Accepted Manuscript

Effectiveness of the Chest Strap Electrocardiogram to Detect Atrial Fibrillation

Sanna Hartikainen MD, Jukka A. Lipponen PhD, Pamela Hiltunen MD, PhD, Tuomas T. Rissanen MD, PhD, Indrek Kolk MD, Mika P. Tarvainen prof, Tero J. Martikainen MD, PhD, Maaret Castren prof, Eemu-Samuli Väliaho BM, Helena Jäntti MD, PhD

 PII:
 S0002-9149(19)30233-4

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2019.02.028

 Reference:
 AJC 23802

To appear in: The American Journal of Cardiology

Received date:20 December 2018Revised date:31 January 2019

Please cite this article Sanna Hartikainen MD. Jukka A. Lipponen PhD, as: Pamela Hiltunen MD, PhD, Tuomas T. Rissanen MD, PhD, Indrek Kolk MD, Mika P. Tarvainen prof, Tero J. Martikainen MD, PhD, Maaret Castren prof, Eemu-Samuli Väliaho BM, Helena Jäntti MD, PhD, Effectiveness of the Chest Strap Electrocardiogram to Detect Atrial Fibrillation, The American Journal of Cardiology (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2019.02.028

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The American Journal of Cardiology					
	,				
Componies of Early Stor Coverage Batwaies Jobsolinus and Sweatmunkliding Swith Dong-Epitod Coleannes Tanography	Maturhodyce of the National of Bolicosofographic Record of Adaptive Trace Trackness and the Mandadic Syldicate				
Dilacts of Sanue w3 to not Polyunationated Failty Acids Ratios on Conserve Millionations in Statis Traded Patients WH-Conservey Antery/Trades	Development of a Markad to Eak Seculty Return, Wall. Heart Fallura for 2000sy Readmission Using Inglandale 6 Device Dispersion				
Comparison of Three-New Outcome: Alter Trianory Necutiveness Conversy Intervention in Patients With Self Venticular Epolitic Institution (IET), Tensos (IET), Son the	Redicting and Presenting Heart Talves Rehophulastions: In These situle for Inglandab Denice Disposition ²				
HORIZONGAMETrud	 Comparison of Wahalities in New Yearus Warnes Undergring Transcollenter Aurki, Yolus Inglionation for Searce Aurit: Searchin fortun Malan Multisemer ConVolte 				
Sectionaliting Section Woman	Registry) 88				
Programic Usekilvess of Sanid Citikactive Protein Resourcements in STICentrition Acute Reported Marchine 3	Bach all back Anadhatic Monogeneri Willi-Conscious 5 Sections in Estimate Undergoing Transcatterier Auris Value 14				
Underson of Facture II and Collection Protein Construction for Paulicium of Outcome in Acate Construct Solidonese State the Ensoch Register of Acate 20 Sension Pater 20 Devoters Mysocolid Infection 7421440 3	Incidence and Effect of Acon Kidney Injury Affect Torescelese Acric Value Rightsmare (Uning Re-New Value Academic Research Consortium Crisere)				
Proughed Workshop and Blanding in Real World Patients 3	6 (a12 Next) Sectors Rendering in Long Term 6 (a12 Next) Sectors With Magahia Diand Conformation (104				
Unfolces of Frankend Fine Reserve to Ingenes Degranic Blickery in Farlers With Non-ST Elevator Myscordial Infector d	Role of Server-Nilleminol Profession Noniversic Reptide 5. Meducement II: Disposit-of Cardiac Involvement II Roberts With Andersonfielder Disease 111				
Consul Conditions and Progresm: Value of Flow Mediated Dilation in Patients With Non27 Segment Densition Acute Conversy Syndromes. 3	Electrocordiographic Spatial ORM: Angle and Incident Condissonalist Quarter in HWithland Parlers, Barn Re- Condissonalist Conservation HWIthland Parlers, Barn Re-				
Gender Differences in Cells to 911 During or Acute Constroly Syndrome	[DANAT] SAAL				
Angingraphic features and Cardiovascular Bak Factors in Runnis Tenurosoficiancy Virushfacted Roberts With Role	Are the complete failes of Contexts, the pages A2, A2, A4				
Analysis of the Neuroleum of Cardinencular Disease and Associated Buk Techni for European-Associated Buk Associated Buk Techni for European-Associated Associated Buk Associated Buk and Perceptions 2000–2009 6	Ad has acceptedation				

Effectiveness of the Chest Strap Electrocardiogram to Detect Atrial Fibrillation

Sanna Hartikainen MD^a, Jukka A. Lipponen PhD^b, Pamela Hiltunen MD, PhD^a, Tuomas T.

Rissanen MD, PhD^c, Indrek Kolk MD^d, Mika P. Tarvainen prof^{b,e}, Tero J. Martikainen MD, PhD^f,

Maaret Castren prof^g, Eemu-Samuli Väliaho BM^h, Helena Jäntti MD, PhD^{a,h}

^aCenter for Prehospital Emergency Care, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

^bUniversity of Eastern Finland, Department of Applied Physics, Kuopio, Finland

^cHeart Center, North Karelia Central Hospital, Joensuu, Finland

^dHeart Center, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

^eDepartment of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

^fDepartment of Emergency Care, Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

^gHYKS - Department of Emergency Medicine and Services, Helsinki University Hospital and Helsinki University, Helsinki, Finland

^hUniversity of Eastern Finland, Faculty of Health Sciences, Kuopio, Finland

Running title: Chest Strap Electrocardiogram

Corresponding author:

Sanna Hartikainen, MD

Center for Prehospital Emergency Care, Kuopio University Hospital, PO Box 100, FIN-70029 email address: sanna.hartikainen@kuh.fi tel: +358 44 7179616

ABSTRACT

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a significant cause of cardioembolic strokes. AF is often symptomless and intermittent, making its detection challenging. The aim of this study was to assess the possibility to use a chest strap (Suunto Movesense) to detect AF both by cardiologists and automated algorithms. A single channel electrocardiogram (ECG) from a chest strap of 220 patients (107 AF and 111 sinus rhythm SR with 2 inconclusive rhythms) were analyzed by two cardiologists (Doc1, Doc2) and two different algorithms (COSEn, AFEvidence). A 3-lead Holter served as the gold standard ECG for rhythm analysis. Both cardiologists evaluated the quality of the chest strap ECG to be superior to the quality of the Holter ECG; p<0.05/p<0.001 (Doc1 / Doc 2). Accurate automated algorithm-based AF detection was achieved with sensitivity of 95,3%/96.3% and specificity of 95,5/98.2% with two AF detection algorithms from chest strap and 93.5%/97.2 % and 98.2%/95.5% from Holter, respectively. P-waves were detectable in 9317% (Doc1) and 94.6% (Doc2) of the cases from the chest strap ECG with sinus rhythm and 98.2% (Doc1) and 95.5% (Doc2) from the Holter (p=n.s). In conclusion, the ECGs from both methods enabled AF detection by a cardiologist and by automated algorithms.

Keywords: atrial fibrillation, atrial fibrillation screening, stroke

Cardioembolic stroke accounts for 20%-30% of ischaemic strokes^{1, 2}, and atrial fibrillation (AF) is one of the most important causes of embolus of cardiac origin². Furthermore, approximately 25% of strokes are classified as cryptogenic, a major portion of these being classified as embolic stroke of undetermined source (ESUS) ^{3, 4}. With proper anticoagulation therapy, up to two thirds of AF-related strokes can be prevented ^{5, 6}. Finding the cause of stroke in order to start proper treatment remains a big clinical challenge. The gold standard for diagnosis of AF is by 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG)⁷. Current screening methods for AF include pulse palpation ⁸, handheld single-lead ECG-devices⁹⁻¹¹, modified blood pressure monitors^{12, 13} and devices based on photoplethysmography (PPG) ¹⁴⁻¹⁷. Currently available consumer products have been studied in the feasibility and diagnosis accuracy of AF¹⁸, but there is still a need for ECG strip for the confirmation of AF diagnosis⁷. On the other hand, chest strap heart rate (HR) monitors have been used for sports HR monitoring for decades and the technique is widely available. It is unknown whether ECG acquired using a chest strap could serve as a tool for arrhythmia detection. The aim of the study was to assess the potential of an ECG aquired using a chest strap to detect AF, both by cardiologists and automated algorithms.

Methods

The study design was a prospective case-control multicenter study at three sites in Finland. The study data was collected in emergency departments and cardiologic wards of the participating hospitals: Kuopio University Hospital, Helsinki University Central Hospital and North Karelia Central Hospital, Joensuu. The study design was approved by the Ethical Committee of Kuopio University Hospital (Decision number 237/2017) and registered in ClinicalTrials database (NCT03721601,URL: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03721601).

Screening of study participants was performed in the participating hospitals from admitted patients in May–September 2017. The inclusion criterion for the study was atrial fibrillation

confirmed by a doctor-interpreted 12-lead ECG, taken for medical reasons. Exclusion criteria were body mass index (BMI) over 33 kg/m²; implanted pacemaker device; left bundle branch block (LBBB) or right bundle branch block (RBBB); a medical condition requiring immediate treatment that would be delayed by the study measurements, and serious infectious disease. The control group consisted of patients with normal sinus rhythm in 12-lead ECG. Study participants gave a written informed consent.

The study population consisted of 220 patients. According to the initial 12-lead ECG, a total of 110 patients with atrial fibrillation were collected, with the control group consisting of 110 patients with normal sinus rhythm. The initial 12-lead ECG was only used in the recruitment process of patients. The 3-lead Holter ECG rhythm analysis was conducted by two experienced cardiologists blinded to the initial ECG. The cardiologists' interpretation of the 3-lead Holter ECG rhythm was used as the gold standard for rhythm analysis. A flowchart is presented in Figure 1.

First, a 12-lead ECG was recorded over a period of 10 seconds for rhythm confirmation, after which the ECG electrodes were removed. The 12-lead ECG was mostly recorded as a part of a routine medical examination and only in a few cases for study purposes only. The time gap between the 12-lead ECG and the study recording was not limited by the study protocol and ranged from a few minutes to several hours. Because of this, in some cases the rhythm of 12-lead ECG had changed from the original recorded rhythm (4 from AF to SR; 2 from SR to AF), and this in turn affected the number of AF/SR in final rhythm analysis (Figure 1).

In the next step, 5 wet electrodes were attached to each patient to record ECG with a Faros 360 Holter device (Bittium, Oulu, Finland; *device 1, Figure 2*) used as the gold standard for rhythm monitoring. Simultaneously a heart rate monitoring chest strap with ECG recording capability (Suunto Movesense, Suunto, Vantaa, Finland; *device 2, Figure 2*) was applied to the chest, approximately 2 cm below the lower end of the sternum, according to the manufacturer's

instructions. A total of 5 min ECG recording was made. The measurement method/study design is presented in Figure 2. Examples of ECG strips acquired are presented in Figure 3. Standard 12 lead ECG and ECG morphology produced by the chest strap is presented in Supplementary Figure 1.

The data from the heart rate monitor chest strap was sent via Bluetooth connection to a mobile phone, from which it was transferred via a USB cable to a PC computer. The data from the Faros Holter device was recorded to the device's internal memory card and transferred to a PC equipped with analyzing software. The data collected was anonymized and ECG data from the chest strap and the Holter device were analyzed using an in house application developed by the authors.

The ECGs acquired by the chest strap (1-lead) and the Holter (3-lead) were analyzed in a random order by two experienced cardiologists blinded to the initial 12-lead ECG. The quality of the ECG strip was defined as good (no or only minor artefacts), average (artefacts but QRS and/or P-wave identifiable) or poor (major artefacts, no identifiable QRS and/or P-wave) by the cardiologists. The rhythm of the ECG recordings was divided into three categories: sinus rhythm, atrial fibrillation or other/inconclusive. The cardiologists also assessed the possibility of detecting P-waves from the ECG strips with SR (yes/no).

Two previously published AF detection algorithms were used in this study. Algorithms were used to demonstrate the possibilities of automatic screening of AF using the chest strap ECG devices with automated analysis. The first method used was AFEvidence proposed by Sarkar et al.¹⁹ AFEvidence is based on a relative population of the segments in the Δ RR 2D histogram { Δ RR(i), Δ RR(i-1)}. The threshold for AF detection is AFEvidence>50. The second algorithm used in the study was COSEn proposed by Lake et al.²⁰ COSEn is based on an optimized sample entropy estimate and the mean heart beat interval. The threshold for AF detection is COSEn>-1.6.

The estimated sample size was 200 observations with assumed sensitivity of the method being 95% with 3% margin of error. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS statistics software

version 23. Demographic variable data were presented as frequencies and percentages or mean and standard deviation (SD). Group differences were tested by t-test or chi-square test. McNemar-Bowker test was used in testing the opinion between cardiologists, and the Kappa-coefficient was calculated to measure the level of consensus. In addition, sensitivity and specificity were determined between cardiologist consensus and algorithms. All significance tests were two-tailed with $p \le 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

Results

According to the flowchart, with the 3-lead Holter ECG serving as the gold standard, a total of 218 patients were included in and 2 were excluded from the analysis (Figure 1). Two of the 220 3-lead Holter ECGs could be classified neither as sinus rhythm nor atrial fibrillation, one in AF and one in SR group. One of them converted from atrial flutter to sinus rhythm during recording, and the quality of the other ECG was insufficient for rhythm analysis. These two Holter ECGs and the corresponding chest strap ECGs were excluded from the final analysis.

Demographics of study participants, including age, gender, previous medical history (as reported by patient or from patient medical records), height, weight and BMI, were recorded (Table 1). The patients in the AF group were older, had a faster heart rate, and more hypertension, congestive heart disease or previous heart surgery, compared to the SR group.

The opinion of the quality of the ECGs differed significantly between cardiologists, both with the chest strap and the Holter ECGs, with a greater proportion classified as "good" with the chest strap ECG compared to the Holter ECG by both cardiologists. In patients with SR, P-waves were identifiable in majority of the ECG strips of chest strap and Holter ECGs, with no significant difference between the methods studied (Table 2).

The two algorithms used detected atrial fibrillation from the Holter and chest strap ECG with a good specificity and sensitivity. There was no significant difference in algorithm rhythm classification between the chest strap ECG and the Holter ECG (Table 3).

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that the quality of an ECG strip recorded from the chest strap was sufficient for reliable detection of AF both by cardiologists and by automated algorithms. Detection of asymptomatic arrhythmias for prevention and searching for the cause of stroke is a big clinical challenge. As of now, there are only a few methods available for clinical use, requiring expensive or invasive equipment and health-care professional interpretation of results. Novel methods for finding arrhythmias are needed. Currently, the use of ECG-based HR monitors is limited to heart rate monitoring in sports, and the already existing possibility of acquiring ECG strip is not currently used in search for arrhythmias.

Screening for AF ranges from opportunistic pulse palpation during a routine check-up to invasive, prolonged monitoring in post-stroke studies. Non-invasive screening methods include pulse palpation or surface ECG and continuous hospital telemetry, ambulatory ECG (Holter), patient-triggered event recorder and prolonged ambulatory ECG (mobile cardiovascular telemetry). Invasive screening methods include implantable loop recorders and pacemakers with atrial leads and implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs)²¹. A recent collaboration for screening for atrial fibrillation (AF-SCREEN) discusses in a white paper the advantage of handheld ECG devices in providing verifiable ECG traces for diagnosis of AF according to guidelines. Furthermore, for screening to be effective in preventing strokes, it must be linked to a pathway for diagnosing atrial fibrillation and initiation of anticoagulation¹⁷. Algorithm-based devices can be used for screening purposes, but an actual ECG-strip is needed for the diagnosis of AF. Current commercial devices in detecting AF include ECG-based devices⁹⁻¹¹ and detection of AF by pulse irregularity using blood pressure monitors, mobile phone apps/cameras and wearable technology¹²⁻¹⁶. None of them are

currently able to provide an actual ECG-strip. Inexpensive consumer products have been studied for the screening of AF¹⁸. If these products are to be used in future for the diagnosis of atrial fibrillation, they need to be registered as medical devices with FDA approval (US) or CE mark (EU).

The interest in self-monitoring is increasing. The number of devices providing information on one's health is abundant and they are used by a large population of consumers. The theoretical possibility of AF detection is already built in with many of the commercial chest straps used for heart rate monitoring in sports, since many heart rate monitors such as chest straps use single-channel ECG in HR measurement, detecting QRS complexes and measuring R-R intervals. The advantage of the method used in this study is the capability of some the commercially available HR monitor chest strap studied to produce and transmit ECG (Suunto Movesense), and this seems to be of a study intrerest of all the biggest heart rate monitor manufacturers. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time when single-channel ECGs from a HR monitor chest strap has been used for detecting atrial fibrillation both by clinicians and automated algorithms, producing an ECG strip for clinician. In the SAFE study by Lown et al. ¹⁸, the screening of AF was performed by algorithm-only using four different devices. In our study, the diagnosis of two experienced cardiologists was compared with algorithm diagnosis yielding in good specificity and sensitivity for the method studied and, in addition, an ECG strip from chest strap with sufficient quality for the diagnosis of AF.

There are some limitations in this study. First, some of the patients in the original AF group were in sinus rhythm in the final analysis. The minimum number of 100 patients per group was achieved by the collection of additional patients in each group. Second, the measurement using the chest strap was made in optimal conditions with a supine, resting patient and the chest strap application being made by the researcher. The measurement period was restricted to 5 minutes. In real-life measurements, the artefacts and poor signal quality resulting from, for example, movement,

inaccurate positioning of the chest strap, dryness of skin and electrodes, are sources of signal noise and decrease the accuracy for automated algorithms as well as the human eye in interpreting the ECG signal. Moreover, we did not collect patients from a specific age group. The incidence of AF increases in the elderly population, in which possible problems with cognition and ability to use new technologies can be decreased. Also, usability of the technology studied in obese patients cannot be concluded from our results, since the inclusion criterion was BMI less than 33.

After clinical validation and studies for longer measurement times and moving subjects, the chest strap with the ECG sensor could be used both in opportunistic and systematic screening for atrial fibrillation. For asymptomatic risk group patients, chest strap ECG screening could be implemented. Furthermore, it could be used in long-term follow-up of post-stroke patients in finding AF. In both cases, chest strap ECGs would offer the ECG strip recommended by guidelines for confirmation of the diagnosis of AF. If our study technique with the use of the actual ECG acquired from the HR monitor chest strap appears to be practical and can be validated, it opens an opportunity for a low-cost screening method that is easy to implement in large populations at risk of AF and in post-stroke studies.

The quality of the chest strap ECG was superior to the Holter ECG as analyzed by two experienced cardiologists. The ECG using the chest strap enables accurate detection of AF by using automated algorithms. P-wave was detected from chest strap ECG strips in patients with sinus rhythm in 93.7%/94.6% of cases. An ECG strip from chest strap offers a possibility for confirmation of AF diagnosis as suggested in present guidelines. ECG recording using the chest strap for the detection of AF in risk groups and in post-stroke screening seems promising but needs further studies in larger populations and in real-life situations in addition to encompassing longer measurement periods. In general, easy-to-use and low-cost solutions are needed in screening for arrhythmias such as AF, and the use of evolving mobile technology enables remote monitoring in the near future.

Conflicts of Interest

S. Hartikainen, J. Lipponen, T. Rissanen, M. Tarvainen, T. Martikainen and H. Jäntti are shareholders of a company (Heart2Save) that designs ECG-based software for medical equipment. There are no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Lasse Pörsti in data collection and to Tuomas Selander for helping in data analysis.

A CHILIP MAR

1. Ferro JM. Cardioembolic stroke: an update, Lancet Neurol 2003;2:177-188.

 Freedman B, Potpara TS, Lip GY. Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation, *Lancet* 2016;388:806-817.

3. Bang OY, Ovbiagele B, Kim JS. Evaluation of cryptogenic stroke with advanced diagnostic techniques, *Stroke* 2014;45:1186-1194.

4. Hart RG, Diener HC, Coutts SB, Easton JD, Granger CB, O'Donnell MJ, Sacco RL, Connolly SJ, Cryptogenic Stroke/ESUS International Working Group. Embolic strokes of undetermined source: the case for a new clinical construct, *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:429-438.

5. Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, *Ann Intern Med* 2007;146:857-867.

6. Saxena R, Koudstaal PJ. Anticoagulants for preventing stroke in patients with nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation and a history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack, *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004;(2):CD000185.

7. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, Ahlsson A, Atar D, Casadei B, Castella M, Diener HC, Heidbuchel H, Hendriks J, Hindricks G, Manolis AS, Oldgren J, Popescu BA, Schotten U, Van Putte B, Vardas P, Agewall S, Camm J, Baron Esquivias G, Budts W, Carerj S, Casselman F, Coca A, De Caterina R, Deftereos S, Dobrev D, Ferro JM, Filippatos G, Fitzsimons D, Gorenek B, Guenoun M, Hohnloser SH, Kolh P, Lip GY, Manolis A, McMurray J, Ponikowski P, Rosenhek R, Ruschitzka F, Savelieva I, Sharma S, Suwalski P, Tamargo JL, Taylor CJ, Van Gelder IC, Voors AA, Windecker S, Zamorano JL, Zeppenfeld K. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS, *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:2893-2962.

8. Cooke G, Doust J, Sanders S. Is pulse palpation helpful in detecting atrial fibrillation? A systematic review, *J Fam Pract* 2006;55:130-134.

9. Lau JK, Lowres N, Neubeck L, Brieger DB, Sy RW, Galloway CD, Albert DE, Freedman SB. iPhone ECG application for community screening to detect silent atrial fibrillation: a novel technology to prevent stroke, *Int J Cardiol* 2013;165:193-194.

10. Kaleschke G, Hoffmann B, Drewitz I, Steinbeck G, Naebauer M, Goette A, Breithardt G,
Kirchhof P. Prospective, multicentre validation of a simple, patient-operated electrocardiographic
system for the detection of arrhythmias and electrocardiographic changes, *Europace* 2009;11:13621368.

11. Tieleman RG, Plantinga Y, Rinkes D, Bartels GL, Posma JL, Cator R, Hofman C, Houben RP.Validation and clinical use of a novel diagnostic device for screening of atrial fibrillation, *Europace* 2014;16:1291-1295.

12. Wiesel J, Fitzig L, Herschman Y, Messineo FC. Detection of atrial fibrillation using a modified microlife blood pressure monitor, *Am J Hypertens* 2009;22:848-852.

13. Verberk WJ, Omboni S, Kollias A, Stergiou GS. Screening for atrial fibrillation with automated blood pressure measurement: Research evidence and practice recommendations, *Int J Cardiol* 2016;203:465-473.

14. McManus DD, Lee J, Maitas O, Esa N, Pidikiti R, Carlucci A, Harrington J, Mick E, Chon KH. A novel application for the detection of an irregular pulse using an iPhone 4S in patients with atrial fibrillation, *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:315-319.

15. Nemati S, Ghassemi MM, Ambai V, Isakadze N, Levantsevych O, Shah A, Clifford GD.
Monitoring and detecting atrial fibrillation using wearable technology, *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2016;2016:3394-3397.

16. McMANUS DD, Chong JW, Soni A, Saczynski JS, Esa N, Napolitano C, Darling CE, Boyer E, Rosen RK, Floyd KC, Chon KH. PULSE-SMART: Pulse-Based Arrhythmia Discrimination Using a Novel Smartphone Application, *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2016;27:51-57.

17. Freedman B, Camm J, Calkins H, Healey JS, Rosenqvist M, Wang J, Albert CM, Anderson CS, Antoniou S, Benjamin EJ, Boriani G, Brachmann J, Brandes A, Chao TF, Conen D, Engdahl J, Fauchier L, Fitzmaurice DA, Friberg L, Gersh BJ, Gladstone DJ, Glotzer TV, Gwynne K, Hankey GJ, Harbison J, Hillis GS, Hills MT, Kamel H, Kirchhof P, Kowey PR, Krieger D, Lee VWY, Levin LA, Lip GYH, Lobban T, Lowres N, Mairesse GH, Martinez C, Neubeck L, Orchard J, Piccini JP, Poppe K, Potpara TS, Puererfellner H, Rienstra M, Sandhu RK, Schnabel RB, Siu CW, Steinhubl S, Svendsen JH, Svennberg E, Themistoclakis S, Tieleman RG, Turakhia MP, Tveit A, Uittenbogaart SB, Van Gelder IC, Verma A, Wachter R, Yan BP, AF-Screen Collaborators. Screening for Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the AF-SCREEN International Collaboration, *Circulation* 2017;135:1851-1867.

18. Lown M, Yue AM, Shah BN, Corbett SJ, Lewith G, Stuart B, Garrard J, Brown M, Little P, Moore M. Screening for Atrial Fibrillation Using Economical and Accurate Technology (From the SAFETY Study), *Am J Cardiol* 2018;122:1339-1344.

19. Sarkar S, Ritscher D, Mehra R. A detector for a chronic implantable atrial tachyarrhythmia monitor, *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2008;55:1219-1224.

20. Lake DE, Moorman JR. Accurate estimation of entropy in very short physiological time series: the problem of atrial fibrillation detection in implanted ventricular devices, *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2011;300:H319-25.

 Seet RC, Friedman PA, Rabinstein AA. Prolonged rhythm monitoring for the detection of occult paroxysmal atrial fibrillation in ischemic stroke of unknown cause, *Circulation* 2011;124:477-486.

Figure legends



Figure 1. Flowchart. AF=atrial fibrillation; ECG=electrocardiogram; SR=sinus rhythm;



Figure 2. Measurement of ECG with Suunto Movesense chest strap with ECG acquisition (2). As control, 3-lead Faros 360 Holter device using wet electrodes were used (1). 5 min measurement was done simultaneously using both devices with the study subject resting in supine position LA=left arm; LL=left limb; RA=right arm; V5=electrode positioned in position corresponding electrode V5 in 12 lead ECG.



Figure 3. Typical examples of ECG recording of sinus rhythm (SR) and atrial fibrillation (AF) using Faros 360 Holter ECG and Suunto Movesense chest strap. mV=millivolt



Table 1. Patient demographics

	AF (N=107)	SR (N=111)	р
Male/Female	58/42%	55/45%	0.68
Age (years)	72±14	55 ±19	<0.0001
Height (cm)	172±10	171±10	0.972
Weight (kg)	75±13	74±12	0.848
BMI (kg/m ²)	25,2±3.0	25,3±3.1	0.324
Mean HR (bpm)	94±22	68±13	< 0.0001
Coronary heart disease	27.1%	23.4%	0.5
Diabetes mellitus	20.6%	13.3%	0.166
Hypertension	61.7%	44.1%	< 0.01
Congestive heart disease	19.6%	2.7%	< 0.0001
Previous heart surgery*	13.1%	4.5%	< 0.05

AF=atrial fibrillation; BMI=body mass index; HR=heart rate; SR=sinus rhythm

*Heart surgery type not specified

Quality* (N=218)	Holter	Chest strap
	(Doc 1 / Doc 2)	(Doc 1 / Doc 2)
good	71.6 %/ 85.3%	83.0% / 95.9%
average	17.0% / 12.4%	15.1% / 4.1%
poor	6.9% / 2.3%	1.8% / 0.0%
P-waves visible**		
SR (N = 111)	98.2% / 95.5%	93.7% / 94.6%
AF (N=107)	0 / 0	0.9 / 0.9

Table 2: Visual quality and and P-wave visibility of Holter and chest strap recordings.

*Quality of chest strap ECG is better than Holter ECG; p<0.05/p<0.001 (Doc1 / Doc 2)

**P-wave visibility is better in Holter than chest strap ECG; p=n.s /p=n.s (Doc1 / Doc 2)

AF=atrial fibrillation; ECG=electrocardiogram; SR=sinus rhythm

Table 3: Sensitivity and specificity of AFEvidence and COSEn methods to detectAF from Holter and chest strap ECG recordings.

	Specificity	Sensitivity
AFEvidence	98.2%	93.5%
COSEn	95.5%	97.2%
AFEvidence	95.5%	95.3%
COSEn	98.2%	96.3%
	AFEvidence COSEn AFEvidence COSEn	SpecificityAFEvidence98.2%COSEn95.5%AFEvidence95.5%COSEn98.2%

*Algorithms can classify rhythm better from Holter than from chest strap ECG; p=n.s /p=n.s

ECG=electrocardiogram