



### https://helda.helsinki.fi

P.Petra No. 60: Official Letter

# Kaimio, Maarit

American center of oriental research 2018

Kaimio , M & Lehtinen , M S 2018 , P.Petra No. 60 : Official Letter . in The Petra Papyri V . American center of oriental research , Amman , pp. 174-177 .

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/312042

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

## **60.** Official Letter

Inv. 84b Field. No. XIII Glass Plate 316 Plates LXXXII–LXXXIII left margin ca. 3 cm (?) right margin 1 cm

ca. 530-600

This roll has the same inventory number as **59** (Agreement on Lease of Vineyard). Its fragments bear the numbers B<sub>3</sub>C 1–40, while the fragments of **59** have the codes B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>A, and B<sub>3</sub>B. Hence, the present text must have lain very near the latter fragments. It is, however, clearly a separate roll, opened from the outermost layer towards the core of the roll (frs. 1–18), with the counterlayers continuing from the core to the outermost layer on the other side of the same roll (frs. 19–40). Frs. 37–39 contain text on both sides.

The letter proper was written in a wide column (55 cm) along the fibers. The first line begins in fr. 4 and ends in fr. 22, giving the long name and the title of a magistrate, λαμπρότ(ατος) ἄρχων. This Flavius Marianos may have been the governor of Palaestina Tertia. The line was written in a large, flamboyant, upright hand with occasional very small letters (*alphas* and *upsilons*). The second line gives only the name of the adressee, Theodoros, son of Obodianos (frs. 6–8). The hand is similar but perhaps not the same, and the letters are much smaller. The contents of the letter begin in the third line (frs. 4–19), written by the same hand as that of the second line, with letters of a height in between those of the two first lines. Traces of a fourth line follow. As the height of the column's preserved part is 8 cm and the width of the Petra rolls was generally 26–29 cm, the column could have contained around four more lines, but of course the letter may have been shorter.

Among the Petra papyri, there are no comparable letters from a high magistrate to a single adressee,¹ nor are they common in the Greek papyri from Egypt. The layout of the letter is similar to that of P. Cair. Masp. III 67281 (538–40), Φλ(αύιος)] Ἰωάννη[c Μηνᾶc Ἰ(?)]ουστινιαν[ος Δημος]θένης Cτρ[άτων Ἡ]λίας Θε[όδωρος(?) Διό]σκορος ὁ μεγ[αλ(οπρεπέστατος) ἄρ]χ(ων) τῆc Θηβαί[ων ἐπα]ρχείας / Ὠ[πολλῶτι] Ἰςακίου. See also two orders of a *praeses*, ChLA XLI 1193 (541) and 1195 (531). Both of these letters begin with the name and title of the magistrate sender, written with large letters and in a very wide column, continuing (by another official hand) with the text of the order. In the former, no addressee is mentioned, while the latter is addressed, in the last line of the column, to the inhabitants of Aphrodito. In both documents, another much smaller column follows, with more details of the order.

The letter by Flavius Marianos is, on the left, preceded by an empty space of ca. 9 cm, consisting of three fragments (leftward, towards the beginning of the roll, frs. 33, 3, 34). Then follow, again leftward, frs. 2, 35, 1, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 (from 36 onwards marked as *verso*), which, together with the *recto* fragments missing in between, must have occupied ca. 42 cm in the original papyrus. The length of the combined fragments was

<sup>1.</sup> The official letter 78 contains an order by an unknown magistrate to the defensor civitatis and other officials of Petra, but, as the beginning is lost, we do not know to whom the letter was addressed.

60. OFFICIAL LETTER 177

thus at least 106 cm and quite possibly more, since fr. 38 seems to contain two layers. The fragments 2–1 and 35–40 were written *transversa charta*, so that the text begins from fr. 2. That is, if all the papyrus sheets had been glued together, the first lines on these fragments would have been in the middle of the roll and the last lines at the beginning of the roll. It is, however, improbable that the official letter would have been glued after the other texts in this way. Thus, the text *transversa charta* (see p. 179) was probably written on separate sheets (possibly two), and was wrapped around the main document (for similar cases, see Introductions to 6–11, 19, and 25–27). The fragments are so meager that nothing can be said of their contents nor of their relationship to the letter of Flavius Marianos. If they indeed form one or more separate sheets, the empty fragments 34, 3, and 33 must be divided between two documents. As the text *transversa charta* begins quite near the right edge of fr. 2, frs. 34 and 3 may form the upper margin (ca. 6 cm) of this text, while fr. 33 forms the left margin (ca. 3 cm) of Marianos' letter.

#### Main Document

```
→ † Φλ(άουιος) Μαριανὸς Ἰωάννης Cέργιος Ναρςῆς Cοῦμμος Ç.[.] τιανὸς Μεγέθιος λαμπρ(ότατος) ἄρχων vac.
2 vac. m2 † Θεοδώρω Ὀβοδιανοῦ vac.
3 τῶ[ν] ς[υ]μ[β]ολαιογρ[ά]φων τὸ ἐπιτήδειον ἀποδο[θ]ήτω τῷ ἐπιζητε... πίςτιν ἀγαθὴν κα[ὶ] γραμμάτων εἴδηςιν θατέρου γὰρ ἐλείποντος
4 traces
```

#### TRANSLATION

(Lines 1–3) † Flavius Marianos Ioannes Sergios Narses Summos Spartianos? Megethios, the illustrious governor (?) † To Theodoros, son of Obodianos. Of the notarial documents, the appropriate one should be given to whosoever requests (?) good faith and acknowledgement of the text. For if the other one is missing

#### Commentary

1 † Φλ(άουιος) Μαριανὸς: the sender's name and title, written in large letters, occupies the entire first line. This is common in official letters or orders sent by a high official as, e.g., in ChLA XLI 1195.1 = P. Cair. Masp. I 67030 (531), Φ]λ(αύιος) Θεόδωρος Μηνᾶς Ἰσυλιανὸς Ἰάκκωβος ὁ μεγαλο[π]ρε(πέστατος) κόμ(ης) καὶ ἄρχ(ων) τῆς Θηβ(αίων) ἐπαρχεί[α]ς; P. Flor. III 293.1 (544/5), Ἰ]ωάννης Θεόδωρος Μηνᾶς Ναρεῆς Χνουβάμμων Ὠρίων Ἡφαιστος ὁ ἐνδοξ(ότατος) κυαίστ(ωρ) δοὺξ (καὶ) αὐγουστάλι(ος) τοῦ Θηβ(αίων) ἔθν(ους). The Marianos in our document is not known from other sources.

Nαρcῆc: Narses is an Armenian name. In the Greek papyri from Egypt, it is found six times, carried by two *duces*, Ioannes Theodoros Menas Narses etc. (quoted above), and Marianos Michaelios Gabrielios Sergios Bacchios Narses etc. (P. Cair. Masp. I 67005.1 [568]), but also by people of lower status, as also in epigraphic sources.

Coῦμμοc: in the Greek papyri from Egypt, the word cοῦμμοc (Latin *summus*) is found only as a definition of rank, e.g., CPR VI 76.8 (3rd c.), [ ]αχφ ςούμμφ εἴλης Μαυριτανῆ[c]; Rom. Mil. Rec. 76 = P. Hamb. I 39 (179?) *passim*, cούμμφ κουράτορι. It is, however, also a personal name, found in three Greek grave inscriptions in Palaestina Tertia (I. Pal. Tertiae Ia 265, Ib 20, 25), once in Arabia and thrice in Macedonia. We take it here as a name, since we believe the two following words to be names as well, though their reading is uncertain (see below).

178 THE PETRA PAPYRI V

Ω. [.] τιανὸc: this is very probably a Latin name, ending either in -τιανόc or -ςιανόc. There is a spot of ink visible at the fragment's left edge just before the uncertain tau, which could be from its horizontal, beginning with a curve like the taus of the third line. There is no other example of a tau with a tail curving to the right, but, on the other hand, the lower part of most taus in this document is missing, and the hand of the second and third lines may be different from that of the first line. The curved letter might also be the lower part of a sigma. The first letter of the name, linked with the last sigma of Summos, has a curved lower part, which suggests either a sigma, omikron, or epsilon. After this first letter, two vertical tails of letters are visible, the second one possibly ending in a curve to the right, perhaps from a lambda or pi. Between the first letter and this one, there may be space for a small letter written high up, like the alphas and upsilons of this hand. The last visible trace on the big fragment is a low, slightly diagonal stroke at the right edge, which could be from an iota or rho. Of the numerous names ending in -τιανόc or -cιανόc, no obvious candidate can be found, with Ω[Ω]τιανόc or Ω[Ω]ςιανόc being too short, though Ω[Ω]Ω[Ω]τιανόc might be possible.

Μεγέθιος: the exact position of the upper fragment is not certain, and thus we cannot be sure of the reading. The *iota* of the name is written differently from the other *iotas* in the same line, as a downward stroke from the *theta*. It is very unlikely, though, that the name could be abbreviated. Another Megethios, a father of Panolbios, is found in 5 8, 13.

λαμπρ(ότατος) ἄρχων: this combination of an honorific title and an office is not found elsewhere in the Petra papyri, nor is ἄρχων in the first place. In the Greek papyri from Egypt, the combination occurs once, in P. Princ. III 137.1, 4, where the exact rank of the *clarissimus* magistrate is unknown. In view of the grand personal name and the text's layout, the magistrate in question could be the governor of Palaestina Tertia, whose seat was Petra. The somewhat less exalted title  $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \acute{o} \tau \alpha \tau o c$  was appropriate for him, because the governor of Palaestina Tertia had a slightly lower rank than his colleagues in Palaestina Prima and Secunda. In similar expressions, as in the examples quoted at the beginning of this note, the title is usually preceded by an article.

2 † Θεοδώρφ 'Οβοδιανοῦ: the line with the addressee's name is indented 11 cm. In P. Cair. Masp. III 67281.1–2, quoted above, the whole text was written by the same hand, while in ChLA XLI 1193 and 1195, the letter was written by a second hand, marked as read by a third and fourth hand, marked as approved by a fifth hand, and continued in the next column by a sixth hand. Here, the address and the following text were written in similar but smaller letters than those of the official's name. As the rest of the text is missing, we do not know if it was followed by *legi* and *r(ecognovi)*. Since this was a letter sent personally to Theodoros, not containing any order to a larger audience, such remarks would not have been needed.

3 τῶ[ν] ς[ν]μ[β]ολαιογρ[ά]φων: in the short gap before the sigma, there is enough space for the nu of τῶ[ν], though the dative τῷ is also possible. However, the next word certainly ends with nu. The usual meaning of the word is "notary." Here, we suggest that the word refers to a "notarial document," cf. τὸ ἔγγραφον (very common in the Petra papyri), ἀντίγραφον, and χειρόγραφον, used as substantives, and the verb cυμβολαιογράφω in 39 144. It seems that Theodoros had submitted to Marianos two documents, or perhaps rather two copies of a document, one of which is meant with the following τὸ ἐπιτήδειον (where only the neuter article and the beginning of the word are certain), while the other is indicated by θατέρου γὰρ ἐ<λ>λείποντος. Similar use of the plural partitive genitive is often found at the beginning of official letters, see, e.g., SB XX 14587.2 (308), τῶν δοθέντων μο[ι βιβλιδίω]ν ὑπὸ - - - ἴcoν ἐπιςτέλλεται ὅπω[c] φανερὸν κα[ταc]τήτης οἶς ἠξίωςεν; SB XX 1588.24 (212), τὸ ἔτερον τῷν ἐπιδοθέντων βιβλιδίων [ἐν ἀρχείφ τῆc] πόλ(εως) ὑπ[οκ]ολληθή[τω].

τὸ ἐπιτήδειον: the end of the word is uncertain, since only the upper parts of the letters are visible. The *tau*, beginning with the curve typical of the non-cursive *tau* of this hand, seems clear. Of the following three high-reaching letters, the first is probably *eta* (*iota* is possible but offers no sense), the second could be *iota* or *delta*, and the third is certainly *epsilon*. After that, traces of four to six letters follow before the unambiguous *delta*. We thus may have a word beginning ἐπιτήδειομα does not give a suitable sense (the phrase τὸ ἐπιτήδειομα is frequent in papyri in the meaning "by profession"); ἐπιτήδειον could be understood as "the appropriate (document)," though especially the *omikron* is difficult to read.

ἀποδο[θ]ήτω τῷ ἐπιζητε....: here, too, the reading is very difficult. Of the ink in πο and [θ]ή, almost nothing is visible, but the imperative would be natural in the context and an obvious explanation for the double τω. The following dative would then give the document's intended recipient. He might be an official but, though there are many alternatives beginning with ἐπι- (e.g., ἐπικριτής, ἐπίκτοπος, ἐπίκταθμος, ἐπικτάτης, ἐπικτήμων, ἐπίτροπος), none of them seems palaeographically possible. Of the letter after ἐπι-, a longish horizontal stroke is visible, which could be from a tau (this time without the curved beginning) or zeta but not from a sigma, since there are no traces of the curve connected with the horizontal. The next high vertical stroke could be from iota or eta, followed by a possible tau, a certain epsilon, and unidentifiable traces of perhaps two or three letters. The word might be a form of ἐπιζητέω –e.g., τῷ ἐπιζητοῦντι πίστιν ἀγαθὴν καὶ γραμμάτων εἴδητων would give an appropriate sense: "to anybody requesting good faith and acknowledgement of the text." However, the surviving ἐπιζητεῦντι. The horizontal stroke of the epsilon clearly turns downward, which rather suggests the combination ει; this document contains no combination ευ for comparison. At the next fragment's left edge, faint traces of a high vertical iota may be discerned, which could be from the ending -ντι.

πίςτιν ἀγαθὴν κα[ί] γραμμάτων εἴδητιν: the same exact phrase does not occur elsewhere in papyri, but there are similar expressions with either εἰς or πρός, see, e.g., P. Cair. Masp. I 67002.8 (567), εἰς ἄκραν εἴδητιν; I 67020.14 (566–73), πρὸς εἴδητιν; III 67314.47

60. OFFICIAL LETTER 179

(569–70), πρὸς πίστιν καὶ ἀςφάλειαν; P. Ness. III 24.11 (569), πρὸς ἀςφάλιαν καὶ εἴδηςιν ὑμετέραν καὶ τοῦ δημοςίου λόγου τοῦτο τὸ ἐπίσταλμα πεποιήμεθα πρὸς cέ. Here, however, there seems to be no sigma before πίςτιν. In the Greek papyri from Egypt, ἀγαθὴ πίστις translates Latin bona fides only twice, in P. Mil. II 48.13 (549) and P. Münch. I 8.24 (540), while καλὴ πίστις occurs a few times. In Petra, only ἀγαθὴ πίστις is attested (29 202, 218; 59 30; restored in 1 77; 18 54; 30 176; 50 133), cf. 18 54–55 comm.

θατέρου γὰρ ἐλείποντος: the fate of "the other" document remains unknown. Obviously we have here a genitive absolute with the participle ἐ<λ>λείποντος, though certainly only a single *lambda* was written. Otherwise, we would have to read ἔλειπον τὸ, which would leave the *sigma*, written with a curved line-filler, alone in the line-end. The sense might be "for if the other (document) is missing," cf. P. Flor. III 384.12 (489), οὐδενὸς ἐλλίποντος (of the equipment of a bath), or "for as the other document lacks [+ genitive, e.g., signature]."

The Separate Fragments written Transversa Charta

For a general description of Folds 33, 3, 34, 2, 35, 1, 36–40, see above. The first three folds are empty. On the next folds, mostly just some letters or traces are visible. In the following, we give only the identifiable words. For indexing purposes, they are identified with "Frs." and line numbers.

#### Frs. 1 Fold 35 ] έμοῖc [ 2 Ικόςτου έννάτου [ Fold 1 3 Fold 37v ] τρίτου βιο[ 4 ] έμοῖς γράμμ[αςι Fold 38v 5 ] προγηγραμ[μεν-Fold 38v

### 2 ἐνάτου 5 προγεγραμ[μεν-

1 Folds 2–37v are written in largish, clear, upright letters, probably by the same hand. This means that the side marked as v(erso) represents the same side of the papyrus where the main letter was written, though the recto sides of the fragments in folds 37 and 36 better resemble the shape of fold 35. Fold 36v is glued to the Japanese paper, which means that the recto side was empty, while folds 37–39 have writing on both sides.

- 2 The text consists of two fragments, with the *epsilon* divided between them, if the placing is correct. This may refer to the year of the Arabian era, which in this case probably falls between 439 (544–45) and 479 (584–85), since Theodoros was born in 512–14 and was certainly living in the early 580's but not necessarily in the 590's (see Introduction, p. 2).
- 4–5 The lines are on two different fragments, which may represent two folds, since in both fragments there are traces of another line that do not seem to belong together. Both lines are written by a new hand, with practised but much smaller and more oblique letters than the preceding folds. On the next folds 39v and 40 (with no mark r or v, the other side empty), there are only traces. On the *recto* side of fold 37, there are traces of three lines, written in big letters with a thin *kalamos*. In the second line, there is a big *phi* possibly followed by a *lambda* with an abbreviation mark, probably from  $\Phi\lambda(\alpha o u \circ c)$ . The *recto* side of 38 is written in middle-sized capitals, with a thicker *kalamos* than the preceding fold. On line 2, a cross is visible. The *recto* side of 39 has letters on four lines, possibly by the same hand as the preceding fold.

M. KAIMIO, M. LEHTINEN

P. Petra V 60: The Reconstructed Order of the Fragments









Col. 1, Part 1



COL. 1, PARTS 2 AND 3