

1 **A longitudinal investigation into the relative age effect in an English**  
2 **professional football club: Exploring the ‘underdog hypothesis’**

3 Adam L. Kelly<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Mark R. Wilson<sup>2,3</sup>, Lewis A. Gough<sup>1</sup>, Harry Knapman<sup>3</sup>, Paul  
4 Morgan<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Matthew Cole<sup>1</sup>, Daniel T. Jackson<sup>1</sup>, and Craig A. Williams<sup>2</sup>

5 *<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Health, Education and Life Sciences, Birmingham City University, Birmingham,*  
6 *West Midlands, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>Children’s Health and Exercise Research Centre, College*  
7 *of Life & Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, Devon, United Kingdom;*  
8 *<sup>3</sup>Exeter City Football Club, Exeter, Devon, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>College of Life & Environmental*  
9 *Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, West Midlands, United Kingdom*

10 Correspondence: A. L. Kelly, Department of Sport & Exercise, Birmingham City University,  
11 City South Campus, Westbourne Road, Edgbaston, B15 3TN, UK. E-mail:  
12 Adam.Kelly@bcu.ac.uk

13

14 **A longitudinal investigation into the relative age effect in an English**  
15 **professional football club: Exploring the ‘underdog hypothesis’**

16 The relative age effect (RAE) refers to the bias influence of birthdate distribution, with  
17 athletes born later in the selection year being under-represented in talent development  
18 systems. However, the ‘underdog hypothesis’ suggests that younger birth quarter (BQ)  
19 athletes are over-represented among those who successfully transition from youth  
20 systems to senior professional status. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was twofold;  
21 (1) to provide further test of the RAE over twelve seasons ( $n=556$ ), and (2) to examine  
22 the BQ of professional contracts awarded to academy graduates at an English  
23 professional football club over eleven seasons ( $n=364$ ). Significantly skewed ( $P<0.001$ )  
24 birthdate distributions were found for academy players (BQ1  $n=224$ ; BQ2  $n=168$ ; BQ3  
25  $n=88$ ; BQ4  $n=76$ ). The distribution from academy graduates was also significantly  
26 skewed for professional contracts awarded ( $P=0.03$ ), with greater BQ4 representation  
27 ( $n=8$ ) compared to other BQs (BQ1  $n=5$ ; BQ2  $n=8$ ; BQ3  $n=6$ ). These findings are  
28 indicative that the RAE continues to manifest within an academy setting. Interestingly  
29 however, the underdog hypothesis shows BQ4s were approximately four times more  
30 likely to achieve senior professional status compared to BQ1s. Implications for talent  
31 identification and development in football are discussed.

32 Keywords: Relative age effect; Underdog hypothesis; Youth football academy; Youth  
33 soccer; Talent identification; Talent development

34

35 **Introduction**

36 The aim of a football academy is to recruit young players with the potential to be developed  
37 into professional football players, in order to achieve both sporting and financial success  
38 (Gonaus & Muller, 2012). It is therefore important to identify early predictors of long-term  
39 success so that the most highly talented youth football players receive continued support from  
40 a young age to achieve their potential (Stratton, Reilly, Williams, & Richardson, 2004).  
41 However, the complex nature of the talent development process, coupled with the holistic  
42 characteristics that are associated with superior development and the successful transition from  
43 youth academy level to senior professional status, suggests that the application of early  
44 predictors is often flawed and subject to biases which limits academies' success in meeting  
45 their stated aims (Forsman, Blomqvist, Davids, Liukkonen, & Konttinen, 2016; Kelly, Wilson,  
46 & Williams, 2018; Sarmiento, Anguera, Pereira, & Araujo, 2018).

47 One such bias is the influence of selection and progression through birthdate  
48 distribution, known as the relative age effect (RAE; Barnsley, Thompson, & Barnsley, 1985).  
49 The RAE signifies that children born in the first six months of the selection year are  
50 significantly over-represented in youth team selection (Helsen, van Winckel, & Williams,  
51 2012). Research has consistently shown that young athletes who are born early in the selection  
52 year have a distinct advantage through being older, bigger, faster, stronger, and more mature,  
53 and are therefore more likely to be perceived as 'talented' and subsequently selected for talent  
54 development programmes (Baxter-Jones, 1995; Gil et al., 2014; Gil, Ruiz, Irazusta, Gil, &  
55 Irazusta, 2007; Musch & Grondin, 2001; Wattie, Schorer, & Baker, 2015). The RAE is almost  
56 ubiquitous in youth sport, having been demonstrated in athletics (Hollings, Hume, & Hopkins,  
57 2014), Australian rules football (van Der Honert, 2012), baseball (Grondin & Koren, 2000;  
58 Nakata & Sakamoto, 2013), basketball (Delorme & Raspaud, 2009), cricket (Edwards, 1994;  
59 McCarthy, Collins, & Court, 2016), dance (van Rossum, 2006), ice hockey (Nolan & Howell,

60 2010; Turnnidge, Hancock, & Cote, 2014), rugby league (Till et al., 2010), rugby union  
61 (McCarthy & Collins, 2014; McCarthy et al., 2016), swimming (Cobley et al., 2018), and tennis  
62 (Dudink, 1994; Ulbricht, Fernandez-Fernandez, Mendez-Villanueva, & Ferrauti, 2015)  
63 (amongst others).

64 In 'elite' youth football specifically, birthdate distribution has a significant impact on  
65 player identification and development (Barnsley, Thompson, & Legault, 1992; Glamser &  
66 Vincent, 2004; Gonzalez Bertomeu, 2018; Gonzalez-Villora, Pastor-Vicedo, Cordente, 2015;  
67 Helsen et al., 2012; Helsen, Hodges, van Winckel, & Starkes, 2000; Helsen, van Winckel, &  
68 Williams, 2005; Massa et al., 2014; Meylan, Cronin, Oliver, & Hughes, 2010; Musch & Hay,  
69 1999; Padron-Cabo, Rey, Luis Garcia-Soidan, & Penedo-Jamardo, 2016; Votteler & Honer,  
70 2014, 2017; Williams, 2010). For example, in a Europe-wide study, Helsen et al. (2005) found  
71 an over-representation of players born in the first birth quarter (BQ) in both national and  
72 professional youth selections across all age groups (cf. Doyle & Bottomley, 2018; Gonzalez-  
73 Villora et al., 2015). In Brazil, Massa et al. (2014) found a similar effect in a single professional  
74 football club. In fact, a strong RAE in youth football has been established in America,  
75 Australia, Brazil, Germany, and Japan (amongst others), suggestive of a consistent global effect  
76 that is independent of the specific cut-off dates used to define the sporting year across countries  
77 (Votteler & Honer, 2014, 2017; Glamser & Vincent, 2004; Musch & Hay, 1999).

78 These research studies highlight the limitations of the selection process within youth  
79 football, which restrict the opportunities for players born late in the sporting year (Meylan et  
80 al., 2010). The potential cost of missing this talent may be hard to calculate accurately, but  
81 what can be investigated is the degree to which late BQ players who do make it into an academy  
82 make the successful transition into senior professional football. McCarthy and Collins (2014)  
83 discovered that late-birth players actually achieved more senior professional contracts  
84 compared to their older peers in a major English rugby union academy, subsequently

85 suggesting this may be due to the relatively younger players developing superior psychological  
86 skills and technical expertise to compensate for their early physical disadvantage. This has been  
87 further supported in professional cricket (McCarthy et al., 2016), professional ice hockey  
88 (Gibbs, Jarvis, & Dufur; 2012; Fumarco, Gibbs, Jarvis, & Rossi, 2017), and professional rugby  
89 league (Till, Cogley, Morley, O'Hara, Chapman, & Cooke, 2016). For instance, Till et al.  
90 (2016) found that a higher percentage of chronologically younger rugby league academy  
91 players attained professional status (BQ2 = 8.5% versus BQ4 = 25.5%). In professional ice  
92 hockey, Fumarco et al. (2017) reported that players born in BQ4 score more and demand higher  
93 salaries compared to those born in BQ1, whilst Gibbs et al. (2012) have also revealed that the  
94 average career duration is longer for players born later in the selection year. Gibbs et al. (2012)  
95 further proposed an 'underdog hypothesis', whereby being a younger BQ essentially facilitates  
96 long-term development by necessitating them to overcome the odds of the RAE, through being  
97 challenged by their older and more advanced peers.

98         From a football perspective, whilst the RAE has been extensively examined, research  
99 often focuses on the older age groups within 'youth' settings (i.e., under-19) at top European  
100 clubs or countries (cf. Doyle & Bottomley, 2018; Gonzalez-Villora et al., 2015; Padron-Cabo  
101 et al., 2016). However, it is important to appreciate that professional status can be achieved at  
102 lower league levels, whilst the recruitment of BQs throughout the development process (i.e.,  
103 under-9 to under-18) must also be considered to examine the extent to which the RAE is rooted.  
104 The status of professional football academies must also be acknowledged whilst examining the  
105 RAE, as external validity from the existing research that often captures higher category  
106 standings may be questioned for lower category equivalents. For instance, differences in BQ  
107 recruitment may be apparent because of greater monetary outlay and the subsequent access and  
108 opportunities that are provided to young players.

109           It is evident that there is a complicated relationship between the BQ a player is born in,  
110 their opportunities to be selected into a talent development programme, and their chances of  
111 successfully transitioning from such a programme. To the authors' knowledge, there are no  
112 studies that have investigated the underdog hypothesis within a Category 3 academy and Tier  
113 4 English professional football club. Therefore, the aim of this study was twofold; 1) to  
114 examine the RAE in a Category 3 academy, and 2) to test the underdog hypothesis by  
115 examining the BQ of academy graduates and the subsequent professional contracts awarded at  
116 a Tier 4 English professional football club.

## 117 **Methods**

### 118 *Participants*

119 For Part 1, to examine the existence of the RAE, 556 participants were included who were  
120 either current or previously registered academy players. The oldest players were born in 1989  
121 and the youngest born in 2008, which includes data across twelve seasons. For Part 2, to  
122 examine the possibility of the underdog hypothesis, 364 participants were included who were  
123 previously registered academy players, to assess which graduates achieved a senior  
124 professional contract at aged 18 years across eleven seasons, with the oldest academy alumni  
125 born in 1989 and the youngest born in 1999. All the participants were recruited from the same  
126 Tier 4 English professional football club and their Category 3 academy. This study was  
127 approved by the Ethics Committee of Sport and Health Sciences at the University of Exeter.

### 128 *Procedure*

129 The twelve months of the year were divided into four BQs, conforming to the strategy used to  
130 examine the RAE in other UK populated studies (Helsen et al., 2005), with September  
131 classified as 'month 1' and August 'month 12'. To conform with previous studies of a similar

132 design (cf. McCarthy et al., 2016; McCarthy & Collins, 2014; Till et al., 2010), each player  
133 was assigned a BQ in their selection year, which were compared to the expected distributions  
134 from the calculated average national live births in England and Wales (Office for National  
135 Statistics [ONS], 2015). For Part 2, as each player had graduated from the academy, the data  
136 collection also examined who achieved senior professional status; defined as signing a full-  
137 time professional contract for a minimum of one year. In addition to comparing the contracts  
138 awarded distributions to the ONS (2015) expected distributions, they were also compared  
139 against the academy distributions to gain a full understanding of any bias effects.

#### 140 ***Data analysis***

141 Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) analysis was used to compare quartile distributions in the sample and against  
142 population values (ONS, 2015), following procedures outlined by McHugh (2013). As this test  
143 does not reveal the magnitude of difference between quartile distributions for significant chi-  
144 square outputs, Cramer's V was also used. The Cramer's V was interpreted as per conventional  
145 thresholds for correlation; a value of 0.06 or more would indicate a small effect size, 0.17 or  
146 more would indicate a medium effect size, and 0.29 or more would indicate a large effect size  
147 (Cohen, 1988). Odds Ratios and 95% confidence intervals were used to compare BQs for  
148 achievement of academy and professional status. For all the tests, results were considered  
149 statistically significant when  $P < 0.05$ . Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD unless otherwise  
150 indicated. All statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 24.

#### 151 **Results**

152 The academy quartile distributions were significantly skewed with a large effect size compared  
153 to national norms ( $\chi^2$  (df = 3) = 103.57,  $P < 0.001$ ,  $V = 0.305$ ). Significant ORs were found  
154 between BQ1 and BQ3 (OR: 2.46, 95% CI 1.73–3.46), BQ1 and BQ4 (OR: 2.94, 95% CI 2.08–  
155 4.17), and BQ2 and BQ3 (OR: 1.92, 95% CI 1.36–2.73), and BQ2 and BQ4 (OR: 2.30, 95%

156 CI 1.60–3.29). Thus, both BQ1 and BQ2 players were more likely to be academy players than  
157 BQ3 or BQ4 players were. Descriptive statistics demonstrate BQ1s ( $n = 224$ , 40.29%) were  
158 over-represented compared to any other BQ (BQ2  $n = 168$ , 30.22%; BQ3  $n = 88$ , 15.83%; BQ4  
159  $n = 76$ , 13.66%). The academy data is presented in Figure 1.

160 \*\*\*\*Figure 1 near here\*\*\*\*

161 When examining contracts awarded, the quartile distribution was not skewed compared to  
162 national norms ( $\chi^2$  (df = 3) = 1.06,  $P = 0.709$ ,  $V = 0.08$ ). Interestingly however, BQ4s  
163 represented a larger portion of professional contracts awarded for academy graduates ( $n = 8$ ,  
164 14.0%) compared to the other BQs (BQ1  $n = 5$ , 3.5%; BQ2  $n = 8$ , 7.4%; BQ3  $n = 6$ , 11.1%).  
165 Figure 2 presents the percentage of professional contracts awarded within each BQ based on  
166 the total number of academy graduates within each BQ.

167 \*\*\*\*Figure 2 near here\*\*\*\*

168 Whilst further examining contracts awarded, the quartile distributions were significantly  
169 skewed with a large effect size when compared to the academy distributions ( $\chi^2$  (df = 3) = 8.91,  
170  $P = 0.03$ ,  $V = 0.41$ ). The only significant OR was found between BQ1 and BQ4 players, with  
171 BQ4 more likely to attain professional status (OR: 4.72, 95% CI 1.50–14.85). This is also  
172 highlighted in the almost twice as many observed (BQ4  $n = 8$ ) than expected (BQ4  $n = 4.23$ )  
173 contracts awarded. Figure 3 presents the total number of observed and expected professional  
174 contracts awarded in each BQ. The descriptive statistics are also presented in Table 1.

175 \*\*\*\*Figure 3 near here\*\*\*\*

176 \*\*\*\*Table 1 near here\*\*\*\*



177 **Discussion**

178 Football academies are the primary talent development system for professional football in  
179 England. The decisions made with regards to who is selected into these systems at an early age  
180 constrains the subsequent outputs from that system. Therefore, it is important to better  
181 understand why certain individuals might be more likely to selected into an academy, and also  
182 why others might be more likely to successfully graduate. The current study sought not only to  
183 offer further evidence of the RAE (a bias in early selection) within a Category 3 academy, but  
184 to also provide an examination of the underdog hypothesis (a potential bias in late graduation)  
185 within the same Tier 4 professional football club in England.

186         The results from Part 1 of this current study are consistent with similar RAE research  
187 within elite youth football (Gonzalez-Villora et al., 2015; Helsen et al., 2005; Massa et al.,  
188 2014; Williams, 2010). For instance, the distribution of BQ percentages are similar to those of  
189 Takacs and Romann (2016), who found a significant RAE and medium effect size amongst  
190 UEFA Youth League clubs, illustrating that BQ1s were 3.4 times more likely to be selected  
191 compared to BQ4s. This study comparably found BQ1s were 2.9 times more likely to be  
192 selected compared to BQ4s. Similarly, the BQ distributions of this current study are equivocal  
193 to those from Massa et al. (2014), whose observational case study of the famed Sao Paulo  
194 Football Club presented a 47.5% BQ1 distribution compared to an 8.8% BQ4 distribution  
195 within their academy. Subsequently, this study does not only provide further evidence that the  
196 RAE exists across countries and is independent of selection cut-off dates, it also offers a unique  
197 interpretation that the RAE may be a deep-rooted phenomenon throughout the academy  
198 pathway (under-9 to under-18), and is equally apparent at lower category status when compared  
199 to their higher category counterparts. Therefore, despite over 25 years of research highlighting  
200 this birthdate advantage (Barnsley et al., 1992), the RAE appears to continue to manifest within  
201 elite youth football (cf. Helsen et al., 2012).

202           A number of previous studies that have identified a RAE within a youth football setting  
203 have criticised its existence and supported the need for interventions to eliminate such observed  
204 effects (Gonzalez-Villora et al., 2015; Helsen et al., 2012, 2005; Massa et al., 2014). For  
205 example, Massa et al. (2014) stated the existence of the RAE needs to be considered during the  
206 identification and development of young football players and should be analysed carefully in  
207 order to minimise the loss of potential talent. Gonzalez-Villora et al. (2015) further suggest the  
208 football federations of different countries should take responsibility for the RAE, and thus  
209 adapt the rules of youth competitions for the best development of all players on equal terms.  
210 Despite these calls, there have been few research studies examining modifications to the talent  
211 development process.

212           Besides football, Cobley and colleagues have devised a method named ‘corrective  
213 adjustments’ as a solution to remove RAEs in timed sports such as athletics and swimming (cf.  
214 Cobley et al., 2019; Romann & Cobley, 2015). This is whereby regression equations are  
215 applied through birthdate distribution and raw performance times, with the dissemination of  
216 performance levels subsequently re-examined for greater chronological age equality. However,  
217 the timed nature of this strategy would be inadequate for a team sport environment, thus further  
218 mediating solutions are required for this particular cohort. Mann and van Ginneken (2017)  
219 produced evidence for an intervention designed to reduce the RAE through applying an age-  
220 ordered shirt numbering system. They found that supporting talent scouts with the knowledge  
221 that the numbers on the playing shirts corresponded with the relative age of the players  
222 eliminated age bias. Bennett, Vaeyens, and Fransen (2018) suggested a mitigating tool of  
223 establishing a ‘selection quota’ whereby sporting organisations and talent development  
224 programmes are required to select a minimum number of athletes from each BQ. Tribolet,  
225 Watsford, Coutts, Smith, and Fransen (2018) proposed discouraging early deselection,  
226 particularly during adolescence, to allow continued exposure to higher-level coaching and

227 resources without the option of being deselected. However, previous research has illustrated  
228 that repeated incidences of selection and deselection may be more beneficial to achieving  
229 senior professional status, thus further research is required to address whether the avoidance of  
230 deselection within a talent pathway is beneficial for achieving long-term expertise. In addition,  
231 future research should explore the implications of other strategies, such as the age-ordered shirt  
232 numbering system and selection quota approaches, on moderating the RAE in youth football.

233         However, perhaps a cultural change is also required in talent identification. Professional  
234 football clubs in England can begin to formally sign academy players at under-9, and ‘talent’  
235 at this early stage tends to be identified as current ability in comparison to peers, leaving little  
236 thought surrounding the characteristics that support the subsequent achievement of expertise  
237 as a senior athlete (MacNamara & Collins, 2011). For instance, Muller, Gehmaier, Gonaus,  
238 Raschner, and Muller (2018) illustrated a RAE in a cohort of 222 ‘international elite under-9s’  
239 with over twice as many BQ1s ( $n = 86$ ) representing academies at this particular high-level  
240 tournament compared to BQ4s ( $n = 39$ ), suggesting that the selection process at this age is bias  
241 towards relatively older players. As these players will form the core of each successive age  
242 group for the proceeding years, biases in selection into an academy (i.e., the RAE) will  
243 subsequently manifest over a prolonged period. Therefore, since the purpose of an academy  
244 should be to identify and then develop young football players towards future performance  
245 abilities, attention should rather concentrate on those characteristics to manage the course of  
246 development, rather than focussing on current performance abilities (Abbott & Collins, 2004).

247         The results from Part 2 of this current study are consistent with the suggestion of the  
248 ‘underdog hypothesis’, with BQ4 players approximately four times more likely to achieve a  
249 professional contract compared to BQ1 players. This is represented in the significant difference  
250 in distributions and significant OR between BQ1 and BQ4 (although no other significant  
251 differences were observed in other quartiles). As per Figure 3, when comparing the observed

252 and expected professional contracts awarded, there appears to be a form of RAE reversal;  
253 similar to that observed by McCarthy and colleagues (cf. McCarthy & Collins, 2014; McCarthy  
254 et al., 2016). BQ4s achieved almost double the number of expected professional contracts when  
255 inspected against retrospective academy distributions. This is in contrast to the BQ1s, who  
256 achieved less than half of their expected number of professional contracts. This may suggest a  
257 reversal of the distribution bias in the youth to senior transition, indicative of the potential  
258 advantage to those chronologically younger players within an English football academy.

259         One interesting issue raised by the Part 2 results of this current study is that eliminating  
260 the RAE in academy football may also remove the potential ‘underdog’ benefits for later birth  
261 quartiles, through consistently engaging with their older peers. For example, it has been  
262 suggested that through playing against relatively older, more mature athletes within their  
263 chronological age group, BQ3 and BQ4s have to develop certain technical proficiencies and/or  
264 tactical awareness to be able to counteract this physical bias against BQ1 and BQ2s (Fumarco  
265 et al., 2017; Gibbs et al., 2012; McCarthy & Collins, 2014; McCarthy et al., 2016; Schorer,  
266 Cogley, Busch, Brautigam, & Baker, 2009). To simplify from an applied perspective, a larger,  
267 stronger player may be able to easily dispossess a smaller, weaker opponent as a result of their  
268 physical dominance, thus a smaller, weaker player must create a technical or tactical solution  
269 to reduce this advantage. Ashworth and Heyndels (2007) highlight how these younger, smaller  
270 players must overcome ‘a system that discriminates against them’, through being more talented  
271 than their relatively larger counterparts to counteract their size advantage. Therefore, it may be  
272 suggested that BQ3 and BQ4s are likely to be ‘positively’ selected, whereby they are chosen  
273 from ‘the right tail of the ability distribution’ (Fumarco et al., 2017).

274         Furthermore, while a smaller, weaker player may be physically inferior throughout their  
275 youth development as a result of their younger age, once they ‘catch-up’ towards adulthood,  
276 they may have developed certain psychological characteristics that previously allowed them to

277 compete (Gonzalez Bertomeu, 2018). For example, Schorer et al. (2009) also demonstrated the  
278 underdog hypothesis, where the initial disadvantage may eventually contribute to the later  
279 superiority when early differences in size plateau towards adulthood. This is potentially  
280 through learning to ‘work harder’, resulting in peer effects that facilitate resilience and  
281 improved motivation (Schorer et al., 2009). Thus, these psychological benefits likely equip the  
282 chronologically younger players, or ‘underdogs’, to overcome subsequent obstacles and  
283 succeed at senior professional level (Fumarco et al., 2017; Roberts & Stott, 2015). Cumming  
284 et al. (2018) provided further partial support for the underdog hypothesis, whereby relatively  
285 younger players benefitted from competitive play with older peers, whilst identifying later  
286 maturing players possessed a psychological advantage compared to their earlier maturing  
287 equivalents. Jones, Lawrence, and Hardy (2018) also described this effect at ‘super-elite level’  
288 as the resilient and mind-set that BQ3 and BQ4s acquire throughout their development process,  
289 because of being younger and often less mature compared to BQ1 and BQ2s.

290         So how do academies get the ‘best of both worlds’ with regards to moderating the RAE  
291 whilst also gaining the benefits of the underdog hypothesis (if at all possible)? Whilst current  
292 strategies appear unexplored, future research could examine the effect of ‘playing-up’ a  
293 chronological age group to facilitate greater early BQ player development by creating a ‘BQ4  
294 effect’ in an older age group. In-turn, this may also mediate the widely reported high dropout  
295 rates amongst later BQ players (cf. Figueiredo, Goncalves, Coelho-e-Silva, & Malina, 2009;  
296 Helsen, Starkes, & van Winckel, 1998), whilst also providing a greater opening for more later  
297 birth quartiles to be selected into an academy environment at an early age. Likewise, ‘playing-  
298 down’ an age group may also offer a more suitable developmental setting for later BQ players  
299 whilst they ‘catch-up’ with their chronologically older peers, whilst also providing a more  
300 challenging environment for early birth quartiles in a younger age group. Thus, it is suggested  
301 academies adopt a ‘flexible chronological approach’ to group young athletes by offering early

302 birth quartiles (i.e., BQ1s) and late birth quartiles (i.e., BQ4s) the opportunity to play-up and  
303 play-down an annual age group respectively, as opposed to fixed chronological bandings.

304 In addition to the distribution of BQs in this current study, the total number of  
305 professional contracts awarded across the eleven seasons was 27 out of 364 players that have  
306 entered the academy. This figure demonstrates that only 7.4% of players graduated with a  
307 professional contract following their academy involvement, thus offering a potential  
308 benchmark to fellow Category 3 academies. Drawing upon this conversion value, it is essential  
309 to acknowledge the limited opportunities for young players who enter an academy to  
310 subsequently achieve professional status, thus emphasising the dual responsibility and  
311 importance of coaches to develop players holistically as people, as well as young football  
312 players, through positive youth development (cf. Strachan, Cote, & Deakin, 2011).

313 Furthermore, it is important to recognise the issues surrounding external validity. For  
314 instance, the relatively newly formed under-23 league amongst Category 1 and 2 academies  
315 indicates the conversion figures would be significantly higher, as the requirement to participate  
316 at under-23 level for this status is mandatory when compared to Category 3 academies (The  
317 Premier League, 2011). In addition, Category 3 academies may have traditionally been  
318 acknowledged as a 'Centre of Excellence' prior to the reformed Elite Player Performance Plan  
319 (EPPP) category system in 2011 (The Premier League, 2011), which may have provided  
320 restricted opportunities to achieve professional status as a result of limited monetary resources  
321 and organisational structure. Therefore, the retrospective nature of this data may not provide a  
322 truly accurate insight of the opportunities that are apparent nowadays, thus coaches and  
323 practitioners are suggested to act with caution when interpreting the outcomes within a modern  
324 academy environment.

## 325 **Conclusion**

326 The holistic characteristics that have been discussed (i.e., technical, tactical, physical, and  
327 psychological factors), have previously been associated with both greater development  
328 outcomes and the successful transition from youth academy level to senior professional status  
329 (Sarmiento et al., 2018). Therefore, these factors cannot be ignored whilst considering the socio-  
330 environmental dynamics when incorporating new and innovative strategies to eliminate the  
331 RAE within talent identification and development processes in academy football. As a result,  
332 whilst BQ4s may be less likely to be identified as ‘talented’ during the early stages of the  
333 development process, it appears they may be embarking on a long-term process that eventually  
334 sees them catch-up, and in some cases overtake, their older counterparts in BQ1. Thus, it is  
335 suggested that coaches and practitioners should act with caution when creating strategies to  
336 eliminate the RAE, as doing so may also eradicate the underdog hypothesis. This is likely  
337 achieved through removing the natural developmental outcomes occurring along the ‘rocky  
338 road’ that is created for significantly younger players whilst playing within a chronological age  
339 group (McCarthy & Collins, 2014). However, further research is required to fully understand  
340 why early disadvantage may lead to greater opportunities. Furthermore, additional research  
341 into the proposed solutions for the RAE is required, to ensure there is a continued emphasis on  
342 creating the right environment for every player to develop to their full potential.

343 **Acknowledgements**

344 This research was co-funded by the University of Exeter, College of Life & Environmental Sciences,  
345 the Open Innovation Platform at the University of Exeter, and Exeter City Football Club Academy.  
346 Thanks to the players, parents, and staff at Exeter City Football Club Academy for their participation  
347 and support in this project.

348 **Disclosure statement**

349 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

350 **Word count**

351 4,280 (including Title and Abstract; excluding Tables, Figures, and References)



352 **References**

- 353 Ashworth, J., & Heyndels, B. (2007). Selection bias and peer effects in team sports: The effect  
354 of age grouping on earnings of German soccer players. *Journal of Sports Economics*,  
355 8(4), 355–377.
- 356 Abbott, A., & Collins, D. (2004). Eliminating dichotomy between theory and practice in talent  
357 identification and development: Considering the role of psychology. *Journal of Sports*  
358 *Sciences*, 22(5), 395–408.
- 359 Barnsley, R. H., Thompson, A. H., & Barnsley, P. E. (1985). Hockey success and birthdate:  
360 The relative age effect. *CAHPER Journal*, 51(8), 23–28.
- 361 Barnsley, R. H., Thompson, A. H., & Legault, P. E. (1992). Family planning: Football style.  
362 The relative age effect in football. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*,  
363 27(1), 77–87.
- 364 Baxter-Jones, A. (1995). Growth and development of young athletes: Should competition be  
365 age related? *Sports Medicine*, 20(2), 59–64.
- 366 Cogley, S., Abbott, S., Dogramaci, S., Kable, A., Salter, J., Hinterman, M., & Romann, M.  
367 (2018). Transient relative age effects across annual age groups in national level  
368 Australian swimmers. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 21(8), 839–845.
- 369 Cogley, S., Abbott, S., Eisenhuth, J., Salter, J., McGregor, D., & Romann, M. (2019).  
370 Removing relative age effects from youth swimming: The development and testing of  
371 corrective adjustments procedure. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 22(6),  
372 735–740.
- 373 Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: L.  
374 Erlbaum Associates.
- 375 Cumming, S. P., Searle, C., Hemsley, J. K., Haswell, F., Edwards, H., Scott, S., Gross, A.,  
376 Ryan, D., Lewis, J., White, P., Cain, A., Mitchell, S. B., & Malina, R. M. (2018).  
377 Biological maturation, relative age and self-regulation in male professional academy  
378 soccer players: A test of the underdog hypothesis. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*,  
379 39, 147–153.
- 380 Delorme, N., & Raspaud, M. (2009). The relative age effect in young French basketball  
381 players: A study on the whole population. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and*  
382 *Science in Sports*, 19(2), 235–242.

- 383 Doyle, J. R., & Bottomley, P. A. (2018). Relative age effect in elite soccer: More early-born  
384 players, but no better valued, and no paragon clubs or countries. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(2),  
385 e0192209.
- 386 Dudink, A. (1994). Birth date and sporting success. *Nature*, *368*(6472), 592.
- 387 Edwards, S. (1994). Born too late to win? *Nature*, *370*(6486), 186.
- 388 Figueiredo, A. J., Goncalves, C. E., Coelho-e-Silva, M. J., & Malina, R. M. (2009).  
389 Characteristics of youth soccer players who drop out, persist or move up. *Journal of*  
390 *Sports Sciences*, *27*(9), 883–891.
- 391 Forsman, H., Blomqvist, M., Davids, K., Liukkonen, J., & Kontinen, N. (2016). Identifying  
392 technical, physiological, tactical and psychological characteristics that contribute to  
393 career progression in soccer. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*,  
394 *11*(4), 505–513.
- 395 Fumarco, L., Gibbs, B. G., Jarvis, J. A., & Rossi, G. (2017). The relative age effect reversal  
396 among the National Hockey League elite. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(8), e0182827.
- 397 Gibbs, B. G., Jarvis, J. A., & Dufur, M. J. (2012). The rise of the underdog? The relative age  
398 effect reversal among Canadian-born NHL hockey players: A reply to Nolan and  
399 Howell. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, *47*(5), 644–649.
- 400 Gil, S. M., Badiola, A., Bidaurrezaga-Letona, I., Zabala-Lili, J., Gravina, L., Santos-Concejero,  
401 J., Lekue, J. A., & Granados, C. (2014). Relationship between the relative age effect  
402 and anthropometry, maturity and performance in young soccer players. *Journal of*  
403 *Sports Sciences*, *32*(5), 479–486.
- 404 Gil, S., M., Ruiz, F., Irazusta, A., Gil, J., & Irazusta, J. (2007). Selection of young soccer  
405 players in terms of anthropometric and physiological factors. *Journal of Sports*  
406 *Medicine and Physical Fitness*, *47*(1), 25–32.
- 407 Glamser, F. D., & Vincent, J. (2004). The relative age effect among elite American youth  
408 soccer players. *Journal of Sport Behaviour*, *27*(1), 31–38.
- 409 Gonaus, C., & Muller, E. (2012). Using physiological data to predict future career progression  
410 in 14- to 17-year-old Austrian soccer academy players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,  
411 *30*(15), 1673–1682.
- 412 Gonzalez Bertomeu, J. F. (2018). Too late for talent to kick in? The relative age effect in  
413 Argentinian male football. *Soccer & Society*, *19*(4), 573–592.
- 414 Gonzalez-Villora, S., Pastor-Vicedo, J. C., & Cordente, D. (2015). Relative age effect in UEFA  
415 championship soccer players. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, *47*(1), 237–248.

- 416 Grondin, S., & Koren, S. (2000). The relative age effect in professional baseball: A look at the  
417 history of major league baseball and at current status in Japan. *Avante*, 6, 64–74.
- 418 Helsen, W. F., Baker, J., Michiels, S., Schorer, J., van Winckel, J., & Williams, M. A. (2012).  
419 The relative age effect in European professional soccer: Did ten years of research make  
420 any difference? *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(15), 1665–1671.
- 421 Helsen, W. F., Hodges, N. J., van Winckel, J., & Starkes, J. L. (2000). The roles of talent,  
422 physical precocity and practice in the development of soccer expertise. *Journal of*  
423 *Sports Sciences*, 18(9), 727–736.
- 424 Helsen, W. F., Starkes, J. L., & van Winckel, J. (1998). The influence of relative age on success  
425 and dropout in male soccer players. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 10(6), 791–  
426 798.
- 427 Helsen, W. F., van Winckel, J., & Williams, M. A. (2005). The relative age effect in youth  
428 soccer across Europe. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 23(6), 629–636.
- 429 Hollings, S. C., Hume, P. A., & Hopkins, W. G. (2014). Relative-age effect on competition  
430 outcomes at the World Youth and World Junior Athletics Championships. *European*  
431 *Journal of Sport Science*, 14(1), 456–461.
- 432 Jones, B. D., Lawrence, G. P., & Hardy, L. (2018). New evidence of relative age effects in  
433 “super-elite” sportsmen: A case for the survival and evolution of the fittest. *Journal of*  
434 *Sports Sciences*, 36(6), 697–703.
- 435 Kelly, A. L., Wilson, M. R., & Williams, C. A. (2018). Developing a football-specific talent  
436 identification and development profiling concept – The Locking Wheel Nut Model.  
437 *Applied Coaching Research Journal*, 2, 32–41.
- 438 Bennett, K. J. M., Vaeyens, R., & Franssen, J. (2019). Creating a framework for talent  
439 identification and development in emerging football nations. *Science and Medicine in*  
440 *Football*, 3(1), 36–42.
- 441 MacNamara, A., & Collins, D. (2011). Development and initial validation of the Psychological  
442 Characteristics of Developing Excellence Questionnaire. *Journal of Sports Science*,  
443 29(12), 1273–1286.
- 444 Mann, D. L., & van Ginneken, P. J. M. A. (2017). Age-ordered shirt numbering reduces the  
445 selection bias associated with the relative age effect. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 35(8),  
446 784–790.
- 447 Massa, M., Costa, E. C., Moreira, A., Thiengo, C. R., Lima, M. R., Marquez, W. Q., & Aoki,  
448 M. S. (2014). The relative age effect in soccer: A case study of the Sao Paulo Football

449 Club. *Revista Brasileira de Cineantropometria & Desempenho Humano*, 16(4), 399–  
450 405.

451 McCarthy, N., & Collins, D. (2014). Initial identification & selection bias versus the eventual  
452 confirmation of talent: Evidence for the benefits of a rocky road? *Journal of Sports*  
453 *Sciences*, 32(17), 1604–1610.

454 McCarthy, N., Collins, D., & Court, D. (2016). Start hard, finish better: Further evidence for  
455 the reversal of the RAE advantage. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(15), 1461–1465.

456 McHugh, M. L. (2013). The chi-square test of independence. *Biochemia Medica*, 23(2), 143–  
457 149.

458 Meylan, C., Cronin, J., Oliver, J., & Hughes, M. (2010). Talent identification in soccer: The  
459 role of maturity status on physical, physiological and technical characteristics.  
460 *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 5(4), 571–592.

461 Muller, L., Gehmaier, J., Gonaus, C., Raschner, C., & Muller, E. (2018). Maturity status  
462 strongly influences relative age effect in international elite under-9 soccer. *Journal of*  
463 *Sports Science & Medicine*, 17(2), 216–222.

464 Musch, J., & Grondin, S. (2001). Unequal competition as an impediment to personal  
465 development: A review of the relative age effect in sport. *Developmental Review*, 21(2),  
466 147–167.

467 Musch, J., & Hay, R. (1999). The relative age effect in soccer: Cross-cultural evidence for a  
468 systematic discrimination against children born late in the competition year. *Sociology*  
469 *of Sport Journal*, 16(1), 54–64.

470 Nakata, H., & Sakamoto, K. (2013). Relative age effects in Japanese baseball: A historical  
471 analysis. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 117(1), 276–289.

472 Nolan, J. E., & Howell, G. (2010). Hockey success birth date: The relative age effect revisited.  
473 *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 45(4), 507–512.

474 Office for National Statistics. (2015). *Number of Live Births by Date, 1995 to 2014, in England*  
475 *and Wales* [online]. Retrieved from:  
476 [https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/li](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/livebirths/adhocs/005149numberoflivebirthsbydate1995to2014inenglandandwales)  
477 [vebirths/adhocs/005149numberoflivebirthsbydate1995to2014inenglandandwales](https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/livebirths/adhocs/005149numberoflivebirthsbydate1995to2014inenglandandwales)  
478 [accessed 12th May 2018].

479 Padron-Cabo, A., Rey, E., Luis Garcia-Soidan, J., & Penedo-Jamardo, E. (2016). Large scale  
480 analysis of relative age effect on professional soccer players in FIFA designated zones.  
481 *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 16(1), 332–346.

482 Roberts, S. J., & Stott, T. A. (2015). A new factor in UK students' university attainment: The  
483 relative age effect reversal? *Quality Assurance in Education*, 23(3), 295–305.

484 Romann, M., & Copley, S. (2015). Relative age effects in athletic sprinting and corrective  
485 adjustments as a solution for their removal. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4), e0122988.

486 Sarmiento, H., Anguera, M. T., Pereira, A., & Araujo, D. (2018). Talent identification and  
487 development in male football: A systematic review. *Sports Medicine*, 48(4), 907–931.

488 Schorer, J., Copley, S., Busch, D., Brautigam, H., & Baker, J. (2009). Influences of competition  
489 level, gender, player nationality, career stage and playing position on relative age  
490 effects. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 19(5), 720–730.

491 Strachan, L., Cote, J., & Deakin, J. (2011). A new view: Exploring positive youth development  
492 in elite sport contexts. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 3(1), 9–32.

493 Stratton, G., Reilly, T., Williams, A. M., & Richardson, D. (2004). *Youth Soccer: From Science  
494 to Performance*. London: Routledge.

495 Takacs, S., & Romann, M. (2016). Selection of the oldest. Relative age effects in the UEFA  
496 Youth League. *Talent Development and Excellence*, 8(2), 41–51.

497 Till, K., Copley, S., Morley, D., O'Hara, J., Chapman, C., & Cooke, C. (2016). The influence  
498 of age, playing position, anthropometry and fitness on career attainment outcomes in  
499 rugby league. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(13), 1240–1245.

500 Till, K., Copley, S., Wattie, N., O'Hara, J., Cooke, C., & Chapman, C. (2010). The prevalence,  
501 influential factors and mechanisms of relative age effects in UK rugby league.  
502 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 20(2), 320–329.

503 The Premier League. (2011). *Elite Player Performance Plan* [online]. Retrieved from:  
504 <https://www.premierleague.com/youth/EPPP> [accessed June 22 2019].

505 Tribolet, R., Watsford, M. L., Coutts, A. J., Smith, C., & Fransen, J. (2019). From entry to  
506 elite: The relative age effect in the Australian football talent pathway. *Journal of  
507 Science and Medicine in Sport*, 22(6), 741–745.

508 Turnnidge, J., Hancock, D. J., & Cote, J. (2014). The influence of birth date and place of  
509 development on youth sport participation. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and  
510 Science in Sports*, 24(2), 461–468.

511 Ulbricht, A., Fernandez-Fernandez, J., Mendez-Villanueva, A., & Ferrauti, A. (2015). The  
512 relative age effect and physical fitness characteristics in German male tennis players.  
513 *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 14(3), 634–42.

514 van Den Honert, R. (2012). Evidence of the relative age effect in football in Australia. *Journal  
515 of Sports Sciences*, 30(13), 1365–1374.

- 516 van Rossum, J. H. A. (2006). Relative age effect revisited: Findings from the dance domain.  
517 *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 102(2), 302–308.
- 518 Vandendriessche, J. B., Vaeyens, R., Vandorpe, B., Lenoir, M., Lefevre, J., & Philippaerts, R.  
519 M. (2012). Biological maturation, morphology, fitness, and motor coordination as part  
520 of a selection strategy in the search for international youth soccer players (age 15-16  
521 years). *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(15), 1695–1703.
- 522 Votteler, A., & Honer, O. (2014). The relative age effect in the German Football TID  
523 Programme: Biases in motor performance diagnostics and effects on single motor  
524 abilities and skills in groups of selected players. *European Journal of Sport Science*,  
525 14(5), 433–442.
- 526 Votteler, A., & Honer, O. (2017). Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of the relative age  
527 effect in German youth football. *German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research*,  
528 47(3), 194–204.
- 529 Wattie, N., Schorer, J., & Baker, J. (2015). The relative age effect in sport: A development  
530 systems model. *Sports Medicine*, 45(1), 84–94.
- 531 Williams, J. H. (2010). Relative age effect in youth soccer: Analysis of the FIFA U17 World  
532 Cup competition. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 20(3), 502–  
533 528.

534 **List of tables and figures**

535 Table 1. Quartile distributions with chi-square and Cramer's V outputs.

536 Figure 1. The total number of academy players based on BQ distributions. Percentage of total  
537 is also represented above each BQ. Expected distributions calculated from ONS (2015).

538 Figure 2. The percentage of professional contracts awarded based on the total number of  
539 academy graduates within each BQ.

540 Figure 3. The total number of professional contracts awarded based on academy graduate BQ  
541 distributions.