

University of Mississippi

eGrove

Correspondence

Civil War Collection

5-1-1900

Letter from Aikens & Judge to D. M. Featherston. 7 May 1900

Aikens & Judge

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/ciwar_corresp



Part of the [United States History Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Aikens & Judge, "Letter from Aikens & Judge to D. M. Featherston. 7 May 1900" (1900). *Correspondence*. 296.

https://egrove.olemiss.edu/ciwar_corresp/296

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Civil War Collection at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Correspondence by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact egrove@olemiss.edu.

is nothing in the bill which would even enable them to ask for alimony here, however, I deem it best to call your attention to this as I would never agree to her having one cent. Aside from these matters I do not care to resist the bill with the charges as set out for a full & absolute divorce. Hoping I have made myself plain and thanking you for your kindness

I remain Yours very truly
D. M. Featherston

When will the matter be heard?

Copy letter to
Aikens & Judge
May 1st, 1900

Holly Springs, Miss. May 1 1888

Messrs Atkins & Judge
Sioux Falls S. D.

Gentlemen: Your favor of the 27 ultimo inclosing complaint of my wife against me, was received by me while suffering from a ^{severe case} attack of La Grippe. While of course the charges set up are humiliating and distressing & could be easily disproved by me; however, as she seems so anxious for a dissolution of the bonds, I am willing ~~she~~ that she may have a simple divorce, without alimony or any other condition imposed upon me, but that it shall be an equal absolute divorce releasing me as well as herself. Of course I am not familiar with your rules of practice & pleading. I notice the prayer is for a dissolution of the bond & general relief. The third allegation in the bill is in regard to our daughter Lucile; could they under the prayer for general relief decree the custody to Corinne? I would never agree to this, but would resist the matter and defeat the entire bill. There