Modern psychopathologies or old diagnoses?

Mixed states: still a modern psychopathological syndrome?

A. Tortorella¹, U. Albert², A.M.A. Nivoli³, A. Erfurth⁴, F. Colom⁵, G. Maina⁶

Department of Psychiatry, University of Naples SUN, Italy; 2 Rita Levi Montalcini Department of Neuroscience, Anxiety and Mood Disorders Unit, University of Turin, İtaly; ³ Psychiatric Clinic, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Sassari, Italy; ⁴ 6th Psychiatric Department, Otto Wagner Hospital, Wien, Austria; 5 Bipolar Disorder Unit, Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, CIBERSA, Úniversity of Barcelona, Spain; ⁶ Department of Mental Health, "San Luigi-Gonzaga" Hospital, University of Turin, Orbassano, Italy

Summary

Objectives

The aim of this review is to evaluate whether the DSM-5 concept of mixed features "specifier" provides a definition that reflects the richness and multiplicity of this psychopathological picture pointing out the historical development, clinical conceptualisation and proposed therapeutic approach to mixed states.

Methods

We review and discuss the recent evidence on the presence of mixed features during mania and depression and summarise findings on the conceptualisation of mixed states. Electronic searches of all English-language papers were performed in the MEDLINE and PUBMED database using and cross-listing key words: mixed state, mixed features, bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder, mania, hypomania, depression.

Results

The mixed categorical-dimensional concept used in the DSM-5 broadens the concept of mixed episodes, introducing substantial changes to the diagnosis of mixed states. This definition appears more appropriate for less severe forms of mixed states

presenting clear and detectable mood symptoms with evident improvement compared to the DSM-IV, as the possibility of classifying depression "with mixed features".

Conclusion

The transition from the classical definition of mixed states to the one reported in the DSM-5 has determined a complex modification of the concept of mixed state. The DSM-IV-TR description, based on the co-presence of symptoms of opposite polarity, was extremely reductive and did not capture the sub-syndromal symptoms of the opposite pole experienced in bipolar and major depressive disorders. The DSM-5 definition of mixed features "specifier" represents a valid tool to improve the recognition and proper treatment of bipolar mixed patients, reducing misdiagnosis and mistreatment associated with chronic and repetitive exposure to antidepressants and sedatives, although the mixed categorical-dimensional concept does not adequately reflect some overlapping mood criteria, such as mood lability, irritability and psychomotor agitation.

Key words

Mixed state • Mixed features • Bipolar disorder • Major depressive disorder • Mania • Hypomania • Depression

Introduction

Mixed affective states are largely considered the simultaneous occurrence of manic and depressive features with a complex clinical presentation that frequently represents a real challenge for clinicians due to the evident difficulties in diagnosis, classification and treatment. The concept of mixed states introduced by Kraepelin was characterised by the presence of depressive and manic/hypomanic phases in manic-depressive patients and by the presence of a continuum between depressive and manic features. Though several authors had previously described the characteristics of mixed states, Kraepelin firstly provided a conceptualisation within a broader context, defining mixed states as the 'third polarity' of manic-depressive disorder and used this idea to consolidate his unified vision of this disorder. Through the years the concept of mixed states has been consolidated and the relevance of mixed states has been recognised, but the concept of a continuum between different affective states was excluded from the main psychiatric diagnostic systems (DSM and ICD). The failure of the DSM-IV-TR and ICD-10 in recognising mixed states together with the result of several studies on the topic encouraged many clinicians and researchers to reconsider the criteria for mixed episodes. In the DSM-5, the mixed episode as defined in DSM-IV-TR has been removed and sub-threshold non-overlapping symptoms of opposite polarity are identified using a mixed feature specifier to be applied to depression, mania and hypomania.

The history of the concept of mixed states

The first descriptions of a clinical condition that we currently would consider a mixed state appeared in the nine-

Correspondence

Alfonso Tortorella, Department of Psychiatry, University of Naples SUN, Largo Madonna delle Grazie, 80138 Naples, Italy • Tel. +39 081 5666517 • Fax: +39 081 5666523 • E-mail: alfonsotortorella@gmail.com

teenth century (Table I); however, traces of what is considered to be a "mixed state" are present in antique medical textbooks (especially Aretaeus of Cappadocia) and in some treatises on psychopathology in the 1700s ¹. Heinroth, in his treatise entitled Disturbances of Mental Life or Mental Disturbances 2, was one of the first psychiatrists to explore mixed states in detail; he used a German term (Mischungen) translatable as "mix or mixture" to define psychopathological conditions in which discordant elements coexisted. Another German psychiatrist, Griesinger 3, described states of mental alteration in which melancholic and manic elements coexisted (as in Heinroth's descriptions), as well as forms that would be currently defined as rapidly cycling affective disorders. He defined such psychopathological conditions as "mid-forms" (Mittelformen), "in which a change from depression to the manic exaltation occurs", and described "Melancholia with destructive impulses" and "Melancholia with longlasting exaltations of volition". In his view, then, mixed states could be often transitional forms.

In addition to the above authors, other European psychiatrists before Kraepelin described psychopathological conditions that had similarities to mixed states. For example, Jules Falret in 1861 described what he termed *État Mixte*, a condition characterized by "predominant ideas, often of sad nature, in the middle of an excitation state, simulating true mania". However, none of the cited authors provided a precise categorisation of psychopathological conditions in the manic-depressive area, including mixed states, which first appeared with the works of Wilhelm Weygandt and Emil Kraepelin. These two authors first conferred nosographic autonomy to mixed states in the context of Manic-Depressive Insanity.

Emil Kraepelin first used the term "mixed states" (Mischzustände) (Table II) starting from the 5th edition of his Textbook of Psychiatry 4, and maintained this term in the revised editions of the text 5 6. He recognised as a significant contribution to his categorisation the work of his apprentice Wilhelm Weygandt, author of a pioneering monograph on the subject, the first book in the psychiatric literature on mixed states. Weygandt first described three conditions under the term *Mischzustände* (Table I), and recognised that these mixed states had a favourable outcome compared to schizophrenia (Dementia Praecox) ("when we deal with manic stupor, agitated depression, and unproductive mania, we can foresee a highly favourable outcome")7. Kraepelin, however, first provided a conceptualisation of mixed states within a broader context: he viewed mixed states as a 'third polarity' of manic-depressive disorder, and used this idea to consolidate his unified vision of this disorder (The inner relationship of the apparently opposing conditions becomes most clear through the experience that there are fits of circular insanity in which excitement and depression are mixing in an inextricable way) 6. The possible co-occurrence of symptoms in the manic and depressive phases, seemingly antithetical, in his view confirmed the common association of two polarities of the same underlying disease, supporting a hypothesis that had been around since ancient times. Kraepelin identified a total of six different basic types of mixed states, depending on the combination of alterations in the three different psychic domains that, in his and Weygandt opinion, were involved in manic-depressive illness. The three domains consisted of mood (emotion), ideation (intellect, or thought) and motor activity (volition or psychomobility in Weygandt terminology). Each domain can fluctuate

TABLE I.The development of the concept of mixed states (before Kraepelin).

Author	Definition
Heinroth, 1818	MISCHUNGEN (Mixtures) – hypo/asthenias
Griesinger, 1845	MITTELFORMEN (Middle forms) "in which a change from depression to the manic exaltation occurs": • Melancholia with destructive drives • Melancholia with long-lasting exaltation of volition
Falret, 1861	ÉTAT MIXTE (Mixed state) "predominant ideas, often of sad nature, in the middle of an excitation state, simulating true mania"
Weygandt, 1899	MISCHZUSTÄNDE (Mixed states): • Manic stupor (elevated mood with psychomotor inhibition and decreased ideation) • Agitated depression (depression with flight of ideas and agitation) • Unproductive mania (elated mood with increased motor activity and inhibition of thinking) "When we deal with manic stupor, agitated depression, and unproductive mania, we can foresee a highly favourable outcome."

TABLE II.Kraepelinian view of mixed states.

Kraepelin, 1893-1913	
Tripartite model: 1. Affect 2. Psychomotor activity 3. Associative processes	MISCHZUSTÄNDE (Mixed states) or MISCHLFORMEN (mixed forms): 6 types
Two general classes of mixed states	1. Transitional forms: a stage in between, when depression changes to mania and vice versa
	2. Autonomous forms: mixed disorder on its own

around baseline in two directions: overall increase and decrease, giving rise to 2 states characterised by all 3 domains being in the same phase (classic mania and depression), and 6 mixed states, uniquely defined by asynchronous phase shifting of the 3 domains. Thus, he described the different mixed states of a) "manic depression or anxiety" (depressed mood, flight of ideas and hyperactivity), b) "excited depression" (depressed mood, inhibition of thought and hyperactivity), c) "unproductive mania" (euphoria, inhibition of thought and hyperactivity), d) "manic stupor" (euphoria, inhibition of thought and apathy), e) "depression with flight of ideas" (depressed mood, flight of ideas and apathy) and f) "inhibited mania" (euphoria, flight of ideas and apathy) (Table III).

The subsequent revisions of Kraepelin and Weygandt of the concept of mixed states partially overcame this tripartite model of the psyche, favouring a dimensional approach that involved a broadening of the concept to the infinite possibilities that a mixture of manic and depressive elements could manifest in the same patient ⁸. In their opinion, apart from multiform phenomenal appearances, the essential point for diagnosis of a mixed state was the co-occurrence of manic and depressive elements/symptoms/signs in a patient with clinical features that reflected manic-depressive disorder, and in particular a previous history of manic and depressive episodes (according to Kraepelin, cyclicity – recurrent episodes – defines the illness, irrespective of polarity).

Beyond the six subtypes of mixed states, Kraepelin distinguished between two general classes of mixed states: "transitional" forms, i.e. clinical pictures that frequently arise in the transition from mania to depression and vice versa (reflecting Giesinger's vision of mixed states), and "autonomous" forms, i.e. those that appear and manifest as such (Table II). According to Kraepelin, these "autonomous" mixed states were the most unfavourable ones, presenting with a longer course and the tendency to become chronic ⁹.

The concept of Kraepelinian mixed states was the object of harsh criticism by other prominent European psychiatrists: Karl Jaspers ¹⁰, for example, refused the concept of a mixed state from a methodological standpoint, and Kurt Schneider ¹¹ negated the existence of this diagnostic category, viewing it as a simple transitional phase (from mania to depression and vice versa) in manic-depressive disorder. These are only two examples of the general lack of interest in mixed states that manifested after 1920s, defined by Marneros ⁹ as the "period of ignorance", evidenced by the dramatic decrease in the number of publications on the subject.

One of the few exceptions was a monograph by the German psychiatrist Mentzos, who utilised some con-

TABLE III.Kraepelinian Mixed States (1913).

	Mood	Motor activity	Ideation
1. Depressive or anxious mania (depressive oder angstliche Manie)	-	+	+
2. Excited depression (erregte Depression)	-	+	-
3. Unproductive mania or Mania with thought poverty (ideenarme Manie)	+	+	-
4. Manic stupor (manischer Stupor)	+	-	-
5. Depression with flight of ideas (ideanfluchtige Depression)	-	-	+
6. Inhibited mania (gehemmte Manie)	+	-	+

cepts from Weygandt and proposed a new classification of mixed states. Building upon the static conception and clinical descriptions of Kraepelin and Weygandt, Mentzos added a dynamic view. In fact, the classification of Mentzos referred to a psychopathological model which was not based on the description of a clinical picture as a group of different symptoms; indeed, the mixed state was interpreted using the socalled "mood boost" system. According to this view, mood alterations in bipolar disorder could be seen as pathological variations of the "boost", or as the underlying force behind psychic processes, and "mood" as the prevalent affective tone that "colours" thoughts of consciousness. In this model, mania and depression are seen as concordant alterations of boost and mood (increased energy and euphoric mood vs. decreased energy and depressed mood), while mixed states are viewed as discordant alterations (e.g. increased energy and depressed mood). Mentzos used a bipartition between "mixed states" where the deviations in boost and mood were discordant but stable, and "mixed pictures", where they were discordant and, importantly, variable over time (unstable). Unfortunately, due to the complexity of this psychopathologic model, clear criteria for the identification of mixed states were not proposed, and the terminology adopted was difficult to translate in the international nomenclature 12.

The revival of mixed states (what Marneros calls "the renaissance" of mixed states) started from the beginning

of the 1980s, the initial stages of which can be seen in the "Vienna Criteria" 13, named after the city from which the authors originated. The Vienna School, in the wake of Mentzos, divided mixed states into two subtypes, stable and unstable, and proposed precise diagnostic criteria for the identification of both (Table IV). These criteria were based on a well-defined psychopathological model known as Janzarik's concept of structural-dynamic coherence 14. According to this model, similar to the idea of Mentzos, mixed states were perceived as the product of unstable alteration of the "dynamic". The term dynamic referred to the mixture of two components that normally form the individual's personality: one that constitutes the functional substrate of the temperament and a "structural" form that encodes both innate and acquired behavioural patterns. A strict adherence to this model, which is provocative and challenging, limited the use of the Vienna Criteria to research purposes. Nonetheless, these criteria represented a turning point that influenced and stimulated research in the forthcoming years, giving rise to a large number of publications, especially in the US and Europe. Among these, Akiskal in the US and Koukopoulos in Italy, greatly contributed to the so-called renaissance or revival of mixed states ¹⁵. Akiskal postulated that mixed states are not a mere overlap of depressive and manic opposite symptoms, but rather that they arise from the combination of an affective episode with a dominant temperament of opposite polarity; mixed states may arise: 1. when a temperament intrudes into an affective

TABLE IV.

Vienna school criteria for stable and unstable mixed states (from Berner et al. 1983, modified).

Unstable mixed states

- A. | Appearance of at least one of the following rapidly cycling changes following a period of normal functioning:
 - 1. mood changes rapidly cycling from depression and/or anxiety, euphoric/expansive hostile mood;
 - 2. rapid cycling and exaggerated emotional resonance in various affective states (depressive, anxiety, manic and hostile);
 - 3. rapid cycling between inhibition, agitation, increase in drive and occasional aggressiveness.
- B. Biorhythmic disturbances*
 - 1. diurnal variations of affectivity, emotional resonance, or drive;
 - 2. sleep disturbances (interrupted, prolonged, or shortened sleep or early awakening).

Stable mixed states

- A. Appearance of persistent variations in affectivity, emotional resonance or drive after a period of normal functioning (requires symptoms 1 and/or 2 and 3):
 - 1. depressed, anxious, euphoric/expansive or hostile mood;
 - 2. lack of emotional resonance or limited to depressive, manic, hostile or anxious response;
 - 3. persistent presence of drive in contrast with the affective status and/or emotional resonance.
- B. Appearance of biorhythmic disturbances*
 - 1. daily changes in affectivity, emotional resonance, or drive;
 - 2. sleep disturbance (interrupted, prolonged, or shortened sleep or early awakening).
- * Symptoms 1 and 2 are required.

episode of opposite polarity (e.g., depressive temperament into mania or hyperthymic temperament into major depression), or 2. when the instability of a cyclothymic temperament transforms a major depression into a mixed picture 16. Koukopoulos, on the other side, contributed to the understanding of agitated depression as a mixed state, and challenged the notion of polarity as the basic criterion for bipolar disorder 17. He defined "mixed depression" a depression occurring with excitation, meaning manic symptoms (like flight of ideas or talkativeness), but also agitation, irritability and rage, marked anxiety and suicidal impulsivity. Koukopoulos considered this highly agitated and tense depressive state as the opposite of melancholia, which is markedly psychomotor retarded and not irritable or rageful. Unfortunately, Koukopoulos' view was not fully endorsed in tge DSM-5.

Mixed states in DSM-IV-TR

Mixed Mania

In the scientific literature there is no univocal definition for mixed mania, also known as depression during mania or dysphoric mania, and the clinical presentation has been described in several different ways. The classic definition includes the presence of a complete manic syndrome together with the presence of at least three depressive symptoms, but, using a more unrestricted approach based on the presence of two depressive symptoms, the frequency of the diagnosis of mixed mania significant increases. Compared to non-mixed episodes, the symptomatology of mixed manic episodes includes the presence of a greater mood lability and irritability, dysphoric mood, anxiety, suicidality and cognitive impairment. On the other hand, these patients report less severe typical manic symptoms, such as euphoria, grandiosity, decreased need for sleep and involvement in pleasant activities. Frequently, the most severe forms are characterised by the presence of psychotic symptoms, such as delusions, hallucinations and motor disturbances that make it difficult to differentiate these forms from schizophrenia and other psychoses 18.

Mixed Depression

In the same way, a consistent number of depressed patients show manic symptoms, without a clear, univocal definition for this condition called mixed depression, also known as agitated depression or dysphoric depression. The frequency of this form is variable ranging between 20 and 70%, and this wide fluctuation mainly depends on the different tools used for the assessment of affective symptomatology. The classic definition includes the presence of a complete depressive syndrome together with the presence of a minimum of two or three

manic/hypomanic symptoms. Psychomotor agitation is required for the diagnosis of agitated depression. Compared to non-mixed episodes, the symptomatology of mixed depressive episodes includes the presence of a greater irritability and mood lability associated with mental and psychomotor overactivity, restless agitation and increased suicidality ¹⁹.

Mixed states in DSM-5 and ICD-10

Both the American Psychiatric Association classification system (the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders* - DSM, now in its fifth edition) ²⁰ and the World Health Organization (*International Classification of Diseases* - ICD-10) ²¹ provide a definition of mixed states far from the richness and multiplicity of psychopathological descriptions reviewed in the previous paragraphs. The DSM classification, however, made significant changes in the description of mixed episode from DSM-IV-TR ²² to the new "with mixed features" specifier in DSM-5.

According to DSM-IV-TR criteria, it was only possible to diagnose a mixed episode if the criteria for both a manic and a major depressive episode (except duration – only one week was required) were met. In the ICD-10, the term "mixed episode" indicates the co-occurrence or rapid cycling of prominent depressive and manic or hypomanic symptoms for at least 2 weeks. The 11th revision of the ICD will likely revise the concept of mixed episode following DSM revision. The two classification systems simplified the concept of "mixed states" and grouped them into a single diagnostic category (mixed episode); this simplification, however, brought about a series of problems, especially in terms of sensitivity in revealing psychopathologic symptoms that the majority of clinicians would judge as belonging to that category, but which do not reach sufficient threshold criteria to make a diagnosis.

Considering the DSM-IV-TR, the possibility that mixed states can coexist in the context of type II bipolar disorder was excluded, contrary to common experience in clinical practice. Moreover, the definition of mixed episode as the coexistence of full depressive and manic episodes meant that the presence of few symptoms of opposite polarity in the context of predominant manic or depressive episode was not considered. A third limitation of the DSM-IV-TR criteria for mixed episode was the exclusion criterion C: "the symptoms are not due to the direct physiological effects of a substance (e.g. a drug of abuse, a medication, or other treatment) or a general medical condition (e.g. hyperthyroidism)"; affective episodes temporally correlated with the use of substances (drug of abuse, antidepressants, somatic therapies) and with prominent mixed features are, on the contrary, very common in clinical

practice and could not be correctly recognised and diagnosed according to DSM-IV-TR criteria ²³.

The major limitations in ICD-10 criteria concern the low precision and reliability of the diagnostic definition itself, since the number of symptoms needed for the diagnosis is not specified. A second limitation of ICD-10 criteria is the poor sensitivity relative to temporal criteria: ICD-10 requires a duration of two weeks, which is considered to be excessive ²⁴.

In the DSM-5, a revision was made on criteria for mixed episodes: the new criteria have replaced the category "mixed episode" (extremely narrow definition of mixed states) with the specifier "with mixed features" (broad definition). The new classification will capture subthreshold, non-overlapping symptoms of the opposite pole using the new "with mixed features" specifier to be applied to manic episodes in bipolar I disorder (BDI), hypomanic and depressive episodes experienced in BDI, BD type II and major depressive disorder. In the new mixed categorical-dimensional concept used in the DSM-5, the mixed features specifier: 1) would apply not only to manic episodes (as in the DSM-IV), but also to hypomanic and major depressive episodes (even in a MDD longitudinal diagnosis), and 2) broadens the concept of mixed episodes as the threshold for the diagnosis is lowered to ≥3 symptoms of opposite polarity. It is evident that these new criteria have introduced considerable changes to the diagnosis of mixed states, which are in agreement with many of the aforementioned studies.

The current DSM-5 definition replaced the diagnosis of "mixed episode" with a "mixed-features specifier" which should be applied to episodes of major depression, either hypomanic or manic, together with, or in close juxtaposition, with at least three symptoms of opposite polarity. The mixed features specifier may be considered when patients with manic or hypomanic symptoms show at least three depressive symptoms and, conversely, if a depressed patient shows manic or hypomanic symptoms. Patients meeting criteria for mania and depression (the mixed episode of DSM-IV-TR) in the DSM-5 satisfy the criteria for "mania with mixed features", highlighting the greatest functional compromise and clinical severity of mania over depression ²⁰.

The ICD-11 criteria will be substantially similar to those of the DSM-5, with the difference that the term "mixed episode" will be maintained and further divided into six subtypes depending on the current predominant episode and presence of psychotic symptoms ²⁵. For example, the possible diagnoses will be "actual mixed episode, current mania with depressive symptoms, psychotic (or non-psychotic)"; a similar scheme will be used for hypomanic and depressive episodes.

Epidemiology

The diagnosis of mixed affective states represents a challenge for clinicians for the different definitions and the frequency of mixed and non-mixed manic subtypes, which are mainly dependent on setting, interview methods, assessment and criteria adopted. This problem is the main cause of the differences of prevalence rates found in the different studies. Akiskal ²⁶ stated that mixed states are a common presentation of BD, but a careful evaluation highlights large differences among mixed manic or depressive states and a huge variability linked to the criteria used for the evaluation.

For mixed manic states, the prevalence rates are usually lower when adopting the ICD-10 and DSM-III/IV criteria, ranging from 19% (ICD 10, 33) to 6.7-28% ^{27 28}. Prevalence rates of mixed depressive states are somewhat scarce and the variability for manic mixed state is similar to that found for mixed depressive states. Indeed, across studies, the differences fluctuate between 20 and 70%, and the frequency of mixed and non-mixed depressed subtypes is mainly dependent on setting, interview methods, assessment and criteria used ²⁹. Data on gender generally demonstrate the presence of differences between subtypes, confirming the presence of a female predominance in the mixed manic state ranging from 63 to 69% and the absence of gender differences in the prevalence of mixed depression ³⁰.

Treatment

The pharmacological treatment of mixed bipolar states remains a challenge for clinicians. Results from studies and clinical practice show that mixed presentations in bipolar disorder have a poorer pharmacological response compared with pure episodes, and combination therapy is often required ^{31 32}. An additional challenge in the treatment of mixed states arises from the need to concurrently treat both manic and depressive symptoms: depressiveswitch risk often may derive from an antipsychotic monotherapy centred on improving manic symptoms 33, particularly in the case of conventional antipsychotics and other drugs with a high polarity index 34. Conversely, antidepressants can induce a manic/mixed switch 35. The lack of standard definitions of mixed states, the low reliability of assessment measures and the DSM-IV-TR definition (considering mixed episodes as variants of mania) are further potential reasons for the lack of adequate research in this area. The majority of data is derived from post-hoc analyses of studies including manic patients and from a handful number of double-blind, placebo-controlled studies ³⁶. Combinations of atypical antipsychotics and conventional mood stabilisers, particularly divalproate, have the most consistent evidence ³⁷.

Even if most second generation antipsychotics (SGAs) have been approved for the treatment of mania, only a few guidelines make specific recommendations for managing mixed episodes, given the paucity of evidencebased data 32. Available data show that asenapine, olanzapine and valproate have positive effects in patients with mixed mania in placebo-controlled trials 38-40, and aripiprazole and ziprasidone show separation from placebo in pooled analyses 41 42. There are a few positive data on the use of quetiapine in acute mixed states 43. Ziprasidone has been tested in depressive mixed states 44. Asenapine and olanzapine have shown positive effects in combination with valproate in patients with mixed mania. The guidelines of the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry 45 recommend lithium in pure euphoric mania rather than in mania with dysphoric or depressive symptoms, while carbamazepine is suggested for mixed states or dysphoric mania, and valproate for both manic/depressive dysphoric features during a manic episode. Among typical antipsychotics, haloperidol may exacerbate depressive and dysphoric symptoms in mixed mania. The guidelines of the British Association for Psychopharmacology (BAP) 46 recommend oral administration of antipsychotics or valproate as first-line treatments for severe mixed episodes, in patients not already on long-term treatment. The CANMAT and ISBD treatment guidelines 40 suggest aripiprazole, paliperidone ER, olanzapine and asenapine monotherapy as first-line choice in mixed mania. The NICE guidelines suggest that patients with mixed states should be treated as if they have an acute manic episode, and no antidepressants should be prescribed.

Data on the use of SGAs in the treatment of acute mixed episodes are limited, both in monotherapy and in combination. In one randomised trial of a mixed episode cohort, Houston et al. ⁴⁷ reported earlier reduction of both manic and depressive symptoms of mixed episodes in patients treated with adjunct olanzapine over a 6 week period compared to adjunct placebo.

Muralidharan et al. ⁴⁸ published the first meta-analysis of all randomised double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trials on the efficacy of SGAs (as monotherapy or in combination with mood stabilisers) in treatment of mixed episodes, according to the DSM-IV criteria. The meta-analysis showed that SGAs in combination with mood stabiliser were superior to placebo plus mood stabiliser. The authors specified that SGAs were superior to placebo in the treatment of mixed episodes, particularly for manic symptoms, while moderately effective in reducing depressive mixed symptoms. Nonetheless, the interpretation of results should take into consideration that clinical trials included patients experiencing mixed episodes as defined in the DSM-IV, which considers mixed episodes

as a type of mania. The DSM-5 has eliminated mixed episodes as a category, while including mixed features as a course specifier for both manic and depressive episodes. The results of this meta-analysis suggest that SGAs are clearly effective in treating manic symptoms in mixed episode patients with syndromal mania and syndromal depression. However, findings on depression are limited and further studies are needed to establish the efficacy of SGAs in treating depressive symptoms in manic patients with mixed features.

Ouanes et al. 49 in a recent review demonstrated the overall efficacy of SGAs in mixed episodes. Antidepressant use is perhaps the most controversial issue in the treatment of bipolar disorder and the scientific literature is limited and controversial 50. Some studies support that the adjunctive use of antidepressants is not associated with an increased risk for switching to mania/hypomania or mixed episodes 51, but others are at odds with these findings 52, showing that antidepressants do not significantly increase the rate of enduring recovery from depression in bipolar I and II disorder. Nevertheless, there is a substantial consensus on avoiding antidepressant monotherapy in bipolar patients with mixed/cycling features or prior antidepressant-associated mania/hypomania 53. International guidelines give heterogeneous recommendation and take into consideration two potentially harmful effects of antidepressants, including the induction of hypomania/mania or mixed episodes and rapid cycling. However, a consensus exists in stopping the ongoing antidepressant medication during a mixed episode in both bipolar I and II patients 54.

Conclusions

Bipolar disorder has been the subject of significant revisions in the DSM-5. One of these major changes has been the removal of BD from the 'Mood Disorders' section and its inclusion in the new category of 'Bipolar and Related Disorders'. Another significant change concerns mixed states: the diagnosis of "mixed episode" has been replaced with a "mixed-features specifier" which should be applied to episodes of major depression, either hypomanic or manic, together with, or in close juxtaposition, at least three symptoms of opposite polarity. The mixed features specifier may be considered when patients with manic or hypomanic symptoms show at least three depressive symptoms and, conversely, when depressed patients show manic or hypomanic symptoms. Patients meeting criteria for mania and depression (the former mixed episode of DSM-IV-TR), will receive the DSM-5 diagnosis of "mania with mixed features", emphasising the greatest functional compromise and clinical severity of mania over depression.

The revival of the concept of mixed states will be hopefully fostered by changes in the DSM-5 definition, and is also a consequence of the renewed interest on this subtype of bipolar disorder. Of course, the current criteria of mixed states are not equivalent to the classical, Kraepelinian notion of mixed states and could have been improved. Both DSM-IV-TR definition of mixed states and the DSM-5 mixed features specifier show clear troubles, in particular in recognising severe mixed states, while the combinatory model shows a greater sensitivity for the definition of less severe varieties of mixed states characterised by clearly identifiable symptoms. Moreover, the mixed categorical-dimensional concept used in the DSM-5 does not adequately reflect some overlapping mood criteria, such as mood lability, irritability and psychomotor agitation, considered among the most common features of mixed depression. The significant changes made in the DSM-5 will help researchers in studying the clinical characteristics of this subtype of bipolar disorder and in implementing effective treatment strategies. Guidelines for the treatment of mixed states, in fact, do not give clear indications for pharmacological or non-pharmacological treatments of mixed states, and the few available data are limited to post-hoc analyses and subanalyses performed in bipolar, mostly manic, patients.

References

- Lorry AC. De Melancholia et morbis melancholicis. Paris: Lutetia Pariosiorum 1765.
- Heinroth JCA. Lehrbuch der Storungen des Seelelebens oder der Seelenstorung ond iher Behandlung – aus Rationaler Sicht. Leipzig: Vogel 1818.
- ³ Griesinger W. *Die Pathologie und therapie der psychischen krankheiten.1nd ed.* Stuttgart: Krabbe 1845.
- ⁴ Kraepelin E. *Psychiatrie, V Auflage, Ein lehrbuch fur studierende und artze*. Leipzig: Barth 1896.
- ⁵ Kraepelin E. *Psychiatrie*, *VII Auflage*, *Ein lehrbuch fur studierende und artze*. Leipzig: Barth 1904.
- ⁶ Kraepelin E. *Psychiatrie, VIII Auflage, Ein lehrbuch fur studierende und artze*. Leipzig: Barth 1913.
- Weygandt W. Über die Mischzustände des Manisch-depressiven Irreseins. Münich: JF Lehmann 1899.
- ⁸ Heckers S. *How many bipolar mixed states are there?* Harv Rev Psychiatry 2002;10:276-9.
- Marneros A. Origin and development of concepts of bipolar mixed states. J Affect Disord 2001;67:229-40.
- ¹⁰ Jaspers K. *Allgemeine psychopathologie. VI Auglage*. Berlin: Springer 1953.
- ¹¹ Schneider K. *Psicopatologia clinica*. Firenze: Sansoni 1967.
- Mentzos S. *Mischzustaende und mischbildhafte phasiche Psychosen*. Stuttgart: Ferdinand Enke Verlag 1967.

- Berner P, Gabriel E, Katschnig W, et al. *Diagnostic criteria* for schizophrenic and affective psychoses. Wien: World Psychiatric Association 1983.
- Janzarik W. Dynamische Grundkonstellationen in endogenen Psychosen. Berlin-Gottingen-Heidelberg: Springer 1959.
- Maina G, Bertetto N, Domene Boccolini F, et al. The concept of mixed state in bipolar disorder: from Kraepelin to DSM-5. J Psychopathol 2013;19:287-95.
- ¹⁶ Akiskal HS, Pinto O. *The evolving bipolar spectrum: prototypes I, II, III, IV*. Psychiatr Clin North Am 1999;22:517-34.
- Koukopoulos A, Albert MJ, Sani G, et al. *Mixed depressive states: nosologic and therapeutic issues*. Int Rev Psychiatry 2005;17:21-7.
- ¹⁸ McElroy SL. *Understanding the complexity of bipolar mixed* episodes. J Clin Psychiatry 2008;69:06.
- Maj M, Pirozzi R, Magliano L, et al. Agitated "unipolar" major depression: prevalence, phenomenology, and outcome. J Clin Psychiatry 2006;67:712-9.
- American Psychiatric Association (APA). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5), 5th edn.* Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association 2013.
- World Health Organization. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders. Geneva: World Health Organization 1992.
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 4th edn.* Washington: American Psychiatric Association 1994.
- ²³ Cassano GB, Tundo A. *Lo spettro dell'umore. Psicopatologia e clinica*. Milan: Elsevier 2008.
- Cassidy F, Yatham LN, Berk M, et al. Pure and mixed manic subtypes: a review of diagnostic classification and validation. Bipolar Disord 2008;10:131-43.
- Ostergaard SR, Rothschild A, Bertelsen A, et al. Rethinking the classification of mixed affective episodes in ICD-11. J Affect Disord 2012;138:170-2.
- Akiskal HS, Bourgeois ML, Angst J, et al. Re-evaluating the prevalence of and diagnostic composition within the broad clinical spectrum of bipolar disorders. J Affect Disord 2000;59:S5-S30.
- ²⁷ Cassidy F, Carroll BJ. *The clinical epidemiology of pure and mixed manic episodes.* Bipolar Disord 2001;3:35-40.
- Akiskal HS, Hantouche EG, Bourgeois ML, et al. Gender, temperament, and the clinical picture in dysphoric mixed mania: findings from a French national study (EPIMAN). J Affect Disord 1998;50:175-86.
- ²⁹ Goldberg JF, Perlis RH, Bowden CL, et al. *Manic symptoms* during depressive episodes in 1,380 patients with bipolar disorder: findings from the STEP-BD. Am J Psychiatry 2009;166:173-81.
- Benazzi F. *The role of gender in depressive mixed state*. Psychopathology 2003;36:213-7.
- ³¹ Fountoulakis KN, Kontis D, Gonda X, et al. Treatment

- of mixed bipolar states. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2012:15:1015-26
- ³² Nivoli AM, Murru A, Goikolea JM, et al. *New treatment guidelines for acute bipolar mania: a critical review.* J Affect Disord 2012;140:125-41.
- Goikolea JM, Colom F, Torres I, et al. Lower rate of depressive switch following antimanic treatment with second-generation antipsychotics versus haloperidol. J Affect Disord 2013;144:191-8.
- Popovic D, Reinares M, Goikolea JM, et al. Polarity index of pharmacological agents used for maintenance treatment of bipolar disorder. European Neuropsychopharmacol 2012;22:339-46.
- Pacchiarotti I, Valenti M, Colom F, et al. Differential outcome of bipolar patients receiving antidepressant monotherapy versus combination with an antimanic drug. J Affect Disord 2011;129:321-6.
- Vieta E, Valentí M. Pharmacological management of bipolar depression: acute treatment, maintenance, and prophylaxis. CNS Drugs 2013;27:515-29.
- McIntyre RS, Yoon J. *Efficacy of antimanic treatments in mixed states*. Bipolar Disord 2012;14:22-36.
- ³⁸ Azorin JM, Sapin C, Weiller E, et al. *Effect of asenapine on manic and depressive symptoms in bipolar I patients with mixed episodes: results from post-hoc analyses*. J Affect Disord 2013;145:62-9.
- ³⁹ Baker RW, Tohen M, Fawcett J, et al. *Acute dysphoric mania:* treatment response to olanzapine versus placebo. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2003;23:132-7.
- ⁴⁰ Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Parikh SV, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) collaborative update of CANMAT guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder: update 2013. Bipolar Disorders 2013;15:1-44.
- Stahl S, Lombardo I, Loebel A, et al. Efficacy of ziprasidone in dysphoric mania: pooled analysis of two double-blind studies. J Affec Disord 2010;122:39-45.
- Suppes T, Eudicone J, McQuade R. et al. Efficacy and safety of aripiprazole in sub population swith acute manic or mixed episodes of bipolar I disorder. J Affect Disord 2008;107:145-54.

- ⁴³ Vieta E, Suppes T, Ekholm B, et al. *Long-term efficacy of quetiapine in combination with lithium or divalproex on mixed symptoms in bipolar I disorder*. J Affect Disord 2012;142:36-44.
- Patkar A, Gilmer W, Pae CU, et al. A 6 week randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of ziprasidone for the acute depressive mixed state. PLoS One 2012;7:347-57.
- Grunze H, Vieta E, Goodwin GM, et al. WFSBP Task Force on Treatment Guidelines for Bipolar Disorders. World J Biol Psychiatry 2013;14:154-219.
- Goodwin GM. Consensus Group of the British Association for Psychopharmacology. Evidence-based guidelines for treating bipolar disorder: revised second edition-recommendations from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. J Psychopharmacol 2009;23:346-88.
- Houston JP, Tohen M, Degenhardt EK, et al. Olanzapinedivalproex combination versus divalproex monotherapy in the treatment of bipolar mixed episodes: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry 2009;70:1540-7.
- Muralidharan K, Ali M, Silveira LE, et al. Efficacy of second generation antipsychotics in treating acute mixed episodes in bipolar disorder: a meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials. J Affect Disord 2013;150:408-14.
- Ouanes S, Chennoufi L, Cheour M. An update on the treatment of mixed bipolar states: what is new in 2013? J Affect Disord 2014;158:53-5.
- Pacchiarotti I, Bond DJ, Baldessarini RJ, et al. The International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) task force report on antidepressant use in bipolar disorders. Am J Psychiatry 2013;170:1249-62.
- Grunze HC. Switching, induction of rapid cycling, and increased suicidality with antidepressants in bipolar patients: fact or overinterpretation? CNS Spectr. 2008;13:790-5.
- Sachs GS, Nierenberg AA, Calabrese JR, et al. Effectiveness of adjunctive antidepressant treatment for bipolar depression. N Engl J Med 2007;356:1711-22.
- Goldberg JF, Freeman MP, Balon R, et al. *The American Society of Clinical Psychopharmacology Survey of psychopharmacologists' practice patterns for the treatment of mood disorders*. Depress Anxiety 2015;32:605-13.
- Nivoli AM, Colom F, Murru A, et al. New treatment guidelines for acute bipolar depression: a systematic review. J Affect Disord 2011;129:14-26.