

# 1 **Tracting the neural basis of music: deficient structural** 2 **connectivity underlying acquired amusia**

3 Running title: White matter changes in acquired amusia

4 Aleksi J. Sihvonen<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Pablo Ripollés<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Teppo Särkämö<sup>2</sup>, Vera Leo<sup>2</sup>, Antoni Rodríguez-  
5 Fornells<sup>3,4</sup>, Jani Saunavaara<sup>6</sup>, Riitta Parkkola<sup>7</sup>, and Seppo Soinila<sup>8</sup>.

6 <sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Turku, 20520 Turku, Finland

7 <sup>2</sup>Cognitive Brain Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

8 <sup>3</sup>Cognition and Brain Plasticity Group, Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL),  
9 L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, 08907 Barcelona, Spain

10 <sup>4</sup>Department of Basic Psychology, University of Barcelona, 08035 Barcelona, Spain

11 <sup>5</sup>Poeppel Lab, Department of Psychology, New York University, 10003 NY, USA

12 <sup>6</sup>Department of Medical Physics, Turku University Hospital, 20521 Turku, Finland

13 <sup>7</sup>Department of Radiology, Turku University and Turku University Hospital, 20521 Turku, Finland

14 <sup>8</sup>Division of Clinical Neurosciences, Turku University Hospital and Department of Neurology,  
15 University of Turku, 20521, Turku, Finland.

16 \*Corresponding author:

17 Name: Dr. Aleksi J. Sihvonen

18 Address: Cognitive Brain Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki  
19 Siltavuorenpenger 1 B, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

20 Email: [ajsihv@utu.fi](mailto:ajsihv@utu.fi)

21 Phone: +358 40 5209 386

22

## 1 **Abstract**

2 Acquired amusia provides a unique opportunity to investigate the fundamental neural  
3 architectures of musical processing due to the transition from a functioning to defective  
4 music processing system. Yet, the white matter deficits in amusia remain systematically  
5 unexplored. To evaluate which white matter structures form the neural basis for acquired  
6 amusia and its recovery, we studied 42 stroke patients longitudinally at acute, 3-month,  
7 and 6-month post-stroke stages using DTI (tract-based spatial statistics and deterministic  
8 tractography) and the Scale and Rhythm subtests of the Montreal Battery of Evaluation of  
9 Amusia (MBEA). Non-recovered amusia was associated with structural damage and  
10 subsequent degeneration in multiple white matter tracts including the right inferior fronto-  
11 occipital fasciculus, arcuate fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus,  
12 and frontal aslant tract, as well as in the corpus callosum and its posterior part (tapetum).  
13 In a linear regression analysis, the volume of the right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus  
14 was the main predictor of MBEA performance across time. Overall, our results provide a  
15 comprehensive picture of the large-scale deficits in intra- and interhemispheric structural  
16 connectivity underlying amusia, and conversely highlight which pathways are crucial for  
17 normal music perception.

18

## 19 **Highlights**

- 20 - Acquired amusia is associated with damage to multiple white matter pathways.
- 21 - The key pathway in acquired amusia is the right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus.
- 22 - Rhythm-amusics show additional deficits in left frontal connectivity.
- 23 - Pitch-amusia affects additionally right frontal and interhemispheric connectivity.

## 1 **Abbreviations**

2 AF, arcuate fasciculus; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CC, corpus callosum; DT,  
3 deterministic tractography; DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; FA, fractional anisotropy; FAT,  
4 frontal aslant tract; FWE, familywise error rate; GMV, grey matter volume; IFG, inferior  
5 frontal gyrus, IFOF, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; IC, internal capsule; ILF, inferior  
6 longitudinal fasciculus; IPL, inferior parietal lobule, MBEA, Montreal battery of evaluation of  
7 amusia; MCA, middle cerebral artery; MD, mean diffusivity; MTG, middle temporal gyrus;  
8 NA, non-amusic, NRA, non-recovered amusic; pNA, non-pitch-amusic; pNRA, non-  
9 recovered pitch-amusic; pRA, recovered pitch-amusic; pre-SMA, presupplementary motor  
10 area; RD, radial diffusivity; rNA, non-rhythm-amusic; rNRA, non-recovered rhythm-amusic;  
11 RA, recovered amusic; RHD, right hemisphere damage; ROI, region of interest; rRA,  
12 recovered rhythm-amusic; SLF, superior longitudinal fasciculus; SMA, supplementary  
13 motor area; STG, superior temporal gyrus; TBSS, tract-based spatial statistics; UF,  
14 uncinata fasciculus; WM, white matter.

15

## 16 **Keywords**

17 Amusia, music, stroke, tractography, tract-based spatial statistics

18

## 19 **1. Introduction**

20 The ability to perceive, enjoy, and produce music is a fundamental element of human  
21 cognition. Studies with healthy subjects have revealed a widely distributed bilateral neural  
22 network activated by musical stimuli (Zatorre and Salimpoor, 2013; Koelsch, 2014).

1 However, studies of persons with musical deficits are essentially needed to determine the  
2 critical structures required by musical processing in the brain (Rorden and Karnath, 2004).

3 Amusia, caused by either abnormal brain development (congenital amusia) or brain  
4 damage (acquired amusia), is a neurological disorder characterized mainly by inability to  
5 perceive fine-grained pitch changes. In addition, the processing of musical rhythm, timbre,  
6 memory, and emotions can also be affected (Stewart et al., 2006; Marin et al., 2012).

7 While only 2-4% of the population is affected by congenital amusia (Kalmus and Fry, 1980;  
8 Henry and McAuley, 2010), the prevalence of acquired amusia after stroke in the middle  
9 cerebral artery (MCA) territory is substantially higher, reportedly ranging between 35% and  
10 69% (Ayotte et al., 2000; Schuppert et al., 2000; Särkämö et al., 2009; Sihvonen et al.,  
11 2016). Notably, congenital amusia is a life-long condition and therefore reflects not only  
12 impaired music perception, but also a developmental deficit in acquiring musical syntax  
13 and tonal representations or lack of exposure to music (Stewart, 2008). In contrast,  
14 acquired amusia is characterized by a clear-cut shift from a normal to deficient function of  
15 the music processing system caused by a brain lesion. This creates a naturalistic  
16 opportunity to examine and pin down the brain areas that are crucial for music perception.

17 Recently, we reported damage to the right superior temporal gyrus (STG), Heschl's gyrus  
18 (HG), middle temporal gyrus (MTG), insula, and putamen to be the crucial neural substrate  
19 for acquired amusia after stroke (Sihvonen et al., 2016). In 6-month follow-up, persistent  
20 (non-recovering) amusia was associated with grey matter volume (GMV) decrease in the  
21 right STG and MTG, and white matter (WM) volume decrease in the MTG. We speculated  
22 that lesions linked to acquired amusia damage the WM pathways connecting the right  
23 frontal and temporal regions, which possibly leads to neural degeneration and consequent  
24 GMV decrease. Congenital amusics have reduced WM in the right inferior frontal gyrus  
25 (IFG; Hyde et al., 2006) accompanied by GM anomalies in the same region (Hyde et al.,

1 2006; Hyde et al., 2007; Albouy et al., 2013) and in the right STG (Hyde et al., 2007;  
2 Albouy et al., 2013) as well as reduced frontotemporal functional (Albouy et al., 2013) and  
3 resting-state (Leveque et al., 2016) connectivity.

4 While the abnormalities in the structure, function, and connectivity of the right superior  
5 temporal and the inferior frontal brain areas are thought to be a plausible mechanism  
6 underlying congenital amusia, there is still scarce and insufficient direct evidence for  
7 structural WM abnormalities in amusia derived from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). There  
8 are only two previous tractography studies on congenital amusia (Loui et al., 2009; Chen  
9 et al., 2015) and none on acquired amusia. In addition, both studies on congenital amusia  
10 investigated only one tract, the arcuate fasciculus (AF), and yielded conflicting findings:  
11 While Loui et al. (2009) compared 10 congenital amusics to 10 healthy controls and found  
12 decreased volume of the right arcuate fasciculus, Chen et al. (2015) compared 26 amusics  
13 to 26 healthy subjects and found no significant differences between the groups. In  
14 addition, congenital amusics have been shown to have reduced global connectivity (Zhao  
15 et al., 2016).

16 Frontal and temporal regions are connected not only by the AF (Fig. 1). As various other  
17 WM pathways interconnect these areas directly or through other pathways, musical  
18 processing might be mediated by other WM tracts as well. For example, the inferior fronto-  
19 occipital fasciculus (IFOF) connects occipital, posterior temporal, and frontal regions  
20 (Catani et al., 2002; Kier et al., 2004; Martino et al., 2010; Turken and Dronkers, 2011;  
21 Sarubbo et al., 2013). In addition, the uncinate fasciculus (UF) connects the temporal pole  
22 with inferior frontal areas (Kier et al., 2004). Indeed, subjects with absolute pitch have  
23 increased WM integrity in both of these tracts in the right hemisphere (Dohn et al., 2015)  
24 as well as in the inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF), which connects the temporal pole  
25 with the occipital cortex (Catani et al., 2002). Furthermore, increased WM integrity in the

1 right IFOF has been related to musical synesthesia (Zamm et al., 2013). In addition to  
2 evaluating the connections between the frontal and temporal regions, evaluation of the  
3 interhemispheric connectivity (e.g. corpus callosum and its segments) in amusia would be  
4 important as in congenital amusia, the functional connectivity between the auditory  
5 cortices in both hemispheres has been shown to be altered (Hyde et al., 2011). In addition,  
6 the frontal aslant tract (FAT), which connects inferior frontal and motor cortical areas and  
7 has an established role in language processing (Vassal et al., 2016) and working memory  
8 (Rizio and Diaz, 2016), could also contribute to music processing given the importance of  
9 these structures for music-syntactic and rhythm processing.

10 Another line of evidence to support involvement of various WM pathways in music  
11 processing comes from studies of long-term musical training. Compared to non-musicians,  
12 musicians show WM plastic changes (e.g. tract volume, fractional anisotropy) in the corpus  
13 callosum (CC; Schlaug et al., 1995; Schmithorst and Wilke, 2002; Bengtsson et al., 2005),  
14 the pyramidal tracts (Schmithorst and Wilke, 2002; Bengtsson et al., 2005; Han et al.,  
15 2009; Ruber et al., 2015), the AF/superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF; Bengtsson et al.,  
16 2005; Oechslin et al., 2010; Halwani et al., 2011), the IFOF (Schmithorst and Wilke, 2002),  
17 and in the cerebellar tracts (Schmithorst and Wilke, 2002; Abdul-Kareem et al., 2011).  
18 Given the complexity and diverse nature of musical training, these structural neuroplastic  
19 changes naturally reflect the interaction of many auditory-perceptual, motor, tactile, and  
20 cognitive functions.

21 Another important aspect is that music processing in the brain is not limited to temporal  
22 and frontal areas. Functional neuroimaging studies show that music processing and  
23 perception involve a large-scale network comprising bilateral temporal, frontal, parietal,  
24 and subcortical regions (Schmithorst, 2005; Brattico et al., 2011; Alluri et al., 2012). The  
25 music network also extends to motor regions of the brain to recruit presupplementary

1 motor area (pre-SMA), supplementary motor area (SMA), and cerebellum for musical  
2 rhythm processing (Chen et al., 2008). Moreover, both music-related ventral (i.e. extreme  
3 capsule) and dorsal streams in the right hemisphere have been suggested to act parallel in  
4 transferring musical auditory information between the temporal, inferior parietal, and  
5 inferior frontal regions (Zatorre et al., 2002; Rauschecker, 2014; Sammler et al., 2015;  
6 Loui, 2015; Musso et al., 2015). Similarly to aphasia, whereby damage to dorsal language-  
7 related pathways are associated with productive impairments and damage to ventral  
8 language-related pathways with comprehension deficits (Kummerer et al., 2013), music  
9 production and perception could rely on different streams (Loui et al., 2008; Loui, 2015;  
10 Sammler et al., 2015). Taken together, these findings suggest that the critical pathway to  
11 be compromised in music perception deficits (i.e. amusia) could also be the right ventral  
12 pathway. To uncover the brain regions and neural pathways that are crucial for music  
13 perception, systematic and longitudinal research on the neural basis of acquired amusia  
14 and its recovery is still needed, specifically in order to map different tracts connecting the  
15 key musical cortical and subcortical areas. Clinically, this information would also be  
16 important for establishing a more accurate diagnosis and prognosis of amusia as well as  
17 for rehabilitation planning.

18 Diffusion MRI allows calculation of tensors from which many different indices of WM  
19 structure can be extracted. Fractional anisotropy (FA) reflects the variability in diffusion in  
20 different directions (anisotropy) and is highly sensitive to microstructural changes. Mean  
21 diffusivity (MD) is a directionally averaged, inverse measure of the membrane density, and  
22 it is sensitive to cellularity, edema, and necrosis (Alexander et al., 2011). Radial diffusivity  
23 (RD) describes the diffusivity perpendicular to the axon and is influenced by changes in  
24 axonal diameter or density. Importantly, the comparison of different DTI indices in the  
25 same focus gives more specific information about the type of change in WM. For example,

1 aphasics have lower FA values and higher MD and RD values than patients without post-  
2 stroke aphasia (Ivanova et al., 2016). Furthermore, after stroke affecting a motor pathway,  
3 the axonal damage and poor motor outcome have been linked to decreased FA as well as  
4 increased MD and RD (Yu et al., 2009). In addition, increased RD (Song et al., 2002; Song  
5 et al., 2005; Harsan et al., 2006) and decreased FA (Harsan et al., 2006) have been  
6 associated with dys- and demyelination.

7 The information obtained from DTI can be used to delineate and compare WM tracts  
8 (Conturo et al., 1999; Basser et al., 2000). One of the most common algorithms is  
9 deterministic tractography (DT), whereby different voxels are connected through their  
10 preferred diffusion directions to form one projection to represent a WM tract (Conturo et  
11 al., 1999). The statistical information on the visualized tracts can then be analyzed. A more  
12 recent method to evaluate WM structures is Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS), which  
13 allows voxel-wise statistical analysis of the DTI data. Using non-linear registration and  
14 alignment-invariant tract representation, TBSS tries to improve several issues of group  
15 analysis, such as image alignment and the amount of spatial smoothing used (Smith et al.,  
16 2006).

17 In the present study, we utilized both TBSS and DT to examine systematically the  
18 quantitative changes in WM pathways in patients with acquired amusia after stroke. We  
19 also examined which changes in the tracts are associated with the recovery of acquired  
20 amusia. DT and TBSS work as complementary methods with two different spatial  
21 resolutions (tract and voxel level). To our best knowledge, this is the first study to combine  
22 TBSS and DT in evaluating amusia. Based on our previous findings, the scarcity of  
23 evidence on tract deficits in amusia, and on the wide-spread involvement of brain regions  
24 in music processing in healthy subjects, we chose to comprehensively evaluate all WM



1 pathways connected to the STG and MTG, and inferior and medial frontal gyri, aiming to  
2 uncover the tract changes linked to amusia and its recovery after stroke (see Fig. 1).

3

## 4 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 5 *2.1 Subjects and Study Design*

6 Fifty subjects were recruited between March 2013 and December 2015 from the  
7 Department of Clinical Neurosciences of the Turku University Hospital (Tyks) after being  
8 admitted to the hospital for treatment of stroke. Inclusion criteria were: (1) an acute  
9 ischaemic stroke or intracerebral hemorrhage in the left or right hemisphere, (2) no prior  
10 neurological or psychiatric disease, (3) no drug or alcohol abuse, (4) no hearing deficit, (5)  
11  $\leq 80$  years of age, (6) home in the Southwest Finland, (7) Finnish-speaking, and (8)  
12 sufficient co-operation. All patients were right-handed and enrolled in a larger music  
13 listening intervention study. All subjects signed an informed consent, and received  
14 standard stroke treatment and rehabilitation. The study was approved by the Ethics  
15 Committee of the Hospital District of Southwest Finland, and it was carried out conforming  
16 to Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects underwent a behavioral assessment and an MRI  
17 within 3 weeks of the stroke onset and during the follow-up at 3 and 6 months post-stroke.  
18 Out of the 50 subjects recruited, seven dropped out. In addition, one patient could not be  
19 assessed for amusia in the acute phase. Therefore, 42 subjects with complete follow-up  
20 data were entered in the final analysis. The clinical and demographic background of the  
21 patients is presented in Table 1 and 2.

22

## 1 *2.2 Assessment of amusia*

2 The music perception of the patients was evaluated with a shortened version (Särkämö et  
3 al., 2009) of the Montreal Battery of Evaluation of Amusia (MBEA) (Peretz et al., 2003) in  
4 the acute stage (< 3 weeks post-stroke) and at the 3-month and 6-month post-stroke stage  
5 as a part of a larger neuropsychological testing battery. The stimuli were presented by  
6 using a laptop and headphones.

7 Following our previous studies (Särkämö et al., 2009; Sihvonen et al., 2016) and the  
8 established cut-off values of the original MBEA (Peretz et al., 2003), we classified patients  
9 with the MBEA Scale and Rhythm average score < 75% as amusic (amusic N = 25, non-  
10 amusic N = 17). We further subdivided the amusic patients to recovered amusics (N = 10),  
11 who were tested as non-amusic at the 6-month stage according to the initial cut-off values,  
12 and to non-recovered amusics (N = 15). To evaluate pitch and rhythm amusia separately,  
13 similar principle was also applied to the Scale and Rhythm subtest scores. Patients with  
14 Scale subtest score < 73% in the acute stage were defined as pitch-amusic [N = 20, non-  
15 pitch-amusic (pNA) N = 22]. At the 6-month stage, seven patients were classified as  
16 recovered pitch-amusics (pRA) and 13 as non-recovered pitch-amusics (pNRA). When  
17 Rhythm subtest was evaluated with cut-off score < 77%, the figures were: 10 non-rhythm-  
18 amusics (rNA), 21 non-recovered rhythm-amusics (rNRA), and 11 recovered rhythm-  
19 amusics (rRA).

20

## 21 *2.3 MRI data acquisition, processing and TBSS analysis*

22 Patients were scanned using a standard 12-channel head matrix coil on a 3T Siemens  
23 Magnetom Verio scanner at the Medical Imaging Centre of Southwest Finland. Diffusion

1 MRI scans (TR = 11700 ms, TE = 88 ms, acquisition matrix = 112 x 112, 66 axial slices,  
2 voxel size = 2.0 x 2.0 x 2.0 mm) were acquired with one non-diffusion weighted volume  
3 and 64 diffusion weighted volumes (b-values of 1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>).

4 Voxel-wise statistical analysis of the FA, MD, and RD data was carried out using TBSS  
5 (Smith et al., 2006), part of FMRIB Software Library (University of Oxford, FSL v5.0.8,  
6 [www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl](http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl); Smith et al., 2004). Diffusion data processing started by correcting  
7 eddy current distortions and head motion. Subsequently, to provide more accurate  
8 estimate of diffusion tensor orientations, the gradient matrix was rotated using FSL's `fdt`  
9 `rotate bvecs` (Leemans and Jones, 2009). Following this, brain extraction was performed  
10 using the Brain Extraction Tool (Smith, 2002). Analysis continued with the reconstruction  
11 of the diffusion tensors using the linear least-squares algorithm included in Diffusion  
12 Toolkit 0.6.2.2 (Ruopeng Wang, Van J. Wedeen, [trackvis.org/dtk](http://trackvis.org/dtk), Martinos Center for  
13 Biomedical Imaging, Massachusetts General Hospital). Finally, FA, MD and RD maps for  
14 each patient and session were calculated using the eigenvalues extracted from the  
15 diffusion tensors. All subjects' FA data were then aligned into a common space using the  
16 nonlinear registration tool FNIRT (Andersson et al., 2007a; Andersson et al., 2007b),  
17 which uses a b-spline representation of the registration warp field (Rueckert et al., 1999).

18 In order to improve the normalization, using Cost Function Masking, masks of the lesioned  
19 areas were added to this registration process. Next, the mean FA image was created and  
20 thinned to create a mean FA skeleton which represents the centers of all tracts common to  
21 the group. Each subject's aligned FA data was then projected onto this skeleton and the  
22 resulting data fed into voxel-wise cross-subject statistics. This process was repeated for  
23 the MD and RD maps by applying the transformations previously calculated with the FA  
24 maps.

## 1 2.4 Deterministic tractography

2 In the DT analysis, we included all the WM pathways connecting to the STG/MTG (AF,  
3 IFOF, ILF, UF, CC, and tapetum) and inferior and medial frontal gyri [AF, IFOF UF, frontal  
4 aslant tract (FAT)] (see Fig. 1). Dissections of individual WM tracts were performed using  
5 TrackVis (version 0.6.0.1, Build 2015.04.07) and following commonly used published  
6 guidelines (see below) for the number and positioning of the regions of interest (ROIs; see  
7 Supplementary Figure 1) in both healthy and clinical populations. In all of the WM tracts  
8 included, the individual-level ROIs were first defined in the left and right hemispheres in  
9 the 6-months images and then copied to the acute and 3-month images to avoid varying  
10 ROI sizes affecting the results. The ROIs placed in the acute and 3-month images were  
11 spatially and manually adjusted to achieve as accurate tracking as possible. Exclusion  
12 ROIs were used when necessary. All ROIs were initially defined large enough to have at  
13 least one empty ROI voxel between the tracked fibers and the edge of the ROI to ensure  
14 no relevant fibers were missed (Glasser and Rilling, 2008). All analyses were performed  
15 by one person (author A.J.S.) blinded to the patients' music perception profile. In the  
16 following paragraphs, the way in which every tract of interest was dissected is covered.

17 **The arcuate fasciculus (AF)** comprises three pathways: (i) a long direct segment  
18 connecting the temporal lobe to the frontal lobe, (ii) an anterior indirect segment  
19 connecting the frontal lobe and the inferior parietal lobule (IPL), and (iii) a posterior indirect  
20 segment connecting the temporal lobe and the IPL (Catani *et al.*, 2005). To dissect these  
21 three AF segments, we used a three-ROI approach (Catani *et al.*, 2005; Glasser and  
22 Rilling, 2008; Vaquero *et al.*, 2016; Francois *et al.*, 2016; Sierpowska *et al.*, 2017), where  
23 the first ROI was drawn on a coronal plane to capture all the fibers running in the anterior-  
24 posterior direction using a DTI FA color map, the second ROI on an axial plane near

1 temporo-parietal junction to capture fibers running to the temporal lobe, and the third ROI  
2 on a sagittal plane to capture fibers connecting to the IPL.

3 **The inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF)** connects occipital, posterior temporal,  
4 and orbitofrontal areas (Catani et al., 2002; Kier et al., 2004; Martino et al., 2010; Turken  
5 and Dronkers, 2011; Sarubbo et al., 2013). The IFOF was dissected using two ROIs  
6 defined on the coronal plane (Catani and Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008; Lopez-Barroso et  
7 al., 2013; Francois et al., 2016): the first ROI was placed between the occipital and  
8 temporal lobe and the second ROI to the anterior floor of the external/extreme capsule.

9 **The inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF)** connects the occipital cortex with the temporal  
10 pole (Catani *et al.*, 2002). The ILF was dissected with two ROIs drawn on the coronal  
11 plane: the first ROI was placed in the anterior temporal lobe and the second ROI in the  
12 WM of the occipital lobe (Catani and Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008; Francois et al., 2016).

13 **The uncinate fasciculus (UF)** connects the temporal pole and anterior MTG with the  
14 superior, middle, and inferior frontal gyri (Kier *et al.*, 2004). The UF was dissected with two  
15 ROIs in coronal plane: the first ROI was placed in the anterior floor of the external/extreme  
16 capsule and the second ROI in the anterior temporal lobe (Catani and Thiebaut de  
17 Schotten, 2008; Francois et al., 2016).

18 **The corpus callosum (CC)** connects homologous areas in left and right hemispheres. We  
19 dissected the whole CC using a single ROI defined around the CC in a midsagittal slice  
20 (Catani and Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008). The CC also connects both temporal lobes with  
21 temporal projections known as the tapetum, and to analyze the tapetum separately, ROIs  
22 in the temporal projections of CC were created in the axial plane (Huang *et al.*, 2005).

23 **The frontal aslant tract (FAT)** is a recently discovered WM tract connecting the IFG and  
24 pre-SMA and SMA regions (Catani *et al.*, 2013; Sierpowska *et al.*, 2015). The FAT was

1 dissected with two ROIs: the first ROI was placed in axial plane to pre-SMA and SMA and  
2 the second ROI in sagittal plane to IFG (Catani et al., 2013; Sierpowska et al., 2015).

3 When needed, each patients' T1 images were used as guidelines to locate the central  
4 sulcus and the precentral gyrus and sulcus to define the pre-SMA/SMA area.

5 In the acute stage, the CC, tapetum, left AF (posterior segment), left UF, and left ILF were  
6 successfully traced in all subjects. The tracing was unsuccessful in the left AF (anterior  
7 segment,  $n = 2$ ; long segment,  $n = 1$ ), left FAT ( $n = 5$ ), left IFOF ( $n = 1$ ), right AF (anterior  
8 segment,  $n = 8$ ; long segment  $n = 8$ ; posterior segment  $n = 7$ ), right FAT ( $n = 5$ ), right IFOF  
9 ( $n = 4$ ), right UF ( $n = 2$ ), and right ILF ( $n = 1$ ). Statistical characteristics of the WM tracts  
10 are presented in Supplementary Tables 1, 2, and 3.

11

## 12 *2.5 Statistical analysis*

13 We first compared the differences in TBSS results for non-amusic (NA), recovered amusic  
14 (RA), and non-recovered amusic (NRA) patients using independent samples t-test at each  
15 time point (Acute, 3 months, 6 months). At each point, we calculated six different  
16 contrasts:  $NRA > NA$ ,  $NRA > RA$ ,  $NA > NRA$ ,  $NA > RA$ ,  $RA > NRA$ ,  $RA > NA$ . In addition,  
17 12 different interactions [Group ( $NRA > NA$ ,  $NRA > RA$ ,  $NA > NRA$ ,  $NA > RA$ ,  $RA > NRA$ ,  
18  $RA > NA$ ) x Time (3 months > Acute, 6 months > Acute) were calculated to evaluate  
19 longitudinal changes. Additionally, rhythm and pitch amusia were evaluated using the  
20 same preceding contrasts but with pNRA, pRA and pNA, and rNRA, rRA and rNA groups.  
21 Unless otherwise noted, TBSS results are reported with a familywise error rate (FWE)  
22 corrected  $p < .05$  threshold using threshold-free cluster enhancement and a non-  
23 parametric (Smith and Nichols, 2009) permutation test with 5000 permutations (Nichols  
24 and Holmes, 2002).

1 To compare the differences between the previously defined groups in tractography results  
2 in amusic, pitch-amusic, and rhythm-amusic subjects, statistical information (tract volume,  
3 FA, MD and RD value) of each tract in the three different time points was gathered using a  
4 MATLAB toolbox (The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA, version R2012b), “along-tract  
5 statistics” (Colby et al., 2012). Statistical information was then further analyzed with SPSS  
6 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY:  
7 IBM Corp.). We performed second-level analysis using a mixed between-within repeated-  
8 measures ANOVA [Group (RA / NRA / NA) x Time (Acute, 3 months, 6 months)]. Similarly,  
9 pNRA, pRA, and pNA as well as rNRA, rRA, and rNA were compared to evaluate  
10 tractography results in pitch and rhythm amusia. Three covariates were used in all  
11 analyses and time points: educational years, acute lesion size, and a composite (average)  
12 score of acute stage verbal memory performance (derived from a word-list learning and  
13 Rivermead Behavioural Memory Test story recall tasks) (Särkämö et al., 2008), which  
14 were available for all patients. Given that memory deficits are among the most prevalent  
15 cognitive impairments after stroke (Nys et al., 2007) and that the MBEA has a clear  
16 working memory component, including this variable as a covariate in the analyses controls  
17 the potential impact of cognitive deficits on the results. The pNRA and rRNA groups had  
18 more right hemisphere damaged (RHD) patients in all of the analyses. According to our  
19 previous study (Sihvonen et al., 2016), the acquired amusia stems from RHD and thus it  
20 would have been counterintuitive to add lesion laterality as a covariate in the analyses.  
21 There was a significant group difference in visual neglect occurrence in the pitch amusia  
22 grouping, but importantly the pNRA and pRA groups did not show significant differences  
23 ( $\chi^2$ ,  $P = .629$ ). The coincidence of neglect and amusia is expected due their similar lesion  
24 locations (Chechlacz et al., 2012), and thus was not included as a covariate in the

1 analyses. Correction for multiple comparisons in *post hoc* analyses was made with the  
2 Bonferroni adjustment.

3 To evaluate which of the significant tractography results were the strongest predictors of  
4 MBEA performance, a stepwise linear regression analysis including only the significant  
5 tractography results was performed. Additionally, using similar principles, a linear  
6 regression analysis using the Information Criteria (AICc) as selection for variable entry and  
7 removal was carried out. Based on the regression analysis results, a Pearson correlation  
8 between the MBEA performance and the most significant predictor in all three time points  
9 was also carried out. Correction for multiple comparisons in the correlations was made  
10 with the Bonferroni adjustment (only three correlations were calculated).

11 To verify that the music intervention did not effect on amusia recovery, we calculated a  
12 mixed-model analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Time (acute / 3-month / 6-month) and  
13 Group (3 intervention arms). No significant Time x Group or between-subjects effects were  
14 found in the MBEA average score (Within-subject  $p = .825$ , Between-subject  $p = .483$ ), the  
15 MBEA Scale subtest score (Within-subject  $p = .839$ , Between-subject  $p = .764$ ), or the  
16 MBEA Rhythm subtest score (Within-subject  $p = .791$ , Between-subject  $p = .224$ ). These  
17 results suggest that the music listening intervention did not have any effect on amusia  
18 recovery and, therefore, does not impact the results of the present study.

19

## 20 **3. Results**

### 21 *3.1 Tract-based spatial statistics: amusia*

22 All TBSS results reported here are FWE-corrected with a  $p < .05$  threshold. Cross-  
23 sectional TBSS analyses of Group (NRA / RA / NA) effects (see Table 3, Fig. 2)



1 consistently showed that the NRA group had significantly lower FA in the right IFOF, AF,  
2 UF, internal capsule (IC), and CC than the NA group at the acute, 3-month, and 6-month  
3 stages. At the 3-month and 6-month stages, the NRAs additionally showed lower FA in the  
4 tapetum as well as greater MD and RD in the right IFOF, AF, UF, CC, and tapetum  
5 compared to the NAs. Importantly, although the NRAs and RAs did not differ at the acute  
6 and 3-month stages, at the 6-month stage the NRAs did show lower FA and greater MD  
7 and RD in the right IFOF, AF, and UF. No other contrasts were significant at the acute, 3-  
8 month, and 6-month stages.

9 Longitudinal TBSS analyses of Time x Group interactions (acute to 3-month / acute to 6-  
10 month; see Table 3, Fig. 2) showed that in the NRAs compared to the NAs the MD of the  
11 right IFOF, AF, UF, IC, CC, and tapetum increased more from the acute to 3-month and 6-  
12 months stages and also the RD of the right IFOF, AF, UF, and IC increased more from the  
13 acute to 3-month stage. The NRAs also showed a greater RD increase in the right IFOF,  
14 AF, UF, IC, CC, and tapetum than the NAs from the acute to 6-month stage. In addition,  
15 there was a greater MD increase in the RAs compared to the NAs in the left IFOF and CC  
16 from the acute to 3-month and 6-month stages as well as in the left AF and UF and in the  
17 CC from the acute to the 6-month stage. No other significant interactions were observed.

18

### 19 *3.2 Tract-based spatial statistics: pitch-amusia and rhythm-amusia*

20 We performed the TBSS analyses also separately for pitch-amusia (pNRA / pRA / pNA)  
21 and rhythm-amusia (rNRA / rRA / rNA; see Table 3, Fig. 2). Results reported here are all  
22 FWE-corrected with a  $p < .05$  threshold. Cross-sectionally, these analyses yielded  
23 essentially the same pattern of results as presented above: both pNRAs and rNRAs  
24 showed lower FA and greater MD and/or RD in the right IFOF, AF, UF, CC, and tapetum

1 than the pNAs and rNAs, respectively, at all stages studied. In addition, the same FA and  
2 MD/RD effects were seen also in the right ILF for both pNRAs and rNRAs compared to the  
3 pNAs and rNAs, respectively. Furthermore, compared to the pNAs, the pNRAs showed  
4 decreased FA and increased RD in the right IC at all the three time points.

5 Separate longitudinal TBSS interactions likewise showed that the MD and RD of the right  
6 IFOF, AF, and UF increased more in the pNRAs than in pNAs from acute to 3-month and  
7 6-month stages and also more in the rNRAs than in the rNAs from acute to 6-month stage.  
8 Interestingly, for the rNRAs there was an additional increase from acute to 6-month stage  
9 also in the RD of the left AF compared to the rNAs. In addition, the pNRAs showed  
10 increased MD in the right AF, IFOF, and in the CC compared to the pNA group from the  
11 acute to 3-month stage but not to 6-month stage.

12

### 13 *3.3 Deterministic tractography: amusia*

14 The results of the DT are presented in Table 4 and Fig. 3. A mixed-model ANOVA with  
15 Time (acute / 3-month / 6-month) and Group (NRA / RA / NA) revealed significant  
16 between-subjects effects in the volume of the right IFOF [ $F(2,36) = 3.881$ ,  $p = .030$ ], AF  
17 long segment [ $F(2,36) = 3.500$ ,  $p = .041$ ], and FAT [ $F(2,36) = 4.553$ ,  $p = .017$ ] and the left  
18 AF posterior segment [ $F(2,36) = 4.072$ ,  $p = .025$ ] as well as in the FA of the right IFOF  
19 [ $F(2,36) = 4.486$ ,  $p = .018$ ]. Post hoc testing showed that compared to the NRAs both the  
20 NAs and the RAs had significantly greater right IFOF volume ( $p = .002$  and  $p = .036$ ,  
21 respectively) and FA ( $p = .002$ ;  $p = .027$ ) as well as greater right AF long segment volume  
22 ( $p = .019$ ;  $p = .016$ ). The NRAs also showed lower right FAT volume ( $p = .049$ ) than the  
23 NAs. In contrast, the RAs had lower left AF posterior segment volume than the NAs ( $p =$   
24  $.017$ ).

1 In addition, there were significant Time x Group interactions in the MD [ $F(4,72) = 4.322$ ,  $p$   
2 = .003] and RD [ $F(4,72) = 3.909$ ,  $p = .006$ ] of the tapetum. From acute to the 6-month  
3 stage, the NRAs showed greater increase in the tapetum MD ( $p = .001$ ) and RD ( $p = .002$ )  
4 than the NAs. No other significant interactions were observed.

5

### 6 *3.4 Deterministic tractography: pitch-amusia*

7 As with the TBSS, also the DT analyses were performed separately for pitch-amusia (see  
8 Table 4 and Fig. 3) where significant between-subject (Group) effects were observed in  
9 the volume [ $F(2,36) = 3.597$ ,  $p = .038$ ] and FA [ $F(2,36) = 4.263$ ,  $p = .022$ ] of the right IFOF  
10 with post hoc tests revealing lower volume and FA in the pNRAs than pNAs ( $p = .001$ ;  $p <$   
11  $.001$ ). Significant Time x Group interactions were found in the MD [ $F(4,72) = 3.331$ ,  $p =$   
12  $.015$ ] and RD [ $F(4,72) = 3.308$ ,  $p = .015$ ] of the tapetum and in the MD [ $F(4,72) = 4.530$ ,  $p =$   
13  $.003$ ] and RD [ $F(4,72) = 4.446$ ,  $p = .003$ ] of the right AF anterior segment as well as in  
14 the MD [ $F(4,72) = 4.020$ ,  $p = .005$ ] and RD [ $F(4,72) = 4.013$ ,  $p = .005$ ] of the right UF. Post  
15 hoc tests indicated a greater increase from acute to 6-month in the pNRAs than pNAs for  
16 the tapetum MD ( $p = .002$ ) and RD ( $p = .002$ ) and for the right AF anterior segment MD ( $p =$   
17  $.001$ ) and RD ( $p = .001$ ). In addition, the pNRAs showed a greater increase in the right  
18 UF MD ( $p = .030$ ) and RD ( $p = .030$ ) than the pNAs and the pRAs showed a greater  
19 decrease in the right AF anterior segment MD and RD compared to the pNAs ( $p = .004$  in  
20 both). No other significant interactions were observed.

21

22

### 1 *3.5 Deterministic tractography: rhythm-amusia*

2 In rhythm-amusia, significant between-subject (Group) effects were found in the volume  
3 [F(2,36) = 4.536, p = .018] of the right IFOF (see Table 4 and Fig. 3). Post hoc tests  
4 revealed that the rNRAs had lower volume (p = .008) than the rNAs. The right IFOF  
5 volume was also lower in the rNRAs than rRAs (p = .040). Rhythm-amusia also showed  
6 additional between-subject effects in the right UF volume [F(2,36) = 3.299, p = .048] with  
7 post hoc tests indicating lower volume in the rNRAs than in the rNAs (p = .009). Additional  
8 group effects were observed in the FA [F(2,36) = 4.900, p = .013], MD [F(2,36) = 4.181, p  
9 = .023], and RD [F(2,36) = 4.327, p = .021] of the CC. Post hoc tests showed, that the  
10 rNRAs had greater CC MD (p = .026) and RD (p = .025) than the rNAs. The rRAs had  
11 lower CC FA than the rNAs (p = .010).

12 Interestingly, the longitudinal tractography results revealed a somewhat different pattern of  
13 effects for the rhythm-amusia than the pitch-amusia. In rhythm-amusia, significant Time x  
14 Group interaction was found in the RD of the left UF [F(4,72) = 2.740, p = .035]. Post hoc  
15 tests revealed that the left UF RD increased more in the rNAs than rNRAs from acute to 6-  
16 month stage (p = .014). No other significant interactions were observed.

17

### 18 *3.6 Deterministic tractography: regression analysis*

19 Given the large number of WM pathways and their parameters (volume, FA, MD, RD)  
20 implicated in amusia in the DT analyses above, we performed stepwise linear regression  
21 analyses to further determine which of these were the strongest predictors of music  
22 perception performance. Three different models (for MBEA overall score, Scale subtest  
23 score, and Rhythm subtest score) were formed for each time point (acute / 3-month / 6-

1 month) where all the tracts and their parameters that showed significant effects were  
2 entered as independent variables. For MBEA overall score, seven variables were entered:  
3 volumes of the right IFOF, AF (long segment), FAT and the left AF (posterior segment) as  
4 well as FA of the right IFOF and MD and RD of the tapetum. For MBEA Scale subtest  
5 score, eight variables were entered: volume and FA of the right IFOF as well as MD and  
6 RD of the right AF (anterior segment), UF, and tapetum. For MBEA Rhythm subtest, five  
7 variables were entered: volumes of the right IFOF and UF, FAs of the right IFOF and CC  
8 as well as the RD of the left UF.

9 As shown in Table 4, the volume of the right IFOF was the most significant predictor of the  
10 MBEA overall, Scale, and Rhythm scores across all time points. The left AF posterior  
11 segment volume and the tapetum MD/RD emerged as additional predictors of the MBEA  
12 overall score at the acute and 3-month/6-month stages, respectively. Across all time  
13 points, the Rhythm scores were predicted only by the right IFOF volume, while the Scale  
14 scores were predicted also by the tapetum RD and the right UF MD at the 6-month stage.

15 Using the same previous models, we also carried out a forward stepwise regression  
16 analysis using the Information Criterion (AICc) as selection for variable entry and removal.  
17 This analysis yielded exactly same results as the linear regression analysis presented  
18 above (Table 4).

19 We then carried out three Pearson correlations using the volume of the right IFOF (since it  
20 was the best predictor) and MBEA total, scale, and rhythm scores at 6-month stage.  
21 Correction for multiple comparisons was adjusted with Bonferroni correction ( $.05/3 =$   
22  $.0167$ ). As presented in the Fig. 4, the volume of the right IFOF was significantly correlated  
23 with the MBEA overall performance [ $r(42) = .595, p < .001$ ] as well as the individual

1 subtests [Scale  $r(42) = .580$ ,  $p < .001$  and Rhythm  $r(42) = .498$ ,  $p = .001$ ] at 6 months post-  
2 stroke stage.

3

#### 4 **4. Discussion**

5 The aim of the present study was to systematically and comprehensively explore the role  
6 of different WM pathways in acquired amusia using two complementary DTI analysis  
7 methods (TBSS and DT). These methods were chosen to (i) provide different level of  
8 evidence (voxel vs. tract level) on WM tract changes in amusia and (ii) to complement  
9 each other and overcome the methodological limitations involved in either of the methods  
10 used alone. TBSS involves automatic alignment of each patient to the template which may  
11 be suboptimal and therefore cause biased results for patients with damaged brain (Bach et  
12 al., 2014). However, the tractography dissections were done in native space which  
13 expunges the problem of automatic alignment in TBSS. Most importantly, the results from  
14 these two methods converge. Our key results were that (i) persistent amusia was  
15 associated with damage to many WM pathways primarily in the right hemisphere, (ii) the  
16 pattern of WM damage was mostly similar for pitch-amusia and rhythm-amusia, although  
17 there were some differences in certain interhemispheric tracts as well as in the laterality of  
18 specific intrahemispheric tracts, and (iii) the time course of the changes in WM indices was  
19 partly different across the tracts, although the extent of the initial damage had a strong  
20 impact on the recovery of amusia. Overall, these findings are closely in line with our  
21 previous results indicating that acquired amusia is associated with a lesion pattern  
22 comprising the right STG, Heschl's gyrus, insula, and striatum, with further reduced grey  
23 matter volume in the right STG/MTG in non-recovered amusic patients (Sihvonen et al.,  
24 2016).

#### 1 *4.1 Intrahemispheric tracts*

2 Previous neuroimaging studies suggest that congenital amusia stems from deficits in  
3 frontotemporal connectivity (Albouy et al., 2013; Leveque et al., 2016) or dysfunction of  
4 prefrontal areas, especially the IFG (Hyde et al., 2006; Hyde et al., 2007; Omigie et al.,  
5 2012; Albouy et al., 2013). The AF has been considered as the primary pathway involved  
6 in amusia (Loui et al., 2009), but as conflicting evidence exists (Chen et al., 2015) and the  
7 implication of other frontotemporal tracts remain unexplored in amusia, this statement  
8 needs to be confirmed.

9 Our novel finding was that persistent acquired amusia was linked to clear damage of the  
10 right IFOF and ILF, and that the right IFOF was in fact the strongest predictor of MBEA  
11 performance (see Table 4 and Fig. 4). These ventral tracts, originating from posterior  
12 occipital and temporal regions, run laterally and inferiorly to the anterior temporal lobe  
13 (ILF) and through posterior temporal lobe and then medially to the orbitofrontal and inferior  
14 frontal areas (IFOF). Although their exact function remains unknown, they may be involved  
15 in language processing in the left hemisphere, and in particular in the process of mapping  
16 sound to semantic meaning (Saur et al., 2008; Dick and Tremblay, 2012). In the auditory-  
17 music domain, the IFOF and ILF have thus far been linked to absolute pitch (Dohn et al.,  
18 2015), musical synesthesia (Zamm et al., 2013), and hearing loss (Husain et al., 2011).  
19 From ontogenetic and phylogenetic standpoints, the contribution of the right IFOF to music  
20 cognition is particularly interesting since in humans it is known to be present already at  
21 birth (Perani et al., 2011), but is clearly less developed in monkeys (Thiebaut de Schotten  
22 et al., 2012). Overall, our findings converge with the proposal of recent dual-stream studies  
23 on music-syntactic (Musso et al., 2015) and prosodic (Sammler et al., 2015) processing in  
24 the healthy brain showing that this takes place along both ventral (IFOF, ILF) and dorsal  
25 (AF) routes, which together transform complex acoustic feature combinations into abstract

1 representations and analyze and integrate sensorimotor information with these  
2 representations (Rauschecker and Scott, 2009). Our results suggest that normal music  
3 perception relies on this dual route especially in the right hemisphere.

4 In addition to the right IFOF, persistent amusics showed increased axonal damage (higher  
5 MD/RD) in the right AF and ILF. The differences in FA and volume were primarily present  
6 at the acute post-stroke stage whereas the MD/RD effects emerged in the follow-up,  
7 indicating that further degeneration of these pathways is linked to persistent amusia (Yu et  
8 al., 2009; Ivanova et al., 2016). Conversely, in the regression analysis of DT data, the  
9 volume of the left AF posterior segment was linked to higher MBEA overall scores at the  
10 acute stage. Previous DTI studies provide corroborating evidence for the role of the  
11 AF/SLF in music processing. The right AF is implicated in congenital amusia (Loui et al.,  
12 2009), the left AF in musicians' absolute pitch (Oechslin et al., 2010; Loui et al., 2011), and  
13 bilateral/right AF more generally in musical training (Bengtsson et al., 2005; Halwani et al.,  
14 2011).

15 We observed also amusia-related changes in the UF and in the FAT. Although the exact  
16 functions of these tracts are still incompletely understood, there is evidence for the general  
17 role of the left and right UF in social-emotional processing and for the left UF in episodic  
18 memory and language semantic processing (Dick and Tremblay, 2012; Von Der Heide et  
19 al., 2013). The left and right FAT have been associated with working memory (Rizio and  
20 Diaz, 2016) and the left FAT with speech fluency (Sierpowska et al., 2015). In the auditory  
21 domain, the UF may be involved in auditory working memory (Diehl et al., 2008),  
22 recognizing and attaching emotional significance to sounds (Schmahmann and Pandya,  
23 2006), and absolute pitch (Dohn et al., 2015). Moreover, the SMA and pre-SMA are known  
24 to be key nodes in the perception of musical rhythm or beat (Zatorre et al., 2007; Chen et  
25 al., 2008), which may partly explain why amusia was associated with lower volume of the



1 FAT connecting these regions with the IFG. Based on our results, we suggest tentatively  
2 that UF and FAT may contribute especially to the attention- and working memory-based  
3 online comparison of sequential sounds required for music perception, with additional  
4 involvement of the UF in the emotional processing of music.

5

#### 6 *4.2 Interhemispheric tracts*

7 Our analysis of the interhemispheric tracts suggests that persistent amusia is linked not  
8 only to damage in right intrahemispheric tracts, but also to reduced structural connectivity  
9 between the right and left superior temporal regions. Coupled with the fact that the  
10 amusics had more extensive lesions and cortical atrophy in the right STG/MTG than non-  
11 amusics (Sihvonen et al., 2016), these findings are consistent with recent studies showing  
12 that inhibiting the right AC with transcranial magnetic stimulation reduces connectivity  
13 between the auditory cortices (Andoh et al., 2015) and that the strength of  
14 interhemispheric callosal auditory pathways is related to better performance in an auditory  
15 speech perception task (Westerhausen et al., 2009). Musicians, compared to non-  
16 musicians, have been shown to have larger volume/FA in the anterior ( Schlaug et al.,  
17 1995; Schmithorst and Wilke, 2002; Bengtsson et al., 2005) and posterior (Bengtsson et  
18 al., 2005; Burunat et al., 2015) CC, and also exhibit more hemispherically symmetric  
19 activity patterns when listening to music (Burunat et al., 2015). In contrast, reduced lateral  
20 connectivity between the left and right AC has been reported also in congenital amusics  
21 during the memory-based processing of tone changes measured by  
22 magnetoencephalography (Albouy et al., 2015).

23

24

### 1 *4.3 Differences in pitch-amusia and rhythm-amusia*

2 Separate analyses for pitch-amusia and rhythm-amusia yielded a largely overlapping  
3 pattern of effects, although there were some notable differences, especially in the DT  
4 results. A longitudinal MD/RD increase in the right anterior AF, UF, and tapetum was  
5 observed in the pNRAs but not in the rNRAs. This is well in line with the previously  
6 observed GMV decrease in right posterior temporal areas in the pNRAs (Sihvonen et al.,  
7 2016). In the regression analysis, the MD/RD of the tapetum and right UF also emerged as  
8 significant predictors of MBEA Scale (but not Rhythm) performance at the 6-month post-  
9 stroke stage. Interestingly, compared to the pNAs the pRAs in turn showed a longitudinal  
10 MD/RD decrease in the right anterior AF, suggesting that the recovery of pitch-amusia  
11 may be linked to better preservation of this pathway connecting the IFG with IPL. This may  
12 be related to tonal working memory since the right IPL has been linked specifically to the  
13 maintenance of tonal pitch structure in working memory during pitch discrimination (Royal  
14 et al., 2016). Overall, these results converge with previous neuroimaging studies  
15 (Patterson et al., 2002; Hyde et al., 2008) and lesion studies (Liegeois-Chauvel et al.,  
16 1998; Ayotte et al., 2000) showing that right superior temporal areas are crucial for pitch  
17 and melodic processing. Our results further suggest that also the intra- and  
18 interhemispheric connectivity of superior temporal areas as well as right frontal pathways  
19 have an important role in pitch-amusia.

20 Contrary to pitch-amusia, rhythm-amusia was linked to greater increase in RD in the left  
21 AF indicating axonal damage. In DT, we also observed lower volume in the right UF as  
22 well as higher MD/RD in the CC in the rhythm-amusics but not in the pitch-amusics. The  
23 rNRAs also showed lower volume in the right IFOF than rRAs, and right IFOF volume also  
24 came out as the only significant predictor of MBEA Rhythm performance in the regression  
25 analyses across all three time points. Coupled with our previous findings of grey matter

1 atrophy in right anterior temporal areas and WM atrophy in right inferior temporal areas in  
2 the rNRA patients (Sihvonen et al., 2016), these results suggest that persistent rhythm-  
3 amusia is associated with more extensive and bilateral damage and degeneration of  
4 frontal and frontotemporal pathways than pitch-amusia. This finding is supported by the  
5 lack of any clear lateralization effects for temporal processing of music in healthy subjects  
6 (Samson et al., 2011; Alluri et al., 2012), and lesion studies (Liegeois-Chauvel et al., 1998;  
7 Ayotte et al., 2000; Schuppert et al., 2000; Rosslau et al., 2015).

8

#### 9 *4.4 Network for music perception*

10 Functional neuroimaging studies with healthy subjects have implicated bilateral temporal,  
11 frontal, parietal, and subcortical brain activations associated with music perception  
12 (Schmithorst, 2005; Brattico et al., 2011; Alluri et al., 2012). In general, spatially distributed  
13 brain regions subserving a cognitive function are connected via white matter pathways to  
14 form a neural network maximizing the processing, storage, and manipulation of information  
15 (Ross, 2010). Disruption of the network and its white matter connections can lead to a  
16 disconnection syndrome and a cognitive-behavioral deficit (Catani and Mesulam, 2008;  
17 Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2008). Based on our current results, and in contrast with the  
18 bilateral large-scale music network observed in healthy subjects, the critical connections  
19 for music perception seem to be located in the right hemisphere, as the disruption of these  
20 connections, especially the right IFOF, leads to music perception deficits. The disparity  
21 between the lesion data on amusia (right hemisphere) and the functional neuroimaging  
22 data on healthy music processing (bilateral) could arise from complexity of the stimuli. In  
23 language domain, the lateralization of prosodic emotion processing in the brain is  
24 dependent on the verbal complexity: As the complexity increases, the observed brain

1 activity shifts from being dominantly right lateralized to being bilateral (Mitchell and Ross,  
2 2008). Similarly, as music contains complex acoustic components as well as a language  
3 component, it is reasonable to expect bilateral wide-spread brain activations whilst  
4 listening to music. The effect of the observed disconnection on the whole music network  
5 and bilateral activations can only be speculated as functional neuroimaging studies  
6 utilizing naturalistic music listening in either congenital or acquired amusia have not been  
7 published. Similarly, studies on the functional processing of natural music and speech in  
8 amusia would help to shed light on the convergence of the large-scale music and  
9 language networks. In addition, as our current patient sample impeded us from carrying  
10 out analyses based on lesion laterality, future studies investigating music processing  
11 deficits specifically in the left hemisphere damaged patients would be of great interest.

12 Although the core networks processing music and language appear to be somewhat  
13 separate, there are some perceptual domains where these two networks converge. One of  
14 these interesting convergence points is affective prosody perception, the ability to perceive  
15 emotions conveyed through speech, which relies on multiple acoustic cues (e.g. pitch,  
16 intonation contours). Neuroimaging studies in healthy subjects suggest that, similar to  
17 music, affective prosody perception involves a network of right frontotemporal and bilateral  
18 frontal regions and pathways (Wildgruber et al., 2006; Frühholz et al., 2015). Interestingly,  
19 congenital amusics have been found to have subtle deficits in perceiving affective prosody  
20 (Thompson et al., 2012; Lima et al., 2016). Also in stroke patients, affective aprosodia has  
21 been linked to right hemisphere damage (Ross and Monnot, 2008; Ross, 2010; Jafari et  
22 al., 2017), but the precise neural relationship between amusia and aprosodia has never  
23 been systematically mapped; this would be an interesting and fruitful topic for future  
24 research.

25

## 1 **5. Conclusions**

2 Our longitudinal results suggest that persistent acquired amusia after stroke is associated  
3 with structural damage and later degeneration in many key frontotemporal, frontal, and  
4 interhemispheric pathways. Compared to the existing scanty evidence of tract deficiencies  
5 in amusia arising from studies on congenital amusia, this pattern of deficient connectivity is  
6 considerably more extensive. We have identified several tracts, including the IFOF, ILF,  
7 UF, FAT, CC, and tapetum, which have never before been linked to amusia. Moreover,  
8 both persistent pitch-amusia and rhythm-amusia were associated with impaired right  
9 frontotemporal (AF long segment, IFOF, and ILF) and frontal (UF) connectivity, but pitch-  
10 amusia showed additional deficits in right frontoparietal connectivity (anterior AF) and  
11 interhemispheric temporal connectivity (tapetum) whereas rhythm-amusia showed  
12 additional deficits in left frontal connectivity. Obtaining largely converging results by using  
13 two imaging methodologies, which are very different in spatial resolution (voxel-level  
14 microstructure, whole-brain macrostructure), increases the robustness of our conclusion;  
15 this is important given that individual tracking algorithms have their limitations (e.g. in  
16 yielding false positives/negatives) (Campbell and Pike, 2014; Chen et al., 2015). By  
17 studying amusic stroke patients, we provide significant new evidence to extend the current  
18 understanding of the crucial brain networks participating in music processing in general  
19 and in pitch vs. rhythm processing in particular. In addition to the neuroscience perspective  
20 of music processing, our findings are relevant in designing future music-related  
21 intervention studies on rehabilitation and in interpreting their outcome.

22 In future, further research on the roles of the musical ventral and dorsal pathways in  
23 amusia and music perception in general are needed. Moreover, the relationship between  
24 acquired aprosodia and amusia would be an interesting target of investigation. This would

1 provide information on pathways mediating pitch and contour processing in the brain in  
2 general and not only in the musical or language domain.

3

## 4 **6. Acknowledgements**

5 We express our gratitude to the staff of the Tyks Department of Clinical Neurosciences,  
6 and rehabilitation hospitals in the Hospital District of Southwest Finland for their  
7 collaboration. We thank professor Mari Tervaniemi, M.Sc. Joanna Sierpowska, M.A. Jari  
8 Lipsanen, and radiographers Ulla Anttalainen (†), Riku Luoto and Tuija Vahtera. We also  
9 thank the patients and their families for their participation.

10

## 11 **7. Funding**


12 This work was supported by Academy of Finland program (grants no. 1257077, 1277693),  
13 Tyks Research Funding (grant no. 13944), Finnish Brain Research and Rehabilitation  
14 Foundation, Finnish Brain Foundation, Ella and Georg Ehrnrooth Foundation, Signe and  
15 Ane Gyllenberg Foundation, Maire Taponen Foundation, Finnish Cultural Foundation,  
16 National Doctoral Programme of Psychology, Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation, the  
17 Formación de Profesorado Universitario program (AP2010-4170) and Generalitat de  
18 Catalunya (2014 SGR1413).

19

## 1 8. Figure captions

2 Fig. 1 A visualization and schematic representation of the white matter pathways  
3 included in the tractography.

4 IFG = Inferior frontal gyrus, MFG = Middle frontal gyrus, MTG = Middle temporal gyrus,  
5 STG = superior temporal gyrus.



6

1 Fig. 2 TBSS results for persistent acquired amusia.

2 Lower FA and increased MD and RD values are presented for persistent amusia, pitch-

3 amusia, and rhythm-amusia cross-sectionally in all three time points and Group (NRA vs.

4 NA / pNRA vs. pNA / rNRA vs. rNA) x Time (6 months > Acute) interaction. A guide map of

5 appropriate WM tracts is presented at the bottom (<http://www.natbrainlab.co.uk/atlas->

6 maps). N = 42. Neurological convention is used with MNI coordinates at the middle each

7 section. All statistical maps are thresholded at a FWE-corrected  $p < .05$  threshold. FA =


8 Fractional anisotropy, MD = Mean diffusivity, RD = Radial diffusivity.




**AMUSIA - OVERALL**

**PITCH-AMUSIA**

**RHYTHM-AMUSIA**



FA MD RD MD + RD






1 Fig. 3 Main tractography results.

2 Group x Time (Acute, 3 months, 6 months) repeated measured results. Significant Group  
3 effect (black bar), significant Group x Time interaction (gray bar). Error-bar = standard  
4 error of the mean. AF = Arcuate fasciculus, FA = Fractional anisotropy, IFOF = Inferior  
5 fronto-occipital fasciculus, MD = Mean diffusivity, RD = Radial diffusivity, VOL = Volume.

6 \*p < .05 \*\*p < .01



- 1 Fig. 4 Scatter plots indicating the relationships between the right IFOF volume and
- 2 the MBEA performance at 6-month post-stroke stage.
- 3 Two-tailed tests were used to determine the significance. IFOF = Inferior fronto-occipital
- 4 fasciculus, MBEA = Montreal Battery of Evaluation of Amusia, P = Probability value, R =
- 5 Pearson correlation.



1

2

1 **9. Tables**2 **Table 1** Demographic and musical background of the patients (n = 42).

Patient ID	Group Amusia	Group Pitch amusia	Group Rhythm amusia	Gender	Age	Education (years)	Formal music training <sup>a</sup>	Other music training <sup>a</sup>	Active music listening <sup>b</sup>	Passive music listening <sup>b</sup>	Musical reward <sup>c</sup>	
3	NA	pNA	rNA	f	59	15	0	0	1	7	66	
5	NA	pNA	rNA	m	57	13	0	2	5	7	87	
9	NA	pNA	rNA	f	44	17	0	0	5	7	75	
10	NA	pNA	rRA	m	42	17	0	5	3	6	64	
11	NA	pNA	rNA	f	57	14	0	5	1	7	59	
13	NA	pNA	rNA	f	62	15,5	5	2	7	7	92	
14	NA	pNA	rNA	m	27	13	0	0	6	7	60	
15	NA	pNA	rRA	f	65	23	0	0	6	7	81	
17	NA	pNA	rNRA	f	70	14	2	5	5	3	67	
18	NA	pNA	rRA	m	56	24	0	3	7	1	77	
19	NA	pNA	rNA	f	25	17	0	0	7	7	88	
20	NA	pNA	rNRA	m	77	12	5	5	6	7	91	
21	NA	pNA	rNA	m	56	17,5	0	3	6	7	87	
4	NA	pNA	rNA	m	55	13	0	0	2	7	79	
6	NA	pNA	rNRA	f	73	20	0	0	-	-	-	
7	NA	pNA	rNRA	m	47	12	0	5	3	6	54	
8	NA	pNA	rRA	f	70	10	0	0	7	7	48	
12	RA	pRA	rNRA	m	76	8	0	5	4	4	86,96	
16	RA	pRA	rNRA	f	76	22	0	0	3	3	58	
25	RA	pNA	rRA	f	24	16	2	2	6	7	76	
27	RA	pRA	rNRA	m	63	12	-	-	7	7	90	
35	RA	pNA	rNRA	m	57	10	0	0	5	7	82	
42	RA	pNA	rRA	m	54	17	4	5	6	7	82	
24	RA	pRA	rRA	m	60	13	0	5	3	7	90	
31	RA	pNA	rRA	m	53	11	0	0	7	5	76	
32	RA	pNRA	rNRA	m	71	12	0	0	4	5	69	
38	RA	pRA	rRA	m	46	11	0	0	1	7	68	
1	NRA	pNA	rNRA	f	39	13	0	0	6	7	93	
2	NRA	pNRA	rNA	m	53	15	0	0	5	7	76	
22	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	m	72	11	0	0	3	4	70	
23	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	m	66	8	0	0	6	7	77	
26	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	m	52	14	0	3	7	7	94	
28	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	m	31	16	0	0	3	7	58	
29	NRA	pNRA	rRA	f	77	9	0	0	3	7	82	
30	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	m	48	17	0	5	7	7	90	
33	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	f	54	11	0	0	1	2	70	
34	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	f	73	7	0	0	7	6	89	
36	NRA	pRA	rRA	f	71	13,5	0	0	1	7	69	
37	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	m	39	11	0	0	2	7	80	
39	NRA	pRA	rNRA	f	62	11	0	0	5	7	82	
40	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	m	47	16	0	0	4	7	77	
41	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	f	69	7	0	0	7	7	84	
<b>Amusia overall</b>					.231 ( $\chi^2$ )	.909 (K)	.026 (K)	.197 (K)	.075 (K)	.908 (K)	.552 (K)	.449 (K)
<b>Pitch amusia</b>					.540 ( $\chi^2$ )	.167 (K)	.042 (K)	.092 (K)	.164 (K)	.216 (K)	.882 (K)	.847 (K)
<b>Rhythm amusia</b>					.805 ( $\chi^2$ )	.193 (K)	.074 (K)	.823 (K)	.788 (K)	.966 (K)	.075 (K9)	.542 (K)

$\chi^2$  = chi-square test, K = Kruskal-Wallis test, NA = Non-amusic, NRA = Non-recovered amusic, pNA = Non-pitch-amusic, pNRA = Non-recovered pitch-amusic, pRA = recovered pitch-amusic, RA = recovered amusic, rNA = Non-rhythm-amusic, rNRA = Non-recovered rhythm-amusic, rRA = recovered rhythm-amusic.

<sup>a</sup>Numbers denote values on a Likert scale where 0 = no, 1 = less than 1 year, 2 = 1–3 years, 3 = 4–6 years, 4 = 7–10 years, and 5 = more than 10 years of training/playing.

<sup>b</sup>Numbers denote values on a Likert scale with a range 0 (does never) to 7 (does daily).

<sup>c</sup>Classification based on Barcelona Music Reward Questionnaire to reflect pre-stroke musical reward.

1 Table 2 Clinical characteristics of the patients (n = 42).

Patient ID	Group Amusia	Group Pitch amusia	Group Rhythm amusia	Aphasia <sup>a</sup>	MBEA total score %	MBEA Scale score %	MBEA Rhythm score %	Visual neglect <sup>b</sup>	Lesion laterality	Lesion volume in cm <sup>3</sup>	
3	NA	pNA	rNA	no	85	83,33	86,67	no	left	35,679	
5	NA	pNA	rNA	yes	83,33	86,67	80	no	left	35,798	
9	NA	pNA	rNA	no	90	96,67	83,33	no	left	23,026	
10	NA	pNA	rRA	yes	86,67	100	73,33	no	left	4,372	
11	NA	pNA	rNA	no	85	83,33	86,67	no	left	1,461	
13	NA	pNA	rNA	no	88,33	93,33	83,33	no	left	25,322	
14	NA	pNA	rNA	yes	80	80	80	no	left	10,908	
15	NA	pNA	rRA	yes	80	93,33	66,67	no	left	8,352	
17	NA	pNA	rNRA	yes	75	83,33	66,67	no	left	3,037	
18	NA	pNA	rRA	yes	81,67	90	73,33	no	left	178,46	
19	NA	pNA	rNA	yes	91,67	100	83,33	no	left	88,863	
20	NA	pNA	rNRA	yes	81,67	90	73,33	yes	left	10,869	
21	NA	pNA	rNA	no	88,34	93,33	83,33	no	left	8,446	
4	NA	pNA	rNA	no	80	80	80	yes	right	64,26	
6	NA	pNA	rNRA	yes	78,33	86,67	70	no	right	31,622	
7	NA	pNA	rNRA	no	86,67	96,67	76,67	no	right	45,296	
8	NA	pNA	rRA	no	81,67	86,67	76,67	no	right	111,326	
12	RA	pRA	rNRA	yes	63,33	56,67	70	no	left	17,004	
16	RA	pRA	rNRA	yes	63,33	60	66,67	no	left	23,023	
25	RA	pNA	rRA	yes	71,67	86,67	56,67	no	left	12,809	
27	RA	pRA	rNRA	yes	63,33	66,67	60	no	left	82,795	
35	RA	pNA	rNRA	yes	65	90	40	no	left	72,474	
42	RA	pNA	rRA	yes	70	83,33	56,67	no	left	2,237	
24	RA	pRA	rRA	no	68,34	60	76,67	yes	right	54,155	
31	RA	pNA	rRA	yes	66,67	90	43,33	no	right	37,439	
32	RA	pNRA	rNRA	yes	48,34	50	46,67	yes	right	71,72	
38	RA	pRA	rRA	yes	55	53,33	56,67	yes	right	152,299	
1	NRA	pNA	rNRA	yes	73,33	80	66,67	no	left	5,204	
2	NRA	pNRA	rNA	yes	63,33	46,67	80	no	left	9,614	
22	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	yes	51,67	46,67	56,67	-	right	150,559	
23	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	no	61,67	66,67	56,67	no	right	61,313	
26	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	yes	51,67	50	53,33	-	right	124,245	
28	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	no	56,67	56,67	56,67	no	right	40,226	
29	NRA	pNRA	rRA	no	56,67	50	63,33	no	right	11,752	
30	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	no	50	50	50	yes	right	119,092	
33	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	no	48,33	53,33	43,33	no	right	117,768	
34	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	yes	55	56,67	53,33	yes	right	20,012	
36	NRA	pRA	rRA	no	71,67	70	73,33	no	right	32,609	
37	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	no	51,67	43,33	60	yes	right	187,292	
39	NRA	pRA	rNRA	yes	46,67	46,67	46,67	yes	right	99,181	
40	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	yes	46,67	46,67	46,67	yes	right	102,966	
41	NRA	pNRA	rNRA	yes	46,67	46,67	46,67	yes	right	24,139	
				<b>Amusia overall</b>	.111 ( $\chi^2$ )	.000 (K)	.000 (K)	.000 (K)	.110 ( $\chi^2$ )	.001 ( $\chi^2$ )	.166 (K)
				<b>Pitch amusia</b>	.721 ( $\chi^2$ )	.000 (K)	.000 (K)	.000 (K)	.014 ( $\chi^2$ )	.000 ( $\chi^2$ )	.031 (K)
				<b>Rhythm amusia</b>	.240 ( $\chi^2$ )	.000 (K)	.000 (K)	.000 (K)	.132 ( $\chi^2$ )	.012 ( $\chi^2$ )	.179 (K)

$\chi^2$  = chi-square test, K = Kruskal-Wallis test, MBEA = Montreal Battery of Evaluation of Amusia, NA = Non-amusic, NRA = Non-recovered amusic, pNA = Non-pitch-amusic, pNRA = Non-recovered pitch-amusic, pRA = recovered pitch-amusic, RA = recovered amusic, rNA = Non-rhythm-amusic, rNRA = Non-recovered rhythm-amusic, rRA = recovered rhythm-amusic.

<sup>a</sup>Classification based on the Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination - Aphasia Severity Rating Scale.

<sup>b</sup>Classification based on the Lateralized Inattention Index of the Balloons Test.

1 Table 3 TBSS results of cross-sectional analyses for persistent amusia, pitch-amusia,  
2 and rhythm amusia.

AMUSIA									
Tract	FA			MD			RD		
	A	3	6	A	3	6	A	3	6
R IFOF	↓	↓	↓/-		↑	↑/+		↑	↑/+
R UF	↓	↓	↓/-		↑	↑/+		↑	↑/+
R AF	↓	↓	↓/-		↑	↑/+		↑	↑/+
R IC	↓	↓	↓						↑
CC	↓	↓	↓					↑	
Tapetum		↓	↓			↑		↑	↑

PITCH-AMUSIA									
Tract	FA			MD			RD		
	A	3	6	A	3	6	A	3	6
R IFOF	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
R UF	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
R ILF	↓	↓	↓		↑			↑	↑
R AF	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
R IC	↓	↓	↓					↑	↑
CC	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
Tapetum		↓	↓						

RHYTHM-AMUSIA									
Tract	FA			MD			RD		
	A	3	6	A	3	6	A	3	6
R IFOF	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
R UF	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
R ILF	↓	↓	↓			↑			↑
R AF	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
R IC	↓	↓	↓						
L AF									↑
CC	↓	↓	↓		↑	↑		↑	↑
Tapetum						↑			↑

Non-recovered amusics vs. non-amusics (arrow up or down), and non-recovered amusics vs. recovered amusics (+ or -).

Arrow up or + indicates greater value and arrow down or - a lower value for the contrast in question.

All results are thresholded at a FWE-corrected  $p < 0.05$  threshold. 3 = 3 months stage, 6 = 6 months stage, A = Acute,

AF = Arcuate fasciculus, CC = Corpus callosum, FA = Fractional anisotropy, IC = Internal capsule,

IFOF = Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, ILF = Inferior longitudinal fasciculus, L = Left, MD = Mean diffusivity,

R = Right, RD = Radial diffusivity, UF = Uncinate fasciculus.

3

4



## 1 Table 4 Significant group and group x time interactions of tractography analyses.

GROUP EFFECTS						GROUP X TIME INTERACTIONS					
Tract	Variable	df	F	P	$\eta^2$	Tract	Variable	df	F	P	$\eta^2$
<b>AMUSIA</b>						<b>AMUSIA</b>					
R IFOF	VOL	2, 36	3.9	.030	.177	Tapetum	MD	4, 72	4.3	.003	.194
	FA	2, 36	4.5	.018	.200		RD	4, 72	3.9	.006	.178
R FAT	VOL	2, 36	4.6	.017	.202						
R AF Long seg.	VOL	2, 36	3.5	.041	.163						
L AF Post. Seg.	VOL	2, 36	4.1	.025	.184						
<b>PITCH-AMUSIA</b>						<b>PITCH-AMUSIA</b>					
R IFOF	VOL	2, 36	3.6	.038	.167	R AF Ant. seg.	MD	4, 72	4.5	.003	.201
	FA	2, 36	4.3	.022	.191		RD	4, 72	4.4	.003	.198
						R UF	MD	4, 72	4.0	.005	.183
							RD	4, 72	4.0	.005	.182
						Tapetum	MD	4, 72	3.3	.015	.156
							RD	4, 72	3.3	.015	.155
<b>RHYTHM-AMUSIA</b>						<b>RHYTHM-AMUSIA</b>					
R IFOF	VOL	2, 36	4.5	.018	.201	L UF	RD	4, 72	2.7	.035	.132
R UF	VOL	2, 36	3.3	.048	.155						
CC	FA	2, 36	4.1	.025	.185						
	MD	2, 36	4.9	.013	.214						
	RD	2, 36	4.2	.023	.188						

Statistical information presented: df = degrees of freedom, F = f value, P = p-value,  $\eta^2$  = partial eta squared.

AF = Arcuate fasciculus, CC = Corpus callosum, FA = Fractional anisotropy, FAT = Frontal Aslant Tract, IFOF = Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, L = Left, MD = Mean diffusivity, R = Right, RD = Radial diffusivity, UF = Uncinate fasciculus, VOL = Volume.

2

3

1 Table 4 Regression analysis of the tractography results.

ACUTE							
MBEA % - overall							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 14.162	.261	.261
	R IFOF volume	215.327	.511	3.763**			
2					F <sub>(1,39)</sub> = 4.163	.333	.071
	R IFOF volume	213.391	.484	3.684**			
	L AF post. volume		.268	2.040*			
MBEA % - Scale subtest							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 11.259	.220	.220
	R IFOF volume	240.185	.469	3.355**			
MBEA % - Rhythm subtest							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 9.186	.187	.187
	R IFOF volume	214.879	.432	3.031**			
3 MONTHS							
MBEA % - overall							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 24.905	.384	.384
	R IFOF volume	206.377	.619	4.990**			
2					F <sub>(1,39)</sub> = 15.677	.446	.062
	R IFOF volume	204.251	.535	4.248**			
	Tapetum RD		-.263	-2.088*			
MBEA % - Scale subtest							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 17.396	.303	.303
	R IFOF volume	228.594	.551	4.171**			
MBEA % - Rhythm subtest							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 24.593	.381	.381
	R IFOF volume	204.520	.617	4.959**			
6 MONTHS							
MBEA % - overall							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 21.874	.354	.354
	R IFOF volume	211.189	.595	4.677**			
2					F <sub>(1,39)</sub> = 14.640	.429	.075
	R IFOF volume	208.312	.479	3.642**			
	Tapetum MD		-0.298	-2.267*			
MBEA % - Scale subtest							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 20.268	.336	.336
	R IFOF volume	228.035	.580	4.502**			
2					F <sub>(1,39)</sub> = 14.791	.431	.095
	R IFOF volume	223.868	.453	3.464**			
	Tapetum RD		-.334	-2.553*			
3					F <sub>(1,38)</sub> = 12.777	.502	.071
	R IFOF volume	220.729	.521	4.095**			
	Tapetum RD		-.397	-3.128**			
	R UF MD		-.288	-2.325*			
MBEA % - Rhythm subtest							
Model	Variable	AICc	Beta	T	F(df)	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>2</sup> change
1					F <sub>(1,40)</sub> = 13.189	.248	.248
	R IFOF volume	215.786	.498	3.632**			

Statistical information presented: AICc = Akaike information criterion (corrected), Beta = standardized regression coefficient, T = t value, F(df) = F value (degrees of freedom), R<sup>2</sup> = R Square, R<sup>2</sup> change = R Square change. AF = Arcuate fasciculus, IFOF = Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, L = Left, MD = Mean diffusivity, MBEA = Montreal Battery of Evaluation of Amusia, R = Right, RD = Radial diffusivity, UF = Uncinate fasciculus.

2

3 **10. References**

4 Abdul-Kareem IA, Stancak A, Parkes LM, Al-Ameen M, Alghamdi J, Aldhafeeri FM,  
5 Embleton K, Morris D, and Sluming V. Plasticity of the superior and middle cerebellar

- 1 peduncles in musicians revealed by quantitative analysis of volume and number of  
2 streamlines based on diffusion tensor tractography. *Cerebellum*, 10 (3):611-623, 2011.
- 3 Albouy P, Mattout J, Bouet R, Maby E, Sanchez G, Aguera PE, Daligault S, Delpuech C,  
4 Bertrand O, Caclin A, and Tillmann B. Impaired pitch perception and memory in congenital  
5 amusia: the deficit starts in the auditory cortex. *Brain*, 136 (Pt 5):1639-1661, 2013.
- 6 Albouy P, Mattout J, Sanchez G, Tillmann B, and Caclin A. Altered retrieval of melodic  
7 information in congenital amusia: insights from dynamic causal modeling of MEG data.  
8 *Front.Hum.Neurosci.*, 9:20, 2015.
- 9 Alexander AL, Hurley SA, Samsonov AA, Adluru N, Hosseinbor AP, Mossahebi P, Tromp  
10 do PM, Zakszewski E, and Field AS. Characterization of cerebral white matter properties  
11 using quantitative magnetic resonance imaging stains. *Brain Connect.*, 1 (6):423-446,  
12 2011.
- 13 Alluri V, Toiviainen P, Jääskeläinen IP, Glerean E, Sams M, and Brattico E. Large-scale  
14 brain networks emerge from dynamic processing of musical timbre, key and rhythm.  
15 *Neuroimage*, 59 (4):3677-3689, 2012.
- 16 Andersson JLR, Jenkinson M, and Smith S. Non-linear optimisation. FMRIB Technical  
17 Report TR07JA1, 2007a.
- 18 Andersson JLR, Jenkinson M, and Smith S. Non-linear registration aka Spatial  
19 normalisation. FMRIB Technical Report TR07JA2, 2007b.
- 20 Andoh J, Matsushita R, and Zatorre RJ. Asymmetric Interhemispheric Transfer in the  
21 Auditory Network: Evidence from TMS, Resting-State fMRI, and Diffusion Imaging.  
22 *J.Neurosci.*, 35 (43):14602-14611, 2015.
- 23 Ayotte J, Peretz I, Rousseau I, Bard C, and Bojanowski M. Patterns of music agnosia  
24 associated with middle cerebral artery infarcts. *Brain*, 123 (9):1926-1938, 2000.
- 25 Bach M, Laun FB, Leemans A, Tax CM, Biessels GJ, Stieltjes B, and Maier-Hein KH.  
26 Methodological considerations on tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS). *Neuroimage*,  
27 100:358-369, 2014.
- 28 Basser PJ, Pajevic S, Pierpaoli C, Duda J, and Aldroubi A. In vivo fiber tractography using  
29 DT-MRI data. *Magn.Reson.Med.*, 44 (4):625-632, 2000.
- 30 Bengtsson SL, Nagy Z, Skare S, Forsman L, Forssberg H, and Ullén F. Extensive piano  
31 practicing has regionally specific effects on white matter development. *Nat.Neurosci.*, 8  
32 (9):1148-1150, 2005.
- 33 Brattico E, Alluri V, Bogert B, Jacobsen T, Vartiainen N, Nieminen S, and Tervaniemi M. A  
34 Functional MRI Study of Happy and Sad Emotions in Music with and without Lyrics.  
35 *Front.Psychol.*, 2:308, 2011.

- 1 Burunat I, Brattico E, Puoliväli T, Ristaniemi T, Sams M, and Toiviainen P. Action in  
2 Perception: Prominent Visuo-Motor Functional Symmetry in Musicians during Music  
3 Listening. *PLoS One*, 10 (9):e0138238, 2015.
- 4 Campbell JS and Pike GB. Potential and limitations of diffusion MRI tractography for the  
5 study of language. *Brain Lang.*, 131:65-73, 2014.
- 6 Catani M, Howard RJ, Pajevic S, and Jones DK. Virtual in vivo interactive dissection of  
7 white matter fasciculi in the human brain. *Neuroimage*, 17 (1):77-94, 2002.
- 8 Catani M, Jones DK, and ffytche DH. Perisylvian language networks of the human brain.  
9 *Ann.Neurol.*, 57 (1):8-16, 2005.
- 10 Catani M and Mesulam M. The arcuate fasciculus and the disconnection theme in  
11 language and aphasia: history and current state. *Cortex*, 44 (8):953-961, 2008.
- 12 Catani M and Thiebaut de Schotten M. A diffusion tensor imaging tractography atlas for  
13 virtual in vivo dissections. *Cortex*, 44 (8):1105-1132, 2008.
- 14 Catani M, Mesulam MM, Jakobsen E, Malik F, Martersteck A, Wieneke C, Thompson CK,  
15 Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Weintraub S, and Rogalski E. A novel frontal  
16 pathway underlies verbal fluency in primary progressive aphasia. *Brain*, 136 (Pt 8):2619-  
17 2628, 2013.
- 18 Chechlacz M, Rotshtein P, and Humphreys GW. Neuroanatomical Dissections of  
19 Unilateral Visual Neglect Symptoms: ALE Meta-Analysis of Lesion-Symptom Mapping.  
20 *Front Hum Neurosci*, 6 (230), 2012.
- 21 Chen JL, Penhune VB, and Zatorre RJ. Listening to musical rhythms recruits motor  
22 regions of the brain. *Cereb.Cortex*, 18 (12):2844-2854, 2008.
- 23 Chen JL, Kumar S, Williamson VJ, Scholz J, Griffiths TD, and Stewart L. Detection of the  
24 arcuate fasciculus in congenital amusia depends on the tractography algorithm.  
25 *Front.Psychol.*, 6:9, 2015.
- 26 Colby JB, Soderberg L, Lebel C, Dinov ID, Thompson PM, and Sowell ER. Along-tract  
27 statistics allow for enhanced tractography analysis. *Neuroimage*, 59 (4):3227-3242, 2012.
- 28 Conturo TE, Lori NF, Cull TS, Akbudak E, Snyder AZ, Shimony JS, McKinstry RC, Burton  
29 H, and Raichle ME. Tracking neuronal fiber pathways in the living human brain.  
30 *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.*, 96 (18):10422-10427, 1999.
- 31 Dick AS and Tremblay P. Beyond the arcuate fasciculus: consensus and controversy in  
32 the connectonal anatomy of language. *Brain*, 135 (Pt 12):3529-3550, 2012.
- 33 Diehl B, Busch RM, Duncan JS, Piao Z, Tkach J, and Luders HO. Abnormalities in  
34 diffusion tensor imaging of the uncinate fasciculus relate to reduced memory in temporal  
35 lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 49 (8):1409-1418, 2008.

- 1 Dohn A, Garza-Villarreal EA, Chakravarty MM, Hansen M, Lerch JP, and Vuust P. Gray-  
2 and white-matter anatomy of absolute pitch possessors. *Cereb.Cortex*, 25 (5):1379-1388,  
3 2015.
- 4 Francois C, Ripolles P, Bosch L, Garcia-Alix A, Muchart J, Sierpowska J, Fons C, Sole J,  
5 Rebollo M, Gaitan H, and Rodriguez-Fornells A. Language learning and brain  
6 reorganization in a 3.5-year-old child with left perinatal stroke revealed using structural and  
7 functional connectivity. *Cortex*, 77:95-118, 2016.
- 8 Frühholz S, Gschwind M, and Grandjean D. Bilateral dorsal and ventral fiber pathways for  
9 the processing of affective prosody identified by probabilistic fiber tracking. *Neuroimage*,  
10 109:27-34, 2015.
- 11 Glasser MF and Rilling JK. DTI tractography of the human brain's language pathways.  
12 *Cereb.Cortex*, 18 (11):2471-2482, 2008.
- 13 Halwani GF, Loui P, Ruber T, and Schlaug G. Effects of practice and experience on the  
14 arcuate fasciculus: comparing singers, instrumentalists, and non-musicians.  
15 *Front.Psychol.*, 2:156, 2011.
- 16 Han Y, Yang H, Lv YT, Zhu CZ, He Y, Tang HH, Gong QY, Luo YJ, Zang YF, and Dong Q.  
17 Gray matter density and white matter integrity in pianists' brain: a combined structural and  
18 diffusion tensor MRI study. *Neurosci.Lett.*, 459 (1):3-6, 2009.
- 19 Harsan LA, Poulet P, Guignard B, Steibel J, Parizel N, de Sousa PL, Boehm N, Grucker D,  
20 and Ghandour MS. Brain dysmyelination and recovery assessment by noninvasive in vivo  
21 diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging. *J.Neurosci.Res.*, 83 (3):392-402, 2006.
- 22 Henry M and McAuley J. On the Prevalence of Congenital Amusia. *Music Perception*, 27  
23 (5):413-418, 2010.
- 24 Huang H, Zhang J, Jiang H, Wakana S, Poetscher L, Miller MI, van Zijl PC, Hillis AE, Wytik  
25 R, and Mori S. DTI tractography based parcellation of white matter: application to the mid-  
26 sagittal morphology of corpus callosum. *Neuroimage*, 26 (1):195-205, 2005.
- 27 Husain FT, Medina RE, Davis CW, Szymko-Bennett Y, Simonyan K, Pajor NM, and  
28 Horwitz B. Neuroanatomical changes due to hearing loss and chronic tinnitus: a combined  
29 VBM and DTI study. *Brain Res.*, 1369:74-88, 2011.
- 30 Hyde KL, Zatorre RJ, Griffiths TD, Lerch JP, and Peretz I. Morphometry of the amusic  
31 brain: a two-site study. *Brain*, 129 (10):2562-2570, 2006.
- 32 Hyde KL, Lerch JP, Zatorre RJ, Griffiths TD, Evans AC, and Peretz I. Cortical Thickness in  
33 Congenital Amusia: When Less Is Better Than More. *J Neurosci*, 27 (47):13028-13032,  
34 2007.
- 35 Hyde KL, Peretz I, and Zatorre RJ. Evidence for the role of the right auditory cortex in fine  
36 pitch resolution. *Neuropsychologia*, 46 (2):632-639, 2008.

- 1 Hyde KL, Zatorre RJ, and Peretz I. Functional MRI evidence of an abnormal neural  
2 network for pitch processing in congenital amusia. *Cereb.Cortex*, 21 (2):292-299, 2011.
- 3 Ivanova MV, Isaev DY, Dragoy OV, Akinina YS, Petrushevskiy AG, Fedina ON, Shklovsky  
4 VM, and Dronkers NF. Diffusion-tensor imaging of major white matter tracts and their role  
5 in language processing in aphasia. *Cortex*, 85:165-181, 2016.
- 6 Jafari Z, Esmaili M, Delbari A, Mehrpour M, and Mohajerani MH. Post-stroke acquired  
7 amusia: A comparison between right- and left-brain hemispheric damages.  
8 *NeuroRehabilitation*, 40 (2):233-241, 2017.
- 9 Kalmus H and Fry DB. On tune deafness (dysmelodia): frequency, development, genetics  
10 and musical background. *Ann.Hum.Genet.*, 43 (4):369-382, 1980.
- 11 Kier EL, Staib LH, Davis LM, and Bronen RA. MR imaging of the temporal stem: anatomic  
12 dissection tractography of the uncinate fasciculus, inferior occipitofrontal fasciculus, and  
13 Meyer's loop of the optic radiation. *AJNR Am.J.Neuroradiol.*, 25 (5):677-691, 2004.
- 14 Koelsch S. Brain correlates of music-evoked emotions. *Nat.Rev.Neurosci.*, 15 (3):170-180,  
15 2014.
- 16 Kummerer D, Hartwigsen G, Kellmeyer P, Glauche V, Mader I, Kloppel S, Suchan J,  
17 Karnath HO, Weiller C, and Saur D. Damage to ventral and dorsal language pathways in  
18 acute aphasia. *Brain*, 136 (Pt 2):619-629, 2013.
- 19 Leemans A and Jones DK. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject  
20 motion in DTI data. *Magn.Reson.Med.*, 61 (6):1336-1349, 2009.
- 21 Leveque Y, Fauvel B, Groussard M, Caclin A, Albouy P, Platel H, and Tillmann B. Altered  
22 intrinsic connectivity of the auditory cortex in congenital amusia. *J.Neurophysiol.*, 116  
23 (1):88-97, 2016.
- 24 Liegeois-Chauvel C, Peretz I, Babai M, Laguitton V, and Chauvel P. Contribution of  
25 different cortical areas in the temporal lobes to music processing. *Brain*, 121 (Pt 10) (Pt  
26 10):1853-1867, 1998.
- 27 Lima CF, Brancatisano O, Fancourt A, Müllensiefen D, Scott SK, Warren JD, and Stewart  
28 L. Impaired socio-emotional processing in a developmental music disorder. *Sci.Rep.*,  
29 6:34911, 2016.
- 30 Lopez-Barroso D, Catani M, Ripolles P, Dell'Acqua F, Rodriguez-Fornells A, and de Diego-  
31 Balaguer R. Word learning is mediated by the left arcuate fasciculus.  
32 *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.*, 110 (32):13168-13173, 2013.
- 33 Loui P, Guenther FH, Mathys C, and Schlaug G. Action-perception mismatch in tone-  
34 deafness. *Curr.Biol.*, 18 (8):R331-2, 2008.
- 35 Loui P, Alsop D, and Schlaug G. Tone deafness: a new disconnection syndrome?.  
36 *J.Neurosci.*, 29 (33):10215-10220, 2009.

- 1 Loui P, Li HC, Hohmann A, and Schlaug G. Enhanced cortical connectivity in absolute  
2 pitch musicians: a model for local hyperconnectivity. *J.Cogn.Neurosci.*, 23 (4):1015-1026,  
3 2011.
- 4 Loui P. A Dual-Stream Neuroanatomy of Singing. *Music.Percept.*, 32 (3):232-241, 2015.
- 5 Marin MM, Gingras B, and Stewart L. Perception of musical timbre in congenital amusia:  
6 categorization, discrimination and short-term memory. *Neuropsychologia*, 50 (3):367-378,  
7 2012.
- 8 Martino J, Brogna C, Robles SG, Vergani F, and Duffau H. Anatomic dissection of the  
9 inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus revisited in the lights of brain stimulation data. *Cortex*, 46  
10 (5):691-699, 2010.
- 11 Mitchell RL and Ross ED. fMRI evidence for the effect of verbal complexity on  
12 lateralisation of the neural response associated with decoding prosodic emotion.  
13 *Neuropsychologia*, 46 (12):2880-2887, 2008.
- 14 Musso M, Weiller C, Horn A, Glauche V, Umarova R, Hennig J, Schneider A, and Rijntjes  
15 M. A single dual-stream framework for syntactic computations in music and language.  
16 *Neuroimage*, 117:267-283, 2015.
- 17 Nichols TE and Holmes AP. Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging:  
18 a primer with examples. *Hum.Brain Mapp.*, 15 (1):1-25, 2002.
- 19 Nys GM, van Zandvoort MJ, de Kort PL, Jansen BP, de Haan EH, and Kappelle LJ.  
20 Cognitive disorders in acute stroke: prevalence and clinical determinants.  
21 *Cerebrovasc.Dis.*, 23 (5-6):408-416, 2007.
- 22 Oechslin MS, Imfeld A, Loenneker T, Meyer M, and Jancke L. The plasticity of the superior  
23 longitudinal fasciculus as a function of musical expertise: a diffusion tensor imaging study.  
24 *Front.Hum.Neurosci.*, 3:76, 2010.
- 25 Omigie D, Müllensiefen D, and Stewart L. The Experience of Music in Congenital Amusia.  
26 *Music Percept.*, 30 (1):1-18, 2012.
- 27 Patterson RD, Uppenkamp S, Johnsrude IS, and Griffiths TD. The processing of temporal  
28 pitch and melody information in auditory cortex. *Neuron*, 36 (4):767-776, 2002.
- 29 Perani D, Saccuman MC, Scifo P, Anwander A, Spada D, Baldoli C, Poloniato A, Lohmann  
30 G, and Friederici AD. Neural language networks at birth. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.*, 108  
31 (38):16056-16061, 2011.
- 32 Peretz I, Champod AS, and Hyde K. Varieties of musical disorders. The Montreal Battery  
33 of Evaluation of Amusia. *Ann.N.Y.Acad.Sci.*, 999:58-75, 2003.
- 34 Rauschecker JP and Scott SK. Maps and streams in the auditory cortex: nonhuman  
35 primates illuminate human speech processing. *Nat.Neurosci.*, 12 (6):718-724, 2009.

- 1 Rauschecker JP. Is there a tape recorder in your head? How the brain stores and retrieves  
2 musical melodies. *Front.Syst.Neurosci.*, 8:149, 2014.
- 3 Rizio AA and Diaz MT. Language, aging, and cognition: frontal aslant tract and superior  
4 longitudinal fasciculus contribute toward working memory performance in older adults.  
5 *Neuroreport*, 27 (9):689-693, 2016.
- 6 Rorden C and Karnath HO. Using human brain lesions to infer function: a relic from a past  
7 era in the fMRI age?. *Nat.Rev.Neurosci.*, 5 (10):813-819, 2004.
- 8 Ross ED and Monnot M. Neurology of affective prosody and its functional-anatomic  
9 organization in right hemisphere. *Brain Lang.*, 104 (1):51-74, 2008.
- 10 Ross ED. Cerebral localization of functions and the neurology of language: fact versus  
11 fiction or is it something else?. *Neuroscientist*, 16 (3):222-243, 2010.
- 12 Rosslau K, Steinwede D, Schröder C, Herholz SC, Lappe C, Dobel C, and Altenmüller E.  
13 Clinical investigations of receptive and expressive musical functions after stroke.  
14 *Front.Psychol.*, 6:768, 2015.
- 15 Royal I, Vuvan DT, Zendel BR, Robitaille N, Schonwiesner M, and Peretz I. Activation in  
16 the Right Inferior Parietal Lobule Reflects the Representation of Musical Structure beyond  
17 Simple Pitch Discrimination. *PLoS One*, 11 (5):e0155291, 2016.
- 18 Ruber T, Lindenberg R, and Schlaug G. Differential adaptation of descending motor tracts  
19 in musicians. *Cereb.Cortex*, 25 (6):1490-1498, 2015.
- 20 Rueckert D, Sonoda LI, Hayes C, Hill DL, Leach MO, and Hawkes DJ. Nonrigid  
21 registration using free-form deformations: application to breast MR images. *IEEE*  
22 *Trans.Med.Imaging*, 18 (8):712-721, 1999.
- 23 Sammler D, Grosbras MH, Anwander A, Bestelmeyer PE, and Belin P. Dorsal and Ventral  
24 Pathways for Prosody. *Curr.Biol.*, 25 (23):3079-3085, 2015.
- 25 Samson F, Zeffiro TA, Toussaint A, and Belin P. Stimulus complexity and categorical  
26 effects in human auditory cortex: an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis.  
27 *Front.Psychol.*, 1:241, 2011.
- 28 Särkämö T, Tervaniemi M, Laitinen S, Forsblom A, Soinila S, Mikkonen M, Autti T,  
29 Silvennoinen HM, Erkkilä J, Laine M, Peretz I, and Hietanen M. Music listening enhances  
30 cognitive recovery and mood after middle cerebral artery stroke. *Brain*, 131:866-876, 2008.
- 31 Särkämö T, Tervaniemi M, Soinila S, Autti T, Silvennoinen HM, Laine M, and Hietanen M.  
32 Cognitive deficits associated with acquired amusia after stroke: a neuropsychological  
33 follow-up study. *Neuropsychologia*, 47 (12):2642-2651, 2009.
- 34 Sarubbo S, De Benedictis A, Maldonado IL, Basso G, and Duffau H. Frontal terminations  
35 for the inferior fronto-occipital fascicle: anatomical dissection, DTI study and functional  
36 considerations on a multi-component bundle. *Brain Struct.Funct.*, 218 (1):21-37, 2013.



- 1 Saur D, Kreher BW, Schnell S, Kummerer D, Kellmeyer P, Vry MS, Umarova R, Musso M,  
2 Glauche V, Abel S, Huber W, Rijntjes M, Hennig J, and Weiller C. Ventral and dorsal  
3 pathways for language. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.*, 105 (46):18035-18040, 2008.
- 4 Schlaug G, Jancke L, Huang Y, Staiger JF, and Steinmetz H. Increased corpus callosum  
5 size in musicians. *Neuropsychologia*, 33 (8):1047-1055, 1995.
- 6 Schmahmann JD and Pandya DN. *Fiber Pathways of the Brain*. Oxford University Press,  
7 2006.
- 8 Schmithorst VJ and Wilke M. Differences in white matter architecture between musicians  
9 and non-musicians: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Neurosci.Lett.*, 321 (1-2):57-60,  
10 2002.
- 11 Schmithorst VJ. Separate cortical networks involved in music perception: preliminary  
12 functional MRI evidence for modularity of music processing. *Neuroimage*, 25 (2):444-451,  
13 2005.
- 14 Schuppert M, Münte TF, Wieringa BM, and Altenmüller E. Receptive amusia: evidence for  
15 cross-hemispheric neural networks underlying music processing strategies. *Brain*, 123 Pt  
16 3:546-559, 2000.
- 17 Sierpowska J, Gabarros A, Fernandez-Coello A, Camins A, Castaner S, Juncadella M, de  
18 Diego-Balaguer R, and Rodriguez-Fornells A. Morphological derivation overflow as a result  
19 of disruption of the left frontal aslant white matter tract. *Brain Lang.*, 142:54-64, 2015.
- 20 Sierpowska J, Gabarros A, Fernandez-Coello A, Camins A, Castaner S, Juncadella M,  
21 Moris J, and Rodriguez-Fornells A. Words are not enough: nonword repetition as an  
22 indicator of arcuate fasciculus integrity during brain tumor resection. *J.Neurosurg.*, 126  
23 (2):435-445, 2017.
- 24 Sihvonen AJ, Ripollés P, Leo V, Rodríguez-Fornells A, Soinila S, and Särkämö T. Neural  
25 Basis of Acquired Amusia and Its Recovery after Stroke. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 36  
26 (34):8872-8881, 2016.
- 27 Smith SM. Fast robust automated brain extraction. *Hum.Brain Mapp.*, 17 (3):143-155,  
28 2002.
- 29 Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H,  
30 Bannister PR, De Luca M, Drobnjak I, Flitney DE, Niazy RK, Saunders J, Vickers J, Zhang  
31 Y, De Stefano N, Brady JM, and Matthews PM. Advances in functional and structural MR  
32 image analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage*, 23 Suppl 1:S208-19, 2004.
- 33 Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Rueckert D, Nichols TE, Mackay CE, Watkins  
34 KE, Ciccarelli O, Cader MZ, Matthews PM, and Behrens TE. Tract-based spatial statistics:  
35 voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. *Neuroimage*, 31 (4):1487-1505, 2006.
- 36 Smith SM and Nichols TE. Threshold-free cluster enhancement: addressing problems of  
37 smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in cluster inference. *Neuroimage*, 44  
38 (1):83-98, 2009.

- 1 Song SK, Sun SW, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, and Cross AH. Dysmyelination  
2 revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water.  
3 *Neuroimage*, 17 (3):1429-1436, 2002.
- 4 Song SK, Yoshino J, Le TQ, Lin SJ, Sun SW, Cross AH, and Armstrong RC.  
5 Demyelination increases radial diffusivity in corpus callosum of mouse brain. *Neuroimage*,  
6 26 (1):132-140, 2005.
- 7 Stewart L, von Kriegstein K, Warren JD, and Griffiths TD. Music and the brain: disorders of  
8 musical listening. *Brain*, 129 (Pt 10):2533-2553, 2006.
- 9 Stewart L. Fractionating the musical mind: insights from congenital amusia.  
10 *Curr.Opin.Neurobiol.*, 18 (2):127-130, 2008.
- 11 Thiebaut de Schotten M, Kinkingnehun S, Delmaire C, Lehericy S, Duffau H, Thivard L,  
12 Volle E, Levy R, Dubois B, and Bartolomeo P. Visualization of disconnection syndromes in  
13 humans. *Cortex*, 44 (8):1097-1103, 2008.
- 14 Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Valabregue R, and Catani M. Monkey to human  
15 comparative anatomy of the frontal lobe association tracts. *Cortex*, 48 (1):82-96, 2012.
- 16 Thompson WF, Marin MM, and Stewart L. Reduced sensitivity to emotional prosody in  
17 congenital amusia rekindles the musical protolanguage hypothesis.  
18 *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.*, 109 (46):19027-19032, 2012.
- 19 Turken AU and Dronkers NF. The neural architecture of the language comprehension  
20 network: converging evidence from lesion and connectivity analyses. *Front.Syst.Neurosci.*,  
21 5:1, 2011.
- 22 Vaquero L, Rodriguez-Fornells A, and Reiterer SM. The Left, The Better: White-Matter  
23 Brain Integrity Predicts Foreign Language Imitation Ability. *Cereb.Cortex*, 2016.
- 24 Vassal F, Schneider F, Boutet C, Jean B, Sontheimer A, and Lemaire JJ. Combined DTI  
25 Tractography and Functional MRI Study of the Language Connectome in Healthy  
26 Volunteers: Extensive Mapping of White Matter Fascicles and Cortical Activations. *PLoS*  
27 *One*, 11 (3):e0152614, 2016.
- 28 Von Der Heide RJ, Skipper LM, Klobusicky E, and Olson IR. Dissecting the uncinate  
29 fasciculus: disorders, controversies and a hypothesis. *Brain*, 136 (Pt 6):1692-1707, 2013.
- 30 Westerhausen R, Gruner R, Specht K, and Hugdahl K. Functional relevance of  
31 interindividual differences in temporal lobe callosal pathways: a DTI tractography study.  
32 *Cereb.Cortex*, 19 (6):1322-1329, 2009.
- 33 Wildgruber D, Ackermann H, Kreifelts B, and Ethofer T. Cerebral processing of linguistic  
34 and emotional prosody: fMRI studies. *Prog.Brain Res.*, 156:249-268, 2006.
- 35 Yu C, Zhu C, Zhang Y, Chen H, Qin W, Wang M, and Li K. A longitudinal diffusion tensor  
36 imaging study on Wallerian degeneration of corticospinal tract after motor pathway stroke.  
37 *Neuroimage*, 47 (2):451-458, 2009.

- 1 Zamm A, Schlaug G, Eagleman DM, and Loui P. Pathways to seeing music: enhanced  
2 structural connectivity in colored-music synesthesia. *Neuroimage*, 74:359-366, 2013.
- 3 Zatorre RJ, Belin P, and Penhune VB. Structure and function of auditory cortex: music and  
4 speech. *Trends Cogn.Sci.*, 6 (1):37-46, 2002.
- 5 Zatorre RJ and Salimpoor VN. From perception to pleasure: music and its neural  
6 substrates. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.*, 110 Suppl 2:10430-10437, 2013.
- 7 Zatorre RJ, Chen JL, and Penhune VB. When the brain plays music: auditory-motor  
8 interactions in music perception and production. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 8 (7):547-  
9 558, 2007.
- 10 Zhao Y, Chen X, Zhong S, Cui Z, Gong G, Dong Q, and Nan Y. Abnormal topological  
11 organization of the white matter network in Mandarin speakers with congenital amusia.  
12 *Sci.Rep.*, 6:26505, 2016.

13

## 14 **11. Supplementary material**

15 Supplementary material is available online.