



## https://helda.helsinki.fi

Changes in non-occupational sedentary behaviours across the retirement transition: the Finnish Retirement and Aging (FIREA) study

Leskinen, Tuija

2018-08

Leskinen , T , Pulakka , A , Heinonen , O J , Pentti , J , Kivimäki , M , Vahtera , J & Stenholm , S 2018 , 'Changes in non-occupational sedentary behaviours across the retirement transition : the Finnish Retirement and Aging (FIREA) study ' , Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health , vol. 72 , no. 8 , pp. 695-701 . https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2017-209958

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/311552 https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2017-209958

acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

- 1 Changes in non-occupational sedentary behaviors across the retirement transition: the
- 2 Finnish Retirement and Aging Study (FIREA)
- 3 Tuija Leskinen 1,2, Anna Pulakka 1, Olli Heinonen 2, Jaana Pentti 1,3, Mika Kivimäki 3,4,5,
- 4 Jussi Vahtera 1, Sari Stenholm 1
- 5 1 Department of Public Health, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Turku,
- 6 Finland;

12

16

- 7 2 Paavo Nurmi Centre & Department of Health and Physical Activity, University of Turku,
- 8 Turku, Finland;
- 9 3 Clinicum, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland;
- 4 Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland;
- 5 Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK
- 13 Corresponding author: Dr. Tuija Leskinen, Department of Public Health, FIN-20014
- 14 University of Turku, Finland, phone: +35823338440, fax: +358294505040, email:
- tuija.leskinen@utu.fi

17 **Word count: 3392** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

- **Background** Retirement is a major life transition and it may influence health behaviors and time use as people no longer go to work. Very little is known how sedentary behavior changes as a result of increased time availability after the retirement transition. The aim of this study was to examine changes in non-occupational sedentary behaviors across the retirement transition. In addition, we examined which pre-retirement characteristics were associated with these changes. **Methods** The study population consisted of 2,011 participants from the Finnish Retirement and Aging Study (FIREA). Repeated postal survey including questions on sedentary behavior domains (television viewing, computer use at home, sitting in a vehicle and other sitting) were conducted once a year across the retirement transition, covering on average 3.4 study waves. Linear regression analyses with generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used for the analyses. **Results** Total sedentary time increased by 73 (95% CI 66-80) minutes/day to 5.9 hours/day during the retirement transition. Of the domain-specific sedentary behaviors, television viewing time increased by 28 (95% CI 25-32) minutes/day, computer use at home by 19 (95% CI 17-22) minutes/day, and other sitting time by 37 (95% CI 33-41) minutes/day, while time sitting in a vehicle decreased by 6 (95% CI 4-9) minutes/day during the retirement transition. Women and persons who had high occupational sitting time, low physical activity level, sleep difficulties, mental disorders, or poor health before retirement increased mostly time spent on sedentary behaviors during the retirement transition (all P-values for interaction <0.03).
- Conclusion Total and domain-specific sedentary times, except sitting in a vehicle, increased during the retirement transition.

41	Key words: sedentary behavior, sitting, retirement, cohort, aging, television viewing
42	
43	What is already known on this subject?
44	Retirement is associated with increased time spend sedentary.
45	There are no longitudinal studies with repeated measures of sedentary behavior domains
46	across the retirement transition.
47	
48	What this study adds?
49	Total and domain-specific sedentary behaviors, except sitting in a vehicle, increase during the
50	retirement transition.
51	Total non-occupational sedentary time continued to increase during the post-retirement
52	period.
53	Women, and those who had high occupational sedentary time, low physical activity level,
54	sleep difficulties, mental disorders, or poor health before retirement were most likely to
55	report an increase in total non-occupational sedentary time during the retirement transition.

#### **BACKGROUND**

56

79

Sedentary behavior, defined as any waking behavior characterized by an energy expenditure 57 ≤1.5 metabolic equivalents (METs) whilst in a sitting or reclining posture [1], is highly 58 59 prevalent among adult population [2]. Older adults are the most sedentary age group spending 65% to 80% of their wake time on sedentary behaviors [3]. This is a major public health 60 concern as sedentary behavior is associated with poor health [4,5] and mortality [6,7]. 61 62 Retirement is one of the major life transitions which can modify lifestyle after middle life [8]. 63 Retirement is associated with positive lifestyle changes, such as increased leisure physical 64 activity [9] and sleep duration [10] most likely due to increased time availability, restructure of leisure activities and awareness of one's own health and well-being [11,12]. However, 65 retirement has also been listed as a strong determinant for engaging sedentary behavior [13]. 66 To date, only a small number of studies have examined how sedentary behavior changes during 67 68 the retirement transition [14]. 69 Prior longitudinal studies have shown that retirement is associated with increased time spend on television viewing and computer use, and with decreased passive transportation time [8,14– 70 17]. However, previous studies have not been able to repeatedly follow people across the 71 72 retirement transition nor to illustrate the short and long-term changes in both total and domainspecific sedentary behaviors [8,15–17]. Furthermore, previous studies have examined changes 73 74 in sedentary behavior only by education or work-related factors [14]. However, multiple other factors, such as lifestyle and health factors, are shown to be associated with sedentary behavior 75 [18] and it is of interest to examine how these factors moderate the changes in sedentary 76 behavior during retirement transition. 77 To address the limitations of the previous studies, this longitudinal study examined how non-78

occupational sedentary behavior, namely television viewing, computer use at home, sitting in

a vehicle and other sitting, changed across the retirement transition using repeated annual measurements. We also investigated which pre-retirement characteristics were associated with changes in total and domain-specific sedentary times during the retirement transition.

#### **METHODS**

## **Study population**

Finnish Retirement and Aging Study (FIREA) is an ongoing longitudinal cohort study of older adults in Finland established in 2013. The aim of the FIREA study is to follow aging workers from work to full-time retirement and to determine how health behaviors and clinical risk factors change during transition to statutory retirement. The eligible population for the FIREA study cohort included all public sector employees whose individual retirement date was between 2014 and 2019 and who were working in year 2012 in one of the 27 municipalities in Southwest Finland or in the 9 selected cities or 5 hospital districts around Finland. Information on the estimated individual retirement date was obtained from the pension insurance institute for the municipal sector in Finland (Keva). Participants were first contacted 18 months prior to their estimated retirement date by sending a questionnaire, which was thereafter sent annually, four times in total. The actual retirement date was self-reported by the participants. Due to the eligibility criteria, large majority of the FIREA participants retired based on their age, and not due to diseases. The FIREA study was conducted in line with the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital District of Southwest Finland.

By the end of 2017, 6,673 (63% of the eligible sample, n=10,629) of the FIREA cohort members had responded to at least one questionnaire and of them 4,311 had so far responded at least twice to questionnaires, 2,082 both prior and after the actual retirement date reported

by the responders. There were two possible study waves before retirement (wave -2, wave -1) and three possible waves after retirement (wave +1, wave +2, wave +3). Each successive wave was one year apart from each other. To be included in this study, the participants had to have information on total sedentary time immediately before and after transition to statutory retirement (i.e. at wave -1 and at wave +1) (n=2,058). Then we excluded those with missing information on socio-economic status (n=24) and those who were not working full-time or part-time at wave -1 (n=23) resulting in an analytic sample of 2,011 persons. Thus, depending on the retirement date, participants' observations came from one of the following alternative set of waves: 1) wave -2, wave -1, wave +1, wave +2, or, 2) wave -1, wave +1, wave +2, and wave +3. Study waves around retirement are demonstrated in Table 1. On average, these participants provided information on total sedentary time at 3.4 (range 2-4) of the possible four study waves. The analytical sample did not differ from the eligible sample (83 vs. 80% of women, 33 vs. 29% of upper grade non manual, 37 vs. 42% of manual workers, respectively).

## Assessment of sedentary behavior

Sedentary behavior was inquired at each study wave with a question: "On average, how many hours on a non-weekend days you spend on sitting: 1) at the office, 2) watching television or videos at home, 3) using computer at home, 4) in a vehicle (car, train, airplane), and 5) other sitting?" Response alternatives for each domain were: 0 (sitting less than an hour or not at all),  $1, 2, ..., 9, \ge 10$  hours per day, coded as 0 to 10 hours, respectively. We calculated a total non-occupational sedentary time by summing up sitting times for television viewing, computer use, vehicle and other.

## **Assessment of covariates**

Sex, date of birth, and occupational status were obtained from the pension insurance institute for the municipal sector in Finland (Keva). Occupational status was categorized into three groups according to the occupational titles by the last known occupation preceding retirement: upper-grade non-manual workers (e.g. teachers, physicians), lower-grade non-manual workers (e.g. registered nurses, technicians) and manual workers (e.g. cleaners, maintenance workers). All other covariates were based on the responses in the last questionnaire prior to retirement (wave -1). These covariates were selected because they have been shown to be associated with sedentary behavior [18] and might influence the decision to retire [19]. Work status was divided into full-time or part-time workers and marital status into married/cohabiting or not married/other. Heavy physical work (no vs. yes) was assessed by using validated genderspecific job exposure matrix (JEM) for physical exposures [20,21]. Occupational sedentary time before the retirement transition was categorized into four groups: <4 hours, 4 to <6 hours, 6 to  $\leq$ 8 hours and  $\geq$ 8 hours daily. Physical activity was assessed with a question on average weekly duration and intensity of leisure and commuting physical activity during the past year. Weekly physical activity was expressed as metabolic equivalent (MET) hours and categorized as: low (<14 MET hours/week), moderate (14 to <30 MET hours/week), and high (≥30 MET hours/week) activity levels [22]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from self-reported weight and height and categorized into: underweight (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), normal weight (18.5 to <25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (25 to <30 kg/m²) and obese (≥30 kg/m²) [23]. The participants reported their habitual frequency and amount of beer, wine, and spirits consumption, in weekly units of alcohol. Heavy alcohol use (no vs. yes) was defined as >16 drinks/week for women and >24 drinks/week for men, as these limits correspond with the lower limit for heavy use of alcohol set by the Finnish Ministry of Health and Social Affairs [24]. Smoking status was categorized into non-smokers (never and former) and current smokers. Sleep difficulties were measured

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

with the Jenkins Sleep Problem Scale [25] and categorized as no sleep difficulties (sleep difficulties  $\leq 1$  night/week), moderate sleep difficulties (2-4 nights/week), or severe sleep difficulties (5-7 nights/week) [26].

Data on chronic diseases was based on question "Have your doctor ever told that you have or have had ...." and following diseases were taken into account: angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, stroke, claudication, osteoarthritis, osteoporosis, sciatica, fibromyalgia, rheumatoid arthritis, migraine, and malign cancer. For the analyses, participants were categorized into having no chronic disease, having one chronic disease or having more than one chronic diseases. Mental disorders included depression and/or other mental diseases (no vs. yes). Self-rated health was assessed with a 5-point scale (1=good, ..., 5=poor), and was then categorized as good (1-2), average (3), and poor (4-5) health. Psychological distress was measured with the 12-item version of General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12), which gives a total score ranging from 0 to 12. A cut-off point of three or more symptoms was used to indicate psychological distress (no vs. yes) [27].

## Statistical analysis

Characteristics of the study population before retirement (at wave -1) are presented as numbers and percentages for categorical variables and as means and standard deviations (SDs) for continuous variables. We first calculated mean estimates and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the total and domain-specific sedentary times in each study wave to illustrate the levels of these behaviors across the retirement transition (from wave -2 to wave +3). We used linear regression analyses with generalized estimating equations (GEE). The GEE models control for the intra-individual correlation between repeated measurements using an exchangeable correlation structure and is not sensitive to measurements missing completely at random

[28,29]. The difference in the mean change in total and domain-specific sedentary times between two specific time periods: the retirement transition period (from wave -1 to wave +1) and the post-retirement period (from wave +2 to wave +3) were tested using a period\*time interaction term.

We also examined whether sociodemographic and work-related factors (sex, occupational status, work status, marital status, heavy physical work and occupational sedentary time), lifestyle factors (physical activity, BMI, heavy alcohol use, current smoking status, sleep difficulties), and health factors (number of chronic diseases, mental disorders, self-reported health, and psychological distress) before retirement were associated with the magnitude of changes in total and domain-specific sedentary times during the retirement transition (from wave -1 to wave +1). For these analyses, the interaction term pre-retirement factor\*time was added to the GEE models. All models were adjusted for age, sex, and occupational status. The SAS 9.4 Statistical Package was used for all of the analyses (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

## **RESULTS**

Characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 2. Of the participants, 83% were women, 33% had upper grade non-manual work, and 37% had manual work. Before the retirement transition (at wave -1), the mean age of the study population was 63.2 (SD 1.3) years, 39% had low physical activity level, 38% had normal BMI, and 28% were free of chronic diseases. The mean time spent being sedentary at leisure was 4.7 (95% CI 4.5-4.8) hours/day. The total sedentary time before retirement differed by sex, work and marital status, physical strenuousness of the work, physical activity level, BMI category, alcohol use, severity of sleep difficulties, self-reported health, and psychological distress (p<0.05 for all).

Figure 1 illustrates the changes in total and domain-specific non-occupational sedentary times across the retirement transition. The total sedentary time, including sitting time for television viewing, computer use, vehicle and other, increased by 73 minutes/day to 5.9 hours/day during the retirement transition and continued to increase by 18 minutes/day to 6.2 hours/day during the post-retirement period. Thus the change in total sedentary time during the retirement transition was four times that of change during the post-retirement period (period\*time interaction p<.0001). Of the domain-specific sedentary behaviors, television viewing time increased by 28 minutes/day to 2.7 hours/day, computer use at home by 19 minutes/day to 1.1 hours/day, and time spent on other sitting activities by 37 minutes/day to 1.6 hours/day during the retirement transition. Time sitting in a vehicle decreased by 6 minutes/day during retirement transition. Computer use and other sitting times continued to increase during the postretirement period (by 5 and 8 minutes/day, respectively). Table 2 presents mean estimates for the change in total non-occupational sedentary time during the retirement transition by the pre-retirement characteristics. Supplemental Tables 1-3 present results for domain-specific sedentary times. Women increased their total sedentary time more than men during the retirement transition (77 vs. 56 minutes/day, sex\*time interaction p=0.01). Changes in total sedentary time by men and women are shown in Supplement Figure 1. Those who retired from full-time jobs increased total sedentary time more than those who retired from part-time jobs (78 vs. 62 minutes/day, pre-retirement job status\*time interaction p=0.02). This was also seen for change in television viewing time (Supplemental Table 1). Those who had high pre-retirement occupational sedentary time reported higher increase in total sedentary time during the retirement transition than those who had low occupational sedentary time (98 vs. 65 minutes/day, pre-retirement occupational sedentary time\*time interaction p<0.0001). This association was also seen for change in computer use (Supplemental Table 2) and change in other sitting time (Supplemental Table 3). In addition,

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

sedentary time during the retirement transition than those who had high pre-retirement physical activity level (79 vs. 62 minutes/day, pre-retirement activity level\*time interaction p=0.02). The pre-retirement physical activity level associated also with changes in television viewing time (Supplement Table 1). Among those with severe sleep difficulties before retirement, the increase in total sedentary time during the retirement transition was reported to be higher than among those who had no pre-retirement sleep difficulties (89 vs. 64 minutes/day, pre-retirement sleep difficulties\*time interaction p=0.002). Sleep difficulties were also associated with changes in sitting time for computer use (Supplemental Table 2). Those who had chronic diseases reported higher increase in total sedentary time during the retirement transition than those who had no chronic diseases before retirement (79 vs. 61 minutes/day, pre-retirement disease status\*time p=0.03). Furthermore those who had mental disorders before retirement increased their total sedentary time more than those who had no pre-retirement mental disorders (94 vs. 71 minutes/day, preretirement mental health\*time interaction p=0.009). Also self-reported health before retirement associated with changes in total sedentary time so that those reporting poor health increased their total sedentary time more than those reporting good health (96 vs. 68 minutes/day, preretirement health\*time interaction p=0.03). Self-reported health showed strongest association with increased television viewing time (Supplemental Table 1). In addition, psychological distress before retirement associated with the changes in television viewing time (Supplemental Table 1) and computer use (Supplemental Table 2) during the retirement transition.

those who had low pre-retirement physical activity level reported higher increase in total

244

245

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

#### **DISCUSSION**

This is the first longitudinal study examining changes in non-occupational sedentary behavior across the retirement transition. Total sedentary time as well as television viewing time, computer use at home, and other sitting time increased during the retirement transition. Total sedentary time, and especially computer use and other sitting domain, continued to increase during the years following retirement. Women, and those who had high occupational sedentary time, low level of physical activity, sleep difficulties, mental disorders, or poor health before retirement were most likely to report an increase in total sedentary time during the retirement transition. An advantage of the present comprehensive investigation over previous studies is that we examined annual changes in sedentary behavior by using repeated measures of domain-specific sedentary behaviors (television viewing time, computer use at home, sitting in a vehicle and other sitting) across the retirement transition. In addition, we have studied the associations between pre-retirement characteristics and the changes in total and domain-specific sedentary times during the retirement transition.

Our finding that total sedentary time, television viewing, computer use, and other sitting time increase during the retirement transition corresponds to previous longitudinal findings showing higher increase in total leisure sedentary time [16], television viewing time [8,15,16], and computer time [16] among retiring adults than among those who remained employed. As sedentary behavior in general [2,4] and television viewing specifically [30–32] are related to adverse health outcomes among older adults, our findings, among others, suggest that more attention should be paid to reducing overall sedentary behavior and especially television viewing time after transitioning to retirement. We also observed that total, computer use at home, and other sitting time continued to increase in the years following retirement. However, computer use and other sitting time increased to lower absolute level of sedentary behavior per day than television viewing. It is worth of noting that computer use is mentally activating compared to passive television viewing[33], and may not be as harmful for health among older

adults [34]. Despite the overall increase in sedentary behavior during retirement, we also observed that sitting in a vehicle decreased during the retirement transition. Similarly to our finding, a previous study has shown that passive transportation decreases more among retiring than among already retired adults [17]. This decrease is probably mostly due to absence of commuting-related passive transportation after retirement.

A unique feature in our study compared to previous ones is that we also examined wide range of pre-retirement characteristics that could affect the magnitude of change in total and domain-specific sedentary times during the retirement transition. We found that women increased their total and other sitting time more than men, although men were more sedentary before retirement. Also high occupational sedentary time before the retirement transition was associated with greater increases in total, computer use and other sitting times during the retirement transition. Similar relationship was also seen in previous study where higher work-related sitting associated with greater increase in screen time after retirement [35] and in another study in which less physically demanding job associated with increased time spent watching television after retirement [15]. Although less educated adults [17] and those retiring from manual social class [16] have previously been shown to be more susceptible to increase television viewing time after retirement, we did not observe association between occupational status or heavy physical work and total or domain-specific sedentary times.

According to our findings, high level of physical activity before retirement was associated with less increase in total and television viewing times during the retirement transition. Another novel finding is that those who had sleep difficulties, mental disorders or poor health before retirement were most likely to report an increase in total sedentary time during the retirement transition. Sleep difficulties were associated with increased sitting time for computer use whereas poor self-reported health associated with increased television viewing time. Also pre-retirement psychological distress was associated with increased television viewing and

computer use after retirement. These findings adds to previous studies which have found that sedentary behavior associate with poor sleep quality [36], poor mental health [37,38] and with increased risk of depression [39,40].

The main limitation of this longitudinal study is the reliance on self-reported data in relation to the behavioral changes, which is commonly used in large data collection but can lead to bias and underreporting of sedentary time [3]. To our knowledge the questionnaire used in this study is not validated against objective measurements of sedentary behavior. However, the assessment of sedentary behavior as self-reported hours/day is frequently used in observational studies [7]. In addition, since we calculated the total non-occupational sedentary time based on the time used in different domains, we were not able to control the simultaneity of domainspecific sedentary behaviors. This may have lead slight overestimation of the total sedentary time. Future studies with objective monitoring of sedentary time are therefore needed to fully understand the changes in sedentary behavior during the retirement transition. There are also some other methodological issues that deserve discussion. We only assessed sedentary time on non-weekend days. This can be a limitation, because sedentary time may be different in weekend vs. non-weekend days among older adults [41]. On the other hand, by focusing on week-days only we were able to better capture changes in sedentary behavior when week-day routines change after moving into retirement. In addition, we did not include occupational sedentary time in the calculation of total sedentary time because occupational sitting disappears after retiring from work [16] and this would have masked the increase in non-occupational sedentary behavior [14]. Instead we examined changes in sedentary behavior during the retirement transition based on the levels of pre-retirement occupational sedentary time. Finally, the study population is representative of the Finnish public sector employees, however, the results may not necessarily be generalizable to other sectors.

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

## Conclusions

Total non-occupational sedentary time in general and television viewing, computer use and other sitting time increased during the retirement transition. Total sedentary time continued to increase during the post-retirement period. Women and adults who had high occupational sedentary time, low physical activity level, sleep difficulties, mental disorders, or poor health before retirement were most likely to report an increase in time spend sedentary after the retirement transition. However, objective measurements of sedentary behavior are needed to fully understand the changes in sedentary behavior across the retirement transition.

- **Contributionship** SS and JV designed this study and the data collection. TL analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to data interpretation, revised article critically, and approved the final version of manuscript.
- **Competing interest:** None declared.
- Funding This work was supported by Juho Vainio Foundation, Finland (to TL and SS); the
- 335 Academy of Finland (Grants 286294 and 294154 to SS; 311492 to MK; 309526 to TL);
- Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture (to SS); Nordforsk (to MK and JV); and the UK
- 337 MRC (Grant K013351 to MK).
- Data sharing The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
- **Ethical approval** The FIREA study is conducted in line with the Declaration of Helsinki,
- and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital District of Southwest Finland.

## REFERENCES

345	1	Sedentary Behaviour Research Network. Letter to the Editor: Standardized use of the
346		terms 'sedentary' and 'sedentary behaviours'. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2012;37:540-
347		2. doi:10.1139/h2012-024
348	2	Wullems JA, Verschueren SMP, Degens H, et al. A review of the assessment and
349		prevalence of sedentarism in older adults, its physiology/health impact and non-
350		exercise mobility counter-measures. <i>Biogerontology</i> 2016; <b>17</b> :547–65.
351		doi:10.1007/s10522-016-9640-1
352	3	Harvey JA, Chastin SFM, Skelton DA. How Sedentary Are Older People? A
353		Systematic Review of the Amount of Sedentary Behavior. J Aging Phys Act
354		2015; <b>23</b> :471–87. doi:10.1123/japa.2014-0164
355	4	de Rezende LF, Rey-Lopez JP, Matsudo VK, et al. Sedentary behavior and health
356		outcomes among older adults: a systematic review. BMC Public Health 2014;14:333.
357		doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-333
358	5	Biswas A, Oh PI, Faulkner GE, et al. Sedentary Time and Its Association With Risk
359		for Disease Incidence, Mortality, and Hospitalization in Adults. Ann Intern Med
360		2015; <b>162</b> :123. doi:10.7326/M14-1651
361	6	Biddle SJH, Bennie JA, Bauman AE, et al. Too much sitting and all-cause mortality: is
362		there a causal link? BMC Public Health 2016;16:635. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3307-3
363	7	Ekelund U, Steene-Johannessen J, Brown WJ, et al. Does physical activity attenuate,
364		or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A
365		harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. Lancet
366		2016; <b>388</b> :1302–10. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30370-1

367	8	Barnett I, van Sluijs E, Ogilvie D, et al. Changes in household, transport and
368		recreational physical activity and television viewing time across the transition to
369		retirement: longitudinal evidence from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. J Epidemiol
370		Community Health 2014;68:747–53. doi:10.1136/jech-2013-203225
371	9	Stenholm S, Pulakka A, Kawachi I, et al. Changes in physical activity during transition
372		to retirement: a cohort study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2016;13:51.
373		doi:10.1186/s12966-016-0375-9
374	10	Myllyntausta S, Salo P, Kronholm E, et al. Changes in Sleep Duration During
375		Transition to Statutory Retirement: A Longitudinal Cohort Study. Sleep Published
376		Online First: 24 May 2017. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsx087
377	11	Baxter S, Johnson M, Payne N, et al. Promoting and maintaining physical activity in
378		the transition to retirement: a systematic review of interventions for adults around
379		retirement age. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2016;13:12. doi:10.1186/s12966-016-0336-3
380	12	McDonald S, O'Brien N, White M, et al. Changes in physical activity during the
381		retirement transition: a theory-based, qualitative interview study. Int J Behav Nutr
382		Phys Act 2015;12:25. doi:10.1186/s12966-015-0186-4
383	13	Brug J, Chinapaw M. Determinants of engaging in sedentary behavior across the
384		lifespan; lessons learned from two systematic reviews conducted within DEDIPAC. Int
385		J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2015;12:134. doi:10.1186/s12966-015-0293-2
386	14	Sprod J, Ferrar K, Olds T, et al. Changes in sedentary behaviours across the retirement
387		transition: A systematic review. Age Ageing 2015;44:918–25.
388		doi:10.1093/ageing/afv140
389	15	Touvier M Bertrais S Charreire H <i>et al.</i> Changes in leisure-time physical activity and

390		sedentary behaviour at retirement: a prospective study in middle-aged French subjects.
391		Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2010;7:14. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-7-14
392	16	Menai M, Fezeu L, Charreire H, et al. Changes in sedentary behaviours and
393		associations with physical activity through retirement: A 6-year longitudinal study.
394		PLoS One 2014;9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106850
395	17	Van Dyck D, Cardon G, De Bourdeaudhuij I. Longitudinal changes in physical activity
396		and sedentary time in adults around retirement age: what is the moderating role of
397		retirement status, gender and educational level? BMC Public Health 2016;16:1125.
398		doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3792-4
399	18	Chastin SFM, Buck C, Freiberger E, et al. Systematic literature review of determinants
400		of sedentary behaviour in older adults: a DEDIPAC study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act
401		2015; <b>12</b> :127. doi:10.1186/s12966-015-0292-3
402	19	Virtanen M, Oksanen T, Batty GD, et al. Extending employment beyond the
403		pensionable age: a cohort study of the influence of chronic diseases, health risk factors,
404		and working conditions. PLoS One 2014;9:e88695. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088695
405	20	Solovieva S, Pehkonen I, Kausto J, et al. Development and validation of a job
406		exposure matrix for physical risk factors in low back pain. PLoS One 2012;7:e48680.
407		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048680
408	21	Stenholm S, Solovieva S, Viikari-Juntura E, et al. Change in body mass index during
409		transition to statutory retirement: an occupational cohort study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys
410		Act 2017; <b>14</b> :85. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0539-2
411	22	Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical Activity Guidelines
412		Advisory Committee Report. Washingt DC US 2008;67:683. doi:10.1111/j.1753-

4887.2008.00136.x 413 23 World Health Organisation. Obesity: Preventing and managing the global epidemic. 414 Report of a WHO Consultation. Geneva: 2000. 415 24 Finnish Institute of Occupatinal Health and Finnish Ministry of Social Affairs and 416 Health. Riskikulutuksen varhainen tunnistaminen ja mini-interventio -hoitosuosituksen 417 yhteenveto. 2006. 418 Jenkins CD, Stanton BA, Niemcryk SJ, et al. A scale for the estimation of sleep 419 25 problems in clinical research. J Clin Epidemiol 1988;41:313– 420 21.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3351539 (accessed 12 May2017). 421 422 26 Salo P, Oksanen T, Sivertsen B, et al. Sleep disturbances as a predictor of causespecific work disability and delayed return to work. Sleep 2010;33:1323– 423 31.http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21061854 (accessed 12 May2017). 424 Goldberg D. The detection of psychiatric illness by questionnaire; a technique for the 425 27 identification and assessment of non-psychotic psychiatric illness. London: : Oxford 426 University Press 1972. 427 28 Zeger SL, Liang KY. Longitudinal data analysis for discrete and continuous outcomes. 428 Biometrics 1986;42:121-30. 429 Diggle P, Liang K, Zegel S. Analysis of Longitudinal Data. London: : Oxford 430 29 University Press 1994. 431 García-Esquinas E, Andrade E, Martínez-Gómez D, et al. Television viewing time as a 30 432 risk factor for frailty and functional limitations in older adults: results from 2 European 433 prospective cohorts. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2017;14:54. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-434

435		0511-1
436	31	Smith L, Hamer M. Television viewing time and risk of incident diabetes mellitus: the
437		English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. <i>Diabet Med</i> 2014; <b>31</b> :1572–6.
438		doi:10.1111/dme.12544
439	32	Smith L, Fisher A, Hamer M. Television viewing time and risk of incident obesity and
440		central obesity: the English longitudinal study of ageing. BMC Obes 2015;2:12.
441		doi:10.1186/s40608-015-0042-8
442	33	Heinonen I, Helajärvi H, Pahkala K, et al. Sedentary behaviours and obesity in adults:
443		the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. BMJ Open 2013;3.
444		doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-002901
445	34	Kikuchi H, Inoue S, Sugiyama T, et al. Distinct associations of different sedentary
446		behaviors with health-related attributes among older adults. Prev Med (Baltim)
447		2014; <b>67</b> :335–9. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.08.011
448	35	Van Dyck D, Cardon G, Deforche B, et al. The contribution of former work-related
449		activity levels to predict physical activity and sedentary time during early retirement:
450		Moderating role of educational level and physical functioning. <i>PLoS One</i> 2015; <b>10</b> :1–
451		14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122522
452	36	Buman MP, Kline CE, Youngstedt SD, et al. Sitting and television viewing: Novel risk
453		factors for sleep disturbance and apnea risk? Results from the 2013 National Sleep
454		Foundation Sleep in America poll. <i>Chest</i> 2015; <b>147</b> :728–34. doi:10.1378/chest.14-1187
455	37	Hamer M, Coombs N, Stamatakis E. Associations between objectively assessed and
456		self-reported sedentary time with mental health in adults: an analysis of data from the
457		Health Survey for England. BMJ Open 2014;4:e004580. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-

458		004580
459	38	Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Mishra GD. Television- and Screen-Based Activity and
460		Mental Well-Being in Adults. Am J Prev Med 2010;38:375–80.
461		doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2009.12.030
462	39	Zhai L, Zhang Y, Zhang D. Sedentary behaviour and the risk of depression: a meta-
463		analysis. Br J Sports Med 2015; <b>49</b> :705–9. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-093613
464	40	Lucas M, Mekary R, Pan A, et al. Relation between clinical depression risk and
465		physical activity and time spent watching television in older women: a 10-year
466		prospective follow-up study. Am J Epidemiol 2011;174:1017–27.
467		doi:10.1093/aje/kwr218
468	41	Visser M, Koster A. Development of a questionnaire to assess sedentary time in older
469		personsa comparative study using accelerometry. BMC Geriatr 2013;13:80.
470		doi:10.1186/1471-2318-13-80
471		
472		
473		

474	<b>Figure</b>	Labels
T/T	rizuit	Labers

- Figure 1. Total and domain-specific sedentary times across the retirement transition.
- 476 Adjusted for age, sex and occupational status.

## 478 Tables

# Table 1. Study design. Annual study waves around retirement and the construction of the

# 480 pre-retirement, retirement transition and post-retirement periods.

Pre- retirement period	Retirem	ent t	ransition	Post-retire	ment period
n=955	n=2,011	ENT	n=2,011	n=1,211	n=547
	wave -1	IREME	wave +1	wave +2	wave +3
wave -2	wave -1	RET	wave +1	wave +2	

Table 2. Total non-occupational sedentary time before retirement (wave -1) and mean changes in total sedentary time during the retirement transition period (from wave -1 to wave +1) by pre-retirement characteristics of the population. All models adjusted for age, sex and occupational status.

		Before retirement			During retire			
n	%	Total sedentary time (h)	95% CI		Mean change (h)	95% CI		P-value for interaction with time
2011	100	4.65	4.52	4.78	1.23	1.11	1.34	
								0.01
335	17	5.02	4.77	5.26	0.94	0.69	1.19	
1676	83	4.39	4.29	4.49	1.28	1.16	1.41	
								0.45
665	33	4.55	4.38	4.71	1.24	1.07	1.42	
605	30	4.67	4.48	4.86	1.30	1.10	1.50	
741	37	4.71	4.53	4.89	1.15	0.98	1.32	
								0.02
1401	70	4.52	4.38	4.66	1.31	1.18	1.44	
610	30	4.94	4.75	5.15	1.04	0.85	1.23	
								0.43
1436	73	4.57	4.44	4.71	1.21	1.09	1.34	
518	27	4.82	4.59	5.04	1.30	1.10	1.51	
	2011 335 1676 665 605 741 1401 610	2011 100 335 17 1676 83 665 33 605 30 741 37 1401 70 610 30 1436 73	n % Sedentary time (h)  2011 100 4.65  335 17 5.02  1676 83 4.39  665 33 4.55  605 30 4.67  741 37 4.71  1401 70 4.52  610 30 4.94  1436 73 4.57	n       %       Total sedentary time (h)       95% (color time)         2011       100       4.65       4.52         335       17       5.02       4.77         1676       83       4.39       4.29         665       33       4.55       4.38         605       30       4.67       4.48         741       37       4.71       4.53         1401       70       4.52       4.38         610       30       4.94       4.75         1436       73       4.57       4.44	Total sedentary time (h)  2011 100 4.65 4.52 4.78  335 17 5.02 4.77 5.26  1676 83 4.39 4.29 4.49  665 33 4.55 4.38 4.71  605 30 4.67 4.48 4.86  741 37 4.71 4.53 4.89  1401 70 4.52 4.38 4.66  610 30 4.94 4.75 5.15	n       %       Total sedentary time (h)       95% CI       Mean change (h)         2011       100       4.65       4.52       4.78       1.23         335       17       5.02       4.77       5.26       0.94         1676       83       4.39       4.29       4.49       1.28         665       33       4.55       4.38       4.71       1.24         605       30       4.67       4.48       4.86       1.30         741       37       4.71       4.53       4.89       1.15         1401       70       4.52       4.38       4.66       1.31         610       30       4.94       4.75       5.15       1.04         1436       73       4.57       4.44       4.71       1.21	n         %         Total sedentary time (h)         95% CI         Mean change (h)         95% C           2011         100         4.65         4.52         4.78         1.23         1.11           335         17         5.02         4.77         5.26         0.94         0.69           1676         83         4.39         4.29         4.49         1.28         1.16           665         33         4.55         4.38         4.71         1.24         1.07           605         30         4.67         4.48         4.86         1.30         1.10           741         37         4.71         4.53         4.89         1.15         0.98           1401         70         4.52         4.38         4.66         1.31         1.18           610         30         4.94         4.75         5.15         1.04         0.85           1436         73         4.57         4.44         4.71         1.21         1.09	n         %         Total sedentary time (h)         95% CI         Mean change (h)         95% CI           2011         100         4.65         4.52         4.78         1.23         1.11         1.34           335         17         5.02         4.77         5.26         0.94         0.69         1.19           1676         83         4.39         4.29         4.49         1.28         1.16         1.41           665         33         4.55         4.38         4.71         1.24         1.07         1.42           605         30         4.67         4.48         4.86         1.30         1.10         1.50           741         37         4.71         4.53         4.89         1.15         0.98         1.32           1401         70         4.52         4.38         4.66         1.31         1.18         1.44           610         30         4.94         4.75         5.15         1.04         0.85         1.23           1436         73         4.57         4.44         4.71         1.21         1.09         1.34

Heavy physical work									0.33
No	1713	85	4.58	4.44	4.72	1.24	1.12	1.36	
Yes	298	15	5.01	4.74	5.29	1.10	0.85	1.36	
0 1 1 1 1									< 0.001
Occupational sedentary time	021	50	4.54	4.27	4.71	1.00	0.02	1.00	<.0001
0-<4 h	931	50	4.54	4.37	4.71	1.08	0.93	1.23	
4-<6 h	400	22	4.74	4.51	4.97	1.34	1.11	1.56	
6-<8 h	452	24	4.38	4.18	4.57	1.70	1.49	1.91	
≥8h	78	4	4.47	3.99	4.95	1.63	1.10	2.16	
Physical activity									0.02
Low	777	39	4.85	4.67	5.03	1.31	1.14	1.49	
Moderate	589	29	4.50	4.31	4.68	1.32	1.13	1.51	
High	631	32	4.51	4.33	4.68	1.03	0.86	1.20	
Body mass index									0.65
Underweight	7	0.5	4.72	3.36	6.09	NA			
Normal weight	757	38	4.38	4.20	4.55	1.19	1.03	1.35	
Overweight	806	41	4.56	4.41	4.71	1.20	1.03	1.36	
Obese	414	21	5.31	5.06	5.55	1.30	1.06	1.54	
Heavy alcohol use									0.83
No	1837	92	4.60	4.47	4.72	1.23	1.11	1.35	0.05
Yes	164	8	5.19	4.80	5.58	1.20	0.86	1.53	
Current smoking									0.32
No	1802	91	4.62	4.48	4.75	1.21	1.09	1.33	
Yes	172	9	4.90	4.53	5.28	1.39	1.05	1.73	

Sleep difficulties									0.002
No	985	49	4.54	4.38	4.69	1.06	0.91	1.21	
Moderate	460	23	4.78	4.57	4.99	1.22	1.00	1.44	
Severe	565	28	4.77	4.58	4.97	1.48	1.28	1.68	
Number of chronic diseases									0.03
0	540	28	4.60	4.42	4.79	1.02	0.83	1.21	
1	745	38	4.64	4.45	4.82	1.29	1.12	1.47	
≥2	655	34	4.71	4.52	4.89	1.32	1.13	1.50	
Mental disorders									0.01
No	1527	84	4.63	4.49	4.78	1.18	1.05	1.31	
Yes	285	16	4.80	4.53	5.07	1.56	1.29	1.83	
Self-reported health									0.03
Good	1492	74	4.52	4.38	4.65	1.13	1.00	1.26	
Average	438	22	4.92	4.70	5.13	1.40	1.18	1.63	
Poor	78	4	5.70	5.07	6.34	1.60	1.11	2.10	
Psychological distress									0.08
No	1760	88	4.62	4.48	4.75	1.18	1.06	1.30	
Yes	243	12	4.90	4.61	5.18	1.46	1.16	1.77	

**Supplement material** Supplemental Figure 1. Total sedentary time across the retirement transition for men and women. Supplemental Table 1. Television viewing time before retirement (wave -1) and mean changes in television viewing time during the retirement transition period (from wave -1 to wave +1) by pre-retirement characteristics of the population. All models adjusted for age, sex and occupational status. Supplemental Table 2. Computer use at home before retirement (wave -1) and mean changes in computer use during the retirement transition period (from wave -1 to wave +1) by pre-retirement characteristics of the population. All models adjusted for age, sex and occupational status. 

Supplemental Table 3. Other sitting time before retirement (wave -1) and mean changes in other sitting time during the retirement transition period (from wave -1 to wave +1) by pre-retirement characteristics of the population. All models adjusted for age, sex and occupational status.