IL NUOVO CIMENTO **42** C (2019) 79 DOI 10.1393/ncc/i2019-19079-8

Colloquia: EuNPC 2018

DarkSide status and prospects

S. SANFILIPPO(⁴¹)(⁴²)(^{*}), P. AGNES(²¹), I. F. M. ALBUQUERQUE(⁵⁰), T. ALEXANDER(³⁹), A. K. ALTON(⁴), D. M. ASNER(⁷), M. P. AVE(⁵⁰), H. O. BACK(³⁹), G. BATIGNANI(⁴⁷)(³⁸), K. BIERY(¹⁷), V. BOCCI(⁴³), G. BONFINI(²), W. BONVENTO(¹¹), B. BOTTINO(¹⁸)(¹⁹), F. BUDANO(⁴²)(⁴¹), S. BUSSINO(⁴²)(⁴¹), M. CADEDUI(¹⁰(¹¹)), M. CADONI(¹⁰)(¹¹), F. CALAPRICE(⁴⁰), A. CAMINATA(¹⁹), N. CANCI(²¹)(²), A. CANDELA(²), M. CARDONI(¹⁰)(¹¹), M. M. CARDENAS(¹⁴), M. CARIDELLI(⁴²)(³¹), P. CAVALCANTE(⁵¹)(²), S. CAVADIT(³²)(³¹), V. CATAUDELLA(³²)(³¹), P. CAVALCANTE(⁵¹)(²), S. CAVUOT(³²)(³¹), V. CATAUDELLA(³²)(³¹), M. CACLONCO(³¹), G. COVONE(³²)(³¹), D. D'INCECCO(²), D. D'URSO(⁵¹)(⁵⁵), S. DAVINI(¹⁹), A. COVONE(²²)(³¹), D. D'ANGELO(²²)(²³), S. DE CECCO(⁴³)(⁴⁴), M. DE DEO(²), G. DE FLIPPIS(³²)(³¹), G. DE CECCO(⁴³)(⁴⁴), M. DE DEO(²), G. DE FLIPPIS(³²)(³¹), G. GARCIA(⁴⁰), G. G. DI PIETRO(²)(²⁵), C. DIONISI(⁴³)(⁴⁴), E. EDKINS(³⁰), A. GUAL(²¹), G. GARCIA ABIA(⁴⁴), C. GHANCO(²²), S. GIACU(⁴³)(⁴⁴), K. EMENLE(²¹), C. GABITELE(²), C. CABLETI(⁴⁰)(³), G. GARCIAAOS(²¹), A. M. GORETTI(²), B. R. HACKETT(²⁰), K. HERNER(¹⁷), S. HORIKAWA(³), B. HOSSEINI(¹¹), D. HUGHES(⁴⁰), P. HUMBLE(⁴⁰), E. V. HUNGERFORD(²¹), N. IANIA(⁴⁰), G. KOH(⁴⁰), D. KORABLEV(²³), G. KORGA(²¹)(²), A. KUBANKIN(⁵), M. KUSS(⁷⁷), M. LA COMMARA(³)(³¹), M. LAI(¹⁰)(¹¹), X. LI(⁴⁰), M. LISIA(¹¹), G. LONG(³²)(³¹), R. MARELU(²³), G. KORGA(²¹)(²), A. KUBANKIN(⁵), M. KUSS(⁷⁷), M. LA COMMARA(³²)(³¹), M. LAI(¹⁰)(¹¹), X. LI(⁴⁰), M. MARDARANO(³)(²), L. MAPELI(⁴⁰), S. MARTICL(²⁰), J. MARTICL(²⁰), C. J. MARTOFT(⁴⁰), A. MANDARANO(³)(²), L. MAP

- (¹) APC, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Obs de Paris, USPC Paris 75205, France
- (²) INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso Assergi (AQ) 67100, Italy

 $Creative \ Commons \ Attribution \ 4.0 \ License \ (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)$

^(*) Corresponding author. E-mail: simone.sanfilippo@roma3.infn.it

^(**) Deceased

- (³) Gran Sasso Science Institute L'Aquila 67100, Italy
- (⁴) Physics Department, Augustana University Sioux Falls, SD 57197, USA
- (⁵) Radiation Physics Laboratory, Belgorod National Research University Belgorod 308007, Russia
- ⁽⁶⁾ School of Natural Sciences, Black Hills State University Spearfish, SD 57799, USA
- ⁽⁷⁾ Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973, USA
- ⁽⁸⁾ INFN Bologna Bologna 40126, Italy
- (⁹) Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Bologna Bologna 40126, Italy
- (¹⁰) Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Cagliari Cagliari 09042, Italy
- (¹¹) INFN Cagliari Cagliari 09042, Italy
- (12) Department of Physics, Carleton University Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6, Canada
- ⁽¹³⁾ Physics Institute, Universidade Estadual de Campinas Campinas 13083, Brazil
- (¹⁴) CIEMAT, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas Madrid 28040, Spain
- (¹⁵) INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Sud Catania 95123, Italy
- (¹⁶) Engineering and Architecture Faculty, Università di Enna Kore Enna 94100, Italy
- (¹⁷) Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory Batavia, IL 60510, USA
- (¹⁸) Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Genova Genova 16146, Italy
- (¹⁹) INFN Genova Genova 16146, Italy
- ⁽²⁰⁾ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawai'i Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
- ⁽²¹⁾ Department of Physics, University of Houston Houston, TX 77204, USA
- ⁽²²⁾ Institute of High Energy Physics Beijing 100049, China
- ⁽²³⁾ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research Dubna 141980, Russia
- ⁽²⁴⁾ M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University 30-348 Krakow, Poland
- ⁽²⁵⁾ National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute Moscow 123182, Russia
- (26) LPNHE, CNRS/IN2P3, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Diderot Paris 75252, France
- (²⁷) National Research Nuclear University MEPhI Moscow 115409, Russia
- (²⁸) INFN Milano Milano 20133, Italy
- (²⁹) Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Milano Milano 20133, Italy
- (30) Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University Moscow 119234, Russia
- (³¹) INFN Napoli Napoli 80126, Italy
- (³²) Physics Department, Università degli Studi "Federico II" di Napoli Napoli 80126, Italy
- (³³) Chemical, Materials, and Industrial Production Engineering Department, Università degli Studi "Federico II" di Napoli - Napoli 80126, Italy
- (³⁴) Saint Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute Gatchina 188350, Russia
- (35) Chemistry, Biology and Biotechnology Department, Università degli Studi di Perugia -Perugia 06123, Italy
- (³⁶) INFN Perugia Perugia 06123, Italy
- (³⁷) INFN Pisa Pisa 56127, Italy
- (³⁸) Physics Department, Università degli Studi di Pisa Pisa 56127, Italy
- (³⁹) Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Richland, WA 99352, USA
- ⁽⁴⁰⁾ Physics Department, Princeton University Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
- (41) Mathematics and Physics Department, Università degli Studi Roma Tre Roma 00146, Italy
- (⁴²) INFN Roma Tre Roma 00146, Italy
- (⁴³) INFN Sezione di Roma Roma 00185, Italy
- $(^{44'})$ Physics Department, Sapienza Università di Roma Roma 00185, Italy
- (45) Chemistry and Pharmacy Department, Università degli Studi di Sassari Sassari 07100, Italy
- (⁴⁶) Physics Department, Temple University Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA
- (47) Department of Physics, University of California Davis, CA 95616, USA
- (48) Physics and Astronomy Department, University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

 $\mathbf{2}$

DARKSIDE STATUS AND PROSPECTS

- (49) Amherst Center for Fundamental Interactions and Physics Department, University of Massachusetts - Amherst, MA 01003, USA
- ⁽⁵⁰⁾ Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo São Paulo 05508-090, Brazil
- (⁵¹) Virginia Tech Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA

received 5 February 2019

Summary. — DarkSide uses a dual-phase Liquid Argon Time Projection Chamber to search for WIMP dark matter. The current detector, DarkSide-50, is running since mid 2015 with a target of 50 kg of Argon from an underground source. Here it is presented the latest results of searches of WIMP-nucleus interactions, with WIMP masses in the GeV-TeV range, and of WIMP-electron interactions, in the sub-GeV mass range. The future of DarkSide with a new generation experiment, involving a global collaboration from all the current Argon based experiments, is presented.

The hypothesis of dark matter was introduced more than 80 years ago to explain anomalous motions of galaxies gravitationally bound in clusters. Observational evidence has continued to accumulate since then, including rotation curves of galaxies and their clusters and discrepancies in the distributions of galaxy cluster mass estimated from luminosity vs. gravitational lensing [1-3]. One of the most favored dark matter candidate is the Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP) [4,5], which explains the current abundance of dark matter as a thermal relic of the big bang. Most models predict dark matter WIMP masses near the electroweak scale of 100's of GeV/ c^2 . However, dark matter particle masses $\leq 10 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ can also be compatible with experimental constraints if a significant asymmetry between dark matter and their anti-particles existed in the early universe.

The DarkSide-50 experiment [6,7] is located in Hall C of the Gran Sasso National Laboratory (LNGS) in Italy. It is a two-phase Time Projection Chamber (TPC) with an active mass of (46.4 ± 0.7) kg of underground liquid argon (UAr) deployed in a liquid-scintillator veto (LSV) for neutron and γ -ray rejection, and a water Cherenkov veto (WCV) for shielding and muon detection. Detailed descriptions of the DarkSide detectors, their signals, the calibration and the Monte Carlo simulation can be found in [8-14].

High mass analysis is reported in [15]. Results are reported from blind analysis of a (16660 ± 270) kg d exposure using a target of low-radioactivity argon extracted from underground sources. Unblinded data shows no excesses in the defined dark matter search region; while the background-free and signal-free result sets the best upper limit for an argon based direct DM search experiment to be 1.14×10^{-44} cm² for a WIMP mass of 100 GeV/c².

A search for DM particle with a much lower recoil analysis threshold, sensitive to DM masses down to 1.8 GeV/ c^2 [16,17], it is also presented. WIMPs in this mass range produce nuclear recoils well below 10 keV_{nr} where the efficiency for detecting the prompt scintillation signal S1 is low and pulse shape discrimination (PSD) is no longer available. The analysis in this case is mainly based on the gain inherent in the ionization S2 signal of the dual-phase LAr TPC. S1 pulses are not usually large enough to be detectable,



Fig. 1. – 90% C.L. upper limits on spin-indipendent DM-nucleus cross section from DarkSide-50 in the range above 1.8 GeV/c^2 (see the text).

so no drift time is available for z-fiducialization. The position of each event is then assigned as the center of the PMT receiving the largest number of S2 photoelectrons. The S2 photoelectron yield per extracted ionization electron η , is determined by studying single electron events obtained during a short period of time in which the inline argon purification system was turned off for maintenance purposes. The observation of strong time and space correlations of single-electrons events to preceding large ionization events, suggests that these events are from electrons captured and subsequently released from impurities in the argon [18, 19]. In situ calibration data from $^{241}\text{Am}^{13}\text{C}$ and $^{241}\text{AmBe}$ neutron sources and neutron beam scattering data from the SCENE [20] and ARIS [21] experiments, are used to determine the ionization yield from nuclear recoils Q_y . Upper limits on the WIMP-nucleon scattering cross-section are extracted from the observed N_{e^-} spectrum using a binned profile likelihood method. The 90% C.L. exclusion curves for the binomial fluctuation model (red dotted line) and the model with zero fluctuations in the energy quenching (red dashed line) are shown in fig. 1. For masses above $1.8 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, the 90% C.L. is insensitive to the choice of quenching fluctuation model, while below 1.8 GeV/c^2 the two exclusion curves rapidly diverge because of the effective threshold due to the absence of the fluctuations in the energy quenching process.

I presented here a background-free analysis of high-mass WIMP search, using more than 500 days of data taking of the DarkSide-50 detector, with the best exclusion limit from a LAr experiment for WIMP-nucleon cross section. Best sensitivity limit from the same experiment in low mass WIMP search in the range of $1.8-6 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ were also presented. Finally these results are extremely promising in view of the next DarkSide detectors generation (DarkSide-20k [22] and GADMC - Global Argon Dark Matter Collaboration).

REFERENCES

- [1] REFREGIER A., Annu. Rev. Astro. Astrophys., 41 (2003) 645.
- [2] CLOWE D. et al., Ap. J., 648 (2006) L109.
- [3] THOMPSON R., DAVÈ R. and NAGANINE K., Month. Not. Royal Astron. Soc., 452 (2015) 3030.

- [4] STEIGMAN G. and TURNER M. S., Nucl. Phys. B, 253 (1985) 375.
- [5] BERTONE G., HOOPER D. and SILK J., Phys. Rep., 405 (2005) 279.
- [6] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), Phys. Lett. B, 743 (2015) 456-466.
- [7] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), Phys. Rev. D, 93 (2016) 081101(R).
- [8] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), JINST, 11 (2016) P03016.
- [9] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), JINST, 11 (2016) P12007.
- [10] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), JINST, 12 (2017) T12004.
- [11] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), JINST, 12 (2017) P10015.
- [12] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), JINST, 12 (2017) P12011.
- [13] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), JINST, 12 (2017) P01021.
- [14] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), Nucl. Instr. Meth. A, 904 (2018) 23-34.
- [15] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), arXiv:1802.07198 (submitted to Phys. Rev. D) (2018).
- [16] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), Phys. Rev. Lett, 121 (2018) 081307.
- [17] AGNES P. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), Phys. Rev. Lett, 121 (2018) 111303.
- [18] SORENSEN P., arXiv:1702.04805v1 (2017).
- [19] SORENSEN P. AND KAMDIN K., arXiv:1711.07025v2 (2017).
- [20] CAO H. et al. (THE SCENE COLLABORATION), Phys. Rev. D, 91 (2015) 092007.
- [21] AGNES P. et al. (THE ARIS COLLABORATION), Phys. Rev. D, 97 (2018) 112005.
- [22] AALSETH C. E. et al. (THE DARKSIDE COLLABORATION), Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 133 (2018) 131.