## TACSM Abstract

## Wearable Positive End-Expiratory Pressure Valve Improves Exercise Performance

ALEXANDRA L. REMY, JASON R. LYTLE, SEAN BOUTROS, WILLIAM BENTON, MICHAEL MORENO, PATRICK M. MCCULLOCH, BRAD S. LAMBERT, & STEPHEN F. CROUSE<sup>1</sup>, FACSM.

<sup>1</sup>Applied Exercise Science Laboratory; Health and Kinesiology Department; Texas A&M University; College Station, TX

Category: Masters

Advisor / Mentor: Crouse, Stephen (s-crouse@tamu.edu)

## ABSTRACT

Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) provides benefits to pulmonary patients, yet effects in healthy, exercising adults are unknown. **PURPOSE**: We designed two experiments (EXP) to test a novel PEEP (4.2 cmH<sub>2</sub>O PEEP) mouthpiece (PMP) on maximal cycling performance of physically active volunteers. METHODS: EXP-1 PMP vs. control (CON) mouthpiece (N=9, Age=30±2 yr, Weight=72.2±3.7 kg, BMI=24.4±1.2, 5<sup>3</sup>); and EXP-2 PMP vs. no mouthpiece (NMP) (N=10, Age=27±1 vr, Weight=76.7±3.6 kg, BMI=23.9 $\pm$ 0.8, 3). Exercise test procedures for both experiments were identical. On Day 1, under the first mouthpiece condition assigned at random subjects performed graded exercise cycling testing (GXT) (Corival<sup>®</sup>) for VO<sub>2peak (ml\*kg\*min<sup>-1</sup>)</sub>, oxygen pulse (mlO2\*bt) (O<sub>2</sub>pulse), GXT endurance time (s) (GXT-T), and VO<sub>2(ml\*kg\*min</sub>-1)-at-ventilatory-threshold (VO<sub>2</sub>@VT). Subjects returned 72 h later (Day 2), to complete an endurance ride timed (s) to exhaustion (VTER) at an intensity equivalent to their  $VO_2@VT$  power (W). One week later, subjects repeated exercise testing protocols (Days 3 & 4, time-of-day controlled) under the alternate mouthpiece condition. RESULTS: Selected outcomes were as follows (paired T-test, \*<0.05) PMP vs. CON, respectively: VO<sub>2peak</sub>= 45.2±2.4\* vs. 42.4±2.3; VO<sub>2</sub>@VT= 33.7±2.0 vs. 32.3±1.6; GXT-T=521.7±73.4\* vs. 495,3±72.8; VTER=846.2±166.0 vs. 743.1±124.7; O<sub>2</sub>pulse=24.5±1.4\* vs. 23.1±1.3. PMP vs. NMP, respectively: VO<sub>2peak</sub>=43.3±1.6\* vs. 41.7±1.6; VO<sub>2</sub>@AT=31.1±1.2\* vs. 29.1±1.3; GXT-T=511.7\*±49.6 vs. 486.4±49.6; VTER 872.4±134.0 vs. 792.9 ± 122.4; O<sub>2</sub>pulse=24.1±0.9\* vs. 23.4±0.9. CONCLUSION: These results demonstrate that the novel PEEP mouthpiece we tested confers a significant performance benefit to cyclists completing high intensity exercise. By extension, it is likely to be an advantage in any physical activity having an aerobic component.

