Conversion of paper to film by ionic liquids:

manufacturing process and properties

3 Atsushi Tanaka[1], Alexey Khakalo[1], Lauri Hauru[2], Antti Korpela[1], Hannes Orelma[1*]

4 [1]VTT - Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd, Biologinkuja 7, Espoo, Finland

5 [2]University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 55 (A. I. Virtasen aukio 1), FI-00014 University of Helsinki

8 ABSTRACT

9 In this study, we investigate the "chemical welding" of paper with the ionic liquid (IL) 1-ethyl-3-

10 methylimidazolium acetate ([EMIM]OAc) using a two-step process. First, the IL is transported into

11 the structure of the paper as a water solution. Then, partial dissolution is achieved by activation

12 with heat, where the water evaporates and the surfaces of the fibres partially dissolve. The

13 activated paper is washed with water to remove IL, and dried to fuse fibre surfaces into each

14 other. The "chemically welded" paper structure has both elevated dry and wet strength. The

15 treatment conditions can be adjusted to produce both paper-like materials and films. The most

16 severe treatment conditions produce films that are fully transparent and their oxygen and grease

17   barrier properties are excellent. As an all-cellulose material, the "chemically welded" paper is

18   fully biodegradable and is a potential alternative to fossil fuel-based plastics.

19   **INTRODUCTION**

20   The consumption of packaging materials has continuously been rising due to increased

21   transportation of goods and food materials around the world, which has been steadily increased

22   due to online shopping and offshoring of manufacturing plants.[1] This rise in material

23   consumption has been met mainly by fossil fuel-based plastics and lignocellulose-based

24   materials. Since packages are typically utilized only once, plastic materials, which have

25   incomplete recycling cycles, cause environmental pollution, also harming animals and other living

26   organisms, including, for example, human health issues related to the microplastics problem.[2]

27   Consequently, the choice of packaging materials has been guided towards biodegradable,

28   renewable materials. Thus, much of the growth in packaging material consumption has been

29   covered by lignocellulosic materials, due to the renewability, recyclability, and abundance of

30   wood fibre materials.[3] Among wood-based materials, cardboard, wrapping papers and films have

31   been the most important materials used in packaging applications.

32   Wood fibres are mostly composed of cellulose, a linear polymer composed of glucose units

33   connected by glycosidic bonds.[4] In paper and board, fibres are connected by interfibrillar

34   hydrogen bonds forming layered sheets. Paper is strong when dry, but easily disintegrates in

35   water, because water effectively breaks those bonds.[5] Therefore, in many paper applications,

36   some wet strength additives are used to increase the water tolerance of paper via

37   hydrophobization or forming chemical linkages between fibres.[5] Crystalline cellulose has an

38   inherent water resistance due to the tight orientation and packing of cellulose chains, which is

39   utilized in regenerated cellulose films and filaments.[6] Regenerated cellulose materials are made

40   by first dissolving cellulose in a suitable cellulose solvent system and then the dissolved cellulose

41   is regenerated into a solid structure by using an antisolvent.[7] A large variety of the suitable non-

42   derivatizing and derivatizing dissolution systems have been reported. Non-derivatizing direct

43   dissolution chemistries such as cupro, NMMO·H_2O, and ILs are attractive since they do not alter

44   the chemistry of cellulose, maintaining the excellent biodegradability of pure cellulose.[8–10] The

45   most common process used to produce regenerated cellulose films is the cellophane process,

46   where cellulose is regenerated from cellulose xanthate ("viscose") by dilute sulfuric acid; but

47   greener alternatives such as novel ILs have been proposed.[11,12] These regenerated films have

48   excellent mechanical properties, and due to their pure cellulose structure they maintain the

49   natural hydrophilicity of cellulose. Recent developments have targeted combining regenerated

50   cellulose with intact cellulose fibres to manufacture high-performance all-cellulose materials.[13,14]

51   With this concept, no additives or coatings are required to achieve excellent mechanical

52   properties both in a dry and wet state, which is combined with good barrier properties and

53   excellent biodegradability.

54   All-cellulose materials can be made by using composite or partial dissolution approaches.[13] In the

55   composite approach, cellulose fibres are embedded into a cellulose matrix, which is cast into the

56   required shape, typically into a film. Comparatively, in partial dissolution, the premade paper is

57   "chemically welded" by dissolving a thin layer on the fibre surface, allowing the fibre surfaces

58   fuse together after regeneration. This approach has earlier been utilized with *N*-

59   methylmorpholine-*N*-oxide (NMMO)[15], LiCl/DMAc[16], NaOH-urea[17], and ionic liquids[18]. In these

60   studies, pre-made paper sheets were directly immersed into the active dissolution solutions. The

61   drawback of this approach is that if the cellulose solvent is viscous, dissolution mostly takes place

62   only on the surfaces of the paper. We have shown that NMMO diluted with methanol can be

63   utilized to more controllably "chemically weld" cellulose nanofibrils (CNF) film when the paper is

64   first impregnated with NMMO in methanol, the methanol is evaporated off and the NMMO is

65   activated with a hot calender.[19] The methanol for dilution has four functions: to lower the

66   viscosity of cellulose solvent, to prevent dissolution of cellulose during the impregnation, to swell

67   the paper structure in order to ease the permeation of the paper with the cellulose solvent, and

68   to control the quantity of the active solvent added. The severity of the partial dissolution

69   treatment can be controlled by the concentration of the cellulose solvent, treatment time and

70   heat activation temperature. ILs have a special role in the preparation of all-lignocellulose

71   material due to their claimed ability to dissolve all components of wood including cellulose,

72   hemicellulose, lignin and even wood.[20] As an example, partial dissolution with 1-butyl-3-

73   methylimidazolium chloride ([BMIM]Cl) has been utilized to produce an all-wood-fibre composite

74   from hinoki wood.[21] In the same study, cotton fabric was also transformed into a solid all-

75   cellulose material. Havershals et al. studied the "chemical welding" of hemp and cotton yarns

76   with [EMIM]OAc.[22] In that study, the yarns were placed in hot IL and then purified in water. The

77   treatment causes gluing of the surface of yarns, and the toughness of the yarn was significantly

78   increased. However, the authors present no industrially applicable conversion methods or

79   attractive specified product applications.

80   In this study, pre-made paper sheets were treated with 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate

81   ([EMIM]OAc) diluted by water, followed by heat activation of the solvent in the oven. After

82   activation, the IL was removed from the paper by washing with water, and then the sheets were

83   dried in an oven. Precipitation of the dissolved cellulose resulted in a fusion of the fibres of paper

84   into a solid, uniform, high wet- and dry-strength material, with properties similar to thermoset

85   plastics.

86   **EXPERIMENTAL**

87   **MATERIALS**

88   Bleached pine kraft pulp was obtained from a Finnish pulp mill. Laboratory handsheets (size 150

89   x 150 mm^2) with 100 g/m^2 (relative humidity (RH) 50%) grammage were prepared according to

90   ISO standard 5269-1:05. 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate ([EMIM]OAc, purity 95%) was

91   purchased from IoLiTec GmbH, Heilbronn, Germany. All other chemicals used in the study were

92   of analytical grade. All water used in the study was purified with a Milli-Q device.

93   **METHODS**

94   **Partial dissolution of paper sheets by ionic liquid**

95   Partial dissolution of handsheets was carried out with 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate

96   ([EMIM]OAc). [EMIM]OAc was diluted with water to 75 wt-% concentration before the

97   treatment. Dry paper sheets were dipped into the [EMIM]OAc solution either for 15 s or for 3

98   min to change the absorbed volume of [EMIM]OAc-water in the paper sheet. Keeping the sheet

99   in the solution for more than 3 minutes significantly weakened the paper sheet, leading to

100   handling issues. Impregnated paper sheets were placed on a plate covered by aluminium foil and

101   heated in the oven, where water quickly evaporated and the fibres then partially dissolved. A

102 series of samples (Figure 1) were prepared with varying oven temperatures and treatment times:

103 (a) a reference without any treatment; (b) 15 s impregnation, oven 80 °C, treatment time of 50

104 min; (c) 3 min impregnation, 80 °C, 50 min; (d) 3 min impregnation, 95 °C, 50 min; (e) 3 min

105 impregnation, 95 °C, 16 h. The heat-treated paper sheets carrying [EMIM]OAc on aluminium foil

106 were then placed into water. Water was changed four times to wash out completely all the

107 remaining ionic liquid. Aluminium foil was carefully peeled from the treated sheet after the first

108 rinsing. The rinsed sheets were then wet-pressed at 3.5 bar for 5 minutes (ISO 5269-1:05) and

109 dried with a L&W Rapid Dryer (ABB Ab / Lorentzen & Wettre) at 135 °C for 5 minutes. Dried sheets

110 were stored at the standard thermo- and hygrostated conditions of 23 °C and 50% RH.

111

112 *Figure 1. Optimization scheme for a partial dissolution process with [EMIM]OAc-water. The impregnation*
113 *and heat activation conditions are shown in the boxes. The arrows on the boxes show the optimization*
114 *steps.*

115 **Viscosity of aqueous IL solutions**

116 The shear viscosity of IL-water solutions at concentrations of 100%, 90%, 75% and 50% were

117 measured using a rotational rheometer (Anton Paar Rheometer MCR301) with TruGap™

118 measurement geometry. The sample was placed between a fixed bottom plate and a moving

119 upper cone with the gap at 0.051 mm. The upper cone geometry was CP50-2, i.e. diameter 50

120 mm and cone angle 2°. Temperature was precisely controlled at 23 °C. Viscosity was measured

121 at three shear rates: 10, 100, and 500 1/s.

122 **Microscopy analyses**

123 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging was carried out with a Merlin Field Emission (FE)-

124 SEM (Carl Zeiss NTS GmbH, Germany) with applied gold sputter coatings (30 mA, 30 s). The

125 electron gun voltage was a constant 3 kV with a grid current of 60 pA. The pixel resolution was

126 2048 x 1536.

127 **Chemical analyses of IL-treated papers by solid state ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectrometry**

128 Changes in the crystallinity of the wood fibres after [EMIM]OAc treatment were characterized by

129 using a ^{13}C cross polarization magic angle spinning (CP-MAS) NMR spectrometer (Bruker AVANCE-

130 III 400 MHz, Bruker BioSpin, Germany). For all samples, 20,000 scans were collected using an 8

131 kHz spinning frequency, 2-ms contact time, and a 5-second delay between pulses.

132 **Mechanical properties of "chemically welded" papers**

133 The apparent bulk density of the paper sheets with and without IL treatment was measured in

134 accordance with ISO 5270:12, ISO 534:05. Gurley air resistance was measured in accordance with

135 ISO 5270:12, ISO 5636-5:13. Tensile strength, tensile index, stretch at break, tensile energy

136 absorption, TEA index, and tensile stiffness were measured in accordance with ISO 5270:12, ISO

137 1924-2:08. Tear strength was measured in accordance with ISO 5270:12, ISO 1974:12. Internal

138 bonding strength (Scott bond) was measured in accordance with TAPPI T569:00. Wet tensile

139 strength was measured at 10 min and 24 h immersion times in accordance with ISO 3781:11,

140 SCAN-P 20:95.

141 **Barrier properties**

142 **Air permeabilities** of the samples were investigated using an L&W Air Permeance Tester, in

143 accordance with ISO 5636-3:2013(E) Part 3: Bendtsen method. Measurements were repeated at

144 least five times.

145 **Water vapour permeabilities** of the samples were determined gravimetrically using a modified

146 ASTM-E-96B procedure "wet cup method". Samples with a test area of 30 cm^2 were mounted on

147 circular aluminium cups (68-3000 Vapometer EZ-Cups; Thwing-Albert Instrument Company)

148 containing distilled water (100% RH). The cups were stored in test conditions of 23 °C and 50%

149 RH and weighed periodically until a constant rate of weight reduction was attained. Two parallel

150 measurements were carried out. Results were normalized by the film thickness (in μm).

151 **Oxygen permeabilities** through the films were determined according to standard ASTM D3985

152 using an Oxygen Permeation Analyser Model 8001 (Systech Instruments Ltd, UK). Tests were

153 carried out at 23 °C and 0% and 80% RH using 100% oxygen as a test gas. Two parallel

154 measurements were carried out. Results were normalized by the film thickness (in μm).

155 **Grease barrier properties** were evaluated according to the method reported by Vähä-Nissi

156 (2016). The paper sheets with the size of 50 mm x 50 mm were placed on a transparent glass

157 plate. The sample (same size) was put on it. A circular blotting paper (diameter 30 mm) was

158 further put on the sample, and 200 μl of "Oil Red O" (1-([4-(xylylazo)xylyl]azo)-2-naphthol, 1-[2,5-

159 dimethyl-4-(2,5-dimethylphenylazo)phenylazo]-2-naphthol, Solvent Red 27, Sigma-Aldrich) dyed

160 oil was pipetted onto the blotting paper. Then a 50-g weight (diameter 30 mm) was placed onto

161 the blotting paper. Penetration of grease through the sample was detected by an image scanner

162 (300 dpi, 24-bit colour) through the glass plate. 5 parallel measurements were carried out for 148

163 h with periodic image scanning.

164

RESULTS

### Processing paper sheets with aqueous IL solution

167   The paper samples were treated with the IL-water solution before heat activation in an oven. The

168   role of the water in IL was to decrease the viscosity of the IL and swell paper so that the IL can

169   better penetrate into its structure. Pure [EMIM]OAc with and without added water behaved as a

170   Newtonian fluid without the shear rate response (Figure 2a). The viscosity of the pure [EMIM]OAc

171   was 169.5 mPa·s (Figure 2b). When a 10 wt-% of water (water in IL mole ratio 1.1) was added,

172   the viscosity decreased to 56.1 mPa·s. The higher water content, 25 (mole ratio 3.2) and 50 (mole

173   ratio 10) wt-%, further decreased the viscosity value to 24.8 and 7.4 mPa·s, respectively. This

174   explains that with a small water addition, the viscosity of [EMIM]OAc can be drastically

175   decreased, easing processing. The observed viscosity decrease as a function of added water

176   content was the same as reported earlier.[23] The viscosity of the pure [EMIM]OAc has also a strong

177   temperature dependence. However, if the temperature is used to decrease the viscosity of IL,

178   the dissolution reaction propagates simultaneously.[20] In this study, we did not investigate

179   temperature dependence, because the aim of our procedure was to prevent dissolution during

180   the impregnation phase in order to enhance the controllability of the treatment process.

Figure 2. a) Viscosity of [EMIM]OAc with and without water as a function of shear rate at 20 °C. b) Viscosity of [EMIM]OAc as a function of water content.

The amount of [EMIM]OAc in the paper could be controlled with the dilution of the IL and the impregnation time. The mass increase of the paper sheets during impregnation with a 75 w-% [EMIM]OAc solution was estimated gravimetrically (Figure 3a). The impregnation process of the paper is rapid, and the plateau was reached after 30 s impregnation time. After 15 s the mass increase was approximately 2.5 g/g. After 30 s the mass did not significantly increase. From the processability point of view, this is good because the treatment time is short. In this, the process is analogous to surface sizing and chemical impregnation, which are common processes used in the paper industry to enhance the permanence of paper.[24] Besides IL concentration, the impregnation rate is influenced by the type of the cellulose fibres used and paper density.

193

Figure 3. a) Liquid uptake of the paper sheet as a function of time. b) Evaporation of the water from the paper sheet treated with [EMIM]OAc-water solution with a water content range of 0–50 wt-% as a function of heating time. Temperature was set to 95 °C.

Water prevents the dissolution of cellulose by [EMIM]OAc. However, [EMIM]OAc is a special case among ionic liquids because it can tolerate water: it can dissolve microcrystalline cellulose (MCC) with water contents as high as 15 w-%.[25] Water tolerance is desirable for a cellulose solvent, because water can be tightly bound to the IL and be difficult to completely remove by evaporation at atmospheric pressure.[26] The rate of water evaporation from [EMIM]OAc at 95 °C was estimated with a gravimetric moisture analyser at water contents of 0, 10, 25, 50 wt-% (Figure 3b). For 25 m-% and above, mass loss was exponential, while for 50 m-%, it was partially linear. A plateau below 10 m-%, sufficiently low to dissolve cellulose, was reached in all cases after a 15-min heating time. Partial dissolution and diffusion of cellulose molecules begins only after evaporation is complete. This quantitative result confirms the visual observation that water is quickly evaporated from the tested paper samples in the oven.

Partial dissolution of paper with the IL-water system

209   The procedure used caused a controlled transformation of paper to permanent solid structures

210   (Figure 4). Visually, when treatment conditions were more severe, the transparency of the paper

211   increased. The sample treated with the mildest treatment (Sample a, Figure 1) still had a paper-

212   like feel and appearance (Figure 4b), but when the impregnated amount of the IL-water was

213   increased at a constant heating temperature (sample b), the transparency of paper increased

214   significantly (Figure 4c). In the most severe treatment conditions (samples c and d), paper

215   achieves a fully transparent structure (Figure 4d and e), and these samples resemble plastic films.

216   Moreover, the paper shrinks during treatment and drying, causing a remarkable increase of the

217   apparent density of paper samples as a function treatment severity (Table 1). The evaporation of

218   the water from the film structure causes the compaction of the film, which leads also to the

219   vanishing of the pores and voids when the fibrillar structure is lost.[27] The apparent sheet density

220   of the samples increases from 557 ± 16 kg/m^3 (reference) up to 1192 ± 167 kg/m^3 (sample 4), and

221   simultaneously the paper is transformed into transparent film. The IL treatment of paper with

222   [BMIM]Cl has been studied earlier elsewhere.[28] In the given study the paper sheets were treated

223   by direct immersion in hot [BMIM]Cl. This treatment resulted in only a change of visual

224   appearance because only the surface of the paper was treated.

225

Figure 4. Series of ionic liquid-treated sheets in dry state: (a) Original handsheet; (b) Sample a; (c) Sample b; (d) Sample c; and (e) Sample d.

In scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the reference sample shows a fibre structure typical for regular paper (Figure 5a). Already the mildest IL treatment (sample a) caused the fibres to bind together tightly (Figure 5b). When the volume of the IL in the paper was increased with a longer impregnation time (sample b), the structure of the fibre was loosened and fibres were mobilized (Figure 5c). A higher activation temperature (sample c) caused the partial dissolution of the fibres, and they were embedded into a regenerated cellulose matrix (Figure 5d). The sample contained also some air bubbles that were mostly formed by the evaporation of the water. Heat activation overnight, for 960 min (sample d), caused full dissolution of the paper's fibrillar structure (Figure 5e). Cross-sectional images verify the same observations (Figure 5f-j). With this

13

238   long treatment time, the dissolved cellulose on the fibre surface had enough time to diffuse and

239   flow into a solid shape. Mild treatments retained the fibre structure mostly intact (sample a and

240   b), but in severe treatment conditions (sample c and d), the transparency of the paper was

241   increased and the fibrillar structure vanished.

242

15

243 *Figure 5. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of surface and cross-section of the IL-treated sheets.*
244 *a,f) Reference paper, b,g) sample a, c,h) sample b, c,h) sample c, d,i) sample d, and e,j) sample e.*

245   ^{13}C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy is an established tool used to distinguish between cellulose I and

246 II polymorphs. [29][30] As expected, the reference paper consisted of crystalline cellulose I and non-

247 crystalline cellulose. After the mildest treatment (sample a), small changes in the NMR spectrum

248 were observed indicating the formation of cellulose II (C6 moved to lower chemical shift) and loss

249 of cellulose I structure (a decrease in the intensity of the crystalline C4 peak). In sample b, the

250 change from the cellulose I to the cellulose II crystalline form was more drastic, but clearly there

251 was still some cellulose I signal left. In the spectrum of sample c, the cellulose I signal vanished

252 and was entirely replaced by the cellulose II signal. Treatment of wood pulp with *N*-methyl

253 morpholine-*N*-oxide (NMMO) has been studied earlier,[31] and similar changes in the NMR

254 spectrum were observed. The NMR results are in accordance with the visual (Figure 4) and SEM

255 (Figure 5) characterizations: the paper became transparent, and simultaneously the fibrillar

256 structure of the paper partially dissolved.

257

Figure 6. ¹³C CP/MAS NMR spectra of paper before and after treatment with the "chemical welding" procedure. The arrows above the reference spectrum indicate the regions where the main changes took place.

Mechanical properties of "chemically welded" paper sheets

The prepared samples were studied in detail with typical paper characterization methods (Table 1). The IL treatment significantly increased the mechanical strength of paper. With the mildest treatment conditions (sample a), the dry tensile index value more than tripled from 24.1 ± 0.8 to 78.1 ± 3.6 Nm/g (Figure 7). When more IL and a higher heat activation temperature was used (samples b, c, and d), the tensile index was slightly lower compared to that of sample a. However,

17

267   this is only an apparent decrease because in the definition of the tensile index, the force is

268   normalized with the grammage of the paper, which increased. The wet strength of the paper was

269   drastically increased already with the mildest treatment conditions (sample 2, wet strength 8.36

270   ± 2.59 Nm/g, 24-hour immersion in water). The highest wet strength values (18.23 ± 4.97 Nm/g)

271   were achieved with sample b. The transparent samples (sample c and d) had slightly lower wet

272   strength values. The wet tensile strength retention of sample a was 10%, and with more severe

273   treatment conditions (samples b and d), it increased to above 20%. Sample c had a somewhat

274   lower wet strength retention of 15%, which was mostly caused by the pores left behind by air

275   bubbles formed during heat activation, as seen in SEM images (Figure 5d). The wet strength

276   retention of 20% is comparable to the value reported for regenerated cellulose films made from

277   cotton linters by dissolution to and regeneration from NaOH/urea.[32] The wet strength is

278   increased by the fusion of the fibre surfaces, forming a similar structure as seen in regenerated

279   cellulose films. Earlier, paper has also been treated with undiluted molten [BMIM]Cl, resulting in

280   the dry and wet strength values of 2.8 and 0.38 kN/m, respectively.[28] The values found in this this

281   study (sample b 8.21 and 0.88 kN/m, respectively) were significantly higher. Direct dipping in

282   pure IL mostly affects the surface of the paper, whereas dilution followed by cosolvent

283   evaporation causes a more even fusion of fibre surfaces, because the IL can be transported evenly

284   through the paper structure. This explains why both wet- and dry-strength properties were

285   significantly increased.

286

Figure 7. Tensile index (tensile strength normalized by grammage) of the IL-treated sheets measured dry,
after 10 min, and after 24-h water immersion.

Considering all the mechanical strength data (Table 1), we can conclude that the IL treatment

increased the toughness of the paper significantly. This can be seen in the significant increases in

the TEA index, the modulus of elasticity, and Scott bond values. However, the IL treatment does

not increase the tear index of paper. Analogously, kraft pulp beating results in a paper with a

denser structure and a higher tensile strength, but lower tear strength.[5]

298   *Table 1. Mechanical properties of the IL-treated sheets.*

		Samples			
	Reference	**a**	**b**	**c**	**d**
Grammage, g/m²	96	105	118	211	161
Single sheet thickness, µm	173 ± 5	174 ± 12	187 ± 10	214 ± 18	135 ± 19
Apparent sheet density, kg/m³	557 ± 16	603 ± 40	631 ± 33	988 ± 83	1192 ± 167
Bulk, cm³/g	1.80 ± 0.05	1.66 ± 0.11	1.58 ± 0.08	1.01 ± 0.08	0.84 ± 0.12
Air permeance (Bendtsen), ml/min	3880 ± 197	5720 ± 828	43.3 ± 54.3	7.6 ± 0.3	0.265 ± 0.000
Tensile index, Nm/g	24.1 ± 0.8	78.1 ± 3.6	45.4 ± 7.6	49.7 ± 4.2	65.1 ± 9.5
Stretch at break, %	4.2 ± 0.6	9.2 ± 0.7	4.7 ± 1.3	5.6 ± 2.6	12.0 ± 6.6
Tensile energy absorption (TEA) index, J/g	0.79 ± 0.13	4.90 ± 0.54	1.63 ± 0.70	2.20 ± 1.35	6.83 ± 4.63
Tensile stiffness index, kNm/g	2.62 ± 0.12	3.65 ± 0.16	2.66 ± 0.35	2.55 ± 0.26	2.72 ± 0.27
Modulus of elasticity, N/mm²	1457 ± 69	2200 ± 98	1678 ± 222	2516 ± 259	3244 ± 325
Tensile index after immersion in water, (10 min), Nm/g	0.68 ± 0.06	9.84 ± 1.90	14.91 ± 2.28	9.98 ± 1.57	9.82 ± 2.57
Tensile index after immersion in water, (24 h), Nm/g	0.56 ± 0.06	8.36 ± 2.59	18.23 ± 4.97	7.25 ± 1.68	13.52 ± 1.18
Tear index, mNm²/g	12.9 ± 2.9	11.1 ± 1.9	7.9 ± 0.7	14.7 ± 0.8	3.1 ± 2.3
Internal bonding strength (Scott bond), J/m²	121 ± 10	>1996	>1996	>1996	>1996

299

Barrier properties of the IL-treated papers

301   Paper is one of the most common packaging materials, but it cannot fully replace plastic film

302   materials due to its low water resistance and oxygen permeability. Thus, we tested if our

303   "chemically welded" paper could have better barrier properties. For our samples, the air

304   permeabilities were: reference (3880 ml/min), samples a (5720 ml/min), b (43.3 ml/min), c (7.6

305   ml/min), and d (0.265 ml/min). For the detailed water vapour and oxygen permeability and

306   grease barrier characterizations, we selected sample d. As a reference, we measured commercial

307   cellophane and LDPE films. The "chemically welded" paper (sample d) has a rather high water

308   vapour permeability when compared to the commercial materials tested (Table 2). Cellulose is a

309   hygroscopic material, which explains why also cellophane shows a rather high water permeability

310   value compared to LDPE. The oxygen permeability of sample d was significantly lower compared

311   to commercial references. In the grease barrier test of sample d, the grease did not leak through

312   the sample at all. In summary, the IL-treated paper has good oxygen and grease barrier

313   properties, but it transmits water vapour significantly. Therefore, it may be used in applications

314 in packaging where a water-resistant material with good oxygen barrier properties is required,

315 but a water vapour barrier is not required.[33] Furthermore, as an additional benefit, as an all-

316 cellulose structure that is fully biodegradable, the accumulation of the prepared all-cellulose

317 material in nature is prevented.

318 *Table 2. Water vapour permeability (100/50% RH) and oxygen permeability at 0% RH and 80% RH of the*
319 *IL-treated paper (sample d). Commercial cellophane and polyethylene (LDPE) are measured for reference.*

	Water vapour permeability, 23 °C, (g x μm/m^2 x day x kPa)	Oxygen permeability, 23 °C, cc x μm/m^2 x day x kPa	Oxygen permeability, 23 °C, cc x μm/m^2 x day x kPa	Grease permeability through the sample
Sample d	51842 ± 3141	<0.01	5.1 ± 1	None
Cellophane	12553 ± 71	<0.01	69 ± 1	Na.
Polyethylene (LDPE)	42 ± 4	2180 ± 10	2180 ± 20	Na.

320

321 **CONCLUSIONS**

322 In this study, we investigated the "chemical welding" of paper by IL by using a two-step process

323 where the IL is transported into the paper structure with water. Dissolution is achieved by heat

324 activation where water evaporates and fibre surfaces are dissolved in the IL. The solvated

325 cellulose can diffuse as a liquid, embedding the still undissolved parts of fibres into a matrix of

326 regenerated cellulose. We conducted a full set of industry-standard paper tests to evaluate the

327 industrially relevant properties of the material. Both the dry and the wet strength of the paper

328 structure is improved. The treatment conditions can be adjusted to produce paper-like materials

329 or regenerated cellulose films. The most severe conditions produce films that are fully

330 transparent and have excellent oxygen and grease barrier properties. As an all-cellulose

331 composite, the "chemically welded paper" can be a biodegradable alternative to fossil fuel-based

332 plastics in applications that can accommodate its high water vapour permeability.

340   **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

341   **Corresponding Author**

342   • Dr. Hannes Orelma, VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, FI-02044, Espoo, Finland.

343   Email address: hannes.orelma(at)vtt.fi

344   **Author Contributions**

345   The manuscript was written through contributions of all the authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the

346   manuscript.

347

348

349

350

351

352

REFERENCES

(1)   da Cruz, N. F.; Ferreira, S.; Cabral, M.; Simões, P.; Marques, R. C. *Waste Manag.* **2014**, *34* (2), 298–308.

(2)   Haward, M. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 667.

(3)   Johansson, C.; Bras, J.; Mondragon, I.; Nechita, P.; Plackett, D.; Simon, P.; Svetec, D. G.; Virtanen, S.; Baschetti, M. G.; Breen, C. *BioResources* **2012**, *7* (2), 2506–2552.

(4)   Sjöström, E. *Wood Chemistry: Fundamentals and Applications*; Academic Press: San Diego, 1993.

(5)   Lindström, T.; Wågberg, L.; Larsson, T. In *13th fundamental research symposium*; The Pulp and Paper Fundamental Research Society Cambridge, UK, 2005; Vol. 1, pp 457–562.

(6)   Fink, H.-P.; Weigel, P.; Purz, H. .; Ganster, J. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2001**, *26* (9), 1473–1524.

(7)   Klemm, D. *Comprehensive cellulose chemistry. Volume 1, Fundamentals and analytical methods*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998.

(8)   Eichhorn, S. J.; Dufresne, A.; Aranguren, M.; Marcovich, N. E.; Capadona, J. R.; Rowan, S. J.; Weder, C.; Thielemans, W.; Roman, M.; Renneckar, S.; Gindl, W.; Veigel, S.; Keckes, J.; Yano, H.; Abe, K.; Nogi, M.; Nakagaito, A. N.; Mangalam, A.; Simonsen, J.; Benight, A. S.; Bismarck, A.; Berglund, L. A.; Peijs, T. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2010**, *45* (1), 1–33.

(9)   Simon, J.; Müller, H. P.; Koch, R.; Müller, V. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **1998**, *59* (1), 107–115.

(10) Liebert, T. In *Cellulose Solvents: For Analysis, Shaping and Chemical Modification*; ACS

372   Symposium Series; American Chemical Society, 2010; Vol. 1033, pp 1–3.

373   (11)   Hao, W.; Chen, Z.; Wang, J.; Liu, X. *Anal.Lett.* **2004**, *37* (7), 1319–1338.

374   (12)   Paunonen, S. *BioResources* **2013**, *8* (2), 3098–3121.

375   (13)   Huber, T.; Müssig, J.; Curnow, O.; Pang, S.; Bickerton, S.; Staiger, M. P. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2011**,

376   *47* (3), 1171–1186.

377   (14)   Eichhorn, S. J.; Baillie, C. A.; Zafeiropoulos, N.; Mwaikambo, L. Y.; Ansell, M. P.; Dufresne,

378   A.; Entwistle, K. M.; Herrera-Franco, P. J.; Escamilla, G. C.; Groom, L.; Hughes, M.; Hill, C.;

379   Rials, T. G.; Wild, P. M. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2001**, *36* (9), 2107–2131.

380   (15)   Johnson, D. L. *U.S. US3447956A* **1969**, No. US3447956A, 3 pp.

381   (16)   Nishino, T.; Arimoto, N. *Biomacromolecules* **2007**, *8* (9), 2712–2716.

382   (17)   Atalla, R. H.; Vanderhart, D. L. *Science (80-.).* **1984**, *223* (4633), 283–285.

383   (18)   Graenacher, C. *Pat. US1943176* **1934**, No. US1943176.

384   (19)   Orelma, H.; Korpela, A.; Kunnari, V.; Harlin, A.; Suurnäkki, A. *Cellulose* **2017**, 1–14.

385   (20)   Kilpeläinen, I.; Xie, H.; King, A.; Granstrom, M.; Heikkinen, S.; Argyropoulos, D. S. *J. Agric.*

386   *Food Chem.* **2007**, *55* (22), 9142–9148.

387   (21)   Shibata, M.; Teramoto, N.; Nakamura, T.; Saitoh, Y. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2013**, *98* (2), 1532–

388   1539.

389   (22)   M., H. L.; Matthew, R. W.; C., D. L. H.; C., T. P. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* **2010**, *295* (5), 425–

390   430.

391 (23) Quijada-Maldonado, E.; van der Boogaart, S.; Lijbers, J. H.; Meindersma, G. W.; de Haan,

392   A. B. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2012**, *51*, 51–58.

393 (24) Gurnagul, N.; Howard, R. C.; Zou, X.; Uesaka, T.; Page, D. H. *J. Pulp Pap. Sci.* **1993**, *19*, J160–

394   J160.

395 (25) Tan, X.; Li, X.; Chen, L.; Xie, F. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18* (39), 27584–27593.

396 (26) Cammarata, L.; Kazarian, S. G.; Salter, P. A.; Welton, T. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *3*

397   (23), 5192–5200.

398 (27) Katekawa, M. E.; Silva, M. A. *Dry. Technol.* **2006**, *24* (1), 5–20.

399 (28) Ichiura, H.; Hirose, Y.; Masumoto, M.; Ohtani, Y. *Cellulose* **2017**, *24* (8), 3469–3477.

400 (29) Foston, M. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *27*, 176–184.

401 (30) Isogai, A.; Usuda, M.; Kato, T.; Uryu, T.; Atalla, R. H. *Macromolecules* **1989**, *22* (7), 3168–

402   3172.

403 (31) Virtanen, T.; Maunu, S. L. *Cellulose* **2014**, *21* (1), 153–165.

404 (32) Zhang, L.; Ruan, D.; Zhou, J. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *40* (25), 5923–5928.

405 (33) Siracusa, V.; Rocculi, P.; Romani, S.; Rosa, M. D. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2008**, *19* (12),

406   634–643.

407

408

Graphical abstract

Highlights

413 • Two-step partial dissolution reaction with [EMIM]OAc was used to transform paper to

414 permanent all-cellulose material.

415 • Water was used to ease the penetration of [EMIM]OAc into paper structure.

416 • Heat activation enabled IL by evaporating water causing effective dissolution of fibre

417 surfaces whose were merged after purification and water evaporation.

418   • Method caused even reaction through the cross-section of paper.

419   • Treated paper has both high dry and wet strengths, and good barrier properties.

420