University of Mississippi

## eGrove

**Electronic Theses and Dissertations** 

**Graduate School** 

2013

# Relations Between Alcohol Use And Migraine Among Young Adults

Rachel Davis University of Mississippi

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/etd

Part of the Clinical Psychology Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Davis, Rachel, "Relations Between Alcohol Use And Migraine Among Young Adults" (2013). *Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 805. https://egrove.olemiss.edu/etd/805

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact egrove@olemiss.edu.

## RELATIONS BETWEEN ALCOHOL USE AND MIGRAINE AMONG YOUNG ADULTS

A Thesis presented in partial fulfillment of requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in the Department of Psychology The University of Mississippi

by

## RACHEL ELIZABETH DAVIS

Copyright Rachel Elizabeth Davis 2013 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

#### ABSTRACT

Migraine is one of the most prevalent neurological disorders diagnosed throughout the world and can be extremely disabling, with many economic, social, physical and psychological health costs. Many environmental and physiological factors have been shown to precipitate migraine, including stress, hormonal fluctuations (in women), weather events, and changes in sleep and eating habits. In retrospective studies, a sizeable proportion of migraineurs also identify alcohol as a trigger for migraine attacks, but comparatively little research has explored the nature of alcohol consumption (frequency, quantity, type, rate, time of day) in relation to other aspects of migraine. Given limited and conflicting data regarding alcohol use and migraine, the purpose of the current study was to assess whether specific alcohol-related variables differentiate migraineurs from healthy controls and to determine the extent to which these alcohol variables are associated with migraine-related variables. College students are a population of interest because of their high rates of alcohol consumption and the documented high prevalence of migraine during the college years.

The sample consisted of 192 college students with a mean age of 19.84 years (SD = 3.65), with 87 (45.3%) meeting ICHD-II criteria for episodic migraine and 6 (3.1%) for chronic migraine. Migraineurs did not differ significantly on assessed alcohol-related variables compared to controls (F(4,181) = 1.603, p = .176, partial  $\eta^2 = .036$ ). A multivariate regression analysis among the migraineurs only found that the primary predictor variables (frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption, severity of alcohol-related negative consequences, and number of binge episodes) in aggregate did not predict the combination of migraine variables (frequency, severity,

ii

and disability; F [12, 204] = 1.326, p = .206). However, a linear regression analysis controlling for anxiety, depression, and gender confirmed that alcohol variables significantly predicted headache-related disability, accounting for 10.6% of unique variance in disability (p <.05), but did not predict migraine severity or frequency in individual analyses. Headache self-efficacy was unrelated to alcohol-related variables. An argument is advanced for similarities between migraineurs and non-migraine controls on alcohol-related variables. Findings extend previous research and suggest the need for future studies exploring the role of alcohol use on migraine via experimental methods.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
Diagnosis of Migraine	1
Comorbidity of Migraine	2
Role of Alcohol and Substance Use in Migraine	4
Alcohol Use in Migraineurs	8
Goals of the Present Study	10
Hypotheses	12
METHODS	14
Participants	14
Materials	14
Procedures	20
Statistical Analyses	20
RESULTS	22
Participant Demographics and Migraine Prevalence	22
Data Analytic Assumptions	23
Migraine and Drinking Variables	23
Headache-Related Variables	24
DISCUSSION	26

Alcohol Consumption	27
Migraine Frequency, Intensity, and Disability	29
Headache Self-efficacy	30
Limitations and Future Directions	30
BIBLIOGRAPHY	. 33
APPENDIX	. 46
VITA	. 78

# LIST OF TABLES

1.	Group Differences on Psychiatric Symptomatology and Gender	47
2.	ANCOVA Results Examining Group Differences on Drinking Variables	48
3.	Alcohol Variables as Predictors of Migraine Variables	49

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

#### **Diagnosis of Migraine**

Migraine is one of the most prevalent neurological disorders diagnosed throughout the world. Epidemiological data indicate that 18% of women and 6% of men living in the United States have migraine (Fukui et al., 2008; Hu, Markson, Lipton, Stewart, & Berger, 1999; Jette, Patten, Williams, Becker, & Wiebe, 2008). Prevalence of migraine in the United States is comparable to prevalence rates throughout the world, although Europe has higher rates for both men (12%) and women (24%; Leonardi, Musicco, & Nappi, 1998). Migraine is 3 times more common in women than men and for this reason is often regarded as a women's health issue (Jelinski et al., 2006; Lyngberg, Rasmussen, Jorgensen, & Jensen, 2005; Stewart, Lipton, Celentano, & Reed, 1992). Migraine affects individuals throughout life, reaching peak prevalence among individuals aged 25 to 44 (Jette et al., 2008; Lipton et al., 2002). Migraine is associated with numerous negative consequences including significant reduction in quality of life, decreased work performance, increased health care costs, and other practical liabilities (Baskin & Smitherman, 2009; Hu et al., 1999; Lipton, Hamelsky, Kolodner, Steiner, & Stewart, 2000; Pryse-Phillips et al., 1992; Von Korff, Stewart, Simon, & Lipton, 1998). The frequency of migraine and severity of associated negative consequences resulted in the World Health

Organization ranking migraine as one of the top 20 causes of disability worldwide (Leonardi, Musicco, & Nappi, 1998).

The International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-2) classifies the most common headache types that are not attributable to secondary causes as primary headaches. Primary headaches include migraine and tension-type headache (TTH), as well as other less common subforms. Migraine is characterized by recurrent attacks of severe headache and associated symptoms of autonomic nervous system dysfunction and may occur with or without aura symptoms (e.g., visual, sensory, or speech symptoms that precede the onset of head pain by no more than 60 minutes). Migraine pain is typically unilateral, pulsating/throbbing in quality, moderate to severe in intensity, and aggravated by routine physical activity. The onset of migraine is usually gradual and typically lasts between 4 and 72 hours. Other common symptoms are nausea, vomiting, and sensitivity to light (i.e. photophobia) and sound (phonophobia) (Lipton, Scher, Silberstein, & Bigal, 2008). Migraine attacks that occur more than 15 days per month meet the criteria for chronic migraine, a rare complication of migraine often associated with overuse of acute migraine pharmacological agents (Lipton, Silberstein, & Dodick, 2008).

#### **Comorbidity of Migraine**

Although migraine is conceptualized primarily as a neurological disorder, extant literature confirms a strong association between migraine and co-occurring (comorbid) psychiatric disorders such as major depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, social phobia, and bipolar disorder (Baskin, Lipchik, & Smitherman, 2006; Baskin & Smitherman, 2009; Breslau & Davis, 1992; Breslau & Davis, 1993; Jette, et al., 2008; McIntyre et al., 2006; Oedegaard et al., 2006; Stewart, Breslau, & Keck, 1994; Swartz, Pratt, Armenian, Lee, & Eaton, 2000). Among psychiatric disorders, depressive and anxiety disorders have the

highest rates of comorbidity with migraine, and population studies have found migraineurs to be two to four times more likely to suffer from these conditions than nonmigraineurs (Breslau, Davis, Schultz, & Peterson, 1994; Jette, et al., 2008; Lanteri-Minet, Radat, Chautard, & Lucas, 2005; Merikangas, Angst, & Isler, 1990; Oedegaard, et al., 2006). Among the anxiety disorders specifically, panic disorder and phobias are the most common comorbid disorders (Radat & Swendsen, 2005). More recent research has identified a link also between posttraumatic stress disorder and migraine (Peterlin et al., 2009). Comorbidity is most frequent among individuals suffering from migraine with aura (versus migraine without aura) (Antonaci et al., 2011; Baskin, Lipchik, & Smitherman, 2006; Breslau, 1998) and among those with chronic headache subforms (Heckman & Holroyd, 2006; Zwart et al., 2003).

Comorbidity between migraine and depression was initially thought to be a function of depression occurring in response to living with disabling headaches, but research now indicates that this relationship is bidirectional, with each disorder increasing the risk for onset of the other (Breslau et al., 1994; Breslau, Lipton, Stewart, Schultz, & Welch, 2003). This bidirectional relationship appears specific to migraine and not other forms of severe headache, potentially indicative of a shared etiology (Breslau et al., 2003; Schur, Noonan, Buchwald, Goldberg, & Afari, 2009). Findings in a twin study by Schur et al. (2009) indicate both disorders have a significant genetic basis and suggest future research should explore genetic vulnerability in the shared pathways of the two disorders. A similar bidirectional relationship has been found between migraine and panic disorder (Breslau et al., 2001), suggesting that a common etiology with migraine may occur across multiple psychiatric disorders. Although the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying this comorbidity are poorly understood, deficient serotinergic

availability, hormonal influences, and processes related to central nervous system sensitization have been implicated (Baskin & Smitherman, 2009).

#### **Role of Alcohol and Substance Use in Migraine**

Despite growing awareness of the prevalence and impact of mood and anxiety disorders among migraineurs, other psychiatric symptoms remain largely unexplored by comparison. Specifically, few studies have examined relations between substance use and migraine, and the limited existing studies have produced mixed findings. An early study by Breslau, Davis, and Andreski (1991) indicated that migraineurs were at greater risk to develop alcohol and drug abuse and dependence disorders than were individuals without headache. By comparison, a study by Saunders, Merikangas, Low, Von Korff, and Kessler (2008) found only drug dependence to be associated with migraine, while the other substance use disorders were not uniquely related to migraine. Similarly, Breslau and Davis (1993) found illicit drug abuse and dependence to be significantly more common in migraineurs, however alcohol abuse and dependence were not.

Other population-based studies have not observed higher prevalence rates of drug, alcohol, and substance use disorders among migraineurs versus nonmigraineurs (Jette, et al., 2008; Merikangas, Angst, & Isler, 1990; Swartz et al., 2000). In the most recent large-scale study on this topic, Jette et al. examined 36,984 individuals who were administered a structured diagnostic interview for psychiatric disorders and self-reported whether they had been diagnosed with migraine by a physician. Results indicated no difference in 12-month prevalence of drug, alcohol, or substance dependence between migraineurs and nonmigraineurs. Differences in findings between the aforementioned studies may be a result of varying adherence to different DSM substance use disorders criteria as well as ICHD-II criteria for migraine. Earlier studies conducted by Breslau et al. and Merikangas et al. utilized DSM-III criteria for diagnosing

substance use disorders, whereas later studies utilized DSM-IV criteria, the latter of which more strictly defines dependence. Furthermore, Jette et al. and Merikangas et al. did not use ICHD-II criteria for migraine to diagnose migraine, which may have contributed to both studies' null findings regarding differences in prevalence rates between migraineurs and nonmigraineurs.

Additionally, the mixed findings regarding comorbid migraine and substance use disorders may be due in part to the debated precipitating nature of substance use, specifically alcohol use, on migraine. A variety of both external and internal factors can precipitate, or "trigger," migraine attacks (Fukui, et al., 2008; Hauge, Kirchmann, & Olesen, 2010; Kelman, 2007; Van den Bergh, Amery, & Waelkens, 1987). Research on migraine triggers has spanned decades, with the limitation that most studies have utilized retrospective recall, in which migraineurs are asked to indentify environmental and physiological factors they believe may have caused previous attacks (Aamodt, Stovner, Hagen, Brathen, & Zwart, 2006; Fukui, et al., 2008; Hauge, Kirchmann, & Olesen, 2010; Ierusalimschy & Filho, 2002; Kelman, 2007; Peatfield, 1995; Peatfield, Glover, Littlewood, Sandler, & Rose, 1984; Spierings, Ranke, & Honkoop, 2001; Van den Bergh, Amery, & Waelkens, 1987). Kelman asked migraineurs to rate the frequency of triggers precipitating their migraine attacks and found that 75.9% of migraineurs reported experiencing migraine triggers, with 40.4% reporting that triggers precipitated migraine occasionally, 26.7% reporting that triggers precipitated migraine frequently, and 8.8% reporting that triggers precipitated migraine very frequently. The most commonly reported triggers were stress (79.7%), hormones in women (65.1%), not eating (57.3%), and weather changes (53.2%); alcohol was reported as a migraine trigger by 37.8% of the sample. Patients with migraine with aura reported having more triggers, including alcohol, than patients with migraine without aura. Van den Bergh, Amery, and Waelkens asked migraineurs

nondirective questions regarding their migraine history and trigger factors and found that 85% of migraineurs identified at least one trigger for their migraines. The most common triggers identified were alcoholic beverages (51.6%), stress (48.8%), and menstruation in women (48%). Patients who endorsed alcohol as a trigger also reported a significantly greater number of other triggers, in particular food-related triggers, than did those who denied alcohol as a migraine trigger. Despite frequent endorsement of migraine triggers, most existing studies on headache triggers inquires only about broad categories of stimuli (e.g., diet/food, environment/weather, sleep) without attempting to parse out specific individual triggers (e.g., types of food/beverage, particular weather conditions, aspects of sleep).

In an attempt to isolate potential differential precipitation of migraine attacks, many studies have made a distinction between alcohol (all forms), clear spirits, red wine, and white wine (Fukui et al., 2008; Littlewood et al., 1988; Peatfield, 1995). Fukui et al. presented 200 migraineurs with a list of common triggers and asked them to select any items they believed triggered their migraine. They found that all migraineurs reported at least one trigger, with the most common being related to diet (e.g., cheese, chocolate, coffee, aspartame, alcohol) (84.5%), sleep (75.5%), environmental factors (e.g., allergy, pollution, wind, rain, changes in weather, odors) (68.5%), and stress (65%). Alcohol and both red and white wine were included in dietary factors. Thirty-four percent of patients endorsed alcohol as a trigger, 19.5% endorsed red wine as a trigger, and 10.5% endorsed white wine as a trigger. Red wine precipitating migraine was significantly more common in women (22.2%) than men (7.9%). Other research relying on retrospective recall has found migraineurs to be sensitive to alcohol (all forms) as a trigger and more sensitive to red wine than white wine or clear spirits (Peatfield, 1995). Contrastingly, an experimental study by Littlewood et al., in which migraineurs with dietary triggers were

randomly assigned to receive either red wine or a disguised vodka mixture, found that 80% of migraineurs who ingested red wine experienced migraine shortly thereafter, compared with none who received the vodka mixture. Although the differences in results of these studies may be partly attributable to differences in research methodology, these discrepancies may indicate that behavioral differences in consumption underlie the effects of alcohol and wine as migraine triggers. For instance, the results of Littlewood et al. suggest that red wine has a greater precipitating effect than alcohol when consumed at the same frequency and quantity. Whether real-world drinking behaviors among migraineurs reflect these patterns remains unexplored empirically.

Even though the majority of studies indicate similar findings regarding the general presence and nature of alcohol-related triggers, reported prevalence rates of alcohol as a trigger range from 6.1% to 51.5%. These wide differences in trigger endorsement may be influenced by medical advice to avoid known triggers (among clinic patients vs. non-treatment seeking samples), differences in regional attitudes towards alcohol, and the retrospective nature of most prior studies (Hauge, Kirchmann, & Olesen, 2010; Karli, Zarifoglu, Calisir, & Akgoz, 2005; Kelman, 2007; Mannix, Frame, & Solomon, 1997; Nicoletti et al., 2008; Panconesi, 2008; Peatfield, 1995; Spierings, Ranke, & Honkoop, 2001; Van den Bergh, Amery, & Waelkens, 1987). In view of limited knowledge concerning the physiological mechanisms involved in precipitation of migraine, research has begun to focus on genetic vulnerability and neural activation in the presence of triggers. One potential link between alcohol and migraine is the presence (or lack thereof) of the alcohol dehydrogenase-2 genotype (ADH2), an enzyme integral to the metabolism of ethanol (García-Martín et al., 2010). Ethanol itself can potentiate neurogenic inflammatory responses (e.g., arterial vasodilation) that release sensory

neuropeptides from trigeminal neurons, and the trigeminovascular system is widely acknowledged to be the central source of migraine pain (Nicoletti et al., 2008). García-Martín and colleagues found a significantly lower prevalence of the ADH2 genotype among patients with migraine than healthy controls, suggesting the absence of this genotype may be associated with increased risk of migraine attacks after alcohol consumption. Continuing research on alcohol as a trigger factor may shed light on the underlying mechanisms involved in migraine attacks, as well as have implications for how to better manage the disorder (Fukui et al., 2008; Panconesi, Bartolozzi, & Guidi, 2011).

#### **Alcohol Use in Migraineurs**

Despite the numerous aforementioned studies indicating a precipitating relationship between alcohol consumption and migraine, little research has been conducted examining relations between specific factors associated with alcohol consumption (e.g., frequency, quantity, type) and clinically-relevant migraine variables beyond a diagnosis (e.g., frequency, intensity, migraine-related disability). Most prior studies exploring the nature of alcohol use among migraineurs have utilized retrospective recall, included large population-based samples not at specific risk of alcohol abuse, and assessed quantity and frequency of consumption only (Aamodt et al., 2006; Molarius, Tegelberg, & Öhrvik, 2008; Yokoyama et al., 2009). For instance, Molarius and colleagues studied migraine in relation to heavy drinking (half a bottle of strong liquor or corresponding amount of wine or beer at the same occasion) and found that 27.8% of female heavy drinkers reported having migraines, compared with 20.6% of women who never drank, although no association between heavy alcohol use and migraine was found for men. Overall, there was an inverse association between heavy alcohol use and migraine, with the prevalence of migraine decreasing as frequency of heavy alcohol use increased (Odds Ratio

[OR] = 1 for non-heavy drinkers, OR = .90 for seldom heavy drinkers, OR = .70 for at least monthly heavy drinkers). Similarly, Aamodt et al. found the prevalence of migraine to be inversely related to units of alcohol consumed over the past 2 weeks (OR = 1 for abstainers, OR= .80 for 1-4 units, OR = .60 for 4-14 units, OR = .50 for > 14 units). Overall, 63% of the sample reported alcohol consumption in the past 2 weeks, with an equal distribution between migraineurs and non-headache controls. Yokoyama et al. (2009) examined specific units of alcohol consumed per day and also found an inverse dose-response relationship for both men (OR of migraine = 1 for non-drinkers, OR = .76 for < 1 unit, OR = .56 for < 2 units, OR = .55for < 3 units, OR = .51 for  $\ge$  3 units) and women (OR = 1 for non-drinkers, OR = .77 for < 1 unit, OR = .72 for < 2 units, OR = .39 for < 3 units, OR = .59 for  $\ge$  3 units). Researchers generally attribute this inverse relationship to migraineurs' avoidance of alcohol, especially in large quantities, because of its potential to trigger an attack as described previously (Aamodt et al., 2006; Molarius, Tegelberg, & Öhrvik, 2008; Panconesi, 2008; Panconesi, Bartolozzi, & Guidi, 2011; Yokoyama et al., 2006).

To better understand alcohol consumption among migraineurs within a clinical setting, Mannix, Frame, and Solomon (1997) reviewed 8 years of medical charts at a specialty headache center and found that 12% of treatment-seeking patients reported drinking more than 1 alcoholic beverage per week. The percentage of migraineurs who consumed alcohol was comparable to the percentage of general medicine patients. Although Mannix and colleagues did not assess quantity of consumption or type of alcohol consumed, their results indicate a lower prevalence of alcohol consumption among treatment-seeking migraineurs than among migraineurs in the general population (31%; Aamodt et al., 2006), perhaps because clinic patients have more severe migraine and receive instructions to avoid common triggers (Fukui et al., 2008; Panconesi,

Bartolozzi, & Guidi, 2011). Further research is needed to better understand relations between alcohol consumption and migraine among different populations, using prospective designs, and assessing the impact of alcohol on other migraine-related variables.

#### **Goals of the Present Study**

The aims of the present study were to assess differences in alcohol consumption between migraineurs and non-migraineurs and to examine relations between alcohol consumption/consequences and specific migraine-related variables. In addition, the current study controlled for depression, anxiety, and gender to determine if there were any significant differences in drinking behaviors even after accounting for these factors.

To better understand the relationship between alcohol consumption and migraine in a non-treatment-seeking community sample, college students served as participants. They are a population of interest due to their high rates of alcohol consumption and binge drinking ( $\geq$  5 drinks in one sitting for men,  $\geq$  4 drinks in one sitting for women) (Hingson, 2010; Hingson, Heeren, Winter, & Wechsler, 2005; Pedrelli et al., 2011). The 2010 National Health Interview Survey found that 56% of young adults regularly consume alcohol, compared to 51% of middle-aged adults and 38% of elderly adults (Department of Health and Human Services, 2011). Over the past decade, binge drinking has increased among college students, with 45.2% of students reporting consuming five or more drinks in an occasion during the previous 30 days (Hingson, 2010). Approximately 70% of college students consume alcohol on at least one occasion in a 30-day period, with 40-50% consuming enough alcohol to be considered binge drinking (Cranford, Eisenberg, & Serras, 2009; Dawson, Grant, Stinson, & Chou, 2004; O'Malley & Johnston, 2002). Despite frequently-reported negative consequences related to alcohol consumption among this population (Hingson, Zha, & Weitzman, 2009), college students tend to report higher levels of

positive consequences (e.g., feeling relaxed, more romantic, more creative, fitting in with people) than negative consequences (e.g., hangover, missing classes, unplanned sex, trouble with police) related to alcohol use, which likely contribute to their increased relative consumption (Park & Grant, 2005; Slutske, 2005). College students' positive perceptions of consequences may be due to the college culture downplaying the seriousness of negative consequences (Slutske, 2005). Further, research on alcohol and headache among this population is scarce, despite their use as research participants in other studies of headache and their high frequency of both alcohol consumption and migraine. Migraine prevalence significantly increases beginning in young adulthood (Lipton, Bigal, Hamelsky, & Scher, 2008), and existing studies suggest that approximately 25% of college students suffer from migraine (Bigal, Bigal, Betti, Bordini, & Speciali, 2001; Smitherman, McDermott, & Buchanan, 2011).

An ancillary goal of the present study was to assess associations between alcohol consumption and headache self-efficacy among migraineurs. Headache self-efficacy refers to the confidence a person has that he or she can take action to prevent the onset of headache episodes and/or manage headache-related pain and disability (French et al., 2000). Such actions may include avoiding known headache triggers or limiting exposure to such triggers. Recurrent headache sufferers with higher headache self-efficacy exhibit fewer passive coping strategies than their lower self-efficacy counterparts, even after controlling for differences on headache severity, frequency, and chronicity (Martin, Holroyd, & Rokicki, 1993). However, self-efficacy differences between migraineurs as a function of alcohol consumption remain unexplored, despite the fact that individuals with higher headache self-efficacy would be assumed to consume alcohol less frequently than migraineurs with low self-efficacy, presumably because high self-efficacy is associated with avoidance of known triggers.

In summary, the current study explored relations between alcohol consumption/negative consequences and migraine-related variables (frequency, severity, disability, and self-efficacy).

### Hypotheses

The following goals and hypotheses were proposed:

*Study Goal 1: To examine differences in drinking behaviors and alcohol-related variables between migraineurs and non-headache controls.* 

Hypothesis 1a: Migraineurs would exhibit specific drinking behaviors that differentiated them from controls, specifically: lower frequency of consumption, lower severity of negative consequences related to alcohol consumption, smaller quantity of consumption, and a lower number of binge episodes.

Hypothesis 1b: These differences in drinking behaviors would remain even after controlling for comorbid anxiety, depression, and gender.

Study Goal 2: To determine if alcohol-related variables are predictive of migraine-related variables.

Hypothesis 2a: Higher frequency of alcohol consumption, greater severity of negative consequences related to alcohol consumption, larger quantity of consumption, and higher number of binge episodes would be significant predictors of increased migraine frequency, severity, and disability.

Hypothesis 2b: High headache self-efficacy would be associated with reduced frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption, number of binge episodes, and severity of negative consequences. Ancillary Study Goal 3: To determine if prospective self-monitoring indicates a precipitating relationship between alcohol and headache. (This was included as an ancillary study goal for analysis in a related project.)

Hypothesis 3: Alcohol consumption as reported prospectively on self-monitoring forms would be a significant trigger of next-day headache.

#### CHAPTER 2

#### METHODS

#### **Participants**

Participants were undergraduate students at a southeastern university who received modest course credit for their participation. Participants included individuals who suffered from migraine headaches and healthy controls who did not suffer from any type of primary headache other than episodic tension-type headache (ETTH). Those who met criteria for migraine as defined by the International Classification of Headache Disorders, Second Edition (ICHD-II; International Headache Society, 2004) were assigned to the migraine group. For reasons related to the ancillary study goal, we attempted to recruit individuals for the migraine group who reported four or more headaches days per month. However, we retained the 16 migraineurs (18.2%) who reported one to three headache days per month on the structured diagnostic interview. Individuals who did not endorse any primary headaches other than episodic tensiontype headache (ETTH) were assigned to the control group. Assuming a moderate effect size ( $f^2 =$ 0.15), a power level of 0.80, and an alpha level of 0.05, a total sample size of 106 participants was required.

#### Materials

Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item Scale. The Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7; Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Lowe, 2006) is a self-report measure of anxiety symptoms reflecting DSM-IV-TR (APA, 2000) diagnostic criteria for generalized anxiety disorder. The measure includes seven items, each with a 4-point Likert-type item response ranging from 0 (Not at all) to 3 (Nearly everyday). Overall scores range from 0-21 with scores 5-9 indicating mild anxiety, scores 10-14 indicating moderate anxiety, and scores  $\geq$  15 indicating severe anxiety. The GAD-7 exhibits good reliability in terms of internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha = .92$ ) and test-retest reliability (r = .82). The GAD-7 has good convergent validity with the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI; Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer, 1988) (r = .72), the Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90; Derogatis, Lipman, Rickels, Uhlenhuth, & Covi, 1974) (r = .74), and other measures of generalized anxiety symptoms (Spitzer, et. al, 2006). Additionally, the GAD-7 is effective at screening for the presence of other anxiety disorders such as panic disorder, social phobia, and posttraumatic stress disorder (Kroenke, Spitzer, Williams, Monahan, & Lowe, 2007). This measure can be found in Appendix A.

Patient Health Questionnaire-9. The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9; Kroenke, Spitzer, & Williams, 2001) is a self-report instrument used to screen for depression among medical patients, as it reflects the nine DSM-IV-TR (APA, 2000) diagnostic criteria for major depressive disorder. The measure consists of nine items, each with a 4-point Likert-type item response ranging from 0 (Not at all) to 3 (Nearly everyday). An overall score of 5 indicates mild depression, a score of 10 indicates moderate depression, a score of 15 indicates moderately severe depression, and an overall score of 20 indicates severe depression. The PHQ-9 has excellent internal reliability (Cronbach  $\alpha = .89$ ) and test-retest reliability (r = .84). Strong construct validity has been shown with the Short-Form General Health Survey (SF-20; Stewart,

Hays, & Ware, 1988) (r = .73), a self-report measure of sick days and symptom-related disability (Kroenke, Spitzer, & Williams, 2001). This measure can be found in Appendix B.

**Migraine Disability Assessment Questionnaire.** The Migraine Disability Assessment Questionnaire (MIDAS; Stewart, Lipton, Dowson, & Sawyer, 2001) is a 7-item self-report measure of headache-related disability. The first five questions ask respondents to quantify the number of days in the past three months that migraine has limited everyday activities (e.g., school/work, home life, social activities). On these five questions, total scores between 0-5 indicate little or no disability, scores between 6-10 indicate mild disability, scores between 11-20 indicate moderate disability, and overall scores  $\geq$  21 indicate severe disability. The final two questions on the MIDAS ask about the frequency and intensity of headaches but are not used to derive the total score. The MIDAS has good internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha = .76$ ) and testreliability (r = .80; Stewart, Lipton, & Sawyer, 1999). High internal consistency and test-retest reliability have been found in both clinical and nonclinical populations (Stewart, et al., 2001; Stewart, Lipton, Kolodner, Liberman, & Sawyer, 1999). The MIDAS also shows strong correlations with physicians' assessments of migraine (r = .69) (Stewart et al., 2001). This measure can be found in Appendix C.

Headache Management Self-Efficacy Scale-25. The Headache Self-Efficacy Scale-25 (HMSE-25; French, et. al, 2000) is a self-report instrument that assesses an individual's confidence in his or her ability to manage headache-related pain. The measure has 25 items, each with a 7-point Likert-type response ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 7 (Strongly Agree). Nine items are reverse-scored. High total scores indicate high belief in one's ability to manage headache-related pain. The HMSE-25 has excellent internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha = .90$ ) and correlates positively with the Headache-Specific Locus of Control Internal subscale (r = .40),

another measure of headache self-management (French, et. al, 2000). This measure can be found in Appendix D.

Daily Drinking Questionnaire. The Daily Drinking Questionnaire (DDQ; Collins, Parks, & Marlatt, 1985) is a self-report measure of frequency of alcohol consumption. The DDQ inquires about typical weekly alcohol use over the past month and heaviest weekly alcohol use over the past 3 months. The measure consists of two tables inquiring about the number of drinks and number of hours spent drinking per day over these two time periods. To assist in calculation of blood alcohol levels, the DDQ also asks about current height and weight of the respondent. The DDQ inquires also about the number of binge episodes for both men and women in the past month, as well as the number of binge episodes in the past month during a span of two hours or less. Overall scores represent the average number of drinks per week as quantified from the weekly use questions. Total scores  $\geq$  12 drinks per week indicate high-volume drinkers, 4-11 drinks/weeks moderate-volume drinkers, 2-3 drinks/week low-volume drinkers, and <1 drink/year abstinent or infrequent drinkers (Collins, Parks, & Marlatt, 1985). The DDQ correlates significantly with the Drinking Practices Questionnaire (Cahalan, Cisin, & Crossley, 1969) (r = .50, p = .001), a longer measure used to assess volume, quantity, and frequency of alcohol consumption. Overall the DDQ is considered a reliable and valid measure of volume, quantity, and frequency of alcohol consumption (Collins, Parks, & Marlatt, 1985). This measure can be found in Appendix E.

**Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index.** The Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index (RAPI; White & Labouvie, 1989) is a self-report measure of negative alcohol-related consequences. The measure includes 23 items, each with a 5-point Likert-type item response (0 = never, 1 = 1-2 times, 2 = 3-5 times, 3 = 6-10 times, 4 = more than 10 times) indicating the frequency of alcohol use or

alcohol-related consequences in the last 28 days. Higher scores indicate more problematic drinking, and total scores  $\geq 8$  imply clinically-significant alcohol-related problems (Neal, Corbin, & Fromme, 2006). The RAPI has excellent internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha = .92$ ) and testretest reliability ranging from .89 to .92 (Neal, Corbin, & Fromme, 2006; White & Labouvie, 1989). Overall the RAPI has moderate convergent validity (White & Labouvie, 1989) and is comparable to the Young Adult Alcohol Consequences Questionnaire (YAACQ; Read, Kahler, Strong, & Colder, 2006) and Personal Experience Screen Questionnaire (PESQ; Orenstein & Davis, 1995), other measures assessing alcohol-related problem behaviors. The RAPI is one of the most commonly used measures for assessing problematic alcohol use with college-aged samples (Holleran & Jung, 2008; Neal, Corbin, & Fromme, 2006; Orenstein & Davis, 1995). This measure can be found in Appendix F.

Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3. The Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3 (ASI-3; Taylor, et. al, 2007) is a self-report instrument that assesses three distinct factors of anxiety sensitivity, or the fear of benign arousal-related physical symptoms due to their presumed negative consequences: physical, cognitive, and social concerns. The measure consists of 18 items, each with a 5-point Likert-type item response ranging from 0 (Very little) to 4 (Very much). High scores indicate higher anxiety sensitivity. The ASI-3 has adequate reliability for the total score ( $\rho = .90$ ), the Physical Concerns subscale ( $\rho = .84$ ), the Cognitive Concerns subscale ( $\rho = .86$ ), and the Social Concerns subscale ( $\rho = .80$ ) (Osman, et al., 2010). Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3 items exhibit good internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha = .88$ ), and the subscales' internal consistency ranges from adequate to good (Physical = .81, Cognitive = .91, Social = .79). The ASI-3 has been validated among both clinical and non-clinical samples, as well as among international samples in Canada, France, Mexico, the Netherlands, Spain, and the United States (Taylor, et. al, 2007).

The ASI-3 shows positive correlations with the Symptom Assessment-45 (SA-45; Osman, et al., 2010), the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS; Osman, et al., 2010), and the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI; Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer, 1988), other measures assessing features of anxiety and psychopathology. This measure can be found in Appendix G.

**Demographic Questionnaire.** The Demographic Questionnaire included questions assessing basic demographic information such as age, gender, and race/ethnic identity, as well as ratings of general health. This measure can be found in Appendix H.

Structured Diagnostic Interview for Headache – Revised. The Structured Diagnostic Interview for Headache - Revised (SDIH-R; Andrew, Penzien, Rains, Knowlton, & McAnulty, 1992) is a well-validated structured diagnostic interview for determining the presence of primary headache disorders, with modifications to comport precisely with current ICHD-II criteria (IHS, 2004), with the exception that the minimum required migraine duration of four hours was reduced to two hours in light of data that younger adult migraineurs often have otherwise prototypical attacks lasting fewer than four hours (Rains, Penzien, Lipchik, & Ramadan, 2001). The SDIH-R consists of 17 items asking about various headache features as well as appendix questions to assess aura symptoms and cluster headache, and to rule out secondary headache causes such as medication overuse and post-traumatic headache. This measure can be found in Appendix I.

**Daily Headache Self-Monitoring Form.** The Daily Headache Self-Monitoring Form adapted for this study (Rhudy, Penzien, & Smitherman, 2009) afforded daily recording of pain intensity levels, disability, stress, sleep variables, medication use, alcohol use, nicotine use, and menstruation among individuals with migraine. The form consists of 7 grids, one for each day of the week. Each grid has 5 rows corresponding to every hour of the day for recording headache,

headache-related disability, stress, sleep, and alcohol. Headache, disability, and stress are each rated on intensity scales ranging from 0 (No) to 10 (Extremely/completely). Respondents record ratings for these variables twice daily. For sleep and alcohol, respondents check each hour box that pertains to the time during which these activities occurred and also record the number of alcoholic beverages consumed and cigarettes smoked since their last headache, disability, and stress ratings (twice daily). Sleep amount and sleep quality are rated once daily. This measure can be found in Appendix J.

#### Procedures

Participants were recruited via campus flyers seeking individuals with migraine and through an online screening survey linked from the Psychology Department website. Individuals reporting migraine symptoms were emailed an invitation to participate in the study. Those who agreed to participate met individually with a clinical psychology graduate student for written informed consent and administration of the SDIH-R for migraine diagnostic confirmation. Participants without migraine or with a headache disorder other than episodic tension-type headache were excluded from the migraine group. Once a diagnosis of migraine was confirmed, participants completed the aforementioned questionnaires and subsequently self-monitored headache and alcohol variables for a 2-week period. They returned at the end of two weeks to turn in the self-monitoring forms and completed the questionnaires a second time. Individuals denying problem headaches or screening negative for migraine on the online screener comprised the control group and provided written informed consent and completed the aforementioned questionnaires did not complete daily self-monitoring).

#### **Statistical Analyses**

In order to test the first hypothesis, which sought to compare migraineurs and controls on specific drinking variables as assessed by data from the DDQ and RAPI, a MANOVA was conducted. A series of univariate follow-up ANOVAs of specific variables from Hypothesis 1a was conducted. Subsequently, a series of univariate follow-up ANCOVAS of variables from Hypothesis 1b were conducted to determine if observed relationships remained after controlling for relevant covariates. In addition to comparing migraineurs and healthy controls on specific drinking variables, the current study sought to "predict" migraine frequency, severity, and headache-related disability using alcohol-related variables. Multivariate regression analyses were conducted to determine the amount of variance in headache frequency (from SDIH-R), severity (from SDIH-R), and disability (MIDAS) predicted by frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption, severity of negative consequences related to alcohol consumption, and number of binge episodes. Subsequent linear regressions, individualized by criterion variable, were then conducted in order to determine the amount of variance predicted by drinking variables from Hypothesis 2a. Additional linear regression analyses were conducted to determine the amount of variance in frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption, severity of negative consequences related to alcohol consumption, and number of binge episodes predicted by headache selfefficacy.

#### CHAPTER 3

#### RESULTS

#### **Participant Demographics and Migraine Prevalence**

Three hundred and twenty participants were candidates for the study based on their response to the flyers or survey responses. Subsequently, 128 were excluded for lack of headache data (20), suspect effort on the screening survey (22), other identified headache diagnoses that could function as confounds (probable TTH = 35, cluster headache = 3, chronic TTH = 2, medication overuse headache = 1, probable migraine = 4, posttraumatic headache = 4, and control participants with identified migraine = 28). Fourteen participants screened positive for migraine but did not meet criteria upon interview and thus were excluded from the study. After deleting nine multivariate outliers (described below), the remaining analyzed sample consisted of 192 young adults (72.9% female) with a mean age of 19.84 years (SD = 3.65). The majority (75.5%) of the sample was Caucasian, 17.7% were African American, 4.2% were Asian, 1.0% were Hispanic/Latino, and 1.6% were of other ethnicities. Regarding migraine, 93 participants (48.4%) met ICHD-II diagnostic criteria for migraine: 87 (93.5%) with episodic migraine and 6 (6.5%) with chronic migraine. Eighty-seven percent of migraineurs were female, and 27 participants (29%) reported experiencing aura. Average headache frequency for migraineurs was 6.72 days/month (SD = 4.74). They reported an average headache-related disability score of

26.49 (SD = 21.49) on the MIDAS and headache self-efficacy score of 97.74 (SD = 21.46) on the HMSE-25. The majority (71.0%) of migraineurs endorsed moderate to severe migraine-related disability on the MIDAS. Fifty-one percent of migraineurs obtained scores (92-115) indicative of moderate self-efficacy on the HMSE-25. Gender distribution significantly differed between migraineurs and controls, such that migraineurs were more likely to be female (87.1% vs. 59.6%, p < .001). As shown in Table 1, migraineurs also obtained significantly higher scores than controls on the GAD-7 (M = 6.40 [SD = 4.27] v. 3.86 [SD = 3.66], p = .034), but only trended toward significance in obtaining higher depression scores on the PHQ-9 (M = 6.42 [SD = 4.66] v. 4.06 [SD = 4.05], p = .10). As proposed initially these variables were controlled for using ANCOVAS in later analyses.

#### **Data Analytic Assumptions**

Histograms and descriptive statistics (i.e., skewness, kurtosis) were used to assess data analytic assumptions for the main total scores of interest (RAPI, DDQ, PHQ-9, GAD-7) and found satisfactory. Levene's test of equality of error variances confirmed that homogeneity of variance was met for all main criterion variables. Migraine and control participants were then assessed for multivariate outliers on the main total scores of interest by group using Mahalanobis distance: nine multivariate outliers (5 control, 4 migraine) were found using a conservative p<.001 cutoff and thus were removed prior to subsequent analyses.

#### **Migraine and Drinking Variables**

As shown in Table 2, migraineurs reported having an average of 6.99 (SD = 9.52) drinks per week (vs. 9.55 [SD = 10.12] for controls), drinking on 1.55 (SD = 1.53) days per week (vs. 1.94 [SD = 1.54] for controls), and engaging in 2.35 (SD = 3.54) binge episodes during the past month (vs. 2.79 [SD = 3.64] for controls). The overall MANOVA comparing groups on specific drinking-related variables was nonsignificant, F(4,181) = 1.603, p = .176, partial  $\eta^2 = .036$ . Although the MANOVA was nonsignificant, an ANOVA was performed on individual items to aid in interpretation. Only scores on the RAPI differed significantly between groups, F(1, 189)= 3.945, p = .048, partial  $\eta^2 = .020$ . However, after controlling for covariates an ANCOVA revealed this relationship to be no longer significant, F(1, 188) = .014, p = .906, partial  $\eta^2$ = .000, with gender accounting for a significant portion of the variance, F(1,188) = 4.002, p= .049, partial  $\eta^2 = .045$ . Group differences on the number of days drinking per week approached significance, F(1, 186) = 3.515, p = .062, partial  $\eta^2 = .019$ . However, an analysis of covariance rendered this relationship to be non-significant, F(1, 186) = 2.834, p = .096, partial  $\eta^2 = .033$ , with PHQ-9 scores accounting for a significant differences were found between groups on number of drinks consumed per week, F(1, 186) = 3.188, p = .076, partial  $\eta^2 = .017$ , or number of binge episodes in the past month, F(1, 190) = .728, p = .395, partial  $\eta^2 = .004$ .

#### **Headache-Related Variables**

In the multivariate regression analysis among the migraineurs only, primary predictor variables (frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption, severity of alcohol-related negative consequences, and number of binge episodes) were entered simultaneously to predict headache frequency, severity, and disability. Using Wilks' criteria, the overall model examining the combined criterion variables was not significant, F(12, 204) = 1.326, p = .206. Individual linear regression analyses (i.e., separate for each criterion variable) controlling for gender, anxiety, and depression were nevertheless run to aid in interpretation. Linear regression analysis confirmed that frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption, severity of alcohol-related negative consequences, and number of binge episodes significantly predicted headache-related disability

as measured by the MIDAS, together accounting for 10.6% of unique variance in disability (p < .05; see Table 3). Within this regression, only the number of binge episodes contributed significantly to headache-related disability, however (p = .007). Despite their relationship with headache-related disability, alcohol-related variables did not predict migraine frequency or intensity. Subsequent linear regression analyses were run to determine if headache-self efficacy was predictive of frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption, negative consequences related to alcohol consumption, and number of binge episodes. Results indicated headache-self efficacy was not a significant predictor of any of these alcohol-related variables (all  $R^2s < 0.2\%$ ).

#### **CHAPTER 4**

#### DISCUSSION

The present study sought to identify associations between alcohol-related behaviors and migraine frequency, intensity, disability, and self-efficacy so as to gain insight into the relationship between alcohol and migraine among non-treatment-seeking young adults. With the first study goal, we examined potential differences in alcohol-related behaviors between migraineurs and healthy controls. With the second study goal, we explored potential relationships between the alcohol-related variables and headache frequency, intensity, and disability. In the third study goal, we investigated whether or not headache self-efficacy was associated with the alcohol-related behaviors reported by migraineurs. The present study also took into account the contributions of gender and depression/anxiety symptomatology in relation to migraine and alcohol consumption. Young adults were chosen as a population of interest because of their high rates of migraine (Bigal et al., 2001; Lipton et al., 2008), alcohol consumption and binge drinking (Hingson, 2010; Hingson et al., 2005; Pedrelli et al., 2011), and psychiatric comorbidities (Kessler et al., 2005; Smitherman, McDermott, & Buchanan, 2011). Conclusions from the current study are consistent with aspects of some previous studies on alcohol use in migraineurs and provide new information regarding specific alcohol-related behaviors and migraine-related variables.

#### **Alcohol Consumption**

Overall, migraineurs did not differ from non-migraine controls in the quantity or frequency of alcohol consumption, the number of alcohol-related negative consequences, or the number of binge episodes in the past month. Our adherence to an a priori power analysis defends against inadequate sample size as a contributing factor to these null findings. Similarities in alcohol consumption between individuals with and without migraine are consistent with a general conclusion of the Aamodt et al. (2006) study that found equal percentages of migraineurs and non-headache controls reporting alcohol consumption within the past two weeks, and provide new findings by highlighting similarities in frequency of consumption. Despite an early study by Breslau and colleagues (1991) that found migraineurs to be at a greater risk for alcohol abuse and dependence disorders compared to non-headache individuals, our findings did not reflect these group differences. Rather, our findings are supported by results of several largepopulation based studies that found no differences in prevalence of alcohol abuse and dependence between migraine and non-migraine controls (Breslau & Davis, 1993; Jette et al., 2008; Takeshima et al., 2004). As such, the bulk of existing evidence does not appear to support the notion that migraineurs are at increased risk for alcohol use problems.

The similarities between groups may be a function of the college environment. Consuming alcohol with peers is a common college experience reported by 70% of undergraduates (Cranford et al., 2009). Students with migraines may not allow their migraines to interfere with this aspect of their college experience and thus drink alcohol despite the fact that they occasion headaches. This argument is strengthened by findings from a study on drinking behaviors and expectations among 231 college students that observed alcohol norms were predictive of alcohol consumption regardless of negative expectations about physiological

impairment related to alcohol use (Wood, Nagoshi, & Dennis, 1992). Another possible explanation may be that alcohol does not trigger these (and perhaps other) migraineurs' headaches and therefore does not directly affect drinking behaviors. Although approximately one-third of migraineurs endorse alcohol as a trigger (Kelman, 2007), studies on alcohol as a trigger for migraine have been conducted predominantly among treatment seeking headache patients. Prevalence rates of alcohol as trigger may be lower among this non-treatment-seeking sample, although this was not specifically queried as part of the present study.

The fact that the number of negative consequences related to alcohol consumption did not significantly differ between the groups could be due to the similar, moderate quantity of alcohol consumption reported by both groups. Moderate levels of alcohol use have been found to be associated with low to moderate negative consequences related to alcohol use (Collins et al., 1985; White & Labouvie, 1989), and overall, both groups reported low to moderate negative consequences. Additionally, migraineurs may have viewed the type of consequences assessed by the RAPI differently than controls. The consequences assessed by the RAPI pertain to interactions with peers, family members, and the law, as well as interference with job and school oriented work. Although migraineurs may have had difficulties regarding job and school performance, they may not have attributed these difficulties to their alcohol consumption. For example, migraineurs might attribute the impairments "Not able to do homework or study for a test" and "Missed a day (or part of a day) of school or work" to their headaches rather than alcohol consumption, and thus endorse this statement on the MIDAS but not the RAPI. Future studies are warranted to confirm this latter hypothesis. In summary, migraineurs did not differ from controls on any of the assessed alcohol-related variables, which confirms results of larger studies and provides new findings regarding specific alcohol behaviors.
#### Migraine Frequency, Intensity, and Disability

While the alcohol-related variables in aggregate did not predict the combination of migraine variables, headache-related disability was predicted by alcohol consumption and negative consequences. This finding indicates that consuming alcohol, especially in large quantities within one sitting, is associated with taking more days off from school/work, decreased productivity, and missing social or leisure activities due to headache. A possible explanation for this finding is that sleep mediates this relationship, such that consuming alcohol leads to poorer sleep (increased slow wave sleep and decreased REM sleep [Ebrahim, Shapiro, Williams, & Fenwick, 2013]), which then affects attendance and performance at work and school. Supporting this notion, Singleton and Wolfson (2009) found that sleep mediated the relationship between alcohol use and academic performance in college students. Students who consumed alcohol reported poorer sleep quality, greater daytime sleepiness, and more missed classes. Similarly, sleep disturbances have repeatedly been shown to be negatively associated with migraines and headache-related disability (Fukui et al, 2008; Kelman & Rains, 2005; Walters, 2011). However, sleep was not assessed as part of the present study but is a needed area for future studies to address.

The lack of a relationship between alcohol-related variables and headache frequency is consistent with findings from a longitudinal study of 307 migraineurs that found no relationship between alcohol consumption and onset of migraine attack or headache frequency (Nicolodi & Sicuteri, 1999). The non-significant relationship between alcohol consumption and headache frequency and intensity may be a function of the type of alcohol consumed. In an experimental study by Littlewood et al. (1988), red wine but not a vodka mixture was found to trigger headaches, indicating that the type of alcohol is a relevant factor. Furthermore, Trotter (1982)

29

found 47.2% of college students regularly drink beer compared to 32.4% drink liquor and 20.4% drink wine, indicating that many college students do not regularly consume the type of alcohol experimentally shown to trigger migraine. Another possible explanation could be that these migraineurs did not drink frequently or regularly enough to influence their headaches. This argument is strengthened by the results of Molarius and colleagues' (2008) study, which found a higher prevalence of migraine among female heavy drinkers than moderate drinkers and non-drinkers. Considering that our headache group consisted predominantly of female moderate drinkers, our results might differ if individuals who drank more frequently had been oversampled.

#### Headache Self-efficacy

Headache self-efficacy refers to the belief that an individual can prevent or manage a headache episode through deliberate behaviors (French et al., 2000). Avoiding known triggers is one action that individuals take to prevent headache episodes from occurring and is often advice given in medical settings (Fukui et al., 2008; Panconesi et al., 2011). For this reason, we expected that headache self-efficacy would be inversely associated with frequency and quantity of alcohol consumption. The lack of this predicted relationship may be a result of the moderate levels of confidence reported by the majority of this sample. While these migraineurs perceive they have the ability to prevent or manage their migraines at times, perhaps their self-efficacy is not high enough for them to believe that altering alcohol consumption would have any beneficial impact on headache. Another possible explanation is that these migraineurs do not believe alcohol causes their headaches, and consequently do not view avoidance of alcohol as a useful prevention strategy. Future research is needed to better understand the potential relationship between headache self-efficacy and alcohol, an area that has not been thoroughly explored.

#### **Limitations and Future Directions**

30

While this study is strengthened by its large sample size, adherence to ICHD-II diagnostic criteria, consideration of comorbid psychological disorders, and assessment of multiple aspects of alcohol-related behaviors, limitations exist. One limitation is the reliance on retrospective reports of alcohol consumption using self-report questionnaires. While adherence to a structured diagnostic headache interview and ICHD-II diagnostic criteria are strengths, daily diary data on alcohol consumption and interview-based diagnoses of alcohol-use disorders would have further strengthened the present study, although assessing alcohol-use diagnoses was not a goal of this study. The aforementioned ancillary study will use self-monitoring data to extend the present findings and test additional hypotheses related to alcohol use and triggering of migraine using time-series analyses. In light of this limitation, future studies seeking to further explore the alcohol-migraine relationship could experimentally manipulate alcohol consumption and assess potential effects on subsequent migraine. Although both the DDQ and RAPI are reliable and valid measures of alcohol use and consequences, respectively, future studies could utilize a longitudinal design to more accurately assess alcohol consumption over a longer period of time. As noted earlier, an additional limitation was that comorbid sleep disorders were not assessed, despite the fact that sleep is often comorbid with and influences alcohol use/problems (Johnson & Breslau, 2001) and that only 11% of college students report consistent good sleep quality (Brown, Buboltz, & Soper, 2002; Buboltz, Brown, & Soper, 2001). Future studies should assess relations between alcohol use and sleep among college migraineurs but incorporate interactions between alcohol and sleep to predict headache occurrence and disability. A final limitation is the unknown generalizability of these findings to older adult migraineurs and treatment-seeking patients. This limitation is a function of our interest in young adult migraineurs due to their disproportionately higher rates of alcohol use/abuse compared to the general population

31

(O'Malley & Johnston, 2002). However, the use of a sample known for reporting alcohol use was beneficial in gaining insight into the potential effects of specific drinking variables on migraine.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aamodt, A. H., Stovner, L. J., Hagen, K., Brathen, G., & Zwart, J. (2006). Headache prevalence related to smoking and alcohol use. The Head-HUNT Study. *European Journal of Neurology*, 13, 1233-1238.
- American Psychiatric Association (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 4<sup>th</sup> edition, text revision* (DSM-IV-TR). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Andrew, M.E., Penzien, D.B., Rains, J.C., Knowlton, G.E., McAnulty, R.D. (1992).
   Development of a computer application for headache diagnosis: The Headache
   Diagnostic System. *International Journal of Biomedical Computing*, *31*, 17-24.
- Antonaci, F., Nappi, G., Galli, F., Manzoni, G. C., Calabresi, P., & Costa, A. (2011). Migraine and psychiatric comorbidity: a review of clinical findings. *Headache*, *12*, 115-125.
- Baskin, S. M., Lipchik, G. L., & Smitherman, T. A. (2006). Mood and anxiety disorders in chronic headache. *Headache*, 46, 76-87.
- Baskin, S. M., & Smitherman, T. A. (2009). Migraine and psychiatric disorders: Comorbidity, mechanisms, and clinical applications. *Neurological Sciences, 30*(Suppl 1), 61-65.
- Berman, N. C., Wheaton, M. G., McGrath, P., & Abramowitz, J. S. (2010). Predicting anxiety: The role of experiential avoidance and anxiety sensitivity. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 24, 109-113.
- Bigal, M. E., Bigal, J. M., Betti, M., Bordini, C. A., & Speciali, J. G. (2001). Evaluation of the impact of migraine and episodic tension-type headache on the quality of life and performance of university student population. *Headache*, 41, 710-719.

Breslau, N., & Davis, G. C. (1992). Migraine, major depression, and panic disorder: A

prospective epidemiologic study in young adults. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 27*, 85-90.

- Breslau, N., & Davis, G. C. (1993). Migraine, physical health, and psychiatric disorder: A prospective epidemiologic study in young adults. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 27, 211-221.
- Breslau, N., Davis, G. C., & Andreski, P. (1991). Migraine, psychiatric disorders, and suicide attempts: an epidemiologic study of young adults. *Psychiatry Research*, *37*, 11-23.
- Breslau, N., Davis, G. C., Schultz, L. R. & Peterson, E. L. (1994). Migraine and major depression: A longitudinal study. *Headache*, 34, 387-393.
- Breslau, N., Lipton, R. B., Stewart, W. F., Schultz, L. R., & Welch, K. M. A. (2003).
   Comorbidity of migraine and depression: Investigating potential etiology and prognosis.
   *Neurology*, 60, 1308-1312.
- Breslau, N., Schultz, L. R., Stewart, W. F., Lipton, R., & Welch, K. M. A. (2001).
  Headache types and panic disorder: Directionality and specificity. *Neurology*, *56*, 350-354.
- Brown, F. C., Buboltz, W. C., & Soper, B. (2002). Relationship of sleep hygiene awareness, sleep hygiene practices, and sleep quality in university students. *Behavioral Medicine*, 28, 33-38.
- Buboltz, W. C., Brown, F. C., & Soper, B. (2001). Sleep habits and patterns of college students. Journal of American College Health, 50, 131-135.
- Burke, K. C., Burke, J. D., Regier, D. A., & Rae, D. S. (1990). Age at onset of selected mental disorders in five community populations. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *47*, 511-518.

Collins, R. L., Parks, G. A., & Marlatt, G. A. (1985). Social determinants of alcohol

consumption: The effects of social interaction and model status on the self-administration of alcohol. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *53*, 189–200.

- Cranford, J. A., Eisenberg, D., & Serras, A. M. (2009). Substance use behaviors, mental health problems, and use of mental health services in a probability sample of college students. *Addictive Behaviors*, *34*, 134-145.
- Dawson, D. A., Grant, B. F., Stinson, F. S., & Chou, P. S. (2004). Another look at heavy episodic drinking and alcohol use disorders among college and noncollege youth. *Journal Of Studies On Alcohol, 65,* 477-488.
- Ebrahim, I. O., Shapiro, C. M., Williams, A. J., & Fenwick, P. B. (2013). Alcohol and sleep I: Effects on normal sleep. *Alcoholism: Clinical & Experimental Research*, *37*, 539<sup>°</sup>-549.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using
   G\*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*,
   41, 1149-1160.
- French, D. J., Holroyd, K. A., Pinell, C., Malinoski, P. T., O'Donnell, F., & Hill, K. R. (2000).
  Perceived self-efficacy and headache-related disability. *Headache*, 40, 647-656.
- Fukui, P. T., Gonçalves, T. R. T., Strabelli, C. G., Lucchino, N. M. F., Matos, F. C., Moreira dos Santos, J. P...Peres, M. F. P. (2008). Trigger factors in migraine patients. *Arquivos de Neuro-psiquiatria*, 66, 494-499.
- García-Martín, E., Martínez, C., Serrador, M., Alonso-Navarro, H., Navacerrada, F., Agúndez, J.
  G., & Jiménez-Jiménez, F. J. (2010). Alcohol dehydrogenase 2 genotype and risk for migraine. *Journal Of Head & Face Pain, 50,* 85-91.

Guidetti, V., Galli, F., Fabrizi, P., Giannantoni, A. S., Napoli, L., Bruni, O., & Trillo, S. (1998).

Headache and psychiatric comorbidity: clinical aspects and outcome in a 8-year followup study. *Cephalalgia*, *18*, 455-462.

- Hauge, A. W., Kirchmann, M., & Olesen, J. (2010). Trigger factors in migraine with aura. *Cephalalgia, 30,* 346-353.
- Heckman, B. D., & Holroyd, K. A. (2006). Tension-type headache and psychiatric comorbidity. *Current Pain and Headache Reports*, *10*, 439-447.
- Hingson, R. W. (2010). Magnitude and prevention of college drinking and related problems. *Alcohol Research & Health, 33,* 45-54.
- Hingson, R. W., Heeren, T., Winter, M., & Wechsler, H. (2005). Magnitude of alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24: Changes from 1998 to 2001. Annual Review Of Public Health, 26, 259-279.
- Hingson, R. W., Zha, W., & Weitzman E. R. (2009). Magnitude of and trends in alcohol-related mortality and morbidity among U.S. college students ages 18-24, 1998-2005. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, Suppl 16, 12-20.
- Holleran, L. K., & Jung, S. (2008). Screening substance use/abuse of middle and high school students. In C. Franklin, M. Harris, P. Allen-Meares, C. Franklin, M. Harris, P. Allen-Meares (Eds.), *The school practitioner's concise companion to health and well-being* (pp. 43-61). New York, NY US: Oxford University Press.
- Hu, H. X., Markson, L. E., Lipton, R. B., Stewart, W. F., & Berger, M. L. (1999). Burden of migraine in the United States: Disability and economic costs. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 159, 813-818.
- Ierusalimschy, R. & Filho, P. F. M. (2002). Precipitating factors of migraine attacks in patients with migraine without aura. *Arquivos de Neuro-psiquiatria*, *60(3-A)*, 609-613.

- International Headache Society. (2004). The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd ed. *Cephalalgia*, 24(Suppl. 1):S1-S151.
- Jelinski, S. E., Becker, W. J., Christie, S. N., Glammarco, R., Mackie, G. F., Gawel,
  M. J...Magnusson, J. E. (2006). Clinical features and pharmacological treatment of
  migraine patients referred to headache specialists in Canada. *Cephalalgia*, 26, 578-588.
- Jette, N., Patten, S., Williams, J., Becker, W., & Wiebe, S. (2008). Comorbidity of migraine and psychiatric disorders: A national population-based study. *Headache*, 48, 501-516.
- Karli, N., Zarifoglu, M., Calisir, N., & Akgoz, S. (2005). Comparison of pre-headache phases and trigger factors of migraine and episodic tension-type headache: do they share similar clinical pathophysiology? *Cephalalgia*, 25, 444-451.
- Kelman, L. (2007). The triggers or precipitants of the acute migraine attack. *Cephalalgia*, 27, 394-402.
- Kelman, L., & Rains, J. C. (2005). Headache and sleep: Examination of sleep patterns and complaints in a large clinical sample of migraineurs. *Headache*, 45, 904-910.
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. W. (2001). The PHQ-9: Validity of a Brief Depression Severity Measure. *Journal for Generalized Internal Medicine*, 16, 606-613.
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., Williams, J. B. W., Monahan, P. O., & Lowe, B. (2007).
   Anxiety disorders in primary care: Prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection.
   *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 146, 317-325.
- Lanteri-Minet, M., Radat, F., Chautard, M., & Lucas, C. (2005). Anxiety and depression associated with migraine: Influence on migraine subjects' disability and quality of life, and acute migraine management. *Pain, 118*, 319-326.

- Leonardi, M., Musicco, M., & Nappi, G. (1998). Headache as a major public health problem: Current status. *Cephalalgia*, 18(Suppl 21), 66-69.
- Lipton, R. B., Bigal, M. E., Hamelsky, S., & Scher, A. I. (2008). Headache: Epidemiology and impact. In S. D. Silberstein, R. B. Lipton, & D. W. Dodick (Eds.), *Wolff's Headache and Other Head Pain* (pp. 45-62). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Lipton, R. B., Hamelsky, S. W., Kolodner, K. B., Steiner, T. J., & Stewart, W. F. (2000). Migraine, quality of life, and depression: A population-based case-control study. *Neurology*, 55, 629-635.
- Lipton, R. B., Scher, A. I., Kolodner, K., Liberman, J., Steiner, T. J., & Stewart, W. F.
   (2002). Migraine in the United States: Epidemiology and patterns of health care use.
   *Neurology*, 58, 885-894.
- Lipton, R. B., Scher, A. I., Silberstein, S. D., & Bigal, M. E. (2008). Migraine
  diagnosis and comorbidity. In S. D. Silberstein, R. B. Liption, & D. W. Dodick (Eds.), *Wolff's Headache and Other Head Pain* (pp. 153-162). New York, NY: Oxford
  University Press.
- Lipton, R. B., Silberstein, S. D., & Dodick, D. (2008). Overview of diagnosis and classification.
  In S. D. Silberstein, R. B. Liption, & D. W. Dodick (Eds.), *Wolff's Headache and Other Head Pain* (pp. 29-34). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Littlewood, J. T., Glover, V., Davies, P.T.G., Gibb, C., Sandler, M., & Rose, F. C. (1988). Red wine as a cause of migraine. *The Lancet*, *331*, 558-559.
- Lyngberg, A. C., Rasmussen, B. K., Jorgensen, T., & Jensen, R. (2005). Incidence of primary headache: A Danish epidemiologic follow-up study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 161, 1066-1073.

- Mannix, L. K., Frame, J. R., & Solomon, G. D. (1997). Alcohol, smoking, and caffeine use among headache patients. *Headache*, 37, 572-576.
- Martin, N. J., Holroyd, K. A., & Rokicki, L. A. (1993). The Headache Self-Efficacy Scale: Adaption to recurrent headaches. *Headache*, *33*, 244-248.
- McIntyre, R. S., Konarski, J. Z., Wilkins, K., Bouffard, B., Soczynska, J. K., & Kennedy,S. H. (2006). The prevalence and impact of migraine headache in bipolar disorder:Results from the Canadian community health survey. *Headache, 46*, 973-982.
- Merikangas, K. R., Angst, J., & Isler, H. (1990). Migraine and psychopathology: Results of the Zurich cohort study of young adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *47*, 849-853.
- Molarius, A., Tegelberg, Å., & Öhrvik, J. (2008). Socio-economic factors, lifestyle, and headache disorders—A population-based study in Sweden. *Journal Of Head And Face Pain, 48*, 1426-1437.
- Neal, D. J., Corbin, W. R., & Fromme, K. (2006). Measurement of alcohol-related consequences among high school and college students: Application of item response models to the Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index. *Psychological Assessment*, 18, 402-414.
- Nicoletti, P. P., Trevisani, M. M., Manconi, M. M., Gatti, R. R., De Siena, G. G., Zagli, G. G., & ... Pini, L. A. (2008). Ethanol causes neurogenic vasodilation by TRPV1 activation and CGRP release in the trigeminovascular system of the guinea pig. *Cephalalgia, 28,* 9-17.
- Nicolodi, M. & Sicuteri, F. (1999). Wine and migraine: Compatibility or incompatibility? *Drugs* Under Experimental and Clinical Research, 25, 147-153.
- O'Malley, P. M., & Johnston, L. D. (2002). Epidemiology of alcohol and other drug use among American college students. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, Suppl 14*, 23-39.

Oedegaard, K. J. Neckelmann, D., Mykletun, A., Dahl, A. A. Zwart, J. A., Hagen, K., &

Fasmer, O. B. (2006). Migraine with and without aura: Association with depression and anxiety disorder in a population-based study. *Cephalalgia, 26*, 1-6.

- Orenstein, A., & Davis, R. B. (1995). Comparing screening instruments. *Journal of Alcohol & Drug Education*, 40, 119.
- Osman, A., Gutierrez, P. M., Smith, K., Qijuan, F., Lozano, G., & Devine, A. (2010). The Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3: Analyses of dimensions, reliability estimates, and correlates in nonclinical samples. *Journal Of Personality Assessment*, *92*, 45-52.
- Panconesi, A. (2008). Alcohol and migraine: trigger factor, consumption, mechanisms. A review. *Journal of Headache Pain*, *9*, 19-27.
- Panconesi, A., Bartolozzi, M. L., & Guidi, L. (2011). Alcohol & migraine—what should we tell patients? *Current Headache and Pain Reports*, *15*, 177-184.
- Park, C. L., & Grant, C. (2005). Determinants of positive and negative consequences of alcohol consumption in college students: alcohol use, gender, and psychological characteristics. *Addictive Behaviors, 30*, 755-765.
- Peatfield, R. C., (1995). Relationship between food, wine, and beer—Precipitated migrainous headaches. *Headache, 35,* 355-357.
- Peatfield, R. C., Glover, V., Littlewood J. T., Sandler, M., & Rose, F. (1984). The prevalence of diet-induced migraine. *Cephalalgia*, 4, 179-183.
- Pedrelli, P., Bitran, S., Shyu, I., Baer, L., Guidi, J., Tucker, D. D., & ... Farabaugh, A. H. (2011). Compulsive alcohol use and other high-risk behaviors among college students. *American Journal On Addictions*, 20, 14-20.
- Peterlin, B. L., Tietjen, G. E., Brandes, J. L., Rubin, S. M., Drexler, E., Lidicker, J. R., & Meng,S. (2009). Posttraumatic stress disorder in migraine. *Headache*, 49. 451-551.

- Pryse-Phillips, W., Findlay, H., Tugwell, P., Edmeads, J., Murray, T. J., & Nelson, R. F. (1992).
  A Canadian population survey on the clinical, epidemiologic and societal impact of migraine and tension-type headache. *The Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences, 19*, 333-339.
- Radat, F., & Swendsen, J. (2005). Psychiatric comorbidity in migraine: A review. *Cephalalgia, 25*, 165-178.
- Rains, J.C., Penzien, D.B., Lipchik, G.L., & Ramadan, N.M. (2001). Diagnosis of migraine: empirical analysis of a large clinical sample of atypical migraine (IHS 1.7) patients and proposed revision of the IHS criteria. *Cephalalgia*, 221, 584-595.
- Read, J.P., Kahler, C. W., Strong, D. R., & Colder C. R. (2006). Development and preliminary validation of the Young Adult Alcohol Consequences Questionnaire, *Journal of Studies* on Alcohol, 67, 169-177.
- Saunders, K., Merikangas, K., Low, N. C. P., Von Korff, M., & Kessler, R. C. (2008). Impact of comorbidity on headache-related disability. *Neurology*, *70*, 538-547.
- Schur, E. A., Noonan, C., Buchwald, D., Goldberg, J., & Afari, N. (2009). Twin study of depression and migraine: Evidence for a shared genetic vulnerability. *Headache, 49*, 1493-1502.
- Singleton, R. A., & Wolfson, A. R. (2009). Alcohol consumption, sleep, and academic performance among college students. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, 70, 355-363.
- Slutske, W. (2005). Alcohol use disorders among US college students and their non– college attending peers. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *62*, 321-327.

Smitherman, T. A., McDermott, M. J., & Buchanan, E. M. (2011). Negative impact of episodic

migraine on a university population: Quality of life, functional impairment, and comorbid psychiatric symptoms. *Headache*, *51*, 581-589.

- Spierings, E. L. H., Ranke, A. H., & Honkoop, P. C. (2001). Precipitating and aggravating factors of migraine versus tension-type headache. *Headache*, *41*, 554-558.
- Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B. W., & Lowe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *166*, 1092-1097.
- Stewart, W., Breslau, N., & Keck, P. E. (1994). Comorbidity of migraine and panic disorder. *Neurology*, 44(10 Suppl 7), S23-27.
- Stewart, W. F., Lipton, R. B., Celentano, D. D., & Reed, M. L. (1992). Prevalence of migraine headache in the United States: Relation to age, income, race, and other sociodemographic factors. *JAMA*, 267, 64-69.
- Stewart, W. F., Lipton, R. B., Dowson, A. J., & Sawyer, J. (2001). Development and testing of the Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) Questionnaire to assess headache-related disability. *Neurology*, 56, 20-28.
- Stewart, W. F., Lipton, R. B., Kolodner, K. B., Liberman, J. N., & Sawyer, J. (1999). Reliability of the migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) score in a population-based sample of headache sufferers. *Cephalalgia*, 19, 107-114.
- Stewart, W. F., Lipton, R. B., & Sawyer, J. (1999). An international study to assess the reliability of the migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) score. *Neurology*, *52*, 988-994.
- Swartz, K. L., Pratt, L. A., Armenian, H. K., Lee, L. C., & Eaton, W. W. (2000). Mental disorders and the incidence of migraine headaches in a community sample: Results from the Baltimore epidemiologic catchment area follow-up study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 57, 945-950.

- Takeshima, T., Ishizaki, K., Fukuhara, Y., Ijiri, T., Kusumi, M., Wakutani, Y., & ... Nakashima,K. (2004). Research submissions population-based door-to-door survey of migraine inJapan: The Daisen study. *Headache, 44,* 8-19.
- Taylor, S., Zvolensky, M. J., Cox, B. J., Deacon, B., Heimberg, R. G., Ledley, D. R., & ...
  Cardenas, S. (2007). Robust dimensions of anxiety sensitivity: Development and initial validation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3. *Psychological Assessment*, 19, 176-188.
- Trotter, R.T. (1982). Ethnic and sexual patterns of alcohol use: Anglo and Mexican American college students. *Adolescence*, *7*, 305-325.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services. (2011). Summary Health Statistics for U.S. Adults: National Health Interview Survey, 2010 [Data file]. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr\_10/sr10\_252.pdf
- Van den Bergh, V., Amery, W. K., & Waelkens, J. (1987). Trigger factors in migraine: a study conducted by the Belgian Migraine Society. *Headache*, 27, 191-196.
- Von Korff, M., Stewart, W. F., Simon, D. J., & Lipton, R. B. (1998). Migraine and reduced work performance: a population-based diary study. *Neurology*, 50, 1741-1750.
- Walters, A. B. (2011). Examining the sleep hygiene behaviors of migraineurs in a university setting. *(Unpublished masters thesis)*. University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi.
- White, H. R., & Labouvie, E. W. (1989). Towards the assessment of adolescent problem drinking. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, *50*, 30-37.
- Wood, M. D., Nagoshi, C. T., & Dennis, D. A. (1992). Alcohol norms and expectations as predictors of alcohol use and problems in a college student sample. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse, 18,* 461-476.

- Yokoyama, M., Yokoyama, T., Funazu, K., Yamashita, T., Kondo, S., Hosoai, H., & ...
  Nakamura, H. (2009). Associations between headache and stress, alcohol drinking, exercise, sleep, and comorbid health conditions in a Japanese population. *Journal Of Headache and Pain, 10*, 177-185.
- Zwart, J. A., Dyb, G., Hagen, K., Odegard, K. J., Dahl, A. A., Bovim, G., et al. (2003).
   Depression and anxiety disorders associated with headache frequency. The Nord-Trondelag Health Study. *European Journal of Neurology*, *10*, 147-152.

APPENDIX

# Table 1

# Group Differences on Psychiatric Symptomatology and Gender

	Migraineurs <i>M (SD)</i>	Controls <i>M (SD)</i>	P Value
PHQ-9 (depression)	6.42 (4.66)	4.06 (4.05)	.105
GAD-7 (anxiety)	6.40 (4.27)	3.86 (3.66)	.034*
Gender (female vs. male)	81 vs. 12	59 vs. 40	.000**

• *p* < .05

• \*\* p < .01 using Chi-squared test

# Table 2

# ANCOVA Results Examining Group Differences on Drinking Variables

	Migraineurs <i>M (SD)</i>	Controls <i>M (SD)</i>	F Value	P value	partial η <sup>2</sup>
Days per week drinking	1.55 (1.54)	1.94 (1.52)	2.834	.096	.033
Drinks per week	7.13 (9.64)	9.37 (10.08)	3.188	.076	.017
Binge episodes in past	2.39 (3.61)	2.63 (3.44)	.728	.395	.004
RAPI total score	3.78 (5.91)	5.32 (6.65)	.014	.906	.000

### Table 3

# Alcohol Variables as Predictors of Migraine Variables

	Englug	P	Dyalua	Model $R^2$ (Adjusted	$U_{\rm minu} = \mathbf{P}^2$
<b>Overall Model 1</b>	1.358	D	.236	.113 (.030)	.046
(Frequency)					
RAPI		092	.529		
Frequency		870	.246		
Quantity		.118	.434		
Number of binges		077	.778		
Overall Model 2 (Intensity)	.921		.495	.075 (006)	.043
RAPI		.026	.556		
Frequency		.251	.271		
Quantity		076	.096		
Number of binges		.092	.266		
Overall Model 3 (Disability)	2.571		.019*	.187 (.115)	.106*
RAPI		-1.159	.067		
Frequency		-2.588	.417		
Quantity		.036	.955		
Number of binges		3.175	.007**		

\**p* < .05 \*\**p* < .01

APPENDIX A: GAD-7

# GAD-7

Over the last **two weeks**, how often have you been bothered by the following problems: (*circle the number that applies to you*)

	Not at all	Several days	More than half the days	Nearly every day
1. Feeling nervous, anxious or on edge	0	1	2	3
2. Not being able to stop or control worrying	0	1	2	3
3. Worrying too much about different things	0	1	2	3
4. Trouble relaxing	0	1	2	3
5. Being so restless that it is hard to sit still	0	1	2	3
6. Becoming easily annoyed or irritable	0	1	2	3
7. Feeling afraid as if something awful might happen	0	1	2	3
If you checked off <u>any</u> problems, how <u>difficult</u> have these problems made it for you to do your work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people?	Not difficult at all	Somewhat difficult	Very difficult	Extremely Difficult
Total (For health professionals only) =				

GAD-7 is from Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Lowe, 2006. Copyright © 2006 Pfizer Inc. Reproduced with permission.

APPENDIX B: PHQ-9

# PHQ-9

Over the last two weeks, how often have	you been bothered	by any of the	following problems:
(circle the number that applies to you)			

	Not at all	Several days	More than half the days	Nearly every day
1. Little interest or pleasure in doing things	0	1	2	3
2. Feeling down, depressed, or hopeless	0	1	2	3
3. Trouble falling or staying asleep, or sleeping too much	0	1	2	3
4. Feeling tired or having little energy	0	1	2	3
5. Poor appetite or overeating	0	1	2	3
6. Feeling bad about yourself—or that you are a failure or have let yourself or your family down	0	1	2	3
7. Trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newspaper or watching television	0	1	2	3
8. Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed. Or the opposite— being so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual	0	1	2	3
9. Thoughts that you would be better off dead, or of hurting yourself in some way	0	1	2	3
If you checked off <u>any</u> problems, how <u>difficult</u> have these problems made it for you to do your work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people?	Not difficult at all	Somewhat difficult	Very difficult	Extremely Difficult
Total (For health professionals only) =				

PHQ-9 is adapted from PRIME-MD®, which is a trademark of Pfizer Inc. Copyright © 1999 Pfizer Inc. Reproduced with permission.

APPENDIX C: MIDAS

## MIDAS

Please answer the following questions about ALL your headaches you have had over the last 3 months. Write in your answer for each question below.

1. On how many days <u>in the last 3 months</u> did you miss work or school because of your headaches?

\_\_\_\_\_ days

2. How many days <u>in the last 3 months</u> was your productivity at work or school reduced by half or more because of your headaches?

(Do not include days you counted in question 1 where you missed work or school.)

\_\_\_\_\_ days

3. On how many days <u>in the last 3 months</u> did you NOT do household work because of your headaches?

\_\_\_\_\_ days

4. How many days <u>in the last 3 months</u> was your productivity in household work reduced by half of more because of your headaches?

(Do not include days you counted in question 3 where you did not do household work.)

\_\_\_\_\_ days

5. On how many days <u>in the last 3 months</u> did you miss family, social or leisure activities because of your headaches?

\_\_\_\_\_ days

6. On how many days <u>in the last 3 months</u> did you have any headache? *(If a headache lasted more than 1 day, count each day)* 

days

7. On a scale of 0 - 10, <u>on average</u> how painful were these headaches? (where 0 = no pain at all and 10 = pain as bad as it can be)

\_\_\_\_\_ days

Lipton et al., 2003, and Stewart et al., 1999, 2003, Reprinted with permission

APPENDIX D: HMSE-25

# HMSE-25

**Instructions**: You will find below a number of statements related to headaches. Please read each statement carefully and indicate how much you agree or disagree with the statement by circling a number next to it. Use the following scale as a guide:

s r	Strongly Disagree	ree Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree		Moderately Agree			,	Strongly Agree				
	1	2	3	4	5		6				7	
1)	I can keep changing	even a <i>bad</i> h the way I respo	eadache from d and to the pain.	lisrupting my da	y by	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2)	When I'm	in some situal	tions, nothing I	do will prevent	headaches.*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3)	I can redu	ice the intensit	y of a headach	e by relaxing.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4)	There are	things I can de	to reduce head	lache pain.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5)	I can prev	ent headaches	by recognizing	headache trigg	ers.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6)	<ul> <li>Once I have a headache there is nothing I can do to control it.*</li> </ul>						2	3	4	5	6	7
7)	<ul> <li>Once I have a headache there is nothing I can do to control it.*</li> <li>When I'm tense, I can prevent headaches by controlling the tension.</li> </ul>						2	3	4	5	6	7
8)	Nothing I	do reduces the	e pain of a head	ache.*		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
9)	If I do certain things every day, I can reduce the number of headaches I will have.						2	3	4	5	6	7
10)	If I can ca	tch a headache	e before it begin	ns I often can ste	op it.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11)	<ul> <li>11) Nothing I do will keep a mild headache from turning into a bad headache.*</li> </ul>						2	3	4	5	6	7
12)	I can pre	vent headache	es by changing	how I respond t	o stress.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13)	I can do th life.	iings to contro	l how much my	/ headaches into	erfere with my	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
14)	I <u>cannot</u> co	ontrol the tens	ion that causes	my headaches.*	k.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15)	I can do th	ings that will	control how los	ng a headache la	asts.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
16)	Nothing I	do will keep a	bad headache	from disrupting	my day.*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

17)	When I'm not under a lot of stress I can prevent many headaches.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18)	When I sense a headache is coming, there is nothing I can do to stop it. $*$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
19)	I can keep a <i>mild</i> headache from disrupting my day by changing the way I respond to the pain.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
20)	If I am under a lot of stress there is nothing I can do to prevent headaches.*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
21)	I can do things that make a headache seem not so bad.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
22)	There are things I can do to prevent headaches.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
23)	If I am upset there is nothing I can do to control the pain of a headache.*	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
24)	I can control the intensity of headache pain.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
25)	I can do things to cope with my headaches.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

APPENDIX E: DDQ

# DDQ

What's a Standard Drink?	The	questions bel	ow ask al	bout your a	alcohol cor	nsumption. T	he first set o	of questic	ons ask abo	uta	
Contraction of the	typic	<u>al</u> week of d	rinking ov	ver the <u>pas</u>	<u>t month,</u> a	nd the second	set ask abo	ut your <u>h</u>	leaviest we	ek of	
	drink	cing over the	past <u>3-m</u>	onths.							
One 12 oz.											
can, bottle,	1) Fo	or the past m	<u>onth,</u> fill	in for eacl	h calendar	day the numb	er of standa	rd drinks	s you <u>usual</u>	ly drink	
or glass of	01	n that day <u>du</u>	ring a ty	pical weel	s, and the i	number of hou	irs over whi	ch you c	onsume thi	s amount	
beer	(i	.e., the time	from 1 <sup>st</sup> si	ip to last si	p). When	we say one di	rink, we me	an 12 oz	of beer, 5	oz. of	
	w	wine, or 1.5 oz, of hard liquor (see picture on the left). Malt liquor is stronger than regular beer so									
A	01	one 40 oz. Malt Liquor beverage such as Colt 45 counts as 5 standard drinks. Fill in an amount for									
	ea	ach of the 7 d	lays. If y	ou do not i	vpically d	rink on a give	n dav. fill ir	0 for th	at day.		
One 5 oz.		Dav	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday		
glass of		# of	ounday	intoliday	Tuesday	wednesday	Thursday	maay	Gaturday		
wine	1	# 01									
- All and a second		urniks									
		usually									
	}	consumed									
		# of hours									
One mixed											
drink											
containing		2) Next, 1	till in for	each calen	dar day th	e number of s	tandard drir	iks you h	ad on that	day <u>during</u>	
one shot of	l	your hea	viest dri	nking wee	k over the	e past 3 mont	hs, and the	number	of hours ov	er which	
лцаол	{	you cons	umed that	t amount.						_	
		Day	Sunda	y Monda	y Tuesda	y Wednesda	y   Thursda	y   Frida	y Saturda	У	
		# of drinks						1			
		during									
		heaviest							1		
		week									
		# of hours								-	
		L				1		•			
		3) Please	provide t	the followi	ng inform	ation which is	necessary i	to estima	ite		
		your blo	od alcoho	level							
			What is v	our curren	t weight?	lb	s.				
					0.00						
		• •	What is y	our height	? Feet	Inches					

### QUESTIONS FOR MALES ONLY

- I. <u>IN THE PAST MONTH</u> How many times have you had 5 or more drinks (in one sitting or occasion) times
- 2. How many times IN THE PAST MONTH have you had 5 or more drinks in 2 hours or less? \_\_\_\_\_ times

#### QUESTIONS FOR FEMALES ONLY

1. <u>IN THE PAST MONTH</u> How many times have you had 4 or more drinks (in one sitting or occasion) times

2. How many times IN THE PAST MONTH have you had 4 or more drinks in 2 hours or less? \_\_\_\_\_ times

APPENDIX F: RAPI

# RAPI

**Instructions:** Indicate (by circling) if any of the following have happened to you during the last 28 days while you were using alcohol, or because of your alcohol use. When marking your answers, us the following code:

0 = never $1 = 1-2 times$ $2 = 3-5 times$ $3 = 6-10 times$ $4 = 10$	more than 10 times
1. Not able to do your homework or study for a test	01234
2. Got into fights, acted bad or did mean things	0 1 2 3 4
3. Missed out on other things because you spent too much money on alcohol	01234
4. Went to work or school drunk	0 1 2 3 4
5. Caused sharne or embarrassment to someone	0 1 2 3 4
6. Neglected your responsibilities	0 1 2 3 4
7. Relative avoided you	0 1 2 3 4
8. Felt that you needed MORE alcohol than you used to use in order to get the same effect	01234
9. Tried to control your drinking by trying to use only at certain times of the day or certain places	0 1 2 3 4
10. Had withdrawal symptoms, that is felt sick because you stopped or cut down drinking	01234
11. Noticed a change in your personality	01234
12. Felt you had a problem with alcohol	01234
13. Missed a day (or part of a day) of school or work	0 1 2 3 4
14. Tried to cut down or quit drinking	01234
15. Suddenly found yourself in a place you could not remember getting to	01234
16. Passed out or fainted suddenly	01234
17. Had a fight, argument, or bad feeling with a friend	01234
18. Had a fight, argument, or bad feeling with a family member	01234

<ol><li>Kept drinking when you promised yourself not to</li></ol>	0	1	2	3	4
20. Felt you were going crazy	0	1	2	3	4
21. Had a bad time	0	1	2	3	4
22. Felt physically or psychologically dependent on alcohol	0	l	2	3	4
23. Was told by a friend or neighbor to cut down on drinking	0	1	2	3	4

APPENDIX G: ASI-3
#### ASI-3

Please circle the number that best corresponds to how much you agree with each item. If any items concern something that you have never experienced (e.g., fainting in public), then answer on the basis of how you think you might feel *if you had* such an experience. Otherwise, answer all items on the basis of your own experience. Be careful to circle only one number for each item and please answer all items.

		Very little	A little	Some	Much	Very
1.	It is important for me not to appear nervous.	0	1	2	3	4
2.	When I cannot keep my mind on a task, I worry that I	0	1	2	3	4
	might be going crazy.				-	•
3.	It scares me when my heart beats rapidly.	0	1	2	3	4
4.	When my stomach is upset, I worry that I might be	0	1	2	3	4
	seriously ill.				-	
5.	It scares me when I am unable to keep my mind on a	0	1	2	3	4
	task.					-
6.	When I tremble in the presence of others,	0	1	2	3	4
	I fear what people might think of me.					
7.	When my chest feels tight, I get scared that I won't be	0	1	2	3	4
	able to breathe properly.					
8.	When I feel pain in my chest, I worry that I'm going	0	1	2	3	4
	to have a heart attack.					
9.	I worry that other people will notice my anxiety.	0	1	2	3	4
10	When I feel "spacey" or spaced out I worry that I may	0	1	2	3	4
	be mentally ill.					
11	. It scares me when I blush in front of people.	0	1	2	3	4
12	. When I notice my heart skipping a beat, I worry that	0	1	2	3	4
	there is something seriously wrong with me.					
13	. When I begin to sweat in a social situation,	0	1	2	3	4
	I fear people will think negatively of me.					
14	. When my thoughts seem to speed up, I worry that I	0	1	2	3	4
	might be going crazy.					
15	. When my throat feels tight, I worry that I could choke	0	1	2	3	4
	to death.					
16	. When I have trouble thinking clearly, I worry that	0	1	2	3	4
	there is something wrong with me.					
17	. I think it would be horrible for me to faint in public.	0	1	2	3	4
18	. When my mind goes blank, I worry there is something	0	· 1	2	3	4
	terribly wrong with me.					

Source: Taylor, S. et al. (2007). Robust dimensions of anxiety sensitivity: Development and initial validation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3. Psychological Assessment, 19.

APPENDIX H: DEMOGRAPHIC QUESTIONNAIRE

### **Demographic Questionnaire**

Please tell us a little bit about yourself. This information is of course completely confidential.

Age:\_\_\_\_\_

Sex:\_\_\_\_\_(male/female)

Race: (*check one*)

Caucasian
Caucasian
Afro-American
Latin American/Hispanic
Asian

Other

What was your highest level of education? (*check one*)

\_\_\_\_\_ did not finish high school

\_\_\_\_\_ graduated high school

\_\_\_\_\_ some college/university

\_\_\_\_\_ completed university

\_\_\_\_\_ post-graduate degree

Marital status: (*check one*)

\_\_\_\_\_ single (never married)

\_\_\_\_\_ married

\_\_\_\_\_ divorced/separated

widowed

Please rate your overall health: (check one)

excellent very good good fair poor

In the <u>past 3 months</u>, how many days of <u>work</u> have you missed because of illness or feeling poorly?

\_\_\_\_\_ days or \_\_\_\_\_ I am not currently employed

In the <u>past 3 months</u>, how many days of <u>school</u> have you missed because of illness or feeling poorly?

\_\_\_\_\_ days

In the <u>past 3 months</u>, how many days were you unable to carry on your usual function at home because of illness or feeling poorly?

\_\_\_\_\_ days

In the <u>past 3 months</u>, about how many medical visits have you made (include visits to your regular doctor, specialists, and Urgent Care or Emergency Room)

\_\_\_\_\_ visits

Are you currently taking any medications for a psychiatric condition, or seeing a mental health practitioner?

\_\_\_\_\_Yes \_\_\_\_\_No

Are you currently being treated for any psychiatric condition by a physician or by a mental health practitioner?

Yes No

Please rate your **current** level of anxiety and depression using the following scale: (*circle one number on EACH scale*)

	Not	ne	Very	little	N	1odera	<u>ate</u>	Seve	ere	<u>Unbearable</u>		
Feelings of Anxiety	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Feelings of <b>Depression</b>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

Has a physician ever diagnosed you with migraine headaches?

\_\_\_\_\_Yes \_\_\_\_\_No

If you have been diagnosed with migraines before, on average how many migraines headaches do you have each month?

\_\_\_\_\_ migraine headaches per month

APPENDIX I: STRUCTURED DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW FOR HEADACHE

### Structured Diagnostic Interview for Headache – Revised (Brief Version)

Patient Name:		Age:	Sex: F	М			
Patient ID:	Interviewer:		Date:	1 1			
ne following items are selec DIH). The SDIH is part of oftware for data entry and di selected recurrent, benigr agnostic criteria. Optimal us miliarity with the computer s	ted from the long version of the the Headache Evaluation and agnostic decision-making. These headaches according to both se of this interview requires exp oftware and manual that accomp	Structured Dia Diagnostic S materials an IHS (2004) pertise with the pany the interv	agnostic Inte System (HE e intended t and Ad Hoo ie diagnostio riew.	erview for DS) whic o facilitate c Commiti c classific	Headache h includes diagnosis tee (1962) ations and		
1. Does the patient get r <i>(Complete a sepa</i>	nore than one type of headacher	Yes	No <i>he</i> ) Head	dache #1	#2 #3		
2. Select all pain location	is that apply to this type of head	ache: (You mu	st check at l	east one)	-		
trontal (A)	_ temporal (B) _ occipital (C	) 📋 orbital (	D) ∐ sup —	oraorbital (	E)		
3. Select all that apply:	☐ top of head (F) ☐ base of	of neck (G)	nasal/fac	ial (H)			
A What is the intensity of					F C G		
	n pain that the patient experience	es with a typic		;;(	indicate rating	g irom 0-10)	
0 1 No Extremely	2 3 4 Slightly Mildl	5 y	6 Painful	7	8 Very	9	1
Pain Painful	Painful Painf	ul			Painful		

5. Which of the following symptoms are a "predominant feature" of this headache type (presume that the headache is untreated)?

Pain Location (Select only one): Unilateral Not Unilateral

Pain Features (Select only one): Pulsating Pressing/Tightening (non-pulsating) Other
<ol> <li>How often does the patient experience this type of headache pain? d w m y (Indicate frequency in x per day, week, month, or year)</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>7. How long have these headaches been occurring at this rate? months years</li> <li>8. What is the total number of this type of headache ever experienced: □ 1 □ 2-4 □ 5-9 □ ≥10 (Indicate total number experienced)</li> </ul>
9. How long does this headache last <u>if untreated or unsuccessfully treated</u> ? (If patient falls asleep and wakes up without headache, duration of attack is until waking up. Check unremitting if patient reports never experiencing headache less than 7 days in duration). (Indicate duration in <u>minutes</u> , <u>h</u> ours, or <u>d</u> ays)
Unremitting OR
m h d Typical Average m h d Typical Minimum m h d Typical Maximum
10. Has anything about this headache (except freq.) changed in the last 6 months?
11. Is the patient's typical <u>headache pain</u> aggravated by routine physical activities (i.e., walking, lifting, bending, etc.)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
12. Do any of the following symptoms occur with this headache?
<ul> <li>Loss of appetite/Anorexia</li> <li>Headache worsened by conversational noise levels (phonophobia)</li> <li>Headache worsened by normal light (photophobia)</li> <li>Nausea (Indicate intensity)</li> <li>Mild</li> <li>Moderate</li> <li>Severe</li> <li>Vomiting (Indicate intensity)</li> <li>Mild</li> <li>Moderate</li> <li>Severe</li> </ul>
13. Does the patient ever experience symptoms <u>before</u> this headache pain begins? ☐ Yes ☐ No If <u>YES</u> , and if any of the reported symptoms provide evidence of focal cerebral cortical, and/or brainstem dysfunction, complete <b>Appendix 1</b> If <u>NO</u> , skip to #14
14. Does this headache have severe unilateral orbital, supraorbital, and/or temporal pain, and/or does the interviewer suspect a cluster-type headache? Yes No If <u>YES</u> , complete Appendix 2 If <u>NO</u> , skip to #15
15. Does the patient use any medications to relieve headache pain? ☐ Yes ☐ No If <u>YES</u> , complete #15a, #15b, #15c If <u>NO</u> , skip to #16
15a. How long has the patient been using the medication(s) to relieve headache pain? d w m y ( <i>Indicate duration in <u>d</u>ays, <u>w</u>eeks, <u>m</u>onths, or <u>v</u>ears)</i>
15b. What is the frequency of medication use?days per weekdays per month times per day
15c. Did this headache develop or markedly worsen during medication overuse?
16. Is this headache related to any head injury or trauma? ☐ Yes ☐ No If <u>YES</u> , complete <b>Appendix 4</b> If <u>NO</u> , skip to #17

17. Is this headache suspected to be attributed to a physical or other neurological disorder? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

## **APPENDIX 1**

### **Migraine Aura Symptoms**

1. How many aura attacks has the patient experienced?

2. What best describes the aura symptoms? (Select all that apply)

At least one aura symptom develops gradually over more than 4 minutes, <u>AND/OR</u> 2 or more symptoms occur in succession over 4 minutes

Each aura symptom lasts longer than 4 minutes but less than 60 minutes

Headache begins during aura **OR** follows aura with a headache-free interval of less than 60 minutes

3. Indicate which of the following aura symptoms are present during this type of headache: (Select all that apply)

Χ	SYMPTOM	X	SYMPTOM
	Partial loss of sight (scotoma)		Uncoordinated movements (ataxia)
	Scintillation		Dizziness (vertigo)
	Blurred vision		Ringing in ears (tinnitus)
	Fortification spectra (zig-zag lines)		Decreased hearing acuity
	Double vision		Decreased level of consciousness
	Tingling or numbness (paresthesias)		Aphasia or unclassifiable speech
	Weakness (paresis)		Poorly articulated speech (dysarthria)
	Other:		Other:

**APPENDIX 2** 

### **Cluster Headache Symptoms**

1. Have the headaches occurred in cluster periods? ☐ Yes ☐ No If <u>YES</u> , complete #1a If <u>NO</u> , skip to #2
1a. What is the total number of cluster periods experienced?
1b. What is the duration of cluster periods? d w m y (Indicate duration in days, weeks, months, or years)
2. Are the headaches separated by remission periods?
2a. What is the duration of remission periods? d w m y (Indicate duration in days, weeks, months, or years)
<ul> <li>3. Indicate which of the following symptoms are present, as well as side affected, during this type of headache:</li> <li>(Select all that apply)</li> <li>72</li> </ul>

x	SYMPTOM	SID	E	x	SYMPTOM	SIDE
	Red eyes (conjunctival injection)	R	L		Forehead and facial sweating	R L
	Tearing of the eyes (lacrimation)	R	L		Pupillary constriction (miosis)	R L
	Nasal congestion	R	L		Drooping eyelids (ptosis)	R L
	Runny nose (rhinorrhoea)	R	L		Eyelid swelling (oedema)	R L
	Restlessness or agitation				Other:	

APPENDIX 3	Medication-Overuse Headache Symptoms											
1. Has the patient withdrav If <u>YES</u> , complete If <u>NO</u> , skip to #2	wn from the overused medication?  Yes No e #1a and #1b											
1a. Did headache reso overused m ☐ Yes ☐	lve or revert to its previous pattern within 2 months after discontinuation of redication? No											
1b. Has medication ove reverted ba	1b. Has medication overuse ceased within the last 2 months, but headache has not resolved or reverted back to its previous pattern?											
2. Has intake of ergotamin occurred on 2 of months <i>(Must no</i> If <u>YES</u> , indicate	he, triptan, opioid <u>OR</u> combination of ergotamine, triptan, opioid, or analgesic r more days per week, for 10 or more days per month, for greater than 3 of have combination overuse of any single class alone)? Yes No drug(s): ergotamine triptan opioid analgesic											
3. Has the patient's intake per month, for g If <u>YES</u> , indicate	of analgesic occurred on 2 or more days per week, for 15 or more days reater than 3 months?  Yes No drug:											
4. Has the patient's intake more days per n	of combination analgesics occurred on 2 or more days per week, for 10 or nonth, for greater than 3 months?											
If <u>YES</u> , indicate	drugs:											
5. Has the patient's intake on a regular bas If <u>YES</u> , indicate	of medication other than ergotamine, triptan, analgesic, or opioid occurred sis for greater than 3 months? ☐Yes ☐ No drug:											

# APPENDIX 4 Post-Traumatic Headache Symptoms

1. Was there a loss of consciousness associated with head trauma?
1a. What was the duration of unconsciousness? m h d (Indicate duration in minutes, hours, or days)
<ol> <li>Is head injury attributed to whiplash? ☐ Yes ☐ No</li> <li>If <u>YES</u>, skip #5 through #8</li> <li>If <u>NO</u>, complete #3 through #8</li> </ol>
3. Did headache develop within 7 days after head trauma (or after regaining consciousness)?
<ul> <li>4. How long has the headache continued? (Select most representative category)</li> <li>Resolves within 3 months after head trauma</li> <li>Persists for greater than 3 months after head trauma</li> <li>Persists but 3 months have not passed since head trauma</li> </ul>
5. Did coma develop? ☐ Yes ☐ No If <u>YES</u> , indicate severity on Glasgow Coma Scale: ☐ GCS <13 [moderate/severe] ☐ GCS ≥13 [mild]
6. Did post-traumatic amnesia develop and continue for longer than 48 hours? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
7. Did symptoms/signs develop diagnostic of a concussion?
8. Were abnormal neuroimaging results attained suggestive of a traumatic brain lesion?

©2007 Donald B. Penzien, Emily J. Bartley, Jamie L. Rhudy, & Jeanetta C. Rains, Reprinted with permission

APPENDIX J: DAILY SELF-MONITORING FORMS

#### DAILY HEADACHE SELF-MONITORING FORM

NAME:

PATIENT ID NUMBER

DIRECTIONS: <u>Two times each day</u>, please rate your headache intensity, disability level, and stress using the rating scales below. Mark the times that you were sleeping or drinking alcohol by coloring (or putting x) in the boxes. You may indicate ½ hour increments by coloring ½ of a box (or use slash). Also, record ratings of sleep amount and sleep quality, and whether you are menstruating. Answer questions underneath the boxes pertaining to alcohol and cigarette use.

	HEADACHE INTENSITY		DISABILITY		STRESS		SLEEP		SLEEP
10	EXTREMELY PAINFUL My headache is so painful that I can't do	10	COMPLETELY IMPAIRED (Bedrest)	10	EXTREMELY			6	QUALITY
9	anything.	9		9		10	TOO MUCH	10	EXCELLENT
ő	VERV DÁINELII Nu haadarka makas sasaratarian diffauti	8	SEVERELY IMPAIRED	8	VERY	9		9	
~	but I can perform demanding tasks.	17	SEVERCET IN FILLED	Ĩ,		8		8	VERY GOOD
-	DANGU	12	NODEDATELY MEMORED		MODERATELY	7		7	
6	PAINFULMy headache is painfut, but I can continue	0	MODERATELT IMPAIRED	2	MODERATELT	6		6	GOOD
5	what I am doing.	5		5		5	PERFECT	5	
4	MILDLY PAINFUL I can ignore my headache most of the time.	4	MILDLY IMPAIRED	4	MILDLY	4		4	FAIR
3		3		3		1		3	
2	SLIGHTLY PAINFUL	2	MINIMALLY IMPAIRED	2	SLIGHTLY	Lž.		2	POOR
1	attention on it.	1 1		1		14		1.	FOOR
ò	NO HEADACHE	0	NO IMPAIRMENT	0	NO STRESS		TOOLITTIE	Ľ	VERY BOOR
		ľ		8 -		10	100 LITTLE	<b>1</b>	VERTPOOR

WEEKLY MEDICATION LIST (AND AMOUNT):

	DATE:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7p	<b>q</b> 8	9p	10p	11p	TEMP
1	HEADACHE:																									
5	DISABILITY:																								2600	Y - N
AQ.	STRESS:																									SLEEP
Z.	SLEEP:																									AMOUNT
MG	ALCOHOL:																								and a second	
	and the second second second second second	ab all	A Sector	a stat						1000	í Íra				N	100000	hall			12	1.000	1000		the s		SLEEP
	ating 1: How many alco ating: 12-oz bee	rs	can	4-i	oz gl	asse	nacis sofi	since wine	the	iast		ating	<u> </u> : r	1:	nany 2-oz	been	non S	, uni	4-0	ave j z gia	asse	s of v	vine	une	ast	QUALITY
1.1	1 ½ oz shots of lie	quor											1 1/2	oz sh	ots	of liq	uor									
<u>ا ﷺ</u>	low many cigarettes ha	ve ye	ou sr	noke	d sir	nce th	ne las	st rat	ing?	-	<u>Дн</u>	own	nany	ciga	rette	s hav	ve yo	ou sn	noke	d sin	ce tr	ne las	it rati	ing?		a shipping
	DATE	-		1303	10000	1-1-1-1-1-1	CALCUNE.	2.5, 10.04	CALIFORNIA (	10100	14112	10000	1220314	1000	2014-77	NON/GUZ	ecology	in the second	and	BANE A		Section and	601940	and the second s	24.5	TEMP
		12a	1a	Za	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	120	10	2p	3p	40	50	6p	70	98	90	10p	110	
	HEADACHE:										-	-					-	<u> </u>		_				-		MENSES
NA.	DISABILITY:	-			<u> </u>		-						·												-	<u>Y-N</u>
SC	SIRESS.	-				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>							<u> </u>		_		1			$\vdash$	SLEEP AMOUNT
2	SLEEP:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>						<u> </u>					<u> </u>							
同	ALCOHOL	North State	414.7	C-NED	1068-0	Postaciality	10.000004	065329740	SPACE	distribution of	SALKURZ.	COMPANIE OF	-	CONVERSION OF	40.500	151054	1.53.00	1	1000	1.2754	BIX N	-	100	No. of Lot	CONVERSE	
F	lating 1: How many alc	oholi	c dri	nks	have	you	had	since	the	last	IF	ating	12:1	low r	nany	alco	oholi	c dri	nks h	nave	you	had :	since	the	last	SLEEP
in the second se	ating:12-oz bee	rs.	_	4-	oz gl	asse	s of	wine			ī	ating		1	2-oz	beer	S		4-	oz gl	asse	sof	wine			COLLIN
1 1 1	1 ½ oz shots of li	quor		nokr	d eir	aco fi	ha la	et roi	ina?	,	ī	low r	1 ½ nany	oz si ciga	rette	of lic s ha	uor ve v		noke	d sir	ncet	he la	st rat	ina?		Total Designation
<u> </u>	ow many eigenetics ne			none		ide u		stra	ang.		<u></u>			oige			,								_	
	DATE:	12a	1a	2a	3a	43	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	120	10	20	30	4n	5n	60	70	80	9p	10	110	TEMP
	HEADACHE		<u> </u>		T	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	T		1	T	1	T	T	T	T	T		the second se
AY	DISABILITY:	<del>.</del>	-					-				$\vdash$		-			$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$		+	1	1	$\vdash$		MENSES
00	STRESS:						-	-	-	<u> </u>	-					ſ			1					1		T - IN
ιщ	CIEED.	-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	-		-		-	+	<u>+-</u>	1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	1	1	1	<u> </u>	1	-	AMOUNT
6		-				<del> </del>		-	-	-	+-	<u> </u>					+		-		+	+	-	+	+	
2	ALCOHOL.	1255	6 (n. 64	43152	2016	1	1.1.1.1		1413	- in-	ALC: N		in site	1	5 63.6	20.5	10.40	ALC: N	100	17.23	Seaa	1000年後	1. 1999	12.0	The setting a	S. WERE READS
	Rating 1: How many alc	oholi	c dri	nks	have	you	had	since	e the	last		ating	g 2: I	How	man	y alc	ohol	ic dri	inks	have	you	had	sinc	the	last	SLEEP
į.	ating:12-oz bee	rs		_4	oz gi	lasse	s of	wine			al. r	ating	- <del>7</del>	1	2-02	bee of F	rs		4	oz g	lasse	es of	wine			
1	low many cigarettes ha	quor ive v	ous	noke	ed si	nce f	he la	st ra	tina	,	激ー	low	man	/ ciaa	arette	es ha	yuor ive v	ou si	moke	ed si	nce t	the la	st ra	tina	?	Contraction of the
NIC	now many cigarettes have you shick the last rating?										a start and a surface															

©2009, Jamie L. Rhudy, Ph.D., Donald B. Penzien, Ph.D., & Todd A. Smitherman, Ph.D.

NAME: DIRECTIONS: <u>Two times each day</u>, please rate your headache intensity, disability level, and stress using the rating scales below. Mark the times that you were sleeping or drinking alcohol by coloring (or putting x) in the boxes. You may indicate ½ hour increments by coloring ½ of a box (or use slash). Also, record ratings of sleep amount and sleep quality, and whether you are menstruating. Answer questions underneath the boxes pertaining to alcohol and cigarette use.

HEADACHE INTENSITY	DISABILITY	STRESS	SLEEP	SLEEP	
10 EXTREMELY PAINFUL My headache is so painful that I can't do	10 COMPLETELY IMPAIRED (Bedrest)	10 EXTREMELY	AMOUNT	QUALITY	
9 anything	9	9	10 TOO MUCH	10 EXCELLENT	
8 VERY PAINFUL	8 SEVERELY IMPAIRED	8 VERY	9	9	
7 but I can perform demanding tasks.	7	7	8	8 VERY GOOD	
6 PAINFUL	6 MODERATELY IMPAIRED	6 MODERATELY	7	7	
5 what I am doing.	s	5	6	6 GOOD	
4 MILDLY PAINFUL	4 MILDLY IMPAIRED	4 MILDLY	5 PERFECT	5	1
3	3	3	4	4 FAIR	
2 SLIGHTLY PAINELI	2 MINIMALLY IMPAIRED	2 SUCHTLY	3	3	
<ol> <li>Fonly notice my headache when I focus my attention on it</li> </ol>		1	2	2 POOR	
			1	1	
V NO READAGRE		U NO SIRESS	0 TOO LITTLE	0 VERY POOR	

100	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	0.0000	5554650-0	2601263	1	1.1.1	2572	Berlink.	(stern)	医内侧侧	100.595	1000 C	1. 1. 1.	100/05 21	9427859	145940	110004	100000	100,045	的西方的	19796	1999/19613	3-624-954	1000	CHARGE RECT	PLACE AND A COMM
	DATE:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7p	8p	9p	10p	110	TEMP
12.2	HEADACHE:																									
A	DISABILITY:		_																						1000	Y-N
SD	STRESS:																									SLEEP
R	SLEEP:																									AMOUNT
Ĩ	ALCOHOL:																									
R	ating 1: How many alco	holic	: drin	ks h	ave y	you h	ad s	ince	the I	ast	Ra	ting	2: H	ow n	any	alcol	holic	drin	ks h	ave y	ou h	ad si	ince	the l	ast	SLEEP
a ra	ting:12-oz been 1 % oz shots of lig	s. uor		_ 4-0	z gla	isses	s of v	vine			ra	ting:	1 1/4 0	12	2-oz i ots c	beers	; 		_4-0	z gla	sses	ofw	ine			QUALITY
iii H	ow many cigarettes hav	ve yo	u sm	oked	l sin	ce th	e las	t rati	ng?	_	Ho	w m	any	cigar	ettes	s hav	e yo	u sm	okec	sinc	e th	e las	t ratii	ng?		100
195	ALX PROPERTY OF A DATA STRATE	10000	Fall Min	0.01.929	10.11.10	2014 80 00	2025010	9909300	10000100	49.5cm	F1	NR:233	MESS/104	(7.9%) S.10	SPERIO S	0.014/1-01	San tes	Weight	olos add	UNAVAEVO	001404	3010203	Contract of the	NO-40	(196.8949)	Colorado a colorado
1.	DATE:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2D	3D	4p	5p	6p	7p	8p	9p	10p	11p	TEMP
1.1	HEADACHE:					_																	$\square$			
	DISABILITY:																									MENSES
NA N	STRESS:																									SIFFP
RE	SLEEP:																-		<u> </u>							AMOUNT
<u>II</u>	ALCOHOL:																									
	ting 4: Law many also	halis	ALC: N	e ove		142216	162.20			th Tables			No de la	1.1.1	語名言語	1200	increased	1000	化化的	1000	1.42	64523	No.	12/13	St. Sec.	ALLANDING
	aung i: now many arco	e	; ani	iks n	ave j z dis	you r	nad s	ince	the	ast	R R	ting	<u>_</u> : н	ow n	any	aico	none	arın	iks n	ave y	out	had s	ince	the I	ast	QUALITY
	1 % oz shots of lig	uor		_ 4-0	ing Pha	19969	, 01 1	PILIE			1ª	ung.	1 1/4	17 sh	ots o	oeers of line	unr		_ 4-0	z gia	ssea	SOLA	nne			
iii н	ow many cigarettes hav	/e yo	u sm	oked	d sin	ce th	e las	t rati	na?		H	ow m	any	cigal	ettes	s hav	e vo	u sm	oked	t sind	ce th	e las	t rati	na?		
		-									н														the second se	<ul> <li>International in production</li> </ul>
323	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	176-684	88754625	10000	100000	AND STREET	( second second	10000	6.49.9	10,000	0.00000	20123403	a an	ug saga	Distant and	- And the second second	1846 200	100.00	14 A.	1.000	91944A	100.647	10000-0	100000	Pales marries	Avenue Mater
	DATE:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7p	8p	9p	10p	11p	TEMP
Value 14	DATE: HEADACHE:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7p	q8	9p	10p	11p	TEMP
DAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7¢	q8	9p	10p	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N
RUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7¢	q8	9p	10p	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP
ATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7¢	8	9p	10p	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT
SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL:	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p	3p	4p	5p	6p	7p	<b>q8</b>	9p	10p	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT
SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many abor	12a	1a	2a	3a	4a	5a	6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a	12p	1p	2p alco	3p	4p	5p	6p	7p	8p	9p	10p	11p	TEMP TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP
a la	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting: 12-oz been 1 % oz shots of lig	12a	1a	2a	3a ave y	4a you l	5a nad s	6a ince vine	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a 	12p	1p nany 2-oz	2p alco been	3p holic	4p	5p	6p ave y	7p //	8p had s	9p	10p	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP QUALITY
표 312 SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco tting:12-oz beer 1 % oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes haw	12a holic s uor ye yo	1a	2a hks h 4-0	3a ave y ave y az gla	4a you I	5a nad s	6a ince vine	7a the	8a last	9a	10a	11a 2: H	12p	1p nany 2-oz nots c	2p alco been of liq s hav	3p holic s uor re yo	4p	5p hks h 4-c	6p ave y z gla	7p //ou l	8p ad s	90	10p	ast	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP QUALITY
E SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz beer 1 % oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes haw	12a holic s uor /e yo	1a c drin	2a nks h 4-0	3a ave y z gla	4a you I sset	5a nad s s of v	6a ince vine	7a the	8a	9a	10a	11a 	12p	1p nany 2-oz ots o	2p alco been of liq s hav	3p holic s uor re yo	4p drir u sm	5p	6p ave y z gla	7p you l sset	8p ad sof v	9p ince vine	10p	ast	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP QUALITY
SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco tting:12-oz been 1 ½ oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes hav	12a holic s uor /e yo	1a c drin u sm	2a hks h  nokec	3a ave y z gla 3a	4a you I sset ce th	5a nad s s of v e las 5a	6a iince vine t rati	7a the l	8a last	9a	10a ating ting: ow m	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( aany 11a	12p	1p nany 2-oz rette:	2p alco been of liq s hav	3p holid s uor re yo 3p	4p c drir u sm	5p hks h 4-c	6p ave y z gla d sin	7p you l sset	8p nad s s of v ne las	9p ince vine st rati	10p the l	ast	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP QUALITY
E SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting: 12-oz been 1 ½ oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes hav DATE: HEADACHE:	12a holic s uor /e yo	1a c drin u sm	2a hks h 4-0 2a	3a ave p z gla d sin 3a	4a you I ssses ce th	5a mad s 5 of v e las	6a iince vine st rati	7a the ing? 7a	8a	9a	10a ating ting: 10a	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( any 11a	12p	1p nany 2-oz tots c rette:	2p alco been of liq s hav 2p	3p holic s uor 3p	4p drin u sm	5p hks h 4-c	6p ave y z gla d sin	7p /ou1 sset	8p had s s of v e las	9p ince vine st rati	10p the l	ast	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP QUALITY TEMP
Y I I I I I SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting: 12-oz been 1 % oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes hav DATE: DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY:	12a holid s uor /e yo	1a c drin u sm	2a hks h 4-o nokec	3a ave y z gla 3a	4a you I ssses 4a	5a nad s s of v e las 5a	6a ince vine t rati	7a the ing?	8a 	9a	10a	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( iany 11a	12p	1p many 2-oz nots o rette	2p alco been of liq s hav	3p holid s uor yo 3p	4p c drir u sm	5p hks h 4-c	6p ave y z gla 6p	7p you l sset	8p had s s of v ae las	9D ince vine st rati	10p the l	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP QUALITY TEMP MENSES Y - N
DAY I I I I I SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz been 1½ oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes hav DATE: DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS:	12a holic s uor /e yo	1a c drin u sm	2a hks h 4-0 nokec	3a ave y z gla 3a	4a you I asses ce th	5a nad s s of v e las	6a iince vine 6a	7a the ing?	8a	9a	10a ating ting: 10a	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( any 11a	12p	1p nany 2-oz rette:	2p alco been of liq s hav 2p	3p holid s uor ye yo 3p	4p c drir u sm	5p hks h 4-c	6p ave y z gla d sin	7p you 1 isset	8p had s s of v e las	9p	10p the l ng?	ast	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP QUALITY TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP
JNDAY EI ala SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz beer 1% oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes haw DATE: DATE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP:	12a holid s uor /e yo	1a	2a hks h 4-o nokec	3a ave y z gla 3a	4a	5a mad s s of v e las	6a ince vine 6a	7a	8a	9a	10a	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( iany 11a	12p	1p nany 2-oz tots o rette:	2p alco been of liq s hav	3p holic s uor ye yo	4p 4p 4p 4p 4p	5p	6p ave y z gla 6p	7p you l asset	8p ad s of v e las	9p ince vine 9p	10p	ast	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP QUALITY TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT
SUNDAY I I I I I SATRUDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz beer 12-oz beer 	12a holid s uor 22a	1a	2a hks h 4-o nokee	3a ave y z gla 3a	4a	5a nad s 5 of v e las	6a ince vine 6a	7a the 7a	8a	9a	10a ating ting: Dow m	11a 2: H 1 ½ (c) any 11a	12p	1p many 2-oz tots o retter	2p alco been of liq 2p	3p holid s uor ye yo 3p	4p	5p hks h 4-c boken	6p	7p //ou1 //ou1 //ou1	8p had s s of v e las	9p	10p	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP QUALITY TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT
SUNDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz beer 1% oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes hav DATE: DATE: DATE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL:	12a holid s uor /e yo	1a	2a	3a ave y z gla 3a	4a	5a nad s 5 of v e las	6a iince vine 6a	7a the 7a	8a	9a	10a ating ting: ow m 10a	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( aany 11a	12p	1p many 2-oz tots o retter	2p alco been of liq 2p	3p holid s uor //e yo 3p	4p c drir u sm	5p	6p ave y z gla d sin	7p ////////////////////////////////////	8p nad s s of v æ las	9p	10p	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP QUALITY TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT
IN SUNDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz beer 1% oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes hav DATE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco	12a holid s uor /e yoo 12a	1a c drin 1a	2a hks h 4-o 2a 2a	3a ave y z gla 3a 3a	4a you I asses ce th 4a	5a nad s o f v e las 5a	6a ince trati	7a the 7a 7a	8a last 8a last	9a	10a ating 10a 10a	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( aany 11a 2: H	12p	1p	2p alco been of liq s hav 2p	3p holid	4p drin 4p drin 4p	5p	6p ave y z gla d sin	7p	8p had s	9p	10p the	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP QUALITY TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP QUALITY
aia SUNDAY	DATE: HEADACHE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz been 1½ oz shots of liq ow many cigarettes haw DATE: DISABILITY: STRESS: SLEEP: ALCOHOL: ating 1: How many alco ting:12-oz been 1½ oz shots of liq	12a holid s uor 12a 12a holid s uor	1a c drin 1a	2a hks h 4-o 2a 2a	3a ave y 3a 3a 3a ave y z gla	4a you I asses	5a mad s 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a 5a	6a ince vine 6a ince vine	7a the 7a 7a the	8a last 8a last	9a	10a	11a 2: H 1 ½ ( any 11a 2: H	12p	1p	2p alco been of liq s hav 2p	3p holics suor apholics	4p c drin 4p	5p hks h 5p	6p ave y z gla 6p	7p you l sset	8p and s s of v are las 8p and s s of v	9p	10p 	11p	TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP QUALITY TEMP MENSES Y - N SLEEP AMOUNT SLEEP QUALITY

©2009, Jamie L. Rhudy, Ph.D., Donald B. Penzien, Ph.D., & Todd A. Smitherman, Ph.D.

#### VITA

### Rachel E. Davis, M.A.

2012 Barry Cove	Phone: 205.520.4977
Oxford, MS 38655	Email: <u>redavis2@go.olemiss.edu</u>

#### **EDUCATION**

B.A. Psychology, <i>summa cum laude</i> : University of Alabama	2010
B.A. English, <i>summa cum laude</i> : University of Alabama	2010
PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS	
Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies (ABCT) Student Member	2011 – Present
American Psychological Association (APA)	2009 -
Present	
Student Member	
HONORS AND AWARDS	
Psi Chi National Honor Society in Psychology	2009
Sigma Tau Delta National Honor Society in English	2009
Emerson R. Loomis English Scholarship	2009
Lambda Sigma National Honor Society - Fundraising	2007 - 2008
Committee Chair	
Alpha Lambda Delta National Honor Society	2007
Phi Eta Sigma National Honor Society	2007
Knights of Columbus Women's Auxiliary Scholarship	2006
Lucy Blankenship Piper Memorial Scholarship	2006 - 2010
University of Alabama Alumni Association Scholarship	2006 - 2010

#### PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

#### **Poster Presentation**

- **Davis, R. E.,** & Smitherman, T.A. (2012). Anxiety sensitivity as a predictor of headache frequency and disability among individuals with episodic migraine and tension type headache. [Published abstract]. *Headache, 52,* 911. Poster presented at the annual convention of the American Headache Society, Los Angeles, California.
- **Davis, R. E.,** McDermott, M. J., Smitherman, T. A., Gratz, Kim. L., & Tull, M. T. (2011, November). *Migraine among Substance Dependent Individuals in Residential Substance Abuse Treatment.* Poster presented at the annual convention of the Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies, Toronto, Canada.
- Walters, A. B., Davis, R. E., Hamer, J. D., Townsend, E. A., Blann, K. R., Schulenberg, S. E., & Smitherman, T. A. (2011, November). *Relations between migraine, psychological variables, and meaning in life in a college population.* Poster presented at the annual convention of the Association for Behavioral and Cognitive Therapies, Toronto, Canada.

Walters, A. B., Smitherman, T. A., Davis, R. E., Townsend, E. A., Hamer, J. D., & Blann, K. R. (2011, June). Sleep hygiene and psychiatric comorbidity in episodic migraineurs.
Poster presented at the annual convention of the American Headache Society, Washington, DC.

#### Paper Presentations and Panel Discussions

- Titcomn, C., Brodsky, S. L., Nagle, J., Guadagno, R., & **Davis, R. E.** (2012, March). *From First Impressions to Verdict: Predictive Pathways in Mock-juror Decision-making*. Paper presented at the annual convention of the American Psychology Law-Society, San Juan, Puerto Rico.
- Schulenberg, S. E., **Davis, R. E.,** & Magee, L. J. (2011, June). *Logotherapy and psychological assessment: Integrating theory with research and practice.* Paper presented at the Eighteenth World Congress on Viktor Frankl's Logotherapy, Dallas, TX.
- Barnes, R. C., Rogina, J. M., Hutzell R. R., Schulenberg, S. E., Wimberly, C., Winters, M., Davis, R. E., Magee, L. J., & Schnetzer, L. W. (2011, June). Clinical Colloquium at the Eighteenth World Congress on Viktor Frankl's Logotherapy, Committee Member, Dallas, TX.

#### **EDITING AND REVIEWING**

Ad Hoc

#### **CLINCAL EXPERIENCE**

#### The Baddour Center, Senatiobia, MS (2011 – Present)

Individual and group cognitive-behavioral therapy for adults living in a residential facility for individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

### **University of Mississippi Psychological Services Center**, *Oxford*, *MS*, (2011 – Present) Individual cognitive-behavioral therapy for adult community outpatients with Axis I and II disorders.

# **University of Mississippi Clinical-Disaster Research Collaborative**, *Oxford*, *MS*, (2010 – 2011)

Worked with the Mississippi Department of Mental Health to collect information regarding the services funded through the Gulf Oil Spill Behavioral Health Grant Program. Examined a broad range of issues, such as stress, anxiety, self-efficacy, purpose in life, and environmental concern, in addition to directly assessing how individuals were affected by the Gulf Oil Spill.

#### **References:**

Todd A. Smitherman, Ph.D. University of Mississippi Department of Psychology 302D Peabody Building University, MS 38677 (662) 915-1825 tasmithe@olemiss.edu