

11-17-1958

The Augusta Courier Vol. 2, No. 586

Augusta Courier

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/citizens_news



Part of the [United States History Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Augusta Courier, "The Augusta Courier Vol. 2, No. 586" (1958). *Newspapers and Journals*. 105.
https://egrove.olemiss.edu/citizens_news/105

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Citizens' Council Collection at eGrove. It has been accepted for inclusion in Newspapers and Journals by an authorized administrator of eGrove. For more information, please contact egrove@olemiss.edu.



THE AUGUSTA COURIER

Be Not Content With The Appearance of Things, But Look For The True Meaning

Vol. 2

THE AUGUSTA COURIER, NOVEMBER 17, 1958, AUGUSTA, GA.

No. 586

Washington Would Hold School Children Of South As Hostages Of Supreme Court

Striking Down Constitutional Amendments By Georgians Is Revolt Against High Taxes, Lush Salaries Of Officials

People Struggling To Make A Living; Many Are Unable To Meet Tax Bills

Georgia people are tired of paying back-breaking taxes.

They said so in the General Election of November 4 in no uncertain terms.

They voted to kill every constitutional amendment which authorized the levying of any new or additional taxes or spending any more money for anything.

They even voted down an amendment to authorize the use of tax money to buy childrens' school lunches.

Principal Ones Rejected

The principal ones voted down were:

1. To permit the state and counties to levy taxes for school lunches.
2. To authorize the state and counties to levy taxes to support the Ordinaries' retirement system.
3. To permit the state to impose taxes for the support of the Peace Officers' retirement fund.

People In Revolt

The exact figures on the voting on these proposals was not available at the time of the writing of this article, but it is indicated that the people, by a vote of 2 to 1, turned down every one of these proposals.

A fair interpretation of the vote on November 4 clearly indicates that the people are not only tired of paying back-breaking taxes, but they are tired of paying fat salaries and fat pensions to public officials.

This vote means something else.

It is a mandate to the legislature and the next governor to trim state spending and not to levy another single penny of taxes during the next four years.

Newspapers Are Wrong

It indicates that the people are mad, they are tired and they are disgusted with taxes and public officials' and public employees' riding on their backs.

For several weeks now articles have been appearing in the newspapers in reference to the state treasury. Some of these articles in telling about the state treasury have pictured the cupboard as bare.

They have indicated that in order for Georgia to continue the operation of its schools, its health program, its welfare program and its road program, new and additional taxes might be required.

These articles aroused the quiet wrath and indignation of the people of Georgia.

People Struck Them Down

There was no campaign against these amendments. Nothing was said in any of the newspapers or by any of the politicians or interested people about these amendments one way or the other.

It was taken for granted that the people would vote for these amendments as a matter of routine as they have always

(Continued on Page 4)

STRICTLY PERSONAL

By ROY V. HARRIS

This race mixing insanity is becoming more chaotic every day.

U. S. NEWS & WORLD REPORT has done more to present to the people of the nation the insanity behind the race mixing schemes than any other one agency in the United States.

In its issue of November 7, 1958, it carried two interesting articles.

One was written by a white girl from Milwaukee, Wisconsin who is now a student in college, and it deals with her experiences in an integrated high school.

Her article is entitled, "I Spent Four Years In An Integrated High School".

The other is written by a Negro who is an executive in the Circulation Department of the Philadelphia Bulletin in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. He entitles his article, "A Plea For Understanding".

These two articles clearly demonstrate that the average person has no understanding of the problems involved in race mixing.

The Negro is absolutely unable to comprehend.

Even the people who reside in the Northern cities and vote for integration candidates have no understanding of the problem. They simply run from the problem.

This girl from Milwaukee calls her high school Lakeside High School and here are two paragraphs from her article:

"Lakeside High School was in the path of progress. By progress is meant the gradual changing of the surrounding neighborhood from white to colored. This seems to happen almost everywhere when Negroes start to move into what was formerly an all-white area. The whole changing-over process takes approximately five years. The change that takes place in that period of time is astonishing. Despite the fact that the residents have lived there for years, when the change does start practically all the old residents will be gone in the space of five-years.

"Once the change starts, it picks up speed like a snowball going downhill. Within my four years at Lakeside, the proportion of white and colored students had almost exactly reversed. It was about two thirds white when I entered as a freshman and it was two thirds colored when I graduated."

She has accurately described what is taking place in the Northern cities. The white people are running from the Negro as they would run from the plague.

They have segregation in the Northern cities. Their segregation is based entirely upon residence.

Some of these days they are not going to be able to run and when that time comes they will stand still and fight. They will then adopt the same attitude that the people of the South have adopted.

Now, the Negro writer had this to say:

"We have in the U. S. today more friendly-relations groups, more fellowship organizations, more 'brotherhood' agencies, more love-thy-neighbor movements, more people speaking out forthrightly against segregation than ever before. Yet racial tensions and misunderstandings have never been so acute.

"Why? This is a question that profoundly concerns every one of us, white and Negro.

"Why, for instance, in the Northern city of Cincinnati, did voters suddenly change their way of voting when a Negro con-

(Continued on Page 3)

Roman Caesars Never Forced More Diabolical Methods On Their Captives

The big government boys in Washington "would hold the children of the South as hostages of the Supreme Court", declared James H. Gray, Editor and Publisher of the Albany, Georgia, Herald in his keynote speech delivered to the Georgia State Democratic Convention at Macon, on October 14, 1958.

"In truth, the Roman Caesars never employed the hostage practice with more devastating effect. The price for not integrating is to be the education of the South's children," he declared.

According to Gray, they plan to create chaos and confusion in the educational system of the South and that "under these shattered conditions, they believe that the people of the South will not have the stamina or the courage to fight back."

His speech follows:
Distinguished Guests and Fellow Democrats:

You and I are met here today amid conditions which are sullen and threatening. We are confronted by problems of unusual range and intensity which catch up all our hopes, ambitions and ingrained principles and shake them like the cat would the mouse. Only those whose minds glide over the surface of events can fail to view the blinking danger signals warning us that we are on the edge of the cliff, face to face with the crowded servitude from which our forefathers fled to a new world. There is a quick-sand of fear under the thinking and conduct of our more alert national leaders, for they can see America backsliding into the treacherous and tyrannical ways of despotic Old World Governments, which emphasize that force is the very best instructor and the very best champion of any people.

Over all, of course, there looms the terrible shadow of nuclear power harnessed for destruction. Few can believe that we are indeed done with wars. When political economies are organized for war, they usually get it. All things tend towards their own ends.

And yet I think that the circumstances which now makes us grow cold with nightmare fear for our future is the terrific speed with which these bleak problems are ramming into established institutions of law and order and are butting them all out of shape so that they completely disown their original purposes. You and I of the South share mingled feelings of amazement and disgust when we view the arifil deceptions of certain political collectivists who insist with round-eyed innocence—although with their hands very firmly curled around the levers of excessive power — that these collisions are bringing no basic change to the American.

(Continued on Page 2)

TO BE FULLY INFORMED ABOUT STATE AFFAIRS READ THE COURIER

THE AUGUSTA COURIER

He who spares no class of men is angry at no person,
but the vices of all.

Published Weekly by The Courier Publishing Company,
1300 Southern Finance Building,
Augusta, Georgia

Second-Class Postage Paid at Augusta, Georgia

SUBSCRIPTION RATE:

Six months	\$2.00
Year	\$4.00

Roman Caesars Never Forced More Diabolical Methods On Their Captives

(Continued from Page 1)

can system at all. Out of their mouths are dribbled greasily such pious and fawning phrases as these: "An era of good feeling;" "an era of the common man;" "a release from Constitutional restrictions that will grant everybody a greater measure of freedom;" "spending ourselves into prosperity;" and, of course, "a new age of social togetherness, social equality."

Accepted Karl Marx

These phrases are part of the political creed of those intellectuals and self-styled liberals who, in their despair over the materialism of America, swallowed Karl Marx and the Communist doctrine in one big gulp some years ago and then decided to present their case of Socialism to the American people as the only sure way to advance liberty and increase prosperity in this modern technological day.

The depressing contradictions between what these smooth-tongued advertisers of the collectivist "welfare state" say in such winsome fashion, and what subversive actions are actually being committed in the name of lofty aspirations, pose a most disturbing situation. For what you and I are beholding currently is a distressing decay of our political system wherein our national heritage of tough-mindedness and creativeness is being sold for a mess of pottage—that colossal fraud, "security."

Let us number some of the items in this "security" political grab-bag which are making suckers of some of us and hypocrites of others: The social-service state is rapidly growing into a bureaucratic state where economics based on welfare have been compelled to take a back seat to politics linked with the self-perpetuation of its functionaries. There is the steady drift toward paternalism, the subservience to pressure groups, a number of unbalanced budgets in times of prosperity, and, finally, the rank growth of political cynicism, which has caused too many good Americans to turn shamefacedly away from the workings of their Government.

Big Government

Indeed, a new philosophy of Government has slowly been building along definite lines among us, and it has its supporters not only in the ranks of the Republicans but among the Democrats, too. It is the philosophy of Big Government, of statism, which features the ancient idea that men must be shackled to an all-powerful, centralized rule in order that its leadership and its discipline can bring us an enlarged democratic system. These Big Government boys especially like to use the crisis technique to gain their ends. For instance, of late, they have been pointing to the sky and saying, "See, there goes Sputnik. We've got to meet that challenge. And, if by chance to accomplish that end, we should have to transgress on traditional rights, well, just don't give it another thought because crisis conditions demand unusual action—to save us all, of course."

It is true, is it not, that over the years the road away from freedom and toward state control has been paved with the soundest and most patriotic assurances.

Well, I submit that you and I as freedom-loving Americans must begin to say "No" to this senseless and highly dangerous political humbug. You and I can no longer afford to accept at face value the barren welfare state concepts of the Big Government boys. We can no longer follow the sanctimonious declaration that the first need of this critical hour is strong, centralized authority, equipped with the right to interfere in all the affairs of the countless communities up and down our broad lands. It is not strong Government that you and I need so much as wise Government, Government invested with the virtues of insight, dignity, justice,

moderation, tolerance and a discerning sense of social values. This Big Government idea offers us the quickest path to centralized rule. Democracy, functioning within the flexible boundaries of our Constitutional checks and balances, offers us the surest path to wise Government.

Nothing In Common

And make no mistake about it: These two concepts cannot go forward hand-in-hand. You and I cannot accept the declared efficiencies of Big Government without surrendering the vast superiorities of real democracy. We must face the fact now that the monstrosities of modern politics and economics are not expressions of true democracy, but only of its sickness.

Democracy and its good companion, Christianity, have been tried the world round through the years, and wherever they have been tried with intelligence and understanding, they have proven astonishingly successful. They may not produce perfection, but they do produce, in measures otherwise unknown, order and general well-being and, above all, respect for human rights and personalities.

We of Georgia and of the South in general have special, acute reason to be aware of the squalid, misleading, undemocratic workings of this Big Government philosophy. For our section has been made the whipping-boy of those political theorists and pressure groups who insist that our social pattern of segregation has not only outlived its usefulness but is no less completely alien to the principles of the American Constitution. We have been made the whipping-boy because our people, rightfully in accord with American tradition, have refused to accept the integration of races concept for public schools even after the United States Supreme Court rendered a decision to the effect that segregation no longer had any legal status under the Constitution.

The most recent rulings of the High Court on this issue, which decided in advance a case not yet argued before it and which still is in process of being contested in a lower court, makes this disagreeable point crystal clear: That, in the Court's mind, the individual States and communities of this nation have no freedom of choice whatsoever with respect to integration; that integration must proceed at once and those who will resist it will do so at the expense of public education as the United States has known it for many, many years.

Imperious Court

To reach this stark conclusion, this imperious Court has arrogantly set itself against Constitutional, judicial and social tradition. By employing a force doctrine it has at once ruthlessly denied one of the basic freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution—freedom of association—and set itself up as a legislative body. This use of force is unashamedly naked, and especially vicious, because it would hold the children of the South as hostages of the Supreme Court. In truth, the Roman Caesars never employed the hostage practice with more devastating effect. The price for not integrating is to be the education of the South's children.

Plainly, this Court and those who agree with its force policies expect Georgia and its neighbors to throw in the towel—if not immediately, then eventually as the harmful consequences of our bombed-out educational system mount. Under these shattered conditions, they believe that the people of the South will not have the stamina or the courage to fight back; they believe that our present stand on principle in this matter is a subterfuge, is really built on the shifting muck and mire of evasion and prejudice and that, lacking

principle, we will yield and we will conform.

Is this to be the humbling close of our way of life in the South? Is it true that you and I are without conviction and are meek prisoners of a melancholy history? Is it true that we prize comfort and convenience above Constitutional principle? Or do we feel the need arising within us to throw this force doctrine back into the teeth of the Court and proclaim boldly that our beliefs and our resources are such that we will continue to stand on principle and fight for our inherited rights as doggedly as those who came before us?

All-Out Onslaught

It will not be an easy fight. We are going to be tormented and ridiculed even as we are going to be coaxed and cajoled. We are going to be described as lawless, as outlanders in the American scheme of things, as callous wreckers of our children's futures. We will probably even be threatened with economic and political reprisals in the nature of propaganda asserting that the South is a wasteland, a derelict, which offers no opportunity and has precious little influence.

This, admittedly, is a discouraging prospect. But, if we believe in ourselves as free men, as bold shapers of our destiny, then we simply cannot grovel before this looming punishment. We must hold our heads high, join our hands in unbreakable lock and spit in Earl Warren's eye.

It is our heritage that we are resourceful. It is our heritage that we reserve our traditions of individual freedom. It is our heritage that our strength mounts in proportion to the adversity that is thrust upon us. I say that we will not desert this heritage, that we will find a way out of this cruel dilemma because we have the imagination, the intelligence and the will of free men, who have never let any person or thing push us off our feet. In the long run, it will be the force of our sturdy example that will win this fight for us—because working with us will be established traditions of American justice and American law, and working against the Big Government boys will be their vaulting political ambitions, their greed for unrestrained power and the violence that they meanly do to a free society which, soon or late, has always reacted against unprincipled dictation.

Plight of The Court

Actually, the High Court, it seems to me, is caught in a most embarrassing paradox of its own making, and its efforts to extricate itself are so frantic and so without legal precedent that increasingly its only defense and only refuge can be a thunderous insistence that its opinion is unassailable by legal recourse or even by public expression. The High Court demands that its controversial decision be sustained because tradition requires that the figure of the Court as an impartial arbiter not be defaced lest our Federal system of checks and balances suffer irreparable damage. And yet, through its own wandering actions affecting adversely the nice balance between Federal and State authority, which have invited the stern criticism of thirty-six State Supreme Court Justices, the Supreme Court has plainly elected to throw judicial tradition to the wild winds as impetuously as any raging revolutionist.

In short, this particular Court very much wants the hallowed protection of tradition to maintain its prestige and its dignity and its acceptance before the American people. But, at the same time, it wants the license to kick that tradition squarely in the seat of the pants when it chooses to enter legislative fields and tamper deliberately with the fundamental tenets of the American system.

Floundering Court

If the High Court cannot make up its mind whether it is judge or advocate, umpire or prosecutor, how, by all that is rational, can it expect the public to be understanding or appreciative? Most probably even the sheltered members of this Court might obtain a sobering view of the spectacle that their uneven, reckless gait has provided if they were individually not so preoccupied with partisan political issues, experiments in controlled economics and controlled sociology as well as a bloated sense of self-importance.

Not challenge a dubious decision of this Court because the legal lackeys of this Court say that we would thus be showing a gigantic disrespect for law and order? There is a mackerel-like stink to that logic! Why, we English-speaking peoples are heirs to the largest heritage of the habits and traditions and skills of self-government that there has ever been in the world. And now at this moment of conflict we should suddenly forget our past and gag our protest and meekly allow our freedoms to be diluted? That is garbage for the mob, not intelligence for the independent American!

We must take resolute, unwavering issue with this High Court because, in the circumstances, our honor, our beliefs, our sense of history really gives us no other

choice.

Big Government Boys

One of the sad ironies of this constant lecturing by the Big Government boys to the South on what is the law and what is not, and what constitutes defiance of the law and what constitutes a suitable acceptance of its authority, is the fact that the lecturers themselves have been the first to wield the mailed fist, the use of which they previously deplored through reams and reams of parliamentary language.

And let's get this straight before we are brain-washed by the lame pleadings of the President that he just had to send troops to Little Rock to preserve law and order. In retrospect, it can be appreciated clearly that the soldiers came to Little Rock, Arkansas, for one overriding reason: Because police and military tyranny is inevitable when the good people of any community cease to cohere by their own moral unity and their own moral assent. It is always dangerous in our kind of society to invoke the sanction of law for some measure which runs strongly counter to the desires and feelings of large numbers of people who certainly cannot be airily dismissed as so many professional criminals.

Actually, there was no thinking person in the South who did not deplore the violence that swirled around Little Rock. It was a storm of ugliness and hate. Its gusts stirred emotions that are powerful enough to mock and caricature the very fundamentals of the American system of Government which all of us respect. More than the social and legal order of Little Rock was madly raked by the claws of this elemental wildness. Directly in the storm's erratic path is the law of the United States and the laws of the individual States.

Vanity and Self-Esteem

There is no doubt that the Big Government boys own a large stock of vanity and self-esteem. They contend that the leaders of the South—which, incidentally, has to deal with the racial problem as a practical matter of human relationships, and not just as an academic exercise—do not know the law at all, and that they instead are the all-wise, all-knowing purveyors of what is just and good. Mainly, at this time, they advise that there is nothing of value to be learned from our nation's accumulated wisdom and experience, even from our Anglo-Saxon instincts that are also the legacy of the past. They assert that our America will be a much better place in which to live if we constantly strive to revise, remold, reshape and remake established standards and institutions, using as our guide only the theories generated by pure reasoning, brushing aside as worthless or even harmful all elements of tradition.

The Supreme Court, of course, followed the artificial philosophy of these Big Government boys to the very letter in its anti-segregation decision. First, it gassed up the motor of its legal vehicle with vague sociological and psychological theories about "equality" and then drove it like a tank over all judicial precedent and all judicial continuity. The explanation of this savage treatment is, according to our cynically genial Chief Justice Warren, that the social theory involved is so overwhelmingly right and desirable that, by golly, if it isn't in the Constitution, it darn well ought to be.

Now what manner of legal "hogwash" is that? Old stalwarts of the Court, like Holmes and Brandeis and Hughes and Cardozo and Stone, must have rolled over in their graves in protest to this calculated nonsense handed down by an unctuous politician turned legal acrobat.

Activities of The NAACP

As to the politics of this situation, I do not need to labor the point of the activities of the NAACP or the obsequious manner in which the controlled Negro bloc is being avidly sought. To my mind, the recent incident of Martin Luther King's stabbing and hospitalization in New York City capsules the whole degrading business. As soon as King was stricken, appearing in his hospital room on the dead run with hat in hand were Averell Harriman, Governor of New York; Nelson Rockefeller, gubernatorial nominee of the New York Republicans; Mayor Robert Wagner; the City's Police Commissioner, and their several attendants. In fact, there were so many political hopefuls of assorted sizes and shapes in that one bedroom that it is reported that one nurse had to swing on a chandelier to take the patient's temperature, and King's Alabama relatives had to yell "Fire, Fire!" in order to gain admittance!

But let us not be fooled into treating this practice with just amused scorn. There is enough explosive danger in this kind of cheap political and judicial thinking to blow our Constitutional system of checks and balances into smithereens. For if this Court, acting on fundamental Constitutional issues wholly without re-

(Continued on Page 3)

Roman Caesars Never Forced More Diabolical Methods On Their Captives

(Continued from Page 2)

straint, can do what it has already done with regard to the long-standing principle of local and State school administration, then make no mistake of what may be ahead of us in the immediate future. Impossible as we thought it was, Federal troops have already been used to enforce a Court edict in the very face of American children who should never have had to undergo that sordid, humiliating experience. So is it now impossible to imagine that if the States and the local communities cannot run their public schools according to the dictated pattern, why the Federal Government will gladly assume the job?

Plot of Pressure Groups

This is the Big Government philosophy of complete take-over. It is what the pressure groups like the NAACP really want. For there is the highly sensitive place where the repulsive idea of all-powerful, central authority can, by cunning, repetitious teaching, be made to appear like a never-dry fountain of milk and honey—in the minds of the young and the impressionable. If the Federal Government, by whatever means, whether by Court order or by money hand-outs for new school buildings, can ever come into your County or my County of Dougherty and tell you and me under what designs our children should go back to school, and what methods they should be taught, I say that a vast area of freedom will then be denied to the American people. When American minds are chained, we will then become something of which our forefathers never dreamed. We will become something, in fact, against which they struggled with all their might and main.

In my opinion there is a basic principle of American life involved here that cannot be dismissed as merely sectional prejudice. It resides primarily in an opposition to the so-called "liberalism" of the Big Government boys today whereby the power of the Federal Government has been enlarged on the theory that anything and everything that supposedly benefits the "general welfare" is automatically Constitutional, irrespective of what was decided before as to the rights of individuals and the rights of States.

Truly, the central problem that has to be faced by you and me assembled here, and by other men of good will and substance in other parts of the country, is how this nation of ours, given its sectional differences in habits and customs in accordance with the original free American pattern, is going to live together in harmony. The national law about which we have heard so much—to the exclusion of all else in this case, incidentally—is but one aspect of the problem. And if officials in Washington insist on viewing it from this narrow vantage point, we may be sure that justice and reason will be a long time in coming. Certainly, the Big Government boys are dramatizing the difficulty with politically designing pleas for law and order, on one hand, and the skillful use of the whip and the blackjack on the other. But for those of us who must live on the ground with a most delicate issue of human association, we can shake our heads sorrowfully at such display of haughty displeasure and point meaningfully in anguish at past incidents in our history when compulsion ripped the very heart out of common sense.

Only One Answer

In sum, then, it can be agreed that you and I are consigned to live and act in times of extreme and pressing gravity when long-held traditions and precedents of our American society are being regarded as feeble and suspect in high political places. Change—and mostly just for the sake of change—is knowingly said to be the proper order of the day by those political, economic and social revolutionists who preach that our old institutions and our old ideals of separation and individualism are simply not good enough for the Atomic Age. Actually, if these theorists spoke to you in complete candor, it would be to the effect that our kind of individualistic society has been indeed fortunate to last as long as it has, that its strength was rooted mostly in an accident of geography which gave us natural defenses, but now the marvels of science have undone all that and our outmoded beliefs and institutions simply do not have the power to keep us a going concern in today's world.

There can be only one answer to these security-minded croakers of doom, who so freely and captiously question the wis-

STRICTLY PERSONAL

(Continued from Page 1)

tinued to get so many votes that there was a possibility he might become a city's mayor?

"Why, in the city of Philadelphia, is there no recognition of the Negro at the policy-making level in the field of youth work, though the party in power is maintained there by the blind vote of Democratic Negro voters?"

I would like to tell this Negro why.

All these brotherhood groups and love-thy-neighbor groups are preaching and shouting against segregation. But when it comes right down to that last step of taking them into the bosom of their families they are against it.

When it comes down to the question of mixing the races so as to destroy both the white and the Negro races and creating a race of mulattoes, the white man can't stomach it.

He asked why a Negro councilman had been elected in Cincinnati for a period of fifteen years and suddenly the people in his ward turned on him and voted him out of office. I can answer that question. The Negro councilman was acceptable to the white people of his ward until the Negro started pushing into the white neighborhood of Cincinnati and trying to invade all of the schools and the other public institutions.

So long as the Negroes were content to be segregated in their residential areas in Cincinnati the people were willing for him to be in city council. It is understood that he made a good record as a councilman, but in view of the tensions that exist at the present time and the Negro's efforts to push himself on the white man, the people of this ward wanted no Negro in a high place in their city.

Likewise, the city of Philadelphia is living in a state of fear. The Negroes are pushing out into white neighborhoods and running people away from the homes in which they were born and reared and they are resentful of it.

They are victims of the philosophy that there is such a thing as a little bit of race mixing. They are content with a little bit of race mixing, but when the hordes of Negroes began to overflow their communities they fled as if fleeing from a plague.

This Negro ought to know why.

He himself expressed it very well.

He states that all individuals are interested in the Negro's making progress but never when he becomes a part of the whole society. He recognizes that they are for better housing for Negroes, but they don't want too many Negroes in their own neighborhood.

Here's how he expressed it:

"In all these individuals, whether they are for the Negro or against him, their attitude always implies acts of specific direction for one group or the other, and never for the entire society.

"I am convinced that these concepts are widely held by many of the estimated 15 million non-Negroes. They are not only found among the supporters of the program of a Faubus or Almond. They are found in many of our race-relations organizations. They profess to do good. They constantly rave about the progress of the Negro. They are for Negroes moving into better housing, provided too many don't move it—they are the quota citizens."

This Negro leader expressed the aim of the Negroes and the aim of the Negroes is the "why" white people, both North and South, are opposed to race mixing.

Here's what he said:

"We must understand that Negroes are as concerned for the future of America as any other individual member of its melting pot."

Now, the "melting pot" is the "why".

White people, both North and South, object to being thrown into a melting pot with the Negro.

White people would like to preserve the white race. They would like to preserve the Negro race.

The white people of America are opposed to a race of mulattoes.

Yet, that is what these Negroes are after and that's why there can be no understanding satisfactory to such Negroes as the writer of this article.

Now, these do-gooders will meet with them. They will form brotherhood societies, they will form love-thy-neighbor movements, but when it comes down to going that last mile and seeing their children thrown into the melting pot, they revolt.

Now, the Negro ought to be as opposed to being thrown into the melting pot as the white man is. He ought to be proud of his own race and interested in preserving his own race.

But these Negroes, like the writer of this article, are ashamed of their own race. They are out to destroy their own race. They are out to destroy the white race.

(Continued on Page 4)

dom of America's past and place all their trust in their own powers of reason and in free-wheeling experimentation. And that answer is this: If the self-governing traditions are so very bad, then why is it in the world today that agony is the lot of most nations, while in the United States life is more worth living for the average man than anywhere else?

I think that what is happening in too many areas of our United States today under the impact of negative and defensive and often frightened opinion of these cold-war years, is the falsification of the image that the American people have long held of themselves as guardians of the self-governing habit. We are a generation that is perplexed; we are a generation that is coming to be rootless and morose because we have neglected too many of our history's good beliefs. Individualism,

or the right to be different, which was once a word spoken with pride by every American who had the right to claim it, has now become a word spoken with timidity and doubt and has even been made to tip its hat in servile deference to that precious, counterfeit word of the dictators—"equality"—whatever that may mean. And freedom, which, in the old days, was something that you used with pride, has now become something that you must hoard like a miser—something you lock away with your other possessions, like a deed to a piece of property, or a bond in a bank.

Social Soothsayers

Presently, in talking to the South, the Big Government boys and their social soothsayers want to give you a measure of that so-called modern "security" in the form of enlarged social justice. But you

know how you will get it, don't you? Big Government can, in fact, only control, conduct and take responsibility for social justice if it penetrates the social order of every community, if it sits in every business office or club room or schoolhouse, if it chairmans every social gathering, if it controls the funds by which society itself functions, if it puts a furtive finger into every nook and cranny of community order. And, as good, hard-thinking Americans, we can all agree, can we not, that this is a marriage out of nature for our democracy; its offspring is a bastard and a sterile thing. And this blind, shuffling, brutish creature wears a chain around its neck with that hideous name-tag we must recognize as totalitarianism.

In all our considerations, let us remember this one thing about our presence in history: That the essential condition of America's swift development and unusual progress has been freedom—freedom to make social choices, freedom to promote our economy, freedom to elect our rulers. Freedom made America great, and that freedom gets its initial thrust and energy from the flexible and unregulated interplay of personal vitalities. Efforts to suppress this proper function inevitably produce the moral tensions, disorders and confusions that you and I are experiencing in mounting crescendo today.

Our answer to the Big Government boys and the social revolutionists must be written in these big, bold words of warning: That Americanism is not, and never has been, a totalitarian faith, which can impose from the top any single economic, political or social dogma or require a uniformity in observance from its many diverse peoples. Compulsion of that kind went out the window for America when our forefathers rejected the devices of crowns and thrones and scepters, when they decided that our Government was an operation to be conducted best at the common level, when they demanded that our public officials be treated as men and not as heathen mummies embalmed for the worship of marshaled mobs.

Throat-Choking Legal Leash

You and I are not criminals to be penalized; we are not dogs to be whipped; we are not unthinking violators of our social order; we are not know-nothings to be led around by a throat-choking legal leash. We are free men, certain of our cause, proud of our independent past and confident of our ability to develop a future that will enrich ourselves and those who will succeed us. This is the appeal that we must fling out to the free-thinking peoples of other States who, from disassociation, may not appreciate completely the complexity of our racial problem, but who certainly will come to understand that a fighting, dedicated Southern people deserve a fair and unbiased hearing. In the long run, it will be our unyielding fortitude that will impress our friends in other sections of our country—not our lamentations.

And, fortunately, we have the leaders of character and diligence and foresight and courage to help us do our job. On the Washington scene we have a Russell, we have a Talmadge, we have a Vinson, we have a Brown, we have a Forrester, we have a Landrum, we have a Pilcher, we have a Davis, we have a Preston, we have a Blitch, we have a Flynt, we have a Mitchell—and right here at home, we have a Vandiver and a Byrd.

We Democrats of Georgia have a splendid opportunity to speak and act in time of crisis for the genuinely American concept of personal freedom, which places the highest of value on such democratic ideals as voluntary action, moral conscience and peaceful accommodation of clashing viewpoints, and which shrinks in revulsion from the death-dealing illusions of enforced security, police bureaucracy and legal despotism that have too often composed the style of Government in our world's history. The test to our mettle, to our stamina, to our sense of fitness in this task is going to be severe, but realizing that, we can gain increased determination to persevere from the good, wise convictions of those hardy souls who came before us.

After all, the greatness of this country lies not in its material resources, but in its men, who have been permitted variety of energy and variety of expression. Therein rests the real meaning of our America—its amplitude, its generosity of mind and spirit, its leaping ingenuity, its innate feeling for order, its hopeful beckoning sign in today's tortured world. This America of ours will never, never die struggling for its beliefs. Our America will perish only when its beliefs perish.

Eisenhower, Dewey And Adams Liquidated Republican Party

Miserable Outfit Aped Truman And Other Leftwingers In Both Parties

Eisenhower, Tom Dewey, Sherman Adams and the other "modern Republicans", have liquidated the Republican Party.

All the evidence is in and this verdict became conclusive in the elections of November 4, 1958.

These "modern Republicans" liquidated their party by aping the Democrats.

Now the apes have been repudiated. The Republicans aped all the policies of the Democrats, with the exception of Benson's Farm Program. The Benson Farm Program is the only point of divergence between the "modern Republicans" and the leftwing Democrats.

Followed Truman

That's the only difference.

When Eisenhower came into office they continued all of the Truman policies, with the exception of the farm program. They made no change.

Like the leftwing Democrats, the "modern Republicans" were for high taxes and big spending. Under the Republicans, the taxes are even higher and the spending is more than it was under Truman or Roosevelt. Like the leftwing Democrats, the "modern Republicans" back the decision of the Supreme Court on race mixing.

The "modern Republicans" entered into a contest with the Democrats to see who could tax more, spend more and which could be the more ruthless in trying to ram race mixing down the throats of the white people.

Stacked Supreme Court

Up until the time of Eisenhower and the "modern Republicans", the leftwing Democrats did more talking than anything.

Eisenhower's moderates stacked the Supreme Court and produced the decision.

The Eisenhower-Dewey-Adams moderates used the National Guard and the paratroopers to force nine little Negroes into the Central High School at Little Rock and kept eight of them there by force for a nine months' period.

Eisenhower's moderates joined up with the leftwing Democrats to pass a force bill, under the guise of civil rights, to force race mixing on the white people of the nation.

The Farm Program

The "modern Republicans" believed that by taking the program of the Democratic Party, with the exception of the farm program, and trying to be more radical than the leftwingers that they would be able to capture the Jewish, the Negro and the other minority votes and maintain themselves in power in the United States from now on.

But after they had adopted and put into effect all of the leftwing policies they failed to get the leftwing vote, or the Jewish vote, or the Negro vote, or the votes of any of the other so-called minority blocs.

Consequently, the Republican Party went down to an ignominious defeat over the nation as a whole on Tuesday, November 4, 1958.

Liquidated The Party

This date marked the complete liquidation of the Republican Party.

Realizing the fact that the "modern Republicans" had liquidated the party, several Republican members of the United States Senate refused to run again and many members of the House retired and refused to run again.

Some hearty folks, like Knowland of California, undertook to lead a revolt against the "modern Republicans" and to take a stand on his idea of what Republicans should stand for.

This split the Republicans in California down the middle and, as a result, the Democrats had a clean sweep in California.

Leftwing Radicals

This liquidation of the Republican Party has now turned the Government of the United States over to the leftwing radicals.

Instead of "modern Republicans" we now have the leftwing Democrats in control of the nation's government.

The program of the "modern Republicans" so disgusted many old-time Republicans until they either stayed at home

STRICTLY PERSONAL

(Continued from Page 3)

They are only interested in promoting a melting pot and even these brotherhood groups and love-thy-neighbor groups are for it all until it gets up to their doorsteps.

They are opposed to throwing their own children into this melting pot.

That's why they can organize all these fellowship organizations, all these brotherhood agencies and all these love-thy-neighbor movements and they can have all these hypocrites speaking out against segregation.

But when it comes to going into the melting pot even these fanatical brotherhood and fellowship and love-thy-neighbor folks stop at that last milepost.

Now, as soon as the white people find out the objectives of such Negro leaders as the writer of this article, then racial tensions and misunderstandings become acute.

Now, we know that it is hard for this Negro to understand all of these things. He goes to these brotherhood, fellowship and love-thy-neighbor meetings and then when he comes out he must say as he did in this article: "Racial tensions and misunderstandings have never been so acute."

And he asks why!

The "why" is the melting pot.

And this Negro says this about these lovers of the Negro:

"These lovers of the Negro are often more dangerous to the welfare of the country than those who profess to hate the Negro.

In many instances these persons with their professed love will give him a job in their plant, and keep him on that job for his entire life with no opportunity for individual growth."

All this race mixing propaganda hasn't helped the Negro. The writer of the article in U. S. NEWS & WORLD REPORT frankly admits it when he says:

"We are aware that in most Northern cities jobs which once included Negroes have suddenly vanished."

Now, this Negro can't understand why.

Why is it that Negroes used to be able to get jobs they can't touch now?

The answer is because they are trying to push themselves on the white people and force a melting of the white and Negro races into a race of mulattoes.

So long as the Negro was content to be a Negro Northern people were willing to give him jobs. As soon as he became dissatisfied at being a Negro and sought to be something else, then they let him slip out of the jobs in sensitive positions and positions where he came in close contact with white people.

I have news for this Negro leader. There will be fewer and fewer jobs for Negroes in the Northern cities in the future than at the present where the job itself brings the Negro into close or intimate contact with white people.

There was a time that in most any hotel one entered the bellboys and porters were Negroes.

When this race mixing scheme started the Negro bellboys became insolent to white people and tried to be on an intimate basis with white women.

Now Negro bellboys are fast becoming a thing of the past. Negro porters are fast becoming a thing of the past.

This is true because white men and white women fear the Negro bellboys and porters entering the hotel bedrooms while they occupy them.

The pushing of the Negro into the life of the white man is forcing the white man to push the Negro just as far away from him as he possibly can.

This is true in the North as well as in the South.

I can write better about the South because I know more about it and because I know more Southern people.

I know whole communities where they are getting together and deliberately planning to ease the Negroes out of their jobs and to gradually work white people into them. They are determined to rid the communities of a lot of their Negroes.

They fear the consequences of a large percentage of Negro population. They are determined that their children shall not be thrown into the melting pot.

This Negro pleads for understanding. The white man understands. This Negro cannot understand.

Sensible white people understand that these Negro leaders are after creating a melting pot.

Sensible white people know that these Negro leaders are not pleading for understanding. They are pleading for the acceptance of the idea of a melting pot.

This the white man will not accept. He will not accept it this year, or ever.

If understanding means acceptance of the melting pot, there will never be any understanding.

or voted for the Democrats. They could see no sense in making a choice between tweedledum and tweedledee.

Those of this class who did vote on November 4, voted for the Democratic candidates to show their disgust at their own party.

The Miserable Outfit

The truth of the matter is the United States has had only one party since Eisenhower has been in office despite the fact that the governors, senators and congressmen have paraded under the label

of either Democrats or Republicans. In most instances you couldn't tell the difference.

The "modern Republicans" efforts to out-do the leftwing Democrats in taxing and spending and race mixing became a miserable flop and now we will get our taxing and spending and efforts at race mixing from the leftwing Democrats.

There is little reason for any tears to be shed even by the most fanatic Republican over the liquidation of this miserable outfit of "modern Republicans".

People Struggling To Make A Living; Many Are Unable To Meet Tax Bills

(Continued from Page 1)

voted for constitutional amendments in the State of Georgia.

There was no organization at the polls working for the adoption of the amendments.

The people said nothing. They walked into the voting booths and quietly struck them down.

Can't Meet Tax Bills

The average person today is behind with his taxes. He owes the federal government, he owes the state, he owes the cities and the counties and is unable to pay his tax bill.

In plain language, he is ready to revolt. He is damned tired of having his back broken with exorbitant taxes.

The first chance the people of the nation get to express themselves on federal taxes, they are going to strike them down.

A casual perusal of the Acts of the Georgia Legislature at its session of 1958 will disclose that a great volume of the bills passed dealt with giving public officials increases in pay, establishing retirement funds and pensions and then providing higher and additional pensions.

Government Extravagance

This trend in government has been going on for many years.

The pay of all government officials and all government employees has been continually increased. Their expense accounts have been increased and there seems to be no end to this continual spiral of wage raises for government, state, county and municipal employees.

As long as times were flourishing, the people endured and said nothing.

But during 1957 and 1958 the shoes of the taxpayer have been pinching. He hasn't had enough money to get along, to pay his obligations, to support his family and to pay the exorbitant taxes which have been rammed down his throat.

The results of the vote on these amendments in Georgia on November 4 is a clear indication that the people are ready to revolt against paying taxes and they are tired of supporting municipal, county, state and government employees.

Hard To Make A Living

Their number is increasing by leaps and bounds.

It is harder for the individual citizen to make a living than ever and every day droves of people are out hunting a place where they can get on the public payroll.

The election in Georgia on November 4, 1958 ought to be a lesson to every local, county, state and government politician in this nation.

This could mean a revolt which will put all the rascals who are in now out as fast as the folks can get to them.

WE NEED YOUR HELP

The more subscribers we have, the more people we can keep working to restore constitutional government in the United States, to restore sanity to our courts, and to preserve our segregated way of life in the South.

No one can read THE AUGUSTA COURIER regularly without being an evangelist for our cause.

We have no paid solicitors and are dependent entirely upon our readers and friends for our subscribers.

Won't you help us in this great cause by getting at least three or four of your friends to subscribe and send their subscriptions in to the Courier right away?

Roy V. Harris, Editor

SUPREME COURT OUGHT TO BE IMPEACHED—SEE YOUR CONGRESSMAN