



# Colony size and brood investment of *Myrmica rubra* ant colonies in habitats invaded by goldenrods

I. M. Grześ<sup>1</sup> · P. Ślipiński<sup>2</sup> · H. Babik<sup>2</sup> · D. Moroń<sup>3</sup> · B. Walter<sup>2</sup> · G. Trigos Peral<sup>2</sup> · I. Maak<sup>2</sup> · M. Witek<sup>2</sup>Received: 22 September 2017 / Revised: 17 January 2018 / Accepted: 21 February 2018  
© The Author(s) 2018. This article is an open access publication

## Abstract

Ant richness and abundance are negatively affected by the invasion of alien goldenrods (*Solidago* sp.). However, little is known about the mechanisms standing behind the impact of the invaders on ant life history, such as colony investments in growth and reproduction. We examined this problem of the investments of *Myrmica rubra* ant colonies living in different grasslands invaded and non-invaded by goldenrods. Altogether, 47 colonies were analysed; and for each colony, we calculated the number of queens, workers and the production of young workers, gynes, and males. We found that colonies from invaded meadows are smaller in size, but have a similar number of adult queens compared to colonies from non-invaded sites. We also found different brood investments among colonies from invaded and non-invaded meadows—colonies from non-invaded meadows produce more young workers and invest more in growth, whereas colonies from invaded meadows invest more in reproduction through higher gyne production. Male production was at a similar level in colonies from both habitat types. The observed patterns may be explained by the effect of various environmental factors occurring in both grassland types, such as stress in changed habitats, higher competition among gynes in non-invaded grasslands, or finally, by the adaptive colony-level response of ants to stress. The higher production of gynes observed in the invaded grasslands may support dispersal and enhance the probability of establishing a colony in a more favourable location.

**Keywords** Colony growth · Life-history traits · Social insects · *Solidago* · Reproduction

## Introduction

By definition, invasive species are those that have the capacity to spread in non-native areas and they usually displace native species through competition, leading to ecological disturbances in the new environment. The invasion can cause both biotic and abiotic stresses that in most cases is not easily avoided by native species (reviewed in Litt et al. 2014).

Many ant species can become invasive when introduced to new areas (Holway et al. 2002; Snyder and Evans 2006;

Tsutsui and Suarez 2003; Silverman and Brightwell 2008), but ant communities can also be subjected to invasions by other species of diverse taxa, such as plants (French and Major 2001; Marshall and Buckley 2009; Osunkoya et al. 2011). Information on the response of ants to invasive plants is inconsistent in the published data (Litt et al. 2014). On one hand, alien plants can enhance the establishment of some ant species if they increase seed or honeydew availability (Ostoja et al. 2009; Lescano and Farji-Brener 2011). On the other hand, as most studies demonstrated, the richness and abundance of ants tend to decrease in response to non-native plant invasions (Kutt and Fisher 2010; Litt et al. 2014). The proximate reasons for such decreases are poorly understood. Introduced plant species affect ant abundance, richness, and diversity indirectly by changes in the microhabitat, vegetation structure, and species interactions, as well as by altering prey availability (Gratton and Denno 2005; Kutt and Fisher 2010; Lenda et al. 2013; Mitrus et al. 2017).

*Myrmica rubra* is a eurytopic species, known from all Europe and Palaearctic Asia, and is one of the most common, native ant species in Poland (Czechowski et al.

✉ M. Witek  
mawitus@yahoo.co.uk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Zoology, Institute of Animal Sciences, Agricultural University, Al. Mickiewicza 24/28, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Museum and Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of Science, Wilcza 64, 00-679 Warsaw, Poland

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences, Sławkowska 17, 31-016 Kraków, Poland

2012). Today, the native habitats of *M. rubra*, especially wet meadows, are progressively being invaded by goldenrods, i.e., *Solidago canadensis*, *Solidago gigantea*, and *Solidago altissima*. The range of goldenrods in Europe increased threefold after the beginning of the spread that dates back to 1850 (Weber 1998). It was shown that ants develop smaller colonies and their foraging distance is longer in grasslands invaded by *Solidago* spp. compared to non-invaded meadows (Lenda et al. 2013). Similarly, the invasion has a profound effect on ant species richness and the structure of ant communities (Lenda et al. 2013; Kajzer-Bonk et al. 2016). Data presented by Kajzer-Bonk et al. (2016) showed that goldenrod invasion affects negatively mainly *Myrmica* ants, for which much lower number of nests were found in patches covered by these plant species.

An increasing body of evidence from diverse taxa suggests that invasions may drive evolutionary processes in both natives and intruders (Gilchrist and Lee 2007). Nevertheless, many studies focus on the biotic traits of the invaders, whereas little is known about the changes in life-history traits of native species in response to an invasion (Jones and Closs 2015). In the present study, we investigated wild colonies of the common ant *M. rubra* originating from grasslands invaded by goldenrods. The main aim was to assess if goldenrod invasion can affect colony size, total production, as well as other productivity parameters, i.e., growth and reproduction of *M. rubra* colonies. The production of workers, gynes, and males was compared between colonies living in grasslands invaded by goldenrods and colonies from semi-natural (non-invaded) grasslands.

## Materials and methods

### Study site

The study was carried out in the end of July/beginning of August 2013 and 2016 in an area located in a complex of wet grasslands of the ordo *Molinietalia caeruleae* near the city of Kraków (50°01'N/19°53'E). Recently, many meadows have been invaded by goldenrod as the effect of abandonment and the cessation of mowing (Moron et al. 2009). We selected 12 abandoned grasslands of two types: six invaded by goldenrod and six non-invaded. The selected sites were separated from each other by a distance of a minimum of 0.5 km to a maximum of 7 km. Invaded grasslands were dominated by goldenrod that covered between 90 and 100% of the soil surface (mix of *S. canadensis* L. and *S. gigantea* A.), whereas on non-invaded sites, only single plants of goldenrods were detected (less than 1% of surface).

### Myrmica ant colony size and productivity parameters

We excavated a total of 47 colonies of *Myrmica rubra* in the field, which then were taken into the laboratory: 23 colonies from non-invaded grasslands and 24 colonies collected from invaded grasslands. In 2016, before nest excavations, we also collected data on nest density. For each *M. rubra* colony found ( $N=24$ : 12 invaded and 12 non-invaded), we assessed the density of all other ant nests in a square of 9 m<sup>2</sup> with the chosen *M. rubra* nest in the middle. About 10 ants were taken in the laboratory for identification [using the key of Czechowski et al. (2012)] from each of the nests found in the squares. In the laboratory, for each colony, we counted: (1) the number of adult workers and queens; (2) the number of ant larvae; (3) the number of ant pupae, which were divided into worker pupae, male pupae, and queen (gyne) pupae; and (4) the number of winged queens and males. We did not categorize ant larvae into worker and sexual forms, but we assumed that in late July/beginning of August, most larvae belong to the worker caste (in *Myrmica* ants, sexual larvae develop in late spring and the beginning of summer; Elmes et al. 1998). This was also supported by the lack of large pre-pupae and the presence of well-colored male and gyne pupae, suggesting that the sexual forms pupated several days before the date of collection. Information on the total number of larvae, pupae, and winged sexual forms produced by the colony allowed us to calculate the colony production of particular brood types.

### Statistical analysis

Differences in the number of workers (colony size), adult queen number, and total production were analysed using generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) with a negative binomial error term. In the models, habitat type (invaded and non-invaded grasslands) was included as the fixed factor, whereas colony ID and year were included as random factors. The proportions of particular brood types to the total brood production of the ant colony were analysed by GLMM with binomial error, with habitat type as the fixed factor and colony ID and year as random factors. GLMMs were performed using the *glmer* function in the *lme4* package (Bates et al. 2013). We used the Pearson Chi-squared test with Yates correction to test the differences in the total number of new workers, gynes, and males produced by colonies from invaded and non-invaded grasslands.

To assess differences in nest density between invaded and non-invaded meadows, we used GLM models with negative binomial error term. In our models, the (a)

number of all *Myrmica* nests and the (b) number of *M. rubra* nests around our colonies studied were included as dependent variables, whereas, in both models, the habitat type (invaded and non-invaded grasslands) was included as fixed factor.

All statistical analyses were performed in R Statistical Environment (R Core Team 2017).


## Results

*Myrmica rubra* colonies living in grasslands invaded by goldenrods were significantly smaller than colonies from non-invaded sites (GLMM  $z = -2.06$ ,  $p = 0.04$ , Table 1; Fig. 1a), but there was no difference in the number of adult queens (GLMM  $z = -1.22$ ,  $p = 0.23$ , Table 1). Total production was significantly lower in colonies from invaded grasslands than from non-invaded ones (GLMM  $z = -2.63$ ,

$p = 0.009$ , Table 1; Fig. 1b). All colonies were producing workers and the total number of new workers produced by colonies from non-invaded sites 14420, (mean  $\pm$  SE  $626.9 \pm 116.06$ ) were significantly higher than the number of new workers produced by colonies from invaded grasslands 10878, (mean  $\pm$  SE  $453.2 \pm 135.44$ ) ( $\chi^2 = 156$ , 2,  $df = 1$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The proportion of new workers to total brood production inside *M. rubra* colonies was not significantly different among colonies from the two habitat types (GLMM  $z = -1.62$ ,  $p = 0.104$ ). In total, 1525 (mean  $\pm$  SE  $63.5 \pm 20.95$ ) gynes were produced by 50% of colonies from invaded grasslands, whereas 536 (mean  $\pm$  SE  $23.3 \pm 12.64$ ) gynes were produced by 30% of colonies from non-invaded sites. The total number of young queens produced by colonies from invaded grasslands was significantly higher compared to colonies from non-invaded grasslands ( $\chi^2 = 250.8$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). In addition, the proportion of young queens to total brood production was significantly higher in

**Table 1** Summary of median, quartiles, and min–max values for colony size (number of workers), queen number, and productivity parameters of *Myrmica rubra* colonies coming from grasslands invaded by goldenrods ( $N_{\text{colony}} = 24$ ) and non-invaded grasslands ( $N_{\text{colony}} = 23$ )

Parameters	Median and (first–third quartiles) and (min–max values) for colonies from non-invaded grasslands	Median and (first–third quartiles) and (min–max values) for colonies from invaded grasslands
Worker number	1012 (407–1878) (94–3327)	784 (426.5–1823.5) (152–5964)
Queen number	6 (3–13) (0–38)	4 (2–7.5) (1–43)
Total production	504 (268–994) (71–2494)	367 (176–680.5) (25–3416)
New worker production	418 (228–881) (71–2100)	274 (99.5–520.5) (25–3178)
Gyne production	0 (0–2) (0–248)	0.5 (0–118) (0–310)
Male production	3 (0–50) (0–393)	2 (0–60.5) (0–432)



**Fig. 1** Violin plots with distribution of data points showing the number of workers—colony size (a) and total production (b) of *Myrmica rubra* colonies collected on grasslands invaded by goldenrod plants and non-invaded grasslands

colonies living in invaded sites compared to colonies from non-invaded grasslands (GLMM  $z = 1.935$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ). Males, 1076 in total, (mean  $\pm$  SE  $44.8 \pm 19.25$ ) were produced by 62% of colonies from invaded grasslands and by 65% of colonies from non-invaded sites (985 males in total, mean  $\pm$  SE  $42.8 \pm 19.09$ ) and these numbers were not significantly different ( $\chi^2 = 1.923$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p = 0.166$ ). The proportion of males to total brood production also did not differ among colonies from invaded grasslands compared to colonies living in non-invaded ones (GLMM  $z = 0.471$ ,  $p = 0.637$ ).

The density of *Myrmica* nests around our studied colonies was significantly higher in non-invaded grasslands than in invaded ones (GLM  $z = -2.26$ ,  $p = 0.026$ ). *M. rubra* nest density was also higher in non-invaded sites, but not on significant level (GLM,  $z = -1.85$ ,  $p = 0.06$ ).

## Discussion

Our results showed that colonies living in invaded grasslands are smaller in size and consequently produce less brood in total than colonies from non-invaded sites. Moreover, it seems that colonies occurring in non-invaded grasslands invest more in maintenance, as total production of new workers is higher compared to colonies from invaded grasslands. The most interesting finding concerns the production of young queens, which is higher for colonies occurring in invaded sites. This result suggests that on the meta-population level, more new gynes are produced by colonies living in grasslands invaded by *Solidago* plants compared to colonies occurring in non-invaded sites. Moreover, in colonies from invaded grasslands, the proportion of gynes to all brood production is higher compared to colonies from non-invaded meadows. As male production seems to be at a similar level in all colonies, such results suggest that *M. rubra* colonies living in invaded grasslands switch their investment more into gyne production rather than into the production of young workers.

Our data were collected in the field, and thus, we are unable to assess the age of the colonies, but on the basis of the production parameters, we can assume that the age variation should be similar in both groups of colonies. All of them produced new workers, a similar proportion of colonies produced males, and some of the colonies produced gynes. According to life-history theory, an ant colony, analogically to single organisms, has to invest resources in maintenance, i.e., the production of workers, and in reproduction, i.e., the production of sexuals (Baroni-Urbani et al. 1978; Peakin and Josens 1978). In general, it is considered that the intensive production of workers occurs during the first stages of colony development, whereas sexual forms are produced later (Oster and Wilson 1978). One of the reasons for this is that the production of sexuals is costly in terms of feeding and

the foraging effort of workers. The production of gyne pupa requires more resources compared to worker or male pupa (Boomsma and Isaaks 1985; Grześ and Okrutniak 2016). It is also known that the production of sexual forms in ant colonies can be correlated with various factors, such as colony size, queen age, food availability, and habitat conditions (Nielsen and Josens 1978). Because our findings are based on field studies, the reason underlying this difference can be attributed to various environmental factors, but it can also be discussed in terms of the adaptive colony-level response of ants to stress and all possible interpretations should be evaluated with caution.

The previous studies highlighted that goldenrod-invaded grasslands are not suitable for *Myrmica* ants or can even be considered stressful (Lenda et al. 2013; Kajzer-Bonk et al. 2016). According to these studies, the density of *Myrmica* nests was lower, estimated colony size was smaller and the foraging distance of ants was longer in such grasslands compared to non-invaded sites. However, certain life-history traits of ants emphasize their plasticity in the face of environmental disturbance and, therefore, may have adaptive significance. Many such traits may operate at the colony level. Walin et al. (2001) reported that colonies of *M. rubra* may respond to their spatial position and nest density. Colonies of high nest density maintained more queens per nest, and thus, site limitation can promote polygyny. In general, possible traits that help to overcome frequent disturbances include small colony size, fast generation time, polygyny, polydomy, and morphologically unspecialized workers, but there are many notable exceptions to this generalization (Linksvayer and Jansen 2009). It also seems that maintaining morphologically unspecialized workers is more efficient for dealing with habitat disturbances (Hölldobler and Wilson 2009; Bourke and Franks 1995). *Myrmica rubra* shares all the above traits, suggesting the high robustness of this species. It is also likely that the higher production of gynes observed in invaded grasslands supports dispersal and, therefore, might be a colony-level mechanism of avoiding the disturbed habitat and enhancing the probability of establishing a colony in a more favourable location. Therefore, the observed pattern of gyne production may be a result of the species' plasticity, favouring its performance in the disturbed environment of goldenrod invasion.

Lower gyne production in colonies from non-invaded grasslands compared to those from invaded sites may also be due to the effect of local resource competition among related queens in non-invaded grasslands. As showed our data, *Myrmica* nest density is higher in non-invaded grasslands, which in turn may lead to higher competition among inseminated gynes for a nest site compared to invaded grasslands. It is known that this phenomenon often occurs in polygynous ant species, like, e.g., *M. rubra*. In addition, it is known that *Myrmica* colonies are commonly established by the

fragmentation of an existing colony (Elmes et al. 1998), when one queen leaves the nest with part of the workers. In such cases, the worker force becomes part of the investment in females (Craig 1980; Bulmer 1983), which in turn may also partly explain the greater number of new workers produced by colonies living in the control grasslands. It is interesting to note that the density of *M. rubra* nests in non-invaded sites is also higher (although not on significant level of 0.05) than in invaded grasslands. This fact may suggest that *Solidago* invasion can also change *M. rubra* population structure; as in non-invaded places, most of colonies can be polydomous and create supercolonial units, whereas in invaded sites, *Myrmica* colonies seem to be more separated from each other. This in turn may also influence observed changes in the reproductive strategies of ant colonies.

To conclude, our study suggests that the invasion of goldenrod plants can be an important factor influencing the reproductive strategies of *Myrmica* ant colonies. It seems that in such changed habitats, ants may invest more in reproduction by producing more gynes and promote dispersal. As a trade-off, this higher investment into actual reproduction can lead to a situation where colonies may invest less energy in colony growth, produce fewer workers, and attain smaller colony size.

**Acknowledgements** We would like to thank Kamil Rzeszowski for his help with the fieldwork. The study was supported by internal Grant (Gwiazda) of MiIZ to HB, a Grant of Polish National Science Centre to MW (no. 2015/17/B/NZ8/02492), and to DM (post-doctoral internship no. 2013/08/S/NZ8/00758).

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Baroni-Urbani C, Josens G, Peakin GJ (1978) Empirical data and demographic parameters. In: Brian MV (ed) Production ecology of ants and termites. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp 5–44
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2013) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4. R package version 1. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4>
- Boomsma JJ, Isaaks JA (1985) Energy investment and respiration in queens and males of *Lasius niger* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 18:19–27
- Bourke AFG, Franks NR (1995) The division of labor. In: Bourke AFG, Franks NR (eds) Social evolution of ants. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp 400–442
- Bulmer MG (1983) Sex ratio theory in social insects with swarming. J Theor Biol 100:329–339
- Craig R (1980) Sex investment ratios in social Hymenoptera. Am Nat 138:37–50
- Czechowski W, Radchenko A, Czechowska W, Vepsäläinen K (2012) The ants (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) of Poland with reference to the myrmecofauna of Europe. Natura optima dux Foundation, Warszawa
- Elmes GW, Thomas JA, Wardlaw JC, Hochberg ME, Clarke RT, Simcox DJ (1998) The ecology of *Myrmica* ants in relation to the conservation of *Maculinea* butterflies. J Insect Conserv 2:67–78
- French K, Major RE (2001) Effect of an exotic Acacia (Fabaceae) on ant assemblages in South African fynbos. Austral Ecol 26:303–310
- Gilchrist GW, Lee CE (2007) All stressed out and nowhere to go: does evolvability limit adaptation in invasive species? Genetica 129:127–132
- Gratton C, Denno RF (2005) Restoration of arthropod assemblages in a *Spartina* salt marsh following removal of the invasive plant *Phragmites australis*. Restor Ecol 13:358–372
- Grześ IM, Okrutniak M (2016) No effect of Zn-pollution on the energy content in the black garden ant. Ecotoxicology 25:623–632
- Holway DA, Lach L, Suarez AV, Tsutsui ND, Case TJ (2002) The causes and consequences of ant invasions. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 33:181–233
- Hölldobler B, Wilson EO (2009) The division of labor. In: Hölldobler B, Wilson EO (eds) The super-organism: the beauty, elegance, and strangeness of insect societies. WW Norton and Company Inc., New York, pp 84–164
- Jones PE, Closs GP (2015) Life history influences the vulnerability of New Zealand galaxiids of invasive salmonids. Freshw Biol 60:2127–2141
- Kajzer-Bonk J, Szpilyk D, Woyciechowski M (2016) Invasive goldenrods affect abundance and diversity of grassland ant communities (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). J Insect Conserv 20:99–105
- Kutt AS, Fisher A (2010) Ant assemblages change with increasing dominance of an exotic pasture grass in a tropical savanna woodland. Ecol Manag Restor 11:67–69
- Lescano MN, Farji-Brener AG (2011) Exotic thistles increase native ant abundance through the maintenance of enhanced aphid populations. Ecol Res 26:827–834
- Lenda M, Witek M, Skorka P, Moron D, Woyciechowski M (2013) Invasive alien plants affect grassland ant communities, colony size and foraging behaviour. Biol Invasions 15:2403–2414
- Linksvayer TA, Janssen MA (2009) Traits underlying the capacity of ant colonies to adapt to disturbance and stress regimes. Syst Res Behav Sci 26:315–329
- Litt AR, Cord EE, Fulbright TE, Schuster GL (2014) Effects of invasive plants on arthropods. Conserv Biol 28:1532–1549
- Marshall JM, Buckley DS (2009) Influence of *Microstegium vimineum* presence on insect abundances in hardwood forests. Southeast Nat 8:515–526
- Mitrus S, Morón D, Nowak A (2017) Impact of plant cover on the cavity-nesting ant *Temnothorax crassispinus*. Ecol Entomol. <https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12441>
- Moron D, Lenda M, Skorka P, Szentgyorgyi H, Settele J, Woyciechowski M (2009) Wild pollinator communities are negatively affected by invasion of alien goldenrods in grassland landscapes. Biol Conserv 142:1322–1332
- Nielsen MG, Josens G (1978) Production by ants and termites. In: Brian MV (ed) Production ecology of ants and termites. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 45–53
- Oster GF, Wilson EO (1978) Caste and ecology in the social insects. Princeton University Press, New Jersey
- Ostoja SM, Schupp EW, Sivy K (2009) Ant assemblages in intact big sagebrush and converted cheatgrass-dominated habitats in toeel county, Utah. West N Am Nat 69:223–234

- Osunkoya OO, Polo C, Andersen AN (2011) Invasion impacts on biodiversity: responses of ant communities to infestation by cats claw creeper vine, *Macfadyena unguis cati* (Bignoniaceae) in subtropical Australia. *Biol Invasions* 13:2289–2302
- Peakin GJ, Josens G (1978) Respiration and energy flow. In: Brian MV (ed) *Production ecology of ants and termites*. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp 111–163
- R Core Team (2017) *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Silverman J, Brightwell RJ (2008) The argentine ant: challenges in managing an invasive unicolonial pest. *Annu Rev Entomol* 53:231–252
- Snyder WE, Evans EW (2006) Ecological effects of invasive arthropod generalist predators. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 37:95–122
- Tsutsui ND, Suarez AV (2003) The colony structure and population biology of invasive ants. *Conserv Biol* 17:48–58
- Walsh L, Seppä P, Sundström L (2001) Reproductive allocation within a polygyne, polydomous colony of the ant *Myrmica rubra*. *Ecol Entomol* 26:537–546
- Weber E (1998) The dynamics of plant invasions: a case study of three exotic goldenrod species (*Solidago* L.) in Europe. *J Biogeogr* 25:147–154