Highlights:

- Malagasy bats select rice producing agricultural areas for foraging, potentially acting as important pest suppressors.
- Open space and edge space bats benefit the most by the conversion of forest to rice fields.
- Several economically important agricultural pests and disease vectors were detected in bat faecal samples.
- Promoting and conserving bat populations in agricultural landscapes might increase agricultural yields.

1 Bats as potential suppressors of multiple agricultural pests: a case study from 2 Madagascar James Kemp¹, Adrià López-Baucells^{1,2,3}, Ricardo Rocha^{3,4}, Owen S. Wangensteen⁵, Zo 3 Andriatafika^{3,6}, Abhilash Nair³, Mar Cabeza³ 4 1. Center for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c), Faculdade de 5 6 Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa (Portugal). 2. Granollers Museum of Natural Sciences, 08402 Granollers, Catalonia (Spain). 7 3. Global Change and Conservation Lab, Helsinki Institute of Sustainability Science, 8 9 Faculty of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki (Finland) 10 4. Conservation Science Group, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, 11 12 Cambridge, CB2 3EJ (UK) 5. Norwegian College of Fishery Science, University of Tromsø The Arctic University 13 of Norway 14 6. Institute of Science and Technics of the Environment (ISTE), University of 15 Fianarantsoa, BP 1264 Fianarantsoa (Madagascar). 16 17 Corresponding author: James Kemp (jamesrussellkemp@gmail.com) Keywords: Chiroptera, Acoustics, Agriculture, Rice, Ecosystem services, Crop pests, Pest 18 19 control, Metabarcoding 20 21 22

23

24 Abstract

The conversion of natural habitats to agriculture is one of the main drivers of biotic change. 25 26 Madagascar is no exception and land-use change, mostly driven by slash-and-burn agriculture, is impacting the island's exceptional biodiversity. Although most species are 27 negatively affected by agricultural expansion, some, such as synanthropic bats, are capable of 28 29 exploring newly available resources and benefit from man-made agricultural ecosystems. As bats are known predators of agricultural pests it seems possible that Malagasy bats may be 30 preferentially foraging within agricultural areas and therefore provide important pest 31 32 suppression services. To investigate the potential role of bats as pest suppressors, we conducted acoustic surveys of insectivorous bats in and around Ranomafana National Park, 33 Madagascar, during November and December 2015. We surveyed five landcover types: 34 35 irrigated rice, hillside rice, secondary vegetation, forest fragment and continuous forest. 9,569 bat passes from a regional assemblage of 19 species were recorded. In parallel, we collected 36 faeces from the six most common bat species to detect insect pest species in their diet using 37 DNA metabarcoding. Total bat activity was higher over rice fields when compared to forest 38 and bats belonging to the open space and edge space sonotypes were the most benefited by 39 40 the conversion of forest to hillside and irrigated rice. Two economically important rice pests 41 were detected in the faecal samples collected - the paddy swarming armyworm Spodoptera 42 mauritia was detected in Mops leucogaster samples while the grass webworm 43 Herpetogramma licarsisalis was detected from Mormopterus jugularis and Miniopterus majori samples. Other crops pests detected included the sugarcane cicada Yanga guttulata, 44 the macadamia nut-borer Thaumatotibia batrachopa and the sober tabby Ericeia inangulata 45 46 (a pest of citrus fruits). Samples from all bat species also contained reads from important insect disease vectors. In light of our results we argue that Malagasy insectivorous bats have 47 the potential to suppress agricultural pests. It is important to retain and maximise Malagasy 48

bat populations as they may contribute to higher agricultural yields and promote sustainablelivelihoods.

51 **1. Introduction**

The pervasive conversion of forests for food production is a conspicuous symbol of the 52 Anthropocene (Malhi, 2017). Large swaths of forest have already been cleared for agriculture 53 and the encroachment of natural ecosystems is due to continue as human populations and 54 food demand continue to rise (Giam, 2017). Madagascar holds a unique ensemble of 55 ecosystems and wildlife that is almost unmatched in its biological uniqueness (Goodman and 56 Benstead, 2005). However, despite its high level of endemism and species diversity, 57 Madagascar's forests continue to face one of the highest rates of conversion in the world with 58 approximately 1% of the island's forest cover being cleared each year (Rasolofoson et al., 59 60 2015; Eklund et al., 2016; Vieilledent et al., 2018). While most Malagasy biodiversity is adversely affected by agriculture-driven habitat modification, some 'winner' species benefit 61 62 from habitat modification and increase their abundance in agricultural areas. Several of these are insectivorous birds (Martin et al., 2012; Rocha et al., 2015) and bats (López-Baucells et 63 al., 2017b) that through the suppression of agricultural pests can provide valuable ecosystem 64 services to local populations (Karp and Daily 2014; Maas et al. 2015). 65

Rice (*Oryza* spp.) is one of the most important staple food crops worldwide (Muthayya *et al.*, 2014). It is the main crop cultivated by Malagasy subsistence farmers (Kari and Korhonen-Kurki, 2013) throughout the island, and as in numerous other high-biodiversity regions across the tropics, much of the ongoing deforestation is due to agricultural expansion for rice production (McConnell *et al.*, 2004; Neudert *et al.*, 2017). Such a high dependency on rice creates problems when yields are affected by climatic events or pest outbreaks (Harvey *et al.*, 2014). Insect rice pests are known to cause severe damage to rice crop yields (Oerke, 2006). Rice crop losses are predominantly caused by Lepidopteran stem borers found across several
families such as the Noctuidae, Pyralidae, Tortricidae or Geometridae (Nwilene *et al.*, 2013).

Modern day farming techniques incorporate Integrated Pest Management (IPM) to control 75 76 pest populations (Stenberg, 2017). However, many small-holder farmers in sub-Saharan Africa are unable to access IPM techniques due to lack of financial capital or expertise (Parsa 77 78 et al., 2014). A sustainable and low cost method to aid pest control and reduce crop losses is through biological control (Bommarco et al., 2013; Naranjo et al., 2015). Biological control, 79 as part of a wider application of integrated pest management practices, can involve 80 81 insectivorous bats, and has already been proven effective for pecan and rice farms in the USA 82 and Catalonia (Brown et al., 2015; Puig-Montserrat et al., 2015). Multiple lines of evidence support that aerial hawking insectivorous bats provide valuable agricultural pest control 83 84 services in both temperate and tropical regions (Boyles et al., 2011; Karp and Daily, 2014; Wanger et al., 2014; Brown et al., 2015; Russo et al., 2018). For instance, in the 85 Mediterranean the soprano pipistrelle *Pipistrellus pygmaeus* was found to suppress rice borer 86 moth Chilo suppressalis populations through opportunistic foraging (Puig-Montserrat et al., 87 2015). However, to date most research on tropical bat predation services has focussed on 88 89 coffee and cacao agroecosystems (Maas et al., 2016), with limited research targeting rice 90 (Wanger et al., 2014). One notable exception comes from Thailand where it was estimated 91 that predation of white backed planthoppers Sogathella furcifera by wrinkle-lipped bats 92 Tadarida plicata prevents rice crop losses valued at >1.2 million USD (or >26,000 rice meals) each year (Wanger et al., 2014). 93

Numerous bat species (particularly of the Molossidae and Vespertilionidae families) are known to coexist synanthropically by exploring newly available resources. These bat families have been shown to feed on pests (Brown *et al.*, 2015) and to select crops as preferred foraging areas especially during insect pest outbreaks (Lehmkuhl Noer *et al.*, 2012; Taylor *et* *al.*, 2013a; Davidai *et al.*, 2015). In fact, bats tend to select foraging areas based upon the
resources available (Ancillotto *et al.*, 2017), which makes them excellent pest suppressors
during seasonal insect pest outbreaks.

101 Large colonies of molossid bats roost in buildings across Madagascar (Razafindrakoto et al., 2010; López-Baucells et al., 2017b). However, any potential predation services provided by 102 these colonies are yet to be explored. Forty-two species of insectivorous bats occur in 103 Madagascar, with several species occurring more frequently in anthropogenic landscapes as 104 opposed to forest habitats (Randrianandrianina et al., 2006; Rakotoarivelo et al., 2007). In 105 106 general, most studies have focused on the dry western region (Goodman et al., 2005; Kofoky et al., 2006; Bambini et al., 2010; Racey et al., 2010; Fernández-Llamazares et al., 2018) as 107 opposed to the humid eastern zone (Randrianandrianina et al., 2006) and only a few studies 108 109 have tackled habitat selection while none have addressed the potential pest suppressor role in agricultural areas. 110

The DNA metabarcoding of bat faecal pellets can offer valuable insights into the dietary preferences of bats and their potential role as pest suppressors (Bohmann *et al.*, 2014; Swift *et al.*, 2018). Recent diet analyses of multiple bat species have detected a wide range of arthropods in bat populations (Galan *et al.*, 2017) including several economically important pest species (Taylor *et al.*, 2017).

Here, we combine bioacoustics and DNA metabarcoding to investigate if Malagasy insectivorous bats are foraging within the island's agricultural matrix and if they are consuming important pest species. Specifically, we address the following questions:

i) How does total bat activity, species (or species-group) activity and assemblage
composition change across a rice-dominated agroecosystem landscape? We
hypothesise that due to higher insect availability some bats will be more active

over rice fields compared to forested sites. We also predict a clear shift inassemblage composition from open to closed landcover types.

124 ii) Which species (or species-groups) are more common within the agricultural
125 matrix? We predict that synanthropic molossids will be particularly abundant in
126 rice fields but we still anticipate some forest associated species to forage outside
127 the forest border.

iii) Are bats roosting within the agricultural matrix predating on agricultural insect
pests? We expect bats to predate mainly on moths and beetles and we predict that
several of these will be agricultural pests of rice and other crops.

131 **2. Methods**

132 **2.1 Study area**

Fieldwork was conducted primarily in the peripheral zone surrounding the Ranomafana 133 National Park (RNP) (21°16'S, 47°20'E). The peripheral zone comprises over 160 villages 134 with a population in excess of 50,000 in an area of approximately 500 km² (Kari and 135 Korhonen-Kurki, 2013). Agricultural communities in the region, like many throughout 136 137 Madagascar, cultivate rice through slash-and-burn agriculture (tavy) and irrigated paddies (Peters, 1998; Brooks et al., 2009). The RNP is located between the central highlands and the 138 eastern lowlands and is of particular ecological and economic interest due to its high 139 140 biodiversity and watershed protection role.

141 **2.2 Bat surveys**

Bats were surveyed from November to December 2015 in 54 sites in and around RNP (Fig. 1). Sites were clustered around seven villages (Kelilalina, Tsaratanana, Mangevo, Andriamamovoka, Amboasary, Mandriandry and Tolongoina) and were classified into five landcover categories: irrigated rice fields (n = 12), hillside rice fields (n = 8), secondary 146 vegetation i.e. fallow agricultural land of mixed successional vegetation (n = 11), forest fragment (n = 9) and continuous forest in RNP (n = 15) (for landcover images and description 147 see supplementary materials Fig. A.1.). Bat activity was recorded using SongMeter 148 149 SM2BAT+ and SM3 autonomous bat detectors (Wildlife Acoustics, Concord, MA, USA). Detectors were secured to a tree at approximately 1.5 m with external SMX-II omni-150 directional microphones (Wildlife Acoustics, Concord, MA, USA). Detectors were set to 151 record calls continuously from 18:00 until 06:00 for three consecutive nights at each locality. 152 Bat activity was sampled for 1,956 hours across a total of 147 detector-nights of sampling 153 154 effort. Detectors were set with a 384 kHz sample rate, 12 kHz digital high pass filter, 18 dB trigger level, microphone bias off, and 36 dB gain. We used a 1.0 s trigger window minimum 155 to capture calls prior to the initial trigger. 156

157 #Figure 1 approximately here#

158 **2.3 Bioacoustic analysis**

159 Recordings were manually classified using Kaleidoscope software version 3.1.7 (Wildlife Acoustics, Concord, MA, USA). We defined a bat pass as a recording of five seconds 160 maximum with at least two pulses with more than 20 dB of difference between the 161 background noise and bat call (Appel et al., 2017) Call sequences were manually identified to 162 species level or left as mixed species groups, or sonotypes, where it was not possible to 163 clearly assign a call to a particular species (Torrent et al., 2018). Call sequences were also 164 identified as feeding buzzes (specific echolocation sequence that a bat uses as it pursues and 165 subsequently catches its prey). We used the frequency of maximum energy or peak frequency 166 (kHz), the start and ending frequencies (kHz), the duration (ms) and the call shape to identify 167 or group species from the existing literature and our own release calls (Fenton et al., 1980; 168 Russ and Bennett, 2001; Kofoky et al., 2009; Goodman et al., 2011; Goodman et al., 2015). 169 Our analysis included a total of 11 sonotypes from the families Emballonuridae, 170

Hipposideridae, Molossidae, Miniopteridae, Myzopodidae and Vespertilionidae. Five
sonotypes were classified to species level and the remaining six into sonotype groups (Table
173 1).

174 #Table 1 approximately here#

175 **2.4 Faecal sample collection**

Mist-nets were used to capture bats at roosts in five villages in the RNP area (for sampling 176 details see López-Baucells et al., 2017). Three caves were inspected and surveyed with mist-177 netting outside of the emergence point (for *Miniopterus* spp. and *Myotis goudoti*). Bats were 178 measured, weighed and identified using keys (Russ and Bennett, 2001; Goodman, 2011). Bat 179 capture and handling was conducted following guidelines approved by the American Society 180 of Mammalogists (Sikes et al., 2011). We kept the bats in cloth bags for an hour until 181 182 defecation occurred. Faecal pellets were labelled and stored in 2 ml tubes in 95% ethanol and stored in a cool dry space. Of the 322 bats caught, we collected faecal samples from 150 bats. 183 Fifty-eight faecal samples from six species (Chaerephon atsinanana, Mops leucostigma, 184 Mormopterus jugularis, Myotis goudoti, Miniopterus manavi, Miniopterus majori) were used 185 for the diet analysis. 186

187 **2.5 DNA extraction and PCR amplification**

The DNA was extracted from the faecal samples using the Norgen Stool Kit following instructions provided by the manufacturers (Norgen Biotek Corp.). Amplification of DNA from the faeces was achieved using the Leray-XT PCR primer set (Wangensteen *et al.*, 2018b), a highly degenerated primer set targeting a 313-bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c. oxidase subunit I (COI) region. The mlCOIintF-XT primer (5'-GGWACWRGWTGRACWITITAYCCYCC-3') was used as forward primer. This modified version (Wangensteen *et al.*, 2018b) of the mlCOIintF primer (Leray *et al.*, 2013) included 195 two extra degenerate bases (equimolar mixtures of two different bases at a given position) and two inosine nucleotides to enhance its eukaryotic universality. The reverse primer was 196 jgHCO2198 (5'-TAIACYTCIGGRTGICCRAARAAYCA-3';(Geller et al., 2013)). The Leray 197 198 fragment has already been successfully applied to the characterisation of both marine fish gut contents (Leray et al., 2013), marine invertebrates (Siegenthaler et al., 2018) and terrestrial 199 arthropods (Macías-Hernández et al., 2018). A single-PCR step using primers with attached 200 eight-base oligo-tags (Coissac et al., 2012) was used to label different samples in a 201 multiplexed library; moreover a variable number (2, 3 or 4) of fully degenerate positions (Ns) 202 203 was added at the beginning of each primer, in order to increase variability of the amplicon sequences (Guardiola et al., 2015) .The PCR mix recipe included 10 µl AmpliTag gold 360 204 205 master mix (Applied Biosystems), 3.2 µg Bovine Serum Albumin (Thermo-Scientific), 1 µl 206 of each of the 5 µM forward and reverse tagged-primers, 5.84 µl water and 2 µl extracted DNA template (~ 5 ng µl-1). The PCR profile included an initial denaturing step of 95 °C for 207 10 min, 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 45 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min and a final 208 extension step of 72 °C for 5 minutes. After a quality check of all amplicons by 209 electrophoresis, the tagged PCR products (including a negative control) were pooled into a 210 multiplexed sample pool and purified using MinElute columns (Qiagen). An Illumina library 211 was subsequently built from these pools, using the NextFlex PCR-free library preparation kit 212 (BIOO Scientific). The library was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform using v3 213 214 chemistry (2x250 bp paired-ends), as part of a multiplexed run including ten other unrelated libraries. 215

216 2.7 Bioinformatic analyses

Bioinformatic analyses were performed using the OBITools metabarcoding software suite
(Boyer *et al.*, 2016). Read quality assessment was performed with FastQC and only pairedend reads with phred quality score > 40 was retained. Demultiplexing and primer removal

220 were achieved using ngsfilter. Obigrep was applied to select all aligned reads with a length between 303-323 bp and without ambiguous bases. Obiuniq was used to dereplicate the reads 221 and the uchime-denovo algorithm (Edgar et al., 2011) implemented in VSEARCH (Rognes et 222 223 al., 2016) was used to remove chimeric sequences. Amplicon clustering was performed using the SWARM 2.0 algorithm (Mahé et al., 2015) with a distance value of d=13, which offers a 224 conservative solution to the high variability of the COI gene (Siegenthaler et al., 2018). 225 Taxonomic assignment of the representative sequences for each molecular operational 226 taxonomic unit (MOTU) was performed using the ecotag algorithm (Boyer et al., 2016), 227 228 using a local reference database (Wangensteen et al., 2018b) containing filtered COI sequences retrieved from the BOLD database (Ratnasingham and Hebert, 2007) and the 229 EMBL repository (Kulikova et al., 2004). This algorithm uses a phylogenetic approach to 230 231 assign sequences to the most reliable monophyletic unit, so that sequences are assigned to 232 different taxonomic ranks, depending on the density of the reference database. The data was refined by removing contaminations of marine origin (originated by tag-switching from other 233 multiplexed libraries in the sequencing run). A minimum abundance filter of 5 total reads was 234 used to avoid false positives and low frequency noise (De Barba et al., 2014; Wangensteen 235 and Turon, 2017). This pipeline, with little variations, has been previously used for analysing 236 metabarcoding data for the same COI marker in a variety of systems (e.g. Wangensteen and 237 238 Turon, 2017; Macías-Hernández et al., 2018; Siegenthaler et al., 2018; Wangensteen et al., 239 2018a; Wangensteen et al., 2018b). The resulting data has been deposited on Mendeley Data ([dataset] Kemp et al., 2018) 240

241 **2.8 Statistical analysis**

Bat activity was defined as the total number of bat passes per night from all species as well as for each sonotype (Torrent *et al.*, 2018). As appropriate for count data, negative binomial or Poisson generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) with a log link function were used to model the relationship between bat activity and landcover type (continuous forest, forest fragments, secondary vegetation, hillside rice and irrigated rice) (Burnham and Anderson, 2003). Species with less than 300 recordings were not used in the analysis due to a lack of model convergence. Since preliminary analyses suggested that the count data were overdispersed, we accounted for this overdispersion by using a Poisson or negative binomial regression in *glmer* or *glmmADMB* and adding a random intercept of "Site" nested within "Location" (Bates, 2010).

Numbers of bat passes were positively correlated with feeding buzzes (Table A.1). We 252 253 therefore only used the larger bat passes dataset for modelling as a proxy for feeding activity (Torrent et al., 2018). Moran's I test showed that there is no residual spatial autocorrelation 254 between sites (Table A.2). The difference in assemblage structure between landcover types 255 256 was analysed using the analysis of dissimilarity test adonis. It was visualised through a nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), based on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix, using 257 sonotype activity data per site. We analysed and presented the data using R statistical 258 software 3.4.1 (R Development Core Team, 2017) with the packages: tidyverse (Wickham, 259 2016), lme4 (Bates et al., 2014), glmmADMB (Skaug et al., 2015) and vegan (Oksanen et 260 261 al., 2013).

The relative abundance of MOTU reads for prey items (excluding predator reads and normalized to 10,000 total prey reads per sample) was calculated for all prey MOTUs. The relative abundances per faecal sample for all prey MOTUs were then averaged per bat species. We then grouped the MOTU sequences by arthropod orders and highlighted the pest and disease transmitting insect species, alongside any species or genera that we suspected to have a potential pest status. 268 **3. Results**

269 **3.1 Bat activity**

270 We recorded a total of 9,569 bat passes, of which 1,643 (17 %) were identified to species (Hipposideros commersoni, М. manavi, М. goudoti, *Myzopoda* 271 level aurita, Paraemballonura atrata), 2,261 (24 %) were identified to sonotypes of two species 272 (Miniopterus gleni/M. majori, Scotophilus robustus/M. gleni, S. robustus/M. jugularis, 273 Otomops madagascariensis/Tadarida fulminans), and 5,665 (60 %) were attributed to 274 sonotypes Molossidae 1 (Mo1: C. atsinanana, M. leucostigma, M. jugularis, Taphozous 275 mauritianus) and Vespertilionidae/Miniopteridae 1 (VMi1: M. gleni, M. majori, M. manavi, 276 Miniopterus soroculus, Neoromicia matroka, Pipistrellus hesperidus, Pipistrellus raceyi). In 277 total 1,013 feeding buzzes were recorded, with Mo1 accounting for 389 (38 %) of feeding 278 279 buzzes, VMi1 for 334 (33 %) and P. atrata for 102 (10 %).

Bat activity was highest in hillside rice with a mean of 197 passes/night and more than double that of the next landcover type with more bat activity - irrigated rice at 89 passes/night (Table 2). Overall bat activity in both types of rice field, hillside and irrigated, was higher than activity levels in continuous forest (Table A.3). According to pairwise comparisons (Table A.4), total bat activity over hillside rice was higher than in continuous forest (p<0.01) and forest fragments (p<0.05) whereas activity in irrigated rice was only higher than continuous forest (p<0.01).

In hillside and irrigated rice, Mo1, VMi1, *M. goudoti* and *M. gleni/M. majori*, had significantly higher activity compared to continuous forest while *O. madagascariensis/T. fulminans* was higher in hillside rice compared to continuous forest. In continuous forest and forest fragments, *P. atrata* and *M. goudoti* had the highest mean bat passes/night, respectively (Fig. 2). 292 #Table 2 approximately here#

293 #Figure 2 approximately here#

3.2 Assemblage composition

Assemblage composition varied between landcover type (adonis: $r^2 = 0.253$; p = 0.001). This was corroborated by the NMDS ordination which revealed distinct patterns of dissimilarities in assemblage composition between the five landcover classes (Fig. 3). The NMDS had a final stress value of 0.12 conveying a good representation of the data along the represented dimensions.

300 #Figure 3 approximately here#

301 3.3 Presence of insect pests in faecal samples (DNA metabarcoding)

We obtained a total number of 655,205 MOTU reads from all samples. 43.5% (285,978) of the reads were attributed to bats while 5.3% (34,599) of the reads were assigned to arthropods. Overall, when looking at the insect orders found in the faecal samples, the highest average relative abundance of MOTU reads found were of Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Ephemeroptera, Diptera and Hemiptera (Table 3). All the bats species sampled fed on, at least, 11-13 orders of arthropods.

308 #Table 3 approximately here#

In 58 bat faecal samples we found six known pest species, seven insect vectors of human 309 310 diseases and 17 potential pest taxa (Table A.5). Of the known agricultural pests found in the 311 faecal samples, two economically important rice pest species were found - the paddy swarming caterpillar Spodoptera mauritia in M. leucogaster and the grass webworm 312 Herpetogramma licarsisalis in M. jugularis and M. majori. Other crops pests detected were: 313 314 the black twig borer Xyleborus ferrugineus a pest of coffee; the sugarcane cicada Yanga guttulata; the macadamia nut-borer Thaumatotibia batrachopa and the sober tabby Ericeia 315 inangulata a pest of citrus fruits. Potential pest species and genera, from the order 316

Lepidoptera, were found in all bat species. In particular: *Mythimna* sp. – a genus containing
the rice armyworm *Mythimna unipuncta*; *Emmalocera* sp. – a genus containing a sugarcane
root borer *Emmalocera depressella*; and *Cydia choleropa* – a sister species of the codling
moth *Cydia pomonella* a pest of apples and pears.

321 Discussion

Large colonies of, predominantly, molossid, vespertillionid and miniopterid bats, were found to be preferentially selecting the rice fields surrounding the RNP. Six species of bats were shown to have fed upon economically important insect pests such as the paddy swarming caterpillar (*Spodoptera mauritia*) and the Grass webworm (*Herpetogramma licarsisalis*). In agreement with Puig-montserrat *et al.* (2011) and Wanger *et al.* (2014) insectivorous bats, particularly molossids, are likely to be preferentially selecting rice fields for foraging and feeding upon rice crops pests and other economically important insects.

329 Bat activity across landcover types.

The highest overall mean activity was found in hillside rice followed by irrigated rice and 330 secondary vegetation (Table 2). Hillside rice has markedly lower yields compared to lowland 331 irrigated rice. Water and nutrient run-off impact the growth of upland rice. A lack of water 332 333 and nutrient retention in the rice crop makes it more susceptible to insect pest infestations. 334 This may be one reason why we recorded the highest activity in hillside rice. However, it is 335 also possible that there was an altitudinal detection bias as hillside rice and secondary 336 vegetation sites were on open hillsides with little vegetation and facing large valleys (Collins and Jones, 2009). Both sites, however, were found at similar altitudes and had markedly 337 different results (Table 2, Table A.3). Irrigated rice sites, on the other hand, are found at the 338 339 bottom of valleys. Despite the possible altitudinal bias, activity within irrigated rice was the second highest of the landcover types (Table 2, Table A.3). Intensive rice agriculture 340 harbours high densities of insect pests which provide an excellent resource for insectivorous 341

bats. Insects form swarms, especially tympanic moths (Noctuidae, Crambidae and Pyralidae),
during mating and emergence, which bats are able to opportunistically prey upon
(McCracken *et al.*, 2012; Malmqvist *et al.*, 2018).

The open space group, Mo1, was the most active overall and over hillside rice (Figure 2, Table 2). This suggests that open-space aerial hawkers are important agents of pest suppression in the rice-dominated landscape surrounding the RNP and potentially throughout much of Madagascar's agroecosystems. Further research and action is required to improve the knowledge of bats dietary preferences, both temporally and spatially. The reputation of these bats among local communities needs to be improved, especially as they form large colonies in public buildings (López-Baucells *et al.*, 2017b).

The results conform to the notion that molossids (in addition to *Taphozous mauritianus*), which are open-space aerial hawkers, commute and forage at higher altitudes than other families (Lee and McCracken, 2002; McCracken *et al.*, 2008; Taylor *et al.*, 2013b). Open space foragers have a high wing loading ratio (fast flight; low manoeuvrability) which suggests that they do not use cluttered sites and this explains their low detection in forested areas (Schnitzler and Kalko, 2001).

The sonotype VMi1, comprised of three vespertilionids and three miniopterids, was found to be the most active in irrigated rice fields and the second most active overall (Figure 2, Table 2). The species in this sonotype forage as edge-space aerial-hawkers (Verboom and Huitema, 1997; Taylor *et al.*, 2013b). The mosaic of vegetation and fruit trees, rivers and streams, paths, terraces and anthropomorphic structures within the vicinity of the rice fields may provide this group with the required heterogeneity or "edge" habitat to forage (Monck-Whipp *et al.*, 2018). This is important for the contextualization of our results as edge-space foragers are known to predate upon insect pests within agroecosystems (Taylor *et al.*, 2013a; Taylor *et al.*, 2013b; Brown *et al.*, 2015; Puig-Montserrat *et al.*, 2015)

The edge-clutter species, Myotis goudoti and Paraemballonura atrata, were previously 367 captured in forest in the same region as our study (Goodman et al., 2014). We recorded both 368 species at relatively high levels in irrigated rice, forest fragments and continuous forest sites. 369 370 Although post hoc tests showed no significant differences, this activity shows that these species are selecting lowland irrigated rice and forest for foraging. The NMDS plots show 371 that both species are strongly associated with forest sites (Fig. 3). NMDS axis 2 shows P. 372 373 atrata is more associated with rice fields than M. goudoti. The fact that these edge-clutter species, P. atrata and M. goudoti, switch between open and closed sites highlights the 374 importance of retaining forest nearby for roost provision and maintaining bat populations. 375 376 The paucity of available roosts for bats in rice dominated landscapes is certainly an issue and one that requires addressing as a sustainable solution to crop losses. Installing bat houses and 377 improving landscape heterogeneity are ways to address the lack of suitable roosts available 378 (Flaquer et al., 2006; Lindell et al., 2018; Monck-Whipp et al., 2018). 379

Additionally, we recorded two charismatic, endemic and difficult to catch species -380 Myzopoda aurita and Hipposideros commersoni. The eastern sucker-footed bat Myzopoda 381 *aurita* was recorded in hillside and irrigated rice and in secondary vegetation (Table 2). This 382 383 species roosts in the furled-up leaves of the traveller's palm Ravenala madagascariensis which can grow in open areas of vegetation or forest. Commersoni's 384 horseshoe bat Hipposideros commersoni is the largest insectivorous bat in Madagascar, listed 385 as Near Threatened (Andriafidison, 2008), and it was mainly recorded in hillside rice (Table 386 2). The echolocation of Hipposideros commersoni (high duty cycle echolocation) is 387 extremely efficient for hunting in cluttered spaces. The bat may be roosting in the remnant 388 forests and foraging in the adjacent hillside rice. The rarity of both species might limit their 389

390 predation services but their high association with forest habitat qualifies them as good391 indicator taxa for the evaluation of habitat disturbance.

As expected, from the NMDS plots, the assemblage composition in the landscape shows that 392 there was a turnover of species and sonotypes (Fig. 3). One can see a gradient from irrigated 393 rice to continuous forest, from left to right. The open space foragers (Mo1 and O. 394 madagascariensis/T. fulminans) occupy the left side of NMDS axis 1 while the clutter and 395 edge-space foragers (M. goudoti and P. atrata) occupy the right side of the plot, illustrating 396 the foraging preferences of the aforementioned guilds (Schnitzler and Kalko, 2001). Hillside 397 398 rice and secondary vegetation almost entirely overlap which illustrates the similarity of these sites in terms of species assemblage. 399

400 Diet analysis and implications of bat foraging behaviour

The DNA metabarcoding results illustrate that insectivorous bats feed on a wide range of prey including a number of economically important insect pest species that affect a range of crops in addition to insect disease vectors (see supplementary materials Table A.5.). The results of this study, therefore, show the potential role of insectivorous bats in supressing economically important insects in agricultural landscapes.

We found that the sonotypes that were preferentially selecting rice fields were also the most 406 important contributors to pest suppression in rice fields. For example, M. leucogaster and M. 407 408 jugularis from the Mo1 sonotype were found to have fed upon the rice pests Spodoptera mauritia and Herpetogramma licarsisalis, respectively (Table A.5). Greater pest suppression 409 leads to greater yields and less reliance upon slash and burn agriculture, or tavy (Styger et al., 410 2007). This form of agriculture is environmentally damaging and encroaches upon forests 411 when fallow lands are no longer fertile. Forest fragments still offer valuable refuges for 412 certain species, yet insectivorous bats generally prefer rice fields for foraging. By identifying 413

the most active sonotypes and how they change across different land-uses we can begin tounderstand the level of pest suppression that bats provide to agricultural landscapes.

It is important to note that the fieldwork only spanned a short amount of time (approximately 416 417 three days per locality). The research therefore does not reflect the seasonal and spatial variation of bat diets nor do the results intentionally follow peaks in insect populations. 418 Additionally, although we have identified bat predation on predatory arthropods that can 419 potentially contribute to the suppression of agricultural pests (e.g. spiders - order Araneae -420 were identified in the diet of *M. goudoti*; Table 3) we did not explore the effects of intra-guild 421 422 predation on herbivorous arthropods. Since most Malagasy bats are predominantly aerial feeders we anticipated that bat predation on non-flying arthropods would be limited. We 423 suggest that future research should try to investigate the effects of intra-guild predation and 424 425 any potential cascading effects on the abundance of agricultural pests and on rice yield. Furthermore, despite the fact that our study focussed on a rice-dominated agroecosystem, it is 426 important to note that the pests of other crops found in bat faeces illustrates the global 427 potential of bats as pest suppressors. Further research quantifying the role of bats as pest 428 suppressors in Madagascar is urgently needed as they: receive little protection from Malagasy 429 430 legislation; fall under game species regulations i.e. they are not actively protected; many are 431 data deficient; and there is little appreciation of their role in ecosystem services (Racey *et al.*, 432 2010).

433 Conclusions

Deforestation and habitat loss due to agricultural expansion are the primary driver of biodiversity loss in Madagascar. The need for agricultural expansion to compensate for crop losses is exacerbated by climatic extremes and insect pest outbreaks. We found that Malagasy insectivorous bats have the potential to suppress these outbreaks as they predate upon insect 438 pests. Therefore, retaining and maximising bat populations across the island's agricultural landscapes can contribute to higher agricultural yields and help promote sustainable 439 livelihoods. Provision of artificial roosts such as bat-boxes (Puig-Montserrat et al., 2015; 440 López-Baucells et al., 2017a) and increased landscape heterogeneity is an important 441 consideration for agricultural and conservation planning, specifically for open and edge-442 foragers. Since some cave-dwelling bat species (i.e. Miniopterus manavi, Miniopterus majori, 443 and *Myotis goudoti*) were also predating on insect pests, appropriate conservation legislation 444 and cave protection initiatives (i.e. regulation of the harvesting of guano and cave tourism) 445 446 are essential to keep their populations stable. Further research and action is required to improve the knowledge of bat dietary preferences, following pest outbreaks both temporally 447 and spatially, while improving the reputation of bats among local communities. 448

449 Acknowledgements

We thank Georges Razafindrakoto, Eric Marcel Temba, Kristian Forbes and Tafita Tojosoa 450 Rakotoarimanana for fieldwork and logistical assistance, and MICET and Centre ValBio staff 451 for their hospitality and logistical support. We also thank the Department of Biosciences, 452 University of Helsinki for supporting the RESPECT field course. Madagascar National Parks 453 and the "Ministere de l'Environnement de l'ecologie et des forests" (Département de 454 Biologie Animale, DBA) provided the research permits to capture bat specimens within the 455 study area (293/15/MEEMF/SG/DGF/DAPT/SCBT). This work was supported by the 456 Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology under Grant PD/BD/52597/2014 for 457 ALB, 318 SFRH/BD/80488/2011 for RR and PD/BD/114363/2016 to JK. MC is financially 458 supported Academy of Finland #257686). 459 by the (grant

460 Figure and table captions

461 Figure 1. Map of sampling sites within and surrounding the Ranomafana National Park,462 Madagascar.

463 Figure 2. Mean bat activity per night per sonotype (>300 passes) for each landcover type,
464 with standard errors. See Table 1 for sonotype abbreviations.

Figure 3. NMDS plot showing community assemblage of sonotypes (in text) relative to
sampling sites (coloured dots – corresponding to landcover type). See Table 1 for sonotype
abbreviations.

468 Table 1. List of species known to occur in the region incorporating Ranomafana National

469 Park with sonotypes created from mean peak frequency ranges from the existing literature.

470 Table 2. Mean bat passes $(\pm SD)$ per night per sonotype across each landcover type.

471 Significant differences to continuous forest from generalised linear mixed models highlighted472 in bold.

473 Table 3. Average relative abundance of MOTU reads per 10,000 reads for six bat species

474 (number of samples in brackets) grouped by arthropod order. See Table A.5 for insect pest

475 and disease vector species and genera.

476 **References**

477 Ancillotto, L., Ariano, A., Nardone, V., Budinski, I., Rydell, J., Russo, D., 2017. Effects of

478 free-ranging cattle and landscape complexity on bat foraging: Implications for bat

479 conservation and livestock management. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 241, 54-61

480 Andriafidison, D., Cardiff, S.G., Goodman, S.M., Hutson, A.M., Jenkins, R.K.B., Kofoky,

481 A.F., Racey, P.A., Ranivo, J., Ratrimomanarivo, F.H. and Razafimanahaka, H.J., 2008.

- 482 Hipposideros commersoni. In: IUCN (Ed.), The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species
- 483 2008.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T10120A3168011.en</u>.
- 484 Appel, G., López-Baucells, A., Magnusson, W.E., Bobrowiec, P.E.D., 2017. Aerial
- 485 insectivorous bat activity in relation to moonlight intensity. Mamm. Biol. 85, 37-
- 486 46.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2016.11.005
- 487 Bambini, L., Kofoky, A., Mbohoahy, T., Ralisata, M., Manjoazy, T., Hosken, D.J., Jenkins,
- 488 R.K., 2010. Do bats need trees? Habitat use of two Malagasy hipposiderid bats Triaenops
- 489 furculus and T. menamena in the dry Southwest. Hystrix 22.https://doi.org/10.4404/Hystrix-
- 490 22.1-4467
- 491 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2014. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models
- 492 using Eigen and S4. R package version 1
- 493 Bates, D.M., 2010. lme4: Mixed-effects modeling with R. Springer New York
- Bohmann, K., Evans, A., Gilbert, M.T.P., Carvalho, G.R., Creer, S., Knapp, M., Douglas,
- 495 W.Y., De Bruyn, M., 2014. Environmental DNA for wildlife biology and biodiversity
- 496 monitoring. Trends Ecol. Evol. 29, 358-367.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.04.003
- 497 Bommarco, R., Kleijn, D., Potts, S.G., 2013. Ecological intensification: harnessing ecosystem
- 498 services for food security. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28, 230-
- 499 238.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.012
- 500 Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Bonin, A., Le Bras, Y., Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., 2016. obitools: a
- 501 unix-inspired software package for DNA metabarcoding. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 16, 176-
- 502 182.https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12428
- 503 Boyles, J.G., Cryan, P.M., McCracken, G.F., Kunz, T.H., 2011. Economic importance of bats
- in agriculture. Science 332, 41-42

- 505 Brooks, C.P., Holmes, C., Kramer, K., Barnett, B., Keitt, T.H., 2009. The role of demography
- and markets in determining deforestation rates near Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar.

507 PLoS ONE 4, e5783.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005783

- 508 Brown, V.A., de Torrez, E.B., McCracken, G.F., 2015. Crop pests eaten by bats in organic
- 509 pecan orchards. Crop Protect. 67, 66-71.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.09.011
- 510 Burnham, K., Anderson, D., 2003. Information and likelihood theory: a basis for model
- selection and inference. In: Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. (Eds.), Model selection and
- 512 multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. Springer Science &
- 513 Business Media, pp. 49-97.
- 514 Coissac, E., Riaz, T., Puillandre, N., 2012. Bioinformatic challenges for DNA metabarcoding
- of plants and animals. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1834-1847.https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 516 294X.2012.05550.x
- 517 Collins, J., Jones, G., 2009. Differences in bat activity in relation to bat detector height:
- 518 implications for bat surveys at proposed windfarm sites. Acta Chiropt. 11, 343-
- 519 350.https://doi.org/10.3161/150811009X485576
- 520 Davidai, N., Westbrook, J.K., Lessard, J.-P., Hallam, T.G., McCracken, G.F., 2015. The
- 521 importance of natural habitats to Brazilian free-tailed bats in intensive agricultural landscapes
- 522 in the Winter Garden region of Texas, United States. Biol. Conserv. 190, 107-
- 523 114.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.05.015
- 524 De Barba, M., Miquel, C., Boyer, F., Mercier, C., Rioux, D., Coissac, E., Taberlet, P., 2014.
- 525 DNA metabarcoding multiplexing and validation of data accuracy for diet assessment:
- application to omnivorous diet. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 14, 306-323.https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-
- 527 0998.12188

- 528 Edgar, R.C., Haas, B.J., Clemente, J.C., Quince, C., Knight, R., 2011. UCHIME improves
- sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27, 2194-
- 530 2200.https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381
- 531 Eklund, J., Blanchet, F.G., Nyman, J., Rocha, R., Virtanen, T., Cabeza, M., 2016. Contrasting
- spatial and temporal trends of protected area effectiveness in mitigating deforestation in
- 533 Madagascar. Biol. Conserv. 203, 290-297.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.033
- 534 Fenton, M., Bell, G., Thomas, D., 1980. Echolocation and feeding behaviour of *Taphozous*
- 535 mauritianus (Chiroptera: Emballonuridae). Can. J. Zool. 58, 1774-
- 536 1777.https://doi.org/10.1139/z80-244
- 537 Fernández-Llamazares, Á., López-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Andriamitandrina, S.F.,
- 538 Andriatafika, Z.E., Burgas, D., Temba, E.M., Torrent, L., Cabeza, M., 2018. Are sacred caves
- still safe havens for the endemic bats of Madagascar? Oryx 52, 271-
- 540 275.https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605317001648
- 541 Flaquer, C., Torre, I., Ruiz-Jarillo, R., 2006. The value of bat-boxes in the conservation of
- 542 Pipistrellus pygmaeus in wetland rice paddies. Biol. Conserv. 128, 223-
- 543 230.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.09.030
- 544 Galan, M., Pons, J.B., Tournayre, O., Pierre, E., Leuchtmann, M., Pontier, D., Charbonnel,
- 545 N., 2017. Metabarcoding for the parallel identification of several hundred predators and their
- 546 preys: application to bat species diet analysis. Mol. Ecol.
- 547 Resour.https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12749
- 548 Geller, J., Meyer, C., Parker, M., Hawk, H., 2013. Redesign of PCR primers for
- 549 mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I for marine invertebrates and application in
- 550 all-taxa biotic surveys. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 13, 851-861.https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-
- 551 0998.12138

- 552 Giam, X., 2017. Global biodiversity loss from tropical deforestation. Proceedings of the
- 553 National Academy of Sciences 114, 5775-5777.https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706264114
- 554 Goodman, S., 2011. Les chauves-souris de Madagascar. Association Vahatra, Antananarivo.
- 555 Goodman, S.M., Andriafidison, D., Andrianaivoarivelo, R., Cardiff, S.G., Ifticene, E.,
- Jenkins, R.K., Kofoky, A., Mbohoahy, T., Rakotondravony, D., Ranivo, J., 2005. The
- distribution and conservation of bats in the dry regions of Madagascar. Anim. Conserv. 8,
- 558 153-165.https://doi.org/10.1017/S136794300500199X
- 559 Goodman, S.M., Benstead, J.P., 2005. Updated estimates of biotic diversity and endemism
- 560 for Madagascar. Oryx 39, 73-77.https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605305000128
- 561 Goodman, S.M., Rakotondramanana, C.F., Ramasindrazana, B., Kearney, T., Monadjem, A.,
- 562 Schoeman, M.C., Taylor, P.J., Naughton, K., Appleton, B., 2015. An integrative approach to
- characterize Malagasy bats of the subfamily Vespertilioninae Gray, 1821, with the
- description of a new species of Hypsugo. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 173, 988-
- 565 1018.https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12223
- 566 Goodman, S.M., Ramasindrazana, B., Maminirina, C.P., Schoeman, M.C., Appleton, B.,
- 567 2011. Morphological, bioacoustical, and genetic variation in Miniopterus bats from eastern
- 568 Madagascar, with the description of a new species. Zootaxa 2880, 1-
- 569 19.https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12223
- 570 Goodman, S.M., Rasoanoro, M., Ralisata, M., Ramasindrazana, B., 2014. The bats of the
- 571 Kianjavato-Vatovavy region, lowland eastern central Madagascar. Malagasy Nature 8, 89-
- 572 102
- 573 Guardiola, M., Uriz, M.J., Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Wangensteen, O.S., Turon, X., 2015.
- 574 Deep-sea, deep-sequencing: metabarcoding extracellular DNA from sediments of marine
- 575 canyons. PLoS ONE 10, e0139633.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139633

- 576 Harvey, C.A., Rakotobe, Z.L., Rao, N.S., Dave, R., Razafimahatratra, H., Rabarijohn, R.H.,
- 577 Rajaofara, H., MacKinnon, J.L., 2014. Extreme vulnerability of smallholder farmers to
- 578 agricultural risks and climate change in Madagascar. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
- 579 Society B: Biological Sciences 369, 20130089.10.1098/rstb.2013.0089
- 580 Kari, S., Korhonen-Kurki, K., 2013. Framing local outcomes of biodiversity conservation
- through ecosystem services: A case study from Ranomafana, Madagascar. Ecosyst. Serv. 3,
- 582 e32-e39.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2012.12.003</u>
- 583 Karp, D.S., Daily, G.C., 2014. Cascading effects of insectivorous birds and bats in tropical
- coffee plantations. Ecology 95, 1065-1074.https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1012.1
- 585 Kemp, J., López-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Wangensteen, O.S., Andriatafika, Z., Nair, A.,
- 586 Cabeza, M., 2018. Metabarcoding results from the research article: "Bats as potential
- suppressors of multiple agricultural pests: a case study from Madagascar". Mendeley
- 588 Data.https://10.17632/x43j7mv6tp.1
- 589 Kofoky, A., Andriafidison, D., Ratrimomanarivo, F., Razafimanahaka, H.J., Rakotondravony,
- 590 D., Racey, P.A., Jenkins, R.K., 2006. Habitat use, roost selection and conservation of bats in
- 591 Tsingy de Bemaraha National Park, Madagascar. Vertebrate Conservation and Biodiversity.
- 592 Springer, pp. 213-227.
- 593 Kofoky, A.F., Randrianandrianina, F., Russ, J., Raharinantenaina, I., Cardiff, S.G., Jenkins,
- 594 R.K., Racey, P.A., 2009. Forest bats of Madagascar: results of acoustic surveys. Acta
- 595 Chiropt. 11, 375-392.https://doi.org/10.3161/150811009X485602
- 596 Kulikova, T., Aldebert, P., Althorpe, N., Baker, W., Bates, K., Browne, P., van den Broek,
- 597 A., Cochrane, G., Duggan, K., Eberhardt, R., 2004. The EMBL nucleotide sequence
- database. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, D27-D30.https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki098

- 599 Lee, Y.-F., McCracken, G.F., 2002. Foraging activity and food resource use of Brazilian free-
- 600 tailed bats, Tadarida brasiliensis (Molossidae). Ecoscience 9, 306-
- 601 313.https://doi.org/10.1080/11956860.2002.11682717
- Lehmkuhl Noer, C., Dabelsteen, T., Bohmann, K., Monadjem, A., 2012. Molossid bats in an
- African agro-ecosystem select sugarcane fields as foraging habitat. Afr. Zool. 47, 1-
- 604 11.https://doi.org/10.3377/004.047.0120
- Leray, M., Yang, J.Y., Meyer, C.P., Mills, S.C., Agudelo, N., Ranwez, V., Boehm, J.T.,
- Machida, R.J., 2013. A new versatile primer set targeting a short fragment of the
- 607 mitochondrial COI region for metabarcoding metazoan diversity: application for
- 608 characterizing coral reef fish gut contents. Front Zool 10, 34.https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-
- 609 9994-10-34
- 610 Lindell, C., Eaton, R.A., Howard, P.H., Roels, S.M., Shave, M.E., 2018. Enhancing
- agricultural landscapes to increase crop pest reduction by vertebrates. Agric., Ecosyst.
- 612 Environ. 257, 1-11.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.01.028
- 613 López-Baucells, A., Puig-Montserrat, X., Torre, I., Freixas, L., Mas, M., Arrizabalaga, A.,
- Flaquer, C., 2017a. Bat boxes in urban non-native forests: a popular practice that should be
- 615 reconsidered. Urban Ecosyst. 20, 217-225.10.1007/s11252-016-0582-9
- 616 López-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Andriatafika, Z., Tojosoa, T., Kemp, J., Forbes, K., Cabeza,
- 617 M., 2017b. Roost selection by synanthropic bats in rural Madagascar: what makes non-
- traditional structures so tempting? Hystrix 28, 28-35.https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-28.1-
- 619 12046
- 620 Maas, B., Karp, D.S., Bumrungsri, S., Darras, K., Gonthier, D., Huang, J.C.C., Lindell, C.A.,
- 621 Maine, J.J., Mestre, L., Michel, N.L., 2016. Bird and bat predation services in tropical forests
- and agroforestry landscapes. Biological Reviews 91, 1081-1101

- 623 Macías-Hernández, N., Athey, K., Tonzo, V., Wangensteen, O.S., Arnedo, M., Harwood,
- J.D., 2018. Molecular gut content analysis of different spider body parts. PLoS ONE 13,
- 625 e0196589.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196589
- 626 Mahé, F., Rognes, T., Quince, C., de Vargas, C., Dunthorn, M., 2015. Swarm v2: highly-
- 627 scalable and high-resolution amplicon clustering. PeerJ 3,
- 628 e1420.https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1420
- Malhi, Y., 2017. The Concept of the Anthropocene. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 42, 77-
- 630 104.https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102016-060854
- 631 Malmqvist, E., Jansson, S., Zhu, S., Li, W., Svanberg, K., Svanberg, S., Rydell, J., Song, Z.,
- Bood, J., Brydegaard, M., 2018. The bat–bird–bug battle: daily flight activity of insects and
- their predators over a rice field revealed by high-resolution Scheimpflug Lidar. R. Soc. Open
- 634 Sci. 5, 172303.https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.172303
- Martin, E.A., Viano, M., Ratsimisetra, L., Laloë, F., Carrière, S.M., 2012. Maintenance of
- bird functional diversity in a traditional agroecosystem of Madagascar. Agric., Ecosyst.
- 637 Environ. 149, 1-9.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.12.005
- 638 McConnell, W.J., Sweeney, S.P., Mulley, B., 2004. Physical and social access to land: spatio-
- temporal patterns of agricultural expansion in Madagascar. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 101,
- 640 171-184.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2003.09.012
- 641 McCracken, G.F., Gillam, E.H., Westbrook, J.K., Lee, Y.-F., Jensen, M.L., Balsley, B.B.,
- 642 2008. Brazilian free-tailed bats (*Tadarida brasiliensis*: Molossidae, Chiroptera) at high
- altitude: links to migratory insect populations. Integr. Comp. Biol. 48, 107-
- 644 118.https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icn033
- 645 McCracken, G.F., Westbrook, J.K., Brown, V.A., Eldridge, M., Federico, P., Kunz, T.H.,
- 646 2012. Bats track and exploit changes in insect pest populations. PLoS ONE 7,
- 647 e43839.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043839

- 648 Monck-Whipp, L., Martin, A.E., Francis, C.M., Fahrig, L., 2018. Farmland heterogeneity
- benefits bats in agricultural landscapes. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 253, 131-
- 650 139.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.11.001
- Muthayya, S., Sugimoto, J.D., Montgomery, S., Maberly, G.F., 2014. An overview of global
- rice production, supply, trade, and consumption. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1324, 7-
- 653 14.https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12540
- Naranjo, S.E., Ellsworth, P.C., Frisvold, G.B., 2015. Economic value of biological control in
- 655 integrated pest management of managed plant systems. Annu. Rev. Entomol.
- 656 60.https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-021005
- 657 Neudert, R., Ganzhorn, J.U., Wätzold, F., 2017. Global benefits and local costs–The dilemma
- of tropical forest conservation: A review of the situation in Madagascar. Environ. Conserv.
- 659 44, 82-96.https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892916000552
- 660 Nwilene, F.E., Nacro, S., Tamò, M., Menozzi, P., Heinrichs, E.A., Hamadoun, A., Dakouo,
- 661 D., Adda, C., Togola, A., 2013. Managing Insect Pests of Rice in Africa. In: Marco C S
- 662 Wopereis, David E Johnson, Nourollah Ahmadi, Eric Tollens, Jalloh, A. (Eds.), Realizing
- 663 Africa's Rice Promise. CABI, p. 229.
- 664 Oerke, E.C., 2006. Crop losses to pests. The Journal of Agricultural Science 144, 31-
- 665 43.10.1017/S0021859605005708
- 666 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'hara, R., Simpson,
- 667 G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Wagner, H., 2013. Package 'vegan'. Community
- 668 ecology package, version 2
- Parsa, S., Morse, S., Bonifacio, A., Chancellor, T.C., Condori, B., Crespo-Pérez, V., Hobbs,
- 670 S.L., Kroschel, J., Ba, M.N., Rebaudo, F., 2014. Obstacles to integrated pest management
- adoption in developing countries. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 3889-
- 672 3894.https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1312693111

- 673 Peters, J., 1998. Transforming the integrated conservation and development project (ICDP)
- approach: observations from the Ranomafana National Park Project, Madagascar. J. Agric.
- 675 Environ. Ethics 11, 17-47.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1007796628731</u>
- 676 Puig-Montserrat, X., Torre, I., López-Baucells, A., Guerrieri, E., Monti, M.M., Ràfols-
- 677 García, R., Ferrer, X., Gisbert, D., Flaquer, C., 2015. Pest control service provided by bats in
- 678 Mediterranean rice paddies: linking agroecosystems structure to ecological functions. Mamm.
- 679 Biol. 80, 237-245.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2015.03.008
- 680 R Development Core Team, 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 681 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3–900051–07–0, URL:
- 682 <u>http://www.R-project.org</u>
- Racey, P.A., Goodman, S.M., Jenkins, R.K., 2010. The ecology and conservation of
- 684 Malagasy bats. In: Fleming, T., Racey, P. (Eds.), Islands Bats: Evolution, Ecology, and
- 685 Conservation. . University of Chicago Press, pp. 369-404.
- 686 Rakotoarivelo, A.A., Ranaivoson, N., Ramilijaona, O.R., Kofoky, A.F., Racey, P.A., Jenkins,
- 687 R.K., 2007. Seasonal Food Habits of Five Sympatric Forest microchiropterans in Western
- 688 Madagascar. J. Mammal. 88, 959-966.https://doi.org/10.1644/06-MAMM-A-112R1.1
- 689 Randrianandrianina, F., Andriafidison, D., Kofoky, A.F., Ramilijaona, O., Ratrimomanarivo,
- 690 F., Racey, P.A., Jenkins, R.K., 2006. Habitat use and conservation of bats in rainforest and
- adjacent human-modified habitats in eastern Madagascar. Acta Chiropt. 8, 429-
- 692 437.https://doi.org/10.3161/1733-5329(2006)8[429:HUACOB]2.0.CO;2
- Rasolofoson, R.A., Ferraro, P.J., Jenkins, C.N., Jones, J.P.G., 2015. Effectiveness of
- 694 Community Forest Management at reducing deforestation in Madagascar. Biol. Conserv. 184,
- 695 271-277.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.01.027
- Ratnasingham, S., Hebert, P.D., 2007. BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System
- 697 (http://www.barcodinglife.org). Mol. Ecol. Resour. 7, 355-364

- 698 Razafindrakoto, N., Harwell, A., Jenkins, R.K., 2010. Bats roosting in public buildings: A
- 699 preliminary assessment from Moramanga, eastern Madagascar. Madag. Conserv. Dev. 5
- 700 Rocha, R., Virtanen, T., Cabeza, M., 2015. Bird assemblages in a Malagasy forest-
- agricultural frontier: effects of habitat structure and forest cover. Trop. Conserv. Sci. 8, 681-
- 702 710.https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291500800307
- 703 Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Mahé, F., 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open
- source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4, e2584.https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584
- Russ, J., Bennett, D., 2001. The Bats of Madagascar: A Field Guide with Descriptions of
- 706 Echolocation Calls. Viper Press.
- Russo, D., Bosso, L., Ancillotto, L., 2018. Novel perspectives on bat insectivory highlight the
- value of this ecosystem service in farmland: Research frontiers and management
- 709 implications. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 266, 31-38.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.07.024
- 710 Schnitzler, H.-U., Kalko, E.K.V., 2001. Echolocation by Insect-Eating Bats: We define four
- 711 distinct functional groups of bats and find differences in signal structure that correlate with
- the typical echolocation tasks faced by each group. Bioscience 51, 557-
- 713 569.https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0557:ebieb]2.0.co;2
- 714 Siegenthaler, A., Wangensteen, O.S., Benvenuto, C., Campos, J., Mariani, S., 2018. DNA
- 715 metabarcoding unveils large-scale trophic variation in a widespread coastal opportunist. Mol.
- 716 Ecol. in review
- 717 Sikes, R.S., Gannon, W.L., Care, A., Mammalogists, U.C.o.t.A.S.o., 2011. Guidelines of the
- American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. J. Mammal. 92,
- 719 235-253.https://doi.org/10.1644/10-MAMM-F-355.1
- 720 Skaug, H., Fournier, D., Bolker, B., Magnusson, A., Nielsen, A., 2015. glmmADMB:
- 721 generalized linear mixed models using AD model builder. R package v. 0.8. 1.

- 722 Stenberg, J.A., 2017. A Conceptual Framework for Integrated Pest Management. Trends
- 723 Plant Sci. 22, 759-769.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.06.010
- 524 Styger, E., Rakotondramasy, H.M., Pfeffer, M.J., Fernandes, E.C., Bates, D.M., 2007.
- 725 Influence of slash-and-burn farming practices on fallow succession and land degradation in
- the rainforest region of Madagascar. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ. 119, 257-
- 727 269.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.07.012
- Swift, J.F., Lance, R.F., Guan, X., Britzke, E.R., Lindsay, D.L., Edwards, C.E., 2018.
- 729 Multifaceted DNA metabarcoding: validation of a non-invasive, next-generation approach to
- studying bat populations. Evol. Appl. 0.https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12644
- 731 Taylor, P., Bohmann, K., Steyn, J., Schoeman, M., Matamba, E., Zepeda-Mendoza, E.,
- Nangammbi, T., Gilbert, M., 2013a. Bats eat pest green vegetable stinkbugs (Nezara
- viridula): diet analyses of seven insectivorous species of bats roosting and foraging in
- macadamia orchards at Levubu, Limpopo Province, South Africa. SAMAC Yearbook 21, 37-
- 735 43
- 736 Taylor, P.J., Matamba, E., Steyn, J.N., Nangammbi, T., Zepeda-Mendoza, M.L., Bohmann,
- K., 2017. Diet determined by next generation sequencing reveals pest consumption and
- opportunistic foraging by bats in macadamia orchards in South Africa. Acta Chiropt. 19, 239-
- 739 254.https://doi.org/10.3161/15081109ACC2017.19.2.003
- 740 Taylor, P.J., Monadjem, A., Nicolaas Steyn, J., 2013b. Seasonal patterns of habitat use by
- insectivorous bats in a subtropical African agro-ecosystem dominated by macadamia
- 742 orchards. Afr. J. Ecol. 51, 552-561.https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.12066
- Torrent, L., Lopez-Baucells, A., Rocha, R., Bobrowiec, P., Meyer, C., 2018. The importance
- of lakes for bat conservation in Amazonian rainforests: an assessment using autonomous
- recorders. Remote Sens. Ecol. Conserv.https://doi.org/10.1002/rse2.83

- Verboom, B., Huitema, H., 1997. The importance of linear landscape elements for the
- 747 pipistrelle *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* and the serotine bat *Eptesicus serotinus*. Landscape Ecol.
- 748 12, 117-125.http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02698211
- 749 Vieilledent, G., Grinand, C., Rakotomalala, F.A., Ranaivosoa, R., Rakotoarijaona, J.-R.,
- Allnutt, T.F., Achard, F., 2018. Combining global tree cover loss data with historical national
- 751 forest cover maps to look at six decades of deforestation and forest fragmentation in
- 752 Madagascar. Biol. Conserv. 222, 189-197.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.008
- 753 Wangensteen, O.S., Cebrian, E., Palacín, C., Turon, X., 2018a. Under the canopy:
- 754 Community-wide effects of invasive algae in Marine Protected Areas revealed by
- 755 metabarcoding. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 127, 54-
- 756 66.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.033
- 757 Wangensteen, O.S., Palacín, C., Guardiola, M., Turon, X., 2018b. DNA Metabarcoding of
- 758 littoral hard-bottom communities: high diversity and database gaps revealed by two
- molecular markers. PeerJ 6:e4705.https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4705
- 760 Wangensteen, O.S., Turon, X., 2017. Metabarcoding techniques for assessing biodiversity of
- 761 marine animal forests. In: Sergio Rossi, L.B., Andrea Gori, Covadonga Orejas (Ed.), Marine
- animal forests. The ecology of benthic biodiversity hotspots. Springer International
- 763 Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 445-473.
- 764 Wanger, T.C., Darras, K., Bumrungsri, S., Tscharntke, T., Klein, A.-M., 2014. Bat pest
- control contributes to food security in Thailand. Biol. Conserv. 171, 220-
- 766 223.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.01.030
- 767 Wickham, H., 2016. tidyverse: Easily install and load 'tidyverse' packages [Software].
- 768

Sonotype	Family	Species	Mean peak frequency ranges (kHz)	Call shape	IUCN category
H.commersoni	Hipposideridae	Hipposideros commersoni ¹	61.6-76.5	FM-CF-FM	NT
M.goudoti	Vespertilionidae	Myotis goudoti ¹	55.3-72.1	FM	LC
M.gle/maj	Miniopteridae	Miniopterus gleni ^{1,2} , Miniopterus majori ^{1,2}	42.9-50.3	FM-QCF	LC; LC
M.manavi	Miniopteridae	Miniopterus manavi ^{1, 2}	58.3-61.5	FM-QCF	LC
M.aurita	Myzopodidae	Myzopoda aurita ³	14	FM-CF	LC
O.mad/T.ful	Molossidae	Otomops madagascariensis ³ Tadarida fulminans ³	13.0-20.0	CF	LC; LC
P.atrata	Emballonuridae	Paraemballonura atrata ¹	50.0-54.3	FM-CF	LC
S.rob/M.gle	Vespertilionidae/Min ioperidae	Miniopterus gleni ^{1,2} , Scotophilus robustus ¹	38.4-42.8	FM-QCF	LC; LC
VMi1	Vespertilionidae/Min iopteridae	Miniopterus gleni ^{1,2} , Miniopterus manavi ^{1,2} , Miniopterus majori ^{1,2} , Miniopterus soroculus ² , Neoromicia matroka ⁴ , Pipistrellus hesperidus ⁴ , Pipistrellus raceyi ⁴	50.4-58.2	FM-QCF	LC; LC; LC; LC; LC; LC; DD
S.rob/M.jug	Molossidae; Vespertilionidae	Mormopterus jugularis ⁵ , Scotophilus robustus ¹	30.6-38.3	FM-QCF	LC; LC
Mo1	Molossidae; Emballonuridae	Chaerephon atsinanana ⁵ , Mops leucostigma ⁵ , Mormopterus jugularis ^{5,} Taphozous mauritianus ⁶	21.0-30.5	FM-QCF/CF	NA; LC; LC; LC

Table 1. List of species known to occur in the region incorporating Ranomafana National Park with sonotypes created from mean peak frequency ranges from the existing literature.

Sources: Kofoky et al. 2009, 2. Ramasindrazana et al., 2011, 3. Russ and Bennett, 2001, 4. Goodman et al., 2015, 5. Release calls, 6. Fenton et al., 1980

Table 2. Mean bat passes $(\pm SD)$ per night per sonotype across each landcover type. Significant differences to continuous forest from generalised linear mixed models highlighted in bold.

	Landcover type					
Sonotype	Continuous forest	Forest fragment	Secondary vegetation	Irrigated rice	Hillside rice	Total
Hipposideros commersoni	0	$0.04 (\pm 0.2)$	0	0.03 (±0.2)	1.09 (±3)	0.18 (±1)
Myzopoda aurita	0	0	0.04 (±0.2)	0.13 (±0.6)	0.22 (±0.5)	0.07 (±0.3)
Myotis goudoti	4.21 (±10)	14.65 (±30)	2.16 (±4)	9 (±23)	4.22 (±4)	6.69 (±18)
Miniopterus gleni/M.majori	0.05 (±0.2)	0.58 (±1)	2.56 (±4)	7.8 (±11)	15.65 (±15)	4.59 (±10)
Miniopterus manavi	0	2.85 (±8)	0.04 (±0.2)	0	0.04 (+0.2)	0.52 (±3)
Mo1	0.12 (±0.5)	5.5 (±11)	20.08 (±38)	10.67 (±15)	98.7 (±131)	22.04 (±64)
Otomops madagascariensis/Tadarida fulminans	0.07 (±0.5)	0.92 (±2)	0.52 (±1)	0.17 (±1)	23.61 (±100)	4 (±40)
Paraemballonura atrata	5.4 (±29)	0.04 (±0.2)	1.96 (±7)	6.87 (±22)	2.48 (±6)	3.71 (±19)
Scotophilus robustus/Miniopterus gleni	0	0	5.08 (±8)	3.00 (±5)	23.74 (±26)	5.19 (±14)
Scotophilus robustus/Mormopterus jugularis	0	0	1.52 (±3)	1.93 (±3)	6.04 (±8)	1.60 (±4)
VMi1	2.84 (±7)	7.69 (± 15)	5.84 (±8)	48.9 (±100)	21.3 (±17)	16.50 (±49)
Total	12.72 (±36)	32.27 (±55)	39.80 (±53)	88.50 ±(127)	197.09 (±228)	65.1 (±128)

Table 3 - Metabarcoding results per arthropod order Click here to download Tables: Table 3 - Metabarcoding results per arthropod order.xlsx

 Table 3. Average relative abundance of MOTU reads per 10,000 reads for six bat species (number of samples in brackets) grouped by arthropod order. See Table A.5. for insect pest and disease vector species and genera.

 Order name
 C. atsinanana
 M. leucogaster
 M. jugularis (9)
 M. goudoti (9)
 M. majori (6)
 M. manavi (12)
 Average

 Araneae
 0
 0
 0
 27.34
 0
 0
 4.56

	(12)	(10)					
Araneae	0	0	0	27.34	0	0	4.56
Astigmata	0	0	0.3	0	1.68	2.54	0.76
Blattodea	2.7	33.16	134.95	19.62	307.45	325.05	137.15
Coleoptera	1095.78	1708.65	1845.63	891.49	112.43	672.35	1054.39
Dermaptera	0	0	19.36	0	0	0	3.23
Diptera	64.56	94.34	834.68	163.94	137.09	208.7	250.55
Ephemeroptera	625.26	17.09	1053.68	67.67	76.4	44.52	314.1
Hemiptera	1.42	17.23	18.86	30.32	1933.65	661.81	443.88
Hymenoptera	0.05	1.3	0.1	352.68	104.67	708.29	194.51
Lepidoptera	138.94	63.06	414.78	324.2	2351.33	846.68	689.83
Mesostigmata	0	0.8	0	1.28	0	26.12	4.7
Neuroptera	0	0	3.96	0	0	0.69	0.78
Odonata	0.32	0	0	0	0	0	0.05
Orthoptera	3.23	2.68	3.35	0	0	0	1.54
Sarcoptiformes	0.66	14.46	2.57	72.57	1.8	8.05	16.69
Siphonaptera	0.31	0	0	0	0	0	0.05
Symphypleona	0	0	0	1.74	0	0	0.29
Trichoptera	3.05	0.02	0	3.51	10.22	0	2.8
Trombidiformes	0.5	0.33	0	0.2	185.73	2.67	31.57
Total	1936.78	1953.11	4332.25	1956.53	5222.45	3507.48	



Figure 2 - Mean bat activity Click here to download high resolution image





Supplementary Material for publication online only Click here to download Supplementary Material for publication online only: Kemp et al_supplementary material.docx