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# COST STANDARDS IN SHOE MANUFACTURING 

A Necessary Guide to<br>Profit-Making Management

In considering the subject assigned to me, I seriously doubted that it would be possible either to entertain or to instruct such a group of men as yourselves, unless I could bring some new conception of what costs and cost standards should mean to management. With this in mind, I departed somewhat from my original plan and possibly from the expectations of your executive officers, and decided not to go into the detail of manufacturing costs.

For material in regard to this general subject I refer you to an address I made before the National Association of Cost Accountants, on the Use of Standreds in Shoe Manufacturing, which was published in its Year Book for 1922. Your secretary can tell any of you who are interested where this book can be obtained.

In that paper I took for illustration a modern factory manufacturing a medium-priced line of men's welt shoes, and detailed: (1) the process of establishing standards for cost purposes, (2) the method of ascertaining loss or gain on materials, labor and burden, and (3) the necessary accounting practice required to establish perpetual inventories and monthly statements of loss or gain on trading. I commend this article to you for reading, because it explains a plan which, with minor changes, has been successfully operated for many years by a considerable number of shoe manufacturers. It has stood the test of time.

To take up now the first of the points that I wish to discuss todayI think the average manufacturer has laid too much stress on the use of costs as a basis for determining selling prices, when, as a matter of fact, costs should be used primarily to determine the base below which there is no profit.

In determining selling prices, we are prone to overlook the fact that there are certain fixed expenses which do not fluctuate, whether the volume of production be large or small. Consequently, the higher the volume of sales above this line of fixed expenscs, the greater the resulting proportion of profits.

Each manufacturer should try to obtain at least such a volume of business as will pay the fixed expenses of operating his plant, plus the variable expenses which rise in proportion to the volume of production. The accompanying stop-loss chart illustrates this idea, and you can apply it by substituting your own figures for those shown.


A further suggestion is that in a business like shoe manufacturing, profits should be figured on the cost of labor and burden alone, and exclusive of the cost of materials. The difference in manufacturing cost between shoes of the same grade is principally in the materials used; consequently, if you include in burden a proper carrying charge for materials, it is logical to figure profits only on the conversion cost, or manufacturing effort. These two suggestions lead toward standardization of
the practice of cost figuring for the industry as a whole, so that a uniform plan may be adopted which will apply equally to large or small plants, manufacturing either staple or novelty lines.


I want to emphasize the statement that the figuring of profits on conversion cost alone, is the only logical basis in such lines as most of you men are producing. Where but one kind and grade of material is used, the argument is not important.

Furthermore, I am convinced that a budget program can be developed in any business so that the management will have an intelligent financial plan, and know what expenditures will have to be made each month, and what receipts are to be expected from various sources. The requirements for fixed capital and working capital can be determined, and steps taken to provide the funds necessary to operate the business successfully, without tying up too much capital in inventories.

Budgetary control will never take the place of administration and management. Its purpose is not to deprive executives of the freedom of
ORGANIZATION CHART
SHOE MANUFACTURING

> BOARD or DIRECTORS
> EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
> GENERAL MANAGER
ACCOUNTING STATISTICS
CREDITS \&
COLLECTIONS PISBURSEMENTS
FILES \&
SRLES
TREE \& PACK
action essential to progressive management, but rather to provide information on which to base administrative decisions and administrative control.

It is generally recognized that there must be a clear definition of functional responsibilities and duties before budgetary control can be truly effective. The business must be divided into its logical departments, and the scope of each must be understood.

In the organization chart submitted herewith, I have endeavored to indicate a logical grouping of functions for a typical shoe factory, while realizing that in the administration of these functions the size and the character of the business will affect the grouping to some extent.

I think this organization chart is fairly typical. It brings out the three major functions, financing, manufacturing and sales, which must be co-ordinated in order to work out any practical budget plan. We cannot ask the Sales Department to present a budget of what it expects to sell, and go ahead and develop our budget program on that basis alone. We must co-ordinate what the Sales Department thinks it can sell with what the factory is able to manufacture, for those plants which operate from the sales point alone are never in the same profit-making class with others which co-ordinate factory capacity with sales effort.

The finances of the business must also be co-ordinated with the sales and manufacturing program. Consequently, the development of a budget program must include detailed estimates of expenses from each department so that the Financial Department may determine its ability to finance the entire plan.

Some of you may question certain of the functional groupings shown on the chart, and yet it is fairly typical. It brings into the sales group those functions which are peculiarly sales functions. It brings into what may be called the factory office, something which you may not have recognized but which we feel is essential-that is, the combining of cost accounting and production planning under one able head.

In constructing the budget the first thing for management to determine is the amount of net profits that should be earned on the invested capital. In other words, if a concern desires to make $20 \%$ on its invested capital of $\$ 500,000$, its net profits before taxes must amount to $\$ 100,000$. If profits are calculated as $25 \%$ of the comhined labor and burden cost, then the volume of sales necessary to produce $\$ 100,000$ of profit is equivalent to $\$ 400,000$ of labor and burden. If the average cost per pair for labor and burden is $\$ 1.33$, then the yearly output must be 300,000 pairs, or 1,200 pair a day on a basis of 250 working days.

In preparing the budget program it should be borne in mind that this volume of production is the minimum that will provide the desired profit. It sets a mark which must at least be equalled in the final correlation of the budget estimates.

It seems to me that this determination, first of all, of the profit that must be earned, gives the logical measuring stick for the whole budget program. If a manufacturer will determine the amount of profit he should earn in order to pay a proper return to his stockholders on the invested capital, and provide for some surplus in keeping with the risk involved, he has a definite basis for judging the effectiveness of the budget estimates of both the sales and the manufacturing departments. He then knows the minimum point to which he can afford to reduce profits for the sake

of increased volume, and he has a definite control by which to judge the effectiveness of the whole budget program, both in its inception and in its operation.

The next step in the budget program is to secure a series of estimates from all divisions of the business to cover a period of three or possibly six months. The budget period should not be shorter than the merchandise turnover period of the business. These estimates include:

> Sales
> Production
> Purchases
> Labor cost
> Manufacturing expense
> Plant and equipment
> Administrative expense
> Selling expense
> Funds necessary to finance this program.

The next step is to combine these figures into a working program based on proper correlation of all activities of the business. As an illustration, the manufacturing and sales divisions must adjust their estimates so that the volume of business for each style or class is in line with both sales possibilitics and manufacturing capacity. Finally, the whole estimate must be in line with the possible financing.

Scientific management, as I understand it, is management based on facts. Cost records provide the only means I know of for visualizing the facts pertaining to every activity of a business, so that the management can gauge its accomplishments.

Through the development of standard costs it is possible to prepare monthly statements of operations and financial condition. These main statements and supporting details provide comparisons with the budget or estimated program, by months and by items, and show how close each department of the business is coming to expectations. The causes for variances are indicated in these statements, so that steps can be taken to correct either the budget or the conditions, whichever may be at fault.

The diagram of cost and accounting structure, which I am presenting to illustrate this practice, shows (1) the elements of cost that are to be used in making up an estimate, (2) the method of accounting for the actual cost of the elements consumed each month, and (3) the relation of loss or gain on estimates to the statement of trading loss or gain for the month.

In my article on cost standards, published in the 1922 Year Book of the National Association of Cost Accountants, I explained the establishing of standard measures for materials, standard costs for materials, standard costs for labor and standard costs for burden-the three elements which make up the manufacturing cost-but I want to add just a word on that score in passing.

Direct materials include those items which are figured individually in the shoe. The estimate sheet includes the direct materials at estimated cost, based on cutting allowances and on predetermined standards for soles, heels and other parts. Each month the Cost Department summarizes the value of the direct materials used, at estimated and at actual cost; and the summaries carry into Work-in-Process the estimated cost, and to loss
or gain on material standards the difference between the estimated and the actual costs. In this manner we set up Work-in-Process on the basis of standard costs, so that the account can be inventoried at any time on the basis of standard costs; and we show in the section for Loss or Gain on Standard Costs, as it appears on the comparative statement at the right of the diagram, the difference between the actual and the estimated costs.

Labor and burden summaries are worked out in the same manner, the idea being that the comparative statement of trading loss or gain shall show the gross profit based on the sales. at standard cost of sales, less or plus the adjustments of normal costs due to manufacturing losses or gains for the month.

This loss or gain on estimates is accumulated by departments, on summary cheets, which show both the actual and the estimated costs of materials, labor and burden consumed, for the month, and to date. These statements show the management how successfully the factory is maintaining its program, and they indicate tendencies which are affecting the actual profits of the business.

I have included a Comparative Statement of Burden as an illustration, because burden, more than any other factor of cost, is misunderstood and commonly misapplied.

In developing burden standards it is necessary to ascertain a normal working capacity of the plant and, in some cases, of each department of the plant. This normal is based on the capacity of the equipment tempered by good judgment. In other words, if maximum capacity is 1,500 pairs a day but the normal sales and factory budget calls for 1,200 pairs, then 1,200 pairs is the normal basis on which burden rates should be determined. If the factory produces more than 1,200 pairs, there will be a resultant overearned burden; if it produces less than 1,200 , an unearned burden. Morcover, the actual cost of certain burden items will be more or less than the amounts estimated, so that a gain or loss on estimates will result.

The theory is that burden should be figured on a capacity basis which will produce the lowest practical cost, and that in times of sub-normal production the unit cost of goods should nevertheless remain at the normal, and the unearned burden should be deducted from the profits.

The burden sheet used as an illustration, shows in the first column the standard monthly burden. This is based on an estimate of what each of the items should cost, and results from a careful analysis of the particular business. Included therein are indirect labor, supplies, and one or two other items, which make up the direct charges. All of these are items which a foreman can affect. The next group (steam, power, compensation insurance, general burden, machine rentals, and fixed charges) also includes certain items you can discuss advantageously with foremen; but when you get down to fixed charges, it is a question how much any foreman can help.

The total, $\$ 10,888$, represents the monthly standard burden. For the same period the direct labor, on which burden should be figured, amounted to $\$ 27,220$, and resulted in a rate of $40 \%$ on the direct labor. This we have used as the standard burden rate.

In the month of January, we show the actual burden expenses, item by item, in comparison with the standard, and in the next column, the gain or loss. Each month the burden earned is calculated and entered in comparison with the actual expenses, and the difference appears at the foot of each month's column as gain or loss on burden standard.

| ANALYSIS | $\frac{\text { Period Ended }}{\text { Standard }}$ | $\text { Jan. } 28,1922$ <br> Amount Gain | $\text { Feb. } 25$ Amount | $\frac{1922}{\text { Galn }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indirect Labor | 2,652 | 2,889 237\% | 2,764 | 112* |
| Supplies | 463 | 44914 | 475 | $349 *$ $12 *$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Repairs to Buildinge | 250 | 273 23* | 191 | 59 |
| Repairs to Machinery and Equipment | 400 | 32179 | 363 | 36 35 116 |
| Total Direct Charges | $\overline{3,765}$ | $\overline{3,932} \overline{167}$ | 3,793 | 284: |
| Steam (Heat \& Procese) | 343 | 387 44* | 404 | 195* |
|  |  |  |  | 105* |
| Power | 252 | 270 18* | 283 | 31* |
| Compensation Insuranoe | 85 | $905 *$ | 87 | 49** |
|  |  |  |  | 7* |
| General burden | 3,208 | 2,815 393 | 2,973 | 235 |
| Machine Rentals | 200 | 1964 | 208 | 628 |
|  |  |  |  | 43 |
| Fixed Charges | 3,035 | 3,035 | 3,035 | - |
| Total Burden | 10,888 | 10,725 163 | 10,783 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | 268 |
| Burden Earned |  | 9,188 1,700 | 10,734 | $1,854^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Burden Unearned |  | 1,537*1,537* | 49* | $\begin{array}{r} 49 * \\ 1,586 \% \end{array}$ |
| Rate $=40 \%$ of Direct Labor |  |  |  |  |
| Direct Labor Charged to Cost | \$27,220 | 22,970 4,250* | 26,835 | $\begin{array}{r} 385 * \\ 4,638^{*} \end{array}$ |

This, in my opinion, is one of the most important of the summary sheets, because the manufacturer with this record before him can tell positively the effect of manufacturing burden on profits from month to month.

In establishing a burden rate it is customary to use that factor which most nearly represents the time consumed in producing a given unit. In industries like machine-tool building, the machine hour is generally used. In shoe manufacturing, if but one type and quality is produced, a burden rate per pair is acceptable; but where there is a wide difference in types and qualities, a percentage of the direct labor cost is more accurate. The reason for this is that practically all labor is piece work and, if rates are properly set, fairly represents the value of elapsed time, so that a rate based on the percentage of total manufacturing burden to the total direct labor spreads the burden charge with reasonable accuracy. Under this plan the shoe which involves the most labor carries the greatest burden.

## Assets

```
Current Assets
    10 Cash in Banks 忽000.00
    11 Ofrice Fund 000.00
    15.1 Accounts Receivable - Customers 000.00
    15.3 Suspense Accounts Recoivakle 000.00
                                Total 000.00
            15.5 Less Hesorve for Doubtful
                        Accounts OOO.CO
                            Not Custoners Accounts Receivabl
    16 Personal Accounts Receivable 000.C0
    17 Notes Receivable
    18 R. R. Clainis
    19 Accounts Receivoble - Vendors
                    Totel Curront Assets
                00.00
        000.c0
        000.00
    OON.co
    00r.ce
Inventories
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 20 & Factory Ledser - Schedulo A-] & 000.00 & \\
\hline 32 & Insurance Unoxpired & 0 m .00 & \\
\hline 33 & Mercantile Service Prepaid & 000.09 & \\
\hline 34 & Advanced Commission & 000.00 & \\
\hline 35 & Interest Prepaid & 000.00 & \\
\hline 36 & Misceilanoous Prepaia Exponso & onc.00 & \\
\hline 37 & Stationery and Supplies & OnC. 00 & \\
\hline & Total Inventories & & 00000 \\
\hline & Total Current and In & ssuts & 000.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Fixed Assets
    40.1 Land (Factory Site) 000.00
    40.2 Land (Home Building vites) ono.r.n
```



```
        41.5 Less Reserve for Deprec.CCO.OC DOn 00
    42 Building Equipmont \widetilde{0CO.00}
        42.5 Less Reserve for Deprec.000.00 000.00
    4 3 \text { Machinery and Equirnent 0000.00}
    4 4 ~ G e n e r a l ~ F a c t o r y ~ E q u i p r o e n t ~ 0 0 0 . 0 0 ~
    45 Office Equipment and Devices 000.00
        Total }\overline{000.00
        45.5 Less Reserve for Deprec.000.00 000.00
    46 Lasts, Patterns and Dies 
        46.5 Less Reserve for Deprec.000.00 000.00
    4 7 \text { Automobiles 000.00}
    Total Fixed Assets 000.00
    4 9 ~ G o o d w i l l ~
    Total Agsets
    000.00
```


## Liabilitios and Not Worth

| Current Liabilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 50 | Accounts Payable - Vendors |  |
| 51 | " | " Personal |
| 52 | Notes Payable | $\$ 000.00$ |
| 54 | Accrued Taxes | 000.00 |
| 55 | "I Interest | 000.00 |
| 56 | $"$ Commissions | 000.00 |
| 57 | $"$ | Royalties |

Total Current Liabilities 000.00

```
Resorves for
    59.2 Ropars to Buildings & Building
                            Equipment 000.00
    59.3 " " Lachinery and Equipmont 000.00
    59.4 " " General Factory Equipmene 000.00
    59.5 " " Office Equipment
    59.6 " " Lasts, Patterns and Dios 000.00
                Fotal Liabilities and Reserves 000.00
```

Net Wortn
60.1 Capital Stock - Common
000.00

000.00
" - 2nd
$\frac{000.00}{000.00}$
61 Surplus
Total
000.00
62 Profit \& Loss for the --- Periods
ondөd ------ 19? 000.00
Curront Poriod - Exhibit B $\quad 000.00 \quad 000.00$

## FACTORY LEDGER INVENTORIES

As at $\qquad$

## Stores Raw Materials

| 21. | Upper Department Materials <br> 21.01 <br> Reserve for Furchase Loss or <br> Gain on Upper Departoent | $\$ 000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Materials |  |

Work-1n-Process


Stores Finished Shoos

| 31.11 | Finishod Stock Shoes | 000.00 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31.12 | Sample | $"$ | 000.00 |  |
| 31.13 | Job | $"$ | 000.00 |  |
| 31.14 | Damagod | $"$ | 000 | 000.00 |
| 31.15 | Worn and Mismated" | 000.00 | 000.00 |  |

Store日 Sole Leathor Product

| 31.21 | Sole Storage | 000.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31.31 | He日l end Countor Storage | 000.00 | 000.00 |
|  | Total - Exhibit A |  | $\$ 000.00$ |

Exhilait B
COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF TRADING AND LOSS OR GAIN
January, 1923

| Sales |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Regular }}{\text { Less }}$ Returns |  |
|  |  |
| Net Sales |  |
| Standard Cost of Goode Sold |  |
| Gross Gain |  |
| Job Shoes |  |
| Less Returne |  |
| Net Sales |  |
| Standard Cost of Coods Sold |  |
| Gross Gaın |  |
| Miscel. Materials and Scrap Cost of luterials sold |  |
| Gross Gain |  |
| Total Standerd Grobs Gain |  |
| Less Standard Selling Expenses |  |
| Net Standard Gain on Sales |  |


| Current Period |  | $\frac{\text { We日ks }}{\text { to Date }}$ |  | This Last Period Yoar Last to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pra.Amount |  |  |  | Year Date |
| $\overline{000}$ \$ | 0000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prs.Amount } \\ & 000 \$ 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 000 | 0000 | 000 | 0000 | (Same data |
| 000 | $\overline{0000}$ | $\overline{000}$ | 0000 | for last |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 | yoar as fo\% |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 | curre |
| 000 | 0000 | 000 | 0000 | $y$ ye3r) |
| 000 | 0000 | 000 | 0000 |  |
| 000 | $\overline{0000}$ | 000 | $\overline{0000}$ |  |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
|  | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
| s | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
|  | $\overline{0000}$ |  | 0000 |  |

Gain or Loss on Standard Costs

| Sole Manufacturing | \$ 0000 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0000 \\ & 0000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sole Burden | 0000 |  |  |  |
| Heel lianufacturing | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
| Heol Burdon | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
| Shoe Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |
| Materials | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
| Labor | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
| Burdon | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
| Purchases | 0000 |  | 0000 |  |
| Selling Expenses | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 | 0000 |
| Net Actual Gain on Sales |  | 0000 |  | 0000 |
| Other Income |  |  |  |  |
| Discounts Taken |  | 0000 |  | 0000 |
| Interest Earned |  | 0000 |  | 0000 |
| Interest Charged to Cost |  | 0000 |  | 0000 |
| Total |  | 0000 |  | 0000 |
| Othor Expense |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Expense |  | 0000 |  | 0000 |
| Total |  | 0000 |  | 0000 |
| Total Net Gain for Period |  | 0000 |  | 80000 |

## COMPARAIIVE STATEMENT OE SELLING EYFENEE

For the Poriod ended $\qquad$

|  |  | Current Yoar |  | Previous Yoar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70.10 | Salosmen's Commission \$ | \$ 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.11 | Bonus | 000.00 | 00C. 00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.20 | Samplo Expenso | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.21 | Advertising | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000 |
| 70.31 | Froight $\dot{\text { a }}$ Express on Sales | s 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 00c.00 |
| 70.33 | Collection \& Exchange | $000 \cdot 60$ | 000.00 | c00.00 | ooc.00 |
| $70.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Policy | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.35 | Morcantile Agoncy Sorvice | 000.00 | 00 C .00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.36 | Bad Dobts | 000.00 | 00C. 00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.40 | Sales Ofinico Salaries | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.81 | Salaries Credit Dept. | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.0 |
| 70.43 | Steam, Power \& Light, and Prorated Fixod Charges | 003.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.50 | Postago | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.51 | Supplios | 100.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.53 | Telephona and Telegraph | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.60 | Miscollaneous | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 00c.no |
| 70.70 | Discounts Allowed | 000.00 | 000.00 | coo.00 | 00c. 00 |
| 70.75 | Ropairs and Allowancos | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 00 C .00 |
| 70.80 | Loss on Job Shoes | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
| 70.81 | Loss on Worn Shoos | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 | 000.00 |
|  | Total Selling Exponso Exhibit B | \$ 000.00 | $000.00$ | $000.00$ | $000.00$ |

Although the summary sheets referred to are the index to operating conditions and show how accurately the plant is maintaining its budget, the final story is in the Balance Sheet and the Statement of Trading and Loss or Gain These statements, shown herewith, are the final story of the success or failure of business management. They represent the results of budgetary control, and show how accurately the forecasts of sales, manufacturing and financing have been executed. To my mind, they indicate the purpose and the worth of proper cost accounting, and the need of cost standards as a guide to profit-making management.
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