




may warrant PSA testing to be performed. Nevertheless, our findings, coupled with the evidence evaluating the benefits and risks of prostate cancer screening, demonstrate that prostate cancer screening defined as low value is, indeed, highly prevalent within the Veterans Health Administration.

Thomas R. Radomski, MD, MS   and  
Walid F. Gellad, MD, MPH 

Division of General Internal Medicine, Department of  
Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine,  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania  
Center for Health Equity Research and Promotion, VA  
Pittsburgh Healthcare System, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We affirm that all individuals who have significantly contributed to this work have been listed as authors.

**Financial Disclosure:** This project was funded by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number KL2TR001856 (Dr Radomski).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors have no conflicts.

**Author Contributions:** Thomas R. Radomski, MD, MS: Drafting and critical revision of the letter response.

Walid F. Gellad, MD, MPH: Critical revision of the letter response.

**Sponsor's Role:** The sponsor played no role in generating the letter response. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the Department of Veterans Affairs.

## REFERENCES

1. Shah N, Ioffe V. Comment on Low-Value Prostate Cancer Screening Among Older Men Within the Veterans Health Administration. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2019; <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16204>.
2. US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for prostate cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2018;319(18):1901-1913.
3. Carter HB, Albertsen PC, Barry MJ, et al. Early detection of prostate cancer: AUA guideline. *J Urol*. 2013;190(2):419-426.
4. Fenton JJ, Weyrich MS, Durbin S, Liu Y, Bang H, Melnikow J. Prostate-specific antigen-based screening for prostate cancer: evidence report and systematic review for the US preventive services task force. *JAMA*. 2018;319(18):1914-1931.
5. Radomski TR, Huang Y, Park SY, et al. Low-value prostate cancer screening among older men within the Veterans Health Administration. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2019;67:1922-1927.

## CHANGES IN INSTITUTIONALIZED OLDER PEOPLE'S DENTITION STATUS IN HELSINKI, 2003-2017

*To the Editor:* Griffin and colleagues<sup>1</sup> investigated changes in tooth loss and whether disparities have persisted among

US adults. In their sequential cross-sectional study, they found that tooth loss largely decreased in all groups of non-institutionalized older adults. As part of a larger study exploring nutritional status and changing nutritional care, we investigated the dentition status of residents in nursing homes (NHs) and assisted living facilities (ALFs) in Helsinki from 2003 to 2017 and found somewhat comparable findings.

## METHODS

We combined six data sets: residents of NHs in 2003 (N = 1987), 2011 (N = 1576), and 2017 (N = 764), and residents of ALFs in 2007 (N = 1377), 2011 (N = 1585) and 2017 (N = 1598). We invited all residents who were 65 years or older and living permanently in these settings to participate. Registered nurses, who had received thorough prior training, collected the data using exactly the same instruments at each time point. The structured questionnaire included information on demographic data, medical history, dentition status, and nutritional status. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) was used to assess nutritional status.<sup>2</sup> Information on mobility was obtained from an item in the MNA questionnaire, and we categorized this dichotomously into 1 = unable to move independently, and 2 = able to move independently. Medical records were used to retrieve medical diagnoses. Comorbidities were evaluated by the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI)<sup>3</sup> that considers both the number and severity of a person's medical conditions. The nurses assessed the residents' dentition status divided into (1) edentulous without dentures, (2) edentulous with some removable dentures, and (3) those with natural teeth with or without dentures. Chewing difficulties were recorded as yes or no according to the nurses' evaluation.

For all four time points of data collection, the local ethics committee of Helsinki University Hospital and the City of Helsinki approved the study protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants or their closest proxies.

## RESULTS

The mean age of the residents varied from 83.7 to 84.5 years in the NHs, and 83.0 to 84.3 years in the ALFs between study years (Table 1). Dependency for mobility increased over the years (in the NHs from 30.4% to 60.9%; in the ALFs from 15.0% to 35.4%). The proportion of residents with dementia increased similarly from the first study year (in the NHs from 69.5% to 77.4%; in the ALFs from 59.5% to 80.2%). In 2017, a smaller proportion of residents were assessed as malnourished in NHs than in 2003. In the ALFs, the proportion of malnourished residents had increased from 2007. Edentulousness had decreased from 2003 to 2017 in the NHs from 58.5% to 30.1%. The respective figure in the ALFs had also decreased from 51.8% in 2007 to 37.7% in 2017. However, the proportion of edentulous residents without removable dentures had increased in the ALFs. This had happened among those both with and without dementia (data not shown). Overall, chewing difficulties had increased in both settings.

**Table 1. Basic Characteristics of Nursing Home Residents (2003, 2011, and 2017) and Assisted Living Facility Residents (2007, 2011, and 2017)**

Nursing home	2003 (N = 1987)	2011 (N = 1576)	2017 (N = 764)	P value <sup>a</sup>
Mean age (SD)	83.7 (7.7)	84.5 (7.9)	83.6 (8.2)	.007
Females, %	80.7	77.0	77.1	.015
MNA				<.001
<17 (malnourished)	28.6	31.7	21.6	
17-23.5 (at risk)	60.3	61.8	66.4	
>23.5 (normal)	11.1	6.5	12.1	
Unable to move independently, %	30.4	60.5	60.9	<.001
Dementia, %	69.5	76.5	77.4	<.001
CCI (SD)	2.1 (1.2)	2.3 (1.5)	2.1 (1.3)	<.001
Chewing difficulties, %	24.6	33.1	31.4	<.001
Dentition, %				<.001
Edentulous without dentures	13.6	16.9	13.7	
Edentulous with some removable denture in one or both jaws	44.9	26.5	16.4	
All or some natural teeth left with or without removable denture in one or both jaws	41.5	56.6	69.9	
<b>Assisted living facilities</b>	<b>2007 (N = 1377)</b>	<b>2011 (N = 1586)</b>	<b>2017 (N = 1598)</b>	<b>P value<sup>a</sup></b>
Mean age (SD)	83.0 (7.4)	84.3 (7.3)	84.3 (7.6)	<.001
Females, %	77.7	78.1	72.4	<.001
Not able to move independently, %	15.0	28.8	35.4	<.001
MNA, %				<.001
<17 (malnourished)	12.7	20.2	16.0	
17-23.5 (at risk)	65.4	61.9	63.1	
>23.5 (normal)	21.9	17.9	21.0	
Dementia, %	59.5	70.2	80.2	<.001
CCI (SD)	2.1 (1.4)	2.4 (1.5)	2.0 (1.3)	<.001
Chewing difficulties, %	20.8	25.1	29.8	<.001
Dentition, %				<.001
Edentulous without dentures	7.2	9.7	13.5	
Edentulous with some removable denture in one or both jaws	44.6	33.5	24.2	
All or some natural teeth left with or without removable denture in one or both jaws	48.2	56.8	62.3	

Abbreviations: CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; MNA, Mini Nutritional Assessment; SD, standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>Differences between the cohorts were tested using the  $\chi^2$  test for categorical variables and the Kruskal-Wallis test for non-normally distributed continuous variables.

## DISCUSSION

As the study by Griffins and colleagues<sup>1</sup> among non-institutionalized older adults previously found, edentulousness has also decreased among older people in institutional care in Helsinki. Griffin et al. also reported findings among home-limited or long-term care residents from 2011 to 2016. They found that the mean proportion of vulnerable adults who were edentate was 33.2% in nine different states. Although edentulousness is decreasing among institutionalized older people in Helsinki, it is still very common and higher than reported by Griffin and colleagues. At the same time, the proportions of the edentate residents without dentures increased in our samples. This may be due to the increased proportion of residents with dementia. At the severe stage, it may be challenging for them to use dentures. The proportion of edentate residents without dentures may partly explain our finding that chewing problems were more common despite the overall decrease in edentulousness. Another explanation is that after 14 years of our development and educational project in institutional settings, the nurses were more aware of their

residents' chewing and nutritional problems. Edentulousness<sup>4</sup> and chewing problems<sup>5</sup> were reported as associated with malnutrition that may lead to functional decline, disability,<sup>6</sup> and reduced health-related quality of life.<sup>7</sup>

Our findings support the need for cooperation between nursing staff and oral healthcare professionals and for providing institutionalized older people with regular oral healthcare services. It is also essential that nurses and physicians are aware of the importance of good oral health for the nutrition and well-being of vulnerable residents in long-term care settings.

*Riitta K.T. Saarela, PhD  
City of Helsinki, Department of Social Services and Health  
Care, Oral Health Care, Helsinki, Finland*

*Kaija Hiltunen, DDS, PhD  
Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diseases,  
Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki,  
Finland*

*Päivi Mäntylä, DDS, PhD*  
*Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diseases,*  
*Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki,*  
*Finland*  
*Institute of Dentistry, University of Eastern Finland,*  
*Kuopio, Finland*  
*Kuopio University Hospital, Oral and Maxillofacial*  
*Diseases, Kuopio, Finland*

*Kaisu H. Pitkälä, MD, PhD*  
*Department of General Practice and Primary Health Care,*  
*University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland*  
*Helsinki University Hospital, Unit of Primary Health Care,*  
*Helsinki, Finland*

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors have declared no conflicts of interest for this letter.

**Author Contributions:** *Study concept and design, data collection, and preparation of manuscript:* Saarela. *Study design and finalizing of the manuscript:* Hiltunen and Mäntylä. *Study concept and design, data collection, data analysis, supervision, and preparation of the manuscript:* Pitkälä.

**Sponsor's Role:** No sponsors were involved in the design, methods, subject recruitment, data collections, analysis, or preparation of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

1. Griffin SO, Griffin PM, Li CH, Bailey WD, Brunson D, Jones JA. Changes in older adults' oral health and disparities: 1999 to 2004 and 2011 to 2016. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2019;67(6):1152-1157.
2. Vellas B, Guigoz Y, Garry P, et al. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) and its use in grading the nutritional state of elderly patients. *Nutrition.* 1999;15:116-122.
3. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and evaluation. *J Chronic Dis.* 1987;40:373-383.
4. Saarela RK, Soini H, Hiltunen K, Muurinen S, Suominen M, Pitkälä K. Dentition status, malnutrition and mortality among older service housing residents. *J Nutr Health Aging.* 2014;18:34-38.
5. Saarela R, Lindroos E, Soini H, Suominen MH, Muurinen S, Pitkälä KH. Chewing problems and mortality. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2011;59:181-183.
6. Inzitari M, Doets E, Bartali B, et al; International Association of Gerontology and Geriatrics (IAGG) Task Force for Nutrition in the Elderly. Nutrition in the age-related disablement process. *J Nutr Health Aging.* 2011;15:599-604.
7. Salminen KS, Suominen MH, Soini H, et al. Associations between nutritional status and health-related quality of life among long-term care residents in Helsinki. *J Nutr Health Aging.* 2019;23:474-478.

## REPLY TO CHANGES IN INSTITUTIONALIZED OLDER PEOPLE'S DENTITION STATUS IN HELSINKI 2003 TO 2017

*To the Editor:* Saarela and colleagues<sup>1</sup> raise an important point—decreases in edentulism do not necessarily imply improved quality of life. Their study found that older adults

in assisted living experienced a significant decrease in edentulism, which was accompanied by a significant increase in reported chewing difficulties. The authors posit that this may have been due to significant decreases in denture prevalence among the edentate. Based on these findings, we updated our original study<sup>2</sup> to examine the percentage of edentate adults, aged 65 years and older, who had no dentures or full removable dentures among community-dwelling and home-limited or long-term care residents.

## METHODS

For community-dwelling adults, we again used data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), a nationally representative survey of non-institutionalized persons in the United States. Additional information is available at <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm>.

An edentulous person was classified as having full removable dentures if all 28 teeth (second molar to second molar) were scored as missing but replaced with removable restoration and having no dentures if 0 teeth were scored as having removable restorations. For home-limited or long-term care resident adults, we revisited the nine state reports that used the Basic Screening Survey<sup>3</sup> to examine the oral health status of adults primarily living in nursing homes or assisted living facilities.

## RESULTS

Nationally, 17.3% of community-dwelling adults, aged 65 years and older, were edentate. Among these persons, 5.4% (SE = 0.8%) had no dentures and 85.8% (SE = 1.6%) had full dentures. Unlike edentulism, where there were large disparities in prevalence by sociodemographic characteristics, there was little variation in the percentage of edentate community-dwelling adults with full removable dentures (Table 1). Prevalence of having full removable dentures among the edentate ranged from 82.5% to 89.2% for all characteristics, except for being Mexican American (prevalence = 78.2%). Four of nine reports for long-term care residents and home-limited adults included in our original study reported denture use among edentate adults. Two states reported the percentage of edentate adults with no dentures—10% and 25%. Two states reported that 50% and 70% of edentate adults had full dentures.

## DISCUSSION

There are limited data on the functional status of older adults' dentition. Although with NHANES we could determine the number of missing teeth and presence of dentures for community-dwelling adults, there was no information on whether dentures were worn or if respondents had difficulty chewing their food. There are no corresponding data for older adults in long-term care at the national level. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services requires all certified nursing homes in the United States to perform a comprehensive assessment of each resident's functional capabilities and health and to report this in the Long-Term Care Minimum Data Set (MDS).<sup>4</sup> The MDS, however, only includes one dichotomous variable on oral health status. The Basic Screening Survey for older adults,<sup>3</sup> which has been used by several states, includes information on number of natural