$; found 316.1655.

### 7.3.8. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 615



Cyclopeptide 615. Diolefin 613 ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) and $p$-benzoquinone $(4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.10 equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(16 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02$ M) and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.5$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ 4.97 ( $c 0.07, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); $\mathrm{mp}=198-199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.54$ (bs, 1 H ), 8.52 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.37(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.31$ (m, 5 H ), 7.29 (d, $J$ $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $15.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.13-5.05$ (m, 1 H), 5.04 (s, 2 H ), 4.36 (p, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (dd, $J=14.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.03 (dd, $J=16.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.03$ (m, 4 H), 1.30 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.1,171.2,171.0,157.4,137.6,137.3,131.5,130.7$, 128.8, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 125.8, 111.8, 69.7, 49.7, 43.4, 34.7, 33.7, 27.9, 16.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 422.2080$; found 422.2074.

### 7.3.9. Synthesis of Epoxy lodide 616



Epoxy Iodide 616. To a solution of ent-500 ${ }^{249 \mathrm{c}}$ ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.39 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added imidazole ( 1.1 g , $16.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and iodine ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was vigorously stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After this time the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain $\mathbf{6 1 6}(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.90$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-18.2\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.69-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{tdd}, J=8.5,6.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.62$ (m, 2 H), $3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 136.6,133.5,129.6,127.6,66.7,64.1,57.2,38.1,26.8,19.3$, 13.1, 5.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{IO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 481,1059$, found 481.1058.

### 7.3.10. Synthesis of Alcohol 617



Alcohol 617. To a solution of $\mathbf{6 1 6}(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaI}(795 \mathrm{mg}, 5.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and Zn dust ( $925 \mathrm{mg}, 14.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) and the reaction was heated at reflux for 2 h . After this time the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered through a pad of celite. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain $617(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a colorless oil and whose spectroscopic and physical properties matched with those reported in the literature ${ }^{330}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.76$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-17.90$ (c $0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.71-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.38$ (m, 6 H ), 5.87 (ddd, $J=17.0,10.4,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.35-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (ddd, $J=$ $10.4,1.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=10.2,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.1,7.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 139.6,136.5,134.8,129.8,127.7,115.7$, 68.3, 40.1, 40.0, 26.8, 26.5, 13.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 355.2093 , found 355.2095 .

### 7.3.11. Synthesis of Diol 618



Diol 618. Silyl ether 617 ( $2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 7.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to this solution was added TBAF $(15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $15.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and, after this time, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diol 618 ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as a colourless oil whose spectroscopic and physical properties matched with those reported in the literature ${ }^{331}: \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.22$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ 19.77 (c 0.12, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 5.90$ (ddd, $J=17.2,10.3$, $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.30-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9$, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{dtd}, J=14.7,7.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 139.5,116.2,78.7,67.2,39.7$, 13.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 117.0916$, found 117.0915 .

### 7.3.12. Synthesis of Pivaloyl Silyl Ether 620



Pivaloyl Silyl Ether 620. To a solution of diol 618 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added pyridine ( $2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 20 equiv) and $\mathrm{PivCl}\left(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3\right.$ equiv) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature until depletion of starting material ( 30 min ). After this time a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added to the reaction mixture and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted

[^116]with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude pivaloate ( $\sim 1.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used in the next step without further purification. A solution of the crude pivaloate in DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with imidazole ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 3.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and TBSCl ( $389 \mathrm{mg}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv). The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h and then poured into $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 620 ( 542 mg , quant. over two steps) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.89$ (silica gel, $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-$ 2.45 (c 0.05, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 5.77$ (ddd, $J=17.2,10.4,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06$ (dd, $J=6.8,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{qd}, J=6.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) 178.4, 134.8, 127.4, 75.2, 65.8, 36.4, 31.4, 27.2, 26.6, 19.0, 12.6; HRMS (ESITOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 315.2356$, found 315.2354.

### 7.3.13. Synthesis of Acid 622



Acid 622. A solution of $\mathbf{6 2 0}$ ( $542 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with DIBAL-H ( $3.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ M in toluene, $3.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). After 1 h , the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude alcohol $(\sim 1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of the crude alcohol in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of Dess-Martin periodinane ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h and, after this time, the reaction mixture was quenched with a $1: 1$ mixture of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution / $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 1 \mathrm{M}$. The solution was stirred for 20 min and then, the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude aldehyde ( $\sim 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used in the next step without further purification. A solution of crude aldehyde in ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $4: 1,30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was treated with 2-methyl-2-butene ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{NaHPO}_{4}\left(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 9.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0\right.$ equiv) and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ ( $825 \mathrm{mg}, 9.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h , then diluted with EtOAc and washed with brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude acid $\mathbf{6 2 2}$ ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ over three steps) as a colourless oil which did not require purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $70 \%$

EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.59\left(c 0.04, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) 5.76 (ddd, $J=13.4,10.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-$ 4.24 (m, 1 H), $2.67-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07$ (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.5,138.3,116.9,69.4,46.6,18.0,12.9,-4.2$, 5.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 245.1573$, found 245.1574.

### 7.3.14. Synthesis of Diolefin 601



Diolefin 601. Dipeptide 610 ( $328 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA ( 3.5 mL ) in exactly the same manner as previously described for synthesis of 612. A solution of the ammonium salt and acid $\mathbf{6 2 2}(171 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.70 mmol , 1.0 equiv), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with HATU ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( 0.35 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diolefin 601 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ over two steps) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.73$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-6.06\left(c 0.05, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=98-9{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44-7.27$ (m, 5 H$), 7.06$ (m, 1 H), $6.78-6.71$ (m, 1 H), $6.70-6.63$ (m, 1 H), 5.92 (ddt, $J=16.5,10.2,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79$ (ddd, $J=17.3,10.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.04$ (m, 2 H), 3.62 (dd, $J=17.1,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.7,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.17 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 175.5,170.8,169.8,157.8,138.2$, $136.8,136.3,136.1,130.9,128.5,127.9,127.5,122.7,117.9,116.3,112.2,109.9,70.1$, 49.8, 46.6, 43.1, 38.9, 38.5, 25.2, 18.1, 17.4, 16.2, 13.6, 2.9, -4.5, -4.7; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si} 594.3363$; found 594.3365.

### 7.3.15. Synthesis of Diolefin 600



Diolefin 600. To a solution of diolefin $601(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added HF•pyr ( 0.6 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 12 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ )
to obtain diolefin 600 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.66$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-4.91\left(c 0.08, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=90-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.16(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.93 (ddd, $J=16.3,11.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80$ (ddd, $J=17.3,10.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28$ (dd, $J=16.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.14-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ $-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-$ $3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 175.5,170.7,169.9,157.8,138.4,136.9$, $136.3,136.2,130.8,128.5,127.9,127.5,122.8,117.7,116.3,111.9,109.9,70.1,49.8$, 43.2, 36.4, 23.8, 19.6, 17.3, 13.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 480.2498 ; found 480.2502 .

### 7.3.16. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 623




Cyclopeptide 623. Diolefin $600(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst $(4.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) and $p$-benzoquinone ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01$ mmol, 0.10 equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ ) and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain $\mathbf{6 2 3}$ (20 mg, 71\%) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.44$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-8.4(c 0.05, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=203-204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 8.67 (bs, 1 H$), 8.49(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.82 (dd, $J=8.3,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.62 (dt, $J=15.9,5.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$ (s, 2 H ), 4.98 (dd, $J=15.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.64$ (m, 1 H ), 1.30 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H ), $0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 174.9,171.5,170.6$, $157.5,137.6,137.5,131.6,131.0,130.2,128.8,128.2,128.1,127.6,113.3,112.3,72.7$, 69.7, 49.6, 44.9, 43.6, 33.8, 16.9, 11.0; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} 452.2186$; found 452.2188.

### 7.3.17. Synthesis of Aniline 625



Aniline 625. To a solution of allylic alcohol $\mathbf{6 2 4}{ }^{293}(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 10.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 53.02$ mmol, 5.0 equiv) in water ( 40 mL ) followed by Zn dust ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 159.06$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) in ten portions of 1 g each over 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 12 h and then the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water, then filtered and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under
reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aniline $\mathbf{6 2 5}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.48$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.13-$ $7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=17.0,10.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 144.8$, 138.3, 128.9, 128.0, 126.4, 118.6, 117.1, 115.4, 74.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO} 150.0919$; found 150.0907 .

### 7.3.18. Synthesis of Dipeptide 626



Dipeptide 626. To a solution of aniline $\mathbf{6 2 5}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 8.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and Boc-Gly-D-Ala-OH ( $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ ) ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{HATU}(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 13.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dipeptide $\mathbf{6 2 6}$ ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white foam. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-$ $5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54$ $-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.5,170.4,170.4,170.1,170.1,138.1,138.1,136.3,128.6$, $128.5,128.1,127.9,124.4,122.4,122.3,116.0,115.9,80.9,60.4,50.3,50.1,44.1,44.0$, 28.3, 28.3, 17.8, 17.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 378.2029; found 378.2034.

### 7.3.19. Synthesis of Trifluoroacetate Derivative 628



Trifluoroacetate Derivative 628. Dipeptide 626 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA $(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ in exactly the same manner as previously described for synthesis of 612. A solution of the ammonium salt and Kosher acid $\mathbf{6 1 1}\left(0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 35 mL ) was treated with HATU ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed
with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain trifluoroacetate derivative $628(784 \mathrm{mg}$, $65 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.18$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-9.14(c 0.12$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $\mathrm{mp}=109-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.62(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-$ 7.35 (m, 2 H), $7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.12$ (m, 1 H$), 6.85$ (d, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15$ (dt, $J=15.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77-5.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.69-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $4.01-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.8,171.2,169.9,157.7,136.7,134.3,132.0,129.7,129.1$, 126.7, 126.3, 125.1, 123.1, 115.7, 113.1, 68.5, 49.8, 43.3, 35.1, 29.3, 17.4; HRMS (HESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} 456.1746$; found 456.1752 .

### 7.3.20. Synthesis of Acid 631



Acid 631. A 5 mL polypropylene syringe fitted with polyethylene porous disk charged with 2-chlorotrityl chloride (CTC) resin ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{L}=1.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}, 1.0$ equiv), was loaded with a solution of Fmoc-D-Ala-OH (629) ( $364 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 30 h , then the solution was unloaded and the resin was washed by shaking with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was used in the next step. The polypropylene syringe loaded with the yellow resin was treated with a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 rpm ). After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and loaded with a solution of Fmoc-Gly-OH (630) ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), HOBt ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DIC ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resin washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was used in the next step. To a 5 mL polypropylene syringe fitted with polyethylene porus disk and loaded with the resulting Fmoc protected dipeptide was added a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 rpm ). After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and treated with a solution of Kosher acid $611(80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) $\mathrm{HOBt}(105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DIC ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resinwashed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was treated with a solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{TFE}(7: 2: 1,3 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 30 min . After that, the solution was collected and the resin washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. All the collected organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting acid $\mathbf{6 3 1}(71 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ overall yield from CTC resin) was obtained as a white solid which not required further purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.21$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.21\left(c 0.07, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=89-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 6.91$ (bs, 1 H$), 6.62(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84$ (ddd, $J=16.2,11.1$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.53$ (m, 1 H), 4.10 (dd, $J=16.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.35$
$(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 175.4,175.3$, 162.9, 136.7, 115.8, 42.5, 36.7, 31.6, 29.4, 23.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} 229.1188$; found 229.1194.

### 7.3.21. Synthesis of Diolefin 627



Diolefin 627. A solution of the aniline $\mathbf{6 2 5}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and acid 631 ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 8 mL ) was treated with HATU ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diolefin $627(55 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white foam. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.37$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.83(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 8.11 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.32-7.23$ (m, 4 H ), $7.16-7.10$ (m, 2 H ), 7.06 (td, $J=7.5$, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14-5.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20-5.12$ (m, 2 H), 5.07 (dd, $J=18.3,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ (dd, $J=14.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.10 (dd, $J=6.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.82 (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.79-3.75$ (m, 1 H ), $2.40-2.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 174.0,173.9,170.5,170.4,169.4,169.3,138.4,138.2,136.8,136.5,131.0,130.8$, $128.5,128.4,128.4,128.3,128.1,127.8,124.3,124.2,122.2,122.1,115.8,115.7,115.7$, $115.6,50.6,50.2,42.9,42.8,35.3,35.2,31.9,31.4,29.6,29.3,17.7,17.6$; HRMS (HESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 360.1923$; found 360.1917.

### 7.3.22. Synthesis of Silyl Ether 632



Silyl Ether 632. To a solution of $\mathbf{6 2 7}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2,6-lutidine ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred 10 min at this temperature. After this time TBSOTf $(60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After decantation of the organic layer, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc})$ to obtain silyl ether $\mathbf{6 3 2}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers)
as a white solid. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.80$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}) ; \mathrm{mp}=121-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1$, $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.31-7.27$ (m, 1 H ), $7.12-7.03$ (m, 2 H ), $7.01-6.95$ (m, 1 H ), 6.42 (d, J $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-$ 4.98 (m, 2 H), 4.47 (p, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.96$ (m, 2 H), 2.44-2.36 (m, 2 H), 2.37 $-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 172.7,169.7,169.7,168.3,168.2,138.9,138.7,136.9,136.4$, $136.3,130.5,130.2,128.7,128.6,127.9,127.9,124.4,124.3,122.3,122.3,115.7,114.5$, $114.4,50.1,49.9,42.9,38.6,35.5,29.4,25.7,19.1,19.1,18.3,18.3,-4.9,-5.0,-5.1,-5.1$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 474.2788$; found 474.2775.

### 7.3.23. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 633



Cyclopeptide 633. Diolefin 627 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) and $p$-benzoquinone ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 3 3}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white solid. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.46$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $\mathrm{mp}=153-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.78$ $-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58-5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15$ (d, $J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.89 (d, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 (d, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 175.1,174.9,172.7,171.7$, 171.2, 171.2, 136.9, 136.7, 134.3, 133.9, 133.8, 133.5, 130.9, 129.9, 127.4, 127.3, 126.9, $126.4,125.9,125.8,125.8,125.3,71.9,71.6,50.9,49.6,43.6,42.7,34.9,33.5,28.5,26.8$, 15.8, 15.5; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H ] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 332.1610; found 332.1588 .

### 7.3.24. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 636



Allylic Alcohol 636. To a solution of nitrobenzaldehyde derivative $\mathbf{6 3 5}{ }^{295}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 25 mL ) was added vinylmagnesium bromide ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $9.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 3.5 h , the mixture was quenched with 25 mL of 0.01 N HCl , diluted and extracted with EtOAc three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes)
to obtain allylic alcohol $636(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.79$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.47-7.36$ (m, 6 H ), 7.25 (dd, $J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.08 (ddd, $J=17.2$, $10.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $10.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.3,148.9$, 138.1, 135.7, 130.2, 129.8, 128.8, 128.5, 127.6, 120.7, 115.9, 110.3, 70.67, 69.7; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ 286.1079; found 286.1080.

### 7.3.25. Synthesis of Silyl Ether 638



Silyl Ether 638. A solution of allylic alcohol $\mathbf{6 3 6}(800 \mathrm{mg}, 4.47$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was treated with imidazole ( 395 $\mathrm{mg}, 5.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) and $\mathrm{TBSCl}(875 \mathrm{mg}, 5.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain silyl ether $\mathbf{6 3 8}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.88$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25 (dd, $J=8.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.98 (ddd, $J=17.0,10.3,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.84 (dt, $J=5.0$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 157.8$, 147.7, 139.7, 135.8, 131.6, 129.8, 128.8, 128.4, 127.6, 120.9, 113.9, 109.3, 70.6, 69.9, 25.8, 18.3, -3.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 400.1944$; found 400.1958.

### 7.3.26. Synthesis of Benzyloxymethyl Acetal 640



Benzyloxymethyl Acetal 640. To a solution of allylic alcohol 636 ( $460 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIPEA ( $1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{BOMCl}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.45$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h. After this time, the reaction was quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution and the crude mixture was stirred for 30 min . Then, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain benzyloxymethyl acetal $\mathbf{6 4 0}(528 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.56$ (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.1,10.3,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35$ (dt, $J=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.23 (dt, $J=10.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11$ (s, 2 H), $4.91-4.77$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$
(ppm) 158.2, 148.9, 137.6, 136.8, 135.8, 129.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 120.6, 117.0, 109.8, 92.6, 73.4, 70.7, 69.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{5} 406.1655$; found 406.1651.

### 7.3.27. Synthesis of Aniline 641



Aniline 641. To a solution of benzyloxymethyl acetal $\mathbf{6 4 0}$ ( 480 mg , $1.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $317 \mathrm{mg}, 5.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in water ( 7.5 mL ) followed by Zn dust ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 17.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) in twelve portions of $\sim 100 \mathrm{mg}$ each over 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 12 h and then the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water, filtered and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aniline $\mathbf{6 4 1}$ ( $351 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.42-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35$ (dd, $J=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.29 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.12 (ddd, $J=17.2,10.4,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 5.33 (dt, $J=17.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.7,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 159.8,146.7,137.8,137.2,136.5,130.6,128.5,128.4$, 127.9, 127.9, 127.7, 127.4, 116.3, 104.2, 102.9, 91.9, 78.1, 77.2, 69.9, 69.8; HRMS (HESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3} 376.1913$; found 376.1901.

### 7.3.28. Synthesis of Diolefin 642



Diolefin 642. A solution of the aniline $641(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and acid 631 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 7 mL ) was treated with HATU ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and DIPEA ( $22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to obtain diolefin $\mathbf{6 4 2}$ ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white solid. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.81$ (silica gel, $80 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) $; \mathrm{mp}=90-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70$ (ddd, $J=8.5,2.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=18.5,9.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83$ (dddd, $J=$ $12.6,8.8,6.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.30-5.20$ (m, 3 H ), $5.12-4.99$ (m, 5 H ), 4.80 (ddd, $J=$ $15.5,11.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.67 (dd, $J=14.2,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.54$ (m, 2 H ), $2.44-$
2.37 (m, 2 H), 2.36-2.29(m, 2 H), $1.45-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.3,171.9,171.9,170.5,170.4,159.4,137.5,137.4,137.2,136.9,136.8,136.4$, 136.4, 130.4, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 127.6, 120.2, 120.2, 116.8, 116.8, $115.8,115.7,115.6,111.1,111.0,108.6,108.5,92.2,92.0,78.2,78.2,70.2,70.1,49.8$, 49.7, 38.6, 35.7, 33.0, 29.4, 28.7, 19.1, 18.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} 586.2917$; found 586.2921.

### 7.3.29. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 643



Cyclopeptide 643. Diolefin 642 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst $(1.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.003 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) and $p$-benzoquinone ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.003$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.02 M ) and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h. After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 4 3}$ ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white solid. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.21$ (silica gel, $80 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $\mathrm{mp}=207-208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.81(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-$ $6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82-5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.20-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-$ $4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4$ H), $2.39-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 172.7,172.7,172.2,170.4,170.3,169.5,159.8,159.2,138.7$, $138.1,137.7,136.9,136.9,132.4,132.3,132.0,131.6,128.7,128.6,128.5,128.5,128.5$, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.6, 127.5, 119.8, 118.3, 110.7, $109.9,106.9,106.7,91.5,90.9,75.9,74.5,69.9,69.9,69.7,69.5,51.8,51.5,50.9,36.9$, 36.4, 30.2, 29.7, 29.6, 17.9, 16.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ 558.2604; found 558.2615.

### 7.3.30. Synthesis of Acid 644



Acid 644. A 5 mL polypropylene syringe fitted with polyethylene porous disk charged with 2-chlorotrityl chloride (CTC) resin ( $363 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{L}=1.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}, 1.0$ equiv), was loaded with a solution of Fmoc-D-Ala-OH (629) (441 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.65$ mmol, 3.5 equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 30 h , then the solution was unloaded and the resin was washed by shaking with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was used in the subsequent step. The polypropylene syringe loaded with the yellow resin was treated with a solution of $20 \%$
piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 rpm ). After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and loaded with a solution of Fmoc-Gly-OH (630) (281 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 2.0$ equiv), HOBt ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DIC ( 0.18 mL , $1.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resin washed with dry DMF (5 x 3 mL ). The resulting yellow resin was used in the next step. To a 5 mL polypropylene syringe fitted with polyethylene porous disk and loaded with the Fmoc protected dipeptide resin was added a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 rpm ). After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and treated with a solution of the acid $\mathbf{6 2 2}(231 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), HOBt ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DIC ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resin washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was treated with a solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{TFE}(7: 2: 1,3 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 30 min . After that, the solution was collected and the resin washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. All the collected organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting acid $\mathbf{6 4 4}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ overall yield from CTC resin) was obtained as a colorless solid which not required further purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-5.44(c 0.08$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=92-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.76 (ddd, $J=17.2,10.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.61-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (dd, $J=16.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{p}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) 175.9, 168.8, 163.2, 138.8, 116.6, 76.0, 47.8, 42.9, 36.8, 25.8, 18.1, 18.0, 14.7, 4.3, -5.1; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H $]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ 373.2159; found 373.2164.

### 7.3.31. Synthesis of Diolefin 646



Diolefin 646. To a solution of aniline $\mathbf{6 2 5}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and acid $644(160 \mathrm{mg}, 043 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added HATU ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 25\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow$ $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to obtain diolefin $\mathbf{6 4 6}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white foam. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.23$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.28-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14-5.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $4.43-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1$
H), $2.35-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.9,176.5,171.6,171.2,170.7,170.5,138.1,137.9,137.8$, 136.1, 131.4, 131.1, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 124.8, 124.8, 122.6, 122.3, 118.4, 118.0, $116.7,116.2,74.9,74.3,60.5,50.5,49.5,46.8,46.8,30.7,29.7,17.4,17.3,13.8,13.5$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} 390.2029$; found 390.2037.

### 7.3.32. Synthesis of Ketone 648



Ketone 648. To a solution of diolefin $\mathbf{6 2 7}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(131 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 20.0 equiv) and the dark solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain ketone $\mathbf{6 4 8}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid which did not require purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.43$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=$ - $6.98\left(c 0.09, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=111-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 11.91$ (bs, 1 H ), 8.72 (dd, $J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (ddd, $J=$ $8.6,7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 5.08 (ddd, $J=17.1,3.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.01 (ddd, $J=2.9,2.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.67 (p, $J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-$ 2.35 (m, 4 H ), 1.54 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 194.2$, $172.9,171.3,169.2,140.7,136.9,135.3,133.0,131.3,131.0,122.9,122.5,121.0,115.8$, 50.4, 43.3, 35.5, 29.4, 18.2; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 358.1767 ; found 358.1789 .

### 7.3.33. Synthesis of Dipeptide 656



Dipeptide 656. To a solution of aniline $\mathbf{6 5 5}^{299}$ ( 200 mg , $1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and Boc-Gly-D-Ala-OH (608) (413 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added HATU ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( 0.30 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed sequentially with 1 N HCl and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dipeptide $\mathbf{6 5 6}(455 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.52$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-6.36\left(c 0.06, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm) 8.13 (bs, 1 H ), $7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.43 (dd, $J=11.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12$ (bs, 1 H$), 4.66(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.77$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.4$,
$170.0,156.1,136.9,136.2,131.1,130.1,127.2,125.7,123.9,116.5,49.5,38.6,36.1$, 28.2, 17.7; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H ] calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 348.1923; found 348.1921.

### 7.3.34. Synthesis of Acid 658



Acid 658. To a solution of diol $\mathbf{6 5 7}{ }^{300}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $1: 1$ mixture $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added TEMPO ( 180 mg , $1.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) and BAIB ( $3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 11.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred until complete conversion of the alcohol ( 7 h ). After this time, the reaction was diluted with EtOAc and quenched with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was then extracted with EtOAc and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain $\mathbf{6 5 8}$ ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.12\left(c 0.05, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 6.59(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.90-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19-5.11$ (m, 2 H ), 4.05 (ddd, $J=7.7$, $5.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.79 (td, $J=7.4,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $2.31-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 180.7, 133.7, 118.5, 72.3, 44.6, 38.6, 13.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 145.0865$, found 145.0864 .

### 7.3.35. Synthesis of Diolefin 659



Diolefin 659. Dipeptide 656 ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA ( 2.2 mL ) in exactly the same manner as previously described for synthesis of 612. A solution of the ammonium salt and acid 658 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), in DMF ( 15 mL ) was treated with HATU ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc , and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain diolefin $\mathbf{6 5 9}$ (150 $\mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ over two steps) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.43\left(c 0.07, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 8.34 (bs, 1 H ), $7.67-7.57$ (m, 1 H ), 7.46 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26-7.13$ (m, 2 H ), 6.82 $-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{td}, J=16.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.51$ (m, 1 H), 4.25 (dd, $J=16.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14$ (qd, $J=7.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 2.17 (dd, $J=13.7,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.1,171.2,170.2,133.5,131.8,128.3,126.4$, 126.1, 126.0, 124.5, 124.1, 119.0, 117.5, 73.3, 55.6, 43.5, 39.2, 18.6, 17.2, 12.4. HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 374.2080; found 374.2072.

### 7.3.36. Synthesis of Styryl 661



Styryl 661. To a solution of iodonitrobenzene $\mathbf{6 0 5}(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 7.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $\operatorname{Pd}\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]_{4}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15$ equiv) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise tri- $n$-butyl(vinyl)tin ( $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). The solution was then heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . After this time, the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and water. The organic layer was separated and washed with water four times, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $2 \%$ EtOAc in Hexanes) to obtain styryl $\mathbf{6 6 1}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.51 (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm) 7.54 (dd, $J=10.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.7,2.7,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ (ddd, $J=11.5,10.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.4,135.7,132.0,129.5$, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 127.6, 126.1, 120.8, 117.4, 109.8, 70.7; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ 256.0974; found 256.0981.

### 7.3.37. Synthesis of Aniline 662



Aniline 662. To a solution of styryl $661(550 \mathrm{mg}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(576 \mathrm{mg}$, $10.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in water ( 14 mL ) followed by Zn dust ( 2.1 g , $32.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) in ten portions of $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$ each over 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 12 h and then the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water, filtered and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aniline $\mathbf{6 6 2}(413 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.47$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.45-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 159.6,144.9$, 137.2, 132.2, 128.6, 128.5, 127.9, 127.4, 117.5, 113.8, 105.7, 102.3, 69.9; HRMS (HESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}$ 226.1232; found 226.1229.

### 7.3.38. Synthesis of Dipeptide 663



Dipeptide 663. To a solution of aniline 662 ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.51$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Boc-Gly-D-Ala-OH (608) ( 483 mg , $1.962 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added HATU ( $861 \mathrm{mg}, 2.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.02$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 35 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dipeptide $\mathbf{6 6 3}$ ( $554 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) as a yellow foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.64$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.96\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (bs, 1 H ), 5.04 (s, 2 H ), 4.68 (p, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.88-3.79$ (m, 2 H ), 1.47 (d, $J=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (s, 9 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 170.2, 170.1, 158.8, 156.2, $136.8,135.1,131.4,128.5,127.9,127.6,123.2,120.4,116.0,112.8,109.1,80.7,70.1$, 49.6, 44.4, 28.3, 17.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} 454.2342$; found 454.2340.

### 7.3.39. Synthesis of Diolefin 665




Diolefin 665. Dipeptide 663 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA $(2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ in exactly the same manner as previously described for synthesis of 612. A solution of the resulting ammonium salt and hexenoic acid $\mathbf{6 6 4}$ ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with BOP ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $77 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diolefin 665 ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ over two steps) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc $) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-9.02\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=82-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.24$ (bs, 1 H ), 7.58 (bs, 1 H ), 7.44 - $7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ (s, 1 H$), 6.80-$ $6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ (ddt, $J=17.0,10.0,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56$ (d, $J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.00$ $-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $2.10-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.8,170.1,169.4,158.9,137.7,136.7,135.1,131.4,128.6$,
128.0, 127.6, 127.6, 123.0, 116.1, 115.5, 112.7, 109.0, 70.1, 49.8, 43.3, 35.4, 33.1, 24.5, 17.6; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 450.2393$; found 450.2391.

### 7.3.40. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 666



Cyclopeptide 666. Diolefin 665 ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004$ mmol, 0.10 equiv) and $p$-benzoquinone ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.02 \mathrm{M})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h. After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain macrocycle 666 ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.76$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.32(c 0.09, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=196-197 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.54(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 5.42 (dt, $J=15.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8$, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=15.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 173.1,171.4,171.1,157.4,137.6,137.3,131.6,130.7,128.9$, 128.2, 128.1, 128.09, 128.0, 125.8, 111.8, 69.7, 49.7, 43.4, 34.7, 33.7, 27.9, 16.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 422.2079$; found 422.2073.

### 7.3.41. Synthesis of Diolefin 654



Diolefin 654. Dipeptide 663 ( $420 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA $(4.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ in exactly the same manner as previously described for synthesis of 612. A solution of the corresponding ammonium salt and acid 658 ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with HATU ( $528 \mathrm{mg}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc , and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow$ $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to obtain diolefin $\mathbf{6 5 4}$ ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ over two steps) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.46 (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-3.98(c 0.07, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.98-5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
4.02 (d, $J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (d, $J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (td, $J=7.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48$ $-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta$ (ppm) 177.3, 172.7, 170.5, 163.5, 158.7, 137.1, 134.9, $134.2,131.6,128.1,127.6,127.2,126.4,116.5,113.5,112.9,112.1,73.1,69.7,42.3$, 38.6, 35.6, 30.3, 16.5, 12.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[M+H]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 480.2499 ; found 480.2501 .

### 7.3.42. Synthesis of Diolefin 667



Diolefin 667. To a solution of diolefin $\mathbf{6 5 4}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ) was added 2,6-lutidine ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred 10 min at this temperature. After this time TBSOTf ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After decantation of the organic layer, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 100 \%$ EtOAc) to obtain diolefin $667(31 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.80 (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-6.98(c 0.06, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=96-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33$ (m, 2 H ), $7.33-7.27$ (m, 1 H ), 7.06 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.79 (dd, $J=17.5,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ (dddd, $J=16.5,10.4,8.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62$ (dd, $J=17.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), $5.06-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (d, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.89$ (m, 1 H ), $3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.9,172.6,169.9,158.7,137.1,134.9,133.7,131.6,128.1$, $127.5,127.2,126.4,125.9,116.6,113.4,112.9,112.0,73.3,69.7,49.4,45.9,42.0,38.1$, 24.9, 17.5, 16.8, 12.7, -5.6, -6.1; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ 594.3363; found 594.3367.

### 7.3.43. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 668

 flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in
hexanes) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 6 8}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.51$ (silica gel, $70 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-9.21(c 0.08, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=205-206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.44-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.7, $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.45 (d, $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.98 (ddd, $J=15.4,7.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07$ (s, 2 H), 4.25 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{td}, J=6.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.74 (d, $J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.49$ (m, 3 H ), 1.54 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.15 (d, $J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.15$ (overlap two singlets, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.8,171.6,171.3,158.2,137.2,134.5,128.6,128.1,127.5,127.2$, $126.3,126.2,125.4,113.3,112.3,73.4,69.7,50.9,46.3,43.3,38.9,24.9,17.5,14.6,12.0$, $-5.7,-6.1$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SiNa} 588.2870$; found 588.2868.

### 7.3.44. Synthesis of Diolefin 669



Diolefin 669. To a solution of diolefin 654 ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2,6-lutidine ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred 10 min at this temperature. After this time TESOTf $\left(0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5\right.$ equiv) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After decantation of the organic layer, the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to obtain diolefin $\mathbf{6 6 9}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.80 (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}$ D $=-9.41(c 0.08, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=92-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33$ (m, 2 H), 7.31 (dt, $J=5.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ (dddd, $J=16.5,10.3,8.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.61(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.9,172.7,169.9,158.7,137.1,134.9,133.9,131.6,128.1$, $127.5,127.2,126.4,125.9,116.6,113.4,112.9,112.0,73.4,69.7,49.4,46.1,41.9,38.5$, 16.7, 12.9, 5.9, 4.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ 594.3363; found 594.3359.

### 7.3.45. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 670



Cyclopeptide 670. Diolefin 669 ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Hoveyda-Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 0.10$ equiv) and $p$-benzoquinone ( $1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.10 equiv) were dissolved in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02$ $\mathrm{M})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 7 0}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.28$ (silica gel, $70 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-11.08(c 0.09, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=198-$ $199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.44-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=10.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.46$ (m, 3 H ), $1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.70(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.8,171.6,171.3$, 158.1, 137.2, 134.5, 128.7, 128.1, 127.5, 127.2, 126.3, 126.0, 125.4, 113.3, 112.3, 73.2, 69.9, 50.9, 46.3, 39.1, 29.3, 14.6, 12.2, 5.9, 4.4; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si} 566.3050$; found 566.3067.

### 7.3.46. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 653



Cyclopeptide 653. To a solution of macrocycle $670(5.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ TBAF ( $18 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.018 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). After 95 min the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude mixture was purified by preparative TLC (silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}, 100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ (3.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.27$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-7.72(c 0.04, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=201-202{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.44-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ 7.27 (m, 1 H), 7.19 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.06 (d, $J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87 (td, $J=6.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (d, $J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.56-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 176.7,171.6,170.7,158.3,137.1,134.5,128.9,128.1,127.6$, 127.5, 127.2, 126.7, 125.1, 113.0, 111.3, 72.3, 69.8, 50.1, 44.9, 42.9, 39.2, 15.3, 13.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 452.2186; found 452.2170.

### 7.3.47. Synthesis of Homoallylic Alcohol 673



Homoallylic Alcohol 673. A solution of aldehyde 635 ( 395 mg , 1.54 mmol , 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}\left(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5\right.$ equiv, 1.0 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was added slowly over 10 min at this temperature and then stirred for 10 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Allyl trimethylsilane ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added quickly and the reaction was stirred for 15 min , poured into $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and after decantation the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in Hexanes) to obtain the homoallylic alcohol 673 ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) as a yellow solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.67$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{mp}=74-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88$ (dddd, $J=16.9,10.5,7.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{t}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 2.67 (dddt, $J=14.0,6.4,3.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.47-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.0,148.4,135.8,134.2,131.5,129.3,128.8,128.4,127.6$, 120.8, 118.9, 109.9, 70.7, 68.2, 42.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ 300.1236 ; found 300.1238 .

### 7.3.48. Synthesis of Benzyloxymethyl Acetal 674



Benzyloxymethyl Acetal 674. To a solution of the homoallylic alcohol 673 ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIPEA ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) and BOMCl $\left(0.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0\right.$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution and the crude mixture was stirred for 30 min . Then, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $3 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain benzyloxymethyl acetal 674 ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 5.94$ (ddt, $J=17.1,10.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 5.15 (dd, $J=17.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11$ (s, 2 H ), 4.91 (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (dd, $J=$ $21.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.67-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 158.1$, $148.9,137.6,135.8,134.3,130.3,129.7,128.8,128.4,128.4,127.8,127.7,127.6,120.8$, 117.8, 109.7, 93.1, 73.3, 70.7, 69.8, 42.0; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{5} 420.1811$; found 420.1815.

### 7.3.49. Synthesis of Aniline 675



Aniline 675. To a solution of benzyloxymethyl acetal 674 (280 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $179 \mathrm{mg}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in water ( 4 mL ) followed by Zn dust ( $655 \mathrm{mg}, 10.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) in seven portions of $\sim 100 \mathrm{mg}$ each over 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 15 h and then the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water, filtered and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aniline 675 ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.41-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.34 (dd, $J=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81$ (ddt, $J=17.2$, $10.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.12 (ddd, $J=17.1,3.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$ (ddt, $J=10.2,2.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 159.5,146.5,137.8,137.2,135.3,130.6,128.6,128.4$, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 117.1, 116.9, 104.1, 102.9, 91.9, 77.9, 69.8, 69.7, 38.7; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{3} 390.2069$; found 390.2071.

### 7.3.50. Synthesis of Peptide 676



Peptide 676. To a solution of aniline $675(217 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Fmoc-D-Ala-OH (629) ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72$ mmol, 1.3 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ) was added PyBOP ( 435 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 15 h at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain peptide 676 ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$, 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white foam. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$, $7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.72 (td, $J=16.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-$ 4.64 (m, 3 H), $4.64-4.56$ (m, $J=11.4,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.45$ (m, 1 H$), 4.43-4.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51$ $-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.3,159.1,159.0,155.8,143.8$, $141.3,137.3,137.2,136.8,134.2,134.1,129.9,129.9,128.5,128.5,128.4,127.9,127.9$,
$127.9,127.9,127.9,127.8,127.6,127.1,125.2,125.0,120.0,117.9,117.8,111.1,108.3$, 108.1, 92.4, 78.6, 70.1, 70.0, 67.1, 51.6, 47.1, 39.9, 39.9, 18.9, 18.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} 683.3121$; found 683.3115 .

### 7.3.51. Synthesis of Dipeptide 677



Dipeptide 677. To a solution of peptide $676(176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added piperidine ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under redued pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by fash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain the corresponding amine ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%, 1: 1$ mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white solid. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.18$ (silica gel, $80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{mp}=82-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.15-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.62$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.45$ (m, 1 H ), 3.76 (bs, 1 H ), 2.71 (dt, $J=14.8,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.50 (dt, $J=13.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.53-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 172.3,171.9,159.1,159.0$, $137.6,137.5,137.5,137.5,137.4,137.3,137.3,137.3,136.9,136.9,134.5,134.4,128.5$, 128.5, 128.5, 128.5, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.6, 121.4, 121.2, 117.8, 117.7, 110.8, $110.6,108.5,108.2,92.3,92.3,70.1,70.0,69.9,61.4,61.2,51.4,51.3,40.1,39.9,16.4$, 15.8. To a solution of the amine obtained above ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and Fmoc-Gly-OH ( $\mathbf{6 3 0}$ ) ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added HATU ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 15 h at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain peptide 677 ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%, 1: 1 \mathrm{mixture}$ of diastereoisomers) as a white foam. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.27$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.99-$ 7.96 (m, 1 H ), 7.75 (dd, $J=7.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.23$ (m, $16 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{ttd}, J=13.7,7.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 5.53 (bs, 1 H), $5.13-5.06$ (m, 2 H), 5.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.77-4.55$ (m, 6 H), 4.44 (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.44 (ddd, $J=20.6,13.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.49-1.44$ (m, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 174.6,170.1,170.0,165.6,159.1,159.1,156.8,156.7,143.7,143.7$, 141.3, 141.3, 137.2, 136.8, 134.2, 134.2, 130.0, 129.9, 128.6, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.1, 127.0, 125.1, 125.0, 121.3, 121.2, 120.0, 119.9, 117.9, 117.9, 111.2, $111.2,108.5,108.3,92.6,92.5,78.3,77.8,70.3,70.2,70.1,65.4,49.9,47.1,44.5,38.7$,
18.4, 18.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} 740.3336$; found 740.3327.

### 7.3.52. Synthesis of Diolefin 679



Diolefin 679. To a solution of peptide $677(63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added piperidine ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{~h}$. After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude amine was used in the next step without purification. To a solution of the crude amine ( $\sim 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acid 678 (10 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added HATU ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to obtain diolefin 679 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ over 2 steps, 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers) as a white solid. Data assigned for the mixture of diastereoisomers: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.62$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); mp $=95-96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 7.95(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $5.99-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-$ 3.00 (m, 2 H), 2.65 (ddd, $J=15.3,13.9,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ (ddd, $J=20.9,13.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $1.49-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 174.5,171.8,171.4$, $170.1,168.8,165.7,160.2,159.1,140.9,137.2,137.1,136.8,136.8,134.3,134.2,130.7$, 129.9, 129.9, 128.8, 128.6, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 127.7, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 120.2, 120.1, $117.9,117.8,111.2,111.1,108.6,108.4,92.7,92.5,78.8,70.3,70.3,70.2,70.1,50.1$, 50.1, 43.1, 43.0, 41.2, 41.2, 38.7, 18.3, 18.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} 586.2917$; found 586.2905.

### 7.3.53. Synthesis of Alkene 683



Alkene 683. Alkene $\mathbf{6 8 3}$ was prepared from alcohol $\mathbf{6 8 2}{ }^{305}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 4.46$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) by sequential treatments with $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{pyr}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{4 6 0}$, to obtain alkene $\mathbf{6 8 3}$ ( $840 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ over two steps) as a pale yellow oil: Flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}$ $\mathrm{D}=+18.51\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.4,5.9,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.70-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02-5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
1.55 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 133.7$, 133.2, $132.4,128.8,128.5,124.5$, 119.7, 109.8, 85.6, 77.2, 77.2, 27.2, 26.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{5} 356.1498$; found 356.1497.

### 7.3.54. Synthesis of Aniline 684



Aniline 684. To a solution of nitro derivative $\mathbf{6 8 3}(532 \mathrm{mg}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(570 \mathrm{mg}$, $10.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in water ( 13 mL ) followed by Zn dust ( 2.0 g , $32.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) in ten portions of $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$ each over 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 16 h and then the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water, filtered and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aniline $\mathbf{6 8 4}$ (417 $\mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+9.43$ (c $0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.19-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $6.84-6.74$ (m, 2 H ), 5.88 (ddd, $J=17.1,10.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.34 (dt, $J=17.2,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.26 (dd, $J=10.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 134.5,129.4,129.0,120.1$, 119.3, 117.7, 109.2, 82.8, 80.4, 77.2, 27.3, 26.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3} 326.1756$; found 326.1755 .

### 7.3.55. Synthesis of Peptide 685



Peptide 685. Amine 684 ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Fmoc-D-Ala-OH ( 629 ) ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), HATU ( 676 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.78 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to the resulting solution was added DIPEA ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.37$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Then, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc , and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain peptide $\mathbf{6 8 5}(490 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$ as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+16.79\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.09(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ H), 7.20 (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12 (dd, $J=11.2,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68$ $-5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.65$ (s, 3 H ), $1.57-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.4,155.8,143.8,141.3$, $141.3,136.4,133.8,129.2,128.3,127.8,127.8,127.1,125.1,125.0,124.5,124.5,122.8$,
120.0, 109.5, 82.2, 81.8, 67.1, 51.5, 47.2, 30.9, 27.3, 26.7, 19.3; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 513.2389$; found 513.2387.

### 7.3.56. Synthesis of Dipeptide 686



Dipeptide 686. Piperidine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of peptide $\mathbf{6 8 5}(490 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding crude amine $(\sim 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ which was used in the next step without further purification. The crude amine obtained above ( $\sim 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Fmoc-Gly-OH ( $\mathbf{6 3 0}$ ) ( $347 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), HATU ( 513 mg , $1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to the resulting solution was added DIPEA ( $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Then, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dipeptide $\mathbf{6 8 6}(426 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ over 2 steps) as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55$ (silica gel, $80 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+9.13$ (c 0.20, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.9$, $4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.87 (ddd, $J=17.2,10.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54$ (bs, 1 H$), 5.30(\mathrm{t}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{p}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{p}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.0,168.5,165.8,156.6,143.8,141.3,136.3,133.7,129.2$, 128.4, 127.8, 127.1, 125.1, 124.7, 124.5, 122.8, 120.0, 119.9, 109.5, 82.3, 81.9, 67.3, 49.9, 47.1, 44.4, 38.6, 27.3, 26.7, 18.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} 570.2604$; found 570.2602.

### 7.3.57. Synthesis of Dialkene 687



Dialkene 687. Piperidine ( $0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of peptide $686(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.5 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding crude amine $(\sim 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ which was used in the next step without further purification. The crude amine obtained above ( $\sim 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), vinyl acetic acid $678(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), HATU ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to the resulting solution was added DIPEA $\left(0.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . Then,
a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow$ $100 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain peptide $\mathbf{6 8 7}(174 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ over 2 steps $)$ as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+13.48\left(c 0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00-5.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{p}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.1,170.1,168.4,136.3,133.7,130.8,129.2,128.4,124.7,124.6,122.9,120.2,119.9$, 109.5, 82.2, 81.9, 49.9, 43.0, 41.2, 27.3, 26.7, 18.8; HRMS (H-ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} 416.2186$; found 416.2184 .

### 7.3.58. Synthesis of Cyclopeptide 690



Cyclopeptide 690. To a stirred solution of macrocycle 615 ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added condensed liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\sim 8 \mathrm{~mL})$ via cannula. Then, small pieces of $\mathrm{Na}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 5.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 85$ equiv) were added to the mixture until the formation of a deep blue solution. The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and after this time it was quenched by slowly addition of MeOH at the same temperature. The mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure to a volume of $\sim 10$ mL . The reaction was neutralized with Dowex- $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, washed with MeOH and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $7 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain macrocycle $\mathbf{6 9 0}$ ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.34$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-6.77(c 0.09, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{mp}=125-$ $126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 9.30(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.38(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.1,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.11 ( $\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.16-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 173.1,171.2,170.9,156.3,136.9,131.4$, 131.0, 127.8, 123.7, 112.7, 112.4, 49.7, 49.1, 43.4, 33.8, 27.9, 16.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 332.1610$; found 332.1618.

### 7.3.59. Synthesis of Oxazolidinone 696



Oxazolidinone 696. $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$ ( 11.6 mL , 1.0 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 11.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv) and freshly dry DIPEA ( $2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv) was added sequentially over 15 min to a solution of oxazolidinone $694(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 9.30$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aldehyde $695(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 11.18 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) was added slowly. After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, the system was placed in an ice bath at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with pH 7 phosphate buffer ( 8 mL ) followed by $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then, a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH} / 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3: 1,20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over 30 min and the mixture was stirred for 30 min maintaining the temperature at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic solvent was removed under vaccum and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed successively with 1 N HCl solution twice ( 14 mL ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 14 mL ) and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain to obtain $696(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+12.9\left(c 0.85, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.28$ (m, 3 H ), $7.23-7.19$ (m, 2 H ), 5.87 (dtd, $J=15.4$, $4.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.72 (ddt, $J=15.4,5.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.70 (ddt, $J=9.4,7.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.56-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ (dd, $J=13.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}$, 9 H ), 0.07 (s, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.7$, 153.1, 135.1, 131.8, 129.5, $129.0,128.7,127.5,72.0,66.2,63.1,55.2,42.8,37.8,25.9,18.4,11.1,-5.2$; HRMS (HESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si} 434.2363$; found 434.2364.

### 7.3.60. Synthesis of Oxazolidinone 697



Oxazolidinone 697. To a solution of oxazolidinone 696 ( $580 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIPEA ( $0.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) and BOMCl $\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0\right.$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution and the crude mixture was stirred for 30 min . Then, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain oxazolidinone 697 ( $346 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+22.1\left(c 0.31, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20-7.16$ (m, 2 H ), 5.83 (ddd, $J=16.0,4.7,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.67 (ddt, $J=15.5,7.7,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06$ (ddd, $J=11.2,7.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.94-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.72 (dd, $J=13.3,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ (s, 9 H ), 0.05 (s, 3 H ), 0.05 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.3,153.3,137.9,135.4,134.5,129.4$, $128.9,128.4,127.6,127.6,127.3,126.7,91.9,77.5,69.4,65.9,62.9,55.6,42.6,37.8$, 25.9, 18.4, 12.4, -5.2; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si} 554.2938$; found 554.2937.

### 7.3.61. Synthesis of Alcohol 698



Alcohol 698. $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ ( $1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{THF}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of oxazolidinone $697(346 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by MeOH ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added, and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ three times. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain alcohol $\mathbf{6 9 8}(237 \mathrm{mg}$, quantitative) as a colorless oil which did not required further purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.33$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+18.9\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{dtd}, J=15.5,4.2,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{ddt}, J=15.4,7.7,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28$ (dd, $J=7.6,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20$ (dd, $J=4.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.69 (dd, $J=11.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.54 (dd, $J=11.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.03-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 137.7,134.0,128.5,127.9,127.8,126.4,91.9,79.1,69.8,65.5,62.9,39.9,25.9$, 18.4, 12.1, -5.2; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H ] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 381.2461$; found 381.2460 .

### 7.3.62. Synthesis of Aldehyde 699



Aldehyde 699. To a solution of alchol $698(235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Dess-Martin periodinane ( $528 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2.5 h . Then the reaction was quenched by the addition of a $1: 1$ mixture of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc twice and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9 9}$ ( 235 mg , quantitative) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+32.7\left(c 0.80, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.36-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.85$ (dtd, $J=15.4,4.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.64 (ddt, $J=$ $15.4,8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57$ (dd, $J=7.9,4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.46$ (m, 1 H ), $4.22-4.19$ (m, 2 H ), $2.60-2.53$ (m, 1 H$), 1.14$ (d, J = $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 203.8, 137.7,
$134.9,128.5,127.9,127.8,125.7,91.7,75.8,69.8,62.8,51.1,25.9,18.3,8.7,-5.3 ;$ HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 379.2305$; found 379.2303.

### 7.3.63. Synthesis of Acid 693



Acid 693. 2-methyl-2-butene ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{6 9 9}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a $4: 1$ mixture of ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0$ equiv)) and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred a $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After this time brine was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was washed with dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain acid $693(78 \mathrm{mg}$, quantitative) as a colorless oil which did not required further purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+29.4\left(c 0.35, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.16$ (m, 2 H$), 2.72-2.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.5,137.7,135.5,128.4,127.9,127.8,125.6,91.7,69.8,62.7$, 44.5, 25.9, 25.7, 18.3, 11.9, -5.3; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ 395.2254 ; found 395.2252 .

### 7.3.64. Synthesis of Peptide 701



Peptide 701. To a solution of peptide 700 ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ) was added piperidine ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~h}$. After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude amine ( $\sim 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used in the next step without purification. DIPEA ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added to a solution of crude amine $692(\sim 0.25$ mmol ), acid 693 ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), HATU ( $234 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h and after this time, the reaction was diluted with CH 2 Cl 2 and a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added. Ater decantation of the organic phase, the aqueous phase was extractes with EtOAc and the organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain peptide 701 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ over 2 steps) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55$ (silica gel, $80 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-9.8$ (c 0.18 , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.39(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-$ 7.27 (m, 12 H$), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.78(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
4.84 (s, 2 H ), $4.65-4.58$ (m, 3 H ), 4.22 - 4.14 (m, 3 H ), 3.67 (dd, $J=17.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.48-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.04 (s, 3 H ), 0.04 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.6,170.1,169.9,158.9$, 138.3, 136.7, 136.4, 135.4, 131.3, 128.6, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 124.4, 122.6, 116.2, $112.5,108.5,92.8,71.2,70.1,62.8,49.6,44.3,38.6,29.7,25.8,18.4,12.8,-3.6,-5.3$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si} 730.3888$; found 730.3886.

### 7.3.65. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 702



Allylic Alcohol 702. TBAF ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.20 $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of silyl derivative 701 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 5 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 5 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduce pressure and the resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $8 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain alcohol derivative 702 (47 $\mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ (silica gel, $100 \%$ EtOAc); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-15.1(c 0.20, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.53$ (d, $J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.44-7.39$ (m, 2 H), $7.38-7.23$ (m, 8 H ), 7.06 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (dd, $J=8.7,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ (dddd, $J=8.5,7.9,4.0,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.65-5.56$ (m, 2 H), 5.19 (dd, $J=11.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ (s, 2 H), $4.81-4.64$ (m, 3 H), 4.61-4.48 (m, 2 H), 4.26-4.19 (m, 1 H), $4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ (dt, $J=26.8,16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{p}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 175.4,172.6,170.1,158.7,138.0,137.1$, 134.9, 131.6, 128.1, 127.9, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 126.9, 126.4, 125.9, 113.4, 112.9, 112.1, 91.5, 78.1, 69.7, 69.4, 61.4, 45.2, 42.2, 23.4, 16.9, 12.3; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ 616.3023; found 616.3021.

### 7.3.66. Synthesis of Aniline 704



Aniline 704. To a solution of nitro derivative $\mathbf{6 0 5}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.45 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(72 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}$, 42.24 mmol , 5.0 equiv) in water ( 48 mL ) followed by Zn dust ( 8.3 g , $126.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 15.0$ equiv) in ten portions of $\sim 800 \mathrm{mg}$ each over 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at this temperature for 12 h and then the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water, filtered and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic phase washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain aniline $704(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34-6.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ (s, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.0,146.4,137.2,130.2,128.6,127.9,127.5$,
108.8, 105.8, 102.7, 69.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ INO 326.0042; found 326.0041 .

### 7.3.67. Synthesis of Dipeptide 585



Dipeptide 585. To a solution of aniline 704 ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 5.44$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Boc-Gly-D-Ala-OH (608) ( 1.7 mg , $7.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added HATU ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( $1.88 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.89$ mmol, 2.0 equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 15 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed sequentially with 1 N HCl and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain dipeptide $585(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.82$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+18.44\left(c 0.85, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{mp}=104-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.64$ (bs, 1 H ), $7.48-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ (bs, 1 H ), 6.74 (dd, $J=8.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.26 (t, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$ (s, 2 H$), 4.67$ (p, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.2,163.6,159.3,156.4,138.9,136.9,129.7,128.6,127.9$, $127.5,112.5,111.3,106.6,69.9,49.8,34.5,28.3,24.1,17.5$; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} 554.1152$; found 554.1151.

### 7.3.68. Synthesis of Peptide 705



Peptide 705. Dipeptide 585 ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in exactly the same manner as previously described for synthesis of 612. A solution of the ammonium salt and acid 693 ( 559 mg , $1.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with HATU ( $962 \mathrm{mg}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and DIPEA ( 0.4 mL , $4.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain 705 ( $238 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ over two steps) as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.20$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+9.73$ (c 0.25, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.44-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ $-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60$ (dddd, $J=15.5,8.3,3.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.81-4.67$ (m, 3 H ), $4.57-4.46$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01$ (dd, $J=5.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$
(d, $J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.64 (p, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 175.5,171.7,170.1,159.2,139.3,138.0,137.2$, 135.0, 129.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 126.8, 112.4, 110.5, 106.8, 91.4, 78.1, 69.6, 69.4, 61.4, 49.6, 45.2, 42.4, 16.9, 12.4; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z: ~[M+H]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} 716.1833$; found 716.1832.

### 7.3.69. Synthesis of Acid 709



Acid 709. TBAF ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of silyl derivative $\mathbf{6 9 3}(470 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain acid 709 ( 336 mg , quantitative) as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.12$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+23.2(c 0.46$, MeOD); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.24$ (m, 5 H ), 5.87 (dtd, $J=15.6,5.0,0.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.66 (ddt, $J=15.5,7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.66$ (m, 2 H ), $4.54-4.43$ (m, 2 H), $4.13-4.06$ (m, 2 H ), 2.60 (qd, $J=7.1,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21$ (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 176.6,137.9,134.3,127.9,127.8,127.5$, 127.3, 91.3, 77.1, 69.2, 61.4, 23.4, 12.5; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 281.1389; found 281.1388.

### 7.3.70. Synthesis of Lactames 712a and 712b



Lactames 712a and 712b. $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.99$ mmol, 2.5 equiv) and DBU ( $0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.5 equiv) were added to a solution of acid 709 (336 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h. After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding crude bistrichloroacetoimidate $\mathbf{7 1 0}(\sim 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a dark brown oil which was used in the next step without purification. Crude bistrichloroacetoimidate 710 obtained above ( $\sim 26 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and p-benzoquinone ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.5 equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . Then, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the obtained crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain lactames 712a (60 $\mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ over two steps) and $\mathbf{7 1 2 b}$ ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ over two steps) as a colorless oils. Data for 712a: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.57\left(30 \%\right.$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-22.56\left(c 0.35, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 7.36-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.98 (ddd, $J=17.2,10.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.45 (dt, $J=17.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.31 (dt, $J=10.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69$ (tt, $J=6.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ $(\mathrm{p}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 177.3$, 137.6, 134.3, 128.0, 127.6, 127.4, 118.1, 94.2, 84.1, 83.1, 69.5, 41.9, 12.5; Data for 712b:
$\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55\left(30 \%\right.$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+9.7\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 7.37-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.3,10.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.3$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37$ (dt, $J=10.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 178.3,137.7,131.9,128.1,127.5,127.4,118.5$, 93.9, 81.3, 80.4, 69.7, 40.7, 11.9; HRMS (H-ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ 262.1443; found 262.1441.

### 7.3.71. Synthesis of Diol 713



Diol 713. Silyl eter 698 ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ) and to this solution was added TBAF $\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in THF, $1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.5 h and then the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain a crude residue which was purified by flash column chromatography ( $70 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diol 713 ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.45(100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+27.7\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.36-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.6,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ (dd, $J=7.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 137.9,133.4,128.6$, 127.9, 127.7, 127.3, 91.6, 77.3, 69.2, 63.8, 61.5, 40.7, 11.1; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 267.1596; found 267.1595.

### 7.3.72. Synthesis of Bis(trichloroacetimidate) 714



Bis(trichloroacetimidate) 714. $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv) and $\operatorname{DBU}(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv) were added to a solution of diol 713 ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to obtain bis(trichloroacetimidate) $\mathbf{7 1 4}(166 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=$ 0.88 (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+6.44\left(c 0.35, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.32(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (dt, $J=15.7,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ (dd, $J=15.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.85-4.78$ (m, 3 H ), $4.75-4.67$ (m, 2 H), 4.54 (d, $J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.31 (ddd, $J=12.7,8.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.21 (dd, $J=10.6,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $2.24-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.9$, 162.4, 137.8, 132.8, 128.5, 127.9, 127.7, 127.4, 92.3, 91.5, 91.4, 76.9, 70.9, 69.8, 68.5, 37.6, 12.3; HRMS (H-ESI) m/z: [M + H] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} 552.9789$; found 552.9788.

### 7.4. Experimental Procedures and Compound Characterization Related to the Celebesides

### 7.4.1. Synthesis of Peptide 738



Peptide 738. Release of a small amount of peptide from resin $737(19 \mathrm{mg})$ by treatment with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{TFE}$ ( $3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 7: 2: 1$ ) gave the $N$-Fmoc protected tripeptide $\mathbf{7 3 8}$ ( 10 mg ), which revealed a load of $0.55 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ of resin 737: white foam; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-5.69\left(c 0.12, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.55$ (bs, 1 H ), 8.14 (d, $J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.58 (t, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.50-4.34$ (m, 4 H ), 4.29 (dd, $J=10.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-$ $4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.7,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta$ 171.6, 171.1, 170.7, 170.2, 156.8, 74.5, $72.8,70.4,69.1,67.1,66.6,59.9,52.9,49.8,38.2,29.4,27.3,22.3,18.1$; HRMS (ESITOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 931.4282$ found 931.4280 .

### 7.4.2. Synthesis of Peptide 742 from Solid Phase Synthesis



Peptide 742 from Solid Phase Synthesis. A 10 mL polypropylene syringe fitted with polyethylene porous disk charged with 2-chlorotrityl chloride (CTC) resin ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{L}=1.22 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}, 1.0$ equiv), was loaded with a solution of Fmoc-L-Ser(Bn)-OH 730 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48$ mmol, 2.0 equiv) and DIPEA ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , then the solution was unloaded and the resin was washed by shaking with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was used in the next step. The polypropylene syringe loaded with the yellow resin was treated with a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 $\mathrm{rpm})$. After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and loaded with a solution of Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-OH 736 ( $286 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), HOBt ( 65 mg , 0.48 mmol , 2.0 equiv) and DIC ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resin washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was used in the next step. To a 10 mL polypropylene syringe fitted with polyethylene porus disk and loaded with the resulting Fmoc protected dipeptide was added a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 rpm ). After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and treated with a solution of Fmoc-D-Thr $\left.{ }^{( }{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH}$ 732 ( $191 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), $\operatorname{HOBt}(65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DIC ( 0.10 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resin washed with dry DMF
( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). To the same polypropylene syringe was added a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 rpm ). After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and treated with a solution of $\beta$-Ala-OH (739) ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), HOBt ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DIC ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resin washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Then, to the same polypropylene syringe was added a solution of $20 \%$ piperidine in DMF ( $3 \times 3$ $\mathrm{mL} x 10 \mathrm{~min}$ at 280 rpm ). After the last run, the resin was washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3$ mL ) and treated with a solution of 2,4-pentadienoic acid $734(47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), HOBt ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) and DIC ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). The resulting suspension was shaken at 280 rpm for 24 h , and then the solution was unloaded and the resin washed with dry DMF ( $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting yellow resin was treated with a solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{TFE}(7: 2: 1,3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) for 30 min . After that, the solution was collected and the resin washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. All the collected organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the resulting peptide 742 ( 134 mg , $65 \%$ overall yield from CTC resin) was obtained as a pale yellow foam which not required further purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-15.2\left(c 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.11 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.80 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.29-7.17$ (m, 20 H ), 7.08 (dd, $J=$ $15.2,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-$ $5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.33$ (m, 1 H ), 4.10 (dd, $J=6.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87 (dd, $J=9.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (dd, $J=9.7,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.49 (dt, $J=9.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.37-3.32$ (m, 2 H), 3.04 - 2.97 (m, 1 H ), $2.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.08 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta$ 172.6, 171.7, 171.4, 170.5, $170.4,167.2,144.5,140.5,137.8,135.1,128.7,127.9,127.4,127.3,127.3,126.4,124.7$, 123.1, 74.5, 72.8, 70.4, 69.3, 66.6, 58.7, 53.1, 49.6, 37.9, 35.6, 35.2, 27.3, 18.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 860.4235$, found 860.4233 .

### 7.4.3. Synthesis of Fmoc-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 743



Fmoc-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 743. To a solution of Fmoc-L-$\operatorname{Ser}(\mathrm{Bn})-\mathrm{OH} 730$ ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and allylic alcohol ( $0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(120 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added sequentially $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 11.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv $)$ and DIC ( $2.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 15 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 743 ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ) as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-9.1\left(c 0.07, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ 7.78 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27$ (m, 4 H), 5.90 (ddd, $J=22.7,10.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=$
$17.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 170.0,156.1,143.8,141.3,137.5,131.6,128.5,127.9,127.7$, 127.7, 127.1, 125.2, 120.0, 118.7, 73.4, 69.9, 67.3, 66.2, 54.5, 47.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 458.1968$, found 458.11966.

### 7.4.4. Synthesis of Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 744



Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 744. To a solution of Fmoc-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 743 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added piperidine ( 1.1 mL , $10.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20\% EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain the corresponding amine ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) as a colorless foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10$ (silica gel, $80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-18.7$ (c 0.15, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{ddt}, J=17.2,10.4$, $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.5,2.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ $-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.7,137.9,131.9,128.4,127.7,127.6,118.5,73.3,72.1$, 65.7, 55.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$236.1287, found 236.1285. Amine obtained above ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-OH $736\left(558 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOB} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) and EDC $\mathrm{HCl}\left(358 \mathrm{mg}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed sequentially with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain to obtain 744 ( $609 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%, 58 \%$ overall yield from 743) as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.88$ (silica gel, $80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-12.4\left(c 0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78$ $-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32-7.16$ (m, 23 H$), 6.93$ (bs, 1 H ), 6.43 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.86 (ddd, $J=16.1,10.9$, $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22$ (ddd, $J=10.5,2.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ $-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ (dd, $J=9.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.0,170.3,169.6$, $156.3,144.3,143.9,143.7,141.3,137.4,131.6,128.8,128.7,128.4,128.0,127.7,127.6$, 127.1, 125.2, 120.0, 118.6, 73.2, 70.9, 69.2, 67.4, 66.1, 53.1, 51.5, 47.1, 38.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 814.3492$, found 814.3490 .

### 7.4.5. Synthesis of Fmoc-D-Thr('Bu)-L-Asn(Trt)-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 745



Fmoc-D-Thr $\left.{ }^{(t B u}\right)$-L-Asn(Trt)-L-Ser(Bn)-
-OAllyl 745. To a solution of Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-L-$\operatorname{Ser}(\mathrm{Bn})$-OAllyl 744 ( $498 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added piperidine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$, $1.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding amine as a white solid which was used in the next step without purification. To a solution of the crude amine obtained above ( $\sim 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Fmoc-D$\left.\operatorname{Thr}{ }^{t}{ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{OH} 732$ ( $316 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) and $\mathrm{HOBt}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv) and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(235 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed sequentially with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes $\rightarrow 40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to obtain 745 ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ over two steps) as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}$ D $=-5.88\left(c 0.06, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.04$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.3,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=22.8,10.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ H), $4.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 1.19 ( s, 9 H ), $1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.7,169.8$, $169.5,169.5,156.0,144.4,144.0,143.7,141.3,141.3,137.5,131.7,128.8,128.3,127.9$, $127.7,127.6,127.1,127.1,125.2,120.1,118.5,75.4,73.2,70.9,69.0,67.0,66.3,66.0$, 59.0, 53.1, 49.6, 47.2, 38.9, 28.2, 17.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 971.4595$, found 971.4593 .

### 7.4.6. Synthesis of Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-D-Thr( ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Bu)-L-Asn(Trt)-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 746



Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-D-Thr $\left({ }^{t}\right.$ Bu)-L-Asn(Trt)-L-Ser
(Bn)-OAllyl 746. To a solution of Fmoc-D$\operatorname{Thr}\left({ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Asn}(\mathrm{Trt})-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ser}(\mathrm{Bn})-\mathrm{OAllyl} \quad \mathbf{7 4 5}$ ( $527 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (20 mL ) was added piperidine ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding amine as a white foam which was used in the next step without purification. To a solution of the crude amine obtained above ( $\sim 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$
equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-OH 739 ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(208 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed sequentially with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 60 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 746 ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ over two steps) as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $80 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-13.7\left(c 0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.04$ (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.38 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.17$ (m, 22 H ), $6.85(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.86 (ddd, $J=16.1,11.0,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.56 (t, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.30 (dd, $J=$ $17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ (dt, $J=10.2,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.61 (dd, $J=14.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.32$ (m, 4 H$), 4.28$ (dd, $J=5.8,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.23-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ (dd, $J=9.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.6,170.7,169.9,169.8,169.5,156.5,144.4,144.0,141.3,137.5$, 131.6, 128.8, 128.4, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.1, 125.2, 119.9, 118.6, 75.3, 73.0, 70.9, 69.2, 66.7, 66.1, 65.8, 58.2, 53.1, 49.7, 47.3, 38.6, 36.9, 35.7, 28.2, 18.4; HRMS (ESITOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{10}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$1042.4966, found 1042.4963

### 7.4.7. Synthesis of Peptide 747



Peptide 747. To a solution of Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-D$\operatorname{Thr}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Bu}\right)$-L-Asn(Trt)-L-Ser(Bn)-OAllyl 746 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added piperidine ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes $\rightarrow 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to obtain the corresponding amine ( 80 mg , quantitative) as a colorless foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.10$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-8.11(c 0.08$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 8.04$ (bs, 1 H ), $7.30-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-5.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ (dd, $J=6.3,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.88 (dd, $J=9.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.66 (dd, $J=9.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.13-3.07$ (m, 1 H ), 2.98 (t, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.76 (dd, $J=15.9,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.11 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 171.9,171.7,170.4,170.3$, $169.8,144.5,137.7,131.8,128.7,128.7,128.0,127.5,127.4,126.4,117.4,74.5,72.9$, 70.4, 68.9, 65.7, 58.7, 53.1, 49.6, 44.5, 38.1, 36.3, 27.3, 18.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 820.4285$, found 820.4283. To a solution of amine obtained
above ( $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2,4-pentadienoic acid 734 ( 13 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv), $\mathrm{HOBt} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(37$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed sequentially with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes $\rightarrow 100 \%$ EtOAc) to obtain 747 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) as a white foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=$ - 19.2 (c $0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.17$ (m, 20 H ), 7.08 (dd, $J=15.2,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.2,3.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (ddd, $J=10.5,2.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.80(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62$ $-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.87 (dd, $J=9.7,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.65 (dd, $J=9.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.47 (dd, $J=13.4$, $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0$, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 172.6,171.7,170.5,170.4,169.7,167.2,144.5,140.5,137.6,135.1$, 131.8, 128.7, 128.7, 128.0, 127.5, 127.3, 126.4, 124.7, 123.1, 117.4, 74.5, 72.8, 70.4, 68.9, 66.6, 65.7, 58.7, 53.1, 49.7, 38.1, 35.7, 35.1, 27.4, 18.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 900.4548$, found 900.4546.

### 7.4.8. Synthesis of Peptide 742 from Solution Phase



Peptide 742 from Solution Phase. To a solution of peptide 747 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.003 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) and morpholine ( $0.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). The resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then, an aqueous 1 M HCl solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford acid $742(34 \mathrm{mg}$, quantitative) as a pale white foam which did not required further purification and whose spectroscopic and physical properties were identical to $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ obtained from solid phase synthesis: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.25$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-15.2\left(c 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 860.4235$ found 860.4233 .

### 7.4.9. Synthesis of Fmoc-D-Isoserine 733

| FmocHN |
| :---: |
|  |  |

Fmoc-D-Isoserine 733. D-(+)-malic acid 748 ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 37.31 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in 2,2-DMP ( 37 mL ) and TsOH ( 60 mg , $0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$
solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the corresponding acetal ( 5.3 g ) as a white solid which was used in the next step withouth further purification. Acetal obtained above ( 100 mg , $0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h . After this time, excess of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ was removed under reduced pressure to afford the corresponding acyl chloride ( 122 mg ) as a brown oil which was used in the next step without further purification. Acyl chloride obtained above ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in acetone ( 1 mL ) and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in water ( 0.5 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h . After this time, the acetone was removed under reduced pressure. Then, the resulting residue was diluted with water and extracted with toluene three times. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford acyl azide 749 as a yellow oil which was used in the next step without further purification. Acyl azide 749 obtained from the previous procedure ( $804 \mathrm{mg}, 4.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in toluene ( 12 mL ) and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to obtain isocyanate $\mathbf{7 5 0}$ as a brown oil, which was used in the next step without further purification. Isocyanate $\mathbf{7 5 0}$ obtained above ( $583 \mathrm{mg}, 3.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in toluene ( 8 mL ) and then FmocOH $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h at 85 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, water was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to afford carbamate $751(542 \mathrm{mg})$ as a white solid which was used in the next step without further purification. Carbamate 751 obtained above ( $542 \mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to this solution was added 1 N HCl solution ( 10 mL ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was heated slowly to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 3 h at this temperature. After this time, the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a white solid, which was recollected by filtration and washed with water. Then, the obtained solid was dissolved in acetone and stirred for 5 min . After this time, the solution was filter off and to the filtrate was added toluene ( 10 mL ) and the resulting solution concentrated under reduced pressure until the precipitation of a white solid. Then, the solid was recollected by filtration, washed with toluene and dried under vaccumm to afford Fmoc-D-isoserine 733 ( $348 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ over 6 steps) as a white solid which did not required further purification: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.1$ (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+16.8$ (c 0.35, MeOD); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, MeOD) $\delta 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (td, $J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 174.5,157.6$, $143.9,141.2,127.4,126.8,124.9,119.5,69.6,66.6,44.1$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 328.1185$, found 328.1184.

### 7.4.10. Synthesis of Peptide 753



Peptide 753. Peptide $\mathbf{7 5 3}$ was prepared from CTC resin ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{L}=1.22 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) according to the same procedure described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ by sequential coupling of Fmoc-L-$\operatorname{Ser}(\mathrm{Bn})-\mathrm{OH} 730$ ( $764 \mathrm{mg}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), Fmoc-L-Asn(Trt)-OH 736 ( $728 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), Fmoc-D-Thr('Bu)-OH 732 ( $485 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv), Fmoc-D-isoserine 752 ( $399 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) and 2,4-pentadienoic acid $734(120 \mathrm{mg}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv), to obtain peptide 753 ( $336 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) as a pale yellow foam: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.15$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-5.71(c 0.07, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 8.62(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.3,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (d, $J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.12-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ (dd, $J=9.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (dd, $J=$ $9.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-$ 2.94 (m, 1 H ), 2.75 (dd, $J=16.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.16$ (m, 1 H), $1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) $\delta 173.4,173.4$, 171.4, 170.4, 170.1, 167.7, 144.5, 140.9, 137.9, 134.9, 128.7, 127.9, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 126.4, 124.4, 123.4, 74.7, 72.8, 70.9, 70.4, 69.3, 66.4, 57.9, 49.8, 42.9, 37.9, 29.4, 27.3, 18.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{10}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 876.4184$, found 876.4182.

### 7.4.11. Synthesis of $(R, R)-(-)$-Pseudoephedrine 755


( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}$ )-(-)-Pseudoephedrine 755. DIPA ( $13.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 96.12$ mmol, 2.24 equiv) and $n$-BuLi ( $57 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $91.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.14$ equiv) were added to a solution of LiCl ( $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 254 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.93$ equiv) in THF ( 60 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after this time the reaction was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, a solution of $754(10.7 \mathrm{~g}$, $42.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise over 10 min and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 10 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the reaction was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeI}(4 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and the aqueous phase extracted with EtOAc twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain $755(9.2 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-14.3\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.3,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-$ $0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.2,142.7,128.3,127.5,126.2,77.2$,
76.6, 36.4, 36.2, 20.6, 17.4, 14.5, 14.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 264.1964$, found 264.1962.

### 7.4.12. Synthesis of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Ester 758


$\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$-Unsaturated Ester 758. $n$-BuLi ( $87 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, 140 mmol , 4.0 equiv) was added to a solution of DIPA ( 20 mL , $143 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.1$ equiv) in THF ( 60 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at this temperature, followed by 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}(4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 147$ mmol, 4.2 equiv) was added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $755(9.2 \mathrm{~g}, 34.93$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added over 30 min and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the reaction was quenched by the addition of 3 N HCl solution $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ four times and the combined organic layers were washed sequentially with 3 N HCl solution ( 75 mL ), $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}\left(75 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain the corresponding alcohol ( $\sim 28.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a colorless oil which was used in the next step without purification. The crude alcohol obtained above ( $\sim 28.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then PCC ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 48.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv) was added to this solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after this time, the crude mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. To this solution of the crude aldehyde 756 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $\sim 29 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ solution) was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}(20 \mathrm{~g}, 58.3$ mmol, 2.0 equiv) and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred 15 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 3\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 758 ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ over 3 steps) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+21.1\left(c 0.35, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=13.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.9,154.7,119.5,60.2,38.2,36.3,20.3,19.4$, 14.3, 14.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$171.1385, found 171.1383.

### 7.4.13. Synthesis of Allylic Alcohol 759



Allylic Alcohol 759. DIBAL-H ( $41 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 41.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added to a solution of $758(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 16.4$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at this temperature. Then, the reaction was quenched by the dropwise addition of MeOH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was allowed to reach $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$tartrate solution and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred until a clear separation of both
organic and aqueous phases. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain allylic alcohol $759(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+5.91\left(c 0.09, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.60-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.6,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.4,127.0,63.9,39.1,36.1,20.4,20.4,14.2$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$129.1279, found 129.1277.

### 7.4.14. Synthesis of Epoxy Alcohol 760



Epoxy Alcohol 760. To a suspension of titanium tetraisopropoxide ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.23$ equiv) and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 12 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added (+)-L-DET $\left(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25\right.$ equiv) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min at this temperature, a solution of allylic alcohol $759\left(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 13.26 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, followed by the addition, after additional 30 min , of TBHP ( $3.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in decane, $19.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) at the same temperature. After 15 h at this temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.99$ mmol, 4.6 equiv) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain epoxy alcohol $760(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a colourless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $=0.45$ (silica gel, $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-21.0\left(c 0.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.72 (dd, $J=7.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.86 (bs, 1 H ), $1.39-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 61.8,60.6,58.4,35.9$, 35.3, 20.4, 17.2, 14.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$145.1229, found 145.1227.

### 7.4.15. Synthesis of Diol 761



Diol 761. MeLi ( $69 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 111 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of $\mathrm{CuI}(10.6 \mathrm{~g}, 55.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in THF ( 100 mL ) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 5 minutes. After this time, a solution of epoxy alcohol $24(1.6 \mathrm{~g}$, $11.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . Then, the reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain a 3:1 mixture of opening products in 2- and 3- positions, respectively $(\sim 55.0 \mathrm{mmol}) . \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}\left(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5\right.$ equiv) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of the
crude product obtained above in a $1: 1$ mixture of THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After this time, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain diol 761 ( 1.1 g , $62 \%$ over 2 steps) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.35$ (silica gel, $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $=+10.2\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-$ $1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 61.860 .6$, 58.4, 35.9, 35.3, 20.4, 17.2, 14.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 161.1542, found 161.1540.

### 7.4.16. Synthesis of Bis(silyl ether) 762



Bis(silyl ether) 762. 2,6-Lutidine ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added to a solution of diol $761(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.86 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at this temperature. After this time, TBSOTf (3.9 $\mathrm{mL}, 17.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . Then, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $2 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 762 ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.80$ (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+11.5$ (c 0.50, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6$, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78$ (ddd, $J=14.0,6.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62$ $-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.83$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.04 (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 65.7,40.3,37.2,35.7,26.2,25.9,25.7,20.8,18.5,18.3,14.5,14.3,-2.9$, -3.8, -3.9, -5.3, -5.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$389.3271, found 389.3270 .

### 7.4.17. Synthesis of Alcohol 763



Alcohol 763. CSA ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 6.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of $762(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 6.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1,150 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 40 min . After this time, a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The
resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain $763(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.60$ (silica gel, $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+18.3\left(c 0.60, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.67-3.55$ (m, 2 H), $3.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.58$ (m, 1 H ), $1.48-1.33$ (m, 2 H), $1.29-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92$ (s, $J$ $=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 81.3,66.3,38.1,37.6,35.3,26.1,20.9,18.3,16.4,15.2,14.4,-3.9,-4.1$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$275.2406, found 275.2405.

### 7.4.18. Synthesis of Aldehyde 764



Aldehyde 764. To a solution of alcohol 763 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Dess-Martin periodinane (3.0 $\mathrm{g}, 7.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and, after this time, the reaction mixture was quenched with a $1: 1$ mixture of a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $/ \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 1 \mathrm{M}$. The solution was stirred for 20 min and then, the organic layer was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 764 ( $940 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.80$ (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+11.4$ (c 0.15, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.58-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{dtd}, J=13.6,6.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-$ $1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.04 (s, 3 H ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 81.3,66.3,38.1,37.6,35.3,26.1,20.9,18.3$, $16.4,15.2,14.4,-3.9$, -4.1 ; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 273.2250 , found 273.2251 .

### 7.4.19. Synthesis of Polyketide Fragment 729



Polyketide Fragment 729. A solution of (-)-Icp ${ }_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}$ ( 1.4 g , $4.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then allyl magnesium bromide ( $4.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{Et}}^{2} \mathrm{O}, 4.14$ mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwise over 20 min and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then a solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{7 6 4}$ ( $940 \mathrm{mg}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 20 min . The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and then was stirred for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the system was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was added dropwise over 10 min a mixture a a 3.0 M aqueous NaOH solution ( 7 mL ) and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and was followed by the dropwise adittion over 3 min of a saturated queous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 9 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred 15 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried
over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 2\% EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain polyketide fragment $729(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.50$ (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=+23.9\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.80$ (ddt, $\left.J=17.1,10.2,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.15-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 3.56 (dd, $J=5.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.35-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.64$ (m, 2 H ), $1.51-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9$ H), $0.91-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.11$ (s, 3 H ), 0.09 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $135.5,116.9,82.7,70.6,39.5,37.7,37.5,35.6,26.2,20.8,18.3,16.1,14.4,11.9,-3.8$, 3.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 315.2719$, found 315.2718.

### 7.4.20. Synthesis of Alcohol 770



Alcohol 770. AcOH ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv) was added to a solution of $(S, S)$ ) $N, N$ '-bis( 3,5 -di-tertbutylsalicyclidene-1,2-cyclohexanediamino)cobalt (II)
( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.005$ equiv) in toluene ( 5 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, toluene and acetic acid in excess were removed under reduced pressure and ( $\pm$ )-1,2-epoxyhexane ( $6 \mathrm{~g}, 59.89 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) was then added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min and cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, water ( $0.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.55$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h . The crude mixture was subjected to distillation and epoxide $\mathbf{7 6 8}$ was collected at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~atm})(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%)$ : $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-8.7\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, reported ${ }^{330}[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}$ $=-9.0\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. A solution of 4-bromo-1-butene ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and Mg turnings ( $485 \mathrm{mg}, 19,97 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{CuI}\left(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1\right.$ equiv) in THF ( 35 mL ) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min , a solution of epoxide obtained above ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise and the stirring was continued for 4 h at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time, the mixture was allowed to reach $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to obtain 770 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.40$ (silica gel, $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-15.5\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.82(\mathrm{ddt}, J=16.9,10.2$, $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.99 (dddd, $J=19.6,10.2,3.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.65-3.54$ (m, 1 H ), 2.12 1.99 (m, 2 H), $1.58-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.8,114.6,71.9$, 37.2, 36.9, 33.8, 27.8, 25.7, 24.9, 22.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+} 157.1592$, found 157.1590 .

### 7.4.21. Synthesis of Ester 772



Ester 772. $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{COCl}(0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added to a solution of Fmoc-N-Methyl-L-Val-OH (771) (81 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and TEA ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in THF ( 2 mL ) and the mixture was stirred for 30 min . Then, a solution of alcohol 770 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and DMAP ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in toluene ( 1.0 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . After this time, water was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to obtain 772 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55$ (silica gel, 30\% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}=-20.8$ (c 0.30, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, two rotamers in $1.1 / 1$ ratio) $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $7.68-7.57$ (m, 2 H ), $7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85$ $-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 2.91 (s, 3 H), 2.87 (s, 3 H), $2.10-1.97$ (m, 2 H), $1.61-1.46$ (m, 4 H), $1.44-1.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, both rotamers) $\delta 170.9$, 170.4, 156.9, 156.3, 144.3, 144.1, 143.9, 143.9, 141.4, 141.4, 138.4, 138.3, 127.7, 127.1, $127.0,125.0,124.9,124.9,119.9,119.9,114.9,114.8,74.8,74.8,67.7,67.6,64.5,64.2$, $47.3,33.6,33.5,33.4,33.4,30.1,29.9,29.7,27.4,27.3,27.1,24.6,22.5,19.7,19.6,18.8$, 18.6, 13.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 492.3114$, found 492.3115.

### 7.4.22. Synthesis of Amine 766



Amine 766. To a solution of 772 ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added piperidine $(0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After this time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to obtain amine 766 ( 37 mg , quantitative) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (silica gel, $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-12.6\left(c 0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.78$ (ddt, $J$ $=16.9,10.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{td}, J=10.0,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.8,138.3,114.8,74.5,69.7,35.4,33.7,33.5,33.4,31.5,27.5,24.5$, $22.5,19.5,18.5,13.9$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$270.2433, found 270.2431 .

Resumen
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## Introducción

Esta Tesis Doctoral propone el descubrimiento y desarrollo de nuevos fármacos inspirados en productos naturales bioactivos que representan plataformas válidas para la generación de nuevas entidades químicas de interés farmacéutico. De esta forma, la combinación de diversidad estructural y funcional permitiría la identificación de nuevos compuestos con mejores perfiles biológicos. Con el fin de seleccionar objetivos sintéticos adecuados, nos hemos centrado en productos naturales que pueden ofrecer prometedoras expectativas en el campo biomédico, bien por actuar sobre dianas biológicas novedosas o bien por contener nuevas estructuras moleculares que pueden representar las bases de nuevos scaffolds de interés farmacéutico. Por tanto, este proyecto de Tesis Doctoral presenta un programa de trabajo que integraría formación y generación de nuevos conocimientos a través del desarrollo de nuevos compuestos con potencial valor terapéutico. En particular, los productos naturales seleccionados son compuestos tipo policétido, como la (-)-depudecina y ciclopéptidos y ciclodepsipéptidos como las solomonamidas A-B y los celebésidos A-C. Además, estos compuestos han sido recientemente descubiertos de fuentes naturales poco accesibles que hacen que, por un lado, no haya muchos estudios respecto a su química y biología, y, por otro, que, dada la escasez de material suficiente y su difícil accesibilidad de sus fuentes naturales, no se pueda profundizar mucho sobre su perfil biológico. A pesar de la escasez de materia, las primeras pruebas biológicas de estos productos revelaron interesantes propiedades biológicas. Estos perfiles biológicos preliminares, unido a la necesidad de disponer de más materia para profundizar en el conocimiento de sus actividades biológicas y mecanismos de acción, y confirmar sus estructuras y el hecho de que tales compuestos presentan esqueletos moleculares totalmente novedosos y sin precedentes, justifican una acción investigadora que puede arrojar nuevos conocimientos desde los puntos de vista químico y biológico. En esta Tesis Doctoral hemos establecido estrategias sintéticas orientadas al objetivo pero con la posibilidad de extender dichas estrategias a la construcción de diversidad estructural a través de la generación de análogos. Estas estrategias sintéticas comparten una reacción de metátesis de olefinas como etapa clave hacia la síntesis de los productos naturales seleccionados.

Las reacciones de metátesis incluyen las reacciones de alquenos, eninos y alquinos, junto con múltiples variantes de sistemas de polialquenos o polialquinos como precursores involucrados en procesos de cascada. De entre todos ellos, la reacción de metátesis de alquenos es la más empleada, en la cual olefinas cíclicas o alquenos lineales se forman a través de una metátesis con cierre de anillo (ring-closing metathesis, RCM), metátesis cruzada (cross-metathesis, CM), o a través de sus diferentes versiones (estereoselectiva, con transposición, RCM con atadura temporal o RRCM). De hecho, estas transformaciones ocupan una posición privilegiada en la síntesis orgánica moderna. Menos frecuente en uso pero no menos importante son las metátesis de eninos y alquinos, que también se han convertido en valiosas reacciones sintéticas con ventajas adicionales sobre las metátesis de alquenos, como en el caso de las metátesis de eninos involucradas en procesos en cascada o el caso de las metátesis de alquinos debido a la versatilidad del
grupo alquino, que permite posteriores transformaciones para expandir la diversidad estructural de los productos que pueden ser formados.

Sin duda, detrás del éxito de todos estos procesos se encuentran los catalizadores excepcionales que permiten estas transformaciones. Desde el descubrimiento del primer catalizador de wolframio-carbeno llevado a cabo por Katz y de la elucidación del mecanismo de la metátesis de alquenos realizada por Chauvin en los años 70, las innovaciones claves han sido los descubrimientos del catalizador de Schrock en 1990 y del catalizador basado en rutenio por Grubbs en 1993. Estos descubrimientos marcaron el comienzo de una extraordinaria y emergente carrera hacia catalizadores más eficientes en términos de actividad, estabilidad y tolerancia de grupos funcionales. Estos catalizadores altamente eficientes son miembros representativos de tres generaciones de complejos de rutenio, en las que la introducción de un ligando de carbeno $N$-heterocíclico (NHC) resultó en un incremento de la actividad catalítica y estabilidad térmica de los catalizadores. Los catalizadores desarrollados entre 1996-2007 dieron lugar al diseño racional de nuevas versiones para permitir procesos de metátesis estereoselectivos y estereoretentivos. Como añadido a este amplio arsenal de valiosos catalizadores se encuentran el catalizador soluble en agua en forma de complejos de polietilenglicol o los catalizadores basados en rutenio soportados sobre superficies activadas, que expanden el alcance y las aplicaciones de la química, particularmente respecto a abordar problemas medioambientales. Todos estos catalizadores son apropiados para metátesis de alquenos y eninos. Interesantemente, para el último caso, el proceso puede ser efectuado también por otros catalizadores basados en otros metales de transición, como aquellos basados en $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Pd}$ o Ir a través de un mecanismo totalmente diferente.

Un caso aparte es la reacción de metátesis de alquinos, la cual a pesar de poseer una similitud mecanística con la metátesis de alquenos, requiere de un tipo diferente de catalizador. De hecho, en este caso, la variedad de catalizadores disponibles no es tan amplia como los desarrollados para metátesis de alquenos. Junto con la destacada actividad y estabilidad de los catalizadores mencionados, otras características que han sido mejoradas durante la evolución del diseño de los catalizadores es la excepcional tolerancia frente a los grupos funcionales que todos estos catalizadores muestran, que a su vez los ha posicionado como una de las herramientas sintéticas más poderosas en la síntesis orgánica moderna. Consecuentemente, la síntesis total se ha beneficiado enormemente de estas reacciones de metátesis, como se ha demostrado por el uso extensivo de estas reacciones en sus versiones intra- e intermoleculares. De hecho, el número de contribuciones en las que una reacción de metátesis representa la etapa clave que permite completar con éxito una síntesis, o bien una herramienta sintética útil y eficiente empleada a lo largo del curso sintético, no deja de crecer en la literatura de la química orgánica.

De esta forma, las reacciones de metátesis, en sus varias versiones, se han convertido en herramientas poderosas y extremadamente valiosas para la formación de enlaces carbono-carbono en síntesis orgánica. La multitud de catalizadores disponibles
para llevar a cabo estas reacciones, combinado con las distintas transformaciones que pueden ser realizadas, ha posicionado a las reacciones de metátesis como una de las más importantes de este siglo, reconociendo a sus principales inventores con el Premio Nobel de Química en el año 2005. El valor sintético y el gran potencial de estas reacciones se deben al tremendo progreso presenciado en los últimos años respecto al diseño y desarrollo de catalizadores estables y eficientes basados en complejos metal-carbeno. Como consecuencia, estas reacciones presentan un gran alcance, generalidad y gran flexibilidad para la diversificación estructural. Además, como prueba de estas características se encuentra su uso extensivo en el campo de la química orgánica, con particular impacto en la síntesis total de productos naturales. Estas reacciones, en sus diferentes modalidades y estrategias se han convertido en una herramienta estándar en los laboratorios de química orgánica moderna, siendo determinante en la finalización de una amplia gama de productos naturales.

## Objetivos

El objetivo general de este proyecto sería, por tanto, el descubrimiento y desarrollo de nuevos fármacos inspirados en productos naturales bioactivos y mediante la generación de análogos que, combinando diversidad estructural y funcional, permitan la identificación de nuevos compuestos con mejores perfiles biológicos. De esta forma, se establecerían los siguientes objetivos específicos que marcarían el desarrollo de este proyecto de tesis: (1) Establecimiento de estrategias sintéticas flexibles y convergentes para la síntesis total de los productos naturales seleccionados, (2) Implementación de las rutas sintéticas establecidas en el objetivo anterior, para la generación de análogos de los productos naturales seleccionados, y (3) Evaluación biológica de los productos naturales y análogos sintetizados.

## Campaña de la Depudecina

El reconocimiento del rol crucial que poseen las histonas deacetilasas (HDACs) y las histonas acetil transferasas (HAT) en la regulación de la expresión genética, que ocurre a través de un control estricto del estado de acetilación de las histonas, ha generado un gran interés en su uso como nuevos objetivos prometedores para terapias contra el cáncer. De esta forma, mientras las histonas acetiladas provocan una expansión local de la cromatina, incrementando la accesibilidad de proteínas reguladoras al ADN, las histonas deacetiladas cargadas positivamente incrementan sus afinidades con el ADN y, por consiguiente, bloquean la transcripción de genes antitumorales. Las HDACs son las enzimas responsables de la eliminación del grupo acetilo presente en residuos de lisina de histonas y otras proteínas; y están compuestas por 18 isoformas agrupadas en cuatro clases diferentes (I-IV). La inhibición de estas enzimas en el contexto de un estado epigenético de neoplasia, donde están sobreexpresadas y juegan papeles importantes en la progresión del cáncer, lleva a la restauración de un estado epigenético normal e induce la expresión de genes supresivos del tumor. Consecuentemente, los inhibidores de

HDACs han atraído una considerable atención de la comunidad científica como potentes agentes anticancerígenos novedosos. Una indicación de este interés es la gran actividad dirigida hacia el diseño y síntesis de inhibidores de HDACs, que han sido clasificados en cinco categorías de acuerdo a sus modos de acción. Como consecuencia de esta actividad tan intensa, tres de ellos (vorinostat, belinostat y romidespina) han sido aprobados recientemente por la FDA para terapias clínicas anticancerígenas, mientras que un número importante de otros inhibidores de HDACs se han sometido a ensayos clínicos para el tratamiento de una variedad de neoplasias hematológicas y tumores sólidos malignos. El hecho de que otras proteínas, incluyendo factores de transcripción, enzimas reparadoras del ADN, transductores de señales y mediadores de inflamación, entre otros, son también sustratos de las HDACs, demuestra claramente su participación en una enorme variedad de procesos biológicos, tales como el ciclo celular y mitosis, reparación del ADN dañado, respuestas de estrés celular, degradación proteica, regulación de la citoquina, inmunidad, inflamación, angiogénesis, apoptósis e invasión celular. De hecho, las HDACs no solo están involucradas en el cáncer, también en otras patologías como las enfermedades neurológicas, desórdenes inmunitarios e infecciones. Por otro lado, la falta general de selectividad mostrada por los inhibidores actuales de la familia HDAC explica los efectos biológicos tan diversos que pueden producir, reduciendo por tanto la ventana terapéutica al fomentar efectos secundarios indeseados.

En este contexto, la (-)-depudecina, aislada desde el cultivo del hongo Alternaria brassicicola en 1992 y más tarde, desde el patógeno de la hierba Nimbya scirpicola, ha sido identificada como un inhibidor selectivo de las histonas deacetilasas I y II con un valor de $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ en el rango de $\mu \mathrm{M}$ bajo, de acuerdo a los estudios biológicos llevados a cabo por Schreiber et al. Al contrario que en el caso de los inhibidores más representativos de HDACs, la depudecina representa un inhibidor único de estas enzimas en virtud de su estructura molecular, caracterizada por la presencia de dos anillos de oxirano separados por un doble enlace trans. Descubierta originalmente como parte de un screening biológico dirigido hacia la identificación de agentes antitumorales con actividad detransformadora, la depudecina se identificó como un metabolito bioactivo capaz de revertir la morfología de las células tumorales NIH3T3 (firoblasto), que pasó de una forma redondeada en las células transformadas a la morfología plana de las células no transformadas. La habilidad de la depudecina de regular la arquitectura citoesquelética de las células tumorales se debe a la restauración del estrés de la fibra de actina. Esta actividad biológica mostrada suscitó un gran interés biomédico y biológico debido a su gran potencial como agente antitumoral y como sonda molecular para la investigación de secuencias de señalización que regulan el estrés de las fibras de actina, así como para entender mejor los roles de las HDACs. Además, la depudecina no solo induce cambios morfológicos sino también parada del ciclo celular y diferenciación celular, que podrían atribuirse a la inhibición de la HDAC como se mencionó anteriormente. Probablemente, la acción inhibidora de la depudecina contra la HDAC es debido a la presencia de los anillos de oxirano biológicamente reactivos que bloquearían el sitio activo de las enzimas a través de la formación irreversible de un enlace covalente entre los residuos nucleofílicos presentes en el sitio activo y los anillos de oxirano. Además, la depudecina
también muestra una importante actividad anti-angiogénica que la dota con una potente actividad anti-proliferativa contra HUVEC (células endoteliales de las venas umbilicales humanas). Finalmente, además de sus propiedades antitumorales, la depudecina también fue identificada como un potente agente anti-protozoo contra el Neospora caninum, sin provocar ningún efecto secundario a la célula huésped.

A pesar de las interesantes actividades biológicas de la depudecina, así como su estructura molecular única, es bastante sorprendente que solo una síntesis total haya sido publicada hasta la fecha por el grupo de Schreiber en 1995. Aunque esta síntesis fue un síntesis lineal muy larga, pudo proveer de cantidades suficientes de (-)-depudecina sintética para realizar más estudios biológicos. Impulsados por sus impresionantes actividades biológicas y atractiva estructura, decidimos iniciar un programa de investigación dirigido hacia la síntesis de la depudecina natural y análogos. Nuestro plan sintético culminó recientemente con una síntesis total lineal basada en el uso de una nueva clase de sales de sulfonio quirales, desarrollados en nuestros laboratorios, para la construcción estereoselectiva de los anillos de oxirano contenidos en el producto natural. La síntesis contó con 17 etapas y un $19 \%$ de rendimiento global desde el (+)-metil Dlactato. Con el objeto de mejorar y facilitar el acceso a la depudecina natural, así como a análogos adicionales para realizar más ensayos biológicos, decidimos explorar una alternativa sintética basada en una estrategia de metátesis de olefinas cruzada como una aproximación más corta y convergente.

En nuestro proyecto de la depudecina hemos llevado a cabo una nueva síntesis de la (-)-depudecina, que mejora ampliamente a nuestra síntesis lineal previa. Mientras la primera síntesis total, publicada por Schreiber, requirió 23 etapas lineales desde el (-)dietil D-tartrato, con un rendimiento global del $0.7 \%$, nuestra primera síntesis lineal desde (+)-Methyl D-lactato se consiguió en 17 etapas con un $19 \%$ de rendimiento global. Como continuación de nuestro trabajo previo, hemos desarrollado una segunda ruta sintética hacia la depudecina utilizando una reacción de metátesis de olefinas cruzada como la etapa clave, que fue completada con éxito en una manera convergente para proveer la (-)-depudecina en solo 12 etapas y con un $26 \%$ de rendimiento global desde (+)-Methyl Dlactato. Además, la ruta sintética permite modificaciones estereoquímicas y funcionales, permitiendo la preparación de dos estereoisómeros de la (-)-depudecina, la 10-epidepudecina y su enantiómero, que representan análogos únicos que permitirán evaluar la influenca de la estereoquímica sobre la actividad biológica, así como la homodepudecina. Además, hemos completado el set de análogos con la preparación de varios análogos truncados. Los productos sintetizados (-)-depudecina, (+)-depudecina y los análogos truncados han sido evaluados biológicamente contra varias líneas celulares tumorales, incluyendo células endoteliales. Los resultados obtenidos de las evaluaciones biológicas nos han permitido completar un estudio preliminar de relación estructura-actividad (SAR) para este interesante producto natural, concluyendo que: a) la estereoquímica en $\mathrm{C}-10$ del producto no es esencial para la actividad biológica, b) la presencia de al menos un grupo epóxido es clave en la actividad biológica, no siendo necesaria la presencia simultánea de
ambos grupos epóxidos, y c) el sistema de alcohol alílico terminar no es esencial, pudiendo ser susituido por su isómero.

## Campaña de las Solomonamidas

El descubrimiento de nuevos productos naturales ofrece oportunidades importantes para la identificación y desarrollo de nuevos leads y scaffolds en química médica, así como un reto fascinante para los químicos orgánicos en virtud de su diversidad estructural y complejas estructuras moleculares que muchos de ellos presentan. En particular, el mundo marino representa una fuente excepcional rica de nuevos metabolitos bioactivos con estructuras sin precedentes, fascinantes perfiles biológicos y potencial valor terapéutico. Los organismos marinos y más específicamente las esponjas, que son consideradas las mayores fuentes de productos naturales sin descubrir, producen una variedad de metabolitos únicos con unos perfiles biológicos muy amplios, debido a las condiciones que difieren significativamente de los ambientes terrestres, incluyendo entornos agresivos, exigentes y competitivos que permiten la producción de potentes moléculas activas.

Entre la infinidad de fuentes marinas de productos naturales, particularmente productiva es la esponja marina Theonella swinhoei, que ha demostrado ser una fuente impesionante de metabolitos secundarios, proveyendo al menos nueve clases diferentes de productos naturales. Además del importante y muy conocido policétido swinhólido, descubierto hace 30 años, el género Theonella es conocido por proveer una gama enorme de productos naturales tipo peptídicos bioactivos, incluyendo péptidos acíclicos (politeonamidas y koshikamidas), ciclopéptidos (kombamida, orbiculamida, barangamida, cupolamida o pertamidas, entre otros), péptidos bicíclicos de gran tamaño de anillo como las teonellamidas, depsipéptidos (koshikamidas, papuamidas, nagahamida o teopapuamida) y glicopéptidos. Como una prueba más del valor del género Theonella como una fuente excelente de nuevos péptidos, Zampella et al. recientemente aislaron dos nuevos ciclopéptidos denominados solomonamidas A y B, desde una colección de las islas Solomon. Estos ciclopéptidos de 15 miembros poseen estructuras moleculares únicas y, además, la solomonamida A mostró una potente actividad anti-inflamatoria, provocando una importante reducción del $60 \%$ de la inflamación en modelos animales con una muy baja dosis de $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Desafortunadamente, la extrema escasez de las solomonamidas ha impedido una evaluación biológica completa. De hecho, la actividad anti-inflamatoria de la solomonamida B no fue evaluada debido a la limitada cantidad que se aisló. Es importante destacar que los descubridores de estos compuestos, tras recolectar unos 207 gramos de esponja seca Theonella Swinhoei en una región cerca de las islas Solomon, en el océano Pacífico, solo obtuvieron 6.5 mg de solomonamida A y 3.6 mg de solomonamida B, tras un laborioso proceso de aislamiento y purificación. Un análisis espectroscópico exhaustivo de ambos compuestos facilitó la elucidación de sus complejas estructuras cíclicas, revelando la presencia de tres amino ácidos convencionales (D-Ala, Gly and L-Ser) y una unidad sin precedentes, el ácido 4-amino(2'-amino-4'-hidroxifenil)-

3,5-dihidroxi-2-metil-6-oxohexanoico (ADMOA) y el correspondiente 5-deoxi derivado (AHMOA) para las solomonamidas A y B, respectivamente, y para las cuales Zampella et al. propusieron una biosíntesis cuyo posible origen sería una unidad de 6-hidroxi triptófano. Las configuraciones absolutas de las solomonamidas naturales fueron establecidas de manera provisional, como las más probables, a través del empleo de una combinación de métodos espectroscópicos y teóricos.

La escasez de las solomonamidas aisladas desde la fuente natural es un inconveniente para realizar ensayos biológicos más profundos. La dificultad de acceder a grandes cantidades de estos compuestos hace muy difícil conocer con más detalle sus perfiles biológicos y mecanismos de acción, y justifica una síntesis química en el laboratorio de estos productos naturales. De esta forma, la síntesis química de estos inexplorados compuestos podría representar la solución para proveer suficiente cantidad de material como para llevar a cabo estudios biológicos más extensos, así como para confirmar sus estructuras inicialmente propuestas. Como consecuencia, cuando iniciamos este proyecto de investigación en el año 2016, las solomonamidas habían suscitado interés con la publicación de algunas aproximaciones sintéticas, incluyendo la síntesis de un deoxi análogo de la solomonamida B. En paralelo con el desarrollo de nuestro trabajo de investigación, nuevas aproximaciones sintéticas fueron publicadas, culminando con la síntesis total de la solomonamida B y, más tarde, con la síntesis total de la solomonamida A, llevadas a cabo por el grupo de Reddy. Recientemente, el mismo grupo ha publicado la preparación de varios estereoisómeros de macrociclos de solomonamidas, por variación de la estereoquímica del fragmento no peptídico AHMOA. Más importante, la síntesis total de la solomonamida B permitió una revisión de la estructura inicialmente propuesta, con la corrección de las configuraciones en las posiciones C-3 y C-4 llevando al isómero- $(3 S, 4 S)$ en lugar de la configuración propuesta $(3 R, 4 R)$ para la solomonamida B. Desde el punto de vista sintético, la síntesis se basó en una reacción clave de Heck intramolecular para obtener el núcleo macrocíclico de las solomonamidas. Teniendo en cuenta que la configuración relativa y absoluta de los tres estereocentros presentes en el fragmento policétido fueron establecidos por métodos computacionales por Zampella et al., el grupo de Reddy decidió realizar la síntesis del isómero-( $3 S, 4 S$ ) en lugar del inicialmente propuesto ( $3 R, 4 R$ ), confirmando la nueva estructura propuesta para la Solomonamida B.

Como la estructura de la solomonamida B fue inicialmente establecida derivada de la solomonamida $A$, esta última también necesitaba una revisión. Como ambos productos comparten la misma ruta biosintética, los tres estereocentros ( $2 S, 3 S$ y $4 S$ ) presentes en la solomonamida B deberían estar presentes en la solomonamida A, dejando un único estereocentro en la posición C5 del fragmento ADMOA cuya estereoquímica absoluta debía ser confirmada, siendo establecida inicialmente como $5 R$. Con este objetivo, Reddy et al. llevaron a cabo la síntesis del isómero-( $3 S, 4 S, 5 S$ ), en lugar de la configuración inicialmente propuesta ( $3 R, 4 S, 5 R$ ), confirmando la nueva estructura asignada para la solomonamida A.

Nuestro interés en el descubrimiento y desarrollo de nuevos potenciales leads basados en compuestos tipo ciclopeptídicos y ciclodepsipeptídicos nos incitó a iniciar un programa de investigación dirigido hacia la síntesis total de esta nueva e inexplorada clase de ciclopéptido. Con el fin de establecer una estrategia sintética flexible y divergente capaz de proveer no solo los productos naturales, sino también acceder a una serie de análogos para estudios biológicos, buscamos explorar la reacción de metátesis con cierre de anillo (RCM) como la etapa clave para la construcción del macrociclo de 15 miembros. Esta etapa de ciclación sería seguida por una fase de oxidación, que incorporaría los grupos funcionales necesarios para alcanzar el estado de oxidación final encontrado en los productos naturales. Desde una perspectiva estratégica, consideramos que la construcción del núcleo macrocíclico en el enlace 4,5 sería capaz de proveer un rápido acceso no solo a los productos finales, sino también a análogos desde intermedios avanzados, permitiendo la obtención fácil de numerosos scaffolds. Además, es digno de mencionar que esta estrategia sintética utiliza materias de partidas simples, evitando la construcción del residuo complejo de ADMOA, que puede ser generado en estapas más avanzadas de la síntesis a través de una epoxidación de las olefinas precursoras, seguido de un proceso de apertura del anillo de oxirano para introducir el grupo amina. De acuerdo a esto, nuestra estrategia diseñada en términos retrosintéticos se basa en la desconexión de la amida del residuo de L-serina, seguido por la eliminación de los grupos funcionales, que serían introducidos mediante manipulaciones oxidativas (fase de oxidación) de los productos de metátesis resultantes (fase de ciclación). Como los presentes estudios sintéticos se iniciaron antes de la publicación de Reddy, tomamos como objetivo las estructuras inicialmente propuestas para las solomonamidas.

En esta Tesis Doctoral se ha llevado a cabo una exploración sintética extensa dirigida hacia las solomonamidas basada en una metátesis con cierre de anillo como reacción clave para un rápido y eficiente acceso a los núcleos macrocíclicos de estos productos naturales. Como resultado de este estudio sintético se ha establecido un proceso de cierre de anillo eficiente que procede en altos rendimientos y con completa estereoselectividad. Durante el curso de estos esfuerzos sintéticos nos hemos encontrado con obstáculos inesperados que han surgido a lo largo de la ruta sintética, principalmente los siguientes: 1) la reactividad del grupo hidroxilo en la posición bencílica; 2) la reactividad de las diolefinas que contienen alcoholes alílicos y homoalílicos o que contienen un sistema de carbonilo $\beta$, $\gamma$-insaturado en la catálisis de rutenio; y 3) la reactividad de las olefinas macrocíclicas respecto a los reactivos oxidantes. Además, no solamente hemos explorado el alcance y limitaciones de la metátesis con cierre de anillo en la síntesis del núcleo macrocíclico de las solomonamidas, también hemos identificado varios precursores estructuralmente relacionados con las solomonamidas que poseen significantes citotoxicidades contra varias líneas celulares tumorales, incluyendo células endoteliales, en el rango de $\mu \mathrm{M}$ bajo. De esta forma, hemos llevado a cabo un estudio del potencial antiangiogénico de los precursores sintéticos de solomonamidas. Uno de precursores fue seleccionado para una caracterización más profunda de su potencial antiangiogénico in vitro, mostrando que puede inhibir algunas etapas clave del proceso de angiogénesis, incluyendo la proliferización, migración e invasión de células
endoteliales, y disminuye su capacidad de degradar las proteínas de la matriz extracelular. Además, el potencial antiangiogénico de este compuesto se confirmó a través de dos modelos in vivo, la membrana corioalantoidea de pollo y la membrana de pez zebra. Nuestros resultados muestran una actividad biológica nueva e interesante de este precursor de solomonamida como un inhibidor de la persistente y desregulada angiogénesis que caracteriza al cáncer y otras patologías. En conclusión, la química descrita destaca los beneficios de la reacción de metátesis de olefinas en el campo de la síntesis total de productos naturales, destacando convergencia y flexibilidad para la diversidad estructural y ha permitido la identificación de compuestos bioactivos con interesantes propiedades antitumorales. Además, estas evaluaciones biológicas preliminares de compuestos relativamente simples, ausentes de algunos grupos funcionales presentes en los productos naturales, presagian prometedoras propiedades antitumorales para las solomonamidas naturales y los califica como nuevos scaffolds de interés biológico y medicinal. Finalmente, se han iniciado varios esfuerzos sintéticos hacia la síntesis total de las estructuras revisadas de las solomonamidas basados en una estrategia de transposición de Overman. La finalización de la síntesis de los productos naturales, el diseño de nuevos análogos y estudios biológicos más profundos que permitan elucidar el mecanismo de su actividad anti-tumoral y anti-angiogénica se encuentran en progreso.

## Campaña de los Celebésidos

La naturaleza es una enorme fuente de nuevos productos naturales que son clave en el descubrimiento de nuevos fármacos. Como una nueva demostración de la impresionante fuente de metabolitos secundarios de origen marino, tres nuevos ciclodepsipéptidos denominados celebésidos A-C han sido aislados de la esponja marina Siliquariaspongia mirabilis recolectada en la isla Sulawesi, en Indonesia, por el grupo de Bewley. La estructura de estos compuestos ha sido establecida mediante extensivos análisis espectroscópicos. Mientras que la configuración absoluta de los amino ácidos se determinó a través del método avanzado de Marfey y HPLC quiral, las configuraciones relativas del fragmento policétido fueron resueltas por análisis combinado de las constantes de acoplamiento $J_{2,3}$ homonucleares ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ ) y heteronucleares ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ ), ROE y cálculos computacionales. Estos productos naturales se caracterizan por ser ciclodepsipéptidos de 26 miembros que contienen una cadena policétida denominada ácido 7,9-dihidroxi-8,10-dimetiltrideca-2,4-dienoico (Ddtd), y una cadena peptídica que presenta cinco residuos de amino ácidos, que incluyen una $N$-metil valina, una $\beta$-metil asparagina, una D-isoserina, una 3-carbamoil treonina y una serina en el caso del celebésido C, y un residuo de fosfoserina en los celebésidos A y B. Curiosamente, la 3carbamoil treonina y la fosfoserina son amino ácidos nunca vistos en productos naturales de origen marino.

Desde el punto de vista biológico, el celebésido A mostró actividad anti-VIH, con un valor de $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ de $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, además de citotoxicidad frente a una línea celular de
cáncer de colon humano (HTC-116) en el rango de $\mu \mathrm{M}$ bajo. Por su parte, el celebésido C fue inactivo, mientras que el celebésido B no pudo ser evaluado biológicamente. Una vez más, la escasez de estos ciclodepsipéptidos aislados desde la fuente natural es un problema para realizar ensayos biológicos más profundos. Por tanto, la síntesis química de estos inexplorados ciclodepsipéptidos marinos podría ser la solución para acceder a cantidades suficientes de materia para indagar más en sus perfiles biológicos, así como en sus mecanismos de acción, y poder confirmar sus estructuras inicialmente propuestas.

La síntesis del fragmento policétido del celebésido A llevada a cabo por nuestro grupo de investigación en 2014 representa la única aproximación sintética hacia la cadena policétida de los celebésidos hasta la fecha. Nuestra síntesis se basó en el uso de las sales de sulfonio para, mediante reacción con los correspondientes aldehídos, obtener amidas glicídicas de manera estereoselectiva, cuyas posteriores reacciones de apertura de los anillos de oxirano permitieron generar la unidad policétida con configuración relativa syn, anti,syn contenida en los celebésidos, así como en otros productos naturales como el YM-47522, el antifúngico basiliskamida y el ciclodepsipéptido langunamida A.

En la presente Tesis Doctoral hemos planeado una estrategia sintética dirigida hacia la síntesis de los celebésidos basada en una metátesis de olefinas con cierre de anillo para generar el núcleo macrocíclico de 26 miembros de estos productos naturales. En nuestra estrategia, hemos empleado la síntesis en fase sólida como una tecnología adecuada para un rápido y eficiente acceso al fragmento peptídico contenido en los celebésidos, mientras que la cadena policétida del celebésido A ha sido sintetizada de manera estereoselectiva a través de una metilación asimétrica, apertura de epóxido y alilación asimétrica de Brown como etapas claves. La estrategia sintética descrita para los celebésidos permite el acceso a los productos naturales, además de ofrecer la oportunidad de generar una amplia gama de análogos de celebésidos a través de la modificación de los aminoácidos contenidos en la cadena peptídica durante la síntesis en fase sólida en una manera rápida y fácil. La finalización de la síntesis del celebésido A está actualmente en marcha y representa nuestra prioridad.

## Conclusiones

Las conclusiones de la Tesis Doctoral se pueden resumir como las siguientes:

1) Hemos establecido una nueva síntesis total de la (-)-depudecina utilizando una reacción de metátesis de olefinas cruzada como etapa clave, llevada a cabo con éxito en una manera convergente para proveer (-)-depudecina en solo 12 etapas y un $26 \%$ de rendimiento global desde (+)-metil-D-lactato. Esta nueva aproximación convergente mejora ampliamente a nuestra síntesis lineal previa (17 etapas, $19 \%$ rendimiento global) así como a la síntesis de Schreiber (23 etapas, $0.7 \%$ rendimiento global).
2) La ruta sintética desarrollada es susceptible a modificaciones estereoquímicas y funcionales, permitiendo la preparación de dos estereoisómeros, la 10-epidepudecina y su enantiómero, así como la homodepudecina. Además, hemos completado el set de análogos con la preparación de varios análogos truncados de depudecina.
3) Los análogos de depudecina sintetizados fueron evaluados biológicamente contra varias líneas celulares tumorales, incluyendo células endoteliales, y los resultados nos han permitido completar un estudio preliminar de relación estructuraactividad para este producto natural, concluyendo que: a) la estereoquímica en C10 del producto no es esencial en la actividad biológica; b) la presencia de al menos uno de los grupos epóxidos es clave en la actividad biológica, pero no es necesario la presencia simultánea de ambos; y c) el sistema de alcohol alílico terminal no es esencial en la actividad biológica, y puede ser sustituido por su isómero.
4) Una exploración sintética muy extensa dirigida hacia las solomonamidas se ha realizado basada en una metátesis de olefinas con cierre de anillo como reacción clave para un rápido y eficiente acceso a sus núcleos macrocíclicos, procediendo en altos rendimientos y con completa estereoselectividad.
5) También hemos identificado varios precursores de solomonamidas que poseen una citotoxicidad importante contra varias líneas celulares tumorales, incluyendo células endoteliales, en el rango de $\mu \mathrm{M}$ bajo. En particular, hemos encontrado que un precursor de solomonamida exhibe un potente efecto antiangiogénico in vitro e in vivo, y nuestros resultados sugieren la aplicación potencial de los derivados de solomonamidas como inhibidores de la persistente y desregulada angiogénesis que caracteriza el cáncer y otras patologías.
6) Hemos diseñado una estrategia sintética dirigida hacia los celebésidos que se basa en el uso de una metátesis de olefinas con cierre de anillo para generar el anillo macrocíclico de 26 miembros que caracteriza a estos productos naturales. En nuestra estrategia, hemos utilizado la síntesis en fase sólida como una tecnología adecuada para un rápido y eficiente acceso a la cadena peptídica contenida en los celebésidos, mientras que el fragmento policétido ha sido sintetizado de manera estereoselectiva.
7) La química descrita destaca los beneficios de la reacción de metátesis de olefinas en el campo de la síntesis total de productos naturales, otorgando convergencia y flexibilidad para la diversidad estructural y ha permitido la identificación de compuestos bioactivos con interesantes propiedades antitumorales.
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## Appendix I

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra Related to
Depudecin
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460
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


466
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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467
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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469 from 468
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$







473
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )






${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


(-)-Depudecin (442)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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479 from 474
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




479 from 474
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )





${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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10-epi-Depudecin (498)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



10-epi-Depudecin (498)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )








ent-461
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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(+)-Depudecin (ent-442)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

| 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | $100$ | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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Homodepudecin (506)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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531
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | I |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | , |  | 1 |  | , |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 |  | $100$ | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


ic ion



\section*{| 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |}
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )





Depudecin Analogue 555
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )





${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )





## 

OTBDPS


574
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ | W |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { W }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | 11. | 11. | 10. | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7.5 | 7. | 15 | 1 | 1, | 1. | T | T | 1 | 1 |  | T | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | , | T |
| 12.0 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.5 | -1.0 |
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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Depudecin Analogue 541 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

## Appendix II

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra Related to the Solomonamides
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



1 Hin縎
1

606
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




Allyl derivative from 605
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | , |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 |  | $100$ | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




633
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD)
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )







${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )








${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD)
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD)



|  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 |  | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## 


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


mind




Amine from 676
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

| 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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697
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )





${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\stackrel{\text { ñ }}{\text { N }}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


693, crude
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\underbrace{\text { \& }}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




## 


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD)





${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD)



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD)



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ )





${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD)


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, MeOD)


714
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

## Appendix III

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra Related to the
Celebesides
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742
from solid phase
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ )

200

## 





FmochN

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



Amine from 743
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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## 



Fmoc-D-Isoserine (733)







$\underbrace{\text { ばき }}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$




## 200 <br> 190 170



758
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$



759
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



760
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



760
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




761
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



762
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\underset{\substack{\text { EN } \\ \text { Yis }}}{ }$


764
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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Polyketide Fragment 729
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



Polyketide Fragment 729
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## 



770
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$



772
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )







## Appendix IV

Theoretical Calculations
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## Theoretical Calculations

Minimum energy conformations were calculated in vacuo using the PM3 method found in HyperChem 5.0 software. Optimization was performed using Polak-Ribiere algorithm until the RMS gradient reached a value below $0.001 \mathrm{kcal} /(\AA \cdot \mathrm{mol})$.

The resulting minimum-energy conformations for compounds 615, 623, 666 and 653 are contained in the following .mol files:

## Compound 615:

```
-HYPER- 09141711283D
```



| 1.18721 .9609 | 2.5957 H 0000000000000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.36421 .3850 | 1.4669 H 00000000000000 |
| $1.0390-1.5203$ | 2.0192 H 00000000000000000 |
| $1.3078-3.9125$ | 2.6230 H 00000000000000 |
| $2.3450-4.0333$ | -0.1574 H 00000000000000000 |
| 0.3133-5.5862 | 0.1869 H 000000000000000 |
| $1.3402-6.1934$ | 1.5637 H 00000000000000000 |
| -0.3521 -5.5948 | 1.8679 H 00000000000000 |
| $4.6708-3.1053$ | $-0.3785 \mathrm{H} 00000000000000000$ |
| $5.0760-1.8014$ | 0.8265 H 000000000000000 |
| $2.7980-0.8584$ | $-0.2922 \mathrm{H} 00000000000000000$ |
| 4.32151 .9214 | -0.6375 H 00000000000000000 |
| 3.15192 .6361 | $-1.8166 \mathrm{H} 0000000000000000$ |
| 4.38190 .9763 | -3.0191 H 00000000000000000 |
| 2.74120 .2568 | $-2.7247 \mathrm{H} 00000000000000000$ |
| 1.26731 .3100 | -0.8330 H 00000000000000 |
| 1240000 |  |
| 1640000 |  |
| 13210000 |  |
| 2340000 |  |
| 23310000 |  |
| 3440000 |  |
| 3710000 |  |
| 4540000 |  |
| 43410000 |  |
| 5640000 |  |
| 51810000 |  |
| 61510000 |  |
| 7810000 |  |
| 8910000 |  |
| 83510000 |  |
| 83610000 |  |
| 91040000 |  |
| 91440000 |  |
| 101140000 |  |
| 103710000 |  |
| 111240000 |  |
| 113810000 |  |
| 121340000 |  |
| 123910000 |  |
| 131440000 |  |
| 134010000 |  |
| 144110000 |  |
| 151610000 |  |
| 154210000 |  |
| 154310000 |  |
| 161720000 |  |
| 164410000 |  |
| 172810000 |  |
| 175810000 |  |
| 181910000 |  |
| 184510000 |  |
| 192020000 |  |
| 192110000 |  |
| 212210000 |  |
| 212310000 |  |
| 214610000 |  |
| 222410000 |  |
| 224710000 |  |
| 234810000 |  |

```
2349 1 0 0 0 0
2350 1 0 0 0 0
2425 2 0 0 0 0
2426 1 0 0 0 0
2627 1 0 0 0 0
2651 1 0 0 0 0
265210000
2730 1 0 0 0 0
2753 1 0 0 0 0
2829 1 0 0 0 0
285410000
285510000
2930 1 0 0 0 0
2956 1 0 0 0 0
2957 1 0 0 0 0
303120000
M END
```


## Compound 623:

-HYPER- 09141711293D

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.5482 | 2. | 1.1603 C 00000000000000 |
|  | 1.9 | 0.5861 C 00000000000 |
| -3.1469 | 0.6330 | 0.3587 C |
| -2.2897 | -0.4 | 0.7024 C 000 |
| -1.0199 | -0.1399 | 1.2577 C 0000 |
| 6497 | 1.2103 | 1.5012 C 000000000000 |
| 4.3936 | 0.4 | -0.2077 O 00000000 |
| -4.7425 | -0.8 | -0.6520 C 0000000 |
| 5.2581 | -1.719 | 0.4498 C 0000 |
|  | -2.98 | 0.6727 C |
| -5.2336 | -3.8088 | . 6683 C 0000 |
| -6.3014 | -3.3691 | 2.4505 C 000000000000 |
| -6.8439 | -2.1 | 2.2386 C |
| -6.3256 | -1.2789 | 1.2401 C 0000 |
| 0.6296 | 1.6202 | 2.1474 C 0000000000000 |
| 1.7335 |  | 1.2256 C 0000 |
| 59 | 2.2 | -0.0840 C 000000000000 |
| 129 | -1.1835 | 5688 N 00000000 |
|  | -2.5 | 81 C 0000 |
| 308 | -2.976 | 0.4727 O 0000000000 |
| 1.0115 | -3.395 | 5529 C 0000000000 |
|  | -2. | 0.9377 N 000000000 |
|  | -4.8 | 1.1871 C 000000 |
|  | -1.88 | 4890 C 00000 |
| 2.7391 | -1.4 | 2.6492 O 0000000000 |
|  | -1.2 | 0.5984 C 10000000000000 |
|  | -0.3 | -0.3225 N 00000000000 |
| 73 | 2.6590 | $-0.9269 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 3.284 | 1.5872 | $-1.9123 \mathrm{C} 0000000$ |
|  | 0.5022 | $-1.1736 \mathrm{C}$ |
| 年9 | 0.330 | -1.3521 O 000000000000 |
| 93 | 3.27 | 1.3552 H 000000000000 |
| 28 | 2.7819 | 0.3162 H 000000000000 |
| 93 | -1.4541 | 0.5539 H 00000000000 |
| . 5690 | -0.6420 | -1.3895 H 0000000 |
| 790 | -1.3314 | $-1.1853 \mathrm{H} 000000000000$ |
| 3.8493 | -3.3309 | 0.0731 H 00000000 |


| -4.8002 -4.8053 | 1.8381 H 000000000000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -6.7133 -4.0198 | 3.2356 H 00000000000000000 |
| -7.6813 -1.7499 | 2.8588 H 000000000000000 |
| -6.7442-0.2751 | 1.0705 H 0000000000000000 |
| 1.0079 | 2.8600 H 0000000000000 |
| $0.4255 \quad 2.5270$ | 2.7912 H 000000000000000 |
| 2.71142 .0864 | 1.7338 H 000000000000000 |
| 0.6249 -0.9478 | 2.1816 H 0000000000000 |
| $1.1353-3.3234$ | 2.6776 H 000000000000000 |
| 2.3837-3.1696 | $-0.0108 \mathrm{H} 0000000000000000$ |
| $0.5249-4.9508$ | 0.0880 H 00000000000000 |
| $1.5177-5.5171$ | 1.5068 H 00000000000000 |
| -0.2515 -5.1390 | 1.7099 H 00000000000000 |
| $4.6054-1.9604$ | 0.0208 H 00000000000000 |
| $4.7103-0.6418$ | 1.2723 H 000000000000000 |
| $2.4168-0.0284$ | $-0.0804 \mathrm{H} 00000000000000000$ |
| $3.6535 \quad 2.9663$ | -0.2689 H 00000000000000000 |
| 2.42613 .7720 | -1.7429 O 00000000000000000 |
| 4.02582 .0962 | $-2.5973 \mathrm{H} 00000000000000000$ |
| 2.17091 .0149 | $-2.7571 \mathrm{C} 000000000000000000$ |
| 0.66272 .1526 | $-0.6159 \mathrm{H} 0000000000000000$ |
| 2.01754 .4240 | $-1.1615 \mathrm{H}$ |
| 1.68041 .8457 | $-3.3228 \mathrm{H} 0000000000000000$ |
| 1.39380 .5114 | $-2.1333 \mathrm{H} 0000000000000000$ |
| 2.58030 .2737 | -3.4850 H 00000000000000 |
| 1240000 |  |
| 1640000 |  |
| 13210000 |  |
| 2340000 |  |
| 23310000 |  |
| 3440000 |  |
| 3710000 |  |
| 4540000 |  |
| 43410000 |  |
| 5640000 |  |
| 51810000 |  |
| 61510000 |  |
| 7810000 |  |
| 8910000 |  |
| 83510000 |  |
| 83610000 |  |
| 91040000 |  |
| 91440000 |  |
| 101140000 |  |
| 103710000 |  |
| 111240000 |  |
| 113810000 |  |
| 121340000 |  |
| 123910000 |  |
| 131440000 |  |
| 134010000 |  |
| 144110000 |  |
| 151610000 |  |
| 154210000 |  |
| 154310000 |  |
| 161720000 |  |
| 164410000 |  |
| 172810000 |  |
| 175810000 |  |
| 181910000 |  |

184510000
192020000
192110000
212210000
212310000
214610000
222410000
224710000
234810000
234910000
235010000
242520000
242610000
262710000
265110000
265210000
273010000
275310000
282910000
285410000
285510000
293010000
295610000
295710000
303120000
555910000
576010000
576110000
576210000
M END

## Compound 666:

-HYPER- 09141711303D

586000000000999 V2000



134010000
144110000 151620000 155810000 161710000 164210000 172810000 175610000 175710000 181910000 184310000 192020000 192110000 212210000 212310000 214410000 222410000 224510000 234610000 234710000 234810000 242520000 242610000 262710000 264910000 265010000 273010000 275110000 282910000 285210000 285310000 293010000 295410000 295510000 303120000 M END

## Compound 653:

## -HYPER- 09141711293D

```
626400000 0 0 0 0999 V2000
-4.2131 1.0331 -0.6592C}000000000000000000
-5.4161 0.5634 -0.1572 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-5.4591 -0.7327 0.3903 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-4.3230 -1.5435 0.4160C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-3.1018 -1.0645 -0.1022C
-3.0480 0.2566 -0.6283C
-6.7011 -1.1178 0.8509 O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-6.8115 -2.3820}1.5124\textrm{C}10000000000000000
-6.3031 -2.3501 2.9155 C 0}00000000000000000
-5.2552 -3.1851 3.3169 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-4.8239 -3.1740 4.6436 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-5.4301 -2.3280 5.5715 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-6.4695
-6.9056 -1.4984 3.8489C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-1.8168 0.8388 -1.1479 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
-0.6776 0.9161 -0.4478 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0.5821 1.4549 -1.0123 C 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
```



```
83610000
91040000
91440000
101140000
1037 1 0 0 0 0
111240000
113810000
121340000
1239 1 0 0 0 0
131440000
134010000
1441 1 0 0 0 0
151620000
1562 1 0 0 0 0
161710000
1642 1 0 0 0 0
1728 1 0 0 0 0
1756 1 0 0 0 0
1757 1 0 0 0 0
181910000
1843 1 0 0 0 0
1920 2 0 0 0 0
1921 1 0 0 0 0
2122 1 0 0 0 0
2123 1 0 0 0 0
2144 1 0 0 0 0
2224 1 0 0 0 0
2245 1 0 0 0 0
2346 1 0 0 0 0
2347 1 0 0 0 0
2348 1 0 0 0 0
2425 2 0 0 0 0
2426 1 0 0 0 0
2627 1 0 0 0 0
2649 1 0 0 0 0
2650 1 0 0 0 0
2730 1 0 0 0 0
2751 1 0 0 0 0
2829 1 0 0 0 0
2852 1 0 0 0 0
2853 1 0 0 0 0
293010000
2954 1 0 0 0 0
2955 1 0 0 0 0
303120000
5258 1 0 0 0 0
5559 1 0 0 0 0
5560 1 0 0 0 0
5561 1 0 0 0 0
M END
```

Energies of Computer-generated Conformations for Compounds 615, 623, 666 and 653.
Compound
Energy (Kcal/mol)

| $\mathbf{6 1 5}$ | $-123.301,142$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 2 3}$ | $-134.282,212$ |
| $\mathbf{6 6 6}$ | $-123.304,239$ |
| $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ | $-134.288,315$ |
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## Appendix V

Biological Material and Methods
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## Biological Material and Methods

Material. Bovine aortic endothelial cells (BAEC) were isolated by collagenase digestion, and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing glucose (1 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS (DMEM/10\% FBS). All the cancer cell lines used in this study were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Human fibrosarcoma HT-1080, hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 and glioblastoma U87MG cells were maintained in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS. Human colon adenocarcinoma HT-29 cells and human osteosarcoma U2OS cells were maintained in DMEM containing glucose ( $4,5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS. Human breast cancer carcinoma MDA-MB-231, chronic myelogenous leukemia KU812F and histiocytic lymphoma U937 cells were maintained in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS. Acute promyelocytic leukemia HL-60 cells were maintained in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with $20 \%$ FBS. All culture medium contained glutamine ( 2 mM ), penicillin ( $50 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), streptomycin ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and amphotericin ( $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and all cell lines were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and humidified $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere. Cell culture media, penicillin/streptomycin and amphotericin B were purchased from Biowhittaker (Walkersville, MD, USA) and fetal bovine serum (FBS) from BioWest (Kansas, USA). Plastics for cell culture were supplied by NUNC (Roskilde, Denmark). Matrigel was purchased from Corning (NY, USA). Chemicals not listed in this section were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (MERK) (Darmstadt, Germany). Fertilized chick eggs were purchased from Granja Santa Isabel (Córdoba, Spain). Zebrafish (Danio rerio) breeding colony (wild-type AB strain) was maintained at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Cell growth assay. The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide or MTT dye reduction assay in 96 -well microplates was used. This assay is dependent on the reduction of MTT by mitochondrial dehydrogenases of a viable cell to a blue formazan product, which can be measured spectrophotometrically. $2,5 \times 10^{3}$ BAEC, $3 \times$ $10^{3}$ HL-60 and KU812F and $2 \times 10^{3}$ HepG2, HT-1080, HT-29, MDA-MB-231, U2OS, U937 and U87MG cells in a total volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of their respective growth medium were incubated with serial dilutions $1: 1$ of the tested compounds. After 3 days of incubation ( $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humid atmosphere), $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MTT ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in phosphate-buffered saline) were added to each well, and the plate was incubated for a further 4 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting formazan was dissolved in $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.04 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl} / 2-$ propanol and read at 550 nm . $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated from semi-logarithmic doseresponse plots as those concentrations of compound yielding $50 \%$ cell survival, taking the values obtained for the control to be $100 \%$. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ results are expressed as means $\pm$ S.D. of at least three independent experiments.

Tubular-like structures formation on Matrigel. Cellular suspensions of $5 \times 10^{4}$ BAE cells in serum-free DMEM were added to a 96 -well plate coated with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Matrigel ( 10.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) in the presence of the indicated treatments for 5 hours and photographed with a microscope camera Nikon DS-Ri2 coupled to a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon,

Tokyo, Japan). Each concentration was tested in duplicate and staurosporine $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was used as a routine positive assay control. For the disruption assay, tubular-like structures were formed following the same protocol for the control conditions and then the indicated concentrations of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ were added. After a further incubation time of 90 min , cultures were observed and photographed. Combretastatin-4-phosphate (CA4P) was used as positive control of disruptor antiangiogenic drug.

Wound healing assay. Confluent BAEC monolayers in 6-well plates were wounded with pipet tips with 2 perpendicular diameters, giving rise to 2 acellular 1 mm -wide lanes per well. Then, complete medium in the absence (controls) or presence of different concentrations of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was added. Wounded areas were observed and photographed after 0,4 and 7 hours of incubation with a microscope camera Nikon DS-Ri2 coupled to a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The migration of BAEC into the cell-free area was quantified by Image J software and represented as the percentage of wounded area in the correspondent time normalized to the initial wounded area (time 0 ) for each experimental condition.

Cell invasion assay. Invasion of endothelial cells was assayed by using $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore size transwell inserts coated with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Matrigel $0,12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ solution. $10^{5}$ BAE cells, in the absence or presence of the indicated concentrations of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$, were added to the upper chamber of the transwells in absence of serum, and lower chamber was was filled with $20 \%$ FBS DMEM. After 16 hours incubation, invading cells were fixed in 4\% paraformaldehide and stained with a $1 \%$ crystal violet solution in $2 \%$ ethanol. Cells were photographed with a microscope camera Nikon DS-Ri2 coupled to a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Zymographic assays for MMP-2 and -9 detection. Zymographies for matrix metalloproteinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 activities were performed in both conditioned media and cellular extracts of endothelial (BAEC) and tumoral (HT-1080) cell lines. Briefly, cells seeded in 6-well plates were incubated in serum-free culture medium with $0.1 \%$ BSA containing 200 KIU of aprotinin $/ \mathrm{mL}$ and the correspondent treatment. After 24 h of incubation, conditioned media were collected and cell lysates were obtained. Duplicates were used to determine the cell number and samples were normalized for equal loading. In order to detect the gelatinolytic activity of MMP-9 and MMP-2, samples were loaded in non-reducing SDS/PAGE gels containing gelatine ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). After electrophoresis, gels were incubated overnight at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ immersed in a substrate buffer ( 50 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$, supplemented with $1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100,5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and $0.02 \%$ $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) and stained with Commassie blue R-250. The bands of gelatinase activity could be detected as non-stained bands in a dark, stained background. Size and intensity of the bands were quantified using Image J software. A variant of this method was used to obtain complementary information about direct inhibition of tested compound on MMP-2 gelatinase activity: samples of conditioned media of untreated BAEC were submitted to gelatin zymography and, after electrophoresis, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ was added to the substrate
buffer. Detection of the degrading bands and quantification were performed as described above.

Chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) Assay. Fertilized chick eggs were incubated horizontally at $38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified incubator, windowed by day 3 of incubation and processed by day 8.653 was added to a $1.2 \%$ solution of methylcellulose in water, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ drops were dried on a teflon-coated surface under a laminar flow hood. Then, methylcellulose discs were implanted onto the CAM, eggs were sealed with adhesive tape and returned to the incubator for 48 h . Negative controls were always made with DMSO mixed with the methylcellulose and aeroplysinin-1 ( $3 \mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{CAM}$ ) was used as a routine positive control of antiangiogenic compound. After the incubation, the CAM was examined under a stereomicroscope and photographed with a Nikon DS-Ri2 camera. The results were analysed by two different observers, and the assay was scored positive when both of them reported a significant reduction of vessels in the treated area.

FGF-2 induced angiogenesis zebrafish yolk membrane (ZFYM) assay. For the FGF-2 induced angiogenesis zebrafish yolk membrane (ZFYM), 24 hpf embryos were exposed to 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU) to prevent the pigmentation. At 48 hpf , embryos were manually dechorionated with forceps, anaesthetized with tricaine ( $0.016 \%$ ) and injected into the perivitelline space with 2 nL FGF-2 $(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$. The injection was performed in the proximity of developing subintestinal vessels (SIVs) using borosilicate needles and a Picospritzer microinjector (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). After injection, embryos were incubated for 24 h more in the absence or the presence of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$. Finally, embryos were fixed in $4 \%$ PFA, stained for endogenous alkaline phosphatase (AP) activity and photographed under a Leica MZ16 F stereomicroscope equipped with DFC480 digital camera and ICM50 software (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Evaluation of the angiogenic response was performed by assigning negative (-, no response to FGF-2 injection), positive ( + , mild response) or very positive ( ++ , strong response) scores to the embryos.

Western-blot analysis. BAE cells were starved in serum-free during 16 hours. After 1 hour treatment with 653 at 10 and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in the same conditions, cells were induced with $10 \%$ of FBS during 10 minutes. Protein lysates were obtained in RIPA buffer $(50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris, $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ Triton X-100, $0,25 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA) containing phosphatase activity inhibitors ( 30 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, $30 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-glycerophosphate) and protease activity inhibitors (Complete mini, Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Protein concentration was determined usinf Lowry method. Samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking in TBS-T containing $10 \%$ nonfatty dry milk, membranes were hybridized with primary antibodies overnight at $4^{\circ}$ (Cell Signaling; rabbit anti- Phospho-Akt (Ser473) \#9271; rabbit anti-Akt \#9272; rabbit anti-Phospho-p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (Thr202/Tyr204) (clon D13.14.4E) \#4370; rabbit antip44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (137F5) \#4695; mouse anti- $\alpha$-Tubulin (DM1A) \#3873). Following 1 hour incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (MERK; goat anti- rabbit IgG HRP Linked Whole Antibody \#NA934V; goat
anti-mouse $\operatorname{IgG}$ (whole molecule)-Peroxidase antibody \#A4416) at room temperature, immunoreactive bands were detected with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce, Rockford, USA) and were quantified by ImageJ software. The phosphorilated/total protein ratios were expressed as the percentage of the ratio in serumstimulated samples in the absence of $\mathbf{6 5 3}$.

Ethical statement. The animal procedures considered in this project were performed in strict compliance with the European Communities Council Directive 2010/63/EU regulating the use and care of laboratory animals. Experimental procedures with chick embryos were performed at the University of Málaga (Spain) and were conducted in accordance with the Spanish Legislation in compliance with European Community regulation. The protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments of the University of Málaga. Zebrafish (Danio rerio) breeding colony (wildtype AB strain) was maintained at the Zebrafish Facilities of the University of Brescia (Italy). Experimental procedures with zebrafish embryos were performed at the University of Brescia and were conducted in accordance with the Italian Legislation for the animal experimentation. Efforts were made to reduce the number of animals used and minimize animal suffering. Furthermore, animals were anaesthetized when it was likely they could be subjected to pain, and they were killed by a method that ensured the least effect on their welfare.

Statistical analysis. Results are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments. Data sets were checked to follow a normal distribution and statistical significance was determined using the two-sided unpaired Student $t$-test (SPSS software). Values of $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant. Significance was indicated as follows: $* * * * \mathrm{P}<0.0001, * * * \mathrm{P}<0.001, * * \mathrm{P}<0.01, * \mathrm{P}<0.05$.
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[^122]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}220 & 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^123]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}220 & 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^124]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}220 & 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^125]:    

[^126]:    UNIVERSIDAD
    DE MÁLAGA

[^127]:    

[^128]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll} & 220 & 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^129]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}220 & 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^130]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}220 & 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^131]:    

[^132]:    

[^133]:    

[^134]:    

[^135]:    |  | 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^136]:    

[^137]:    | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^138]:    UNIVERSIDAD
    DE MÁLAGA

[^139]:    | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^140]:    

[^141]:    $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}220 & 210 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^142]:    | 1 | 190 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^143]:    UNIVERSIDAD
    DE MÁLAGA

[^144]:    UNIVERSIDAD
    DE MÁLAGA

[^145]:    UNIVERSIDAD
    DE MÁLAGA

