

University of Mississippi

eGrove

---

Correspondence

Civil War Collection

---

1-17-1853

## Hugh A. Barr to H. R. Miller (17 January 1853)

Hugh A. Barr

Hugh R. Miller

Follow this and additional works at: [https://egrove.olemiss.edu/ciwar\\_corresp](https://egrove.olemiss.edu/ciwar_corresp)



Part of the [United States History Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Barr, Hugh A. and Miller, Hugh R., "Hugh A. Barr to H. R. Miller (17 January 1853)" (1853).  
*Correspondence*. 558.

[https://egrove.olemiss.edu/ciwar\\_corresp/558](https://egrove.olemiss.edu/ciwar_corresp/558)

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Civil War Collection at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Correspondence by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact [egrove@olemiss.edu](mailto:egrove@olemiss.edu).

Oxford 17<sup>th</sup> January 1853

Hon W. R. Killen

Dear Sir: Bushman called upon me to day for his bill of exceptions that he sent by me to Parola for you to sign in the case of Popelyn Exh. &c. vs Pat. Caughlin et. al. I told him that my recollection was that it did not contain his affidavit but only said (Here set it out) and that you said you had never seen the affidavit or heard it read and that you would not sign it until you saw the affidavit.

I am confirmed in this impression from the fact that Hayer asked me something about it soon after I came home from Parola and I told him the same thing. If you did sign it and hand it to me I have mislaid it and if that is the case I will get up another for him & get Hayer's approval of it & send it to you for your signature.

If however you have not signed it for the reason that you are not aware of the contents of his affidavit you may as well sign it. Bushman says that Hayer has no objection to the affidavit and I have examined it myself and I think it states accurately the reasons he gave for his application for a continuance.

I write this letter because I am not certain  
that you did not sign the bill of exceptions  
and hand it to me and also because Cushman  
requests me to enquire of you in relation  
to it. I have understood that he has some  
business at you about that case and I  
presume that is the reason why he asked  
me to enquire - though he did not  
say so. I was told in Holly Springs that he  
was quite wrothy with you about it.  
He starts tomorrow for Jackson & I promised  
him to attend to it. Let me hear from  
you.

What have you concluded upon  
about running for Judge again?  
Wotton is out & I understand that Fountain and  
John W. Thompson both want to run.  
You can beat any of them.

Yours &c  
H. S. Parr

P. S.

Here is another Bill of exceptions -  
Hudson vs. Watson case - that has  
not been sent here.

H. A. Barry

Hon Hugh R. Miller  
Holly Springs  
Mississippi

