

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

**EMPIRICAL BAYES TEST FOR THE PARAMETER
OF EXPONENTIAL-WEIBULL DISTRIBUTION
UNDER NEGATIVE ASSOCIATED SAMPLES***

Naiyi Li, Yongming Li

Communicated by S. T. Rachev

ABSTRACT. By using weighted kernel-type density estimator, the empirical Bayes test rules for parameter of Exponential-Weibull distribution are constructed and the asymptotically optimal property is obtained under negative associated samples. It is shown that the convergence rates of the proposed EB test rules can be arbitrarily close to $O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ under very mild conditions.

Introduction. Since H. Robbins [1, 2] introduced empirical Bayes (EB) approach, lately it has been studied extensively, the readers are referred to literature [3]–[7].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 62C12, 62F12.

Key words: Negative associated samples; empirical Bayes test; asymptotic optimality; convergence rates.

*The research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grants (No. 11061029), National Statistics Research Projects (No.2012LY178) and Guangdong Ocean University of Humanities and Social Sciences project (C13112).

However, few article investigations on EBT or EBE are studied under negative associated samples. Being different from previous researches, in this paper, we obtain a more ideal result about convergence rate. Firstly, we introduce the definition of negative associated (NA).

Definition 1.1 [11]. *Random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n ($n \geq 2$) are said to be NA, if for every pair of disjoint subsets T_1 and T_2 of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, $\text{Cov}(f_1(X_i, i \in T_1), f_2(X_j, j \in T_2)) \leq 0$. Here f_1 and f_2 are increasing or decreasing for every variable such that this covariance exists. Random variables sequence $\{X_i, i \in n\}$ is said to be NA, if for every natural number $n \geq 2$, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n ($n \geq 2$) are negative associated.*

Let X have a conditional density function for given θ

$$(1.1) \quad f(x|\theta) = e^{-x}\theta(1 - e^{-x})^{\theta-1},$$

where θ is an unknown parameter, with sample space $\Omega = \{x \mid x > 0\}$ and parameter space $\Theta = \{\theta \mid \theta > 0\}$. In this paper, we discuss the following one-sided test problem

$$(1.2) \quad H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0 \Leftrightarrow H_1 : \theta > \theta_0,$$

where θ_0 is a given positive constant.

For the hypothesis test problem (1.2), we have loss function

$$L_0(\theta, d_0) = a(\theta - \theta_0)^2 I_{(\theta > \theta_0)}, L_1(\theta, d_1) = a(\theta_0 - \theta)^2 I_{(\theta \leq \theta_0)}.$$

where $a > 0, d = \{d_0, d_1\}$ is the action space, d_0 and d_1 imply acceptance and rejection of H_0 .

Assuming that the prior distribution $G(\theta)$ of θ is unknown, we obtain randomized decision function

$$(1.3) \quad \delta(x) = P(\text{accept } H_0 | X = x).$$

Then, the risk function of $\delta(x)$ is shown by

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\delta(x), G(\theta)) &= \int_{\Theta} \int_{\Omega} [L_0(\theta, d_0)f(x|\theta)\delta(x) + L_1(\theta, d_1)f(x|\theta)(1 - \delta(x))] dx dG(\theta) \\ &= a \int_{\Omega} \beta(x)\delta(x) dx + C_G, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad C_G = \int_{\Theta} L_1(\theta, d_1) dG(\theta), \beta(x) = \int_{\Theta} (\theta - \theta_0)^2 f(x|\theta) dG(\theta).$$

The marginal density function of X is given by

$$f_G(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)dG(\theta) = \int_{\Theta} e^{-x}\theta(1 - e^{-x})^{\theta-1}dG(\theta).$$

By (1.5) and simple calculation, we have

$$(1.6) \quad \beta(x) = u_1(x)f_G^{(2)}(x) + u_2(x)f_G^{(1)}(x) + u_3(x)f_G(x),$$

where $f_G^{(1)}(x)$ and $f_G^{(2)}(x)$ are the first and the second order derivative of $f_G(x)$, and $u_1(x) = e^{2x} - 2e^x + 1$, $u_2(x) = (e^x - 1)(3e^x - 2\theta_0)$, $u_3(x) = 2e^{2x} - (1 + 2\theta_0)e^x + \theta_0^2$.

Using (1.4), the Bayes test function is obtained as follows

$$(1.7) \quad \delta_G(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \beta(x) \leq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \beta(x) > 0, \end{cases}$$

Further, we can get the minimum Bayes risk

$$(1.8) \quad R(G) = \inf_{\delta} R(\delta, G) = R(\delta_G, G) = a \int_{\Omega} \beta(x)\delta_G(x)dx + C_G.$$

When the prior distribution of $G(\theta)$ is known and $\delta(x) = \delta_G(x)$, $R(G)$ is achieved. However, when $G(\theta)$ is unknown, $\delta_G(x)$ cannot be made use of and we need to introduce EB method.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents an EB test. In Section 3, we obtain for the asymptotic optimality and the optimal rate of convergence of the EB test.

2. Construction of EB Test Function. Under the following condition, we need to construct the EB test function. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, X be a random variable sequence with common marginal density function $f_G(x)$, where X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are historical samples, X is a present sample. Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are weakly stationary NA sequences, and the historical samples and the present sample are mutually independent. Assume $f_G(x) \in C_{s,\alpha}$, $x \in R^1$, where

$$C_{s,\alpha} = \{g(x) \mid g(x) \text{ is a probability density function and has continuous } s\text{-th order derivative } g^{(s)}(x) \text{ with } |g^{(s)}(x)| \leq \alpha, s \geq 2, \alpha > 0\}.$$

First we construct an estimator of $\beta(x)$.

Let $K_r(x)$ be a Borel measurable bounded function vanishing off $(0, 1)$ such that

$$(A1) \quad \frac{1}{t!} \int_0^1 y^t K_r(y) dy = \begin{cases} (-1)^t, & \text{when } t = r, \\ 0, & \text{when } t \neq r, t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1. \end{cases}$$

The ϕ -mixing coefficient satisfies

$$(A2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(i) < \infty.$$

The kernel estimation of $f_G^{(r)}(x)$ is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad f_n^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{nh_n^{(1+r)}} \sum_{j=1}^n K_r \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right),$$

where $f_G^{(r)}(x)$ is the r order derivative of $f_G(x)$ and $f_G^{(0)}(x) = f_G(x)$.

Thus, the estimator of $\beta(x)$ is obtained by

$$(2.2) \quad \beta_n(x) = u_1(x)f_n^{(2)}(x) + u_2(x)f_n^{(1)}(x) + u_3(x)f_n(x).$$

Hence, the EB test function is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \delta_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \beta_n(x) \leq 0, \\ 0, & \beta_n(x) > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let E stand for the mathematical expectation with respect to the joint distribution of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n ,

Hence, we get the overall Bayes risk of $\delta_n(x)$

$$(2.4) \quad R(\delta_n(x), G) = \int_a^b \beta(x) E[\delta_n(x)] dx + C_G.$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\delta_n, G) = R(\delta_G, G)$, then $\{\delta_n(x)\}$ is called the asymptotic optimality of the EB test function, and $R(\delta_n, G) - R(\delta_G, G) = O(n^{-q})$, where $q > 0$; $O(n^{-q})$ is the asymptotic optimality convergence rates of the EB test function of $\{\delta_n(x)\}$. Before proving the theorems, we give a series of lemmas.

Let c, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 be different constants in different cases even in the same expression.

Lemma 2.1 [10]. Let $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be negative associated random variables, with $EX_i = 0$ and $E|X_i|^2 < \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$E\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right|^2 \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i|^2.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $f_n^{(r)}(x)$ be defined by (2.1). Assume that (A1) holds. Then for every $x \in \Omega$:

(I) When $f_G^{(r)}(x)$ is a continuous function, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nh_n^{2r+2}} = 0$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|f_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)|^2 = 0.$$

(II) When $f_G(x) \in C_{s,a}$, taking $h_n = n^{-\frac{1}{2+2s}}$, for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, we get

$$E|f_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)|^{2\lambda} \leq c \cdot n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-r)}{1+s}}.$$

Proof. Proof of (I). By the inequality for C_r , we have

$$(2.5) \quad E|f_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)|^2 \leq 2|Ef_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)|^2 + 2Var(f_n^{(r)}(x)) := 2(I_1^2 + I_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Ef_n^{(r)}(x) &= E \left[\frac{1}{nph_n^{1+r}} \sum_{i=1}^n K_r \left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n} \right) \delta_i \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h_n^{1+r}} EK_r \left(\frac{x - X_1}{h_n} \right) \\ &= h_n^{-(1+r)} E \left[K_r \left(\frac{x - X_1}{h_n} \right) \right] \\ &= h_n^{-(1+r)} \int_0^\infty K_r \left(\frac{x - y}{h_n} \right) f_G(y) dy \\ &= h_n^{-r} \int_0^1 K_r(u) f_G(x - h_n u) du. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following Taylor expansion of $f_G(x - h_n u)$ in x

$$f_G(x - h_n u) - f_G(x) = \frac{f_G'(x)}{1!} (-h_n u) + \frac{f_G''(x)}{2!} (-h_n u)^2 + \dots + \frac{f_G^{(r)}(x - \xi h_n u)}{r!} (-h_n u)^r,$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$.

Since $f_G(x)$ is continuous in x and (A1), it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Ef_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{h_n^r} \int_0^1 K_r(u) f_G(x - h_n u) du - f_G^{(r)}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r!} \int_0^1 u^r |K_r(u)| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_G^{(r)}(x - \xi h_n u) - f_G^{(r)}(x)| du = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Ef_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)|^2 = 0.$$

Since $K_r(x)$ is a bounded variation function, there exists monotone bounded variation functions $K_{r1}(x)$ and $K_{r2}(x)$ such that $K_r(x) = K_{r1}(x) - K_{r2}(x)$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(r)}(x) - Ef_n^{(r)}(x) &= \frac{1}{nh_n^{(1+r)}} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[K_r \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) - EK_r \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{nph_n^{(1+r)}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \left[K_{r1} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) - EK_{r1} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^n \left[K_{r2} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) - EK_{r2} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{nh_n^{(1+r)}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n Y_j - \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j \right] =: \frac{1}{nh_n^{(1+r)}} [S_{n1} - S_{n2}], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y_j = K_{r1} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) - EK_{r1} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right), \quad S_{n1} = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j,$$

$$Z_j = K_{r2} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) - EK_{r2} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right), \quad S_{n2} = \sum_{j=1}^n Z_j.$$

Denote

$$Y'_j = K_{r1} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) - EK_{r1} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right), \quad S'_{n1} = \sum_{j=1}^n Y'_j,$$

$$Z'_j = K_{r2} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right) - EK_{r2} \left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n} \right), \quad S'_{n2} = \sum_{j=1}^n Z'_j.$$

It is easy to see that $EY'_j = EZ'_j = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Since $K_{r1}(\cdot)$ and $K_{r2}(\cdot)$ are monotone functions, respectively, Y'_j and Z'_j are monotone functions of X_j .

By Definition 1.1, we get that $\{Y'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and $\{Z'_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ are NA sequences.

Further, there exists some positive constant M , so that $K_{r1}(\cdot) \leq M$ and $K_{r2}(\cdot) \leq M$.

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[f_n^{(r)}(x) - Ef_n^{(r)}(x)]^2 &= \frac{1}{n^2 h_n^{2(1+r)}} E[S_{n1} - S_{n2}]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^2 h_n^{2(1+r)}} (ES_{n1}^2 + ES_{n2}^2) \\ (2.7) \quad &\leq \frac{c}{n^2 h_n^{2(1+r)}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n EY_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n EZ_i^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{nh_n^{2(1+r)}} \{EY_1^2 + EZ_1^2\} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{nh_n^{2(1+r)}} \{EY_1'^2 + EZ_1'^2\} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{nh_n^{2(1+r)}}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\frac{1}{nh_n^{2r+2}} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2 = 0.$$

Substituting (2.6) and (2.8) into (2.5), the proof of (I) is completed.

Proof of (II). Similarly to (2.5), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad E|f_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)|^{2\lambda} &\leq 2[Ef_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)]^{2\lambda} + 2[\text{Var} f_n^{(r)}(x)]^\lambda \\ &:= 2(J_1^{2\lambda} + J_2^\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following Taylor expansion of $f_G(x - h_n u)$ in x

$$f_G(x - h_n v) = f_G(x) + \frac{f_G'(x)}{1!}(-h_n v) + \frac{f_G''(x)}{2!}(-h_n v)^2 + \dots + \frac{f_G^{(s)}(x - \xi h_n v)}{s!}(-h_n v)^s,$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$. Due to (A1) and $f_G(x) \in C_{s,\alpha}$, we have

$$|E f_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)| \leq \int_0^1 |K_r(v)| h_n^{s-r} v^s \left| \frac{f_G^{(s)}(x - \xi h_n v)}{s!} \right| dv \leq c \cdot h_n^{s-r}.$$

When $h_n = n^{-\frac{1}{2+2s}}$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad J_1^{2\lambda} = |E f_n^{(r)}(x) - f_G^{(r)}(x)|^{2\lambda} \leq c \cdot n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-r)}{s+1}}.$$

By (2.7), when $h_n = n^{-\frac{1}{2+2s}}$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad J_2^\lambda \leq c_1 [(h_n^{2r+2})^{-1}]^\lambda \leq c \cdot n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-r)}{1+s}}.$$

Substituting (2.10) and (2.11) into (2.9), the proof of (II) is completed. \square

Lemma 2.3 [6]. *Let $R(\delta_G, G)$ and $R(\delta_n, G)$ be defined by (8) and (13). Then*

$$0 \leq R(\delta_n, G) - R(\delta_G, G) \leq c \int_a^b |\beta(x)| P(|\beta_n(x) - \beta(x)| \geq |\beta(x)|) dx.$$

3. Asymptotic optimality and convergence rates of empirical Bayes test.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f_n^{(r)}(x)$ be defined by (2.4). Assume that (A1)–(A2) and the following regularity conditions hold:*

- (i) $h_n > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n h_n^5 = \infty$,
- (ii) $\int_{\Theta} |\theta - 1| dG(\theta) < +\infty$, $\int_{\Theta} |(\theta - 1)(\theta - 2)| dG(\theta) < +\infty$;
- (iii) *If $f_G^{(2)}(x)$ is continuous function, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\delta_n, G) = R(\delta_G, G)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$0 \leq R(\delta_n, G) - R(\delta_G, G) \leq a \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)| p(|\beta_n(x) - \beta(x)| \geq |\beta(x)|) dx.$$

Writing $Q_n(x) = |\beta(x)| p(|\beta_n(x) - \beta(x)| \geq |\beta(x)|)$, we obtain $Q_n(x) \leq |\beta(x)|$.

It follows that

$$f_G^{(1)}(x) = -f_G(x) + \int_{\Theta} \frac{\theta - 1}{e^x - 1} f(x|\theta) dG(\theta),$$

$$f_G^{(2)}(x) = f_G(x) - 3 \int_{\Theta} \frac{\theta - 1}{e^x - 1} f(x|\theta) dG(\theta) + \int_{\Theta} \frac{(\theta - 1)(\theta - 2)}{(e^x - 1)^2} f(x|\theta) dG(\theta),$$

$$\beta(x) = (\theta_0 - 1)^2 f_G(x) + (3 - 2\theta_0) \int_{\Theta} (\theta - 1) f(x|\theta) dG(\theta) + \int_{\Theta} (\theta - 1)(\theta - 2) f(x|\theta) dG(\theta).$$

Again by (1.6) and the Fubini theorem, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)| dx &= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Theta} |(\theta_0 - 1)^2 + (3 - 2\theta_0)(\theta - 1) + (\theta - 1)(\theta - 2)| f(x|\theta) dG(\theta) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Theta} |(\theta_0 - 1)^2| f(x|\theta) dG(\theta) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Theta} |(3 - 2\theta_0)(\theta - 1)| f(x|\theta) dG(\theta) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Theta} |(\theta - 1)(\theta - 2)| f(x|\theta) dG(\theta) dx \\ &\leq (\theta_0 - 1)^2 + |(3 - 2\theta_0)| \int_{\Theta} |\theta - 1| dG(\theta) \\ &\quad + \int_{\Theta} |(\theta - 1)(\theta - 2)| dG(\theta) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the domain convergence theorem, then

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(\delta_n, G) - R(\delta_G, G) \leq \int_{\Omega} [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x)] dx,$$

If Theorem 3.1 holds, we only need to prove $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x) = 0$ a.s.x.

By Markov's and Jensen's inequalities, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x) &\leq E|\beta_n(x) - \beta(x)| \leq |u_1(x)| E|f_n^{(2)}(x) - f_G^{(2)}(x)| \\ &\quad + |u_2(x)| E|f_n^{(1)}(x) - f_G^{(1)}(x)| + |u_3(x)| E|\hat{f}_G(x) - f_G(x)| \\ &\leq |u_1(x)| [E|f_n^{(2)}(x) - f_G^{(2)}(x)|^2]^{1/2} + |u_2(x)| [E|f_n^{(1)}(x) - f_G^{(1)}(x)|^2]^{1/2} \\ &\quad + |u_3(x)| [E|f_n(x) - f_G(x)|^2]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 2.1(I), for fixed $x \in \Omega$, when $r = 0, 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x) \\
 &\leq |u_1(x)| \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|f_n^{(2)}(x) - f_G^{(2)}(x)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad + |u_2(x)| \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|f_n^{(1)}(x) - f_G^{(1)}(x)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\
 &\quad + |u_3(x)| \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|f_n(x) - f_G(x)|^2 \right]^{1/2} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.1), the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let $\widehat{f}_G^{(r)}(x)$ be defined by (2.4). Assume that (A1)–(A2) and the following regularity conditions hold: $f_G(x) \in C_{s,\alpha}$ for $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and

$$(B1) \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{(m\lambda x)} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} dx < +\infty, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \quad \text{when } h_n = n^{-\frac{1}{s+1}}.$$

We have

$$R(\delta_n, G) - R(\delta_G, G) = O(n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-3)}{2(s+2)}}), \quad \text{where } s \geq 3.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 and Markov's inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad 0 &\leq R(\delta_n, G) - R(\delta_G, G) \leq \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} E|\beta_n(x) - \beta_G(x)|^{\lambda} dx \\
 &\leq c_1 \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} |u_1(x)| E|f_n^{(2)}(x) - f_G^{(2)}(x)| dx \\
 &\quad + c_2 \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} |u_2(x)| E|f_n^{(1)}(x) - f_G^{(1)}(x)| dx \\
 &\quad + c_3 \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} |u_3(x)| E|f_n(x) - f_G(x)| dx = A_n + B_n + C_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 (II) and condition (B1), we get

$$(3.4) \quad A_n \leq c_1 n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-3)}{2s+4}} \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} |u_1(x)|^{\lambda} dx \leq c_4 n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-3)}{2s+4}},$$

$$(3.5) \quad B_n \leq c_2 n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-1)}{2s+4}} \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} |u_2(x)|^{\lambda} dx \leq c_5 n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-1)}{2s+4}},$$

$$(3.6) \quad C_n \leq c_3 n^{-\frac{\lambda(s+1)}{2s+4}} \int_{\Omega} |\beta(x)|^{1-\lambda} |u_3(x)|^{\lambda}(x) dx \leq c_6 n^{-\frac{\lambda(s+1)}{2s+4}}.$$

Substituting (3.4)–(3.6) into (3.3), we get $R(\delta_n, G) - R(\delta_G, G) = O(n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-3)}{2(s+2)}})$,
 The proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed. \square

Remark. When $\lambda \rightarrow 1$, and $s \rightarrow \infty$, $O\left(n^{-\frac{\lambda(s-3)}{2(s+2)}}\right)$ is arbitrarily close to $O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. ROBBINS. An empirical Bayes approach to statistics. Proc. Third Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob., University of California Press, vol. **1**, 1955, 157–163.
- [2] H. ROBBINS. The empirical Bayes approach to statistical decision problem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** (1964), 1–20.
- [3] R. V. CANFIELD. A Bayesian approach to reliability estimation using a loss function. *IEEE TRANS. RELIAB.* **19** (1970), 13–16.
- [4] A. ZELLNER. Bayesian estimation and prediction using asymmetric loss functions. *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* **81** (1986), 446–451
- [5] A. P. BASU, N. EBRAHIMI. Bayesian approach to life testing and reliability estimation using asymmetric loss function. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* **29** (1991), 21–31.
- [6] M. V. JOHNS JR, J. VAN RYZIN. Convergence Rates in Empirical Bayes Two-action Problems 2: Continuous Case. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** (1972), 934–947.
- [7] Y. MA, N. BALAKRISHNAN. Empirical Bayes estimation for truncation parameters. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* **84** (2000), 111–120.
- [8] T. C. LIANG. On optimal convergence rate of empirical Bayes tests. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **68** (2004), 189–198.
- [9] S. G. SHANG, J. LI. One empirical Bayes procedures for selecting good populations in a positive exponential family. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* **129** (2005), 3–18.

- [10] C. SU, L. ZHAO, Y. WANG. Moment inequalities and weak convergence for negatively associated sequences. *Sci. China, Ser. A* **40**, 2 (1997), 172–182.
- [11] K. JOAG-DEV, F. PROSCHAN. Negative association of random variable with application. *Ann. Statist.* **11** 1983, 286–295.

Naiyi Li
College of Science
Guangdong Ocean University
Zhanjiang 524088, China
e-mail: linaiyi1979@163.com

Yongming Li
Department of Mathematics
Shangrao Normal University
Shangrao 334001, China
e-mail: lym1019@163.com

Received September 21, 2013