



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pediatric Surgery Case Reports

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/epsc

Endovascular retrieval of difficult to remove port-a-caths

Raghavendra Rao*, Francis E. Marshalleck, Alan P. Ladd

Riley Hospital for Children, Indiana University School of Medicine, USA



A B S T R A C T

Long dwelling central venous lines develop intravenous adhesions or a calcified fibrous sheath causing difficulties in removal. Although such cases are rare, a few cases of endovascular retrieval have been reported in pediatric literature. We report our experience with 6 cases in children with port-a-caths. Transfemoral snare technique was effective in all but 1 patient. The mean age of the in dwelling port-a-caths was 5 years. One patient whose catheter could not be removed has developed no complications after a follow-up of 5 years. Review of literature suggests that while endovascular retrieval is not without risks, leaving a central line in situ has no major disadvantages. Heroic measures to remove such lines should be pursued only when absolutely necessary as in the case of an infected line.

1. Introduction

Central venous lines when left in situ for a long time very rarely develop adhesions and calcifications intravascularly [1]. This makes removal by external traction difficult and increases chances of breakage of the catheter with distal embolization. Several ways to remove these catheters have been reported and endovascular retrieval has been one of the most common techniques utilized. While very few cases have been described in literature, the incidence of catheter related complications, if catheter is left in situ when it cannot be removed using the usual surgical techniques, is not clear. Also, the risks of such retrievals are not well described. The aim of the study was to elucidate potential risk factors that may indicate future difficulty with catheter removal and describe the outcomes for such difficult catheter removals.

2. Methods

All patients requiring endovascular retrieval of portions of retained central venous catheters and/or intravascular foreign bodies, between June 2013 and December 2018, were identified using an internal billing database. Patients were cared for at a tertiary pediatric hospital with full-time interventional radiology expertise. Patient demographics and disease characteristics, characteristics of the central line, techniques used for retrieval, and outcomes were recorded. Continuous data was expressed as means and standard deviations.

3. Results

7 patients requiring endovascular retrieval of intravenous foreign bodies were identified over this 5-year period. Of these, 6 were intravenous-portions of port-a-caths (PC) and 1 was a retained segment of

guidewire after attempted placement of a non-tunneled line. The latter patient was excluded from the study. We had no patients who required endovascular assistance for removal of non-tunneled subclavian or jugular central venous lines.

There were 693 Port-a-cath retrievals performed by open surgical technique during the study time period. The rate of retained PCs was 0.9% (6/693). The mean age of the patients was 11.1 ± 4.8 yrs [Range 5.4–17.2 yrs]. The duration of the PC since original placement was 5.3 ± 2.4 yrs [Range 3.3–8.9 yrs]. All PCs were placed via the subclavian-route. Most (4/6) were left sided. All catheters were made of silicone. The diagnosis requiring ports was varied with only 2 patients requiring it for chemotherapy for malignancy (Table 1).

One PC was removed via the subclavian-route by the surgeon while interventional radiology (IR) placed a protective snare through the femoral-route. One catheter could not be removed and was left in situ. This catheter was adhered to the endothelium of the brachiocephalic vein at the distal end and could not be removed by either the femoral snare technique (described below) or by passing a Fogarty balloon into the ipsilateral brachiocephalic vein in order to free the central end of the catheter. This patient had the longest duration of catheter left in situ (8.9 months). All other retained portions of PCs were removed via the femoral route by IR with snare technique. All IR procedures occurred during the same OR procedure as the surgical removal. The procedure in all cases consisted of gaining femoral venous access and advancing a large vascular-sheath over the guidewire. A gooseneck-snare was then advanced through the sheath and the distal end of the PC was grasped and removed from the groin. Post procedure fluoroscopy was performed to verify absence of catheter fragments.

No procedure related complications occurred. There was no catheter breakage or embolization in any patient. Examination of all central lines showed adhered areas of endothelium on the distal ends of the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sraghavendrarao83@gmail.com (R. Rao).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsc.2019.101248>

Received 9 May 2019; Received in revised form 31 May 2019; Accepted 3 June 2019

Available online 08 June 2019

2213-5766/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Table-1

Diagnosis of patients requiring port-a-cath placement.

Patient 1	CHARGE syndrome (need for TPN)
Patient 2	developmental delay, pancreatitis (need for TPN)
Patient 3	T-ALL (chemotherapy)
Patient 4	nephrotic syndrome (albumin infusions)
Patient 5	Hemophilia (Blood transfusions)
Patient 6	ALL (chemotherapy)

catheters. The patient who had the catheter left in situ has had no complications related to the PC after a follow-up of 58 months.

4. Discussion

The first report of the need for endovascular retrieval of a retained intravascular foreign body was in 1954 where there was accidental passage of a peripheral catheter into the right atrium [2]. Since then this rare procedure has been reported in small case series. Rates of such difficult removals vary in literature with most case series reporting rates of around 1% [3].

One of the largest reviews of retained catheters managed by endovascular retrieval was by Schechter et al., which included both adult as well as pediatric patients. Reporting all published case series from 2000 to 2012, they identified 574 patients who had intravenous foreign bodies retrieved. Most of these were retained catheter fragments. The technique used by all of these studies was endovascular snare retrieval. Stone baskets were used occasionally. Failure of retrieval ranged from 2.2 to 11% [4].

The largest series in pediatric patients consisted of 58 patients with “difficult to remove” central venous lines (all port-a-caths). While intravenous retrieval was required in only 11 of these patients, most other catheters were able to be removed by additional traction and/or more than normal dissection. Catheters were able to be removed in all but one patient. Snare was again the most commonly used technique for removal followed by insertion of a “peel away” sheath around the port-a-cath [3].

A more recent case series in pediatric patients by Chan et al. reported 10 cases with 7 attempted retrievals. Mean time of indwelling catheters was 66.5 months – very similar to our study. All retrievals were performed by the transfemoral snare technique. Retrieval was successful in all but 1 patient. The 4 patients managed conservatively developed no complications related to the central line over their 10-year study period. They also described a total of 28 cases (in addition to their series) previously reported in pediatric literature from 2001 to 2012 [5–16]. Most studies which attempted removal of these lines used the femoral snare technique as was used in our patients. Few other techniques have been reported. Some of the latter include use of an Excimer laser, a Lead Locking device [10] and using a guidewire to exert a push-pull force [7]. One study reported extensive open dissection with a clavicle resection and venotomy [11]. 17 of these 38 patients have had lines left in situ (either intentionally or due to failed retrieval) and none of the patients have had any complications related to the central line after a median follow-up of 40 months. They report an overall failed retrieval rate of 30%. Attempted retrieval is not without complications as they reported intra-operative thrombo-embolization in 8% of the patients and line embolization in 8% of patients [17].

Our case series is a further addition to literature, where patients with prolonged indwelling port-a-caths needed endovascular retrieval of retained intravascular segments of catheter. Retrieval was performed using the transfemoral snare technique in all but one patient. One catheter out of 6 could not be retrieved and this patient has had no complication associated with the central line. We had no intra-operative complications in our series. We found prolonged indwelling time to be a consistent risk factor for difficult retrieval. All our central lines

were made of silicone and literature review does not show a consistent risk associated with the type of material for the catheter (silicone versus polyurethane) [18]. Like in our study, most cases in literature have been left sided [17]. However, unlike in our study population, the predominant requirement of central lines was for chemotherapy for hematologic malignancies in older studies [17].

While endovascular retrieval is successful in most cases, it is not without complications. Decision to remove a retained central line should be made after a thorough discussion of the risks/benefits of such a procedure with the patient and family. This study and literature review should help such informed decision making.

5. Conclusions

Retained portions of central venous catheters requiring endovascular retrieval are extremely rare. While endovascular removal is successful in most cases, this should be performed by an experienced team to avoid complications. Leaving the catheter in situ has not shown major disadvantages but longer-term data does not exist for consideration. Decisions for aggressive surgical maneuvers to remove retained lines should be balanced against long-term risks on leaving a catheter in situ. To avoid difficult catheter retrieval and possible retained catheters, catheter exchanges every 1–2 years should be considered.

Consent to publish the case report was not obtained. This report does not contain any personal information that could lead to the identification of the patient.

Funding

No funding or grant support was obtained.

Authorship

All authors attest that they meet the current ICMJE criteria for Authorship.

Financial disclosures

The following authors have no financial disclosures: RR, FEM, APL.

Conflict of interest

All listed authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Keehn A, Rabinowitz D, Williams SK, Taragin BH. Calcified central venous catheter fibrin sheath: case report and review of the literature. *Clin Imaging* 2015;39(6):1130–3.
- [2] Turner DD, Sommers SC. Accidental passage of a polyethylene catheter from cubital vein to right atrium; report of a fatal case. *N Engl J Med* 1954;251(18):744–5.
- [3] Patel PA, Parra DA, Bath R, Amaral JG, Temple MJ, John PR, et al. IR approaches to difficult removals of totally implanted venous access port catheters in children: a single-center experience. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2016;27(6):876–81.
- [4] Schechter MA, O'Brien PJ, Cox MW. Retrieval of iatrogenic intravascular foreign bodies. *J Vasc Surg* 2013;57(1):276–81.
- [5] Bautista F, Gomez-Chacon J, Costa E, Moreno L, Canete A, Muro MD, et al. Retained intravascular fragments after removal of indwelling central venous catheters: a single institution experience. *J Pediatr Surg* 2010;45(7):1491–5.
- [6] Gladman G, Sinha S, Sims DG, Chiswick ML. Staphylococcus epidermidis and retention of neonatal percutaneous central venous catheters. *Arch Dis Child* 1990;65(2):234–5.
- [7] Huang SC, Tsai MS, Lai HS. A new technique to remove a “stuck” totally implantable venous access catheter. *J Pediatr Surg* 2009;44(7):1465–7.
- [8] Hughes DB, Ullery BW, Spigland N. Formation of a calcified “cast” in a long-term indwelling central venous catheter: a case report. *J Pediatr Surg* 2006;41(11):1927–9.
- [9] Jones SA, Giacomantonio M. A complication associated with central line removal in the pediatric population: retained fixed catheter fragments. *J Pediatr Surg* 2003;38(4):594–6.

- [10] Leshner AP, Kratz JM, Smith CD. Removal of embedded central venous catheters. *J Pediatr Surg* 2008;43(6):1030–4.
- [11] Maizlin I, Carpentier H, Bliss D. Difficult extraction of long-term central venous catheters in children—case report. *J Pediatr Surg* 2010;45(8):1720–3.
- [12] Milbrandt K, Beaudry P, Anderson R, Jones S, Giacomantonio M, Sigalet D. A multiinstitutional review of central venous line complications: retained intravascular fragments. *J Pediatr Surg* 2009;44(5):972–6.
- [13] Serrano M, Garcia-Alix A, Lopez JC, Perez J, Quero J. Retained central venous lines in the newborn: report of one case and systematic review of the literature. *Neonatal Netw* 2007;26(2):105–10.
- [14] Teeple EA, Shiels WE, Nwomeh BC, Rocourt DV, Caniano DA. Difficult central venous access removal: case reports of the use of endovascular snare shearing of endothelialized tetherings. *J Pediatr Surg* 2011;46(5):e13–5.
- [15] Vettukattil JJ, Thomas E, Salmon AP. Safe retrieval of impacted central venous line. *Arch Dis Child* 2003;88(7):630–1.
- [16] Wilson GJ, van Noesel MM, Hop WC, van de Ven C. The catheter is stuck: complications experienced during removal of a totally implantable venous access device. A single-center study in 200 children. *J Pediatr Surg* 2006;41(10):1694–8.
- [17] Chan BK, Rupasinghe SN, Hennessey I, Peart I, Baillie CT. Retained central venous lines (CVLs) after attempted removal: an 11-year series and literature review. *J Pediatr Surg* 2013;48(9):1887–91.
- [18] Hassan A, Khalifa M, Al-Akraa M, Lord R, Davenport A. Six cases of retained central venous haemodialysis access catheters. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2006;21(7):2005–8.