brought to you by CORE

European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology 228 (2018) 65-70



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejogrb

Full length article

# Intrapartum and perinatal results associated with different degrees of staining of meconium stained amniotic fluid



Vanesa Rodríguez Fernández<sup>\*</sup>, Carlos Nicolás López Ramón y Cajal, Elena Marín Ortiz, Emilio Couceiro Naveira

Service of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Álvaro Cunqueiro Hospital, Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 February 2018 Accepted 19 March 2018 Available online xxx

Keywords: Meconium stained amniotic fluid Degrees of amniotic fluid staining Intrapartum results Perinatal outcomes Umbilical cord blood gas analysis

## ABSTRACT

*Objective:* To determine the intrapartum and perinatal results associated with different degrees of staining of meconium stained amniotic fluid (MSAF).

*Study design:* In a retrospective cohort study of all singleton deliveries over a period of one year (2015) in a tertiary hospital, we compared different degrees of MSAF (yellow, green and thick) to clear amniotic fluids, and analysed in each group maternal, intrapartum and neonatal variables as well as umbilical cord blood gas analysis.

*Results*: Of the 3590 deliveries included, 503 (14%) had MSAF. The incidence of MSAF rises with gestational age at delivery, reaching 20.7% in gestations above 41 weeks compared to 4.3% below 37 weeks. As the amniotic fluid staining progresses we found a higher proportion of intrapartum fevers (p < 0.001), pathological fetal heart rate patterns (p < 0.05), operative vaginal deliveries and cesarean sections (p < 0.001), as well as the need for advanced neonatal resuscitation (p < 0.001). There was also a correlation between MSAF and low Apgar scores at five minutes (p < 0.001) and fetal-neonatal mortality (p < 0.001) but there was not a higher proportion of neonatal intensive care admissions (p > 0.05). We have observed a similar distribution of umbilical artery pH ranges in all groups (p > 0.05).

*Conclusions:* MSAF was associated with an increase in the rate of pathological fetal heart rate patterns, intrapartum fevers, operative vaginal and cesarean section deliveries, need for neonatal resuscitation, low Apgar scores and higher fetal-neonatal mortality. Moreover, we found that the risks increase as the staining and consistency of the amniotic fluid evolves so it should alert the obstetrician and paediatrician to the potential adverse outcomes.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V.

# Introduction

The meconium stained amniotic fluid (MSAF) is observed in 12–16% of deliveries [1]. The intrauterine presence of MSAF may simply represent the normal gastrointestinal maturation or may indicate an acute or chronic hypoxic event [2] thereby making it a warning sign of fetal compromise. Its presence is associated with an increase in perinatal morbidity and mortality. Higher rates of stillbirths, low Apgar scores and hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy have been associated with MSAF [3,4].

It is known that intrapartum and perinatal complications increase as the staining and consistency of amniotic fluid increases

*E-mail address:* vanesa.rodriguez.fernandez@sergas.es (V. Rodríguez Fernández).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2018.03.035 0301-2115/© 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V. [5]. This way, yellow amniotic fluids are associated with fewer complications and less morbidity than green ones, and these, in turn, less than thick amniotic fluids, which leads us to think that they could be different entities.

The umbilical cord blood gas analysis is an indicator of fetal hypoxic damage and may provide important information about the past, the present and possibly the future condition of the newborn [6].

Therefore, in this study we intend to compare the intrapartum and perinatal results as well as the umbilical cord blood gas analysis of the different types of MSAF versus the clear ones.

#### Materials and methods

A retrospective cohort study was carried out in the University Hospital Complex of Vigo, a university-affiliated tertiary hospital, where we analysed all deliveries over a period of one year. The study included all singleton deliveries after 24 weeks' gestation during the year 2015.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast}$  Corresponding author at: Service of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Vigo University Hospital Complex, Álvaro Cunqueiro Hospital, Estrada Clara Campoamor n° 341, 36312 Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain.

MSAF were classified into three groups: yellow (meconium that lightly stains the amniotic fluid), green (dark green moderate staining of the amniotic fluid) and thick (opaque and thick meconium, also called "pea soup meconium"). Blood-stained amniotic fluids (BSAF) were considered as a different group, we did not include it in the MSAF group because they are physiopathologically different. We compared parturients with clear amniotic fluid (CAF) to those with MSAF and BSAF. The staining of amniotic fluid was assessed visually at the time of rupture of membranes and the obstetrician or midwife who attends the delivery determined the grade of staining. We chose this classification because it is simple and unifies criteria visually.

Background medical data of pregnant woman and newborn were collected retrospectively from our electronic health records and partograms. The Ethical Review Board of the University Hospital Complex of Vigo approved the data collection for the study. The following variables were analysed: maternal variables (age, parity and gestational age), intrapartum variables (onset of labour, amniotic fluid colour, category of intrapartum fetal heart rate –FHR-, intrapartum fever and mode of delivery), umbilical (arterial and venous) cord blood gas analysis (pH, pO<sub>2</sub>, pCO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub> and base excess) and neonatal variables (sex, birth weight, Apgar score, neonatal intensive care admission and need for neonatal resuscitation).

The gestational age at delivery was established by the last menstrual period and verified by ultrasonographic gestational age measurement, if the due date determined by menstrual period differed from that calculated by ultrasounds (>7 days in the first trimester, >14 days in the second trimester and >21 days in the third trimester) then the due date obtained by ultrasound was used to define gestational age. The amniotic fluid colour was diagnosed clinically during delivery by the obstetrician or midwife. Electronic continuous FHR monitoring was used in all parturients from the beginning of labour or induction to delivery. The FHR category was established according to the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development [7]. Intrapartum fever was defined as at least one axillary temperature measurement of  $\geq$ 37.8 °C. In our department, the umbilical cord blood gas analysis is done routinely

Table	1
-------	---

Study groups (amniotic fluid classification).

	n	%
CAF	3060	85.2
MSAF	503	14.0
Yelow	208	41.3
Green	277	55.1
Thick	18	3.6
BSAF	27	0.8
TOTAL	3590	100.0

CAF: clear amniotic fluid; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid.

#### Table 2

Maternal variables (Gestational age & Parity) by amniotic fluid classification.

in all deliveries and a paediatrician is always present in deliveries with MSAF or BSAF. Apgar score of the newborn was assessed at 1 and 5 min. Resuscitation was considered according to the need determined by Apgar scoring by the paediatrician and was divided in superficial (aspiration –grade I-, supplementary O2 –grade II-) and advanced (CPAP –grade III-, intubation –grade IV-, chest compression with positive pressure ventilation –grade V-). In our hospital aspiration (oro-pharyngeal suction) was not systematically done in all infants born through MSAF, since evidence did not find a benefit of intrapartum aspiration of the upper airways to reduce the risk of meconium aspiration syndrome [8]. The meconium aspiration syndrome is defined as respiratory distress in newborn infants born through MSAF whose symptoms cannot be otherwise explained [9], the diagnosis was made by clinical examination and/or Chest X-Ray.

The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and the statistical software package of R version 3.3.2. The qualitative variables were analysed by the Pearson chi-square test and the estimation of p value by Monte Carlo method with R software to obtain accurate results when the data does not have any of the underlying assumptions necessary to obtain reliable results with the Pearson chi-square test. The quantitative variables were analysed by the Kruskal-Wallis test. Statistical significance was considered at p value of less than 0.05.

## Results

A total of 3590 deliveries were included in this study. Of these, 503 had MSAF (14%): 208 yellow (41.3%), 277 green (55.1%) and 18 thick (3.6%). The BSAF amounted to 0.8% (n = 27) [Table 1]. The mean maternal age of the study group was 33.2 (range: 15–51), we have not found relationship between maternal age and amniotic fluid classification.

We found a higher incidence of MSAF as the weeks of gestation increased, being significant (p < 0.001) when we studied it at intervals:  $\leq$ 37 weeks (4.3% of MSAF), 37–40 weeks (13.2%) and  $\geq$ 41 (20.7%) [Table 2].

We observed a higher significant proportion of MSAF in primiparous than in multiparous women (64.8% vs. 35.2%) (p < 0.001) [Table 2].

When we analysed the onset of labour, no differences were found between the inductions and the spontaneous onset deliveries (52.2% of MSAF in inductions vs. 47.8% in spontaneous) (p 0.069) [Table 3]. The amniotic fluids from all planned cesarean sections (n = 182) were clear.

There were a higher proportion of intrapartum fevers in MSAF vs. CAF (20.5% vs. 12.4%) (p < 0.001). In addition, the proportion increased as the colour of the amniotic fluid progresses (12.4% in CAF, 17.3% in yellow, 20.2% in green and 61.1% in the thick ones). BSAF presented fever in 11.1% of cases (similar to CAF) [Table 4].

	Gestationa	ıl age			Parity**					
	<37 w	%	37-40 w	%	$\geq \! 41  w$	%	Primiparous	%	Multiparous	%
CAF	217	93.1	2328	86.1	515	79.0	1673	83.0	1387	88.1
MSAF	10	4.3	358	13.2	135	20.7	326	16.2	177	11.2
Yelow	2	0.9	144	5.3	62	9.5	124	6.2	84	5.3
Green	8	3.4	202	7.5	67	10.3	187	9.3	90	5.7
Thick	0	0.0	12	0.4	6	0.9	15	0.7	3	0.2
BSAF	6	2.6	19	0.7	2	0.3	16	0.8	11	0.7
TOTAL	233	100	2705	100	652	100	2015	100	1575	100

CAF: clear amniotic fluid; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid.

p value 0.000 (Monte Carlo method), considering MSAF as a unique group and as three different groups.

 $^{*}$  p value 0.000 (Pearson Chi-Square Test), considering MSAF as a unique group and as three different groups.

Although we know that there is an association between the use of epidural analgesia and the presence of intrapartum fever, when we analysed the use of epidural and the staining of amniotic fluid we did not find differences: the percentages of the different MSAF categories were similar in patients with and without epidural (p 0.930).

The vast majority of MSAF (78.5%) were vaginal deliveries. We found an increased risk for operative vaginal delivery and cesarean section in the presence of MSAF (p < 0.001). It was observed that the cesarean rate increased with the degree of staining: 16.8% of caesarean sections in yellow liquids, 22.7% in green and 55.6% when it was thick (p < 0.001) [Table 4].

We analysed the FHR intrapartum pattern of the patients with MSAF and BSAF and we observed less categories I FHR patterns and more categories II and III as the colour of the MSAF fluid progressed (p 0.021) [Table 5].

Regarding neonatal outcomes, no differences were observed in the analysis of the different colours of amniotic fluid at different umbilical artery pH ranges (pH <7.00, 7.00–7.09; 7.09–7.19 and  $\geq$ 7.20), all groups presented a similar distribution (p 0.218). In this analysis we lost 241 cases where we did not have information about the umbilical artery pH [Table 6]. The values of the umbilical cord blood gas analysis in all groups are shown in Table 7.

The presence of MSAF was associated with a higher proportion of Apgar scores <7 at the fifth minute (p < 0.001) but not in the first minute ( $p \ 0.061$ ) [Table 8]. It was also associated with the need for neonatal resuscitation (p < 0.001) and with a more advanced neonatal resuscitation (grades III, IV and V) (p < 0.001). A greater need for advanced neonatal resuscitation was found as the

 Table 3

 Onset of labour (without planned cesarean section) by amniotic fluid classification.

	Onset of labour	Onset of labour								
	Spontaneous	%	Induction	%	TOTAL	%				
CAF	1488	51.7	1392	48.3	2880	100				
MSAF	240	47.8	262	52.2	502	100				
Yellow	92	44.4	115	55.6	207	100				
Green	140	50.5	137	49.5	277	100				
Thick	8	44.4	10	55.6	18	100				
BSAF	9	34.6	17	65.4	26	100				
TOTAL	1737	51.0	1671	49.0	3408 <sup>a</sup>	100				

CAF: clear amniotic fluid; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid.

p value 0.126 (Pearson Chi-Square Test) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as three different groups.

p value 0.069 (Pearson Chi-Square Test) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as a unique group.

<sup>a</sup> Planned cesarean sections were excluded (182).

Table 4

amniotic fluid staining progressed (2.7% in CAF, 5.8% in yellow, 6.9% in green and 22.2% in thick ones) [Table 9].

There was not a higher proportion of neonatal intensive care admissions in MSAF cases compared to clear ones (9.9% in MSAF vs. 12.3% in CAF) (p 0.260) [Table 8]. The main indication for admission of neonates with MSAF was respiratory distress (63%).

We have not found a relationship between birthweight and amniotic fluid classification, the mean birthweight was 3216.4 (range: 420–4910).

There was an association between amniotic fluid colour and fetal-neonatal mortality (p < 0.001). Mortality in MSAF was 1% compared to 0.1% in CAF [Table 9]. In our study 3 neonates presented meconium aspiration syndrome (2 in green fluids and 1 in thick fluids), causing death in 2 of them.

**Table 5**FHR intrapartum category in MSAF & BSAF.

	FHR							
	I	%	II	%	III	%	TOTAL	%
MSAF	314	62.4	176	35.0	13	2.6	503	100
Yellow	149	71.6	56	26.9	3	1.4	208	100
Green	157	56.7	111	40.1	9	3.2	277	100
Thick	8	44.4	9	50.0	1	5.6	18	100
BSAF	15	55.6	11	40.7	1	3.7	27	100
TOTAL	329	62.1	187	35.3	14	2.6	530	100

FHR: fetal heart rate; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid

p value 0.021 (Pearson Chi-Square Test) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as three different groups.

p value 0.757 (Pearson Chi-Square Test) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as a unique group

pH ranges in umbilical artery by amniotic fluid classification.

	pН							
	<7.00	%	7.00-7.09	%	7.10-7.19	%	≥7.20	%
CAF	15	83.3	88	85.4	563	87.6	2192	84.8
MSAF	3	16.7	12	11.7	79	12.3	373	14.4
Yellow	1	5.6	3	2.9	33	5.1	157	6.1
Green	2	11.1	9	8.7	42	6.5	206	8.0
Thick	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	0.6	10	0.4
BSAF	0	0.0	3	2.9	1	0.2	20	0.8
TOTAL	18	100	103	100	643	100	2585	100

CAF: clear amniotic fluid; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid.

p value 0.2186 (Monte Carlo method) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as three different groups.

p value 0.0613 (Monte Carlo method) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as a unique group.

Intrapartum variables (Intrapartum fever & Mode of delivery) by amniotic fluid classification.

	Intrapa	rtum feve	r*		Mode of delivery	Mode of delivery**							
	No	%	Yes	%	Normal vaginal	Normal vaginal %		Operative vaginal %		%	TOTAL	%	
CAF	2681	87.6	379	12.4	1724	56.3	764	25.0	572	18.7	3060	100	
MSAF	400	79.5	103	20.5	231	45.9	164	32.6	108	21.5	503	100	
Yellow	172	82.7	36	17.3	116	55.8	57	27.4	35	16.8	208	100	
Green	221	79.8	56	20.2	113	40.8	101	36.5	63	22.7	277	100	
Thick	7	38.9	11	61.1	2	11.1	6	33.3	10	55.6	18	100	
BSAF	24	88.9	3	11.1	7	25.9	7	25.9	13	48.1	27	100	
TOTAL	3105	86.5	485	13.5	1962	54.7	935	26.0	693	19.3	3590	100	

Table 6

CAF: clear amniotic fluid; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid.

\* p value 0.000 (Monte Carlo method) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as three different groups. p value 0.000 (Pearson Chi-Square Test) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as a unique group.

p value 0.000 (Pearson Chi-Square Test), considering MSAF as a unique group and as three different groups.

## Table 7

	Arterial				Venous	Venous				
	pН	pCO <sub>2</sub>	HCO <sub>3</sub>	BE	pН	pCO <sub>2</sub>	HCO <sub>3</sub>	BE		
CAF	7.24 (0.074)	51.80 (10.009)	17.93 (2.299)	-5.92 (2.817)	7.30 (0.069)	41,59 (8.098)	18.30 (2.224)	-6.08 (2.545)		
MSAF	7.25 (0.069)	50.95 (9.704)	17.70 (2.317)	-6.07(2.599)	7.28 (0.063)	42.50 (7.693)	17,84 (2.176)	-6.47 (2.406)		
Yellow	7.24 (0,069)	50.31 (10.104)	17.89 (2.166)	-5.93 (2.510)	7.29 (0.064)	42.32 (8.107)	17.99 (2.039)	-6.34 (2.317)		
Green	7.23 (0.071)	51.49 (9.575)	17.61 (2.430)	-6.14 (2.654)	7.28 (0.064)	42.76 (7.538)	17.77 (2.266)	-6.52 (2.456)		
Thick	7.23 (0.040)	49.79 (4.250)	16.69 (2.027)	-6.84(2.768)	7.27 (0.052)	41.79 (3.867)	16.92 (2.314)	-7.14 (2.750)		
BSAF	7.24 (0.080)	52.17 (8.791)	18.08 (2.814)	-5.63 (3.363)	7.29 (0.060)	43.21 (5.509)	18.39 (2.384)	-5.70 (2.868)		
p value (Kruskal-Wallis)	0.512	0.000	0.059	0.268	0.000	0.040	0.000	0.008		

Cord blood gas analysis, expressed in mean (standard deviation).

BE: Base excess.

#### Table 8

Neonatal variables (Apgar scores & Neonata	l care admission) b	y amniotic fluid	classification
--	---------------------	------------------	----------------

	Apgai	Apgar 1 min			Apgar 5 min**				Neonatal care admission ***				TOTAL	%
	<7	%	$\geq 7$	%	<7	%	$\geq 7$	%	No	%	Yes	%		
CAF	27	0.9	3033	99.1	3	0.1	3057	99.9	2685	87.7	375	12.3	3060	100
MSAF	12	2.4	491	97.6	5	1.0	498	99.0	453	90.1	50	9.9	503	100
Yellow	3	1.4	205	98.6	0	0.0	208	100.0	193	92.8	15	7.2	208	100
Green	6	2.2	271	97.8	2	0.7	275	99.3	246	88.8	31	11.2	277	100
Thick	3	16.7	15	83.3	3	16.7	15	83.3	14	77.8	4	22.2	18	100
BSAF	2	7.4	25	92.6	2	7.4	25	92.6	25	92.6	2	7.4	27	100
TOTAL	41	1.1	3549	98.9	10	0.3	3580	99.7	3163	88.1	427	11.9	3590	100

CAF: clear amniotic fluid; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid.

\* p value 0.000 (Monte Carlo method) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as three different groups. p value 0.061 (Pearson Chi-Square Test) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as a unique group.

<sup>\*\*</sup> p value 0.000 (Monte Carlo method) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as a unique group and as three different groups.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> p value 0.121 (Monte Carlo method) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as three different groups. p value 0.260 (Pearson Chi-Square Test) when in the statistical analysis MASF is considered as a unique group.

# Table 9 Neonatal variables (Neonatal resuscitation & Mortality) by amniotic fluid classification.

	Resuscit	ation				Mortality				Total	%	
	No	%	Superficial	%	Advanced	%	No	%	Yes	%		
CAF	2110	69.0	868	28.4	82	2.7	3057	99.9	3	0.1	3060	100
MSAF	104	20.7	364	72.4	35	7.0	498	99.0	5	1.0	503	100
Yellow	62	29.8	134	64.4	12	5.8	208	100.0	0	0.0	204	100
Green	40	14.4	218	78.7	19	6.9	275	99.3	2	0.7	281	100
Thick	2	11.1	12	66.7	4	22.2	15	83.3	3	16.7	18	100
BSAF	9	33.3	16	59.3	2	7.4	25	92.6	2	7.4	27	100
TOTAL	2223	61.9	1248	34.8	119	3.3	3580	99.7	10	0.3	3590	100

CAF: clear amniotic fluid; MSAF: meconium stained amniotic fluid; BSAF: blood stained amniotic fluid.

\* p value 0.000 (Pearson Chi-Square Test), considering MSAF as a unique group and as three different groups.

\*\* p value 0.000 (Monte Carlo method), considering MSAF as a unique group and as three different groups.

### Comment

The aim of this study was to assess the association between the different degrees of amniotic fluid staining with intrapartum and neonatal outcomes. Our main findings were: (1) positive relation between the rate of MSAF and gestational age at delivery, (2) as the amniotic fluid staining progresses we have seen a higher proportion of intrapartum fevers, more pathological FHR patterns, an increased risk for operative vaginal and cesarean sections deliveries and a greater need for advanced neonatal resuscitation, (3) association between MSAF and fetal-neonatal mortality and (4) similar distribution of umbilical artery pH in all groups.

The exact etiology of MSAF remains unclear. In our study, the prevalence of MSAF was similar to previous reports. Moreover, in concordance with prior reports, we have observed that the incidence of MSAF rises with gestational age at delivery, reinforcing the theory that MSAF at term is a physiological event that may reflect the maturity of fetal gastrointestinal tract. The presence of MSAF is rare before 34 weeks of gestation and the incidence increases steadily beyond 37 weeks of gestation [10]. Factors such as placental insufficiency, maternal hypertension, preeclampsia, oligohydramnios or abuse of maternal drugs result in staining of the amniotic fluid [11]. Despite the fact that MSAF may represent a physiological event at late gestation, it was still a risk factor for neonatal complications and especially respiratory morbidity. Hiersch et al. have found that neonates exposed to MSAF, who were delivered at early term, were at increased risk for neonatal intensive care admission and neonatal adverse outcomes such as neonatal sepsis, jaundice, and low Apgar score compared with those delivered at full term [12].

The most acceptable theories to explain the passage of meconium were attributed to the response of the fetus to hypoxia or a vagal stimulation from umbilical cord compression resulting in an increased fetal peristalsis. It should be considered as a marker for increased perinatal complications. Altshuler and Hyde [13] suggested that meconium has a vasoconstrictive effect on umbilical vessels, which may explain the increased incidence of pathological FHR so there is an increased risk for operative vaginal delivery and cesarean section, as found in our study. MSAF was also found to be associated with subclinical inflammatory processes, considering it as a risk factor of microbial infection of the amniotic cavity [14,15]. The incidence of MSAF and neonatal morbidity was shown to be higher in the presence of inflammation of placental membranes [16]. Our study found an association between the presence of MSAF and intrapartum fever, supporting the view that the presence of MSAF should be an alert of potential infection and increased neonatal morbidity.

An association of MSAF with maternal ingestion of certain drugs and herbal remedies during pregnancy has been suggested but not very investigated. Meconium passage was found to be more common in women who had recently taken castor oil (a fatty bland oil contrainticated during pregnancy but still recommended by some for labour induction, it causes rapid evacuation of bowel contents as well as uterine contractions) and herbal sustances called "sihlambezo" (widespread use in south Africa) [17]. According to Chitrakar [18], a 25  $\mu$ g intravaginal misoprostol reduces meconium passage and MSAF was found more in the inductions with dinoprostone. The use of laxative or enemas, which were suggested, was not associated with meconium passage [17].

In agreement with previous reports [19], we found an increased rate of neonatal complications in the presence of MSAF: low Apgar scores, a need for advanced resuscitation and fetal-neonatal mortality. Most neonatal adverse outcomes observed, like in others reports, were related to pulmonary pathology: respiratory distress and the need for ventilator support as well as the meconium aspiration syndrome. Meconium was found to cause umbilical cord vascular necrosis and also to be toxic to fetal lung (causing obstruction of airways, chemical pneumonitis, vasoconstriction of pulmonary vessels, and inactivation of surfactant) [20]. Despite the increase in neonatal complications observed, no differences have been found in umbilical artery pH between groups, which is an objective measure of neonatal condition. Respiratory acidosis was not observed, so there were no cases of neonatal asphyxia or it was metabolically compensated at the time of its measurement.

An interesting matter is the clinical significance of the change in colour of amniotic fluid, from clear to meconium (secondary meconium), and the different prognosis between it and primary meconium (MSAF noted at the time of membranes rupture). While primary meconium seems to be more related with fetal maturation, secondary meconium is more likely to reflect intrapartum fetal distress. However, only few studies distinguished between primary and secondary meconium and supported the importance of distinction between them with respect to the increased risk for adverse outcomes in the secondary: low 5-min Apgar score, neonatal intensive care admission, umbilical artery pH < 7,1 and intrapartum cesarean section [21,22].

Another interesting question is, what is the significance of the transformation from CAF to yellow, green and finally thick fluid, and whether the outcome in these different cases of MSAF is different. Our study demonstrates that the risks increase as the colour and consistency of the amniotic fluid evolves. However, this is the first study that compares and separates the different types of MSAF. Past reports suggested that the "thickness" of meconium had a direct bearing on the neonatal outcome, showing that incidence of birth asphyxia was significantly higher in thick meconium compared to thin meconium [23].

Therefore, where does MSAF come from? Recently, it has been documented that in-utero defecation occurs throughout fetal life as a physiologic function during fetal development [24]. The intestinal content in the fetus (meconium) is of a greenish colour, which results from the accumulation of the bile pigments [25]. However, as the basic theories and recent reports assert [26,27], it emerges as a clear fluid because there is a balance in the fetal circuits of swallowing, urination and respiratory tract secretion. If the balance is broken, the amniotic fluid will be stained, probably related to a biochemical change in the bilirubin oxidation system, responsible for biliverdin formation (main component that gives the greenish colour of meconium).

The limitations of our study were mainly due to its retrospective design. Perhaps certain management decisions and interventions triggered by the observation of MSAF may affect outcomes and thus bias the apparent association of MSAF with these outcomes: increased operative vaginal delivery and cesarean section may be due to the perception of caregivers that MSAF is a sign of fetal compromise, rather than an effect of the MSAF per se. Additionally, a paediatrician is always present in deliveries with MSAF and that could have biased the Apgar scores assesments. Moreover, other potential confounders for adverse pregnancy outcome, such as the rate of maternal obesity, maternal diseases, gestational diseases and toxic habits were not analysed. However, the strengths of our study lie on its large cohort and the available data regarding intrapartum and neonatal outcomes. In addition, our study represents the first study that emphasizes the importance of distinction between the different stains of the amniotic fluid due to its significance on obstetrical and neonatal outcome.

In conclusion, our study shows that the presence of MSAF is associated with an increase in the rate of pathological FHR patterns, intrapartum fevers, low Apgar scores and is associated with higher fetal-neonatal mortality. In order to reduce perinatal morbidity and mortality, the presence of MSAF requires intensive FHR monitoring and a certain clinical performance depending on the type of MSAF as we have shown that the obstetrical and perinatal complications increase with the degree of amniotic fluid staining and consistency. The fact of having lower Apgar scores and a greater need for advanced resuscitation leads to an immediate and proper pediatric assessment.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

None. Also, no sources of funding.

#### References

- Maymon E., Chaim W, Furman B, Ghezzi F, Shoham Vardi I, Mazor M. Meconium stained amniotic fluid in very low risk pregnancies at term gestation. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1998;80:169–73.
- [2] Poggi SH, Ghidini A. Pathophysiology of meconium passage into the amniotic fluid. Early Hum Dev 2009;85:607–10.
- [3] Berkus MD, Langer O, Samuelloff A, Xenakis EM, Field NT, Ridgeway LE. Meconium stained amniotic fluid: increased risk for adverse outcome. Obstet Gynecol 1994;84:115–20.
- [4] Ziadeh SM, Sunna E. Obstetric and perinatal outcome of pregnancies with term labour and meconium-stained amniotic fluid. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2000;264:84–7.
- [5] Sheiner E, Hadar A, Shoham-Vardi I, Hallak M, Katz M, Mazor M. The effect of meconium on perinatal outcome: a prospective analysis. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2002;11:54–9.
- [6] Armstrong L, Stenson BJ. Use of umbilical cord blood gas analysis in the assessment of the newborn. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2007;92:430–4.
- [7] Macones GA, Hankins GD, Spong CY, Hauth J, Moore T. The 2008 National Institute of Child Health and Human Development workshop report on electronic fetal monitoring: update on definitions, interpretation, and research guidelines. Obstet Gynecol 2008;112:661–6.

- [8] Vain NE, Szyld EG, Prudent LM, Wiswell TE, Aguilar AM, Vivas NI. Oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal suctioning of meconium-stained neonates before delivery of their shoulders: multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2004;364:597–602.
- [9] Fanaroff AA. Meconium aspiration syndrome: historical aspects. J Perinatol 2008;28(Suppl. 3):S3.
- [10] Matthews TG, Warshaw JB. Relevance of the gestational age distribution of meconium passage in utero. Pediatrics 1979;64:30–1.
- [11] Shaikh EM, Mehmood S, Shaikh MJ. Neonatal outcome in meconium stained amniotic fluid-one year experience. J Pak Med Assoc 2010;60:711-4.
- [12] Hiersch L, Krispin E, Linder N, Aviram A, Gabbay-Benziv R, Yogev Y, et al. Meconium-stained amniotic fluid and neonatal morbidity in low-risk pregnancies at term: the effect of gestational age. Am J Perinatol 2017;34:183–90.
- [13] Altshuler G, Hyde S. Meconium-induced vasoconstriction: a potential cause of cerebral and other fetal hypoperfusion and of poor pregnancy outcome. J Child Neurol 1989;4:137–42.
- [14] Romero R, Hanaoka S, Mazor M, Athanassiadis AP, Callahan R, Hsu YC, et al. Meconium-stained amniotic fluid: A risk factor for microbial invasion of the amniotic cavity. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991;164:859–62.
- [15] David C, Gomez R, Romero R, Insunza A, Field S, Rojas I. Evidence of a subclinical inflammatory process in patient meconium-stained amniotic fluid. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997;176:370.
- [16] Rao S, Pavlova Z, Incerpi MH, Ramanathan R. Meconium-stained amniotic fluid and neonatal morbidity in near-term and term deliveries with acute histologic chorioamnionitis and/or funisitis. J Perinatol 2001;21:537–40.
- [17] Mitri F, Hofmeyr GJ, Van Gelderen CJ. Meconium during labour-selfmedication and other associations. S Afr Med J 1987;71:431-3.

- [18] Chitrakar NS. Comparison of Misoprostol versus Dinoprostone for preinduction cervical ripening at-term. J Nepal Health Res Counc 2012;10:10–5.
- [19] Hiersch L, Krispin E, Aviram A, Wiznitzer A, Yogev Y, Ashwal E. Effect of meconium-stained amniotic fluid on perinatal complications in low-risk pregnancies at term. Am J Perinatol 2016;33:378–84.
- [20] Walsh MC, Fanaroff JM. Meconium stained fluid: approach to the mother and the baby. Clin Perinatol 2007;34:653–65.
- [21] Hiersch L, Melamed N, Rosen H, Peled Y, Wiznitzer A, Yogev Y. New onset of meconium during labor versus primary meconium-stained amniotic fluid – is there a difference in pregnancy outcome? J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2014;27:1361–7.
- [22] Locatelli A, Regalia AL, Patregnani C, Ratti M, Toso L, Ghidini A. Prognostic value of change in amniotic fluid color during labor. Fetal Diagn Ther 2005;20:5–9.
- [23] Bhide SS, Shendurnikar N, Aiyer S, Baxi SR. Neonatal outcome after meconiumstained amniotic fluid. J Obstet Gynecol India 1993;44:933–5.
- [24] Ramón y Cajal CL, Martinez RO. Defecation in utero: a physiologic fetal function. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;188:153–6.
- [25] Sunshine P. Fetal gastrointestinal physiology. In: Eden RD, Boehm FH, editors. Assessment and care of the fetus. Physiological, clinical and medicolegal principles. East Norwalk, CT: Prentice-Hall International Inc; 1990. p. 93–111.
   [26] Ramón Y, Cajal CL, Martínez RO. In-utero defecation between weeks 14 and 22
- of gestation: stools are whitish. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2004;23:94–5. [27] Ciftci AO, Tanyel FC, Ercan MT, Karnak I, Büyükpamukçu N, Hiçsönmez A. In
- [27] Cher AO, rangel PC, Erean MI, Karnak I, Buyukpanlukçu N, Hiçsonnez A. In utero defecation by the normal fetus: a radionuclide study in the rabbit. J Pediatr Surg 1996;31:1409–12.